# **UC Riverside**

**Journal of Citrus Pathology** 

## Title

Citrus Bent Leaf Viroid Present in Citrus in South Africa

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/14h9g8rk

**Journal** Journal of Citrus Pathology, 10(1)

Authors

Steyn, Chanel Breytenbach, Johannes H.J. Cook, Glynnis

Publication Date 2023

**DOI** 10.5070/C410160582

**Supplemental Material** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/14h9g8rk#supplemental

## **Copyright Information**

Copyright 2023 by the author(s). This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>

Peer reviewed



1	Recently Accepted
2	
3	BRIEF REPORT
4	
5	Citrus Bent Leaf Viroid Present in Citrus in South Africa
6	
7	
8	C. Steyn <sup>1</sup> , J. H. J. Breytenbach <sup>1</sup> and G. Cook <sup>1</sup>
9	
10	
11	<sup>1</sup> Citrus Research International, Mbombela 1200, South Africa
12	Correspondence to: <u>glynnis@cri.co.za</u>
13	
14 15 16	<b>Citation:</b> Steyn, C., Breytenbach, J. H, & Cook, G. (2023). Citrus Bent Leaf Viroid Present in Citrus in South Africa. <i>Journal of Citrus Pathology</i> , 10. http://dx.doi.org/10.5070/C410160582 Retrieved from https://escholarship.org/uc/item/14h9q8rk.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	

iocv\_journalcitruspathology\_60582 1/9



2	2
5	9

34

#### 35 Abstract

Currently six viroid species are recognised which infect the genera Citrus and Poncirus, with 36 37 an additional tentative new species reported. Citrus bent leaf viroid (CBLVd) has been reported 38 from various citrus growing regions world-wide, but has not been formally documented from 39 South Africa. CBLVd was detected in field samples in various citrus growing regions in South 40 Africa during routine diagnostic analyses conducted since 2011. The detection and sequence verification of CBLVd from field samples is reported in this study. Biological confirmation of 41 42 CBLVd presence was done for one sample that was shown to contain a single viroid infection. Bent-leaf symptom expression was observed after slash inoculation of sample RNA to the 43 44 'Etrog' citron indicator host. This study was a retrospective analysis, of previously identified 45 CBLVd-positive samples, to document the long-standing presence of CBLVd in South Africa.

#### 46 Keywords

47 Pospiviroidae, Apscaviroid, detection, RT-PCR

48

### 49 Introduction

Citrus viroids are single-stranded, circular RNA species that can infect all citrus types and
rootstocks of citrus. Citrus viroid species belong to the family *Pospiviroidae* and four genera
including *Pospiviroid* (*Citrus exocortis viroid*), *Cocadviroid* (*Citrus bark cracking viroid*), *Hostuviroid* (*Hop stunt viroid*) and *Apscaviroid* (*Citrus bent leaf viroid*, *Citrus dwarfing viroid*, *Citrus viroid* V, *Citrus viroid* VI) (Di Serio et al. 2021). A further tentative species of the genus *Apscaviroid*, *Citrus viroid* VII, was reported from Australia (Chambers et al. 2018).

56 Citrus viroids are mechanically and graft transmissible but are not transmitted by seed in citrus.

- 57 Infected budwood, and not fruit, is how citrus viroids are widely transmitted (Duran-Vila and
- 58 Semancik 2003).

iocv\_journalcitruspathology\_60582 2/9



The natural host range of citrus bent leaf viroid (CBLVd) is rutaceous hosts (Duran-Vila and 59 Semancik 2003). CBLVd, previously designated CVd-Ia and CVd-Ib, was shown to induce a 60 leaf bend on the indicator, 'Etrog' citron Arizona 861-S-1 (Citrus medica L.) (Duran-Vila et 61 al. 1988; Ashulin et al. 1991). As a single infection this viroid appears to be latent and its most 62 significant effect on the citrus host is through synergistic or antagonistic interactions with other 63 citrus viroid species. The predominant, commercial impact has been the reduction in tree 64 65 canopy volume, but only in combination with other citrus viroids (Vernière et al. 2006; Vidalakis et al. 2010). Significant nucleotide variability is reported for this viroid species in 66 addition to genome size differences ranging from 318 to 330 nucleotides (Ashulin et al. 1991; 67 Semancik et al. 1997). 68

Although CBLVd has been reported in numerous citrus producing countries, its distribution is
likely wider but under-reported due to the mild and synergistic disease association. CBLVd
was detected using RT-PCR in South Africa, but the presence of this viroid was not verified or
formally documented. Therefore, this study was done to confirm the presence of CBLVd in
South Africa.

74

#### 75 Materials and Methods

Molecular diagnostics of field samples, submitted for pathogen screening, have been conducted at Citrus Research International, Nelspruit since 2011. Analysis of field samples included RT-PCR testing for citrus viroids including CBLVd, citrus dwarfing viroid (CDVd), citrus exocortis viroid (CEVd), hop stunt viroid (HSVd), citrus bark cracking viroid (CBCVd) and citrus viroid V (CVd-V). Samples were commonly obtained as budwood and total RNA was extracted from green bark using an acid-phenol method previously described (Cook et al. 2016). Random primed reverse transcription was done as detailed in Cook et al. (2019) and



viroid PCRs were done as in Cook et al. (2016). For CBLVd PCR detection the CBLVd-F2 83 and CBLVd-R2 primer pair was used (Wang et al. 2008). Three samples that had tested positive 84 for CBLVd and for which RNA, stored at -20°C, was still available included samples R130821-85 3, R130902-1 and R160707-4. Sample R130821-3 was obtained in 2013 from a navel orange 86 tree (Citrus sinensis cultivar 'Rustenburg' Navel) in the Harry Gwala district of KwaZulu-87 Natal Province. Sample R130902-1 (C. sinensis cultivar 'Bennie' Valencia) was collected in 88 89 the Mopani district of Limpopo Province in 2013 and sample R160707-41 (C. sinensis cultivar 'Turkey' Valencia) was collected in 2016 in the Vhembe district, a northern region of the 90 91 Limpopo Province. Sample R160707-41 was the only sample that tested positive for a single 92 viroid species, namely CBLVd.

Full genome nucleotide sequencing of CBLVd from the three selected samples was done from 93 94 the stored total RNA extracts by RT-PCR and using two overlapping primer pairs as previously detailed (Steyn et al. 2016), but using GoTaq G2 Hot Start Green Master Mix (Promega Corp., 95 Madison, WI, USA). PCR amplicons were gel-purified using the Zymoclean gel DNA 96 97 Recovery kit (Zymo Research, CA, USA) and direct Sanger sequencing was performed in both orientations. Overlapping sequences were aligned and low-quality bases removed using 98 99 BioEdit (Hall 1999). BLAST was used to determine closest sequence identity (Altschul et al. 100 1990).

In order to biologically confirm the presence of CBLVd in the singly infected viroid sample, R160707-41, a droplet of total RNA extract was slash inoculated to six 'Etrog' citron plants as previously described (Steyn et al. 2016). Plants were maintained in a temperature-controlled glasshouse with temperatures ranging between 28°C and 32°C. Transmission success was confirmed with RT-PCR, seven months post inoculation (pi). A full genome consensus sequence was obtained from a CBLVd-positive 'Etrog' host plant as described above. The



107 'Etrog' plants were cut back and regrowth was monitored for symptom development for a108 period of five months.

#### 109 **Results and Discussion**

110 CBLVd has been detected since 2011 in South Africa with the implementation of RT-PCR 111 screening for citrus viroids. Various disease investigations indicated the presence of CBLVd, in orchards as mixed infections with other citrus viroids and citrus tristeza virus which is 112 endemic in southern Africa. These detections were primarily in older Valencia and Navel 113 orchards which were established prior to the use of shoot-tip grafted budwood supply through 114 115 the South African Citrus Improvement Scheme. The purpose of this study was not to document each detection over a prolonged period, but to retrospectively verify detection of CBLVd in a 116 few samples. 117

CBLVd was detected in combination with other citrus viroids in sample R130821-3 (HSVd,
CDVd, CBCVd) and sample R130902-1 (HSVd, CDVd), but was found as a single viroid
infection in sample R160707-41.

Transmission to one of six 'Etrog' indicator plants, after slash inoculation of sample R160707-41 RNA, was confirmed with RT-PCR seven months post inoculation (pi) and designated as sample R220811-8. The 'Etrog' plants were cut back and regrowth was monitored for symptom development. The single CBLVd positive plant first showed leaf bend ten months pi and no symptoms were noted on the five plants that tested negative for CBLVd. The bent leaf symptom was observed on further growth flushes of this plant (Figure 1).





Figure 1. 'Etrog' plant (R220811-8) showing leaf bend symptoms typical for citrus bent leaf
viroid infection (indicated by black arrows), post slash-inoculation with total RNA extract of
sample R160707-41.

131

Full genome consensus sequences for CBLVd were obtained for the three field samples and 132 133 for the positive 'Etrog' seedling. These nucleotide sequences were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers OP616802, OP616803, OP616804 and OP616805 for samples R130821-3, 134 R130902-1, R160707-41 and R220811-8, respectively. The genomes of the field samples 135 differed. Sequence OP616802 showed 100% sequence identity to Genbank accession 136 AF428053 from Uruguay. Sequence OP616803 showed closest sequence identity (99.06%) to 137 accessions GQ260200, AB006736, AF428056 from Iran, Japan and Uruguay, respectively and 138 139 sequence OP616804 showed closest identity (99,69%) to accession AF428057 from Uruguay. The consensus sequence, OP616805, of sample R220811-8 from 'Etrog' citron showed two 140 141 base pair changes compared to sequence OP616804 of sample R160707-41, from which it was derived. These changes may have been induced by the host change as previously reported for 142 CEVd (Bernard et al. 2009). Alternately, a CBLVd variant within the original sample was 143 144 transmitted by the slash inoculation, rather than the sequence variant OP616804.

iocv\_journalcitruspathology\_60582 6/9



145	The detection and sequence verification of CBLVd from field samples from South African
146	orchards are reported in this study. Biological confirmation of CBLVd presence was
147	demonstrated by symptom expression in 'Etrog' citron for a single viroid infected sample. This
148	study was a retrospective analysis of previously identified CBLVd-positive samples to
149	document the long-standing presence of this citrus viroid in South Africa.

#### 150 Acknowledgments

151 This work was funded by Citrus Research International (Pty) Ltd.

#### 152 **References**

- 153 Altschul SF, Gish, W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search
- tool. Jnl Mol. Biol. 215(3): 403–410. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-2836(05)80360-2</u>.
- Ashulin L, Lachman O, Hadas R, Bar-Joseph M. 1991. Nucleotide sequence of a new viroid
  species, citrus bent leaf viroid (CBLVd) isolated from grapefruit in Israel. Nucl. Acids Res.
  19 (17):4767.
- 158 Bernad L, Duran-Vila N, Elena, SF. 2009. Effect of citrus hosts on the generation, maintenance
- and evolutionary fate of genetic variability of citrus exocortis viroid. J. Gen. Virol. 90: 2040-
- 160 2049. https://doi.org/<u>10.1099/vir.0.010769-0</u>.
- 161 Chambers, G. A., Donovan, N. J., Bodaghi, S., Jelinek, S. M., and Vidalakis, G. 2018. A novel
  162 citrus viroid found in Australia, tentatively named citrus viroid VII. Arch. Virol. 163: 215163 218.
- 164 Cook G, van Vuuren SP, Breytenbach JHJ, Steyn C, Burger JT, Maree HJ. 2016.
  165 Characterization of citrus tristeza virus single-variant sources in grapefruit in greenhouse
- and field trials. Plant Dis. 100: 2251-2256. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-03-16-0391-RE.
- 167 Cook G, Kirkman W, Clase R, Steyn C, Basson E, Fourie PH, Moore SD, Grout TG, Carstens
- 168 E, Hattingh V. 2019. Orchid fleck virus associated with the first case of citrus leprosis-N in iocv\_journalcitruspathology\_60582 7/9

- South Africa. Eur. J. of Plant Pathol. 155:1373-1379. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-019-</u>
  01854-4.
- 171 Di Serio F, Owens RA, Li, S-F, Matoušek J, Pallás V, Randles JW, Sano T, Verhoeven JTJ,
- 172 Vidalakis G, Flores R, ICTV Report Consortium. 2021. ICTV Virus Taxonomy Profile:
- 173 *Pospiviroidae*. Journal of General Virology 102. <u>https://doi.org/10.1099/jgv.0.001543</u>.
- 174 Duran-Vila N, Roistacher CN, Rivera-Bustamante R, Semancik JS. 1988. A Definition of
- 175 citrus viroid groups and their relationship to the exocortis disease. J. Gen. Virol. 69:3069-
- 176 3080. <u>https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-69-12-3069</u>.
- 177 Duran-Vila N and Semancik JS. 2003. Citrus Viroids.In: Hadidi A, Flores R, Randles JW,
- 178 Semancik, JS, editors. Viroids. CSIRO Publishing. Australia. p 178-194.
- Hall, T. A. (1999). BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis
  program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series. 41: 95-98.
- 181 Semancik JS, Rakowski AG, Bash JA, Gumpf DJ. 1997. Application of selected viroids for
- dwarfing and enhancement of production of 'Valencia' orange. J. Hort. Science 72:563-570.
- 183 Steyn C, Cook, G, Burger, JT, Maree, H J. 2016. Construction and application of infectious
- citrus viroids for biological indexing. J. Citrus Pathol. 3(1).<u>https://doi.org/10.5070/C431</u>
  037092.
- 186 Vernière C, Perrier X, Dubois C, Dubois A, Botella L, Chabrier C, Bové J M, Duran-Vila N.
- 187 2006. Interactions between citrus viroids affect symptom expression and field performance
- of clementine trees grafted on trifoliate orange. Phytopathology 96:356-368.
   https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-96-0356.
- 190 Vidalakis G, Pagliaccia D, Bash JA, Semancik JS. 2010. Effects of mixtures of citrus viroids
- as transmissible small nuclear RNA on tree dwarfing and commercial scion performance on
- 192 Carrizo citrange rootstock. Ann. Appl. Biol. 157:415-423.



- 193 Wang, X-f, Zhou C-y, Tang, K-z, Lan, J-q, Zhou Y, Li Z-a. 2008. Preliminary studies on
- species and distribution of citrus viroids in China. Agric. Sciences in China. 7: 1097-1103.
- 195 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1671-2927(08)60152-2</u>.

196

197