

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Air pollution, maternal hypertensive disorders, and preterm birth

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5kb0029j>

Journal

Environmental Epidemiology, 3(5)

ISSN

2474-7882

Authors

Weber, Kari A
Yang, Wei
Lurmann, Frederick
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2019-10-01

DOI

10.1097/ee9.0000000000000062

Peer reviewed

Air pollution, maternal hypertensive disorders, and preterm birth

Kari A. Weber^{a,*}, Wei Yang^a, Frederick Lurmann^b, S. Katharine Hammond^c, Gary M. Shaw^a, Amy M. Padula^d

Background: Air pollution has been associated with hypertension and preterm birth. We examined if prenatal exposure to air pollutants was associated with gestational hypertension and if its association with preterm birth was modified by maternal hypertension.

Methods: Data were from birth certificates and hospital discharge records of 252,205 women in San Joaquin Valley of California from 2000 to 2006. Air quality data were assigned from 24-hour averages of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), particulate matter <10µm (PM₁₀) and <2.5µm (PM_{2.5}), and carbon monoxide (CO) for different averaging periods over pregnancy. We estimated odds of preterm birth and multiplicative interaction between each pollutant and hypertensive disorder.

Results: Among normotensive women, odds of preterm birth were slightly higher for higher exposure to all pollutants over the entire pregnancy. Patterns were similar among women with a hypertensive disorder. Among 32–36 week births, there was effect modification for exposure to NO₂ and CO during the first trimester with higher odds among hypertensive women, and PM_{2.5} and CO during the last 6 weeks with higher odds among normotensive women. For 28–31 week births, there was effect modification by hypertensive status for PM₁₀ exposure for the entire pregnancy, first, and second trimester with hypertensive women consistently having lower odds of preterm birth than normotensive.

Conclusion: There was some evidence of effect modification in the direction counter to our hypothesis for exposure to PM₁₀ and early preterm birth, and CO and PM_{2.5} at the end of pregnancy, but overall, hypertension did not modify the relationship between pollution and preterm birth.

Introduction

Exposure to ambient air pollution, specifically particulate matter, has been associated with increases in blood pressure and hypertension in previous studies.^{1,2} A meta-analysis of exposure to ambient air pollution and pregnancy-induced hypertension also reported increased risks for particulate matter and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂).³ While there has been some heterogeneity in the results of these studies, especially between different pollutants and timing of exposure, air pollution is believed to cause endothelial dysfunction and increase blood pressure through autonomic nervous system imbalance, oxidative stress, and systemic inflammatory response,^{4–6} thus making this relationship mechanistically feasible.

Ambient air pollution exposure during pregnancy has also been associated with preterm birth⁷ and a previous analysis of our cohort observed associations between increased exposure

to particulate matter [particulate matter <10µm (PM₁₀)] and [particulate matter <2.5µm (PM_{2.5})] and preterm birth, with the strongest associations observed for exposure during the second trimester.⁸ Hypertensive disorders have also been associated with preterm birth. Preeclampsia, a hypertensive condition affecting pregnancy, contributes substantially to preterm birth,⁹ and chronic hypertension has been associated with both spontaneous¹⁰ and medically-indicated preterm birth.^{11,12}

Thus, using data from a highly polluted area of California, we sought to determine if the relationship between exposure to air pollutants [carbon monoxide (CO), NO₂, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and traffic density] and preterm birth may be modified by the presence of a hypertensive disorder. We examined possible effect modification by hypertensive status, separately by timing of preterm birth and each pollutant. We also assessed the potential for pregnancy-induced hypertension to mediate any relationship between air pollution and preterm birth.

Methods

Study population

Data were from 329,650 births to women living in four counties in the San Joaquin Valley of California (Fresno, Kern, Stanislaus, and San Joaquin) between 2000 and 2006. Birth certificate data from the California Department of Public Health were linked with the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development

What this study adds:

How air pollution influences risk of preterm birth has not been fully elucidated. We explored one of the primary indications of preterm birth, hypertension in women. To fill a knowledge gap regarding potential differences in the effect of air pollution on preterm birth in pregnant women with a hypertensive disorder compared with those without, we estimated potential effect modification using data from a highly polluted region of California.

^aDepartment of Pediatrics, Division of Neonatal and Developmental Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California; ^bSonoma Technology, Inc., Petaluma, California; ^cEnvironmental Health Sciences Division, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, California; and ^dDepartment of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, California.

SDC Supplemental digital content is available through direct URL citations in the HTML and PDF versions of this article (www.enviroepidem.com).

*Corresponding Author. Address: Department of Pediatrics, Stanford University School of Medicine, 1265 Welch Road x1C21, Stanford, CA 94305. E-mail: kaweber@stanford.edu (K.A. Weber).

Copyright © 2019 The Authors. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of Environmental Epidemiology. All rights reserved. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Environmental Epidemiology (2019) 3:e062

Received: 11 April 2019; Accepted 17 July 2019

Published online 29 August 2019

DOI: 10.1097/EE9.000000000000062

Table 1
Distribution of Covariates by Gestational Age in Births in the Four Most Populous Counties in San Joaquin Valley, California, 2000–2006

Covariate	Gestational age in weeks (column %) ^a					Total N = 252,205
	37–42 n = 223,417	34–36 n = 21,225	32–33 n = 3,702	28–31 n = 2,550	20–27 n = 1,311	
Percent of preterm births that were spontaneous ^b		35.8	47.9	59.1	69.3	41.0
Maternal age (years)						
<20	13.3	15.2	16.9	20.3	20.8	13.6
20–24	28.9	28.7	28.5	28.3	26.4	28.9
25–29	27.7	25.6	23.3	22.9	21.4	27.3
30–34	19.4	18.2	18.1	15.3	18.7	19.2
≥35	10.8	12.3	13.2	13.2	12.7	11.0
Race/ethnicity						
White, non-Hispanic	30.6	26.0	23.2	24.1	23.0	30.0
Asian	7.4	8.7	8.3	9.4	7.9	7.6
Black, non-Hispanic	4.9	6.7	8.0	9.1	9.2	5.1
Hispanic	55.7	57.3	59.2	56.1	58.4	55.9
Other	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.3
Education						
<High school	12.1	12.5	13.9	11.7	11.1	12.1
High school	53.2	57.6	59.2	62.8	60.8	53.8
Some college	21.5	19.9	18.6	17.7	19.8	21.3
College degree	13.2	10.0	8.3	7.8	8.4	12.8
Medi-Cal	53.4	60.2	64.5	64.0	60.2	54.3
Prenatal care in first trimester	82.1	78.3	73.9	68.4	67.3	81.4
Low SES	17.3	20.2	23.5	22.6	21.5	17.7
Diabetes diagnoses						
Gestational diabetes	4.9	6.2	6.1	5.3	3.1	5.0
Preexisting diabetes	0.7	1.4	1.6	1.5	1.1	0.8
Hypertension diagnoses						
Pregnancy-induced	3.9	8.5	12.3	11.8	6.4	4.5
Preexisting	1.0	1.9	3.1	3.0	2.5	1.1
Cesarean section	25.4	29.1	35.6	43.9	41.0	26.1
First born	35.3	33.0	34.3	35.8	41.0	35.2
County						
Fresno	32.7	35.2	35.0	33.1	37.0	32.9
Stanislaus	23.2	24.8	26.7	25.2	23.8	23.4
Kern	25.5	23.0	21.1	23.1	22.1	25.1
San Joaquin	18.7	17.0	17.2	18.6	17.1	18.5
Year						
2000	13.2	12.5	12.5	12.8	15.7	13.1
2001	13.4	12.6	11.8	13.2	12.5	13.3
2002	13.7	13.0	13.3	12.3	12.4	13.6
2003	13.9	13.9	13.8	13.3	13.4	13.9
2004	14.4	14.5	14.7	14.2	15.0	14.4
2005	15.1	16.0	15.3	16.8	15.0	15.2
2006	16.5	17.6	18.6	17.4	16.1	16.6

^aPercentages may not equal 100 owing to rounding.

^bSpontaneous preterm birth identified as those births <37 weeks with preterm premature rupture of membranes (ICD-9-CM code 658.1 or birth certificate complication of labor/delivery code 10), those with premature labor (ICD-9-CM code 644), or the use of tocolytics (birth certificate complication/procedure of pregnancy code 28). Percentage of total was calculated among preterm (i.e., 11,791/28,788 × 100 = 41.0%.

California maternal and infant discharge data. Linkage was successful for 98.6% of records. Eligible births were singletons, had a gestational age within 20–42 weeks, a birth weight within 500–5,000 grams, and were not missing state file numbers. Air pollution assignments were 80% complete for CO, 94% for NO₂, 93% for PM₁₀, 93% for PM_{2.5}, and 96% for traffic density resulting in a sample of 258,522 births. Subjects missing data on eligibility criteria, last menstrual period (used to determine gestational age at birth), or covariates used for adjustment (maternal age, race/ethnicity, education, prenatal care, and insurance type) were excluded. The final analytical sample consisted of 252,205 births.

Street addresses for maternal residence at birth were obtained from birth certificates and geocoded using ArcGIS software (ESRI, Redlands, California) and corrected using ZP4 software (Semaphore Corporation, Aptos, California). Air pollution exposure assessment has been previously

described in detail elsewhere.⁸ Briefly, ambient air quality data were obtained from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Air Quality System database (https://aqs.epa.gov/aqsweb/documents/data_mart_welcome.html). Data were collected for daily 24-hour averages of (NO₂, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, CO). Up to four air quality measurement stations were used to interpolate air quality with inverse distance-squared weighting. A maximum interpolation radius of 25 km was used for NO and CO, and 50 km for PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}. Values for residences located within 5 km of 1 or more monitoring stations were interpolated based on those stations only. Traffic-density measures were also collected based on distance-decayed annual average daily traffic volumes from the Geographic Data Technology traffic count data. Averages were calculated for each trimester, the entire pregnancy, and the last 6 weeks of pregnancy.

Additional maternal covariates included were age (<20, 20–24, 25–29, 30–34, ≥35 years), maternal race/ethnicity (White

nonHispanic, Asian, African American, Hispanic, other), education (some high school or less, high school diploma, some college, college graduate, or more), parity (0, >1), prenatal care (initiated in first trimester), Medi-Cal (Medicaid) or other government program payment of birth costs, and infant sex. A previously created indicator variable for low neighborhood socioeconomic status (unemployment >10%, income from public assistance >15%, and families below poverty level >20% in the 2000 US Census at the block group level) was also included.⁸ This study was approved by the California State Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects and the Institutional Review Boards of Stanford University and the University of California, Berkeley.

Statistical analysis

Preterm birth was defined as gestational age <37 weeks and was further divided into categories (20–27, 28–31, 32–33, 34–36 weeks). Distribution of maternal covariates were examined by gestational ages of infants' birth. Each pollutant was divided into quartiles and the highest quartile was compared with the lower three quartiles combined. Hypertensive disorders were obtained from OSHPD using ICD-9 Codes. For the first analysis, pregnancy-induced hypertension [gestational hypertension and preeclampsia (642.3–642.7)] was the main outcome. Timing of pollutant exposure did not include end of pregnancy in an attempt to account for temporality due to general diagnosis of pregnancy-induced hypertension earlier in pregnancy. An ad hoc indirect effects mediation analysis was then performed for a particular pollutant if an association was observed. For the second analysis, chronic hypertension (401–405, 642.0–642.2, 642.9) was also included. For timing of exposure in the latter analysis, we additionally included the last 6 weeks of pregnancy to account for differences in gestational age and thus differing third trimester lengths and averaging periods between term and preterm births. Logistic regression was performed to estimate odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) and we considered a 20% higher odds to be “meaningful.” We stratified by the presence of a hypertensive disorder to test for effect modification of the association between each pollutant and preterm birth. We also tested for multiplicative interaction using a Wald test.

All analyses were adjusted for covariates believed to be confounders (maternal age, race/ethnicity, education, insurance type, and prenatal care). We also performed additional sensitivity analyses, one restricting to spontaneous preterm birth (preterm labor or premature rupture of membranes), one additionally adjusting for season of conception, and one excluding women with diabetes. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Results

Early preterm births (gestational age ≤31 weeks) were more likely among women who were under age 20, Black non-Hispanic, and high school educated. Preterm births were more likely among women with medical care funded by Medi-Cal, who did not receive prenatal care in the first trimester and who were of low neighborhood socioeconomic status compared with term births. Women with pregnancy-induced hypertensive disorders were more likely to deliver preterm and those with pre-existing hypertension were slightly more likely to deliver preterm compared with normotensive women (Table 1).

The pollutants were highly correlated with each other. The Pearson correlations were 0.75, 0.57, and 0.76 between CO and NO₂, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}, respectively, 0.49 and 0.59 between NO₂ and PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}, respectively, and 0.70 between PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} (results not shown). Correlations between the pollutants and traffic density were low. The associations between the

Table 2

Association Between Air Pollutants and Pregnancy-Induced Hypertension, Comparing the Highest with the Lower Three Quartiles, by Timing of Exposure, 2000–2006

Pollutant	Highest quartile cutoff	Timing of exposure	OR (95% CI)	aOR ^a (95% CI)
CO	0.60 ppm	Entire pregnancy	1.01 (0.97, 1.06)	1.00 (0.95, 1.04)
CO		First trimester	0.98 (0.94, 1.03)	0.98 (0.94, 1.02)
CO		Second trimester	1.05 (1.00, 1.09)	1.04 (0.99, 1.08)
NO ₂	19.47 ppb	Entire pregnancy	1.01 (0.97, 1.05)	0.99 (0.95, 1.03)
NO ₂		First Trimester	0.98 (0.94, 1.02)	0.97 (0.94, 1.01)
NO ₂		Second Trimester	1.03 (0.99, 1.08)	1.02 (0.98, 1.06)
PM ₁₀	42.65 µg/m ³	Entire pregnancy	0.95 (0.91, 0.99)	0.94 (0.90, 0.98)
PM ₁₀		First Trimester	1.00 (0.96, 1.04)	0.99 (0.95, 1.03)
PM ₁₀		Second Trimester	1.01 (0.97, 1.05)	1.00 (0.96, 1.05)
PM _{2.5}	20.72 µg/m ³	Entire pregnancy	1.05 (1.00, 1.10)	1.04 (0.99, 1.08)
PM _{2.5}		First Trimester	0.97 (0.94, 1.01)	0.97 (0.93, 1.01)
PM _{2.5}		Second Trimester	1.07 (1.03, 1.11)	1.06 (1.02, 1.10)
Traffic Density	45.85	Entire pregnancy	1.01 (0.96, 1.05)	1.01 (0.97, 1.06)

^aAdjusted for maternal race/ethnicity, age, education, payment of birth expenses/insurance type, and prenatal care.

^aOR, adjusted odds ratio; OR, odds ratio.

individual air pollutants and pregnancy-induced hypertension are shown in Table 2. For exposure averaged over the entire pregnancy, there was a slight inverse association between exposure to PM₁₀ and pregnancy-induced hypertension comparing the highest quartile of exposure to the lower three quartiles. There was no association when restricted to exposure in the first or second trimesters. There was a suggestive association between exposure to PM_{2.5} and pregnancy-induced hypertension for exposure averaged over the entire pregnancy and for exposure in the highest quartile during the second trimester. Associations for the other air pollutants and exposure periods were null. The indirect effects analysis resulted in a $\beta \sim 0$ (results not shown).

Associations between each air pollutant and preterm birth, stratified by presence of a maternal hypertensive disorder and gestational age, are presented in Table 3. For later preterm births (32–36 weeks gestation), among women without a hypertensive disorder, there were slightly higher odds for higher exposure over the entire pregnancy to all pollutants. Among these later preterm births, there were higher odds (i.e., ≥20%) for PM_{2.5}. Patterns were similar for women with a hypertensive disorder.

For preterm births (32–33 weeks), results for first trimester exposures were slightly different among women with a hypertensive disorder. There were higher odds for exposure to NO₂ among women with a hypertensive disorder, for which there was significant effect modification ($P = 0.04$), and PM_{2.5}, for which the significance test for effect modification approached significance ($P = 0.06$).

In the later preterm births, we also observed effect modification for exposure to CO and PM_{2.5} during the last 6 weeks of pregnancy and CO during the first trimester. However, patterns were inconsistent by exposure timing. Odds of preterm birth for exposure to CO were higher among hypertensive women than normotensive women for exposure during the first trimester and lower among hypertensive women for exposure during the last 6 weeks.

For preterm births between 28 and 31 weeks gestation, among women without a hypertensive disorder, there were also slightly higher odds for higher exposure over the entire pregnancy to all pollutants (eTables; <http://links.lww.com/EE/A56>). Patterns were not the same among women with a hypertensive disorder except for exposure to PM_{2.5}. There was also effect modification by hypertensive status for exposure to PM₁₀ across the entire pregnancy, during the first trimester and during the

Table 3

Associations Between Air Pollutants and Preterm Birth by Gestational Age and Timing of Exposure, and Effect Modification by the Presence of Maternal Hypertension, 2000–2006

Gestational Age	Pollutant Exposure	Exposure period	Adjusted ^a odds ratio (95% Confidence Intervals)		P-value interaction ^c	
			With Hypertension ^b	Without Hypertension		
34–36 weeks	CO	Entire pregnancy	1.05 (0.93, 1.17)	1.13 (1.09, 1.17)	0.196	
		First trimester	1.13 (1.01, 1.25)	1.04 (1.00, 1.08)	0.178	
		Second trimester	0.99 (0.89, 1.10)	1.02 (0.98, 1.05)		
		Third trimester	0.91 (0.81, 1.02)	0.98 (0.94, 1.01)	0.192	
		Last 6 weeks	0.86 (0.77, 0.96)	0.96 (0.93, 1.00)	0.043	
		Entire pregnancy	1.04 (0.94, 1.16)	1.07 (1.04, 1.11)		
	NO ₂	First trimester	1.06 (0.96, 1.17)	1.02 (0.99, 1.06)		
		Second trimester	0.96 (0.87, 1.06)	1.03 (1.00, 1.06)	0.177	
		Third trimester	0.95 (0.86, 1.05)	0.99 (0.96, 1.02)		
		Last 6 weeks	0.92 (0.83, 1.02)	0.99 (0.96, 1.02)	0.132	
		Entire pregnancy	1.12 (1.01, 1.25)	1.08 (1.04, 1.12)		
		First trimester	1.11 (1.00, 1.23)	1.10 (1.06, 1.13)		
	PM ₁₀	Second trimester	1.06 (0.95, 1.17)	1.08 (1.05, 1.12)		
		Third trimester	0.98 (0.89, 1.09)	1.04 (1.01, 1.08)		
		Last 6 weeks	1.01 (0.91, 1.12)	0.97 (0.94, 1.01)		
		Entire pregnancy	1.13 (1.02, 1.26)	1.24 (1.20, 1.28)	0.089	
		First trimester	1.11 (1.01, 1.22)	1.04 (1.01, 1.08)		
		Second trimester	1.03 (0.93, 1.14)	1.05 (1.01, 1.08)		
	PM _{2.5}	Third trimester	0.87 (0.79, 0.97)	0.94 (0.91, 0.97)	0.199	
		Last 6 weeks	0.89 (0.81, 0.99)	0.99 (0.96, 1.02)	0.076	
Traffic Density		Entire pregnancy	1.08 (0.97, 1.20)	1.04 (1.00, 1.07)		
32–33 weeks		CO	Entire pregnancy	1.15 (0.93, 1.41)	1.17 (1.07, 1.28)	
			First trimester	1.16 (0.96, 1.41)	0.93 (0.85, 1.01)	0.047
			Second trimester	0.98 (0.80, 1.19)	0.98 (0.90, 1.06)	
	Third trimester		0.88 (0.72, 1.08)	1.04 (0.96, 1.14)	0.114	
	Last 6 weeks		0.89 (0.72, 1.09)	1.03 (0.95, 1.12)	0.173	
	Entire pregnancy		1.16 (0.96, 1.41)	1.11 (1.02, 1.20)		
NO ₂	First trimester	1.22 (1.02, 1.46)	0.98 (0.91, 1.06)	0.044		
	Second trimester	1.00 (0.83, 1.20)	1.04 (0.96, 1.12)			
	Third trimester	0.90 (0.74, 1.08)	1.05 (0.97, 1.13)	0.123		
	Last 6 weeks	0.88 (0.72, 1.06)	1.01 (0.94, 1.09)	0.148		
	Entire pregnancy	1.06 (0.87, 1.30)	1.13 (1.04, 1.23)			
	First trimester	1.14 (0.94, 1.37)	1.19 (1.10, 1.29)			
PM ₁₀	Second trimester	1.05 (0.86, 1.27)	1.12 (1.03, 1.21)			
	Third trimester	1.00 (0.82, 1.20)	1.10 (1.02, 1.19)			
	Last 6 weeks	1.01 (0.84, 1.23)	1.01 (0.93, 1.09)			
	Entire pregnancy	1.44 (1.19, 1.73)	1.46 (1.35, 1.57)			
	First trimester	1.22 (1.02, 1.46)	1.00 (0.93, 1.08)	0.056		
	Second trimester	1.06 (0.88, 1.27)	1.08 (1.00, 1.16)			
PM _{2.5}	Third trimester	0.77 (0.64, 0.94)	0.96 (0.88, 1.03)	0.060		
	Last 6 weeks	0.87 (0.72, 1.06)	1.08 (1.00, 1.17)	0.047		
	Traffic Density	Entire pregnancy	1.25 (1.04, 1.51)	1.08 (0.99, 1.17)		
	28–31 weeks	CO	Entire pregnancy	0.93 (0.71, 1.21)	1.19 (1.08, 1.32)	0.048
			First trimester	0.88 (0.69, 1.12)	1.02 (0.92, 1.12)	0.181
			Second trimester	0.82 (0.64, 1.06)	1.01 (0.91, 1.11)	0.114
Third trimester			0.90 (0.69, 1.17)	0.97 (0.88, 1.08)		
Last 6 weeks			0.86 (0.67, 1.10)	0.99 (0.90, 1.10)		
Entire pregnancy			0.99 (0.78, 1.26)	1.13 (1.03, 1.24)	0.163	
NO ₂	First trimester	0.98 (0.78, 1.22)	1.14 (1.05, 1.25)	0.092		
	Second trimester	0.85 (0.67, 1.07)	1.01 (0.92, 1.11)	0.098		
	Third trimester	0.90 (0.71, 1.14)	0.94 (0.85, 1.03)			
	Last 6 weeks	0.87 (0.69, 1.09)	0.97 (0.89, 1.07)			
	Entire pregnancy	0.53 (0.39, 0.71)	1.10 (1.00, 1.22)	<0.001		
	First trimester	0.79 (0.62, 1.02)	1.18 (1.07, 1.30)	0.003		
PM ₁₀	Second trimester	0.74 (0.57, 0.96)	1.09 (0.99, 1.20)	0.007		
	Third trimester	0.87 (0.67, 1.13)	0.96 (0.86, 1.06)			
	Last 6 weeks	0.82 (0.64, 1.04)	0.97 (0.88, 1.07)	0.159		
	Entire pregnancy	1.18 (0.93, 1.48)	1.39 (1.27, 1.53)	0.190		
	First trimester	1.00 (0.80, 1.26)	1.10 (1.01, 1.21)			
	Second trimester	0.95 (0.76, 1.19)	1.09 (0.99, 1.19)			
PM _{2.5}	Third trimester	0.82 (0.64, 1.05)	0.92 (0.83, 1.02)			
	Last 6 weeks	0.96 (0.76, 1.21)	1.06 (0.97, 1.17)			
	Traffic Density	Entire pregnancy	0.88 (0.69, 1.13)	1.03 (0.93, 1.13)	0.104	

(Continued)

Table 3 (Continued)

Gestational Age	Pollutant Exposure	Exposure period	Adjusted ^a odds ratio (95% Confidence Intervals)		P-value interaction ^c
			With Hypertension ^b	Without Hypertension	
20–27 weeks	CO	Entire pregnancy	1.39 (0.90, 2.15)	1.08 (0.94, 1.25)	0.145
		First trimester	1.15 (0.75, 1.77)	1.01 (0.89, 1.15)	
		Second trimester	1.06 (0.69, 1.62)	1.00 (0.87, 1.14)	
		Third trimester	N/A	N/A	
		Last 6 weeks	1.16 (0.76, 1.78)	1.01 (0.89, 1.16)	
	NO ₂	Entire pregnancy	1.49 (1.00, 2.21)	1.21 (1.06, 1.37)	
		First trimester	1.30 (0.89, 1.91)	1.04 (0.92, 1.17)	
		Second trimester	0.74 (0.49, 1.13)	1.04 (0.92, 1.17)	
		Third trimester	N/A	N/A	
		Last 6 weeks	0.87 (0.58, 1.32)	1.05 (0.93, 1.19)	
	PM ₁₀	Entire pregnancy	1.04 (0.67, 1.61)	1.16 (1.02, 1.33)	
		First trimester	1.42 (0.96, 2.11)	1.18 (1.04, 1.33)	
		Second trimester	1.10 (0.73, 1.67)	1.03 (0.90, 1.17)	
		Third trimester	N/A	N/A	
		Last 6 weeks	1.00 (0.66, 1.51)	0.87 (0.76, 0.99)	
	PM _{2.5}	Entire pregnancy	1.81 (1.23, 2.67)	1.59 (1.40, 1.79)	
		First trimester	1.39 (0.94, 2.03)	1.08 (0.96, 1.22)	
		Second trimester	1.04 (0.70, 1.55)	1.08 (0.95, 1.22)	
		Third trimester	N/A	N/A	
		Last 6 weeks	1.19 (0.80, 1.77)	1.06 (0.94, 1.21)	
	Traffic Density	Entire pregnancy	1.26 (0.84, 1.90)	1.05 (0.92, 1.19)	

^aAdjusted for maternal race/ethnicity, age, education, payment of birth expenses/insurance type, and prenatal care.

^bPregnancy-induced and prepregnancy hypertension.

^cP-values <0.2 for Wald's Chi-squared test for interaction are reported. Bold numbers are statistically significant.

second trimester with hypertensive women consistently having lower odds of preterm birth than normotensive women.

For the earliest preterm births (20–27 weeks gestation), among women without a hypertensive disorder, there were slightly higher odds for higher exposure to CO and PM₁₀ and higher odds for exposure to NO₂ and PM_{2.5} over the entire pregnancy. Patterns were similar among women with a hypertensive disorder and there was no significant effect modification.

There were no substantial differences after exclusion of women with diabetes or after adjustment for season of conception. When the sample was restricted to spontaneous preterm birth, many of the previous estimates were no longer statistically significant, probably due to the smaller sample size (results not shown).

Discussion

This study aimed to disentangle the complex relationship among exposure to air pollution, maternal hypertensive disorders, and preterm birth. After stratification by maternal hypertensive disorders, associations between exposure to air pollution and preterm birth were variable. In general, there were moderately higher odds of preterm birth after exposure to air pollution among women without hypertension. Overall, the patterns were similar for women with a hypertensive disorder.

There were a few instances of statistically significant effect modification. However, most estimates were not meaningfully different between women with and without a hypertensive disorder. For those that were different such as the estimates for PM₁₀ among births between 28 and 31 weeks, the estimates suggest an increased risk among women without a hypertensive disorder and no association or lower odds among those with a hypertensive disorder. There were a few exceptions like the first trimester exposures for late preterm births, but overall, the results were counter to our hypothesis. This analysis also included many comparisons and thus it is possible that some of the observed associations were due to chance.

There were also a few inverse associations and they tended to be for exposure at the end of pregnancy and stronger among women with a hypertensive disorder with a few exceptions. One could posit that these results could be attributed to unobserved

fetal loss earlier in gestation. One prospective study observed faster time to fetal loss with higher exposure to PM_{2.5}¹³ and another observed lower fecundability among couples exposed to higher levels of PM_{2.5}.¹⁴ It is possible that women with high levels of exposure to air pollutants experienced fetal loss before a preterm birth could be observed or that especially sensitive women were unable to become pregnant. This may also be more likely among women with a hypertensive disorder. Some studies on pollution and fertility or miscarriage have observed similar results and some have not¹⁵ but these studies did not explore the potential differences by comorbid status.

Mechanistically, we hypothesized that the effect of exposure to air pollution might be modified by presence of a hypertensive disorder. Thus, we also explored the potential for hypertension to act as a mediator between air pollution and preterm birth. However, we did not observe a meaningfully higher odds of pregnancy-induced hypertension with higher exposure to air pollutants in this sample and thus, were not able to perform a full mediation analysis. This association has been previously observed³ and further investigation into mediation is warranted.

Our large, population-based sample came from a diverse area of California with high levels of pollution. Residences of our maternal participants were geocoded which allowed for very detailed air pollution exposure classification at specific time periods in gestation. The study was not designed to assess maternal comorbidities and characterization of hypertensive disorders relied on administrative data. OSHPD is a valuable source of information but sensitivity of administrative data and recording errors are a potential concern. A validation study of maternal conditions and obstetric conditions in California discharge data found diagnoses of preeclampsia to have a sensitivity and positive predictive value of about 80% but found diagnoses of hypertension to have a sensitivity of only about 60% and positive predictive value of 75%.¹⁶ However, underreporting of hypertension or preeclampsia is unlikely to be related to air pollution exposure and thus misclassification should be non-differential, resulting in attenuation of the estimates. Data on other potential confounders such as smoking and obesity were also not available or adequately collected and thus could not be adjusted for in this analysis.

This study adds some additional evidence to the hypothesis that exposure to higher levels of air pollution, especially particulate matter, is associated with preterm birth. There was some evidence of effect modification by maternal hypertensive status for exposure to PM₁₀ for early preterm birth, but overall, hypertension did not modify the relationship between pollution and preterm birth. The inverse associations observed, especially among women with a hypertensive disorder, warrant further research into the potential effects of air pollution on early fetal loss to fully elucidate the mechanism by which air pollution influences preterm birth.

Conflicts of interest statement

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with regard to the content of this report.

Supported by F32HD096754 to investigator K.A.W from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health & Human Development. This work was also supported by R21 ES014891, P20 ES018173, P01ES022849, and R00ES021470 from the National Institute of Environmental Health Science, and the March of Dimes Prematurity Research Center at Stanford University. This publication was made possible by US Environmental Protection Agency STAR Grant RD83459601 and RD83543501. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or the US EPA. Further, the US EPA does not endorse the purchase of any commercial products or services mentioned in the publication.

The data are publicly available from the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development (OSHPD). The data are not available for replication because specific approvals from OSHPD and the California Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects must be obtained in order to access them.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Bryan Penfold of Sonoma Technology, Inc. for traffic data processing and traffic density estimation.

References

1. Giorgini P, Di Giosia P, Grassi D, Rubenfire M, Brook RD, Ferri C. Air pollution exposure and blood pressure: an updated review of the literature. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2016;22:28–51.

2. Fuks KB, Weinmayr G, Basagaña X, et al. Long-term exposure to ambient air pollution and traffic noise and incident hypertension in seven cohorts of the European study of cohorts for air pollution effects (ESCAPE). *Eur Heart J.* 2017;38:983–990.
3. Pedersen M, Stayner L, Slama R, et al. Ambient air pollution and pregnancy-induced hypertensive disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hypertension.* 2014;64:494–500.
4. Brook RD, Urch B, Dvonch JT, et al. Insights into the mechanisms and mediators of the effects of air pollution exposure on blood pressure and vascular function in healthy humans. *Hypertension.* 2009;54:659–667.
5. Sun Q, Wang A, Jin X, et al. Long-term air pollution exposure and acceleration of atherosclerosis and vascular inflammation in an animal model. *JAMA.* 2005;294:3003–3010.
6. Sun Q, Yue P, Ying Z, et al. Air pollution exposure potentiates hypertension through reactive oxygen species-mediated activation of Rho/ROCK. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2008;28:1760–1766.
7. Stieb DM, Chen L, Eshoul M, Judek S. Ambient air pollution, birth weight and preterm birth: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Res.* 2012;117:100–111.
8. Padula AM, Mortimer KM, Tager IB, et al. Traffic-related air pollution and risk of preterm birth in the San Joaquin Valley of California. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2014;24:888–895e4.
9. Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, Romero R. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. *Lancet.* 2008;371:75–84.
10. Bateman BT, Bansil P, Hernandez-Diaz S, Mhyre JM, Callaghan WM, Kuklina EV. Prevalence, trends, and outcomes of chronic hypertension: a nationwide sample of delivery admissions. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;206:134.e1–134.e8.
11. Sibai BM, Caritis SN, Hauth JC, et al. Preterm delivery in women with pregestational diabetes mellitus or chronic hypertension relative to women with uncomplicated pregnancies. The national institute of child health and human development maternal- fetal medicine units network. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2000;183:1520–1524.
12. Premkumar A, Henry DE, Moghadassi M, Nakagawa S, Norton ME. The interaction between maternal race/ethnicity and chronic hypertension on preterm birth. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2016;215:787.e1–787.e8.
13. Ha S, Sundaram R, Buck Louis GM, et al. Ambient air pollution and the risk of pregnancy loss: a prospective cohort study. *Fertil Steril.* 2018;109:148–153.
14. Slama R, Bottagisi S, Solansky I, Lepeule J, Giorgis-Allemand L, Sram R. Short-term impact of atmospheric pollution on fecundability. *Epidemiology.* 2013;24:871–879.
15. Checa Vizcaíno MA, González-Comadran M, Jacquemin B. Outdoor air pollution and human infertility: a systematic review. *Fertil Steril.* 2016;106:897.e1–904.e1.
16. Yasmeen S, Romano PS, Schembri ME, Keyzer JM, Gilbert WM. Accuracy of obstetric diagnoses and procedures in hospital discharge data. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;194:992–1001.