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# ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION 

The Export of Carbon Mediated by Mesopelagic Fishes in the Northeast Pacific Ocean

by<br>Peter Charles Davison<br>Doctor of Philosophy in Oceanography<br>University of California, San Diego, 2011<br>Professor David M. Checkley, Jr., Chair

Mesopelagic fishes are under-studied in relation to their importance in pelagic ecosystems. Traditional sampling methods (trawls and acoustic surveys) are biased, yet synergistic, and it has become clear in recent decades with the increased use of acoustic methods that the biomass of mesopelagic fishes is larger than thought from net sampling. For this research, I investigated the importance of mesopelagic fishes to the biogeochemical flux of carbon in the northeast Pacific Ocean. Calculation of the fluxes mediated by mesopelagic fishes requires an estimate of their abundance, and to this end I developed a new technique using combined trawl and acoustic data. The interpretation of acoustic data requires knowledge regarding the acoustic properties of fishes, and thus I made basic measurements on over 70 species of mesopelagic fishes.

My results indicated that two of the major determinants of the acoustic reflectivity of a fish, body density and swimbladder inflation, vary both between and within species.

Mesopelagic fishes were less dense than epipelagic fishes, and those that did not have inflated swimbladders as adults had decreased body density with increased length.

Acoustic models of captured mesopelagic fishes were used with inverse modeling of multi-frequency acoustic data to estimate the capture efficiency of the Matsuda-Oozeki-Hu trawl (MOHT). Capture efficiency of the MOHT was estimated to be $14 \%$ for mesopelagic fishes.

Trawl data, corrected for efficiency of capture, were used to estimate mesopelagic fish biomass at 77 stations in the northeast Pacific Ocean. The export of carbon out of the epipelagic ocean mediated by those fishes ("fish export") was estimated using individual-based metabolic modeling and extrapolated over the study area. Fish export was estimated to be $17 \%$ of total carbon export, estimated from satellite data, in the study area. Fish export varied spatially in both magnitude and relative importance. Although overall fish export increased with total export, its fraction of the total export decreased. Fish export exceeded $40 \%$ of the total carbon export in the oligotrophic North Pacific Subtropical Gyre. Because subtropical gyres comprise over half of the surface area of the global ocean, mesopelagic fishes are of global biogeochemical importance.

## Chapter 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Background

Mesopelagic fishes are ubiquitous in the open ocean, and they are found in all ocean basins (Gjosaeter and Kawaguchi, 1980). They are dominated numerically by fishes from the families Myctophidae and Gonostomatidae, although other families are of regional importance (Gjosaeter and Kawaguchi, 1980). Myctophids, Bathylagids, Gonostomatids, Phosichthids, and Sternoptychids are chiefly zooplanktivorous, whereas the Stomiids are predominantly piscivorous (Borodulina, 1972; Clarke, 1982). Most of these fishes are $<10 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length and, with some invertebrates, they belong to a class of moderately-sized and weakly-swimming animals referred to as micronekton.
"Mesopelagic," or "midwater," refers to the depths at which these fishes live. It is the ocean twilight zone located between the well-lit epipelagic euphotic zone, that can support phytoplankton, and the completely dark bathypelagic zone, in which sunlight is totally absent. The mesopelagic is conventionally defined as the depth range of 200-1000 m (Gjosaeter and Kawaguchi, 1980). It is the depth range of the permanent thermocline, in which temperature decreases from $\sim 10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 200 m to $\sim 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 1000 m depth (northeast Pacific Ocean). Although sunlight is detectable in the mesopelagic, it is nonetheless very dark there. The animals that inhabit this depth range exhibit a wide variety of adaptations to the low-light environment including enlarged telescopic eyes and the ability to generate their own light (bioluminescence). The release from intense visual predation has lessened the selective pressure for fast swimming (Childress et al., 1980), which has allowed flexibility in body form and composition in comparison to open-ocean epipelagic
fishes. The exotic morphological forms of mesopelagic fishes have inspired colorful common names such as "lanternfishes" (Myctophidae), "hatchetfishes" (Sternoptychidae), "bristlemouths" (Gonostomatidae), and "dragonfishes" (Stomiidae).

The mesopelagic zone is food-poor. Almost all food (carbon) is ultimately derived from autotrophs in the epipelagic zone, and the concentration of both animal biomass and sedimentary carbon decreases exponentially with depth (Angel and Baker, 1982; Martin et al., 1987; Robinson et al., 2010). As a behavioral response to the collocation of food and predation risk, many of these fishes ascend to the surface at night to feed and return to depth before dawn to avoid visual predators (Longhurst, 1976). This daily movement is referred to as diel vertical migration (DVM) and this behavior is common in a wide array of deep-living taxa. As a strategy for avoiding predation, DVM appears to "work." The biomass of mesopelagic fishes is several times that of epipelagic fishes, and the epipelagic and mesopelagic food chains appear to be largely separate (Clarke, 1973; Mann, 1984; Sutton and Hopkins, 1996). Diel horizontal migration has been observed near the Hawaiian coast, presumably in response to a horizontal collocation of food and visual predation risk (Benoit-Bird and Au, 2006; Benoit-Bird et al., 2001). The daily movements of these animals have often been studied acoustically, and they have been described in those terms. Mesopelagic fishes inhabit and, with other midwater taxa, form the acoustic deep scattering layer (DSL). The DSL is a general term for what are usually several acoustic layers of animals present at daytime depths between 75 and 1000 m . These layers can be observed to ascend to the surface at dusk and to descend to depth again before dawn, often in complex patterns (Figure 1.1). The animals in the DSL do not appear to form large single-species aggregations in the northeast

Pacific Ocean, and trawls often capture several dozen different species of fishes and large invertebrates.


Figure 1.1. Diel vertical migration of the deep scattering layer as observed with an acoustic doppler current profiler ( $48 \mathrm{kHz}, \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{V}$ "Roger Revelle", Equatorial Pacific, September 2005). Red is greater and blue lesser intensity. Periods of darkness (black) and daylight (white) are shown in the top bar.

Abundance (ind. $\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ ) and biomass $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-2}\right)$ are fundamental properties of a population required by ecologists for many purposes. The two most common methods used to estimate the abundance of mesopelagic animals are trawling and acoustic surveys. Both of these methods are biased, yet they are complementary. Trawling suffers from net avoidance, poor depth and horizontal resolution, and high effort, but offers taxonomic certainty of the catch and the ability to sample to any depth. Acoustic data have no avoidance bias, fine horizontal and vertical resolution, and can be collected underway with little effort, but suffer from crude taxonomic resolution, blind zones, and limited
sampling depth at high frequencies. Acoustic estimates of abundance are higher than those made from trawls (Kloser et al., 2009; Lawson et al., 2008). Nets are known to undersample abundance, often by approximately an order of magnitude, due to escapement and avoidance by animals (summarized in Chapter 3). Escapement refers to the loss of captured animals through the net mesh, and avoidance results from the ability of animals to swim out of the path of the net. These biases are avoided with acoustic sampling, however the mixing of species in an ensonified water volume makes the interpretation of acoustic data difficult. Multi-frequency acoustic data allow separation of animals with different acoustic properties (such as size or the presence of a gas inclusion) using mathematical inverse methods (Greenlaw, 1979; Holliday and Pieper, 1995), but the categorization is still crude in comparison to taxonomic data from a trawl. The best estimates of mesopelagic fish abundance are made with both nets and trawls, using one method to inform the other.

Enough estimates of biomass have been made, over a wide enough area, to attempt a world-wide synthesis (Gjosaeter and Kawaguchi, 1980), although many regions remain poorly-studied. Gjosaeter and Kawaguchi (1980) estimate the world-wide biomass of mesopelagic fishes to be approximately one billion tons ( $1 \mathrm{Pg} ; \sim 3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ ).

Since this estimate was largely based upon trawl data, it is likely to be underestimated by a factor of 5-10. For comparison, the world-wide catch by fishermen is less than 0.09 billion tons (including invertebrates; Jennings et al., 2001). This is an astounding quantity of mesopelagic fishes, and anything that they collectively do is likely to be important.

Two such collective activities are the ingestion and release of carbon. In conjunction with the behavior of DVM, mesopelagic fishes have been hypothesized to actively transport material from the epipelagic to the mesopelagic in the forms of excretion, respiration, and mortality (Angel, 1984; Childress and Thuesen, 1992). This active transport of material from the epipelagic to below 150 m depth is thought to completely bypass sediment traps placed at the base of the euphotic zone and other common methods for measuring the flux of material out of the epipelagic (Angel, 1984; Childress and Thuesen, 1992; Fowler and Knauer, 1986). To date, the contribution of mesopelagic fishes to the export of material from the epipelagic has only been studied at a few point locations (Hidaka et al., 2001; Williams et al., 2001).

### 1.2. Outline of the dissertation

The chapters in this dissertation are arranged in a logical order towards testing my ultimate hypothesis that the export of carbon from the epipelagic mediated by mesopelagic fishes forms a significant portion of the total carbon exported from the epipelagic in the northeast Pacific Ocean. I collected trawl and acoustic data on six research cruises (2008-2010) that spatially covered the entire California Current (U.S.A.). I also sampled the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre (NPSG) on one of those cruises at approximately $33^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 140^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. My study area is bounded at latitudes $30^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 48^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, longitude $141^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, and by the 200 m isobath of the U.S.A. western coast ( $\sim 3.3$ million $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ).

Mesopelagic fishes are known to differ in acoustic reflectivity both within and between species (Yasuma et al., 2003; Yasuma et al., 2010). Two major properties
governing the acoustic target strength (TS) of a fish are the body density and presence of an inflated swimbladder. In Chapter 2, I present data on the ontogenetic changes in body density and swimbladder inflation for over 70 species of mesopelagic fishes from the northeast Pacific Ocean. Those species that have functional swimbladders when large maintain constant body density with increasing body size. Species without functional swimbladders as adults have decreasing body density with increasing body size. I developed acoustic models of mesopelagic fishes, and explored the effect of the observed variation in acoustic properties on $T S$.

Nets are known to undersample mesopelagic micronekton due to the processes of avoidance and escapement (summarized in Chapter 3). We collected concurrent fourfrequency acoustic data and midwater trawls at 16 stations off of Point Conception in the California Current Ecosystem (CCE), created acoustic models for each animal captured by the trawl, and then assigned animals to three acoustic groups based upon the shape of the frequency response of their $T S$. The mean group spectra were used with non-negative least squares inverse methods to estimate the acoustic abundance of each group. I estimated the mean capture efficiency of the trawl to be $14 \%$ for mesopelagic fishes with inflated swimbladders, and assume that is also representative of the fishes without inflated swimbladders.

In Chapter 4, I estimated the export of carbon out of the epipelagic ocean mediated by mesopelagic fishes ("fish export") with individual-based metabolic modeling of the catch (corrected for capture efficiency) from 77 mesopelagic trawls distributed over the study area. Fish biomass is significantly related to annual net primary productivity estimated from satellite data. I then compared the estimated fish export to
total carbon export estimated from satellite data. I found fish export to vary spatially in both magnitude and relative importance. Although overall fish export increased with total export, its fraction of the total export decreased. Fish export exceeded $40 \%$ of the total carbon export in the oligotrophic NPSG, but forms < $10 \%$ of the total export in the most productive waters of the CCE. Because subtropical gyres comprise over half of the surface area of the global ocean, I conclude that the importance of mesopelagic fishes to global biogeochemistry is greater than previously thought.
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Knowledge of the species present, their morphology, and their size distribution is required to infer biomass from acoustic surveys of fish. The gas content and specific gravity of the body (with gas removed), $\rho_{f}$, was measured for 71 species of mesopelagic fish in the NE Pacific Ocean. Those species that have functional swimbladders when large maintain constant $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ with increasing body size. Species without functional swimbladders as adults show decreased $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ with increasing body size. The acoustic-backscattering cross-section, $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$, was modelled for all individuals collected from three fish species that differed in the presence of a gas-filled swimbladder. The change in $\sigma_{\text {bs }}$ with increasing body size was markedly different between the three. The low body density of those mesopelagic fish without gas-filled swimbladders greatly reduces their $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$. In species of fish that possess a functional swimbladder as juveniles and in which the swimbladder regresses with growth, the $\sigma_{\text {bs }}$ first decreases, then increases with increased body size. Knowledge of the ontogenetic changes in swimbladder inflation and body density in mesopelagic fish is critical for the construction of the backscattering models used to interpret acoustic surveys.
Keywords: acoustic backscatter, buoyancy, density, mesopelagic fish, swimbladder.

## Introduction

Mesopelagic fish are ubiquitous in the world's oceans and are the most abundant vertebrates on earth (Mann, 1984), with an estimated biomass of a billion tonnes (Gjosæter and Kawaguchi, 1980). They are a major component of the acoustic deep-scattering layer (Hersey et al., 1962; Mann, 1984). The sheer numbers of mesopelagic fish make them ecologically important predators and prey, occupying mid-trophic levels (Mann, 1984; Beamish et al., 1999). Mesopelagic fish inhabit depths between 200 and 1000 m by day, and many migrate vertically to the euphotic zone at night to feed (Gjosæter and Kawaguchi, 1980). In mesopelagic fish, diel vertical migration (DVM) is a common behaviour that develops in response to the collocation of food supply and visual predation pressure near the sea surface (Marshall, 1960; Robison, 2003). Their daily vertical movement is often several hundred metres (Pearcy et al., 1977; Karnella, 1987), and it is physiologically difficult for any fish to maintain a gas-filled swimbladder over the pressure changes associated with DVM (Marshall, 1960; D'Aoust, 1971; Alexander, 1972). As the presence and size of a gas inclusion affects the acoustic properties of a fish, the means by which mesopelagic fish maintain buoyancy over their full vertical range are of consequence to fisheries acousticians and acoustic oceanographers.

Acoustic surveys are commonly used to estimate the abundance of fish (Simmonds and MacLennan, 2005). Estimates so derived are critically dependent on the assumed or measured targetstrength (TS) distribution of the surveyed population or community (Simmonds and MacLennan, 2005). The TS ( dB re $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) is the logarithmic form of the acoustic-backscattering cross-section
$\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}, \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$, and the two variables are related by the equation $T S=10 \log _{10}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}\right)$ (Simmonds and MacLennan, 2005). Models of the form $T S=m \log _{10}\left(L_{S}\right)+b$ are often used to describe the expected backscatter from a fish, where $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ is the standard length of a fish, and $m$ and $b$ are species-specific constants (Simmonds and MacLennan, 2005). The appropriate TS selection is complicated by the diversity of species present, the size distribution of animals, and the orientation of the fish relative to the transducer (Simmonds and MacLennan, 2005). In particular, the presence or the absence of gas in the swimbladder is important, because the gas inclusion is responsible for some $90-95 \%$ of the $\sigma_{\text {bs }}$ of a fish (Foote, 1980). Partitioning of measured acoustic backscattering within and between the species that constitute the deep scattering layer requires the use of nets to assess the species present and their size distribution for comparison with acoustic data collected simultaneously (McClatchie et al., 2000; Simmonds and MacLennan, 2005). This remains one of the most common methods of interpreting acoustic data from mixed aggregations such as the deep scattering layer, although the nets used to sample and quantify the targeted assemblage are subject to significant escapement and avoidance biases (Koslow et al., 1997; McClatchie et al., 2000).

Mesopelagic fish may have an extreme departure from lengthbased TS models because of ontogenetic changes in swimbladder morphology and body density. They reduce visual predation risk through occupation of a low-light environment (Mann, 1984). The release from visual predation allows them to optimize buoyancy and metabolic costs through the reduction in dense muscle tissue (Childress et al., 1980). Many fish species accumulate
low-density fluids or lipids as they grow, decreasing their overall body density (Butler and Pearcy, 1972). Other mesopelagic species have negatively buoyant gelatinous tissue (Yancey et al., 1989). The reduction in body density decreases the density contrast with surrounding seawater and hence decreases the acoustic reflectivity of the fish. Some species that have gas in their swimbladder as juveniles do not possess it as adults, and other species vary individually in the presence of a gas-filled swimbladder (Butler and Pearcy, 1972; Neighbors, 1992; Yasuma et al., 2010). Some fish may allow the volume of gas to change over the course of DVM in accordance with Boyle's law, whereas others may maintain a constant gas volume (Hersey et al., 1962; Kalish et al., 1986). Knowledge of variations in body density and gas content within and between species is required before the construction of accurate acoustic models is possible.

As a consequence of the lack of data on the body density of midwater fish, assumptions need to be made to construct acoustic models. The typical body density of an epipelagic fish is $1.076 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ (Taylor, 1921). Several investigations of the buoyancy of mesopelagic fish have found body density to be considerably lower than that of epipelagic fish (Capen, 1967; Butler and Pearcy, 1972; Johnson, 1979; Neighbors and Nafpaktitis, 1982; Yasuma et al., 2006). Unfortunately, just a few species have been subject to such study from the speciose mesopelagic community.

To address some of the issues listed above, this study looked at the specific gravity and swimbladder inflation of some of the species making up the mesopelagic fish communities of two biogeographic provinces in the North Pacific (the California Current and the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre). Three hypotheses were tested: first, that mesopelagic fish have a lower $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ than epipelagic fish; second, that fish with functional swimbladders exhibit a constant $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ with growth; and third, that the $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ of fish species without functional swimbladders decreases with increased size. The implications of these results for the acoustic backscattering from mesopelagic fish were then investigated.

## Material and methods

Mesopelagic fish were collected in 2009 and 2010 on three cruises of the RV "New Horizon" and one cruise of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) FSV "Bell Shimada" in the North Pacific (Supplementary Figure S1). All four cruises sampled the California Current off southern California, and one cruise sampled the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre. Fish were captured using midwater trawls, bongo nets, manta nets, and dipnets. Midwater trawls were the main collection method, and 31 were made (Supplementary Table S1, Figure S1). The other nets were used in conjunction with them. Fish were separated from zooplankton within an hour of capture. A subset of the fish catch was then set aside in an ice bath for analysis, which took place within 8 h of capture.

## Laboratory measurements

The specific gravity (density relative to freshwater, dimensionless) of fish, $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$, was measured by immersion in dense fluids after removal of any gas from the swimbladder. Seawater and glycerine solutions were prepared in 0.0025 increments of specific gravity over the range $1.025-1.090$, although most measurements were only made to a precision of 0.005 . The specific gravity of each solution was measured periodically with a hydrometer to 0.0005 precision and accuracy, and adjusted when necessary. Variation in specific gravity from dilution or evaporation was never more
than $\pm 0.001$. The fish selected for analysis were immersed in room temperature seawater for at least 5 min to equilibrate the temperatures of the fish and glycerine solutions. Room temperature varied between 17 and $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over all four cruises. The seawater density change resulting from a $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ room temperature range is $<0.002 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ (Pilson, 1998). The effect of temperature on the density of fish tissue is unknown, but was presumed to be similar to that of seawater. Measurements of $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ were neither corrected for temperature variation nor converted to density.

The $L_{S}$ of each fish was measured to the nearest millimetre. Fish were dissected in seawater under a dissecting microscope to remove any gas from the swimbladder before measurement of $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$. The diameter of gas bubbles released from the body cavity during dissection was measured with the ocular micrometer of the microscope. If the swimbladder was not ruptured, the lengths and widths of visible gas bubbles were measured before puncturing the swimbladder. Gas volume was then calculated using the formula for a prolate spheroid: $V=(4 / 3) \pi a b^{2}$, where $a$ and $b$ are the major and the minor axis radii, respectively (Capen, 1967). In cases where the gas was released too quickly for measurement, it was simply recorded as present. Volume was then transformed to an equivalent spherical radius (ESR). Transparent fish with no visible gas bubble and opaque fish from taxa with no functional swimbladder at the family level (Stomiidae, Bathylagidae, Alepocephalidae, Platytroctidae, and Notosudidae) were not dissected. Fish with visible damage to the body wall that could have resulted from the escape of gas were recorded as ruptured. Once gas was released from the body cavity, the fish were placed progressively in graduated cylinders containing solutions of different specific gravity to find the highest specific gravity of sinking and the lowest specific gravity of floating. These were recorded as the same if the fish was neutrally buoyant in a cylinder. $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ was calculated as the mean of the two measurements. Care was taken to exclude all gas bubbles from the interior and exterior of the fish. After $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ measurement, each fish was blotted, then frozen in preweighed plastic bags. Ashore, the bags were weighed and the wet weight of the fish determined by subtracting the weight of the empty bag.

## Data analysis

All fish species with a sample size, $n$, of three or more were included in the data analysis. A decrease in $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ with increasing $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ was tested for each species using Kendall's coefficient of rank correlation $(\tau)$, with a one-way significance level $(p)$ of 0.05 . The parameter $\tau$ is not reported for species with three individuals, because the test cannot be significant ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) at that $n$. Wet weight ( $W_{\mathrm{W}}$ ) and $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ were then standardized within each species to $W_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime}$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}$ using the following equations to allow grouping of data from species of differing size and specific gravity:

$$
\begin{gather*}
W_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime}=\left[W_{\mathrm{W}}-W_{\mathrm{W}}(\min )\right] \times\left[W_{\mathrm{W}}(\max )-W_{\mathrm{W}}(\min )\right]^{-1}  \tag{1}\\
\rho_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}=\rho_{\mathrm{f}}-\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{f}} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

$W_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime}$ is the wet weight standardized to a range $0-1 . W_{\mathrm{W}}(\min )$ and $W_{\mathrm{W}}(\max )$ are, respectively, the wet weights of the smallest and largest fish within a species. The standardized specific gravity $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}$ is simply the difference from the species mean specific gravity $\left(\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$. Species were assigned to groups based on the presence of gas in the swimbladders of small and large fish. Large fish are defined for this purpose as those with a $W_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime} \geq 0.5$, and small fish as those with $W_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime}<0.5$. Group I species had at least
some small and large individuals with inflated swimbladders. The swimbladders of Group II species contained gas in at least some small fish, but not in large ones. Group III species never had inflated swimbladders. Changes in $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ with $W_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime}$ were tested statistically at the group level using Spearman's rank order correlation $\left(r_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$. The maximum $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ for each species (Table 1) was taken from Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO) Marine Vertebrate Collection (MVC) records to assess whether or not the sampled fish were representative of the species size range.

## Acoustic modelling

The $38-\mathrm{kHz} \quad \sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ was estimated for three species of fish (Ceratoscopelus warmingii, Stenobrachius leucopsarus, and Idiacanthus antrostomus from Groups I, II, and III, respectively) for which a large $n$ and broad $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ range were obtained. $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ as a function of $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ is assumed to vary similarly within each swimbladder inflation group. Fish bodies were modelled acoustically as a fluid-filled cylinder of the same length, volume, and density of the measured fish, following Stanton (1988). The $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ of the fish was used in place of density, because the magnitudes differ by just $0.001 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ for seawater at the reference temperature of the hydrometer ( $15.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Pilson, 1998). The gas from the swimbladder, when present, was modelled as a gas sphere of radius ESR suspended in seawater (Anderson, 1950; Medwin and Clay, 1997). The $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ of the modelled body and gas were added to form the overall $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$. Assumed model parameters included: density of seawater, $\rho_{\mathrm{w}}=1.027 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$; speed of sound in seawater, $c=1490 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; ratio of sound speed in the fish to that in seawater, $h=1.020$ (Yasuma et al., 2006); angle of scatter $180^{\circ}$ (transmitter and receiver collocated); and tilt-angle $0^{\circ}$ (dorsal incidence). The gas inside the swimbladder was assumed to be an ideal gas (air) with a temperature of $10.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, pressure $P=1.05 \mathrm{~atm}$, ratio of specific heats $\gamma=1.4$, and a speed of sound, $c$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\left(\gamma P \rho_{\mathrm{w}}^{-1}\right)^{0.5} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Body density and the sound-speed ratio, $h$, were varied across reasonable values to determine their effect on modelled $\sigma_{\mathrm{b} \text { s }}$. The body density range was taken from the measured $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ values in this study, and $h$ was varied between 1.01 and 1.05 (Yasuma et al., 2006).

## Neutral buoyancy

The gas volume required for neutral buoyancy, $V_{\mathrm{G}}$, was calculated for each fish from the species C. warmingii (Group I) and S. leucopsarus (Group II) with the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{G}}=W_{\mathrm{W}}\left(\rho_{\mathrm{w}}^{-1}-\rho_{\mathrm{f}}^{-1}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\rho_{\mathrm{w}}$ was assumed to be $1.027 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$, and the weight of gas in the swimbladder was assumed to be negligible.

## Results

## Measurements and group assignments

In all, 71 species from 16 families were represented by three or more individuals (Supplementary Table S2). Family Myctophidae was by far the most speciose, being represented here by 28 species. Three epipelagic fish were incidentally captured from two species, Seriola lalandi and Cololabis saira. These fish
( $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}=1.078,1.078$, and 1.088; Supplementary Table S3) were not included in the analysis, except in comparison with Group I.

Group assignment, $n, L_{\mathrm{S}}$ range, maximum $L_{\mathrm{S}}$, vertical migration behaviour, $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$, and $\tau$ for decreasing $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ with increasing $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ are summarized in Table 1 for each of the species analysed. Measurements of $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ and the gas volume for all fish are listed in Supplementary Table S3. Fish belonging to each of the three groups were collected from both biogeographic provinces (Supplementary Table S2). Biogeographic province may be related to group assignment, although the relationship is not significant (Chi-squared test of contingency table, d.f. $=2$, $p=0.07$ ). Diel vertical migrators and non-migrators are found in each of the three groups. DVM is not significantly related to group assignment (Chi-squared test of contingency table, d.f. $=2, p=0.46$ ). No full-sized specimens were captured from several species, as determined by comparison with the maximum $L_{S}$ of fish in the SIO MVC. These species were allocated to group as described herewith. Species from the Stomiidae, Paralepididae, Alepocephalidae, Notosudidae, Platytroctidae, and Bathylagidae were assigned to Group III, because fish from those families do not have functional swimbladders as adults (Marshall, 1960). Argyropelecus lychnus was assigned to Group I because of the presence of a gas-filled swimbladder at the family level (Marshall, 1960). Notoscopelus resplendens, Lampadena urophaos, Electrona risso, Chilara taylori, and Microstoma microstoma were placed in Group I based on literature reports of the presence of gas in the swimbladders of adults (references in Supplementary Table S4). Diplospinus multistriatus and Lampanyctus tenuiformis were placed in Group I based on the presence of large, thin-walled swimbladders found in dissected specimens from the SIO MVC. No large Poromitra crassiceps were captured. This species was assigned to Group II based on published data indicating that gas is not used for buoyancy (references in Supplementary Table S4).

The specific gravities of species (means of large fish, $\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{f}, 1}$ ) from each group were compared. Groups II and III did not have significantly different mean $\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{f}, 1}$ (Mann-Whitney rank-sum test, $p=0.094$ ), so were combined for comparison of mean $\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{f}, 1}$ to Group I (Table 2). Group I has significantly higher mean $\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{f}, 1}$ ( $t$-test, 69 d.f., $p<0.001$ ) than the combined Groups II and III. Group I has significantly lower mean $\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{f}, 1}$ (Mann-Whitney rank-sum test, $p=0.027$ ) than the mean $\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{f}, 1}$ of epipelagic fish.

Group I fish do not change in $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ with increasing $W_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime}$ (Figure la; $r_{\mathrm{s}}=-0.044, n=459, p=0.347$ ). Group II fish decrease in $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ with increasing $W_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime}$ (Figure 1b; $r_{\mathrm{s}}=-0.747, n=305, p<0.001$ ), as do Group III fish (Figure 1c; $r_{\mathrm{s}}=-0.403, n=213, p<0.001$ ).

Group I was the most speciose category ( 40 species; Table 1) followed by Groups III and II ( 20 and 11 species, respectively; Table 1). Of the 33 species in Group I with $n>3,30$ species exhibited no significant relationship between $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $L_{\mathrm{S}}$. In Group II, 9 of the 11 species had a significant decline in $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$. The results from Group III were less clear, with just five of 18 species (with $n>3$ ) exhibiting a significant decline in $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$. However, the declining species included all of those with $n>12$, and those species with no significant decline included eight for which no large individuals were captured.

## Acoustic modelling

The fluid cylinder model was used to estimate $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ for an elongate dragonfish from Group III, I. antrostomus. The frequency response of $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ was modelled for both the body density of a typical epipelagic fish $\left(1.076 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}\right)$ and the measured $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}(1.034 \approx$ $1.034 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ ) for this fish. The $38-\mathrm{kHz} \sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ of this fish differs by
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Table 1. Assignment of species to groups based on the presence or the absence of gas in their swimbladders.

| Species | DVM ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $n$ | $L_{\text {S }}$ range ( mm ) | Max. $L_{\text {S }}$ (mm) | $\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{s}}$ | $\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{l}}$ | $\tau$-value | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tarletonbeania crenularis | $y$ | 20 | 22-57 | 78 | 1.080 | 1.085 | 0.27 | 0.939 |
| Hygophum reinhardtii | y | 4 | 19-43 | 64 | 1.066 | 1.076 | 0.66 | 0.958 |
| Notoscopelus resplendens | $y$ | 5 | $27-42^{\text {b }}$ | 98 | 1.073 | 1.076 | 0.84 | 1.000 |
| Diplospinus multistriatus | $y$ | 6 | $18-55^{\text {b }}$ | 225 | 1.073 | 1.074 | 0.00 | 0.572 |
| Diogenichthys atlanticus | y | 25 | 16-23 | 30 | 1.064 | 1.073 | 0.55 | 1.000 |
| Myctophum nitidulum | $y$ | 17 | 18-78 | 105 | 1.074 | 1.073 | -0.16 | 0.215 |
| Electrona risso | $y$ | 3 | $11-32^{\text {b }}$ | 81 | 1.071 | 1.073 | 0.82 | - |
| Hygophum proximum | y | 5 | 16-32 | 61 | 1.068 | 1.073 | 0.44 | 0.900 |
| Protomyctophum crockeri | n | 26 | 15-37 | 55 | 1.070 | 1.073 | 0.21 | 0.920 |
| Nannobrachium fernae | y | 5 | 32-63 | 81 | 1.069 | 1.073 | $-0.20$ | 0.408 |
| Lampadena urophaos | y | 12 | $19-26^{\text {b }}$ | 115 | 1.060 | 1.073 | 0.34 | 0.925 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Bolinichthys longipes | $y$ | 4 | $38-42^{\text {d }}$ | 59 | 1.071 | 1.070 | -0.40 | $0.333^{\text {c }}$ |
| Diaphus anderseni | $y$ | 13 | 25-30 | 55 | 1.070 | 1.070 | 0.20 | $0.819^{\text {c }}$ |
| Ceratoscopelus warmingii | y | 18 | 19-48 | 81 | 1.064 | 1.070 | 0.51 | 0.997 |
| Vinciguerria nimbaria | $y$ | 9 | 17-32 | 53 | 1.067 | 1.069 | -0.21 | 0.269 |
| Vinciguerria poweriae | $y$ | 15 | 18-38 | 37 | 1.069 | 1.069 | 0.04 | 0.601 |
| Diaphus fulgens | $y$ | 7 | $36-52^{\text {d }}$ | 58 | 1.073 | 1.068 | -0.69 | 0.029 |
| Lampanyctus tenuiformis | $y$ | 13 | $28-43^{\text {b }}$ | 153 | 1.067 | 1.067 | 0.13 | 0.737 |
| Microstoma microstoma | y | 6 | $20-55^{\text {b }}$ | $210^{\text {e }}$ | 1.067 | 1.067 | 0.17 | 0.700 |
| Danaphos oculatus | n | 23 | 22-41 | 46 | 1.062 | 1.066 | 0.49 | 0.999 |
| Symbolophorus californiensis | $y$ | 20 | 25-88 | 116 | 1.072 | 1.064 | -0.26 | 0.071 |
| Chilara taylori | n | 3 | 35-55 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 366 | 1.060 | 1.064 | 0.00 | - |
| Taaningichthys bathyphilus | n | 3 | $42-66^{\text {d }}$ | 85 | 1.060 | 1.063 | 0.82 | - |
| Argyropelecus lychnus | n | 3 | $13-37^{\text {b }}$ | 78 | 1.068 | 1.063 | -0.82 | - |
| Argyropelecus sladeni | n | 26 | 12-41 | 60 | 1.062 | 1.062 | 0.09 | 0.727 |
| Argyropelecus hemigymnus | n | 17 | 15-30 | 38 | 1.064 | 1.062 | -0.25 | 0.105 |
| Argyropelecus affinis | n | 17 | 14-76 | 88 | 1.055 | 1.059 | 0.04 | 0.604 |
| Bathysphyraenops simplex | y | 7 | 17-47 | $80^{\text {e }}$ | 1.075 | 1.058 | -0.13 | $0.429^{\text {c }}$ |
| Cyclothone pseudopallida | n | 12 | 24-43 | 49 | 1.055 | 1.056 | -0.08 | 0.387 |
| Melamphaes simus | $y$ | 5 | 15-29 | 29 | 1.069 | 1.055 | -0.89 | 0.033 |
| Sternoptyx obscura | n | 7 | 12-41 | 48 | 1.054 | 1.053 | 0.28 | 0.810 |
| Sternoptyx diaphana | n | 6 | 14-35 | 60 | 1.053 | 1.053 | 0.00 | 0.572 |
| Cyclothone signata | n | 36 | 15-35 | 39 | 1.058 | 1.053 | -0.21 | 0.051 |
| Sternoptyx pseudobscura | n | 8 | 17-44 | 61 | 1.052 | 1.049 | 0.12 | 0.696 |
| Diaphus theta | y | 32 | 14-73 | 86 | 1.059 | 1.049 | -0.38 | 0.002 |
| Triphoturus nigrescens | $y$ | 4 | $32-41^{\text {d }}$ | 45 | 1.064 | 1.043 | -0.91 | $0.083^{\text {c }}$ |
| Scopeloberyx opisthopterus | n | 3 | 22-33 | 39 | 1.053 | 1.041 | -1.00 | - |
| Notolychnus valdiviae | y | 8 | 13-25 | 29 | 1.049 | 1.040 | -0.22 | $0.287^{\text {c }}$ |
| Melamphaes suborbitalis | n | 3 | 24-68 | 119 | 1.069 | 1.040 | -0.33 | - |
| Ichthyococcus irregularis | n | 3 | 24-36 | 63 | 1.048 | 1.038 | $-1.00$ | - |
| Group II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Melamphaes parvus | y | 4 | 21-45 | 54 | 1.071 | 1.055 | $-0.33$ | $0.375^{\text {c }}$ |
| Cyclothone atraria | n | 10 | 21-47 | 70 | 1.051 | 1.048 | -0.33 | 0.117 |
| Nannobrachium hawaiiensis | $y$ | 22 | 24-92 | 111 | 1.052 | 1.046 | -0.35 | 0.017 |
| Ceratoscopelus townsendi | $y$ | 43 | 21-60 | 77 | 1.063 | 1.045 | -0.49 | $<0.001$ |
| Scopelogadus mizolepis | $y$ | 19 | 25-83 | 97 | 1.052 | 1.044 | -0.72 | <0.001 |
| Poromitra crassiceps | n | 14 | $20-60^{\text {b }}$ | 204 | 1.051 | 1.044 | -0.54 | 0.006 |
| Nannobrachium ritteri | $y$ | 56 | 19-94 | 124 | 1.044 | 1.032 | -0.80 | $<0.001$ |
| Triphoturus mexicanus | $y$ | 52 | 17-68 | 75 | 1.040 | 1.031 | -0.75 | <0.001 |
| Stenobrachius leucopsarus | $y$ | 57 | 20-83 | 105 | 1.035 | 1.029 | -0.74 | <0.001 |
| Nannobrachium regale | n | 19 | 23-134 | 171 | 1.053 | 1.029 | -0.59 | $<0.001$ |
| Melamphaes lugubris | $y$ | 9 | 22-79 | 98 | 1.060 | 1.029 | -0.59 | 0.019 |
| Group III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scopelarchus stephensi | $y$ | 3 | 25-55 | 62 | 1.076 | 1.080 | 0.33 | - |
| Scopelosaurus harryi | $y$ | 5 | 43-52 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 266 | 1.063 | 1.060 | -0.24 | $0.333^{\text {c }}$ |
| Arctozenus risso | n | 6 | $35-124^{\text {b }}$ | 255 | 1.068 | 1.055 | -0.97 | 0.003 |
| Chauliodus macouni | n | 6 | 30-122 | 236 | 1.050 | 1.050 | -0.21 | 0.356 |
| Aristostomias xenostoma | n | 5 | $33-41^{\text {b }}$ | 108 | 1.049 | 1.050 | 0.17 | $0.800^{\text {c }}$ |
| Leuroglossus stilbius | y | 6 | 25-29 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 130 | 1.043 | 1.049 | 0.08 | 0. $600^{\text {c }}$ |
| Photonectes parvimanus | $y$ | 6 | $30-67^{\text {b }}$ | $261{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 1.046 | 1.048 | 0.67 | $0.983^{\text {c }}$ |
| Holtbyrnia latifrons | n | 6 | $20-52^{\text {b }}$ | 200 | 1.051 | 1.048 | -0.26 | $0.350^{\text {c }}$ |

Table 1. Continued

| Species | DVM ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | n | $L_{\text {s }}$ range ( mm ) | Max. $L_{\text {s }}$ (mm) | $\bar{\rho}_{f, s}$ | $\bar{\rho}_{\text {f, }}$ | $\tau$-value | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sagamichthys abei | n | 5 | $27-67^{\text {b }}$ | 239 | 1.050 | 1.048 | -0.27 | $0.400^{\text {c }}$ |
| Alepocephalus tenebrosus | n | 4 | $27-50^{\text {b }}$ | 448 | 1.045 | 1.046 | 0.18 | $0.750^{\text {c }}$ |
| Bathophilus flemingi | y | 6 | $34-46^{\text {b }}$ | 140 | 1.048 | 1.046 | 0.57 | $0.933^{\text {c }}$ |
| Cyclothone acclinidens | n | 44 | 25-61 | 67 | 1.051 | 1.043 | -0.62 | <0.001 |
| Cyclothone pallida | n | 6 | 30-68 | 74 | 1.046 | 1.043 | 0.30 | $0.833^{\text {c }}$ |
| Bathylagoides wesethi | y | 55 | 24-76 | 104 | 1.048 | 1.041 | -0.41 | $<0.001$ |
| Tactostoma macropus | y | 4 | 76-254 | 344 | 1.042 | 1.039 | -0.55 | $0.250^{\text {c }}$ |
| Stomias atriventer | n | 4 | $139-187^{\text {d }}$ | 243 | 1.041 | 1.038 | -0.55 | $0.250^{\text {c }}$ |
| Parvilux ingens | n | 6 | $80-160^{\text {d }}$ | 204 | 1.044 | 1.036 | -0.69 | 0.042 |
| Idiacanthus antrostomus | $y$ | 21 | 58-385 | 372 | 1.049 | 1.036 | -0.68 | <0.001 |
| Lobianchia gemellarii | $y$ | 3 | $55-65^{\text {d }}$ | 77 | 1.043 | 1.035 | - 1.00 | - |
| Lipolagus ochotensis | $y$ | 12 | 25-110 | 119 | 1.047 | 1.034 | -0.29 | $0.123^{\text {c }}$ |

Group I species have at least some small and large individuals with inflated swimbladders. Group II species contain gas in at least some small fish, but not in large individuals. Group III fish never contain gas. Maximum standard length, $L_{\mathrm{s}}$, is taken from the SIO MVC. $\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{s}}$ and $\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{I}}$ are the mean specific gravities of fish with normalized wet weight, $W_{W}^{\prime},<0.5$ and $W_{W}^{\prime} \geq 0.5$, respectively. Species are ordered within groups by decreasing $\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{l}}$. Kendall's coefficient $(\tau)$ tests for association between $L_{S}$ and decreasing $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ (one-tailed).
${ }^{2}$ y, yes; n, no.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ No full-sized ( $>50 \%$ of maximum $L_{s}$ ) fish were captured.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Small $n$ and clusters of fish in a limited size range may have influenced the result.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ No small individuals were captured.
${ }^{e}$ Maximum $L_{s}$ was taken from sources other than the SIO MVC (Cohen, 1986; Kubota et al., 1991).
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Maximum $L_{5}$ from material examined: Australian Museum AMS I.20315023.

Table 2. The specific gravity of species from each group (means of large fish, $\bar{\rho}_{f, 1}$ ).

| Fish group | $\boldsymbol{n}$ | Mean $\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{I}}$ | s.d. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| II | 40 | 1.062 | 0.012 |
| II | 11 | 1.039 | 0.010 |
| III | 20 | 1.046 | 0.011 |
| II + III | 31 | 1.044 | 0.011 |

a factor of six between these two densities (Figure 2). At this frequency, the backscattering is in the Rayleigh region, because the fish is small compared with the acoustic wavelength, and there are no nearby resonant effects that may influence the result. The $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}(38 \mathrm{kHz})$ of this fish was modelled for reasonable variation in body density and sound-speed ratio, $h$ (Figure 3). Body density varied between 1.028 and $1.088 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ and is expressed as the ratio, $g$, to the density of seawater $\left(1.027 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}\right)$. Over this range of $g$ and $h, \sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ increases by a factor of 84 from the minimum $\left(T S=-61.5 \mathrm{~dB}\right.$ re $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ at low $g$ and $\left.h\right)$ to the maximum $\left(T S=-42.3 \mathrm{~dB}\right.$ re $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ at high $g$ and $\left.h\right)$.

The $38-\mathrm{kHz} \sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ was modelled for each individual fish from the representative species C. warmingii, S. leucopsarus, and I. antrostomus (expressed as $T S$; Figure 4). Gas ESR and $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ for each of these three species are also shown in Figure 4. The Group I species, C. warmingii, exhibits increasing total $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ with growth. Total $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ varies by about an order of magnitude between the smallest and largest fish. The contribution of the body to the overall $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ is $\sim 0.1 \%$ for the smallest fish, increasing to $2.7 \%$ for the largest fish. When the $x$-axis of Figure 4 b is logtransformed, the relationship between $T S$ and $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ becomes linear. A regression of $T S$ against $\log _{10}\left(L_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ yields a slope of 24.90 and an intercept of $-71.07\left(L_{\mathrm{S}}\right.$ in cm, d.f. $=15, p<0.01, r^{2}=0.61$; Figure 4 b ). Use of $V_{\mathrm{G}}$ (Figure 5), rather than the observed gas volume for the C. warmingii TS regression, results in a slope and intercept of 22.30 and -68.26 , respectively, with an $r^{2}$ of 0.97 (d.f. $=17, p<0.01$; Figure 4b). The Group II species, S. leucopsarus, shows dramatic changes in $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ with growth. $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ is high for small fish with gas in their swimbladder, drops more than
two orders of magnitude for medium-sized fish, no gas present, and reapproaches the juvenile value in the longest fish. The Group III species, I. antrostomus, has a steep increase in $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ from small to moderate lengths, and a lower rate of increase from moderate to large lengths. A regression of $T S$ against $\log _{10}\left(L_{S}\right)$ yields a slope of 50.95 and an intercept of $-133.80\left(L_{\mathrm{S}}\right.$ in cm , d.f. $=18, p<0.01$, $r^{2}=0.97$; Figure 4f). A $250-\mathrm{mm}$ I. antrostomus has a $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ equivalent to that of a $20-\mathrm{mm}$ myctophid with an inflated swimbladder (S. leucopsarus and C. warmingii in Figure 4).

## Gas required for neutral buoyancy

$V_{\mathrm{G}}$ is $\sim 4 \%$ of the total body volume for large C. warmingii (Figure 5). For S. leucopsarus, $V_{\mathrm{G}}$ starts at $2-3 \%$ of body volume for the smallest fish, then drops below $0.5 \%$ for individuals of $L_{\mathrm{S}}>40 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Figure 5). The longest S. leucopsarus in which gas was present was $37 \mathrm{~mm} L_{\mathrm{S}}$. The similarity in $V_{\mathrm{G}}$ as a percentage of body volume between small S. leucopsarus and C. warmingii is a result of the similarity of their relative densities. Intermediate values of $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}(\sim 1.050-1.060)$ were found in the smallest individuals of most myctophid species.

## Discussion

## Group comparison: relative densities and swimbladder inflation

The presence or the absence of a functional swimbladder in the mesopelagic fish examined in this study is significantly associated with whether or not the $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ is reduced by other means. In general, fish species in which some large individuals possess gas-filled swimbladders (Group I) have constant or increasing $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ with growth. Fish species without functional swimbladders in large individuals (Groups II and III) have a reduced $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ with growth.

The $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ values of individual species within Group I are high (Table 1), reflecting a reliance on gas for buoyancy or lift from swimming. Even so, most Group I fish species have a lower $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ than do epipelagic fish. In Melamphaes simus and Diaphus theta, $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ decreases significantly with an increasing $L_{\mathrm{S}}$. These species rely less on gas for buoyancy than other Group I species that


Figure 1. The relationship between standardized specific gravity, $\rho^{\prime}$, and standardized wet weight, $W_{W}^{\prime}$ : (a) Group I, (b) Group II, and (c) Group III fish.
maintain a constant $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ with increased size. Diaphus theta varies seasonally in lipid content (Neighbors and Nafpaktitis, 1982), so could benefit from the retention of swimbladder function. Diaphus fulgens also had significantly decreasing $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ with increasing $L_{\mathrm{S}}$, but because the overall $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ range is 0.007 and six of seven fish were within a $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ range of 5 mm , this result may not be representative of this species. Ichthyococcus irregularis, Notolychnus valdiviae, and Triphoturus nigrescens are three other species combining gas with low and apparently decreasing $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ with increasing $L_{\mathrm{S}}$. The low $n$ and narrow $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ distribution of these species limits the power to detect a significant relationship between $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $L_{\mathrm{S}}$.

Except Scopelarchus stephensi, no Group II or Group III species has a $\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{f}, 1}>1.060$. Scopelarchus stephensi would need to be an active swimmer to maintain its place in the water column. Many large individuals of species from Groups II and III have $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ values approaching that of seawater. It is possible that $\bar{\rho}_{\mathrm{f}, 1}$ of some
species was overestimated because of a lack of full-sized specimens in the sample. A bias of this nature is conservative and would lead to an overestimation of the slope (less negative) of $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}$ against $W_{\mathrm{W}}^{\prime}$.

Small numbers of large individuals from some species may have resulted in the improper assignment of the species between Groups I and II. Gas was not found in the swimbladders of all fish of similar $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ from many species that use gas for buoyancy. It is possible that gas-filled swimbladders are present in large individuals, but were not detected here. Similarly, the lack of small individuals that may contain gas could have resulted in the incorrect placement of some Group II species into Group III. Only confusion between Groups I and II could have affected the results presented here. The number of individuals from species potentially grouped in error is small compared with the group totals and unlikely to change the results significantly.


Figure 2. Modelled TS as a function of acoustic frequency for a $385 \mathrm{~mm}, 25.89 \mathrm{~g}$ dragonfish (I. antrostomus) from Group III. Solid line, measured $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ of $1.034\left(\approx 1.034 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}\right)$; dashed line, body density $1.076 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$, typical for an epipelagic fish; 38 kHz is marked with a vertical dotted line.


Figure 3. Contour plot of modelled 38 kHz TS as a function of the ratio of body density to seawater density, $g$, and the ratio of sound speed in the fish to sound speed in seawater, $h$, for a $385 \mathrm{~mm}, 25.89 \mathrm{~g}$ dragonfish (I. antrostomus) from Group III: asterisk, measured $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ of $1.034\left(\approx 1.034 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}\right)$; open circle, body density $1.076 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$, typical for an epipelagic fish.

A low $n$ also affects the power to detect significant relationships. A one-tailed $\tau$-test cannot have a significant $p$-value for $n<4$. An $n$ value of 4 requires perfect rank order for significance. Body density is variable, both from measurement error and individual variation. A group of fish of similar size will show random rank ordering, obscuring the overall pattern if there are few or no points outside of the group. This bias chiefly affects the $\tau$-tests for individual species (Table 1, see footnote c). At the group level, the bias is conservative for Groups II and III. The obfuscation of a true decline in $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ in some species with a low $n$ is unlikely to affect the overall Group I result, given that it is from 460 fish.

The group assignments of L. urophaos (Group I) and Lobianchia gemellarii (Group III) are perhaps in error. The $n$ for these two species was low, with restricted $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ ranges, limiting the
power to detect trends. These two species differ between Atlantic and Pacific populations. Those in the Atlantic Ocean have gasfilled swimbladders of increasing volume with growth consistent with Group I (Bone, 1973; Brooks, 1976; Saenger, 1989). Also consistent with Group I, L. gemellarii from the Gulf of Mexico have a low lipid content (Stickney and Torres, 1989). Fish from these species in the Pacific Ocean have high levels of lipid, low body densities, and non-inflated swimbladders when large, consistent with Group II (Neighbors and Nafpaktitis, 1982; Childress et al., 1990). Lampadena urophaos has been divided by some authors into Atlantic and Pacific subspecies based on differences in otolith shape and photophores (Wisner, 1976). The apparent developmental differences in buoyancy regulation between Atlantic and Pacific populations of these two species support taxonomic differentiation, so warrant additional investigation.

All three groups contain species that migrate vertically as well as species that are non-migratory. The presence or the absence of gas in large individuals is not significantly related to whether a species migrates vertically, although fish without inflated swimbladders have an energetic advantage and increased potential vertical range over those using gas for buoyancy (Marshall, 1960; Alexander, 1972).

The California Current and North Pacific Subtropical Gyre each contained species from all three groups. Group assignment is not significantly related to biogeographic province, although the significance test is marginal. More Group I and fewer Group II and Group III species are found in the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre than in the California Current. The abundant and lipid-rich Group II myctophid species from the California Current were not common in the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre and were not replaced by other lipid-rich species (Supplementary Tables S2 and S4). Low lipid levels in subtropical fish have been attributed to reduced food levels (Bailey and Robison, 1986) and reduced variability in food supply (Childress et al., 1990).

More than 30 studies have been published on the buoyancy of the fish species discussed here. Supplementary Table S4 summarizes past work for comparison. Only inconsistencies and generalities will be discussed, however, given the large number of species and reports. In general, Group I fish have low lipid content, high body density, and increasing gas volume with increasing $L_{\mathrm{S}}$. Group II species have low body density, high lipid content, and increasing lipid content with increasing $L_{S}$. Group III species have low body density and low lipid content. Three of the Group II species (Scopelogadus mizolepis, P. crassiceps, and Cyclothone atraria) have low lipid content. All these species except C. atraria, for which water content is not reported, have water content $>85 \%$ WW (Childress and Nygaard, 1973) and use dilute body fluids rather than lipids for buoyancy. Nannobrachium regale from the western Pacific Ocean are high in lipid (Seo et al., 1996; Saito and Murata, 1998), whereas those from the eastern Pacific have high water content (Butler and Pearcy, 1972; Neighbors and Nafpaktitis, 1982; Bailey and Robison, 1986).

There are four species from Group I (N. resplendens, Myctophum nitidulum, L. urophaos, and Symbolophorus californiensis) for which reported body-density measurements are much lower than those measured here (Neighbors and Nafpaktitis, 1982). High lipid levels (Seo et al., 1996; Saito and Murata, 1998) and seasonally high lipid content (Neighbors and Nafpaktitis, 1982) have been reported for S. californiensis,


Figure 4. The measured gas ESR (open circle, primary $y$-axis) and body specific gravity, $\rho_{f}$ (plus sign, secondary $y$-axis) for individual fish vs. standard length, $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ : (a) C. warmingii, Group I; (c) S. leucopsarus, Group II; (e) I. antrostomus, Group III. The ESR of ruptured bladders is displayed as " R " at an arbitrary value. The modelled 38 kHz TS of individual fish for the body only (plus sign) and body summed with the swimbladder (open circle): (b) C. warmingii, Group I; (d) S. leucopsarus, Group II; (f) I. antrostomus, Group III. Transformed TS = $m \log _{10}\left(L_{s}\right)+b$ regressions from the measured data here are shown as solid lines, with the assumption that swimbladder gas volume is that required for neutral buoyancy, $V_{G}$, as a dashed line; as a dotted line for modelling by Yasuma et al. (2010); and as a dashed-dotted line for the cylinder model using gas-volume measurements from Yasuma et al. (2010).


Figure 5. The calculated volume of gas required for neutral buoyancy, $V_{\mathrm{G}}$, expressed as a percentage of total body volume vs. standard length, $L_{\text {s }}$ : plus sign, C. warmingii (Group I); open circle, S. leucopsarus (Group II).
but paradoxically, the size class with the highest lipid content also had the highest body density (Neighbors and Nafpaktitis, 1982). Other researchers have found low lipid levels in N. resplendens,
M. nitidulum, and S. californiensis (Nevenzel et al., 1969; Brooks, 1976; Neighbors and Nafpaktitis, 1982), and increasing gas volume with length for $M$. nitidulum and $S$. californiensis (Brooks, 1976; Neighbors, 1992). The measurement here of high and constant $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ with increasing $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ is consistent with the latter findings.

## Presence of gas in the swimbladder

Mesopelagic fish are generally thought to be negatively buoyant (Kanwisher and Ebeling, 1957; Capen, 1967; Bone, 1973; Brooks, 1976; Kalish et al., 1986, Saenger, 1989). A dense-bodied marine fish (i.e. $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}=1.076 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ ) requires a swimbladder volume of $\sim 5 \%$ of the body volume for neutral buoyancy (Taylor, 1921; Marshall, 1960). The gas volume of mesopelagic fish has been measured to be in the range $0-5 \%$ of body volume for several species at surface temperature and pressure (Kanwisher and Ebeling, 1957; Capen, 1967; Kleckner and Gibbs, 1972; Kalish et al., 1986; Yasuma et al., 2010). Gas is present or absent on an individual or diel basis rather than uniformly within a species (Capen, 1967; Butler and Pearcy, 1972; Johnson, 1979; Neighbors, 1992; Yasuma et al., 2010). The low $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ measurements here demonstrate that many mesopelagic fish require gas
volumes $<5 \%$ of their body volume to be close to neutral buoyancy (Figure 5). This is especially true for species in Group II. The largest individual S. leucopsarus for which gas was present ( 37 mm ) corresponded closely with the $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ at which the $V_{\mathrm{G}}$ drops to $0.5 \%$ of body volume.

Volume measurements of swimbladder gas here were variable, with many more than the requirement for neutral buoyancy (Supplementary Table S3). Gas was present or absent in individuals of similar size from the same species. There are several inherent problems with measurements of the volume of gas in the swimbladder of mesopelagic fish at surface temperature and pressure that make accurate quantification difficult. Elasticity of the swimbladder wall adds uncertainty to gas-volume calculations based on swimbladder dimensions. Except for fish collected at the surface, there is uncertainty about the depth of capture. The measured gas volume is subject to a pressure uncertainty of at least 15 atmospheres for midwater trawls and bongo nets as deployed here, plus uncertainty from temperature changes. Gas may be lost during capture, missed during processing, or compressed in life beyond the ambient pressure. Differences between capture methods in the fraction of fish with inflated swimbladders indicate either gas loss during capture or depth-related inflation (Neighbors, 1992). A fish that remains alive in the net for a period may actively remove gas from its swimbladder as the trawl ascends. Removal of swimbladder gas by an ascending fish is rapid compared with the addition of gas, and it can keep pace with the ascent rate of vertical migration (Marshall, 1960; D'Aoust, 1971). The time-frame of a vertical migration, $\sim 1 \mathrm{~h}$, is comparable with the fishing time of a trawl. The absence of measured gas therefore does not mean that gas was not present at the time of capture, even when the fish appears to be undamaged. Given the biases described above with quantitative measurement of gas volume at the surface, it is difficult to know the true degree of swimbladder inflation from these data, except that it is likely to be less than $V_{\mathrm{G}}$. An assumption of neutral buoyancy is supported by observations of motionless fish from submersibles (Backus et al., 1968; Barham, 1971) and moored echosounders (Kaartvedt et al., 2009). Torpid, non-sinking fish must be close to neutral buoyancy. The buoyancy of fish swimming actively is unclear from visual observations, but there are large energetic advantages to neutral buoyancy. A fish of $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}=$ 1.077 swimming one body length per second expends $167 \%$ more energy if its swimbladder is not inflated (Alexander, 1966). The question of whether or not individuals of a species vary in their use of gas for buoyancy remains unresolved here. The final solution of the problem will require in situ measurements of swimbladder gas volume.

## Acoustic model

The simple acoustic models used here are intended to illustrate the relative change in body $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ through variation in $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$. The effects of two of the simplifying assumptions for the swimbladder model are quantified here. The swimbladder of mesopelagic fish is spheroidal rather than spherical (Marshall, 1960; Yasuma et al., 2010). The TS of a prolate spheroid and a sphere are similar when the aspect ratio of the spheroid is $<3$, as is true for mesopelagic fish (Feuillade and Werby, 1994; Barr and Coombs, 2005). The sphere model was compared with a gas-filled prolate spheroid model (Ye, 1997; ESR $=2.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, aspect ratio 3:1, broadside incidence, other parameters as described in "Material and methods" section) and $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ of the sphere was $\sim 37 \%$ less than that of the spheroid in the
geometric region. A second simplifying assumption was made to model the swimbladder gas as a free bubble in seawater. Backscattering from the swimbladder occurs at the density interface between the gas and the surrounding medium. Increasing the density of the medium from $1.027 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ to a typical density of fish flesh ( $1.050 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ ) decreases $\sigma_{\text {bs }}$ by $<0.01 \%$. Bias derived from the spherical shape and free-bubble assumptions for the swimbladder model is therefore judged to be minimal to exploring the relative change in $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ brought about by the variation in $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$.

A direct comparison can be made between the model used here, measurements, and other models. Yasuma et al. (2006) measured the $T S$ of a $S$. leucopsarus to be -65.4 dB re $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. The prolatespheroid and deformed cylinder models used by Yasuma et al. (2006) estimate $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ to be -64 and -63.6 dB re $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, respectively. The use of their parameters $\left(64 \mathrm{~mm} L_{\mathrm{S}}\right.$, sound speed in fish $c_{\text {fish }}=$ $1518 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, freshwater density, and body density $=1.035 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ ) with the fluid-cylinder model results in a TS of -62.5 dB re $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. The simple cylindrical model used here yields results reasonably close to empirical results and more sophisticated models. Yasuma et al. (2010) reported a regression of modelled $T S=$ $26.3 \log _{10}\left(L_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-78.1$ for C. warmingii (Figure 4b). The difference between that equation and the one reported here is predominantly a consequence of lower measurements of gas volume by Yasuma et al. (2010). When gas volume from Yasuma et al. (2010) is used with the spherical model here, the TS is almost identical between the two models (Figure 4 b ).

The tilt-angle of a mesopelagic fish relative to the acoustic beam is important for modelling the $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ of the body accurately, but less important for the gas inclusion (Yasuma et al., 2010). This important parameter is not addressed here, because dorsal incidence was assumed.

The sound-speed ratio, $h$, can also have a large impact on backscattering, and it varies with temperature (Yasuma et al., 2006). It seems likely that body density, ambient pressure, and $h$ are not independent, analogous to the behaviour of sound in seawater, but these effects were not measured by Yasuma et al. (2006). The value of $h$ used here ( 1.020 for $c=1490 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; Yasuma et al., 2006) is smaller than the value of 1.050 typically assumed for dense-bodied epipelagic fish (Clay, 1991). Varying $h$ across a reasonable range from 1.010 to 1.050 (Yasuma et al., 2006) in the acoustic-backscattering model for a 385 mm I. antrostomus (Figure 3) changes the $38-\mathrm{kHz} \sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ by a factor of 10 . This parameter needs to be quantified better in future work.

## Acoustic implications

Comparison of $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ from the three fish species in Figure 4 indicates that the $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ values of 20 mm C. warmingii and S. leucopsarus are similar to that of a 250 mm I. antrostomus. Small S. leucopsarus have a $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}} \sim 10 \times$ that of large individuals of the same species. Stenobrachius leucopsarus adults do not use gas for buoyancy, and large fish of this species are almost neutrally buoyant (Figures 4 and 5). The $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ of $C$. warming $i i$ increases with growth because of increased gas volume. For small fish from Groups I and II, the acoustic backscatter from the swimbladder is a much greater proportion of the total than $90 \%$. In myctophids of $L_{\mathrm{S}}<40 \mathrm{~mm}$, the swimbladder contribution to $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ is $2-4$ orders of magnitude greater than that of the body.

The disparity in $\sigma_{\text {bs }}$ between large and small fish of Group II, in combination with larger numbers of small fish, will serve to obscure a direct relationship between acoustic backscattering
and biomass. Four of the Group II myctophid species (S. leucopsarus, T. mexicanus, N. ritteri, and C. townsendi) are among the most abundant mesopelagic fish in the California Current. Juvenile fish often greatly outnumber adults, although they may not make up most of the biomass. Trawling is essential to establish the species present and their size distribution for the interpretation of acoustic surveys.

The TS for species from Groups I and III can be expressed in the form $T S=m \log _{10}\left(L_{\mathrm{S}}\right)+b$ (here, $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ is in cm ). The $T S$ of Group II fish cannot be represented in equations of this form because of the non-allometric growth of the swimbladder, the major reflector of acoustic energy. The slope and the intercept of the TS equation for I. antrostomus (Group III) are dramatically different from those of C. warmingii (Group I), reflecting the absence of a swimbladder, elongate shape, and decrease in $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ with increased $L_{S}$ of that species.

Estimates for the body density of fish species that do not contain gas are critical for modelling the $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ of these fish, and hence for acoustic surveys of their abundance and distribution. A change in body density from a typical value for an epipelagic fish to the measured $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ of $I$. antrostomus reduced the $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ sixfold (Figure 2). For those species with functional swimbladders, $V_{\mathrm{G}}$ is affected by body density. Overestimates of body density will result in biased calculations of $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ for both the body and gas inclusion of these fish.

## Conclusions

Body density decreases with size in mesopelagic fish species in which large individuals do not have a functional swimbladder. Species with some large individuals having inflated swimbladders do not decrease in body density with increased weight. Mesopelagic fish in general have lower body density than fish living in shallower water.

The $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ of a fish is dominated by gas in the swimbladder, if present. The volume of gas in the swimbladder of a mesopelagic fish cannot be measured accurately at the surface as a result of the inherent unknown quantities of capture depth and loss of gas. For accuracy, calculation of the maximum volume of gas in the swimbladder, $V_{\mathrm{G}}$, requires knowledge of body density.

Information on ontogenetic changes in swimbladder inflation and the body density of fish is critical for the construction of the TS models used to interpret acoustic surveys. Knowledge of the fish species present, their relative abundance, their developmental morphology, and their size distribution is required for accurate acoustic surveys of mesopelagic fish. The measurements presented here of $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ and swimbladder inflation for 71 species of mesopelagic fish from the Northeast Pacific can be used to improve the accuracy of the backscattering models used to interpret acoustic surveys conducted there. However, those models will also require assumptions or new data regarding tilt-angle and swimbladder volume. Juveniles and adults from Group II species may need to be treated separately because of their disjunct TS distributions.

## Supplementary material

Supplementary data covering individual trawls, species sampled by cruise, a detailed comparison with previously published work, and measurements for individual fish are available in several tables and a Figure in the ICESJMS online version of this manuscript.
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Figure S1. Northeast Pacific Ocean midwater trawl locations for three cruises of the RV "New Horizon" and one of the FSV "Bell Shimada": open triangles, CCE-P0904; open circles, SEAPLEX; open square, DSB; open diamonds, Shimada.

Table S1. Trawl information. The CCE-P0904, SEAPLEX, DSB, and Shimada cruises are abbreviated as "CC," "SP," "DSB"" and "SH," respectively. "Depth" refers to the maximum depth of an oblique trawl profile. "IKMT" refers to an $8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ Isaacs-Kidd midwater trawl (Isaacs and Kidd, 1953), and "MOHT" to a $5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ Matsuda-Oozeki-Hu trawl (Oozeki et al., 2004).

| Cruise:tow | Net type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date } \\ & \text { (PDT) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { (PDT) } \end{aligned}$ | Latitude ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) | Longitude ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) | Light conditions | Depth <br> (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CC:1-1 | IKMT | 25 Apr 2009 | 07:39 | 34.276 | 121.775 | day | $\sim 80{ }^{*}$ |
| CC:1-2 | IKMT | 25 Apr 2009 | 17:04 | 34.229 | 121.755 | day | 186 |
| CC:2-1 | IKMT | 27 Apr 2009 | 23:40 | 34.053 | 122.205 | night | 165 |
| CC:2-2 | IKMT | 28 Apr 2009 | 06:44 | 34.086 | 122.114 | day | 743 |
| CC:2-3 | IKMT | 28 Apr 2009 | 16:50 | 33.976 | 121.905 | day | 833 |
| CC:2-4 | IKMT | 29 Apr 2009 | 13:44 | 33.984 | 121.737 | day | 805 |
| CC:2-5 | IKMT | 29 Apr 2009 | 21:18 | 33.884 | 121.673 | night | 185 |
| CC:F-1 | IKMT | 1 May 2009 | 22:43 | 34.099 | 121.392 | night | 162 |
| CC:F-2 | IKMT | 2 May 2009 | 02:18 | 34.037 | 121.459 | night | 168 |
| CC:F-3 | IKMT | 2 May 2009 | 05:18 | 33.966 | 121.541 | night | 176 |
| SP:1-1 | MOHT | 3 Aug 2009 | 07:03 | 32.426 | 119.985 | day | $\sim 900^{*}$ |
| SP:1-2 | MOHT | 3 Aug 2009 | 14:48 | 32.414 | 119.979 | day | 910 |
| SP:1-3 | MOHT | 3 Aug 2009 | 19:59 | 32.411 | 119.992 | dusk | 835 |
| SP:1-4 | MOHT | 3 Aug 2009 | 23:46 | 32.418 | 120.079 | night | 231 |
| SP:2-1 | MOHT | 8 Aug 2009 | 16:16 | 32.064 | 137.899 | day | 918 |
| SP:2-2 | MOHT | 8 Aug 2009 | 20:57 | 32.076 | 137.940 | dusk | 824 |
| SP:2-3 | MOHT | 9 Aug 2009 | 01:51 | 32.106 | 137.904 | night | 177 |
| SP:2-4 | MOHT | 9 Aug 2009 | 05:49 | 32.069 | 137.914 | dawn | 756 |
| SP:3-1 | MOHT | 10 Aug 2009 | 17:04 | 32.915 | 140.312 | day | 878 |
| SP:3-2 | MOHT | 10 Aug 2009 | 21:21 | 32.925 | 140.303 | dusk | 797 |
| SP:3-3 | MOHT | 11 Aug 2009 | 02:27 | 32.884 | 140.265 | night | 176 |
| SP:3-4 | MOHT | 11 Aug 2009 | 06:07 | 32.883 | 140.283 | dawn | 725 |
| SP:4-1 | MOHT | 14 Aug 2009 | 00:50 | 34.063 | 139.976 | night | 888 |
| SP:4-2 | MOHT | 14 Aug 2009 | 04:57 | 34.064 | 139.976 | night | 197 |
| SP:4-3 | MOHT | 14 Aug 2009 | 07:08 | 34.069 | 139.979 | dawn | 797 |
| SP:4-4 | MOHT | 14 Aug 2009 | 18:06 | 34.058 | 139.998 | day | 837 |
| DSB:1 | IKMT | 31 Oct 2009 | 12:15 | 32.598 | 117.463 | day | 1188 |
| SH:1 | MOHT | 24 Sep 2010 | 18:05 | 32.400 | 118.457 | day | 199 |
| SH:2 | MOHT | 24 Sep 2010 | 19:27 | 32.343 | 118.557 | night | 214 |
| SH:3 | MOHT | 25 Sep 2010 | 11:55 | 31.678 | 119.908 | day | 639 |
| SH:5 | MOHT | 26 Sep 2010 | 09:28 | 31.180 | 120.919 | day | 773 |

*The time-depth recorder malfunctioned on two tows. Depth was estimated from other tows with the same profile.

Table S2. Species included in this study, with sample size from each cruise. The CCE-P0904, SEAPLEX, DSB, and Shimada cruises are abbreviated as "CC," "SP," "DSB", and "SH," respectively. The SEAPLEX samples are further divided into those collected from the California Current, and those from the North Pacific subtropical gyre, respectively.

| Family | Species name | Sample size |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CC | SP | DSB | SH |
| Microstomatidae | Microstoma microstoma (Risso) | 3 | 2+1 | 0 | 0 |
| Bathylagidae | Bathylagoides wesethi (Bolin) | 17 | $5+1$ | 15 | 17 |
|  | Leuroglossus stilbius Gilbert | 0 | $4+0$ | 2 | 0 |
|  | Lipolagus ochotensis (Schmidt) | 2 | $1+0$ | 4 | 5 |
| Alepocephalidae | Alepocephalus tenebrosus Gilbert | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Platytroctidae | Holtbyrnia latifrons Sazonov | 3 | $3+0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sagamichthys abei Parr | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Gonostomatidae | Cyclothone acclinidens Garman | 21 | $7+4$ | 12 | 0 |
|  | Cyclothone atraria Gilbert | 3 | $1+6$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | Cyclothone pallida Brauer | 0 | $1+5$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | Cyclothone pseudopallida Mukhacheva | 1 | $0+11$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | Cyclothone signata Garman | 21 | $2+12$ | 1 | 0 |
| Sternoptychidae | Argyropelecus affinis Garman | 5 | 1+2 | 1 | 8 |
|  | Argyropelecus hemigymnus Cocco | 7 | $1+5$ | 0 | 4 |
|  | Argyropelecus lychnus Garman | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Argyropelecus sladeni Regan | 14 | $3+0$ | 2 | 7 |
|  | Danaphos oculatus (Garman) | 6 | $2+13$ | 0 | 2 |
|  | Sternoptyx diaphana Hermann | 0 | $0+5$ | 1 | 0 |
|  | Sternoptyx obscura Garman | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Sternoptyx pseudobscura Baird | 1 | 0+6 | 0 | 1 |
| Phosichthyidae | Ichthyococcus irregularis Rechnitzer and Bohlke | 1 | $2+0$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | Vinciguerria nimbaria (Jordan and Williams) | 0 | $0+9$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | Vinciguerria poweriae (Cocco) | 0 | $0+15$ | 0 | 0 |
| Stomiidae | Chauliodus macouni Bean | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  | Stomias atriventer Garman | 0 | $3+0$ | 0 | 1 |
|  | Bathophilus flemingi Aron and McCrery | 1 | $0+5$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | Photonectes parvimanus Regan and Trewavas | 0 | 0+6 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Aristostomias xenostoma Regan and Trewavas | 0 | 0+5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Idiacanthus antrostomus Gilbert | 12 | $2+4$ | 1 | 2 |
|  | Tactostoma macropus Bolin | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Scopelarchidae | Scopelarchus stephensi Johnson | 0 | $0+3$ | 0 | 0 |
| Notosudidae | Scopelosaurus harryi (Mead) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Paralepididae | Arctozenus risso (Bonaparte) | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Myctophidae | Bolinichthys longipes (Brauer) | 0 | $0+4$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | Ceratoscopelus townsendi (Eigenmann and Eigenmann) | 11 | $1+0$ | 3 | 28 |
|  | Ceratoscopelus warmingii (Lutken) | 0 | $0+18$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | Diaphus anderseni Taning | 0 | $0+13$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | Diaphus fulgens (Brauer) | 0 | 0+7 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Diaphus theta Eigenmann and Eigenmann | 20 | $4+0$ | 1 | 7 |
|  | Diogenichthys atlanticus (Taning) | 5 | $3+14$ | 0 | 3 |
|  | Electrona risso (Cocco) | 0 | $0+3$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | Hygophum proximum Becker | 0 | 0+5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Hygophum reinhardtii (Lutken) | 0 | 0+4 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Lampadena urophaos Paxton | 0 | $0+12$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | Lampanyctus tenuiformis (Brauer) | 0 | $0+13$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | Lobianchia gemellarii (Cocco) | 0 | 0+3 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Myctophum nitidulum Garman | 0 | $0+16$ | 0 | 1 |

Table S2. (continued)

| Family | Species name | Sample size |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CC | SP | DSB |
| Myctophidae | Nannobrachium fernae (Wisner) | 0 | $0+5$ | 0 |

Table S3. Standard length, $L_{\mathrm{S}}$, wet weight, $W_{\mathrm{W}}$, body specific gravity after gas removal, $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$, measured gas volume, and the gas volume required for neutral buoyancy, $V_{\mathrm{G}}$, for all individual fish. For gas volume, "P" indicates present but not measured, "R" indicates evidence of rupturing, and "-" indicates not recorded. Species are ordered after Table 1 in the main text, individuals are ordered by increasing $L_{\mathrm{S}}$.

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} L_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\mathrm{W}} \\ (\mathrm{~g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\rho_{\text {f }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gas vol. } \\ \left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Gas vol. (\% total) | $V_{\mathrm{G}}$ $(\%$ total) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Myctophidae | Tarletonbeania crenularis | 22 | 0.11 | 1.0575 | R | - | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 0.12 | 1.0575 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 0.13 | 1.0675 | R | - | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.20 | 1.0875 | 0 | 0 | 5.563 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.37 | 1.0850 | 0 | 0 | 5.346 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.28 | 1.0550 | P | - | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.43 | 1.0850 | P | - | 5.346 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.48 | 1.0875 | 5.704 | 1.276 | 5.563 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.46 | 1.0825 | 0 | 0 | 5.127 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 0.61 | 1.0875 | 0 | 0 | 5.563 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 0.58 | 1.0875 | 4.877 | 0.906 | 5.563 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.73 | 1.0825 | 4.189 | 0.617 | 5.127 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.67 | 1.0850 | 4.824 | 0.775 | 5.346 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.70 | 1.0875 | 1.317 | 0.204 | 5.563 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.60 | 1.0850 | 8.543 | 1.521 | 5.346 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 0.79 | 1.0850 | 5.394 | 0.735 | 5.346 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 0.77 | 1.0875 | 0 | 0 | 5.563 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 0.93 | 1.0850 | 1.219 | 0.142 | 5.346 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 1.14 | 1.0850 | 0 | 0 | 5.346 |
|  |  |  | 57 | 2.01 | 1.0850 | 0 | 0 | 5.346 |
| I | Myctophidae | Hygophum reinhardtii | 19 | 0.10 | 1.0700 | 1.012 | 1.071 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.09 | 1.0625 | 2.090 | 2.408 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.85 | 1.0750 | 0.085 | 0.011 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 1.13 | 1.0775 | 33.112 | 3.061 | 4.687 |
| I | Myctophidae | Notoscopelus resplendens | 27 | 0.28 | 1.0700 | 33.885 | 11.464 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.24 | 1.0750 | 0 | 0 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.38 | 1.0750 | 22.984 | 6.105 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 0.84 | 1.0750 | 0 | 0 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 0.90 | 1.0775 | 0 | 0 | 4.687 |
| I | Gempylidae | Diplospinus multistriatus | 18 | 0.02 | 1.0725 | 0.676 | 3.496 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.04 | 1.0675 | 1.073 | 2.784 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.05 | 1.0800 |  | . | 4.907 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 0.04 | 1.0725 | 2.146 | 5.441 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 49 | 0.14 | 1.0775 | 0.176 | 0.135 | 4.687 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 0.14 | 1.0700 | 2.965 | 2.216 | 4.019 |
| I | Myctophidae | Diogenichthys atlanticus | 16 | 0.06 | 1.0675 | 1.053 | 1.840 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 16 | 0.08 | 1.0575 | R | - | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 17 | 0.06 | 1.0600 | 3.331 | 5.558 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.08 | 1.0725 | 0 | 0 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.09 | 1.0575 | 0.527 | 0.615 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.10 | 1.0575 | R | - | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.09 | 1.0675 | 0.066 | 0.078 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.08 | 1.0650 | 1.553 | 2.026 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.13 | 1.0750 | 8.230 | 6.372 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.12 | 1.0550 | R | 880 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.12 | 1.0675 | 3.334 | 2.880 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.10 | 1.0675 | 0.456 | 0.484 | 3.794 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} L_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\mathrm{W}} \\ (\mathrm{~g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\rho_{\text {f }}$ | $\underset{\left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right)}{\text { Gas vol. }}$ ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\begin{gathered} V_{\mathrm{G}} \\ (\% \text { total }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Myctophidae | Diogenichthys atlanticus | 20 | 0.14 | 1.0750 | 4.214 | 3.134 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.12 | 1.0675 | 10.845 | 8.798 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.12 | 1.0700 | 4.214 | 3.621 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.14 | 1.0750 | 0.427 | 0.327 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.14 | 1.0725 | 9.435 | 6.741 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.16 | 1.0650 | 8.906 | 5.596 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.16 | 1.0775 | 6.811 | 4.386 | 4.687 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.20 | 1.0700 | 0.527 | 0.281 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.17 | 1.0700 | 0.480 | 0.301 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.17 | 1.0750 | 3.224 | 1.998 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.17 | 1.0700 | 5.887 | 3.573 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 0.19 | 1.0800 | 2.965 | 1.658 | 4.907 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 0.19 | 1.0750 | 3.977 | 2.201 | 4.465 |
| I | Myctophidae | Myctophum nitidulum | 18 | 0.07 | 1.0600 | 0.020 | 0.030 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.10 | 1.0775 | 0.749 | 0.800 | 4.687 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.13 | 1.0725 | 1.058 | 0.866 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.29 | 1.0750 | 1.249 | 0.461 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.34 | 1.0775 | 5.926 | 1.843 | 4.687 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.40 | 1.0775 | 3.046 | 0.814 | 4.687 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.45 | 1.0700 | 6.109 | 1.432 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.37 | 1.0750 | 6.543 | 1.866 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.63 | 1.0775 | 6.672 | 1.128 | 4.687 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 0.77 | 1.0750 | 5.358 | 0.742 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 46 | 1.28 | 1.0725 | 59.593 | 4.756 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 65 | 4.10 | 1.0725 | 0 | 0 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 74 | 6.76 | 1.0775 | 0 | 0 | 4.687 |
|  |  |  | 76 | 6.70 | 1.0725 | P | - | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 76 | 6.54 | 1.0725 | P | - | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 77 | 7.02 | 1.0725 | P | - | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 78 | 7.98 | 1.0725 | P | - | 4.242 |
| I | Myctophidae | Electrona risso | 11 | 0.03 | 1.0700 | R | - | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.14 | 1.0725 | 0 | 0 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.89 | 1.0725 | 3.031 | 0.364 | 4.242 |
| I | Myctophidae | Hygophum proximum | 16 | 0.06 | 1.0700 | 0.280 | 0.498 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.11 | 1.0650 | 0 | 0 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.39 | 1.0725 | 0 | 0 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.35 | 1.0750 | 6.653 | 2.002 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.52 | 1.0725 | 0 | 0 | 4.242 |
| I | Myctophidae | Protomyctophum crockeri | 15 | 0.06 | 1.0750 | R | - | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 16 | 0.06 | 1.0700 | R | - | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 17 | 0.09 | 1.0675 | R | - | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 17 | 0.09 | 1.0675 | 0 |  | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.14 | 1.0675 | 0.156 | 0.119 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.10 | 1.0675 | R | - | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.12 | 1.0725 | R | - | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.16 | 1.0700 | R | - | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.16 | 1.0675 | 0 | 0 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 0.28 | 1.0600 | 2.439 | 0.915 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 0.31 | 1.0700 | 4.633 | 1.574 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 0.26 | 1.0825 | 13.410 | 5.288 | 5.127 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.33 | 1.0650 | 1.249 | 0.401 | 3.568 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} L_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\mathrm{W}} \\ (\mathrm{~g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\rho_{\text {f }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gas vol. } \\ \left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\begin{gathered} V_{\mathrm{G}} \\ (\% \text { total }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Myctophidae | Protomyctophum crockeri | 25 | 0.30 | 1.0575 | 0.305 | 0.107 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.37 | 1.0725 | 0 | 0 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.44 | 1.0675 | 0 | 0 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.46 | 1.0725 | 2.085 | 0.484 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.41 | 1.0775 | R | - | 4.687 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.47 | 1.0725 | 2.190 | 0.497 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.66 | 1.0725 | 45.012 | 6.816 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.74 | 1.0775 | 2.439 | 0.354 | 4.687 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.74 | 1.0700 | 0.836 | 0.121 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.83 | 1.0725 | 0 | 0 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.87 | 1.0750 | 14.922 | 1.810 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.84 | 1.0675 | 0 | 0 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 0.99 | 1.0725 | 0 | 0 | 4.242 |
| I | Myctophidae | Nannobrachium fernae | 32 | 0.25 | 1.0700 | 0 | 0 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.33 | 1.0775 | 18.504 | 5.698 | 4.687 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.35 | 1.0675 | 4.214 | 1.269 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.43 | 1.0625 | 0.020 | 0.005 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 63 | 2.31 | 1.0725 | 69.392 | 3.121 | 4.242 |
| I | Myctophidae | Lampadena urophaos | 19 | 0.08 | 1.0625 | 0.788 | 1.035 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.09 | 1.0600 | 6.692 | 7.305 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.10 | 1.0600 | 0 | 0 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.12 | 1.0600 | 0.836 | 0.733 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.13 | 1.0550 | 4.233 | 3.322 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.13 | 1.0625 | 4.214 | 3.329 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.13 | 1.0600 | 8.386 | 6.401 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.11 | 1.0600 | 7.662 | 6.876 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 0.16 | 1.0700 | 7.131 | 4.552 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.18 | 1.0700 | 0 | 0 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.11 | 1.0600 | 3.246 | 3.033 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.22 | 1.0775 | 5.884 | 2.801 | 4.687 |
| I | Myctophidae | Bolinichthys longipes | 38 | 0.75 | 1.0725 | 19.136 | 2.664 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 0.77 | 1.0700 | 30.812 | 4.106 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 0.96 | 1.0725 | 3.351 | 0.373 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 1.06 | 1.0675 | 44.505 | 4.290 | 3.794 |
| I | Myctophidae | Diaphus anderseni | 25 | 0.30 | 1.0700 | 2.595 | 0.917 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.31 | 1.0700 | 0 | 0 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.35 | 1.0625 | 8.230 | 2.438 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.37 | 1.0625 | R | 0 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.31 | 1.0750 | 0 | 0 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.37 | 1.0625 | 4.214 | 1.196 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.36 | 1.0725 | 3.246 | 0.958 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.36 | 1.0750 | 0.176 | 0.052 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.37 | 1.0725 | 0 | 0 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.45 | 1.0700 | R | 0 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.45 | 1.0675 | 7.901 | 1.840 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.36 | 1.0750 | 0 | 0 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.58 | 1.0725 | 0.836 | 0.154 | 4.242 |
| I | Myctophidae | Ceratoscopelus warmingii | 19 | 0.07 | 1.0700 | 0.305 | 0.464 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.08 | 1.0600 | 8.230 | 9.833 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.09 | 1.0600 | 0.305 | 0.358 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.08 | 1.0500 | 1.032 | 1.336 | 2.190 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} L_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\mathrm{W}} \\ (\mathrm{~g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{t}}$ | Gas vol. ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | Gas vol. (\% total) | $V_{\mathrm{G}}$ $(\%$ total $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Myctophidae | Ceratoscopelus warmingii | 21 | 0.09 | 1.0650 | 2.480 | 2.851 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.11 | 1.0550 | 1.929 | 1.816 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.09 | 1.0675 | 4.372 | 4.931 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.10 | 1.0600 | 1.380 | 1.442 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.11 | 1.0550 | 0.683 | 0.651 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 0.17 | 1.0700 | 2.743 | 1.697 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.20 | 1.0600 | 8.230 | 4.180 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.19 | 1.0625 | R | - | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.23 | 1.0675 | R | - | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.34 | 1.0700 | 2.439 | 0.762 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.35 | 1.0725 | 2.439 | 0.742 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.58 | 1.0725 | 33.712 | 5.868 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 1.18 | 1.0700 | 30.181 | 2.664 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 48 | 1.74 | 1.0700 | 57.613 | 3.422 | 4.019 |
| I | Phosichthyidae | Vinciguerria nimbaria | 17 | 0.03 | 1.0700 | 1.778 | 5.963 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 17 | 0.04 | 1.0700 | 2.439 | 6.124 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.04 | 1.0675 | 1.553 | 3.981 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.04 | 1.0675 | 2.080 | 5.259 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.04 | 1.0700 | 0.066 | 0.176 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.05 | 1.0625 | 3.551 | 7.016 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.06 | 1.0600 | R | - | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.30 | 1.0675 | 30.181 | 9.698 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.33 | 1.0700 | 14.420 | 4.467 | 4.019 |
| I | Phosichthyidae | Vinciguerria poweriae | 18 | 0.03 | 1.0775 | 4.370 | 13.566 | 4.687 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.03 | 1.0725 | 2.504 | 8.218 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.04 | 1.0675 | 0.066 | 0.175 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.07 | 1.0600 | R | - | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.08 | 1.0650 | 5.358 | 6.658 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.13 | 1.0650 | R | - | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.18 | 1.0700 | 2.802 | 1.638 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.31 | 1.0700 | 1.249 | 0.429 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.33 | 1.0650 | 0.856 | 0.275 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.37 | 1.0700 | 8.296 | 2.343 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.38 | 1.0725 | 26.798 | 7.032 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.58 | 1.0675 | R | - | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.51 | 1.0725 | 53.533 | 10.119 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 0.67 | 1.0675 | R | - | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 0.78 | 1.0675 | 14.749 | 1.979 | 3.794 |
| I | Myctophidae | Diaphus fulgens | 36 | 0.69 | 1.0750 | 0.305 | 0.047 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.77 | 1.0725 | 0.371 | 0.052 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 0.87 | 1.0725 | 1.249 | 0.154 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 1.07 | 1.0725 | 2.524 | 0.252 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 1.04 | 1.0700 | 2.305 | 0.237 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 1.19 | 1.0725 | 0.156 | 0.014 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 52 | 2.46 | 1.0675 | 4.590 | 0.199 | 3.794 |
| I | Myctophidae | Lampanyctus tenuiformis | 28 | 0.17 | 1.0675 | 14.222 | 8.198 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.21 | 1.0700 | 1.778 | 0.898 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.27 | 1.0625 | 0 | 0 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.36 | 1.0600 | 27.698 | 7.541 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 0.49 | 1.0600 | 0.020 | 0.004 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 0.44 | 1.0725 | 30.337 | 6.885 | 4.242 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $W_{\mathrm{w}}$ <br> (g) | $\rho_{\mathrm{i}}$ | Gas vol. (mm ${ }^{3}$ ) | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\frac{V_{\mathrm{G}}}{(\% \text { total })}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Myctophidae | Lampanyctus tenuiformis | 38 | 0.49 | 1.0725 | 6.492 | 1.401 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.54 | 1.0625 | - |  | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 0.48 | 1.0700 | 4.494 | 0.992 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 0.74 | 1.0700 | 75.693 | 9.865 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 0.79 | 1.0675 | 1.249 | 0.168 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 0.81 | 1.0675 | 45.327 | 5.637 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 0.79 | 1.0700 | 0 | 0 | 4.019 |
| I | Microstomatidae | Microstoma microstoma | 20 | 0.05 | 1.0575 | 0.305 | 0.641 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.04 | 1.0700 | R | - | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.05 | 1.0675 | R | - | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.17 | 1.0700 | 0.527 | 0.330 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.16 | 1.0700 | 2.014 | 1.329 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 0.90 | 1.0675 | 0 | 0 | 3.794 |
| I | Sternoptychidae | Danaphos oculatus | 22 | 0.08 | 1.0575 | R |  | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.06 | 1.0600 | R | - | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 0.10 | 1.0650 | R | - | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 0.08 | 1.0600 | R | - | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 0.05 | 1.0600 | R | - | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 0.08 | 1.0625 | R | - | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.10 | 1.0600 | R | - | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.09 | 1.0650 | R | - | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.12 | 1.0600 | R | - | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.18 | 1.0625 | R | - | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.21 | 1.0625 | R | - | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.22 | 1.0575 | R | - | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.23 | 1.0675 | R | - | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.33 | 1.0625 | 2.370 | 0.757 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.33 | 1.0625 | R | - | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.36 | 1.0625 | 1.778 | 0.522 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.40 | 1.0675 | 1.778 | 0.472 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.33 | 1.0750 | 21.967 | 6.678 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.40 | 1.0650 | R | - | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.33 | 1.0650 | R | - | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 0.50 | 1.0600 | 15.188 | 3.119 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 0.53 | 1.0675 | 0.305 | 0.061 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 0.52 | 1.0675 | R | - | 3.794 |
| I | Myctophidae | Symbolophorus californiensis | 25 | 0.14 | 1.0650 | 1.731 | 1.300 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.22 | 1.0675 | 14.420 | 6.539 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.26 | 1.0675 | 6.692 | 2.674 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.32 | 1.0725 | 0.593 | 0.198 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.40 | 1.0725 | 0 | 0 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 0.81 | 1.0725 | 0.766 | 0.101 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 0.84 | 1.0750 | 0 | 0 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 0.83 | 1.0750 | 0 | 0 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 0.99 | 1.0800 | 14.817 | 1.591 | 4.907 |
|  |  |  | 61 | 2.29 | 1.0725 | 0.085 | 0.004 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 64 | 2.78 | 1.0675 | 32.263 | 1.224 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 68 | 3.71 | 1.0725 | 11.220 | 0.323 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 70 | 4.05 | 1.0675 | 0 | 0 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 72 | 4.21 | 1.0625 | P | - | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 73 | 4.54 | 1.0575 | P | - | 2.884 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} L_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $W_{\mathrm{w}}$ <br> (g) | $\rho_{\text {r }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gas vol. } \\ \left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\begin{gathered} V_{\mathrm{G}} \\ (\% \text { total }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Myctophidae | Symbolophorus californiensis | 74 | 5.33 | 1.0650 | 0 | 0 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 75 | 5.46 | 1.0525 | 24.867 | 0.477 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 78 | 6.37 | 1.0575 | P | - | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 86 | 6.79 | 1.0725 | 8.230 | 0.130 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 88 | 7.24 | 1.0675 | P | - | 3.794 |
| I | Ophidiidae | Chilara taylori | 35 | 0.05 | 1.0600 | 0.305 | 0.642 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 54 | 0.22 | 1.0675 | 0 | 0 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 0.25 | 1.0600 | 8.586 | 3.513 | 3.113 |
| I | Myctophidae | Taaningichthys bathyphilus | 42 | 0.75 | 1.0575 | 27.937 | 3.790 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 0.65 | 1.0625 | 71.679 | 10.488 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 66 | 2.89 | 1.0625 | 106.913 | 3.782 | 3.341 |
| I | Sternoptychidae | Argyropelecus lychnus | 13 | 0.06 | 1.0675 | 9.262 | 14.147 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 1.71 | 1.0625 | P | - | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 1.84 | 1.0625 | P | - | 3.341 |
| I | Sternoptychidae | Argyropelecus sladeni | 12 | 0.06 | 1.0675 | 10.750 | 16.055 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 14 | 0.07 | 1.0625 | 16.095 | 19.634 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 15 | 0.11 | 1.0650 | R | - | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 15 | 0.10 | 1.0525 | 12.869 | 11.929 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 15 | 0.12 | 1.0575 | 5.421 | 4.560 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 16 | 0.14 | 1.0675 | 17.095 | 11.532 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 17 | 0.16 | 1.0675 | 20.816 | 12.195 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.20 | 1.0725 | 6.236 | 3.236 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.18 | 1.0725 | 8.482 | 4.811 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.23 | 1.0525 | 10.611 | 4.631 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.24 | 1.0525 | 31.817 | 12.245 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 0.34 | 1.0500 | 32.002 | 8.994 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 0.42 | 1.0675 | 31.017 | 7.307 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.47 | 1.0525 | P |  | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.44 | 1.0650 | 55.440 | 11.831 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.62 | 1.0700 | 48.366 | 7.704 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.71 | 1.0550 | 103.145 | 13.290 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.74 | 1.0650 | 201.376 | 22.470 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.94 | 1.0425 | 255.488 | 22.079 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.97 | 1.0725 | P | - | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 1.07 | 1.0675 | 150.072 | 13.022 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 1.03 | 1.0700 | P | - | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 1.21 | 1.0625 | 165.837 | 12.711 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 1.26 | 1.0725 | P | - | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 2.06 | 1.0675 | 107.300 | 5.267 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 1.99 | 1.0600 | 200.273 | 9.640 | 3.113 |
| I | Sternoptychidae | Argyropelecus hemigymnus | 15 | 0.07 | 1.0625 | R | - | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 15 | 0.09 | 1.0675 | 6.692 | 7.353 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 16 | 0.09 | 1.0725 | 11.476 | 12.031 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 17 | 0.14 | 1.0650 | 12.096 | 8.426 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.14 | 1.0625 | 11.981 | 8.335 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.15 | 1.0600 | 28.881 | 16.950 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.14 | 1.0575 | 40.889 | 23.597 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.19 | 1.0600 | R | - | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.25 | 1.0675 | P | - | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.22 | 1.0675 | 9.223 | 4.284 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.28 | 1.0625 | 81.056 | 23.523 | 3.341 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} L_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $W_{W}$ <br> (g) | $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gas vol. } \\ \left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\underset{(\% \text { total })}{V_{G}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Sternoptychidae | Argyropelecus hemigymnus | 25 | 0.37 | 1.0625 | 3.836 | 1.090 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.53 | 1.0625 | P | - | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.46 | 1.0650 | 43.461 | 9.142 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.61 | 1.0625 | P | - | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.69 | 1.0625 | P | - | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.72 | 1.0575 | P | - | 2.884 |
| I | Sternoptychidae | Argyropelecus affinis | 14 | 0.06 | 1.0575 | 1.858 | 3.171 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 15 | 0.06 | 1.0575 | 14.517 | 20.374 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 17 | 0.10 | 1.0675 | P | - | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 17 | 0.09 | 1.0600 | 3.275 | 3.714 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.14 | 1.0400 | 32.151 | 19.279 | 1.250 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.21 | 1.0550 | 62.578 | 23.918 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.42 | 1.0575 | 38.104 | 8.754 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.71 | 1.0475 | P | - | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 44 | 2.17 | 1.0525 | 406.136 | 16.457 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 2.02 | 1.0525 | 100.289 | 4.966 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 47 | 2.38 | 1.0575 | 302.070 | 11.834 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 49 | 2.53 | 1.0488 | 230.732 | 8.730 | 2.074 |
|  |  |  | 49 | 2.79 | 1.0575 | 666.969 | 20.179 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 3.22 | 1.0575 | 439.482 | 12.613 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 65 | 5.82 | 1.0625 | P | - | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 66 | 6.43 | 1.0575 | 780.365 | 11.374 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 76 | 10.70 | 1.0575 | 780.365 | 7.160 | 2.884 |
| I | Howellidae | Bathysphyraenops simplex | 17 | 0.06 | 1.0750 | 0.156 | 0.279 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.09 | 1.0750 | 0 | 0 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.07 | 1.0675 | 1.314 | 1.965 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.09 | 1.0750 | 0.695 | 0.823 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.08 | 1.0750 | 1.314 | 1.736 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.08 | 1.0800 | 0.156 | 0.210 | 4.907 |
|  |  |  | 47 | 1.82 | 1.0575 | 0.914 | 0.053 | 2.884 |
| I | Gonostomatidae | Cyclothone pseudopallida | 18 | 0.01 | 1.0600 | R | - | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 0.06 | 1.0575 | 1.434 | 2.465 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.07 | 1.0500 | R | - | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.10 | 1.0525 | 0.156 | 0.164 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.15 | 1.0575 | 4.146 | 2.840 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 0.18 | 1.0575 | 9.328 | 5.195 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.22 | 1.0575 | 8.126 | 3.759 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 0.23 | 1.0550 | 4.280 | 1.925 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 0.26 | 1.0550 | 1.975 | 0.795 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 0.25 | 1.0525 | 1.778 | 0.743 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 0.26 | 1.0550 | 2.439 | 0.980 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 0.21 | 1.0600 | R |  | 3.113 |
| I | Melamphaeidae | Melamphaes simus | 15 | 0.07 | 1.0725 | 0.856 | 1.294 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 15 | 0.06 | 1.0700 | R | - | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 16 | 0.08 | 1.0675 | , | - | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 16 | 0.05 | 1.0650 | R | - | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.56 | 1.0550 | R | - | 2.654 |
| I | Sternoptychidae | Sternoptyx obscura | 12 | 0.11 | 1.0525 | R | - | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.93 | 1.0525 | 48.419 | 5.195 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.83 | 1.0525 | 114.311 | 12.660 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.86 | 1.0575 | 65.243 | 7.427 | 2.884 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $W_{\text {w }}$ <br> (g) | $\rho_{\text {f }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gas vol. } \\ \left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\begin{gathered} V_{G} \\ (\% \text { total }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Sternoptychidae | Sternoptyx obscura | 30 | 1.14 | 1.0525 | 153.330 | 12.401 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 1.57 | 1.0575 | 181.069 | 10.870 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 3.37 | 1.0525 | R | - | 2.423 |
| 1 | Sternoptychidae | Sternoptyx diaphana | 14 | 0.17 | 1.0525 | 6.653 | 3.956 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.45 | 1.0550 | 180.435 | 29.727 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 0.75 | 1.0500 | 83.287 | 10.443 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 1.00 | 1.0525 | 6.692 | 0.699 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 2.35 | 1.0488 | P | - | 2.074 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 1.90 | 1.0575 | 585.496 | 24.578 | 2.884 |
| I | Gonostomatidae | Cyclothone signata | 15 | 0.02 | 1.0575 | 0.702 | 3.581 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 16 | 0.02 | 1.0575 | 1.075 | 5.380 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.02 | 1.0525 | 0.966 | 4.836 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.04 | 1.0600 | 1.314 | 3.366 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.03 | 1.0575 | 0.836 | 2.864 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.03 | 1.0575 | R | - | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.03 | 1.0625 | R | - | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.03 | 1.0650 | 0 | 0 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.02 | 1.0750 | R | - | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.05 | 1.0550 | R | - | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.05 | 1.0625 | 4.390 | 8.532 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.04 | 1.0775 | 1.717 | 4.420 | 4.687 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 0.05 | 1.0500 | 3.160 | 6.224 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 0.04 | 1.0475 | 3.541 | 8.486 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 0.06 | 1.0575 | 1.434 | 2.465 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.08 | 1.0525 | 1.873 | 2.405 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.09 | 1.0550 | 5.609 | 6.169 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.08 | 1.0525 | R | - | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.09 | 1.0525 | 1.873 | 2.143 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.11 | 1.0500 | 6.321 | 5.690 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.12 | 1.0500 | 2.963 | 2.527 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.09 | 1.0575 | R | - | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.13 | 1.0475 | 1.405 | 1.119 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.10 | 1.0575 | 1.561 | 1.624 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.15 | 1.0475 | 10.993 | 7.130 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.13 | 1.0500 | 3.170 | 2.497 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.16 | 1.0475 | 15.802 | 9.376 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.17 | 1.0500 | 0.305 | 0.188 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.13 | 1.0600 | 9.876 | 7.453 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.15 | 1.0550 | 1.314 | 0.916 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.12 | 1.0600 | R | - | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.13 | 1.0575 | 9.328 | 7.053 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.16 | 1.0500 | 14.800 | 8.853 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.20 | 1.0575 | R | - | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.19 | 1.0550 | 2.439 | 1.336 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.13 | 1.0600 | R | - | 3.113 |
| I | Sternoptychidae | Sternoptyx pseudobscura | 17 | 0.23 | 1.0475 | 2.439 | 1.098 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.45 | 1.0500 | 4.280 | 0.989 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.51 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 2.05 | 1.0575 | P | - | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 2.35 | 1.0575 | 554.979 | 19.983 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 3.73 | 1.0475 | R | - | 1.957 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} L_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\mathrm{W}} \\ (\mathrm{~g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gas vol. } \\ \left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\underset{(\% \text { total) }}{V_{G}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Sternoptychidae | Sternoptyx pseudobscura | 42 | 4.72 | 1.0475 | R | - | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 44 | 4.45 | 1.0525 | 1245.536 | 22.755 | 2.423 |
| I | Myctophidae | Diaphus theta | 14 | 0.04 | 1.0625 | 0.305 | 0.803 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 15 | 0.05 | 1.0525 | 0.344 | 0.719 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 15 | 0.05 | 1.0675 | 0.851 | 1.785 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 17 | 0.07 | 1.0650 | 2.241 | 3.297 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 17 | 0.08 | 1.0675 | 1.695 | 2.212 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.08 | 1.0625 | 1.141 | 1.493 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 0.25 | 1.0650 | 0.066 | 0.028 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.46 | 1.0650 | 0.524 | 0.121 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.37 | 1.0625 | 38.104 | 9.863 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.50 | 1.0650 | 0 | 0 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.51 | 1.0675 | 0.305 | 0.064 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.71 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.58 | 1.0675 | 30.883 | 5.378 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.64 | 1.0625 | 0 | 0 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.86 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.86 | 1.0575 | 7.492 | 0.913 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 1.05 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 1.05 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 1.01 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 1.12 | 1.0575 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 1.17 | 1.0525 | 12.166 | 1.083 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 1.66 | 1.0600 | 42.318 | 2.631 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 1.61 | 1.0650 | 0 | 0 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 47 | 1.75 | 1.0475 | P | - | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 48 | 1.98 | 1.0675 | R | - | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 54 | 2.96 | 1.0625 | 0 | 0 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 54 | 2.58 | 1.0675 | 0 | 0 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 54 | 2.69 | 1.0450 | P |  | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 2.95 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 2.99 | 1.0500 | R | - | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 65 | 5.35 | 1.0475 | P | - | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 73 | 7.03 | 1.0500 | 1.249 | 0.019 | 2.190 |
| I | Myctophidae | Triphoturus nigrescens | 32 | 0.22 | 1.0700 | 1.778 | 0.857 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.27 | 1.0625 | R |  | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.24 | 1.0600 | 18.368 | 7.504 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 0.61 | 1.0425 | 24.638 | 4.040 | 1.487 |
| I | Melamphaeidae | Scopeloberyx opisthopterus | 22 | 0.19 | 1.0525 | 0.066 | 0.036 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.46 | 1.0450 | 4.877 | 1.096 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.60 | 1.0375 | 0.324 | 0.056 | 1.012 |
| I | Myctophidae | Notolychnus valdiviae | 13 | 0.02 | 1.0575 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.06 | 1.0400 | 0.305 | 0.526 | 1.250 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.11 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.09 | 1.0400 | 0.461 | 0.530 | 1.250 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.11 | 1.0400 | 0.222 | 0.209 | 1.250 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.10 | 1.0425 | 0.324 | 0.337 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 0.13 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.14 | 1.0450 | R | - | 1.722 |
| I | Melamphaeidae | Melamphaes suborbitalis | 24 | 0.19 | 1.0600 | 8.162 | 4.355 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.26 | 1.0775 | 14.222 | 5.566 | 4.687 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} L_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $W_{\mathbf{w}}$ <br> (g) | $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ | Gas vol. (mm ${ }^{3}$ ) | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\begin{gathered} V_{G} \\ (\% \text { total }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Melamphaeidae | Melamphaes suborbitalis | 68 | 6.10 | 1.0400 | P | - | 1.250 |
| I | Phosichthyidae | Ichthyococcus irregularis | 24 | 0.37 | 1.0500 | 6.692 | 1.864 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.63 | 1.0450 | 74.274 | 10.969 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 1.09 | 1.0375 | 25.967 | 2.412 | 1.012 |
| II | Melamphaeidae | Melamphaes parvus | 21 | 0.23 | 1.0700 | R | - | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.43 | 1.0650 | R | - | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.44 | 1.0775 | 19.136 | 4.476 | 4.687 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 2.11 | 1.0550 | 0 | 0 | 2.654 |
| II | Gonostomatidae | Cyclothone atraria | 21 | 0.06 | 1.0550 | 0 | 0 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 0.06 | 1.0525 | 1.075 | 1.852 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.08 | 1.0575 | 2.439 | 3.123 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.14 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.15 | 1.0550 | 0 | 0 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.12 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.15 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 0.30 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 0.45 | 1.0550 | 0 | 0 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 47 | 0.47 | 1.0400 | 0 | 0 | 1.250 |
| II | Myctophidae | Nannobrachium hawaiiensis | 24 | 0.11 | 1.0600 | 0.527 | 0.505 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.13 | 1.0525 | 0.390 | 0.315 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 46 | 0.71 | 1.0525 | 1.249 | 0.185 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 47 | 0.77 | 1.0575 | 0.288 | 0.040 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 47 | 0.82 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 51 | 0.97 | 1.0525 | 1.778 | 0.193 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 52 | 1.59 | 1.0575 | 0.856 | 0.057 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 53 | 1.03 | 1.0500 | 0.836 | 0.085 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 59 | 1.85 | 1.0525 | 2.751 | 0.156 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 60 | 1.72 | 1.0425 | 0.749 | 0.045 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 61 | 1.80 | 1.0525 | 3.026 | 0.177 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 62 | 1.93 | 1.0550 | 11.476 | 0.623 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 62 | 1.83 | 1.0475 | 1.778 | 0.102 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 63 | 2.04 | 1.0475 | 1.358 | 0.070 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 63 | 1.85 | 1.0525 | 3.843 | 0.218 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 64 | 1.76 | 1.0550 | 3.773 | 0.226 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 65 | 2.33 | 1.0475 | 4.302 | 0.193 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 68 | 2.69 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 69 | 2.60 | 1.0525 | 1.829 | 0.074 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 75 | 2.73 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 78 | 3.50 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 92 | 5.63 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
| II | Myctophidae | Ceratoscopelus townsendi | 21 | 0.11 | 1.0550 | 0.305 | 0.292 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.10 | 1.0550 | 0.176 | 0.185 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.11 | 1.0650 | 2.743 | 2.587 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.11 | 1.0575 | 0.390 | 0.374 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 0.13 | 1.0625 | 0.629 | 0.512 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 0.14 | 1.0625 | 5.267 | 3.844 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 0.14 | 1.0650 | 0.856 | 0.647 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 0.14 | 1.0625 | 1.249 | 0.939 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 0.14 | 1.0650 | 0.836 | 0.632 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.21 | 1.0650 | 9.659 | 4.670 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.23 | 1.0700 | 5.463 | 2.478 | 4.019 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} L_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $W_{\text {W }}$ <br> (g) | $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ | Gas vol. ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\begin{gathered} V_{G} \\ (\% \text { total }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | Myctophidae | Ceratoscopelus townsendi | 27 | 0.25 | 1.0650 | 10.313 | 4.208 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.21 | 1.0825 | 15.822 | 7.541 | 5.127 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.25 | 1.0650 | 10.891 | 4.434 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.31 | 1.0750 | 13.808 | 4.569 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.36 | 1.0650 | 19.185 | 5.371 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.40 | 1.0675 | 0.020 | 0.005 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.40 | 1.0700 | 32.631 | 8.028 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.46 | 1.0625 | 17.975 | 3.986 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 0.62 | 1.0700 | 29.276 | 4.809 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 0.65 | 1.0675 | 0 | 0 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.91 | 1.0575 | 12.232 | 1.402 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.89 | 1.0575 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 0.82 | 1.0600 | 0 | 0 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 1.14 | 1.0625 | 0 | 0 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 1.08 | 1.0575 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 1.10 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 1.32 | 1.0550 | 0 | 0 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 46 | 1.45 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 46 | 1.46 | 1.0600 | 0 | 0 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 2.08 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 1.85 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 1.90 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 51 | 2.21 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 52 | 2.02 | 1.0575 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 52 | 1.73 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 53 | 2.38 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 53 | 2.47 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 53 | 2.22 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 54 | 2.34 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 54 | 2.33 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 57 | 2.57 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 60 | 2.83 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
| II | Melamphaeidae | Scopelogadus mizolepis | 25 | 0.27 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.33 | 1.0575 | 0.066 | 0.021 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.79 | 1.0575 | 1.553 | 0.208 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 1.11 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 1.10 | 1.0575 | 0.836 | 0.080 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 1.26 | 1.0550 | 0 | 0 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 1.42 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 48 | 2.03 | 1.0525 | 2.746 | 0.142 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 3.30 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 58 | 3.55 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 60 | 3.92 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 61 | 4.72 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 61 | 4.32 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 62 | 4.02 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 63 | 5.54 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 68 | 6.66 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 69 | 6.40 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 74 | 9.28 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 83 | 12.96 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} L_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $W_{\mathrm{W}}$ <br> (g) | $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ | Gas vol. (mm ${ }^{3}$ ) | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\begin{gathered} V_{\mathrm{G}} \\ (\% \text { total) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | Melamphaeidae | Poromitra crassiceps | 20 | 0.09 | 1.0550 | 6.982 | 7.565 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.16 | 1.0625 | R | - | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.19 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.20 | 1.0550 | 17.253 | 8.342 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.26 | 1.0575 | 0.610 | 0.247 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.26 | 1.0475 | 0.195 | 0.079 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.50 | 1.0525 | 2.653 | 0.555 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.48 | 1.0450 | 0.288 | 0.063 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.65 | 1.0475 | 22.889 | 3.557 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.84 | 1.0525 | 0.371 | 0.046 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 1.22 | 1.0425 | 2.129 | 0.182 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 49 | 2.28 | 1.0475 | P | - | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 51 | 2.56 | 1.0425 | 0.222 | 0.009 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 60 | 4.01 | 1.0425 | 0.256 | 0.007 | 1.487 |
| II | Myctophidae | Nannobrachium ritteri | 19 | 0.06 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.11 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.12 | 1.0525 | 0.527 | 0.460 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.14 | 1.0450 | 0.836 | 0.620 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.17 | 1.0475 | 1.249 | 0.763 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.14 | 1.0575 | 1.717 | 1.280 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.17 | 1.0500 | 1.195 | 0.733 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.21 | 1.0500 | 2.439 | 1.205 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.26 | 1.0475 | 0.020 | 0.008 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.26 | 1.0500 | 1.434 | 0.576 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.29 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.26 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.31 | 1.0425 | 0.683 | 0.229 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.46 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.31 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.31 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.30 | 1.0500 | 3.182 | 1.102 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.38 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.33 | 1.0450 | 3.312 | 1.038 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.36 | 1.0475 | 1.668 | 0.483 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.35 | 1.0475 | 2.695 | 0.800 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 0.40 | 1.0450 | 2.765 | 0.717 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 0.37 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 0.41 | 1.0425 | 0.222 | 0.056 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.46 | 1.0425 | 0.832 | 0.188 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.49 | 1.0400 | 3.358 | 0.708 | 1.250 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 0.64 | 1.0400 | 0 | 0 | 1.250 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 0.54 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 0.57 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 0.68 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 44 | 0.68 | 1.0425 | 2.836 | 0.433 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 0.76 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 0.79 | 1.0425 | 0.156 | 0.021 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 0.69 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 0.82 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 48 | 0.98 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 49 | 0.92 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $W_{\text {w }}$ <br> (g) | $\rho_{\mathrm{r}}$ | Gas vol. ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\begin{gathered} V_{\mathrm{G}} \\ (\% \text { total }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | Myctophidae | Nannobrachium ritteri | 49 | 1.11 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 49 | 1.12 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 49 | 1.09 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 51 | 1.38 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 51 | 1.32 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 1.56 | 1.0350 | 0 | 0 | 0.773 |
|  |  |  | 58 | 1.79 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 59 | 1.90 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 77 | 4.66 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
|  |  |  | 78 | 4.82 | 1.0300 | 0 | 0 | 0.291 |
|  |  |  | 82 | 4.79 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 85 | 5.91 | 1.0363 | 0 | 0 | 0.893 |
|  |  |  | 85 | 6.50 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 90 | 8.30 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 91 | 7.50 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
|  |  |  | 93 | 8.36 | 1.0313 | 0 | 0 | 0.412 |
|  |  |  | 93 | 8.48 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
|  |  |  | 94 | 8.68 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 94 | 8.10 | 1.0338 | 0 | 0 | 0.653 |
| II | Myctophidae | Triphoturus mexicanus | 17 | 0.04 | 1.0475 | 1.141 | 2.902 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 18 | 0.03 | 1.0425 | 1.778 | 5.818 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.05 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 0.06 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.05 | 1.0525 | 3.246 | 6.396 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 0.06 | 1.0475 | R | - | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.05 | 1.0450 | 3.246 | 6.353 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.05 | 1.0475 | 0.156 | 0.326 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.07 | 1.0550 | 2.439 | 3.545 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.04 | 1.0425 | 2.497 | 6.111 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.12 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.13 | 1.0525 | 1.434 | 1.148 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.12 | 1.0550 | 0.390 | 0.342 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.14 | 1.0425 | 0.066 | 0.049 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.18 | 1.0450 | 0.324 | 0.188 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.19 | 1.0425 | 1.141 | 0.622 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.22 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.26 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.26 | 1.0400 | 0.312 | 0.125 | 1.250 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.25 | 1.0450 | 0.324 | 0.135 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.28 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.30 | 1.0375 | 1.210 | 0.417 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.30 | 1.0375 | 0.461 | 0.159 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.24 | 1.0425 | 0.549 | 0.238 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.25 | 1.0400 | 0 | 0 | 1.250 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.29 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.35 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.42 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.35 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.38 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.41 | 1.0350 | 0 | 0 | 0.773 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 0.41 | 1.0350 | 0 | 0 | 0.773 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} L_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\mathrm{W}} \\ (\mathrm{~g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gas vol. } \\ \left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\begin{gathered} V_{G} \\ (\% \text { total }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | Myctophidae | Triphoturus mexicanus | 39 | 0.43 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 0.56 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 46 | 0.79 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 48 | 0.94 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 1.10 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 51 | 1.15 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 51 | 1.01 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 52 | 1.23 | 1.0300 | 0 | 0 | 0.291 |
|  |  |  | 52 | 1.20 | 1.0338 | 0 | 0 | 0.653 |
|  |  |  | 52 | 1.20 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 1.58 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
|  |  |  | 56 | 1.70 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 58 | 1.95 | 1.0338 | 0 | 0 | 0.653 |
|  |  |  | 58 | 1.92 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 59 | 1.79 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 59 | 1.47 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 64 | 2.09 | 1.0300 | 0 | 0 | 0.291 |
|  |  |  | 66 | 2.55 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 66 | 2.12 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
|  |  |  | 68 | 2.82 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
| II | Myctophidae | Stenobrachius leucopsarus | 20 | 0.07 | 1.0475 | 0.480 | 0.714 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.07 | 1.0500 | 0.344 | 0.513 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.09 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.08 | 1.0575 | 1.931 | 2.490 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.10 | 1.0500 | 1.180 | 1.224 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.17 | 1.0425 | 1.053 | 0.642 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.13 | 1.0450 | 7.928 | 5.991 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.16 | 1.0450 | 0.156 | 0.102 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.20 | 1.0350 | 0.324 | 0.168 | 0.773 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.17 | 1.0375 | 8.230 | 4.783 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.18 | 1.0375 | 6.692 | 3.714 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.17 | 1.0375 | 19.509 | 10.640 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.22 | 1.0375 | 2.439 | 1.137 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.21 | 1.0375 | 67.777 | 25.085 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.27 | 1.0375 | 0.039 | 0.015 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.32 | 1.0375 | 3.121 | 1.002 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.33 | 1.0325 | 2.056 | 0.639 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.28 | 1.0325 | 2.261 | 0.827 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.30 | 1.0350 | 0.527 | 0.181 | 0.773 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.34 | 1.0350 | 0 | 0 | 0.773 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.34 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.47 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.42 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.35 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.39 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.38 | 1.0375 | 0.176 | 0.048 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.43 | 1.0375 | 16.458 | 3.819 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.48 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.45 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.52 | 1.0350 | 0 | 0 | 0.773 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.49 | 1.0350 | 0.832 | 0.175 | 0.773 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} L_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $W_{\mathbf{W}}$ <br> (g) | $\rho_{\mathrm{r}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gas vol. } \\ \left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\begin{gathered} V_{\mathrm{G}} \\ (\% \text { total) }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | Myctophidae | Stenobrachius leucopsarus | 37 | 0.57 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 0.48 | 1.0285 | 0.156 | 0.033 | 0.146 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 0.53 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 0.54 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.63 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 0.62 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 0.83 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 0.82 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 44 | 0.94 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
|  |  |  | 47 | 1.07 | 1.0270 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
|  |  |  | 52 | 1.78 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
|  |  |  | 53 | 1.66 | 1.0270 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
|  |  |  | 54 | 1.62 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
|  |  |  | 57 | 2.15 | 1.0270 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
|  |  |  | 60 | 2.28 | 1.0270 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
|  |  |  | 60 | 2.67 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
|  |  |  | 61 | 2.65 | 1.0270 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
|  |  |  | 62 | 2.77 | 1.0270 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
|  |  |  | 63 | 3.10 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
|  |  |  | 67 | 4.02 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
|  |  |  | 67 | 3.63 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
|  |  |  | 72 | 4.23 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
|  |  |  | 75 | 5.36 | 1.0313 | 0 | 0 | 0.412 |
|  |  |  | 76 | 4.56 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 76 | 4.91 | 1.0280 | 0 | 0 | 0.097 |
|  |  |  | 83 | 6.65 | 1.0280 | 0 | 0 | 0.097 |
| II | Myctophidae | Nannobrachium regale | 23 | 0.10 | 1.0525 | 14.222 | 13.020 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.14 | 1.0575 | 3.246 | 2.393 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.16 | 1.0550 | 8.733 | 5.445 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.20 | 1.0650 | 1.429 | 0.755 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.51 | 1.0625 | 0 | 0 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 0.55 | 1.0550 | 1.775 | 0.339 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 46 | 0.71 | 1.0525 | 0.198 | 0.029 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 48 | 0.97 | 1.0525 | 1.075 | 0.117 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 48 | 1.09 | 1.0525 | 46.334 | 4.282 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 51 | 1.24 | 1.0425 | 2.651 | 0.222 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 51 | 1.13 | 1.0525 | 7.023 | 0.650 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 51 | 1.08 | 1.0725 | 42.862 | 4.083 | 4.242 |
|  |  |  | 53 | 1.41 | 1.0525 | 2.029 | 0.151 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 53 | 1.52 | 1.0475 | 10.398 | 0.711 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 61 | 2.11 | 1.0525 | 0.156 | 0.008 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 61 | 2.07 | 1.0475 | 1.229 | 0.062 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 79 | 4.81 | 1.0438 | 0 | 0 | 1.605 |
|  |  |  | 99 | 8.92 | 1.0438 | 0 | 0 | 1.605 |
|  |  |  | 134 | 27.31 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
| II | Melamphaeidae | Melamphaes lugubris | 22 | 0.18 | 1.0625 | 2.439 | 1.419 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.35 | 1.0675 | 0 | 0 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.35 | 1.0525 | 11.476 | 3.336 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.72 | 1.0675 | 8.230 | 1.206 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 1.13 | 1.0650 | P | - | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 1.86 | 1.0625 | 62.705 | 3.458 | 3.341 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} L_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $W_{\text {w }}$ <br> (g) | $\rho_{\text {r }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gas vol. } \\ \left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\begin{gathered} V_{G} \\ (\% \text { total }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | Melamphaeidae | Melamphaes lugubris | 50 | 2.84 | 1.0425 | 30.815 | 1.118 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 75 | 13.30 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
|  |  |  | 79 | 14.65 | 1.0285 | 0 | 0 | 0.146 |
| III | Scopelarchidae | Scopelarchus stephensi | 25 | 0.17 | 1.0700 | 0 | 0 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 0.50 | 1.0825 | 0 | 0 | 5.127 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 1.87 | 1.0800 | 0 | 0 | 4.907 |
| III | Notosudidae | Scopelosaurus harryi | 43 | 0.16 | 1.0625 | 0 | 0 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 48 | 0.26 | 1.0600 | 0 | 0 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 48 | 0.28 | 1.0600 | 0 | 0 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 0.28 | 1.0575 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 52 | 0.34 | 1.0625 | 0 | 0 | 3.341 |
| III | Paralepididae | Arctozenus risso | 35 | 0.05 | 1.0800 | 0 | 0 | 4.907 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 0.11 | 1.0675 | 0 | 0 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 53 | 0.22 | 1.0650 | 0 | 0 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 0.23 | 1.0625 | 0 | 0 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 56 | 0.27 | 1.0625 | 0 | 0 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 124 | 2.32 | 1.0550 | 0 | 0 | 2.654 |
| III | Stomiidae | Chauliodus macouni | 30 | 0.10 | 1.0550 | 0 | 0 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 34 | 0.10 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 0.13 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 83 | 1.79 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 113 | 4.07 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 122 | 6.41 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
| III | Stomiidae | Aristostomias xenostoma | 33 | 0.18 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 0.23 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 0.22 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.28 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 0.30 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
| III | Bathylagidae | Leuroglossus stilbius | 25 | 0.14 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.16 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.13 | 1.0400 | 0 | 0 | 1.250 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.13 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.18 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.19 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
| III | Stomiidae | Photonectes parvimanus | 30 | 0.15 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.16 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.17 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.20 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.19 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 67 | 1.37 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
| III | Platytroctidae | Holtbyrnia latifrons | 20 | 0.06 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 21 | 0.05 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 22 | 0.05 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 0.07 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.25 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 52 | 1.08 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
| III | Platytroctidae | Sagamichthys abei | 27 | 0.14 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.13 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.14 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.36 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 67 | 3.00 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} L_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\mathrm{W}} \\ (\mathrm{~g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\rho_{\text {r }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gas vol. } \\ \left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\underset{(\% \text { total })}{V_{G}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III | Alepocephalidae | Alepocephalus tenebrosus | 27 | 0.10 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.27 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 47 | 1.03 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 1.11 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
| III | Stomiidae | Bathophilus flemingi | 34 | 0.31 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.19 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 0.32 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 0.33 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 0.41 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 46 | 0.43 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
| III | Gonostomatidae | Cyclothone acclinidens | 25 | 0.07 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.07 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 26 | 0.09 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.10 | 1.0700 | 0 | 0 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.22 | 1.0775 | 0 | 0 | 4.687 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.31 | 1.0775 | 0 | 0 | 4.687 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.14 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.11 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.14 | 1.0575 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.17 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.13 | 1.0625 | 0 | 0 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.15 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.14 | 1.0550 | 0 | 0 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.16 | 1.0575 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.16 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.18 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.15 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.23 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 0.27 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 0.31 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 0.19 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 0.46 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 0.16 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 44 | 0.40 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 0.47 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 46 | 0.56 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 46 | 0.48 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 46 | 0.47 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 47 | 0.39 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 47 | 0.49 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 48 | 0.48 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 49 | 0.51 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 0.70 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 0.52 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 51 | 0.60 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 51 | 0.66 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 51 | 0.66 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 51 | 0.80 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 51 | 0.63 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 52 | 0.75 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 52 | 0.61 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\mathrm{W}} \\ (\mathrm{~g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gas vol. } \\ \left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\underset{(\% \text { total })}{V_{G}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III | Gonostomatidae | Cyclothone acclinidens | 55 | 0.88 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 58 | 0.35 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 61 | 1.07 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
| III | Gonostomatidae | Cyclothone pallida | 30 | 0.12 | 1.0400 | 0 | 0 | 1.250 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 0.24 | 1.0575 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 54 | 0.71 | 1.0400 | 0 | 0 | 1.250 |
|  |  |  | 56 | 0.82 | 1.0400 | 0 | 0 | 1.250 |
|  |  |  | 62 | 1.04 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 68 | 1.37 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
| III | Bathylagidae | Bathylagoides wesethi | 24 | 0.13 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.10 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 0.13 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 0.15 | 1.0575 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.16 | 1.0575 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.19 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.18 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.28 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.23 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.14 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.18 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.21 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 0.14 | 1.0600 | 0 | 0 | 3.113 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.27 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.19 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 32 | 0.31 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.32 | 1.0575 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 33 | 0.30 | 1.0575 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0.29 | 1.0575 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.40 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 0.40 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 37 | 0.36 | 1.0550 | 0 | 0 | 2.654 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 0.57 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 0.66 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 0.67 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 41 | 0.65 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 0.67 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 0.64 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 43 | 0.70 | 1.0575 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 44 | 0.84 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 44 | 0.72 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 0.78 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 0.85 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 47 | 0.94 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 49 | 0.99 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 49 | 1.00 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 1.32 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 51 | 1.27 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 52 | 1.38 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 53 | 1.44 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 53 | 1.44 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 55 | 1.61 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{S}} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $W_{\text {w }}$ <br> (g) | $\rho_{\text {f }}$ | Gas vol. ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\underset{(\% \text { total })}{V_{G}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III | Bathylagidae | Bathylagoides wesethi | 56 | 1.48 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 57 | 1.67 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 58 | 1.51 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 60 | 1.77 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 61 | 1.91 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 62 | 1.92 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 63 | 2.10 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 63 | 2.21 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 64 | 2.43 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 66 | 2.46 | 1.0400 | 0 | 0 | 1.250 |
|  |  |  | 69 | 3.39 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 70 | 3.45 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 76 | 4.20 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
| III | Stomiidae | Tactostoma macropus | 76 | 0.40 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 215 | 13.14 | 1.0363 | 0 | 0 | 0.893 |
|  |  |  | 226 | 18.74 | 1.0413 | 0 | 0 | 1.369 |
|  |  |  | 254 | 30.13 | 1.0363 | 0 | 0 | 0.893 |
| III | Stomiidae | Stomias atriventer | 139 | 6.90 | 1.0400 | 0 | 0 | 1.250 |
|  |  |  | 153 | 9.40 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 156 | 13.30 | 1.0400 | 0 | 0 | 1.250 |
|  |  |  | 187 | 20.54 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
| III | Myctophidae | Parvilux ingens | 80 | 3.87 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 91 | 5.78 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 99 | 7.89 | 1.0438 | 0 | 0 | 1.605 |
|  |  |  | 120 | 15.66 | 1.0413 | 0 | 0 | 1.369 |
|  |  |  | 128 | 17.29 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
|  |  |  | 160 | 44.14 | 1.0363 | 0 | 0 | 0.893 |
| III | Stomiidae | Idiacanthus antrostomus | 58 | 0.09 | 1.0625 | 0 | 0 | 3.341 |
|  |  |  | 66 | 0.15 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 68 | 0.14 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 71 | 0.16 | 1.0575 | 0 | 0 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 73 | 0.13 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 73 | 0.16 | 1.0675 | 0 | 0 | 3.794 |
|  |  |  | 73 | 0.26 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 75 | 0.18 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 79 | 0.27 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 91 | 0.34 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 115 | 0.88 | 1.0438 | 0 | 0 | 1.605 |
|  |  |  | 143 | 2.87 | 1.0413 | 0 | 0 | 1.369 |
|  |  |  | 153 | 1.43 | 1.0438 | 0 | 0 | 1.605 |
|  |  |  | 153 | 1.89 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 162 | 3.03 | 1.0363 | 0 | 0 | 0.893 |
|  |  |  | 163 | 2.14 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 177 | 1.67 | 1.0438 | 0 | 0 | 1.605 |
|  |  |  | 178 | 2.40 | 1.0413 | 0 | 0 | 1.369 |
|  |  |  | 197 | 2.35 | 1.0438 | 0 | 0 | 1.605 |
|  |  |  | 329 | 15.99 | 1.0375 | 0 | 0 | 1.012 |
|  |  |  | 385 | 25.89 | 1.0338 | 0 | 0 | 0.653 |
| III | Bathylagidae | Lipolagus ochotensis | 25 | 0.10 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 0.14 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 0.26 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |

Table S3. (continued)

| Group | Family | Species | $\underset{(\mathrm{mm})}{L_{\mathrm{S}}}$ | $\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathrm{w}}$ <br> (g) | $\rho_{\text {f }}$ | Gas vol. ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | Gas vol. (\% total) | $\begin{gathered} V_{\mathrm{G}} \\ (\% \text { total }) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III | Bathylagidae | Lipolagus ochotensis | 32 | 0.28 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 36 | 0.42 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 42 | 0.63 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 0.82 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 0.80 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 45 | 0.78 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
|  |  |  | 48 | 1.01 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 74 | 3.40 | 1.0325 | 0 | 0 | 0.533 |
|  |  |  | 110 | 12.25 | 1.0338 | 0 | 0 | 0.653 |
| III | Myctophidae | Lobianchia gemellarii | 55 | 2.93 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
|  |  |  | 57 | 3.25 | 1.0400 | 0 | 0 | 1.250 |
|  |  |  | 65 | 4.83 | 1.0350 | 0 | 0 | 0.773 |
| (epip.) | Carangidae | Seriola lalandi | 83 | 12.39 | 1.0775 | P | 0 | 4.687 |
| (epip.) | Scomberesocidae | Cololabis saira | 33 | 0.13 | 1.0875 | 0 | 0 | 5.563 |
|  |  |  | 157 | 15.35 | 1.0775 | P | - | 4.687 |
| - | Nemichthyidae | Nemichthys scolopaceus | 544 | 3.64 | 1.0675 | 0 | 0 | 3.794 |
| - | Platytroctidae | Mirorictus taningi | 47 | 0.83 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
| - | Gonostomatidae | Diplophos taenia | 33 | 0.05 | 1.0750 | 2.614 | 0 | 4.465 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 0.08 | 1.0725 | 0.020 | 0 | 4.242 |
| - | Sternoptychidae | Valenciennellus tripunctulatis | 24 | 0.17 | 1.0775 | 0.156 | 0 | 4.687 |
|  |  |  | 28 | 0.19 | 1.0700 | R | 0 | 4.019 |
| - | Phosichthyidae | Vinciguerria lucetia | 18 | 0.06 | 1.0650 | 2.439 | 4.150 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 24 | 0.15 | 1.0700 | 0.156 | 0.111 | 4.019 |
| - | Stomiidae | Aristostomias scintillans | 43 | 0.36 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
| - | Stomiidae | Opostomias mitsuii | 65 | 1.07 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
| - | Stomiidae | Eustomias sp. | 64 | 0.37 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
| - | Stomiidae | Photonectes sp. | 31 | 0.13 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 0.24 | 1.0450 | 0 | 0 | 1.722 |
| - | Stomiidae | Bathophilus kingi | 76 | 1.93 | 1.0425 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
| - | Stomiidae | Bathophilus pawneei | 22 | 0.08 | 1.0525 | 0 | 0 | 2.423 |
| - | Stomiidae | Astronesthes nigroides | 28 | 0.23 | 1.0475 | 0 | 0 | 1.957 |
| - | Scopelarchidae | Scopelarchus analis | 36 | 0.30 | 1.0750 | 0 | 0 | 4.465 |
| - | Scopelarchidae | Scopelarchus guentheri | 34 | 0.14 | 1.0650 | 0 | 0 | 3.568 |
|  |  |  | 38 | 0.23 | 1.0700 | 0 | 0 | 4.019 |
| - | Paralepididae | Lestidiops ringens | 85 | 0.69 | 1.0700 | 0 | 0 | 4.019 |
|  |  |  | 90 | 0.96 | 1.0675 | 0 | 0 | 3.794 |
| - | Paralepididae | Lestidiops pacificum | 68 | 0.68 | 1.0500 | 0 | 0 | 2.190 |
| - | Myctophidae | Diaphus phillipsi | 43 | 1.42 | 1.0775 | 30.059 | 0 | 4.687 |
| - | Myctophidae | Nannobrachium bristori | 106 | 11.60 | 1.043 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
| - |  |  | 121 | 14.48 | 1.043 | 0 | 0 | 1.487 |
| - | Myctophidae | Nannobrachium lineatum | 57 | 1.12 | 1.0525 | P | 0 | 2.423 |
| - | Melamphaeidae | Melamphaes polylepis | 90 | 16.24 | 1.0250 | 0 | 0 | -0.195 |
| - | Melamphaeidae | Melamphaes laeviceps | 16 | 0.08 | 1.0625 | 0 | 0 | 3.341 |

Table S4. Swimbladder inflation, lipid content, and body specific gravity for species in this and previous studies. In the "Gas" column, ratios represent the fraction of animals containing gas in their swimbladder. High lipid levels are defined as $>9 \%$ of wet weight or $40 \%$ of dry weight. Dense density refers to species which were identified as dense-bodied, but for which no measurements were reported. Brackets indicate data from this study. Numbered references are listed in the table footnote. Species are ordered as in Table S3, and omitted where no data are available.

| Fish group | Species | Gas | Lipid content | Density (g ml ${ }^{-1}$ ) or specific gravity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | T. crenularis | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 14<34 \mathrm{~mm}, 4 / 19>30 \mathrm{~mm}^{5} ; \\ & \text { some }^{22} ; 3 / 90 \text { trawl, } 66 / 128 \text { surface }{ }^{24} ; \\ & 13 / 25^{13} ; 7 / 9^{15} ; \text { no } \text { gas }^{7} ; \text { increases } \\ & \text { with } L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{13,24} ;[12 / 20] \end{aligned}$ | Low $^{5,7,22,25}$; does not increase with $L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{5,22}$ | $1.086-1.089^{5} ;$ $1.074-1.080^{22} ;$ $1.055-1.080^{13} ;$ [1.055-1.080] |
| I | H. reinhardtii | $\mathrm{Gas}^{3} ;[4 / 4]$ ] | Low ${ }^{7,34}$ | [1.063-1.078] |
| I | N. resplendens | $\mathrm{Gas}^{4,22,29}$; increases with $L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{29} ;[2 / 5]$ | Low ${ }^{19,22,34} ;$ high $^{31}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.050^{22} ; \\ & {[1.070-1.078]} \end{aligned}$ |
| I | D. atlanticus | Gas ${ }^{4,20,29}$; increases with $L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{29}$; [24/25] | - 17221934 | [1.055-1.080] |
| I | M. nitidulum | Gas ${ }^{4,10,22,33}$; increases with $L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{4,10,33}$; [15/17] | Low ${ }^{17,22,19,34}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.050^{22} ; \\ & {[1.060-1.078]} \end{aligned}$ |
| I | E. risso | Gas ${ }^{18,20}$; increases with $L_{\text {S }}{ }^{18} ;[2 / 3]$ | Low | [1.070-1.073] |
| I | H. proximum | $L_{\text {S }}$ | Low ${ }^{32}$ | [1.065-1.075] |
| I | P. crockeri | $\mathrm{Gas}^{5,7,15,22,28} ; 44 / 51^{13} ;[19 / 28]$ | Low ${ }^{5,22}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dense }^{22} ; \\ & 1.065-1.080^{13} ; \\ & {[1.058-1.083]} \end{aligned}$ |
| I | L. urophaos | Gas $^{4,29}$; smaller fish only ${ }^{22}$; increases with $L_{\mathrm{S}}^{4,29}$; decr. with $L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{22} ;[10 / 12]$ | High, increases with $L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{22}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.030-1.044^{22} \\ & \text { [1.050-1.078] } \end{aligned}$ |
| I | B. longipes | $\mathrm{Gas}^{22} ;[4 / 4]$ | Low ${ }^{8,22}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dense }^{22} ; \\ & \text { [1.068-1.073] } \end{aligned}$ |
| I | C. warmingii | Gas, increases with $L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{4,29,38}$; [18/18] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low }^{8,9,32} \\ & \text { high }_{8}^{1,30,31} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.036^{38} ; \\ & {[1.050-1.073]} \end{aligned}$ |
| I | V. nimbaria | $\mathrm{Gas}^{20}$; [9/9] | Low ${ }^{8}$ | [1.060-1.070] |
| I | V. poweriae | Gas, increases with $L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{4,18,29}$ | - ${ }^{17}$ | [1.060-1.078] |
| I | D. fulgens | [7/7] | Low ${ }^{17}$ | [1.068-1.075] |
| I | L. tenuiformis | [10/13] | Low ${ }^{8}$ | [1.060-1.073] |
| I | M. microstoma | Gas ${ }^{20}$; [5/6] | - | [1.058-1.070] |
| I | D. oculatus | $\mathrm{Gas}^{23} ;[23 / 23]$ |  | [1.058-1.075] |
| I | S. californiensis | Gas $^{3,7,15}$; dipnet only ${ }^{22}$; no gas ${ }^{36}$; 7/90 trawl, 267/289 surface, increases with $L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{24} ;[15 / 20]$ | Low $^{25}$; high $^{30,31,32}$; seasonally high, increases with $L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{22}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.044-1.060^{22} \\ & \text { [1.053-1.080] } \end{aligned}$ |
| I | C. taylori | Gas ${ }^{27}$ | increses with $L_{5}$ | [1.060-1.068] |
| I | T. bathyphilus | Gas ${ }^{4,22,29}$; increases with $L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{4,29}$; [3/3] | Low ${ }^{8,22,34}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dense }^{22} ; \\ & {[1.058-1.063]} \end{aligned}$ |
| I | A. lychnus | Gas ${ }^{6,7,16}$ | Low ${ }^{7}$ | [1.063-1.068] |
| I | A. sladeni | Gas ${ }^{6,7,20,23,28}$; increases with $L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{28}$; [26/26] | Low ${ }^{17,19,23}$ | [1.043-1.073] |
| I | A. hemigymnus | Gas ${ }^{4,18,20,29}$; increases with $L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{4,18,29}$; [17/17] | Low ${ }^{34}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.050^{29} ; \\ & {[1.058-1.073]} \end{aligned}$ |
| I | A. affinis | Gas ${ }^{6,7,16,23} ;$ [17/17] | Low ${ }^{1,7,23}$ | [1.040-1.068] |
| I | C. pseudopallida | $\mathrm{Gas}^{21}$; [12/12] | Low ${ }^{8,17,26}$ | [1.050-1.060] |
| 1 | M. simus | Gas ${ }^{11} ;[4 / 5]$ | '- ${ }^{7}$ | [1.055-1.073] |
| I | S. obscura | $\mathrm{Gas}^{7,16},[7 / 7]$ | Low $^{7}$ 18,17,19,34 | [1.053-1.058] |
| I | S. diaphana | Gas ${ }^{4,20,29,33}$; incr. with $L_{\text {S }}{ }^{4,29,33} ;[6 / 6]$ | Low $^{1,8,17,19,34}$ | [1.049-1.058] |
| I | C. signata | Gas $^{21,23} ;[35 / 36]$ | Low ${ }^{1,23,26}$ | [1.048-1.078] |

Table S4. (continued)

| Fish group | Species | Gas | Lipid content | Density ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ ) or specific gravity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | S. pseudobscura | - ${ }^{-13} \cdot 61 / 109^{13} ; 33 / 33^{15}, 12 / 14^{22}$ | Low ${ }^{34}$ | [1.048-1.058] |
| I | D. theta | $0 / 6^{6} ; 61 / 109^{13} ; 33 / 33^{15} ; 12 / 114^{22} ;$ | High ${ }^{\text {5,7,25,32 }}$; | 1.025-1.045 ${ }^{13}$; |
|  |  | $11 / 1317-24 \mathrm{~mm}, 9 / 3929-70 \mathrm{~mm}^{5}$; | increases with | $1.025-1.062^{5}$ |
|  |  | 176/176 ${ }^{36}$; some $^{2}$; no gas $^{7}$; increases | $\begin{aligned} & L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{5} ; \text { seasonally } \\ & \text { high }^{22}: \text { low }^{30,31} \end{aligned}$ | [1.038-1.068] |
|  |  | with $L_{S}{ }^{13,22}$; decreases with $L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{36}$; [20/32] | high ${ }^{\text {; }}$; ${ }^{\text {w }}$, |  |
| I | T. nigrescens | [4/4] | Low ${ }^{8}$ | [1.043-1.070] |
| I | S. opisthopterus | Gas ${ }^{4,16,29}$; increases with $L_{\text {S }}{ }^{4,29} ;[3 / 3]$ | - | [1.038-1.053] |
| I | N. valdiviae | Gas ${ }^{4,34}$; [5/8] | Low $^{8}$; High $^{34}$ | [1.038-1.058] |
| I | M. suborbitalis | Gas ${ }^{11}$; [3/3] | - | [1.040-1.078] |
| I | I. irregularis | Gas ${ }^{23}$; [3/3] | High ${ }^{23}$ | [1.038-1.050] |
| II | M. parvus | No gas ${ }^{11}$; [3/4] | - ${ }^{17}$ | [1.055-1.078] |
| II | C. atraria | - | Low ${ }^{17}$ | [1.040-1.058] |
| II | C. townsendi | $1 / 23^{22} ;[17 / 43$, no gas $>39 \mathrm{~mm}]$ | High ${ }^{1,22}$; increases with $L_{\mathrm{S}}^{22}$ | $1.041-1.057^{22}$ |
| II | S. mizolepis | Regressed swimbladder ${ }^{12,20}$; no gas $^{7,8,23} ;[4 / 19$, no gas $>48 \mathrm{~mm}]$ | Low ${ }^{7,8,23,34}$ | [1.038-1.058] |
| II | P. crassiceps | No gas ${ }^{7,8} ;[13 / 14]$ | Low ${ }^{1,7,23}$ | [1.043-1.068] |
| II | $N$. ritteri | No gas ${ }^{7,22,25}$; no gas $>23 \mathrm{~mm}^{24}$; no | $\text { High }^{1,5,7,22,25} \text {; }$ | $1.034-1.051^{22}$ |
|  |  | gas $>50 \mathrm{~mm}^{5} ;[19 / 56$, no gas $>45$ $\mathrm{mm}]$ | increases with $L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{22}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.023-1.048^{5} ; \\ & {[1.029-1.058]} \end{aligned}$ |
| II | T. mexicanus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \text { gas }^{7,22} ; \text { no gas }>49 \mathrm{~mm}^{6} ; \text { gas }>33 \\ & \mathrm{~mm}^{28} ;[18 / 52, \text { no gas }>34 \mathrm{~mm}] \end{aligned}$ | High $^{7,22,25}$; increases with | $\begin{aligned} & 1.029-1.062^{6} ; \\ & 1.025-1.033^{22} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | $L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{22}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.025-1.033^{-2} ; \\ & {[1.029-1.055]} \end{aligned}$ |
| II | S. leucopsarus | No gas ${ }^{7,37}$; no gas $>57 \mathrm{~mm}^{6}$; no gas $>$ | High ${ }^{5,7,25,30,31,32}$; | 1.015-1.025 ${ }^{13}$; |
|  |  | $35 \mathrm{~mm}^{15} ; \text { no gas }>39 \mathrm{~mm}^{5} ; \text { some }^{2}$ | increases with | $\begin{aligned} & 1.025-1.048^{5} \\ & 1027-1.044^{37} . \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | decreasing fraction up to $85 \mathrm{~mm}^{13}$; $\text { [22/57, no gas }>42 \mathrm{~mm}]$ | $L_{\mathrm{S}}{ }^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.027-1.044^{37} \\ & {[1.027-1.058]} \end{aligned}$ |
| II | N. regale | No gas ${ }^{7,22}$; no gas $>61 \mathrm{~mm}^{24}$; no gas | Low ${ }^{1,5,7,22}$; | $1.021^{22}$ |
|  |  | $>49 \mathrm{~mm}^{5} ;[14 / 18$, no gas $>61 \mathrm{~mm}]$ | high ${ }^{30,31,32}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.040-1.041^{5} ; \\ & {[1.029-1.073]} \end{aligned}$ |
| II | M. lugubris | Gas $^{7} ;$ no gas ${ }^{11} ;[6 / 9$, no gas $>50 \mathrm{~mm}]$ | - | [1.029-1.068] |

Table S4. (continued)

| Fish group | Species | Gas | Lipid content | Density ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ ) or specific gravity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III | S. stephensi | No gas ${ }^{14}$ | - | [1.070-1.083] |
| III | S. harryi | No gas ${ }^{14}$ | Low ${ }^{23}$ | [1.058-1.063] |
| III | A. risso | No gas ${ }^{14}$ | - | [1.055-1.080] |
| III | C. macouni | No gas ${ }^{7,23,35}$ | Low ${ }^{23}$; high ${ }^{1}$ | [1.045-1.055] |
| III | L. stilbius | No gas ${ }^{7,23}$ | Low ${ }^{7,23}$ | [1.038-1.053] |
| III | S. abei | No gas ${ }^{7,23}$ | Low ${ }^{7,23}$ | [1.048-1.053] |
| III | C. acclinidens | No gas ${ }^{7,20}$ | Low ${ }^{1,7,26}$ | [1.038-1.078] |
| III | C. pallida | , | Low ${ }^{8,17,26,34}$ | [1.040-1.058] |
| III | B. wesethi | No gas ${ }^{7,23}$ | Low ${ }^{7,23}$ | [1.033-1.060] |
| III | T. macropus | No gas ${ }^{35}$ | - | [1.036-1.048] |
| III | S. atriventer | No gas ${ }^{7,23}$ | Low ${ }^{23}$; igh $^{7}$ | [1.038-1.043] |
| III | $P$ ingens | No gas ${ }^{7,22} ;[0 / 6]$ | Low ${ }^{22}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.021^{22} ; \\ & {[1.036-1.048]} \end{aligned}$ |
| III | I. antrostomus | No gas ${ }^{7,23}$ | Low ${ }^{1,7,23}$ | [1.034-1.068] |
| III | L. ochotensis | No gas ${ }^{23}$ | High ${ }^{23}$ | [1.033-1.053] |
| III | L. gemellarii | Gas ${ }^{3,4,29}$; [no gas 55-65 mm] | Low $^{3,34} ;$ high $^{8}$ | [1.035-1.045] |

1. (Bailey and Robison, 1986); 2. (Barham, 1957); 3. (Bone, 1973); 4. (Brooks, 1976); 5. (Butler and Pearcy, 1972); 6. (Capen, 1967); 7. (Childress and Nygaard, 1973); 8. (Childress et al., 1990); 9. (Culkin and Morris, 1970); 10. (Dunlap, 1971); 11. (Ebeling, 1962); 12.(Ebeling and Weed, 1963); 13. (Johnson, 1979); 14. (Johnson, 1982); 15. (Kalish et al., 1986); 16. (Kanwisher and Ebeling, 1957); 17. (Kayama and Ikeda, 1975); 18. (Kleckner and Gibbs, 1972); 19. (Lee and Hirota, 1973); 20. (Marshall, 1960); 21. (Marshall, 1980); 22. (Neighbors and Nafpaktitis, 1982); 23. (Neighbors, 1988); 24. (Neighbors, 1992); 25. (Nevenzel et al., 1969); 26. (Nevenzel and Menon, 1980); 27. (Nielsen et al., 1999); 28. (Pieper and Bargo, 1980); 29. (Saenger, 1989); 30. (Saito and Murata, 1996); 31. (Saito and Murata, 1998); 32. (Seo et al., 1996); 33. (Shearer, 1971); 34. (Stickney and Torres, 1989); 35. (Yancey et al., 1989); 36. (Yasuma et al., 2003); 37. (Yasuma et al., 2006); 38. (Yasuma et al., 2010)
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# Chapter 3. The efficacy of acoustic and trawl-based estimates of the biomass of a complex aquatic community 

### 3.1. Abstract

Concurrent acoustic and trawl data were collected offshore of southern California to estimate the abundance of vertically migratory mesopelagic micronekton. Acoustic data were obtained with a multi-frequency scientific sonar, while animals were collected with a midwater trawl. Target strength (TS) models were created of the captured animals, which were then assigned to three acoustic groups based upon the frequency spectrum shape of their modeled $T S$ : I) animals with a gas inclusion, II) "large" animals with no gas inclusion, and III) "small" animals with no gas inclusion. The mean spectra of the acoustic groups were used with non-negative least squares inverse methods to estimate abundances from the multi-frequency volume backscattering $\left(S_{\mathrm{v}}\right)$. The resulting acoustic abundances were compared to the trawl catches, and capture efficiency of the net is estimated to be $14 \%, 38 \%$, and $81 \%$ for Groups I-III respectively. The ability of the inverse method to correctly decompose $S_{\mathrm{v}}$ was tested with Monte Carlo analyses of artificial echograms. The inverse method is accurate when averaged, but variance is high for individual ensonified volumes. The high variance in acoustic estimates of abundance is caused by individual variation in $T S$ frequency spectra, which is greatest for the geometric scatterers in Group II.

### 3.2. Introduction

The deep scattering layer (DSL) is a sound-reflecting layer of small fishes and zooplankton found worldwide in the open ocean at mesopelagic depths (Longhurst, 1976). The global biomass of the animals forming this layer is immense (Gjosaeter and Kawaguchi, 1980). A portion of the DSL migrates vertically to the surface at night to feed (Longhurst, 1976; Gjosaeter and Kawaguchi, 1980), and this constitutes the largest mass migration on earth (Robison, 1983). The great abundance and world-wide distribution of the animals forming the DSL makes them trophically and even biogeochemically important (Mann, 1984; Robinson et al., 2010). Despite the importance of the DSL and decades of attention by oceanographers, many fundamental aspects of its ecology remain poorly-known due to the difficulty of making direct observations.

The principal sampling techniques for the study of the DSL are acoustic surveys and nets. Acoustic estimates of abundance are generally higher than those made from trawls (Lawson et al., 2008; Kloser et al., 2009). Nets are known to undersample abundance, often by an unknown amount, due to escapement and avoidance by animals (Gartner et al., 1989). Escapement refers to the loss of captured animals through the net mesh, and avoidance results from the ability of animals to swim out of the path of the net. Capture efficiency therefore varies with the size, shape, and swimming ability of animals, and also between net designs, meshes, and trawling speeds (Gartner et al., 1989; Itaya et al., 2007). These biases are avoided with acoustic sampling, however the mixing of acoustically different individuals in an ensonified water volume makes the interpretation of volume backscattering $\left(S_{V}\right)$ difficult. The animals that comprise the DSL form a mixed assemblage, although vertical and horizontal structure has been observed (Pearcy, 1964; Backus et al., 1968; Greenblatt, 1982; Robison, 1983).

The recent commercial availability of scientific multi-frequency acoustic instruments (and software to process the data) offers a means to make improved abundance estimates. Inverse analysis of multi-frequency $S_{\mathrm{v}}$ data is effective for separation by size of fluid-filled shapes, if the frequency range encompasses the transition between Rayleigh scattering and geometric scattering (Greenlaw, 1979; Holliday and Pieper, 1995). For fluid-filled shapes, the Rayleigh-geometric transition occurs at approximately $k a=1$, where $k$ is the wavenumber and $a$ is the spherical or cylindrical radius (Anderson, 1950; Stanton, 1988). The frequency range of $38-200 \mathrm{kHz}$ spans the Rayleigh-geometric transition for radii of 1-6 mm, and is appropriate for the large zooplankters and small fishes that form the DSL. Researchers have successfully used this technique to estimate the abundance of differently-sized zooplankton and mesopelagic fishes (Greenlaw, 1979; Kalish et al., 1986; Holliday and Pieper, 1995). Inverse analysis requires a model target strength ( $T S$ ) frequency spectrum for each acoustic group (Greenlaw and Johnson, 1983). These spectra can be derived from the trawl catch by modeling $T S$ for each animal present, sorting the spectra into similarlyshaped groups, and then calculating the mean spectrum for each group. Abundance surveys that combine net deployments with acoustic data collection can thus quantify both abundance and taxonomic composition of the DSL.

A study is made here of the efficacy of acoustic estimates of biomass made from inverse modeling and of the capture efficiency of the Matsuda-Oozeki-Hu trawl (MOHT; Oozeki et al., 2004). This net design, in conjunction with a Simrad EK60 multi-frequency sonar, has recently been incorporated into the sampling program of the California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations (CalCOFI) time series to measure the
abundance and distribution of mesopelagic micronekton and small pelagic fishes. The ability of inverse methods to correctly decompose multi-frequency acoustic data from mixed aggregations was tested with a series of Monte Carlo simulations. In addition, the Monte Carlo method was used to explore the cost to accuracy resulting from the addition of more categorical resolution.

### 3.3. Materials and Methods

### 3.3.1. EK60 data collection

Acoustic backscattering data were collected using a Simrad EK60 split-beam echosounder equipped with four frequencies ( $38,70,120$, and 200 kHz ). The EK60 transducers were calibrated using the standard sphere technique (Foote et al., 1987). Pulse length was set to 0.512 ms with a ping rate of $0.5 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Beam angles were $6^{\circ}$ for the 70,120 , and 200 kHz transducers, and $12^{\circ}$ for the 38 kHz . Acoustic data were processed and cleaned using Echoview software (Myriax Software Pty Ltd) without ping-averaging. $S_{\mathrm{v}}$ was calculated at the minimum Echoview depth resolution of 1 m to the maximum depth of the trawl for the time periods corresponding to the trawling. The surface blind zone (transducer depth plus near-field) encompassed the top 10 m of the water column. For night abundance estimates, the blind zone was assumed to contain animals equivalent to the difference between day and night $38 \mathrm{kHz} S_{\mathrm{a}}\left(S_{\mathrm{v}}\right.$ integrated to 700 m ). For daylight abundance estimates, the blind zone was assumed to contain animals equivalent to the 10 20 m depth stratum. Schooling epipelagic fishes were present in two of the trawl echograms and, because these fishes were not captured by the trawl, the time periods in which epipelagic fish schools were present were excluded from the analysis.

### 3.3.2. Collection and processing of animals

Mesopelagic micronekton were collected in October 2008 on a cruise of the RV
"Melville" to the California Current System off Point Conception (cruise P0810 of the California Current Ecosystem Long Term Ecology Research program). Sampling was performed at six Lagrangian stations (around a subsurface drifter) in a region with complex mesoscale features (Figure 3.1). Animals were captured using a $5-\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{MOHT}$ with a uniform 1.7 mm square mesh towed at $1.25-1.50 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. A total of 16 oblique trawls were made to a depth of approximately 200 m . Trawl depth and water temperature were recorded using a Wildlife Computers Mk9 archival tag fixed to the frame of the MOHT. Water flow through the net was measured with a TSK flowmeter. The catch was preserved in 5\% Formalin within one hour after recovery of the net. Ashore, fishes were identified to species, weighed, and standard length $\left(L_{S}\right)$ measured to the nearest millimeter. The invertebrate catch was sub-sampled with a Folsom splitter and Stempel pipette. Invertebrates were not sorted to species, but to higher taxonomic categories. Length and width of invertebrates were measured with the ocular micrometer of a dissecting microscope.


Figure 3.1. Sampling locations off of southern California for the October 2008 cruise of the R/V "Melville".

### 3.3.3. Forward modeling

### 3.3.3.1. Fishes

Fish bodies were acoustically modeled as fluid-filled cylinders of the same length, volume, and density as the measured fishes, following Stanton (1988). The gas from the swimbladder (when deemed present) was modeled as a gas sphere following Anderson (1950). Gas was arbitrarily assumed to have $80 \%$ of the volume required for neutral buoyancy. The acoustic backscattering cross sections ( $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ ) of the modeled body and gas were summed to form the total $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}} . T S\left(\mathrm{~dB}\right.$ re $\left.1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ is the decibel form of the $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$, and the two variables are related by the equation $T S=10 \log 10\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}\right)$. The density of water was calculated per the High Pressure International Equation of State of Seawater, 1980 (Millero et al., 1980). The speed of sound in seawater, c, was calculated based upon the pressure, temperature, and salinity at 50 m depth following Mackenzie (1981). The ratio of sound speed in the fish body to that in seawater, $h$, was assumed to be 1.020 (Yasuma
et al., 2006). Because this value of $h$ was determined for $c=1490 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at $\sim 1 \mathrm{~atm}$ pressure, and the change in h with decreased temperature and increased pressure is unknown, $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ of the fish body was calculated at a depth of 0.5 m and $c=1490 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, i.e., sound speed in fish flesh is assumed to change proportionally to that of seawater with identical temperature and pressure. Tilt angle of the fishes was assumed to be $0^{\circ}$ (dorsal incidence). The gas inside the swimbladder was assumed to be an ideal gas (air) at the temperature and pressure, $P$, of the ambient water, with a ratio of specific heats $\gamma=1.4$, and a speed of sound, $c_{\mathrm{g}}$, given by $c_{\mathrm{g}}=\left(\gamma P \rho_{\mathrm{w}}^{-1}\right)^{0.5}$, where $\rho_{\mathrm{w}}$ is the density of the ambient water. Temperature and pressure of the gas were assumed to be that found at 50 m depth.

Several of the most common mesopelagic fishes in the California Current have functional swimbladders as larvae and juveniles, but non-functional swimbladders as adults (Davison, 2011). Information on body density as a function of $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ and the $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ at which gas ceases to be present were taken from Davison (2011), and are summarized in Table 3.1. For those fish species in which the swimbladder regresses with growth, individuals with $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ greater than the maximum $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ in which inflated swimbladders are found were assumed to have no gas in their swimbladder. Individuals less than or equal to the maximum $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ in which inflated swimbladders were found were all assumed to have gas in their swimbladder. Non-vertically migratory fish species that were captured by the MOHT were excluded from the forward modeling, as they were most likely caught at a depth below that corresponding to the signal-to-noise threshold of the higher frequencies.

Table 3.1. Fish density and swimbladder inflation assumptions. Body density ( $\rho$ ) parameters $a$ and $b$ correspond to the expression $\rho=a L_{\mathrm{S}}+b\left(\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}, L_{\mathrm{S}}\right.$ in m). Two sets of parameters are given for those species with a pronounced knee in their body density relationship against $L_{\mathrm{S}}\left(L_{\mathrm{S}} \leq\right.$ knee, $L_{\mathrm{S}}>$ knee respectively).

| Species | Inflated swimbladder | Knee in $\rho$ (mm) | $a$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nemichthyidae |  |  |  |  |
| Nemichthys scolopaceus | no | - | 0 | 1.050* |
| Microstomatidae |  |  |  |  |
| Microstoma microstoma | yes | - | 0 | 1.067 |
| Bathylagidae |  |  |  |  |
| Bathylagoides wesethi | no | - | -0.242 | 1.058 |
| Leuroglossus stilbius | no | - | 0 | 1.046 |
| Lipolagus ochotensis | no | - | -0.218 | 1.056 |
| Photichthyidae |  |  |  |  |
| Vinciguerria lucetia | yes | - | 0 | 1.067 |
| Stomiidae |  |  |  |  |
| Aristostomias scintillans | no | - | 0 | 1.050* |
| Bathophilus flemingi | no | - | 0 | 1.046 |
| Idiacanthus antrostomus | no | - | -0.076 | 1.058 |
| Stomias atriventer | no | - | 0 | 1.040 |
| Scopelarchidae |  |  |  |  |
| Scopelarchus analis | no | - | 0 | 1.075 |
| Notosudidae |  |  |  |  |
| Scopelosaurus harryi | no | - | 0 | 1.061 |
| Myctophidae |  |  |  |  |
| Ceratoscopelus townsendi | $<40 \mathrm{~mm}$ | - | -0.643 | 1.082 |
| Diaphus theta | yes | - | -0.278 | 1.068 |
| Diogenichthys atlanticus | yes | - | 2.190 | 1.025 |
| Diogenichthys laternatus | yes | - | 2.190** | $1.025^{* *}$ |
| Hygophum reinhardtii | yes | - | 0 | 1.071 |
| Nannobrachium hawaiiensis | $<70 \mathrm{~mm}$ | - | 0 | 1.051 |
| Nannobrachium regale | $<62 \mathrm{~mm}$ | - | -0.259 | 1.066 |
| Nannobrachium ritteri | <46 mm | 58 | $-0.563$ | $1.065$ |
|  |  |  | $0$ | $1.032$ |
| Notolychnus valdiviae | yes | - | -1.340 | 1.071 |
| Notoscopelus resplendens | yes | - | 0 | 1.075 |
| Stenobrachius leucopsarus | $<38 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 39 | -1.030 | 1.069 |
|  |  |  | 0 | 1.029 |
| Symbolophorus californiensis | yes | - | 0 | 1.068 |
| Tarletonbeania crenularis | yes | 28 | 6.430 | 0.913 |
|  |  |  | 0 | 1.084 |
| Triphoturus mexicanus | $<35 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 39 | -0.679 | 1.062 |
|  |  |  | 0 | 1.032 |
| Ophidiidae |  |  |  |  |
| Chilara taylori | yes | - | 0 | 1.063 |
| Melamphaeidae |  |  |  |  |
| Melamphaes lugubris | $<51 \mathrm{~mm}$ | - | -0.677 | 1.082 |
| Melamphaes parvus | $<30 \mathrm{~mm}$ | - | 0 | 1.067 |

Table 3.1. (continued)

| Species | Inflated <br> swimbladder | Knee in $\rho$ <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $a$ | $b$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poromitra crassiceps | yes | - | -0.353 | 1.062 |
| Scopelogadus mizolepis <br> Howellidae <br> Bathysphyraenops simplex | $<49 \mathrm{~mm}$ | - | -0.280 | 1.065 |

* body density is set to $1.050 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ for those species (and larvae) for which no data are available
** no data are available, density data from congener D. atlanticus are used


### 3.3.3.1. Invertebrates

Invertebrates from the midwater trawl catch were modeled with a variety of shapes, model types, and acoustic parameters. Fluid shapes were modeled using the distorted wave Born approximation (DWBA; Stanton et al., 1998; Stanton and Chu 2000), whereas pteropods and physonect siphonophores were modeled as spherical elastic shells and gas bubbles respectively. The taxonomic groups, fluid shapes, model parameters, and references for invertebrates are summarized in Table 3.2. Invertebrate models were averaged over tilt angle per Table 3.2.

### 3.3.3.1. Acoustic grouping

The forward-modeled frequency spectra of the animals were sorted into three acoustic groups by shape. Group I corresponded to animals with gas inclusions, Group II to "large" $(k a>1)$ animals without gas inclusions that scatter geometrically in the EK60 frequency range, and Group III to "small" $(k a<1)$ animals that scatter entirely in the Rayleigh region for the EK60 frequency range. $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ was averaged by frequency to generate a mean frequency spectrum for each acoustic group. Because the sample size of
some acoustic groups was small, the modeled catches from all trawls were lumped together to calculate mean frequency spectra. Modeled invertebrates were multiplied out corresponding to the subsample fraction of each trawl catch before their spectra were averaged together with those from modeled fish. Because the beam angle for the 38 kHz transducer was wider than that of the other frequencies, an artificial acoustic group ("Group IV") was created for strong scatterers that were detected at 38 kHz but not at the higher frequencies.

Table 3.2. Invertebrate acoustic models. $g$ and $h$ refer to the density and sound-speed contrasts respectively.

| Taxa | Shape | $g$ | $h$ | Tilt angle | Tilt angle SD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| euphausiids | bent cylinder | $1.037^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $1.011^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $15^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $24.2^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| copepods | prolate spheroid | $1.000^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $1.012^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $0^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $30^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| pteropods | spherical elastic shell | $1.732^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $1.732^{\mathrm{e}}$ | - | - |
| decapods $(\leq 25 \mathrm{~mm})$ | bent cylinder | $1.043^{\mathrm{f}}$ | $1.065^{\mathrm{f}}$ | $20^{\mathrm{g}}$ | $20^{\mathrm{g}}$ |
| decapods $(>25 \mathrm{~mm})$ | bent cylinder | $1.041^{\mathrm{h}}$ | $1.078^{\mathrm{h}}$ | $20^{\mathrm{g}}$ | $20^{\mathrm{g}}$ |
| siphonophore | hybrid gas sphere | $0.001^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.225^{\mathrm{i}}$ | - | - |
| pneumatophores |  |  |  |  |  |
| amphipods | bent cylinder | $1.058^{\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}}$ | $1.058^{\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}}$ | $0^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $30^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| chaetognaths | bent cylinder | $1.014^{\mathrm{j}}$ | $1.030^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $0^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $30^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| ostracods | bent cylinder | $1.030^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $1.030^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $0^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $30^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| polychaetes | bent cylinder | $1.030^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $1.030^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $0^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $30^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| salps | bent cylinder | $1.002^{\mathrm{k}}$ | $1.010^{\mathrm{k}}$ | $0^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $30^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| zoea | bent cylinder | $1.058^{\mathrm{d,e}}$ | $1.058^{\mathrm{d,e}}$ | $0^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $30^{\mathrm{d}}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Mikami et al., 2000; Euphausia pacifica, September)
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ (Miyashita et al. 1996; swimming E. pacifica)
${ }^{\text {c }}$ (Matsukura et al., 2009; Neocalanus cristatus, mean at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ (Lawson et al., 2004; assumptions when little or no information available)
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ (Stanton et al., 1994)
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ (Chu et al., 2000)
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ (Chu et al., 1993)
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ (Chu and Wiebe, 2005)
${ }^{\text {i }}$ (Lavery et al., 2007; carbon monoxide)
${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ (Smith et al., 2010)
${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ (Wiebe et al., 2010; Salpa thompsoni)

### 3.3.4. Inverse modeling

A non-negative least squares (NNLS) inverse method was used to estimate the abundance of animals of each acoustic group from the multi-frequency $S_{\mathrm{v}}$ data for each ensonified water parcel (Greenlaw and Johnson, 1983; Holliday and Pieper, 1995). Abundance is reported in units of "mean scatterers" per volume ensonified, i.e. the number of average animals that scatter sound according to one of the four mean TS frequency spectra contained in the water parcel. Abundances for each water parcel were vertically integrated to the trawl depth and then averaged over the time period of the trawl (mean scatterers $\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ ). For each trawl period, the Group IV inverse-modeled abundance was converted to 38 kHz area scattering and assigned to Groups I and II in proportion to the forward-modeled backscattering from those groups as represented in the trawl catch. Finally, abundance was converted to biomass $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-2}\right)$ through multiplication by the mean wet weight of animals from each acoustic group captured by the MOHT. Capture efficiency is defined as the ratio of the MOHT biomass estimate to the acoustic biomass estimate.

A series of Monte Carlo simulations was performed to test the ability of the NNLS inverse method to correctly decompose $S_{\mathrm{v}}$. In the simplest case, an artificial echogram of 50,000 water parcels was constructed from random integers of mean backscattering spectra from each acoustic group (0-9, 0-9, 1-10, $0-9$ for Groups I-IV respectively). At least one animal was required to be present in each water parcel (Group III in this case) because the NNLS function did not handle a true zero well. The presence of zero backscattering is an artificial situation, because measured $S_{\mathrm{v}}$ will always include noise, even when no targets are ensonified. The inverse model was then applied to the
artificial echogram. The abundances resulting from the inverse model were then compared to the known abundance of animals from each acoustic group. Subsequent Monte Carlo tests explored the effect of the use of randomly selected individuals rather than mean spectra, and also the effect of underdetermination (i.e., the condition when acoustic categories outnumber acoustic frequencies) on NNLS results. Individual variability in the shape of $T S$ frequency spectra is a source of error, and increased categorical resolution is desirable in acoustic surveys. For the underdetermination series of Monte Carlo simulations, the group with the most variable frequency spectra (Group II) was progressively subdivided into seven groups of more homogenously-shaped frequency spectra, each with 0-9 randomly selected individuals per ensonified water parcel. Only model fishes (no zooplankton models) were used for the individual and acoustic group spectra in the Monte Carlo simulations. These model fishes were the same ones that were used to generate the mean frequency spectra (in combination with the zooplankton models) for the biomass survey. They varied individually in their proximity to the Rayleigh-geometric transition and in the presence or absence of high-frequency resonant spikes and nulls.

### 3.4. Results

### 3.4.1. Trawl catch and forward model

Overall trawl biomass was dominated by invertebrates, with almost no fishes captured in the daylight tows (Figure 3.2). The mean night catch was 6.6-times larger than the mean day catch, indicating substantial diel vertical migration into epipelagic


Figure 3.2. (a) Catch composition of MOHT within each acoustic group (day and night). (b) MOHT catch composition of the MOHT by acoustic group and daylight. (c) Acoustic biomass estimate by acoustic group and daylight. Note that the scale differs in all three panels. Group I corresponds to animals with gas inclusions, Group II to "large" (ka > 1) animals without gas inclusions that scatter geometrically in the EK60 frequency range, and Group III to "small" $(\mathrm{ka}<1)$ animals that scatter entirely in the Rayleigh region for the EK60 frequency range.
depths at night and/or increased avoidance of the net by day. By acoustic group, the resident (non-migratory) fraction of biomass in the epipelagic zone (as defined by the ratio of the mean day catch to the mean night catch) was $2.5 \%, 4.8 \%$, and $20.4 \%$ for Groups I-III respectively. At night, fishes comprised over 99\% of Group I, 74\% of Group II, and less than $1 \%$ of Group III by weight. The fraction of the total epipelagic biomass contributed by each acoustic group increased from Groups I-II-III both day and night (Figure 3.2).

Frequency spectra from the modeled trawl catch were found to separate into three basic shapes (Figure 3.3). Group I (fishes with gas-filled swimbladders and physonect siphonophores) have a relatively flat frequency spectrum, with slightly decreasing $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ with increasing frequency. Group II (large fish without inflated swimbladders, pteropods, and large invertebrates) show a sharp increase in $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ between 38 and 70 kHz , with complex behavior (but positive average slope) at higher frequencies. The $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ of Group III (small zooplankters and small fishes without inflated swimbladders) has a steep slope with increasing frequency over the entire frequency range, a characteristic of Rayleigh scattering. Variability was observed in the modeled $\sigma_{\text {bs }}$ of Groups I and II at 120 and 200 kHz due to resonant effects (Figure 3.3). Small changes in animal length can align resonant nulls with the sampling frequency (Figure 3.4). The variability was more pronounced in Group II than in Group I.

### 3.4.2. Inverse model

The capture efficiency (mean of all 16 trawls) was lowest for Group I and highest for Group III (Table 3.3). A day-night difference in capture efficiency is significant only
for Group I (Table 3.3), with a greater fraction of Group I biomass captured at night by the MOHT. Acoustic estimates of the overall epipelagic biomass were $\sim 3$-fold higher than those from nets when biomass is averaged over all trawl periods (Figure 3.2). For individual trawls, the acoustic to trawl biomass ratio ranged widely from 0.7 to 182.9
(Figure 3.5). Similarly to the trawl catch, the fraction of total epipelagic biomass contributed by each acoustic group increased from Groups I-II-III both day and night (Figure 3.2).


Figure 3.3. (a-c) Example frequency spectra of animals belonging to the three acoustic groups determined from forward models of the trawl catch, showing the full range of TS. (d) Mean frequency spectra for the three acoustic groups. Group I (solid line) corresponds to animals with a gas inclusion, Group II (dotted line) is large invertebrates and fishes with no gas inclusion, and Group III (dashed line) is composed of small invertebrates and fishes.


Figure 3.4. Frequency spectra of the largest (radius 3.7 mm , solid line) and smallest (radius 0.3 mm , dash-dot line) gas inclusions of Group I animals captured by the MOHT. No gas inclusions resonate at the sampling frequencies of the EK60 ( $38-200 \mathrm{kHz}$ ). The frequency spectra of 54 and 64 mm fluid-filled cylinders (S. leucopsarus, Group II, dashed and dotted lines respectively) are also shown for comparison. The 64 mm modeled fish has a resonant null aligned with the 120 kHz EK60 sampling frequency. Small changes in the length of geometric scatterers result in large differences in spectral shape measured at the discrete frequencies of the EK60. EK60 frequencies are marked with vertical dotted lines.


Figure 3.5. Overall capture efficiency of mesopelagic micronekton by the MOHT for each deployment. The lines indicate the mean capture efficiency (dashed) and a capture efficiency of 1.00 (solid).

Table 3.3. Mean capture efficiency by acoustic group for 16 epipelagic trawls. Numbers in parentheses are the standard deviation. $U$ is the Mann-Whitney Rank Sum statistic for a difference between day and night capture efficiency.

|  | Day trawls <br> $(n=4)$ | Night trawls <br> $(n=12)$ | All trawls <br> $(n=16)$ | $U$ | $P$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(\%)$ | $(\%)$ | $(\%)$ |  |  |
| Group I | $1.1(1.3)$ | $18.7(12.9)$ | $14.3(13.6)$ | 0 | 0.004 |
| Group II | $23.3(44.4)$ | $42.3(25.9)$ | $37.5(30.9)$ | 21 | 0.130 |
| Group III | $92.2(134.0)$ | $76.8(46.4)$ | $80.7(72.2)$ | 19 | 0.585 |
| Total | $41.2(63.2)$ | $48.4(23.1)$ | $46.6(34.7)$ | 15 | 0.303 |

The relative contribution of Groups I-III to the overall $S_{\mathrm{a}}$ is frequency dependent.
The fraction of $S_{\mathrm{a}}$ was lowest for Group II and highest for Group I at all frequencies.
Group I contributed $94 \%$ of the backscattering at 38 kHz , and this decreased to $49 \%$ at 200 kHz.

The ability of the inverse model to correctly decompose artificial echograms constructed from mean backscattering spectra was essentially errorless (Table 3.4, "All mean spectra" test). When mean spectra were replaced with random modeled individuals for each acoustic group in isolation, both mean and standard deviation of the error increased. A fifth Monte Carlo simulation (Table 3.4, "All ind. spectra" test) addressed the case where echograms are composed of backscattering spectra from randomly selected modeled fishes from all four acoustic groups, most closely matching our biomass

Table 3.4. Monte Carlo simulations. Groups I-IV are consistent with the acoustic groups used for the biomass survey methods (Group IV consists of strong scatterers present in the wider 38 kHz beam that were subsequently allocated to Groups I and II). Mean spectra for all acoustic groups were used to construct artificial echograms except as noted in the first column, where "ind." refers to the use of randomly selected (modeled) fishes rather than an acoustic group mean. The same random number range of animals ( $0-9,0-9$, $1-10,0-9$ for Groups I-IV respectively) was used for each water parcel ( $n=50,000$ ) for all Monte Carlo simulations. Results are reported in units of individuals as the mean error with the standard deviation of the error in parentheses. NNLS inverse modeling performs perfectly when mean spectra are used, but becomes noisy when variable individual spectra are used.

| test | Group I <br> error (SD) | Group II <br> error (SD) | Group III <br> error (SD) | Group IV <br> error (SD) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All mean spectra | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ |
| Group I ind. | 0.06 | -0.26 | 0.19 | 0.64 |
|  | $(1.53)$ | $(3.80)$ | $(3.13)$ | $(20.29)$ |
| Group II ind. | -0.47 | 1.37 | -1.00 | 6.01 |
|  | $(1.53)$ | $(5.23)$ | $(6.29)$ | $(20.22)$ |
| Group III ind. | 0.00 | -0.01 | -0.01 | -0.03 |
|  | $(0.20)$ | $(0.68)$ | $(3.83)$ | $(2.45)$ |
| All ind. spectra | 0.06 | -0.609 | 2.535 | -0.314 |
|  | $(2.05)$ | $(5.79)$ | $(14.25)$ | $(19.12)$ |

survey conditions. In this configuration of the model, the mean error of the NNLS inverse model was lowest for the strong scatterers ( 0.06 individuals from a range of $0-9$ for Group I) and highest for the weak scatterers (2.54 individuals from a range of 1-10 for Group III). The error of all acoustic groups combined was 1.7 individuals from a range of 1-37 individuals per water parcel. The results of this test systematically overcounted Group III and undercounted (negative error) Groups II and IV. Groups III and IV had very high maximum errors of over 200 individuals in a few water parcels. The SD of the error exceeded the mean error for all four acoustic groups in all simulations.

A second series of Monte Carlo simulations explored the effect of underdetermination on NNLS inversion (i.e., changes in performance when the number of acoustic groups exceeds the number of measured frequencies, four here). For these tests, the most variable acoustic group (Group II, Figure 3.3) was successively divided into seven groups of homogenously-shaped frequency spectra, representing various combinations of Rayleigh-geometric transition frequencies and resonant nulls. The underdetermination factor (ratio of acoustic groups to frequencies) increased from 1 to 2.5 with the addition of acoustic groups (Figure 3.6). The mean across all acoustic groups of the absolute value of the error roughly doubled over this range, while the mean SD of the error decreased $\sim 60 \%$ (Figure 3.6).


Figure 3.6 Effect of underdetermination on NNLS accuracy. The lines indicate the mean absolute error of acoustic groups (solid) and the mean standard deviation of the error (dashed).

### 3.5. Discussion

### 3.5.1. Forward modeling

The true shape of the inflated swimbladders of mesopelagic fishes is spheroidal (Marshall, 1960; Yasuma et al., 2010), but we assumed a spherical shape. The difference in $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ between the two shapes is small as long as the aspect ratio is less than three, as is the case for mesopelagic fishes (Feuillade and Werby, 1994; Barr and Coombs, 2005). Measurements of the $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ of tethered myctophids with inflated swimbladders show that $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ is insensitive to tilt angle, consistent with a spherical shape (Yasuma et al., 2003;

Yasuma et al., 2010). The swimbladder gas volumes required for neutral buoyancy of the fishes captured here (equivalent spherical radius varied from 0.3-3.6 mm) are too large to be resonant at the EK60 frequencies (Figure 3.4). However, addition of an 18 kHz transducer would allow separation of fishes with gas inclusions of radii less than 0.3 mm because 18 kHz is close enough to the resonant frequency of these bubbles to show a resonant increase in $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$.

Our assumption of an $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ threshold for the presence or absence of gas in the swimbladder for myctophids (and perhaps other mesopelagic fishes) is simplistic. There is evidence that myctophids vary individually or on a diel basis in the presence of gas, even within a species and age group (reviewed in Davison, 2011). However, the measurement of gas volume, or even presence, in the swimbladder of a mesopelagic fish at the surface after capture is problematic and subject to several forms of bias (Davison, 2011). Different researchers have arrived at different conclusions regarding swimbladder inflation for the same species of mesopelagic fish (reviewed in Davison, 2011). Mesopelagic fishes are thought to be slightly negatively buoyant (reviewed in Davison, 2011). Our assumption that gas is present in $80 \%$ of the volume required for neutral buoyancy is arbitrary, but consistent with "slight" negative buoyancy. Given the lack of consensus and unbiased (in situ) data on swimbladder inflation, the simple assumptions made here were deemed better than more complex alternatives. Variation in swimbladder inflation by mesopelagic fishes remains an area in need of research.

Many mesopelagic animals do not contain a gas inclusion that dominates acoustic backscatter. The use of fluid-filled cylinders, or any other simple shape, to model the backscattering from the body of an animal is not likely to exactly match the true $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$. The
fact that the cylindrical radius of mesopelagic animals is smaller than the wavelength of the EK60 frequencies makes fine structural details of these animals less important to $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$. However, tilt angle is important. Tilt angle only strongly affects Group II animals, because the backscattering from Group I is dominated by gas and Group III animals scatter in the Rayleigh region. Resonant nulls in $\sigma_{\text {bs }}$ have been observed and modeled in mesopelagic micronekton at moderate tilt angles (Stanton and Chu, 2000; Yasuma et al., 2003; Yasuma et al., 2006; Yasuma et al., 2010). The effect of tilt angle on a cylindrical geometric scatterer (Figure 3.7) shows that a null is present at one or more tilt angles (less than $20^{\circ}$ ) at every frequency over 25 kHz , including all of the EK60 frequencies used in this study. No data are available for the statistical tilt angle distribution of mesopelagic fishes, although the night trawls here captured vertically migratory fishes that were presumably actively feeding and roughly horizontal in tilt. Mesopelagic fishes have been observed to hang motionless in the water at odd angles (Barham, 1971), but these observations have been made at depth on inactive fishes. The dorsal incidence assumption for fishes represents an $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ maximum. Error due to tilt angle distribution would underestimate the acoustic abundance of fishes here, and thus overestimate capture efficiency (i.e., the dorsal incidence assumption is a conservative one in regards to acoustic biomass estimation). The zooplankton models suffer similarly from simplified shapes and uncertain tilt angle, although they were averaged over a tilt angle distribution (Table 3.2). Simple shapes have been shown to adequately represent backscattering from animals when the tilt angle distribution includes $0^{\circ}$ (Stanton and Chu, 2000). The large changes in $\sigma_{\mathrm{bs}}$ over small areas in frequency-tilt space make tilt angle assumptions crucial


Figure 3.7. (a) Frequency spectrum of a fluid cylinder ( 86 mm S . leucopsarus, radius of 5.2 mm ) at $0^{\circ}$ tilt (black line), $5^{\circ}$ tilt (red line), and $13.2^{\circ}$ tilt (blue line). EK60 frequencies are marked with vertical dotted lines. (b) $T S$ of the same fish mapped over tilt angle and frequency.
to acoustic biomass estimates. Because null location varies from model to model (Figure 3.8), with size of the animal (Figure 3.4), and perhaps between model and animal, averaging over a tilt angle distribution is perhaps the best approach. Unfortunately, this
parameter is poorly known, and conceivably changes with species, population, time of day, feeding history of the individual, depth, season, animal size, oxygen content of the water, and other environmental properties. More research is necessary to establish the tilt angle assumptions that produce the most accurate results.

Comparison of the cylindrical model to a DWBA prolate spheroid model (Chu and $\mathrm{Ye}, 1999$ ) and the two-mode solution of the cylindrical model (Stanton, 1988) shows that modeled $T S$ is similar through the first null (Figure 3.8). A fourth model, the DWBA deformed cylinder, performs similarly to the prolate spheroid model (Yasuma et al., 2003). The models diverge at higher frequencies, where they all become less likely to match the $T S$ of a real animal as heterogeneities within the animal become larger in relation to the acoustic wavelength.
3.5.2. Efficacy of the inverse method

The Monte Carlo analyses of artificial echograms showed that the inverse method is successful at decomposing multi-frequency $S_{\mathrm{v}}$ into accurate counts of model animals when average frequency spectra are used (Table 3.4). The differences between Groups IIII are sufficient to distinguish them and to make accurate abundance surveys. The results of subsequent Monte Carlo analyses (echograms constructed from individual animal models rather than from average spectra) qualify the results of the first analysis. The abundance measurements are still accurate (low mean error), but they are extremely variable (Table 3.4). In the Monte Carlo configuration matching the survey conditions, the combined NNLS inverse model error from all acoustic groups was 1.7 individuals from a range of 1-37 individuals ensonified. This is quite good by trawling standards.


Figure 3.8. Frequency spectra of a fish ( 86 mm S. leucopsarus, radius of 5.2 mm ) as represented by the full fluid cylinder model (solid line), the 2-mode solution of the fluid cylinder model (dashed line), and the DWBA prolate spheroid model (dotted line).

However, large numbers of samples must be taken in order to make a good abundance estimate. The source of most error in the results of the Monte Carlo analyses is individual variation in the modeled spectra. If enough animals are ensonified, the use of average spectra is appropriate. In the case of sparsely present strong scatterers (Groups I and II), accurate abundance estimates for individual water parcels are probably not possible using this method due to differences between the model frequency spectra used for inverse analysis (means derived from simple fluid shapes and assumed tilt angle distributions) and those of individual animals with complex internal and external structure, unknown size, and unknown tilt angle. The error measured by the Monte Carlo simulation is not
identical to the error in our acoustic survey, although care was taken to match the simulation to the survey. This is due to differences between the $T S$ frequency spectra of in situ animals and our forward models, as well as the sampling bias of the MOHT, both of which contribute to uncertainty by an unknown amount.

Group II is composed of geometric scatterers, and contains spectra of heterogenous shape (Figure 3.3). The heterogeneity of the spectra within this group is a source of error when inverse methods are used to decompose measured $S_{\mathrm{v}}$ (Table 3.4). The question of whether or not the performance of inverse methods can be improved with the addition of more acoustic groups was explored with Monte Carlo simulations. In other words, does the benefit from more homogenous acoustic groups outweigh the cost of underdetermination? NNLS inversion has been reported to provide solutions for cases that are underdetermined by factors of 1.5-2 (Holliday and Pieper, 1995). We found that NNLS inversion remained accurate for up to ten acoustic groups with four measured frequencies (underdetermination by a factor of 2.5; Figure 3.6). Our results indicate that the subdivision of Group II into more acoustic groups with the current set of frequencies (underdetermination) can reduce variability in the error of the inverse methods, although the mean error approximately doubles. However, because a single Group II scatterer can have a resonant null at any measured frequency and some moderate tilt angle (Figure 3.7), it may belong to any of several different acoustic groups composed of homogenously-shaped acoustic spectra depending on its tilt angle. Care must be taken to ensure that fine division of geometrically-scattering acoustic groups remains biologically meaningful. For this reason, the addition of measured frequencies or acoustic groups in the geometric scattering region may not improve survey accuracy. The use of an
appropriate averaging scheme for geometric scatterers is required, and resolution will be limited in the case where few animals are ensonified.

The smallest gas inclusions of Group I animals resonate at frequencies just below the lowest EK60 frequency ( $\sim 20-30 \mathrm{kHz}$ here for a swimbladder ESR of 0.03 mm ; Figure 3.4). The addition of new frequencies below 38 kHz would allow subdivision of Group I into resonant and non-resonant animals. It may be possible to separate fish larvae from juvenile and adult fishes in this manner, although the problem will be complicated by the presence of fish species that regress in swimbladder gas volume with growth (Davison, 2011) and the possibility that some fishes may allow swimbladder gas volume to compress with a decrease in depth.

### 3.5.3. Capture efficiency

The biomass estimate of mesopelagic fishes from the MOHT (night mean of 0.8 g $\left.\mathrm{m}^{-2}\right)$ is lower than the published trawling estimates for the California Current $\left(3.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}\right.$, 6' IKMT; Gjosaeter and Kawaguchi, 1980). This discrepancy results from the shallow depth of the trawls used in this study, where only the vertically migrant portion of the population was sampled. Mean fish biomass from 21 tows of the MOHT to $\sim 750 \mathrm{~m}$ depth (Chapter 4, Table 4.6) was $3.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$.

The depth of MOHT tows ( $\sim 200 \mathrm{~m}$ ) exceeded the usable depth range of the highest frequency of the EK60 ( $\sim 150 \mathrm{~m}$ ). The depth discrepancy between the two biomass estimation methods used here may result in an overestimate of biomass by the MOHT in comparison to the acoustic results, and thus an overestimate of capture efficiency. Exclusion of non-vertically migratory fishes from the forward modeling was
intended to correct for the expected bias, but this method is imperfect, and it did not include a similar correction for invertebrates. Inspection of the echograms of the lower frequencies indicated that the depth range of $150-200 \mathrm{~m}$ was largely empty, and the bias is likely to be small.

The inverse modeling results here indicate that the MOHT captures an average of $47 \%$ of the micronekton biomass (Table 3.3). It is not possible to fully partition the capture efficiency of fishes from invertebrates within the acoustic groups, although the capture efficiency of a group is most influenced by the numerically dominant members. The capture efficiency of Group I (14\%) is thus representative of small fishes with inflated swimbladders, while the capture efficiency of Group III (81\%) is representative of "small" zooplankton. Group II is composed of a more even mixture of fishes and large invertebrates, so their relative contribution to the overall capture efficiency of the group ( $38 \%$ ) is unknown, although it seems reasonable that small fishes without inflated swimbladders have similar avoidance capabilities to those with swimbladders (Group I).

Capture efficiency of Group I animals by the MOHT is significantly less in daylight than at night (Table 3.3). This result is possibly due to a combination of increased visibility of the trawl (Wiebe et al., 1982; Pearcy, 1983) and a difference in the taxonomic composition of Group I between day and night. Vertically migratory mesopelagic fishes were not present at trawl depths during daylight, so acoustic detections were likely to be epipelagic fishes, which are known to easily avoid framed trawls similar to the MOHT (Barkley, 1972; Itaya et al., 2007).

The lower capture efficiencies for Groups I and II reflect the increased swimming ability of these larger animals. The net mesh used here $(1.7 \mathrm{~mm})$ can be expected to retain
these large animals, although more delicate forms (such as jellies) may be torn up and extruded through the mesh. Zooplankters are less able to avoid the net, but more likely to be extruded through the mesh. These include small zooplankters which were not forward modeled (as they were not retained), but undoubtedly contribute to measured $S_{\mathrm{v}}$. These small zooplankters scatter in the Rayleigh region, similarly to our Group III. Extruded small zooplankters would therefore be interpreted by the inverse model as fewer, but larger, Group III animals. Thus, extrusion error in Group III abundance is not likely to contribute much to error in abundance estimates of Groups I and II.

The Group I capture efficiency estimate for the MOHT is consistent with previous estimates for fishes using other trawl designs of similar size, and perhaps coincidentally, consistent with that reported for very large trawls (Table 3.5). Group II capture efficiency for the MOHT is higher than that reported for similarly-sized invertebrates, likely due to the larger net area and faster towing speed of the MOHT in comparison to the MOCNESS, BIONESS, and MIK (Table 3.5). Similar differences in capture efficiency are observed between the MOHT and smaller nets for Group III animals (small euphausiids; Table 3.5).

### 3.6. Conclusions

The inverse methods presented here successfully separated a diverse assemblage of mesopelagic micronekton into three groups for abundance estimation. The Monte Carlo results indicate that errors from NNLS inversion are low, but variable (Figure 3.6, Table 3.4). It is desirable to improve the resolution of the methods, which can be accomplished via the addition of frequencies and/or acoustic categories. Small resonant
gas inclusions from acoustic Group I will be separable with the addition of frequencies below 38 kHz . Subdivision of Group II is possible at a relatively low cost to accuracy with either underdetermination or the addition of frequencies between 38 and 200 kHz .

Table 3.5. Measurements of the capture efficiency (CE) of pelagic trawls for mesopelagic micronekton. Studies are sorted by increasing mouth area of the net.

| Reference | Net | Mouth area | Mesh | Towing speed | Animals | Baseline | CE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lawson et al. (2008) | MOCNESS | $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $335 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $1 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Antarctic krill | acoustics | $\sim 1-10 \%$ |
| Mitson et <br> al. (1996) | BIONESS | $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $330 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | not given | euphausiids | acoustics | 46-78\% |
| Warren et al. (2003) | MOCNESS | $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $335 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | not given | $15 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> euphausiids | acoustics | >9\% |
| Zhou et al. (1994) | MOCNESS | $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $333 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | $1 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Antarctic euphausiids | acoustics | 1-100\% |
| Mitson et al. (1996) | MIK | $2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 2 mm | not given | large shrimp | acoustics | 0.1-0.5\% |
| Barkley (1972) | 6' IKMT | $2.9 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 12 mm | $1.2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | mesopelagic fishes | avoidance theory | 7\% |
| Barkley (1972) | 6' IKMT | $2.9 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 12 mm | $2.0 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | mesopelagic fishes | avoidance theory | 31\% |
| Baird et al. (1974) | 6' Tucker trawl | $3.2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 11 mm | $1.16 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | mesopelagic fishes | acoustics | 2-8\% |
| this study | MOHT | $5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 1.7 mm | $1.25-1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | mesopelagic fishes with swimbladder | multi- <br> frequency acoustics | 14\% |
| this study | MOHT | $5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 1.7 mm | $1.25-1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | mesopelagic micronekton with no gas inclusion | multi- <br> frequency acoustics | 38\% |
| this study | MOHT | $5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 1.7 mm | $1.25-1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | large <br> zooplankton | multi- <br> frequency <br> acoustics | 81\% |
| Koslow et al. (1997) | IYGPT | $105 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100-10 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | $1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | mesopelagic fishes | acoustics | 4-14\% |
| May and Blaber (1989) | Engel 152 <br> pelagic <br> trawl | $266 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,800-9 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | $1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | mesopelagic fishes | catchability coefficient model | 6-20\% |
| Gjosaeter <br> (1984) | Commercial pelagic traw | $\begin{aligned} & 250,500, \\ & \text { and } 800 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 200-9 \\ \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | $1-1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | mesopelagic fishes | acoustics | 35\% |

Addition of frequencies higher than 200 kHz will shift the classification of some Group III animals to Group II. Improvements in the categorical resolution of Group II may not correspond to natural taxonomic groups, because the frequency spectra of the geometrically backscattering animals in this group are confounded by resonant nulls that are strongly influenced by orientation (Figure 3.4, Figure 3.7). Thus, the same animal will belong to different acoustic groups depending upon its tilt angle. Acoustic surveys of the complex DSL micronekton community using inverse methods are likely to be limited to a handful of categories due to this fundamental limitation of the technique. Furthermore, results will be accurate only when averaged over a great many samples.

The relation between acoustic and trawl estimates of the biomass of the DSL is variable (Figure 3.5). The sources of this variability are many, including patchiness in the distribution of animals, differential capture efficiency within acoustic groups, error in blind zone assumptions, differences between simplified models and real animals, imperfect understanding of acoustic parameters ( $g, h$, tilt angle, gas volume), and high frequency nulls in the frequency spectra of relatively large scatterers. Some of these problems can be overcome with large sample sizes, as illustrated by the performance of Monte Carlo simulations, while others (acoustic parameters, blind zone, and oversimplified models) cannot. Capture efficiency of the MOHT for mesopelagic micronekton is estimated to be $14 \%$ for small fishes, $81 \%$ for small zooplankton, and $38 \%$ for larger animals with no gas inclusion. Mesopelagic trawls such as the MOHT with mm-range mesh are not used for zooplankton biomass estimates, because an unknown fraction of zooplankters is lost through the mesh. The capture efficiencies
reported here will be most useful for improving trawl-based biomass estimates of mesopelagic micronekton in the $2-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ size range.
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## Chapter 4. Carbon export mediated by mesopelagic fishes in the northeast Pacific Ocean

### 4.1. Abstract

The role of mesopelagic fishes in the global carbon cycle is poorly known and often neglected. We estimate the export of carbon out of the epipelagic ocean mediated by mesopelagic fishes ("fish export") with individual-based metabolic modeling of the catch from 77 mesopelagic trawls distributed over a wide area of the northeast Pacific Ocean. The biomass of mesopelagic fishes increases with increasing annual net primary productivity, and is estimated to be approximately 55 million metric tons $\left(17 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}\right)$ off the continental U.S.A. west to longitude $141^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. We found that $25 \%$ of this biomass ascends to the epipelagic zone at night. Fish export forms $17 \%\left(24.8 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}\right)$ of the total carbon exported in the study area ( $144.4 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ ), as estimated from satellite data. Fish export varies spatially in both magnitude and relative importance. Although overall fish export increases with total export, its fraction of the total export decreases. Fish export exceeds $40 \%$ of the total carbon export in the oligotrophic North Pacific Subtropical Gyre, but forms $<10 \%$ of the total export in the most productive waters of the California Current. Because the daytime residence depth of these fishes is below the depths where most remineralization of sinking particles occurs, fish export is approximately equal to the passive transport at a depth of 400 m .

### 4.2. Introduction

The global carbon cycle is of interest due to the large and increasing amount of anthropogenic $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ that has been released into the atmosphere since the beginning of the industrial age, and its impact on world climate (IPCC, 2007). Approximately $31 \%$ of anthropogenic $\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\sim 2.2 \mathrm{Pg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{y}^{-1}\right)$ is currently taken up by the ocean, a carbon reservoir ~50 times the size of the atmosphere (Denman et al., 2007). A portion of the oceanic carbon is exported from the surface mixed layer, where it is in contact with the atmosphere, to the deep ocean, where it is sequestered for decades to centuries. Deep ocean water has a higher $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ partial pressure than the atmosphere when brought to the surface and warmed, and will therefore outgas as a result of oceanic circulation (Watson and Orr, 2003). The larger size of the deep ocean carbon reservoir and higher $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ partial pressure in comparison to the atmosphere means that upper ocean processes critically affect atmospheric $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentration (Falkowski et al., 2003; Watson and Orr, 2003).

The air-sea flux of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ results from concentration and solubility gradients between the atmosphere, the ocean surface, and deeper water (Watson and Orr, 2003). About $70 \%$ of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentration gradient in the top 1000 m of the ocean is maintained by biological processes in the form of exported production, the "biological pump" (Volk and Hoffert, 1985). The biological pump is not a single mechanism, but rather several different processes mediated by a wide array of organisms (Angel, 1984, 1989a). It is broadly divided into passive and active transport terms. Passive transport refers to the sinking of organic material through the water column. Active transport is the flux of material physically transported by animals as they move daily or seasonally across a depth range. This flux depends on the relative locations in the water column of ingestion, respiration, excretion, defecation, and mortality of these animals. Most
passively sinking particles are remineralized high in the water column, so large rapidly sinking particles such as fecal pellets and aggregates dominate passive export to the deep ocean (Angel, 1984; Buesseler et al., 2007b; Fowler and Knauer, 1986). Passive transport is often measured with sediment traps set at various depths in the water column. However, the carbon export measured by sediment traps is expected to be biased low in comparison to total carbon export, because sediment traps undercount large and sparselydistributed particles, as well as flux events on short time scales, and because they may completely miss active transport (Angel, 1984, 1985; Fowler and Knauer, 1986; Robison et al., 2005; Silver et al., 1998). Carbon export measured via the Thorium disequilibrium technique also does not include active transport (Falkowski et al., 2003). Estimates of carbon export made using sediment traps are indeed lower than those estimated by other methods (Buesseler et al., 2007a; Knauer et al., 1990; Martz et al., 2008; Usbeck et al., 2003). Carbon actively transported by fishes may be proportionally greater in the mesopelagic in comparison to total carbon export because these relatively large and strongly-swimming animals can carry carbon below the depths where most mesopelagic remineralization occurs (150-400 m; Buesseler and Boyd, 2009).

The animals that conduct active transport form the deep scattering layer (DSL), a strong and ubiquitous sound-reflecting layer of organisms that rises at night and descends during the day (Diel Vertical Migration, DVM). A portion of the DSL remains at depth during the night. At 38 kHz in our study area, the DSL is chiefly composed of midwater fishes with swim bladders and, to a lesser extent, other micronekton and zooplankton (Chapter 3). Although not moving vertically themselves, some midwater fishes contribute to active transport through the consumption of vertically-migratory
zooplankton (Figure 4.1). The global biomass of midwater (mesopelagic) fishes that populate the DSL has been estimated as at least one billion metric tons, or 1 Pg wet weight (Gjosaeter and Kawaguchi, 1980). During the time spent at depth, these fishes "lose" carbon in the form of respired $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, feces, excreta, and mortality (Figure 4.1). A simple calculation indicates that 1 Pg of fishes will consume $1.5 \mathrm{Pg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{y}^{-1}$ assuming a daily ration of $5 \%$ of wet weight, a dry weight to wet weight ratio of 0.2 for zooplankton (Childress and Nygaard, 1974), and a carbon to dry weight ratio of 0.4 for zooplankton


Figure 4.1. Carbon flux diagram of the biological pump. Fish export is defined as the sum of respiratory $\left(C_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$, defecation $\left(C_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$, and mortality $\left(C_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ carbon fluxes of all fishes below the 150 m flux boundary and $C_{\mathrm{d}}$ of VM fishes above the 150 m flux boundary. The fraction of zooplankton carbon of detrital origin, $C_{\mathrm{do}}=A(A+B)^{-1}$, is defined in terms of the fluxes marked $A$ and $B$. Only mesopelagic fishes (shaded boxes) are studied here. An additional carbon export flux term for excretion $\left(C_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ is shown for zooplankton.
(Putzeys and Hernandez-Leon, 2005). This is a large and significant quantity in relation to net air-sea exchange (1.6 $\mathrm{Pg} \mathrm{y}^{-1}$; Denman et al., 2007) and global estimates of new production (11 $\mathrm{Pg} \mathrm{y}^{-1}$; Falkowski et al., 2003), and it invites closer examination.

The export of carbon by fishes is expected to vary regionally with changes in temperature and fish abundance (ind. $\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ ). Local mesopelagic fish biomass $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-2}\right.$ wet weight) can exceed $500 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$, much greater than the global mean value of $\sim 3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ (Gjosaeter and Kawaguchi, 1980). Current estimates of the distribution of mesopelagic fishes are based upon widely dispersed measurements, largely from trawls, extrapolated across zoogeographical regions and thus have low spatial and temporal resolution (Gjosaeter and Kawaguchi, 1980). Trawl-based measurements of fish biomass have been shown to be biased low due to avoidance and escapement of the net, and capture efficiency may be less than $10 \%$ for some commonly-used net designs (summarized in Chapter 3). This large bias in net-based sampling has encouraged the development of alternative and complementary methods for estimating biomass, such as acoustic techniques.

Previous studies of the biological pump have generally either neglected the role of mesopelagic fishes (Buesseler et al., 2007a; Buesseler and Boyd, 2009; Buesseler et al., 2007b; Emerson et al., 1997; Falkowski et al., 2003; Karl et al., 1996) or considered them to play a minor role (Angel, 1989a, b; Longhurst et al., 1990; Longhurst and Harrison, 1989). This was the result of previous low estimates of fish abundance and neglect of some flux terms. More recent work that corrects fish abundance for the low capture efficiency of nets (Hidaka et al., 2001; Williams and Koslow, 1997) indicates that carbon export by fishes is considerably larger relative to the total flux. We hypothesize
that the export of carbon from the epipelagic mediated by mesopelagic fishes ("fish export"; Figure 4.1) forms a significant portion of the total carbon exported from the epipelagic ("total export"). For this purpose, we define the epipelagic as the top 150 m of the water column and mesopelagic fishes as those species found shallower than 1000 m but not present in the epipelagic during daylight. Observations of water temperature, fish biomass, size distribution, and migratory behavior are used with published physiological and ecological rates to inform a flux model in order to estimate the fish export across a region of the northeast Pacific Ocean. Fish export is compared to measurements of the passive flux in the study area (Martin et al., 1987) and to total export, as estimated with the Laws (2004) model from satellite-derived measurements of net primary productivity (NPP), sea surface temperature (SST), and depth of the euphotic zone. Sensitivity of the flux model to variation in measured, assumed, and calculated parameters is explored, and model predictions for fish respiration rate and daily ration are compared to independent measurements from the literature.

### 4.3. Materials and Methods

Mesopelagic fishes were collected in 2008 and 2009 from 77 stations on cruises of the R/V "Melville" (cruise P0810 of the California Current Ecosystem Long Term Ecological Research program), R/V "New Horizon" ("SEAPLEX"), and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) FSV "McArthur II" ("ORCAWALE") in the North Pacific (Figure 4.2). All three cruises sampled the California Current ecosystem (CCE), and one cruise (SEAPLEX) also sampled the eastern North Pacific subtropical gyre (NPSG). At each sampling station, oblique midwater trawls were


Figure 4.2. Sampling locations of midwater trawls. The field of view is the study area for which the carbon flux mediated by mesopelagic fishes was calculated. Sampling locations are marked as open circles and shallow day trawls are marked as crosses.
conducted to a depth of at least 500 m at a towing speed of $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Table 4.6). Epipelagic tows were made at each station in darkness after the ascent of the DSL to directly estimate the vertically-migratory fish abundance and biomass. In addition, daylight epipelagic tows to $\sim 200 \mathrm{~m}$ depth were made on eleven occasions. On the P0810 cruise, six deployments of a sub-surface Lagrangian drifter were made, and sampling occurred over multiple days following each drifter deployment. SEAPLEX sampling occurred over 24 h period at each of four Eulerian stations. For these cruises, deep tows
were treated as separate stations, but night epipelagic trawls were averaged together (by Lagrangian or Eulerian station) for estimates of vertically-migratory abundance and biomass. All three vessels were equipped with Simrad EK60 multi-frequency echosounders, although the acoustic data were not used quantitatively here. Fishes were captured using an Isaacs-Kidd midwater trawl (IKMT; Isaacs and Kidd 1953; $3 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ mouth area; FSV "McArthur II") and a $5-\mathrm{m}^{2}$ Matsuda-Oozeki-Hu trawl (MOHT; Oozeki et al. 2004; R/V "Melville" and R/V "New Horizon") that each had a uniform square mesh of 1.7 mm . Trawl depth and ambient water temperature were measured with a Wildlife Computers MK9 archival tag mounted to the net frame. The archival tag temperature and depth measurements were calibrated on each cruise from casts of a Sea-Bird SBE 911plus CTD. Water flow through the trawl mouth was measured with a TSK flowmeter for the MOHT, and calculated from ship speed for the IKMT because the flowmeter (and spare) malfunctioned on the FSV "McArthur II". Fishes were separated from zooplankton at sea, and preserved with a solution of 5\% Formalin in seawater, $90 \%$ ethanol, or by freezing until they could be processed ashore.

In the laboratory, fishes were identified to species, the standard length $\left(L_{S}\right)$ was measured to the nearest mm , and the wet weight was measured to a precision of 0.01 g or calculated from a length-weight curve constructed from a subset of the material. Fishes of the genus Cyclothone were weighed in aggregate by species to save time because they often numbered several hundred per trawl, and mean weights of Cyclothone spp. were used for metabolic modeling. Each fish species was classified as either "vertically migratory" (VM) or "non-migratory" (NM). VM fishes included Vinciguerria spp., Scopelarchus spp., Diplophos taenia, Bregmaceros japonicus, all Bathylagidae (except

Bathylagus pacificus and Pseudobathylagus milleri), and all Myctophidae (except Protomyctophum spp., Taaningichthys bathyphilus, Stenobrachius nannochir, Parvilux ingens, Nannobrachium regale, Nannobrachium bristori, Nannobrachium fernae, and Nannobrachium lineatum). Larval, epipelagic, and piscivorous fishes (Benthalbella dentata and most fishes of the family Stomiidae) were not included in modeling or analysis, and are not considered further. The stomiids Tactostoma macropus, Bathophilus flemingi, Malacosteus niger, and Photostomias sp. were considered to be planktivores (Borodulina, 1972; Clarke, 1982) and included in all analyses.

### 4.3.1. Carbon exported by fishes

The overall carbon flux model for an individual mesopelagic fish was constructed from sub-models, including a respiratory rate sub-model, a diel activity cycle sub-model, and an energy budget sub-model. The energy usage of a fish was calculated from its size, temperature, daily activity pattern, and routine metabolic rate. The energy budget equation was then solved for ingestion rate, and converted to units of carbon, as described in detail below. Note that symbols are defined in Table 4.1. The model estimates carbon flux across a 150 m depth plane (the assumed epipelagic boundary) partitioned into respiration, fecal, and mortality categories. Non-fecal excretory carbon flux was found to be very small in comparison to the other terms, and was therefore neglected. Each fish from each deep trawl was modeled, and then carbon flux was summed by trawl.

Piscivorous fishes were excluded from the analysis because their ingestion of carbon is included in the mortality term of other mesopelagic fishes. The carbon fluxes at the trawl-level were then adjusted for capture efficiency of the IKMT (relative to the MOHT)

Table 4.1. Notation. No value is given if a term varies, no units are given for ratios, and no reference is given when the term is calculated from others. Symbol subscripts containing "nm" and "vm" refer to differing values for non-migratory and verticallymigratory fishes.

| Symbol | Meaning | Value | Units | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | Regressed intercept for RMR of fishes | 14.47 |  | Gillooly et al., 2001 |
| AMR | Active metabolic rate (feeding fish) |  | J min ${ }^{-1}$ |  |
| $b_{\text {nm }}$ | Constant resulting from simplification of Eq. 2-3 | 0.29 |  |  |
| $B_{\text {s }}$ | Biomass of VM fishes from shallow trawls |  | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ |  |
| $b_{\text {vm }}$ | Constant resulting from simplification of Eq. 2-3 | 0.43 |  |  |
| $B_{\mathrm{vm}}$ | Biomass of VM fishes determined taxonomically |  | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ |  |
| $c$ | Regressed slope for RMR of fishes | -5.02 |  | Gillooly et al., 2001 |
| $C_{\text {c }}$ | Ratio of carbon:carbohydrate of zooplankton | 0.44 |  | Harris et al. 2000 |
| $C_{\text {d }}$ | Carbon defecated by a fish per day |  | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$ |  |
| $C_{\text {do }}$ | Fraction of zooplankton carbon of detrital origin | 0.33 |  |  |
| $C_{\text {f,nm }}$ | Ratio of carbon:wet weight of NM fish | $0.40^{3}$ |  | Childress and Nygaard 1973 |
| $C_{\text {f,vm }}$ | Ratio of carbon:wet weight of VM fish | $0.49^{3}$ |  | Childress and Nygaard 1973 |
| $C_{1}$ | Ratio of carbon:lipid of zooplankton | 0.78 |  | Harris et al. 2000 |
| $C_{\text {m }}$ | Carbon lost by fish to growth (mortality) per day |  | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$ |  |
| $C_{\text {nm,adj }}$ | $C_{\text {nm,tot }}$ adjusted for $C_{\text {do }}, V_{\mathrm{f}}$, and $D_{\mathrm{s}}$ |  | g m ${ }^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ |  |
| $C_{\text {nm,tot }}$ | Carbon exported by a fish per day |  | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$ |  |
| $C_{\text {p }}$ | Ratio of carbon:protein of zooplankton | 0.53 |  | Harris et al. 2000 |
| $C_{\text {r }}$ | Carbon respired by a fish per day |  | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$ |  |
| $C_{\text {vm,adj }}$ | $C_{\mathrm{vm}, \text { tot }}$ adjusted for $B_{\mathrm{s}}: B_{\mathrm{vm}}, V_{\mathrm{f}}$, and $D_{\mathrm{s}}$ |  | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ |  |
| $C_{\text {vm,tot }}$ | Carbon exported by a fish per day |  | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$ |  |
| $C_{\text {z }}$ | Ratio of carbohydrate:dry weight of zooplankton | $0.06{ }^{1,2}$ |  | Childress and Nygaard 1974 |
| $D_{\text {c }}$ | Fish digestive efficiency of carbohydrate | 0.40 |  | Brett and Groves 1979 |
| $D_{1}$ | Fish digestive efficiency of lipid | 0.85 |  | Brett and Groves 1979 |
| $D_{\text {p }}$ | Fish digestive efficiency of protein | 0.90 |  | Brett and Groves 1979 |
| $D_{\text {s }}$ | Sampling depth of the trawl |  | m |  |
| E | Loss of ingested energy to non-fecal excretion | $0.07 I$ | $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$ | Brett and Groves, 1979 |
| ef ratio | Ratio of total exported production to NPP |  |  | Laws, 2004 |
| $F$ | Loss of ingested energy to feces | 0.20 I | $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$ | Brett and Groves, 1979 |
| $G_{\text {nm }}$ | Daily growth of a fish (from lifetime $G: M$ ) | 0.28M | $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$ | Childress et al., 1980 |
| $G_{\mathrm{vm}}$ | Daily growth of a fish (from lifetime $G: M$ ) | $0.71 M$ | $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$ | Childress et al., 1980 |
| $H_{\text {c }}$ | Carbohydrate energy content | 16.74 | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$ | Brett and Groves 1979 |
| $H_{1}$ | Lipid energy content | 39.55 | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ | Brett and Groves 1979 |
| $H_{\mathrm{p}}$ | Protein energy content | 18.25 | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ | Brett and Groves 1979 |
| I | Daily energy requirement of a fish |  | $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$ |  |
| $L_{\text {s }}$ | Standard length of a fish |  | mm |  |

Table 4.1. (continued)

| Symbol | Meaning | Value | Units | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L_{\text {z }}$ | Ratio of lipid:dry weight of zooplankton | $0.24{ }^{1}$ |  | Childress and Nygaard 1974 |
| $M_{\text {nm }}$ | Daily metabolism of a fish | 0.43 I | $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$ |  |
| $M_{\text {vm }}$ | Daily metabolism of a fish | 0.57 I | $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$ |  |
| NPP | Difference between autotrophic fixed and respired C |  | g m ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ | Behrenfeld and Falkowski, 1997 |
| $P_{\mathrm{z}}$ | Ratio of protein:dry weight of zooplankton | $0.37{ }^{1}$ |  | Childress and Nygaard 1974 |
| $Q_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{nm}}$ | $W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}}$-specific energy content of NM fish | $3.06^{3}$ | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ | Childress and Nygaard 1973 |
| $Q_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{vm}}$ | $W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}}$-specific energy content of VM fish | $5.84{ }^{3}$ | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ | Childress and Nygaard 1973 |
| $Q_{\text {ox }}$ | Oxycalorific equivalent (energy obtained from respired oxygen) | 13.6 | J mg ${ }^{-1}$ | Brett and Groves, 1979 |
| $Q_{\text {z }}$ | Caloric value of zooplankton per gram wet weight | 3.63 | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ |  |
| $R_{\text {d }}$ | Daily ration of a fish, expressed in terms of $W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{z}}$ |  | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$ |  |
| $R_{\text {e }}$ | Ratio of fish export between non-migratory and vertically-migratory behavior of a VM fish | 1.03 |  |  |
| RMR | Routine metabolic rate (normal spontaneous activity) |  | J min ${ }^{-1}$ | Gillooly et al., 2001 |
| $r_{\text {nm }}$ | Residence depth of fish | 400 | m |  |
| RQ | Respiratory quotient (molar ratio $\mathrm{CO}_{2}: \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) | 0.90 |  | Brett and Groves, 1979 |
| $r_{\text {vm }}$ | Residence depth of fish | 50 | m |  |
| $R_{\text {z }}$ | Ratio of zooplankton active transport to carbon demand at depth | 2.0 |  | Steinberg et al., 2008 |
| SDA | Energetic cost of food absorption and assimilation | 0.14 I | $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$ | Brett and Groves, 1979 |
| SMR | Standard metabolic rate (torpid fish) |  | $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ |  |
| $T$ | Temperature of fish |  | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| $t$ | Time |  | d |  |
| $V_{\text {f }}$ | Volume filtered by the trawl |  | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |
| $W_{\text {d,f,nm }}$ | Ratio of dry weight:wet weight of NM fish | $0.18^{3}$ |  | Childress and Nygaard 1973 |
| $W_{\text {d,f,vm }}$ | Ratio of dry weight:wet weight of VM fish | $0.26^{3}$ |  | Childress and Nygaard 1973 |
|  |  |  |  | Childress and Nygaard |
| $W_{\text {d, }}$ | Ratio of dry weight:wet weight of zooplankton | $0.21{ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| $W_{\text {w, }}$ | Wet weight of fish |  | g |  |
| $W_{\text {w, }}$ | Wet weight of zooplankton consumed by a fish |  | g |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ mean of species with minimum depth of occurrence $\leq 400 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ chitin included |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ mean of species captured in this study |  |  |  |  |

and the MOHT (relative to concurrent acoustic biomass estimates, 14\%; Chapter 3).
The routine metabolic rate $\left(\mathrm{RMR}, \mathrm{J} \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ of fishes was assumed to be a function of fish wet weight ( $W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}}$ ) and temperature ( $T$ ) following Gillooly et al. (2001),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{RMR}=e^{a} W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}} e^{\left(\frac{1000}{27.15+T}\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=14.47$ and $c=-5.020$. The standard metabolic rate ( $\mathrm{SMR}, \mathrm{J} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ) of resting, inactive fishes is assumed to be $50 \%$ of the RMR (Winberg, 1956). The active, feeding metabolic rate (AMR, $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ ) is assumed to be four times the SMR (Brett and Groves, 1979; Smith and Laver, 1981). Because the metabolic rate of fishes decreases with the depth inhabited (in addition to temperature effects), the metabolic rates of NM fishes were reduced by an additional factor of 0.49 corresponding to the ratio of RMR between 400 and 50 m residence depths (Torres et al., 1979).

VM fishes were assumed to ascend and descend at $5 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ from 400 m to 50 m in depth at a swimming speed of 2 body-lengths (BL) s ${ }^{-1}$, resulting in a transit time of $\sim 2 \mathrm{~h}$. The energetically optimal swimming speed for fishes of $0.1-10.0 \mathrm{~g} W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}}$ ranges from 4.02.1 $\mathrm{BL} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (Videler, 1993). NM fishes were assumed to remain at 400 m depth for the entire diel period. The measured temperature at 400 m was used for fishes at depth, whereas the mean epipelagic (1-150 m) temperature was used for VM fishes at night (Table 4.6). Temperature is assumed to vary linearly between 50 m and 400 m depths. All fishes (including NM fishes; Smith and Laver 1981) were assumed to spend half of a

24 h period actively feeding with a metabolic rate equivalent to the AMR and half of the day inactive with a metabolic rate equal to the SMR.

The general balanced energy equation for a fish can be expressed as follows (Brett and Groves, 1979; Jobling, 1993):

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=M\left(I, T, W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}}\right)+G(I)+F(I)+E(I), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\operatorname{activity}(t) \operatorname{SMR}\left(T, W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}} r\right)+\operatorname{SDA}(I), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the ingestion rate $\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{d}^{-1}\right), M$ the metabolic rate $\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{d}^{-1}\right), T$ the temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), r$ the residence depth (m), $G$ the growth rate $\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{d}^{-1}\right), F$ the fecal loss $\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{d}^{-1}\right), E$ non-fecal excretion $\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{d}^{-1}\right), t$ the time (d), and SDA the specific dynamic action or heat increment ( J $\mathrm{d}^{-1}$ ). Activity refers to the time-partitioned use of SMR and AMR. Growth was assumed to be equal to mortality on an annual basis (no population growth). The partitioning of ingested energy to $F, E$, and SDA was assumed to follow the general model for a carnivorous fish (Brett and Groves, 1979), whereas the ratio of lifetime growth to metabolism was taken from Childress et al. (1980; mean of VM fishes and mesopelagic zooplanktivorous NM fishes). Equations 2-3 thus reduce to
$I=\frac{1}{b} \operatorname{activity}(t) \operatorname{SMR}\left(T, W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}}, r\right)$,
where $b=0.43$ for VM fishes and $b=0.29$ for NM fishes. Energy was converted to units of zooplankton wet weight $\left(W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{z}}\right)$ using a caloric value $\left(Q_{\mathrm{z}}\right)$ of $3.629 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~g}^{-1} W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{z}}$ calculated from the lipid, protein, and carbohydrate content of zooplankton $\left(L_{\mathrm{z}}, P_{\mathrm{z}}, C_{\mathrm{z}}\right)$, dry weight fraction of zooplankton $\left(W_{\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{z}}\right)$, heats of combustion $\left(H_{\mathrm{l}}, H_{\mathrm{p}}, H_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$, and fish digestive efficiencies ( $D_{\mathrm{l}}, D_{\mathrm{p}}, D_{\mathrm{c}}$; Table 4.1) with the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\mathrm{z}}=W_{\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{z}}\left(D_{1} L_{\mathrm{z}} H_{1}+D_{\mathrm{p}} P_{\mathrm{z}} H_{\mathrm{p}}+D_{\mathrm{c}} C_{\mathrm{z}} H_{\mathrm{c}}\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it follows that the daily ration of a fish $\left(R_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathrm{g}^{-1} W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{z}}\right)$ is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathrm{d}}=\frac{I}{Q_{\mathrm{z}}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Respired energy rate was converted to respired carbon rate $\left(C_{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{g} \mathrm{d}^{-1}\right)$ using a respiratory quotient (RQ) of 0.90 , the molar weights of carbon and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, and an oxycalorific equivalent $\left(Q_{\mathrm{ox}}\right)$ of $13.6 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (Brett and Groves, 1979) according to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{12 \mathrm{RQ}}{32 Q_{\mathrm{ox}}} \operatorname{activity}(t) \operatorname{SMR}\left(T, W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}}, r\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Only respiration below 150 m was counted as "exported". Defecated carbon $\left(C_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathrm{g} \mathrm{d}^{-1}\right)$ was estimated from proximate analysis of zooplankton, absorption efficiency of fishes, and carbon content of lipids, protein, and carbohydrates ( $C_{1}, C_{\mathrm{p}}, C_{\mathrm{c}}$; Table 4.1) as follows;

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{d}}=R_{\mathrm{d}} W_{\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{z}}\left[L_{\mathrm{z}} C_{\mathrm{l}}\left(1-D_{\mathrm{l}}\right)+P_{\mathrm{z}} C_{\mathrm{p}}\left(1-D_{\mathrm{p}}\right)+C_{\mathrm{z}} C_{\mathrm{c}}\left(1-D_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right] . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

All fecal production was assumed to be exported, because the feces of mesopelagic fishes are large and fast-sinking (Robison and Bailey, 1981). The fraction of carbon devoted to growth, or mortality ( $C_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{g} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$ ), was calculated from the fraction of ingested energy devoted to growth, the energy content of fishes $\left(Q_{\mathrm{f}}, \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{g}^{-1} W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}}\right)$, the carbon content of fishes $\left(C_{f}\right.$; Table 4.1), and the percentage of mortality occurring at depth ( $89 \%$; Sutton and Hopkins, 1996) with the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{m}}=0.89 G \frac{C_{\mathrm{f}}}{Q_{\mathrm{f}}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As it is known that not all individuals of nominally VM species are present in the epipelagic layer on a given night (Clarke, 1973; Clarke, 1971; Pearcy et al., 1977), the carbon exported by VM fishes $\left(C_{\mathrm{vm}, \mathrm{tot}}=C_{\mathrm{r}}+C_{\mathrm{d}}+C_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ was discounted by the ratio of measured biomass ( $B_{\mathrm{s}}$, from shallow trawls) to the expected VM biomass ( $B_{\mathrm{vm}}$, determined taxonomically from the catch of deep trawls), and then normalized by volume filtered $\left(V_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ and sampling depth $\left(D_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ using the equation
$C_{\mathrm{vm}, \text { adj }}=\frac{D_{\mathrm{s}}}{V_{\mathrm{f}}} C_{\mathrm{vm}, \text { tot }}\left[R_{\mathrm{e}}\left(1-\frac{B_{\mathrm{s}}}{B_{\mathrm{vm}}}\right)+\frac{B_{\mathrm{s}}}{B_{\mathrm{vm}}}\right]$,
where $R_{\mathrm{e}}$ is the modeled ratio of the carbon exported by a non-migratory VM fish to that of a migratory VM fish and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{vm} \text {,adj }}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}\right)$ is the adjusted carbon export mediated by VM fishes. The carbon export mediated by NM fishes was similarly adjusted for $V_{\mathrm{f}}, D_{\mathrm{s}}$, and the fraction of carbon of detrital origin $\left(C_{\mathrm{do}}\right)$ as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{nm}, \mathrm{adj}}=\frac{D_{\mathrm{s}}}{V_{\mathrm{f}}} C_{\mathrm{nm}, \text { tot }}\left(1-C_{\mathrm{do}}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating bacterial and NM zooplankton carbon demand with particulate organic carbon remineralization and VM zooplankton carbon flux from Steinberg et al. (2008), and solving for the ratio $\left(R_{z}\right)$ of VM zooplankton carbon flux to NM zooplankton carbon demand results in $R_{\mathrm{z}}=2.0$ (the smallest and most conservative value from reported data ranges). $C_{\mathrm{do}}$ is then $\left(1+R_{\mathrm{z}}\right)^{-1}$, and has a (highest) value of 0.33 . Approximately $33 \%$ of the carbon content of a zooplankter at depth is thus assumed to originate from material that had already left the epipelagic via passive sinking, and $67 \%$ is assumed to have been consumed in the epipelagic and actively transported to the mesopelagic.

A sensitivity analysis was performed on the carbon export model, in which the parameters were varied within reasonable ranges and the effects noted to the results.

### 4.3.2. Total carbon export

In order to establish a baseline for comparison, as well as to provide a basis for areal extrapolation of the fish export, MODIS satellite measurements of chlorophyll and SST were used to estimate annual NPP ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{y}^{-1}$; Behrenfeld and Falkowski 1997) and exported production as a fraction of NPP (ef ratio; Laws, 2004; Laws et al., 2000). Depth of the euphotic zone was calculated following Morel and Berthon (1989) for conversion between area- and volume-specific NPP. The above satellite data (monthly averages) were processed to estimate total export for bottom depths greater than 200 m over a latitude/longitude grid encompassing the study area (Figure 4.2) at 4 km resolution, and then averaged to produce an annual rate. The annual rate of total export was calculated for each year between 2003 and 2010, and then averaged over the eight-year period. The regression of fish export on total export from our sampling locations was used to estimate fish export over the entire study area from the total export.

### 4.4. Results

### 4.4.1. Fish catch

In all, 25,013 zooplanktivorous mesopelagic fishes from 26 families were collected from 77 deep trawls in the study area. Forty-four deep trawls suitable for biomass measurements of mesopelagic fishes were made with the IKMT, and 33 with the MOHT. Fishes from the family Gonostomatidae (almost entirely Cyclothone spp.) were most abundant ( $58 \%$ of the total), while fishes from the family Myctophidae dominated the overall biomass ( $52 \%$ of the total, Table 4.2). The dominance of myctophids amongst VM fishes was pronounced, with myctophids comprising $94 \%$ of the abundance and $95 \%$

Table 4.2. Fraction of total mesopelagic fish abundance and biomass by family (deep trawls). Piscivores, epipelagic fishes, and larval fishes are excluded.

| Family | VM <br> abundance | NM <br> abundance | Total <br> abundance | VM <br> biomass | NM <br> biomass | Total <br> biomass |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bathylagidae | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.06 |
| Gonostomatidae | 0.00 | 0.85 | 0.58 | 0.01 | 0.26 | 0.14 |
| Melamphaeidae | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.08 |
| Myctophidae | 0.94 | 0.04 | 0.33 | 0.95 | 0.12 | 0.52 |
| Phosichthyidae | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Platytroctidae | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.03 |
| Sternoptychidae | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.06 |
| Stomiidae | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.10 |
| other | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 |

of the biomass in this category (Table 4.2). Within NM fishes, Cyclothone spp. formed $85 \%$ of the abundance but only $26 \%$ of the biomass. Biomass prior to correction for capture efficiency of the trawls ranged from $0.56-5.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$, with a mean of $2.34 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$. The mean wet weight of individual captured fishes was 0.43 g , and the mean abundance prior to capture efficiency adjustment was 5.47 fishes $\mathrm{m}^{-2}$. The IKMT has a smaller mouth opening than the MOHT, and it was found that it collected fewer fishes than the MOHT when normalized by $V_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $D_{\mathrm{s}}(t$-test, $t=7.4, \mathrm{df}=66, p<0.001)$. Because the MOHT trawls in the CCE were concentrated off of southern California, whereas the IKMT tows were made along the entire western coast of the U.S.A., the IKMT biomass measurements were tested for meridional dependence prior to calculation of the relative capture efficiency of the IKMT. No meridional trend in biomass was found (Spearman rank correlation, $r_{\mathrm{s}}=-0.02, p=0.89, \mathrm{n}=44$ ), so all IKMT trawls were compared to the MOHT trawls (24 CCE trawls only) for the relative capture efficiency estimate. The mean biomass of the IKMT trawls was $1.73 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ versus $3.69 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ for the MOHT
trawls. Therefore, each IKMT catch was multiplied by a factor of 2.1. Capture efficiency of the MOHT is 0.14 (of biomass, $\mathrm{SD}=0.14$ ) for mesopelagic fishes with inflated swim bladders, and all trawl catches were adjusted by this factor (Chapter 3). Corrected biomass ranged from $7.50-70.47 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$, with a mean of $24.70 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$. The corrected abundance ranged from 5.07-136.33 fishes $\mathrm{m}^{-2}$, with a mean of 54.40 fishes $\mathrm{m}^{-}$ ${ }^{2}$. In general, a higher biomass of mesopelagic fishes was found close to the continental shelf break and lower biomass was measured offshore (Figure 4.3). The relationship between estimated mesopelagic fish biomass and estimated annual NPP was tested and biomass was found to increase significantly ( $\mathrm{df}=76, p<0.001, R^{2}=0.410$ ) with increasing annual NPP (Figure 4.4).


Figure 4.3. Biomass of mesopelagic fishes in relation to the distance from the continental shelf, as defined by the 200 m isobath.


Figure 4.4. Biomass of mesopelagic fishes in relation to annual Net Primary Productivity as estimated by the VGPM model from satellite data (Behrenfeld and Falkowski, 1997). A linear regression of the log-transformed variables was found to be significant ( $\mathrm{df}=76$, $p<0.001, R^{2}=0.410$ ).

Taxonomic categorization of migratory behavior results in $34 \%$ of the overall mesopelagic fish abundance and $46 \%$ of the biomass classified as vertically-migratory (average of deep trawls). However, direct measurements of VM biomass with shallow trawls average $54.1 \%\left(B_{\mathrm{s}}: B_{\mathrm{vm}}\right.$, Equation 10) of the taxonomically-determined VM fish biomass. The biomass of VM fish taxa varied from 12-97\% of the total catch for deep trawls. The mean weight of individual VM and NM fishes was 0.71 and 0.31 g , respectively. The preponderance of small fishes was marked, $68.6 \%$ of the VM and
$82.7 \%$ of the NM fishes weighed less than 0.2 g . However, for both VM and NM categories most of the biomass was contributed by fishes of mass $>1 \mathrm{~g}$ (Figure 4.5).


Figure 4.5. Abundance (black), biomass (white), and carbon export (grey) for all captured vertically migratory (VM) and non-migratory (NM) mesopelagic fishes by size classes $0.01-0.1 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{~s}), 0.1-1 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{~m}), 1-10 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{l})$, and $10-100 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{xl})$.

### 4.4.2. Fish export

The VM and NM fish export models were compared using a 1-g virtual fish (Table 4.3). Under a common set of assumptions ("baseline scenario"), the two models produce similar estimates of daily energy usage, daily ration, and carbon flux (Table 4.3). The baseline scenario for each model was then progressively modified to the configuration used for analysis.

The baseline scenario of the VM model was first altered to reflect the decreased energy available for growth by VM fishes (Equation 4, Table 4.1; Childress et al., 1980). This change directly reduces the estimated mortality flux, and also results in decreased ingested energy, rations, and defecation rate because the fish is respiring at the same rate but is not feeding enough to maintain the same ratio of growth to metabolism assumed by the baseline Brett and Groves (1979) budget. The second modification of the VM fish model was to decrease the night depth to 50 m . With this change, $72 \%$ of the respired

Table 4.3. Carbon flux model scenarios for a $1-\mathrm{g}$ fish. The baseline scenarios for the vertically-migratory (VM, shaded) and non-migratory (NM) fish models use a common set of assumptions; night depth $\left(D_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=$ day depth $\left(D_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$, night temperature $\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=$ day temperature ( $T_{\mathrm{d}}$ ), no elevated metabolic rate for swimming between day and night depths ("swim"), no modification of metabolic rate for residence depth (DE), prey detritivory fraction $\left(C_{\mathrm{do}}\right)=0$, and the general growth:metabolism ratio ( $G: M ; 0.66$; Brett and Groves, 1979). The models produce results for daily energy requirement $(I)$, daily ration $\left(R_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$, and carbon exported via respiration, defecation, and mortality ( $C_{\mathrm{r}}, C_{\mathrm{d}}, C_{\mathrm{m}}$ respectively). The baseline scenarios are cumulatively modified to the configurations used to estimate fish export (indicated with asterisks).

| Scenario | $\begin{gathered} D_{\mathrm{n}}: D_{\mathrm{d}} \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} T_{\mathrm{n}}: T_{\mathrm{d}} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $G: M$ | Swim | DE | $C_{\text {do }}$ | $\begin{gathered} C_{\mathrm{r}} \\ \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{~d} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C_{\mathrm{d}} \\ \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{~d}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C_{\mathrm{m}} \\ \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{~d}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C_{\text {total }} \\ \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{~d}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{\mathrm{d}} \\ \% W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} I \\ \mathbf{J ~ d}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline <br> (VM) | 400:400 | 7:7 | 0.66 | no | 1 | 0 | 1.42 | 0.71 | 1.11 | 3.24 | 5.26 | 190.7 |
| VM G:M | 400:400 | 7:7 | 0.28 | no | 1 | 0 | 1.42 | 0.49 | 0.42 | 2.34 | 3.67 | 133.0 |
| Add ascent | 50:400 | 7:7 | 0.28 | no | 1 | 0 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.42 | 1.32 | 3.67 | 133.1 |
| Add swimming | 50:400 | 7:7 | 0.28 | yes | 1 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 0.54 | 1.84 | 4.66 | 169.0 |
| Add warm surface* | 50:400 | 7:13 | 0.28 | yes | 1 | 0 | 0.74 | 0.83 | 0.70 | 2.27 | 6.12 | 222.2 |
| Baseline (NM) | 400:400 | 7:7 | 0.66 | no | 1 | 0 | 1.42 | 0.71 | 1.27 | 3.40 | 5.25 | 190.7 |
| NM G:M | 400:400 | 7:7 | 0.71 | no | 1 | 0 | 1.42 | 0.73 | 1.36 | 3.51 | 5.44 | 197.2 |
| Add DE | 400:400 | 7:7 | 0.71 | no | 0.49 | 0 | 0.70 | 0.36 | 0.67 | 1.73 | 2.68 | 97.3 |
| Add $C_{\text {do }}{ }^{*}$ | 400:400 | 7:7 | 0.71 | no | 0.49 | 0.33 | 0.47 | 0.24 | 0.45 | 1.16 | 2.68 | 97.3 |

carbon is no longer exported, as it occurs shallower than 150 m . The number is larger than $50 \%$ because the AMR (night) is higher than the SMR (day). Because thus far the temperature is constant and vertical swimming has no metabolic cost, the daily energy requirement and daily ration remain unchanged. If the metabolic cost of swimming is assumed to equal the swimming speed ( $\mathrm{BL} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) to an exponent of 2.5, and fishes swim at $2 \mathrm{BL} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ with a vertical velocity $5 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, then the VM fish now spends $\sim 4 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ travelling vertically with an elevated metabolic rate equivalent to 6.7 times the SMR. This has the effect of increasing by $27 \%$ the ingestion, daily ration, fecal export, and mortality export. Respired carbon flux increases by $68 \%$ because most of the elevated respiration for swimming occurs below the 150 m export threshold depth. The final adjustment to the model was to set the 50 m temperature to $13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This change increased daily ingestion, daily ration, fecal export, and mortality export by $31 \%$. Respiratory flux increased by $10 \%$ with this change because part of the thermocline is deeper than the 150 m export threshold depth. In this configuration of the model, nominally matching trawl conditions for a $1-\mathrm{g}$ fish, the daily ration is $6.1 \% W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}}$, daily energy expenditure is 222 J , and 2.27 $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{d}{ }^{-1}$ is exported, $33 \%$ as respired carbon, $36 \%$ as fecal flux, and $31 \%$ as mortality. The ratio of carbon exported by a VM fish that does not migrate ("VM $G: M$ " scenario, Table 4.3) to that by one that ascends "normally" at night ( $R_{\mathrm{e}}$, Equation 10) is 1.03. The NM model modifications from the baseline scenario were simpler, and consisted first of an adjustment to the $G: M$ ratio based upon measurements of NM mesopelagic fishes (Equation 4, Table 4.1; Childress et al., 1980), and then scaling the metabolic rate by $49 \%$ to reflect the lower SMR of fishes residing at 400 m in
comparison to vertical migrators (Torres et al., 1979). This lowered all export terms, daily ration, and daily energy budget by $\sim 50 \%$. The third modification was to apply $C_{\mathrm{do}}$, the fraction of consumed prey that is detrital in origin (Equation 11), resulting in a 33\% reduction to all carbon export terms, but no change to daily energy or daily ration. In this nominal configuration of the model for a $1-\mathrm{g}$ fish, the daily ration is $2.7 \% W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}}$, daily energy expenditure is 97 J , and $1.16 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$ is exported, $40 \%$ as respired carbon, $21 \%$ as fecal flux, and $39 \%$ in the form of mortality.

The overall carbon flux mediated by a $1-\mathrm{g}$ NM fish is thus $31 \%$ of that from a similarly-sized VM fish. The higher flux from VM fishes results from a higher SMR, consumption of a higher fraction of prey that is non-detrital in origin, and the greater rations required to support elevated energy expenditures in warmer temperatures and for vertical movement.

Carbon export model parameters were varied independently for these example fishes to determine the sensitivity of the model to uncertainty (Table 4.4). The modeled fish export is most sensitive to parameters of the respiration model $(a=14.47$ and $c=-$ 5.02, Equation 1; Gillooly et al., 2001). Changes of $\pm 1 \mathrm{SE}$ in these parameters resulted in changes to the estimated fish export of 0.17-5.87 times the nominal value. Reasonable variation of other parameters results in flux reductions of $23 \%$ or less and flux increases of $43 \%$ or less.

### 4.4.3. Total carbon export

Mean annual NPP, ef ratio, and total export were calculated from satellite data for the northeast Pacific Ocean area bounded by $30 / 48^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitudes and from the USA coastal

200 m isobath west to $141^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude (Figure 4.6). The surface area of the study area is $3.273 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. Estimated annual NPP ranged from $259.7-4607.7 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ in the study area. The annual ef ratio for this region ranged from 0.16 to 0.57 . Total export was $144.4 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}\left(0.173 \mathrm{Pg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{y}^{-1}\right)$ in the study area, varying from $42.1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ in the oligotrophic central water mass to $2460.4 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ in the productive coastal upwelling area of the CCE.

Table 4.4. Sensitivity analysis of the VM and NM metabolic models, measured as the summed carbon exported ( $C_{\text {total }}$ ) by a $1-\mathrm{g}$ NM fish and a $1-\mathrm{g}$ VM fish and expressed as a ratio to the $C_{\text {total }}$ for nominal values of parameters ( $C_{\text {nom }}, 3.4 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ ). Where parameters differ for VM and NM fishes, both values are expressed separated by a colon in the order VM:NM.

| Parameter | Units | Low | Nominal | High | $C_{\text {total,low }}: C_{\text {nom }}$ | $C_{\text {total,high }}: C_{\text {nom }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temperature ( 50 m ) | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 8 | 13 | 23 | 0.89 | 1.31 |
| Temperature ( 400 m ) | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 5 | 7 | 8 | 0.93 | 1.04 |
| Depth (shallow) | m | 1 | 50 | 149 | 1.01 | 0.95 |
| Depth (deep) | m | 200 | 400 | 800 | 0.84 | 1.32 |
| Night length | h | 8 | 12 | 16 | 0.88 | 1.12 |
| Swim MR exponent |  | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 0.95 | 1.06 |
| Swim speed | BL s ${ }^{-1}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0.80 | 1.43 |
| AMR:RMR |  | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.87 | 1.13 |
| SMR:RMR |  | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 1.24 |
| RMR $a$ |  | 12.97 | 14.47 | 15.97 | 0.22 | 4.48 |
| RMR $c$ |  | -5.52 | -5.02 | -4.52 | 0.17 | 5.87 |
| $C_{\text {do }}$ |  | 0:0 | 0:0.33 | 0:0.67 | 1.17 | 0.83 |
| Depth effect on RMR |  | 1:0.20 | 1:0.49 | 1:0.70 | 0.80 | 1.14 |
| RQ |  | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 1.02 |
| $Q_{\text {ox }}$ | $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{mg}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 13.39 | 13.60 | 15.07 | 1.01 | 0.97 |
| $G: M$ |  | 0.17:0.38 | 0.28:0.71 | 0.34:1.12 | 0.81 | 1.20 |
| $Q_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ | 3.51 | 3.63 | 4.04 | 1.01 | 0.97 |
| $Q_{\text {f }}$ | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{g}{ }^{-1}$ | 2.85:1.67 | 5.57:3.22 | 8.57:4.86 | 1.10 | 0.94 |
| Mortality export fraction |  | 0.50:0.90 | 0.89:1.00 | 0.95:1.00 | 0.90 | 1.01 |
| $W_{\text {w,f }}$ | g | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.05 | 0.96 | 1.04 |



Figure 4.6. a) Annual Net Primary Productivity as estimated by the VGPM model from satellite data (Behrenfeld and Falkowski, 1997) averaged over 2003-2010, b) annual ef ratio (Laws, 2004) averaged over 2003-2010, and c) annual carbon export averaged over 2003-2010. Sediment trap stations for VERTEX (Martin et al., 1987) are marked as black or white open circles.

The carbon exported from each captured fish was estimated, summed by trawl, and then adjusted for capture efficiency, volume of water sampled, and "non-migratory" VM biomass (Equations 10-11). From the trawl data, fish export ranged from 13.7-75.8 $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$, with a mean of $34.3 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$. Fish export does not form a constant fraction of the total export. A linear regression of log fish export against log total export yields a slope of 0.315 and an intercept of 0.742 (d.f. $=76, p<0.001, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.34$; Figure 4.7). Fish export is largest in productive waters and smallest in oligotrophic waters (Figure 4.7). However the ratio of fish export to total export is approximately seven-fold higher at the oligotrophic stations in comparison to the eutrophic stations (Figures 4.7,


Figure 4.7. Carbon export mediated by mesopelagic fishes in relation to satellite-derived total carbon export.
4.8). The relationship between fish export and total export was used to estimate fish export for the entire study area $\left(24.8 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}, 0.030 \mathrm{Pg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{y}^{-1}\right)$.

The fraction of annual NPP consumed by mesopelagic fishes was estimated at each sampling station from modeled $R_{\mathrm{d}}$ following Barlow et al. (2008) using a trophic transfer efficiency for carbon of $10 \%$ (Pauly and Christensen, 1995) and a zooplankton trophic level of 2.2 (Pauly et al., 1998). The fraction of NPP consumed by mesopelagic fishes increases significantly with oligotrophy, rising from $\sim 20 \%$ in the most productive areas of the CCE to over $80 \%$ in the oligotrophic NPSG (Figure 4.9).


Figure 4.8. Carbon export mediated by mesopelagic fishes in relation to satellite-derived total carbon export within the study area.


Figure 4.9. Fraction of annual NPP consumed by mesopelagic fishes.

Because fish export for the entire study area was estimated from the relationship to total export, it is difficult to exactly partition it by size class and migratory behavior as the trawling effort was not distributed in proportion to the ocean surface area of differing productivity levels. With that caveat, Figure 4.5 presents size-partitioned abundance, biomass, and fish export for all captured VM and NM fishes. VM fishes $>1 \mathrm{~g}$ in wet weight mediate $45 \%$ of the overall fish export, and they form $5 \%$ of the overall abundance and $42 \%$ of the biomass. Biomass increases with size class for NM fishes, but peaks sharply in the $1-10 \mathrm{~g}$ size class for VM fishes. Abundance decreases with weight for both VM and NM fishes. Fish export peaks in the 0.1-1.0 g size class for NM fishes,
and in the $1-10 \mathrm{~g}$ size class for VM fishes. The fractions of respiratory, fecal, and mortality carbon export (Table 4.3) are size and temperature independent, depending wholly on the assumed energy budget, behavior, proximate compositions, and activity patterns of the VM and NM fishes.

### 4.5. Discussion

### 4.5.1. Fish catch

The biomass of fishes here, $0.6-5.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ (mean of 2.4 and $1.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ for the CCE and NPSG trawls respectively) as measured by nets and prior to correction for capture efficiency, is consistent with prior estimates for the sampling area ( 3.6 and $2.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ for the CCE and NPSG respectively; Gjosaeter and Kawaguchi, 1980; Pearcy and Laurs, 1966), which also were not corrected for capture efficiency. Nets are known to underestimate mesopelagic fish biomass by approximately an order of magnitude due to the processes of avoidance and escapement (summarized in Chapter 3). Capture efficiency of the MOHT has been measured from concurrent acoustic data to be, on average, $14 \%$ for small mesopelagic fishes (Chapter 3). The capture efficiency of the IKMT is $46.8 \%$ of that of the MOHT, equivalent to an absolute capture efficiency of $6.6 \%$. This is an overall value, as capture efficiency is expected to vary with size and swimming ability of the fish. Thus, capture efficiency is lower for large, fast-swimming fishes and higher for small, slow-swimming fishes. The size distribution of captured fishes matches this expectation, with numbers dominated by smaller fishes. It is unknown how much capture efficiency size-bias contributes to the observed numerical dominance of very small ( $<0.2 \mathrm{~g}$ ) fishes in comparison to the similar expectation from
mortality. In contrast to abundance, biomass is dominated by larger individuals for both VM and NM fishes (Figure 4.5). Thus, the portion of the size spectrum least wellsampled contributes most of the biomass. Because almost half of the modeled fish export is mediated by large ( $>1 \mathrm{~g}$ ) VM fishes that are undersampled in relation to the whole, the fish export estimate here is expected to be conservative.

The biomass of mesopelagic fishes is not evenly distributed. Although no meridional trend in biomass was found, biomass generally decreases with distance from the continental shelf (Figure 4.3). Near the continental shelf break, the biomass of fishes is variable, with both extremely high values and low values that approximate the biomass well offshore. The decrease in variability with increasing distance from the continental shelf may coincide with changes in habitat patchiness at the scale of the trawl. There is some evidence of a biomass peak over the continental slope, which has been reported both in the CCE and in other places (Brodeur et al., 2003; Gjosaeter and Kawaguchi, 1980; Pearcy, 1976; Radchenko, 2007). This biomass peak is theorized to result from the collocation of forage (high productivity) and predation risk from demersal and coastal predators (Pearcy, 1976).

The importance of food availability to mesopelagic fish biomass is illustrated by the significantly increasing relationship between satellite-derived annual NPP (Behrenfeld and Falkowski, 1997) and mesopelagic fish biomass (Figure 4.4). Application of the regressed relationship between mesopelagic fish biomass and annual NPP (2008) for the study area produces a mesopelagic fish biomass estimate of 55.1 million metric tons (MMT; $16.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} ; 18.5 \mathrm{MMT}$ for the CCE area $<300 \mathrm{~km}$ from the 200 m isobath). The combined stock of sardines (2008; Hill et al., 2010) and anchovies
(1991, not annually assessed; Jacobson et al., 1994) in the same region is <2 MMT. We estimate the large stock of mesopelagic fishes to consume a correspondingly large fraction of annual NPP. A significant relationship was found between annual NPP and fraction of NPP consumed by mesopelagic fishes (Figure 4.9). This fraction is lower in productive CCE waters and higher in the oligotrophic NPSG. This pattern is consistent with increased competition for NPP, top-down control of mesopelagic fish biomass, and increased passive flux efficiency in eutrophic waters. The fraction of NPP consumed by mesopelagic fishes seems rather high in the NPSG (0.6-1.2) in light of the expected consumption of 10-50\% of NPP by the microbial loop alone (Azam et al., 1983). Possible confounding factors include an increase in capture efficiency offshore (fishes tend to be smaller offshore, and perhaps less able to avoid the net), a reduction in trophic level of mesopelagic fishes in the NPSG, and underestimation of annual NPP by the VGPM model. A reduction of trophic level below 3.0 (our assumed value was 3.2) requires some herbivory. This has only been observed once in mesopelagic fishes (Robison, 1984), but it was for Ceratoscopelus warmingii, one of the dominant myctophid species of the NPSG. Previous attempts to reconcile the productivity of subtropical mesopelagic fishes with NPP have concluded that there is not enough NPP to support them if trophic level is >3 and trophic transfer efficiency is 10\% (Clarke, 1973; Mann, 1984). Some recent evidence suggests that global gross primary production has been underestimated (Welp et al., 2011; but the authors attribute it to terrestrial sources), and that nitrogen fixation plays a larger role in the oligotrophic eastern North Pacific than previously believed at depths below those observed by satellites (Montoya et al., 2004), supporting the possibility that NPP is underestimated here. It has also been found that
there is not enough NPP in the western subarctic Pacific to support Neocalanus spp. copepods, important prey of mesopelagic fishes, if trophic efficiency is less than $13 \%$ (Kobari et al., 2003). Reconciliation of these diverse fields of ecological study will require more research.

### 4.5.2. Flux model

The model used to estimate fish export is individual-based, with many assumptions made at the individual, species, and higher taxonomic levels. Several of the more critical assumptions are discussed below.

All fishes were assumed to inhabit a depth of 400 m during the day and to experience the measured temperature there, with the VM fishes assumed to ascend to 50 m at night where they experience the mean epipelagic temperature (1-150 m). The densest portion of the (daytime) 38 kHz DSL is at approximately 400 m in the study area, as observed from concurrently collected acoustic data. Less-dense layers were often observed both above and below the 400 m layer. Temperature changes slowly (by $\sim 0.01{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-1}$ ) at 400 m , and lower metabolic rates of fishes below 400 m will be offset by higher metabolic rates of fishes above 400 m . We view the choice of 400 m as the daytime depth of mesopelagic fishes to be both appropriate and conservative because the densest portion of the DSL was observed acoustically at approximately 400 m , biomass generally decreases with depth, temperature increases more quickly from 400-150 m depth than it decreases blow 400 m , and because the effort of vertical migration to below 400 m will offset the effect on metabolic rate from slowly decreasing temperatures.

Because VM fishes are distributed throughout the epipelagic, the choice of 50 m as their
night depth represents a compromise between the observed dense acoustic layer from $\sim 10-50 \mathrm{~m}$ and the bottom of the epipelagic zone. The model is not sensitive to this depth choice, as the mean epipelagic temperature is used and the night depth is only used to estimate swimming effort (Table 4.4).

Vertical migration was treated at the species level in the modeling for this study. There is evidence that not all individuals of VM species ascend to epipelagic depths on a given night (Clarke, 1973; Clarke, 1971; Pearcy et al., 1977), and that the fraction may vary seasonally or with the lunar cycle (Clarke, 1973; Kaartvedt et al., 2009; Linkowski, 1996). In contrast, it is thought that all individuals of VM species that do ascend to the epipelagic at night return to depth at dawn (Clarke, 1973; Pearcy, 1964). Eleven epipelagic tows made in daylight captured few mesopelagic fishes (mean biomass of $0.013 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ ), indicating either that capture efficiency is dramatically less in daylight, or that almost no mesopelagic fishes occupy epipelagic depths during daylight. The deep oblique trawls used to estimate biomass and carbon export were not vertically resolved, and thus it is impossible to know the depth of capture of individual fishes. VM species constituted $33.7 \%$ of the overall mesopelagic fish abundance and $46.4 \%$ of the biomass when averaged by trawl. An alternate estimate of the migratory fraction of mesopelagic fishes was made from the shallow tows associated with the deeper tows used to estimate biomass. A mean of $25.1 \%$ of the abundance and $24.4 \%$ of the biomass of mesopelagic fishes in the deep tows were found in the epipelagic tows. The difference between estimates of the migratory fraction of biomass from these two methods is consistent with approximately half of the nominally VM biomass remaining at depth during the night. It is possible that there is a capture efficiency difference between active fishes near the
surface at night and lethargic fishes at depth in daylight. We found no clear evidence of a night-day capture efficiency difference, as the VM fraction of total biomass was not significantly different between day and night tows ( $t$-test, $t=1.44, \mathrm{df}=75, p=0.15$ ). Some species of nominally NM fishes have been reported to be present in the epipelagic zone at night (Baird, 1971; Benoit-Bird and Au, 2006), or may be VM as juveniles but not as adults (Bailey and Robison, 1986; Clarke, 1973). Our trawl data support the finding of nocturnal vertical range expansion, because some individuals of several NM species were captured in shallow trawls. NM fishes found in the epipelagic at night formed $6.9 \%$ of the abundance and $12.7 \%$ of the biomass of the shallow tows. These fishes were not present in shallow trawls to the same depth conducted in daylight. For carbon export purposes, the presence of these fishes in the epipelagic zone at night partially offsets the fishes from VM species that do not migrate. The modeled fish export by VM fishes was adjusted for the difference between taxonomic and trawl-based estimates of the vertically-migratory biomass (Equation 10). This adjustment is approximate, as it includes the small fraction of NM fishes found in the epipelagic which have (on average) lower metabolic rates, and it does not resolve size- and species-specific differences in DVM behavior. The adjustment is also small, as $R_{\mathrm{e}}$ (the ratio of carbon export by a non-migrating VM fish to that of a migrating VM fish) is 1.03 .

The energy budget for an idealized carnivorous fish (Brett and Groves, 1979), as modified for the lifetime $G: M$ ratios of VM and NM mesopelagic fish species (Childress et al., 1980), was used to calculate daily ration for the purposes of estimating the carbon exported by an individual fish. It is likely that this energy budget varies with ontogeny, temperature, season, and species. Some mesopelagic species are theorized to be
semelparous, reproducing in their last year of life, while others are known to be iteroparous (Childress et al., 1980). No attempt was made here to separate reproductive and somatic growth, and we assumed that fishes do not change the fraction of ingested energy allocated to overall growth with the onset of reproductive activity. In addition, the assumption that growth is equivalent to mortality is only valid for a population that is not changing in size and on a generational time scale. Few data are available concerning the physiological energetics of mesopelagic fishes, as they are notoriously difficult to keep alive in captivity (Robison, 1973). Given the lack of detailed knowledge, the annual time scales assumed, the large number of mesopelagic fish species, and the wide variety of size classes considered, the use of generalized energy budgets was deemed appropriate.

Additional assumptions were made as to what constitutes "fish export" (Figure 4.1). The first of these assumptions is the 150 m flux boundary, chosen as a conventional depth of both sediment trap sampling and of the epipelagic layer. The most appropriate depth to use for a flux boundary is likely variable (Buesseler and Boyd, 2009), but the model here is not sensitive to the precise location of this boundary because all fishes are assumed inhabit a depth of 400 m during the day, with the VM fishes assumed to ascend to 50 m at night.

The second "fish export" assumption is the inclusion of fecal flux. This flux could be reasonably included in the passive transport category, as all mesopelagic fish feces are assumed to be exported regardless of the depth of defecation. Feces are included here due to their high speed of sinking (Robison and Bailey, 1981) and their rarity relative to zooplankton fecal pellets, properties that make fish fecal export difficult to measure using the sediment trap and ${ }^{234} \mathrm{Th}$ depletion methods usually used to estimate
the passive flux (Angel, 1985). It is possible that epipelagic fish feces are also largely exported, but our sampling methods were not suited to assess the biomass and size distribution of epipelagic fishes. The contribution of NM fishes to carbon export is via consumption of VM zooplankton (Figure 4.1), and may thus be considered a portion of the zooplankton mortality export flux. A "detrital fraction" of $33 \%$ was assumed for the diet of NM fishes, representing the fraction of zooplankton carbon that was acquired by the zooplankter below the 150 m threshold (Figure 4.1). It assumes that NM fishes are not selecting VM zooplankton in preference to NM zooplankton as prey, or vice versa. This was the largest (and most conservative for estimation of fish export) fraction resulting from the range of measurements of bacterial and zooplankton carbon demand by Steinberg et al. (2000) at two stations in the North Pacific. Although not counted as export here, a portion of this material is repackaged as rapidly-sinking feces by NM fishes. NM fishes may thus play a role in the transformation of slowly-sinking detritus at mesopelagic depths to fast-sinking detritus that may reach the sea floor.

The last general assumption regarding "fish export" is that $89 \%$ of VM fish mortality occurs below the 150 m flux depth boundary. This value is taken from an estimate of the food requirements of piscivorous stomiids in the Gulf of Mexico (Sutton and Hopkins, 1996), and is supported by less-specific estimates of stomiid predation from the NPSG (Clarke, 1982; Mann, 1984) that suggest that most mesopelagic fish production is isolated from epipelagic food chains, i.e. that the most important predators of openocean mesopelagic fishes are deep-living.

The RMR sub-model used here (Gillooly et al., 2001) results from a regression of many studies from many species of fishes, most of which were not mesopelagic. This
model is similar to other published general estimates for fishes in the temperature range present in our study area $\left(5-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; Figure 4.10 ; Clarke and Johnston, 1999; Winberg, 1956). This RMR model was then modified to represent a diel cycle of $50 \%$ inactivity (SMR) and 50\% feeding (AMR). The RMR:SMR and AMR:RMR ratios were both set to 2 based upon general estimates from the literature (Brett and Groves, 1979; Winberg, 1956) and one published in situ measurement of the diel respiratory cycle of Cyclothone acclinidens (Smith and Laver, 1981), an abundant NM fish species from our study area. The fish export model is very sensitive to variation of the respiration rate parameters (Table 4.4). Therefore, we compared the respiration model and published respiratory rates in order to assess whether or not the modeled respiratory rates are representative of mesopelagic fishes. Once scaled to a common $W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ and residence depth, the measured respiratory rates of mesopelagic fishes correspond well to the model (Figure 4.10).

The metabolic rate of fishes, and thus the ingested energy required to support it, is strongly and exponentially dependent upon both weight and temperature (Equation 1, Figure 4.11; Winberg 1956, Jobling 1993, Brett and Groves 1979). Variation of $W_{w, f}$ from $0.01-20 \mathrm{~g}$ and temperature from $2-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ results in a 36 -fold change in the expected weight-specific daily ration of a fish (Figure 4.11). However, published ingestion rates are often based upon weight and temperature averaged across wide ranges, making them sensitive to the sample distribution, which is often not reported. Uncertainties in temperature and weight aside, our calculations of daily ration based upon first principles, estimates of DVM behavior, and general energy budgets give reasonable results in the context of reported ingestion rates (Figure 4.12). The modeled $R_{\mathrm{d}}$ for a 1-g fish is lower
(above $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) than the relationship reported by Winberg (1956; 1-g fish), and higher than most measured values, although these measurements are often averaged over a wide range of temperature and span a range of $W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}}$ between 0.05 and 116 g . The modeled $R_{\mathrm{d}}$ is also consistent with $R_{\mathrm{d}}$ calculated from measured respiration rates (Figure 4.10) assuming $Q_{\mathrm{ox}}=13.6 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{mg}^{-1} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $Q_{\mathrm{z}}=3.6 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~g}^{-1} W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{z}}$.


Figure 4.10. Metabolic model for RMR as a function of temperature for a $1-\mathrm{g}$ fish (solid line; Gillooly et al., 2001), and as modified for SMR (lower dashed line) and AMR (upper dashed line). The Clarke and Johnston (1999) and Winberg (1956) models are also shown for comparison (dash-dot line and dotted line respectively). Published measurements of the respiratory rate for mesopelagic fishes are shown (Childress and Somero, 1979; Donnelly and Torres, 1988; Ikeda, 1989; Smith and Laver, 1981; Torres et al., 1979; Torres and Somero, 1988). Respiration rates of non-migratory fish species were divided by 0.49 to correct for the effect of residence depth on metabolism (Torres et al., 1979).


Figure 4.11. Modeled daily ration of fishes; a) effect of wet weight and temperature, b) daily ration of a $2-\mathrm{g}$ vertically-migratory fish $\left(\sim 6 \mathrm{~cm} L_{S}\right)$ as a function of temperature at 50 and 400 m depths. The polygon contains the approximate range of these temperatures found in nature (annual average, World Ocean Atlas 2009; Locarnini et al. 2010).


Figure 4.12. Modeled daily ration of a $0.1,1,10$, and 100 g vertically-migratory fish as a function of temperature (solid lines). The daily ration estimate of Winberg (1956) for fishes is shown as a dashed line for wet weight of 1 g and $29 \%$ growth fraction of ingested energy. Measured values of mesopelagic fish daily ration (daily ration of NM fishes were divided by 0.49 to correct for the effect of residence depth on metabolism; Torres et al., 1979) are marked as open circles (Childress et al., 1980; Clarke, 1978; Dalpadado and Gjosaeter, 1988; Gerasimova, 1990; Gorbatenko and Il'inskii, 1991; Gorelova, 1984; Gorelova and Tseitlin, 1979; Holton, 1969; Hopkins and Baird, 1985; Legand and Rivaton, 1969; Pakhomov et al., 1996; Rowedder, 1979; Sameoto, 1989; Sameoto, 1988; Tseytlin and Gorelova, 1978; Watanabe and Kawaguchi, 2003; Williams et al., 2001), and daily ration calculated from measured respiration rates (Figure 9; 1-g $W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}}$, assuming $Q_{\mathrm{ox}}=13.6 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{mg}^{-1} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $Q_{\mathrm{z}}=3.6 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~g}^{-1} W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{z}}$ ) are shown as closed triangles. Where temperature was not reported, World Ocean Atlas 2009 values (mean of 50 and 400 m temperatures; Locarnini et al. 2010) were used from the study location.

The daily energy expenditure of a NM fish is smaller than that of a VM fish, and thus it requires lower $R_{\mathrm{d}}$ and will process a smaller amount of carbon (Table 4.3),
resulting in lower weight-specific carbon export. The carbon exported by a $1-\mathrm{g}$ NM fish is $31 \%$ of that of a similarly-sized VM fish. The respiratory fraction of overall fish export will be to shallow depths (150-400 m) with moderate ventilation times. The fecal fraction will be exported quickly to greater depths (mesopelagic fish feces sink at $\sim 1000$ $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{d}^{-1}$; Robison and Bailey 1981), and may be sequestered from the atmosphere on a time scale of centuries. The fate of the mortality export term is predator-specific. Given the assumption that $89 \%$ of mesopelagic zooplanktivore production is consumed by dragonfishes (Sutton and Hopkins, 1996) , it is likely that carbon lost to mortality will be transformed at a similar depth and in similar ratios as that of NM fishes (40\% respired, $21 \%$ defecated, and $39 \%$ passed on to the next predator). The energy expenditure for vertical swimming is $27 \%$ of the daily ingested energy of a VM fish. Increased energy expenditure resulting from higher temperatures encountered near the surface can also be considered part of the cost of vertical migration. Vertical migration thus increases daily energy expenditure by about $67 \%$ under the conditions in Table 4.3. Looked at another way, if a VM fish can meet $60 \%$ of its normal daily energy requirement at depth, or if it consumed $160 \%$ of $R_{\mathrm{d}}$ the previous night in the epipelagic, it does not need to ascend to the surface to feed. Non-migration may be advantageous to fish if vertical migration elevates predation risk, either in transit or at the surface. There is evidence of partial population migration and feeding at depth by VM fishes that supports conditional vertical migration (Gorbatenko and Il'inskii, 1991; Pearcy et al., 1977; Pearcy et al., 1979), but if so, it is unclear whether or not fishes of nominally VM species make a daily decision to migrate based upon hunger.

### 4.5.3. Carbon export

Recent estimates of worldwide carbon export are $\sim 10-11 \mathrm{Pg} \mathrm{y}^{-1}$ (83-91 $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ $\mathrm{d}^{-1}$ assuming an open ocean area of $332 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~km}^{2}$; Falkowski et al., 2003; Schlitzer, 2002; Usbeck et al., 2003). Global estimates made from sediment trap data are 30-50\% lower (Karl et al., 1996; Martin et al., 1987; Usbeck et al., 2003). Active transport of carbon by zooplankton and mesopelagic fishes is at least partially responsible for the discrepancy between ecosystem modeling and empirical measurements of passive transport. Several researchers have estimated portions of the active transport at specific locations (Table 4.5). Direct comparisons of magnitude between the studies are difficult, because the studies varied in the form of the active transport estimated as well as by ecosystem. With two exceptions (Angel, 1985; Angel and Pugh, 2000; no correction for capture efficiency), the fish export studies are consistent given the partial populations and partial export terms studied. Estimates of zooplankton active transport have a wide range, and are generally lower than those for mesopelagic fishes, although many of the zooplankton studies are partial taxonomically or in terms of the flux terms (Table 4.5). Larger invertebrates likely avoid nets similarly to mesopelagic fishes, resulting in underestimates of biomass and carbon fluxes. If the midpoint is taken where a range is given, the mean zooplankton active transport across all studies is $12.7 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ and the mean fish export rate is $12.6 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$. Together, mean fish and zooplankton export is $\sim 30 \%$ of the mean global carbon export (83-91 $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ ). It must be emphasized that these studies may not be distributed across the range of NPP in the same ratio as NPP is over the ocean surface, and that there is some overlap between "zooplankton export" and "fish export." Even so, it is apparent that active transport by zooplankton and fishes may
explain a 30-50\% gap between empirical data from sediment traps and ecological modeling, especially when the missing flux terms from the studies in Table 4.5 are considered.

Table 4.5. Active transport of carbon by vertically-migratory taxa. "DVM" and "OVM" refer to dielly vertically migratory and ontogenetically vertically migratory zooplankton respectively. In the "Terms" column, "R" refers to respiratory loss, "E" to excretion, "F" to fecal, and "M" to mortality. The first row of the table is a global carbon export estimate made with the Laws (2000) model (converted to $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ using an open ocean area of $332 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ).

| Author | Location | Taxa | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carbon export } \\ & \left(\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Terms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Falkowski et al. (2003) | global | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$, global export | 91.6 | - |
| Al-Mutairi and Landry (2001) | Hawaii | DVM zooplankton ${ }^{1}$ | 7.1 | R, E, F, M |
| Dam et al. (1995) | Bermuda | DVM zooplankton | 14.5 | R |
| Hidaka et al. (2001) | W. Eq. Pac. | DVM zooplankton ${ }^{2}$ | 10.2-24.8 | R, F |
| Kobari et al. (2003) | N.W. Pac. | OVM copepods | 11.8 | R, M |
| Kobari et al. (2008) | N.W. Pac. | OVM, DVM copepods | 9.4-22.4 | R, F, M |
| Le Borgne and Rodier (1997) | E. Eq. Pac. | DVM zooplankton | 6.3-7.9 | R |
| Le Borgne and Rodier (1997) | W. Eq. Pac. | DVM zooplankton | 3.1 | R |
| Longhurst et al. (1990) | Sargasso Sea, E. Trop. Pac. | DVM zooplankton ${ }^{1}$ | 2.8-8.8 | R |
| Longhurst and Williams (1992) | Bermuda | OVM copepods | 0.3 | M |
| Morales (1999) | Bermuda | OVM, DVM copepods | 7.3-133.0 | R, F, M |
| Putzeys and Hernandez-Leon (2005 | Canary Is. | DVM zooplankton | 4.0-7.0 | R |
| Schnetzer and Steinberg (2002) | Bermuda | DVM zooplankton | 0.9 | F |
| Steinberg et al. (2000) | Bermuda | DVM zooplankton | 2.0 | R, E |
| Steinberg et al. (2008) | Hawaii | DVM zooplankton | 1.8-7.7 | R, E |
| Steinberg et al. (2008) | N.W. Pac. | DVM zooplankton | 15.6-46.0 | R, E |
| Takahashi et al. (2009) | N.W. Pac. | DVM copepods | 8.0 | R, M |
| Zhang and Dam (1997) | Eq. Pac. | DVM zooplankton | 7.1-12.7 | R, M |
| Angel (1985) | Azores Is. | DVM fishes | 2.5 | F |
| Angel and Pugh (2000) | N.E. Atl. | DVM fishes | 0.1-0.6 | E, F, M |
| Hidaka et al. (2001) | W. Eq. Pac. | DVM fishes | 8.4-24.0 | R, F |
| Radchenko (2007) | Bering Sea | DVM fishes | $12.5{ }^{3}$ | F |
| Williams and Koslow (1997) | Tasmania | DVM fishes | 5.8-20.3 | M |
| This study | N.E. Pac. | Mesopelagic fishes | 24.8 | R, F, M |

${ }^{1}$ Some fishes were included.
${ }^{2}$ Shrimp and euphausiid data were added to zooplankton.
${ }^{3}$ Assumes Bering Sea basin area is 1.2 million $\mathrm{km}^{2}$

Fish export is 29.6 MMT y ${ }^{-1}\left(24.8 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}\right)$ in the study area, forming $17.2 \%$ of the total export ( $144.4 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ ) as estimated by the model of Laws (2004). Most of the fish export is to depths of 400 m or more, as respiration during transit between 150 and 400 m is small in comparison to respiration at depth, defecation, and mortality (Table 4.3). This depth of export increases the importance of the fish export in relation to the passive flux, because as much as $80 \%$ of the passive flux is remineralized between 150 and 500 m (Buesseler et al., 2007b). A comparison between sediment trap estimates of passive flux and fish export is possible in our study area (Figure 4.6c; Martin et al. 1987). Fish export varies from 6.4-39.5\% of the overall carbon export at these four stations, and from $24.4-53.2 \%$ of the passive flux at 150 m (Figure 4.13). At a depth of 400 m , fish export averages $95 \%$ of the passive flux.


Station
Figure 4.13. Comparison of total carbon export (open circles; Laws, 2004), passive flux ( 150 m , closed triangles; 400 m , open triangles; Martin et al., 1987), and fish export (closed circles) at the four VERTEX sediment trap stations in the study area.

The distributions of annual NPP, ef ratio, total carbon export, and fish export all have similar areal patterns, i.e., high in the coastal upwelling zone, low in the offshore central water mass, and moderate offshore to the north in the North Pacific Drift (Figure 4.6). However, the ratio of fish export to total export has an inverted pattern in relation to those ecological properties (Figure 4.8). This pattern inversion occurs because the biomass of mesopelagic fishes (and thus fish export) decreases in proportion to the amount of food available (proportional to annual NPP, Figure 4.4), whereas the total export decreases in proportion to the square of annual NPP because the multiplicative ef ratio is itself a function of annual NPP. The occurrence of relatively high fish export in subtropical waters is important because these oligotrophic waters form $\sim 60 \%$ of overall ocean area (Eppley and Peterson, 1979) and are the site of approximately half of oceanic carbon export (Emerson et al., 1997; Laws et al., 2000). Although the linear regression between estimated fish export and total export was significant, visual inspection of Figure 4.7 suggests that the relationship may have different slopes to either side of an inflection point at $200 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$ of total export. If so, fish export may form a roughly constant $10 \%$ of total export above the inflection point and a rapidly increasing fraction of total export below the inflection point.

### 4.6. Conclusion

Quantifying the efficiency of the biological pump is a prerequisite for forming accurate global carbon models (Usbeck et al., 2003). Few studies of the biological pump to date have quantified the role of mesopelagic fishes in the export of carbon from the
epipelagic. Our results indicate that not only is the fish export large in comparison to zooplankton flux estimates (Table 4.5), but that it is approximately equal to the passive flux at 400 m depth (Figure 4.13), and that it varies spatially in both magnitude and relative importance (Figures 4.7, 4.8). The size, swimming ability, and abundance of mesopelagic fishes serve to elevate their importance to the biological pump. Their feces sink rapidly, and they are isolated from epipelagic predators. Their daily vertical movements, or those of their prey, take them through the upper thermocline, and they release carbon in the form of defecation, respiration, and mortality below the depth zone in which most remineralization occurs (Figure 4.1). Mesopelagic fishes mediate a mean of $17.2 \%\left(24.8 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1}\right)$ of the total carbon export in the study area. Approximately half of this export passes through vertical-migrants of $W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}}>1 \mathrm{~g}$, over $90 \%$ of which are myctophids (Table 4.2, Figure 4.5). The magnitude of fish export exceeds $40 \%$ of the total export in the oligotrophic NPSG, where remineralization of passive carbon flux is most efficient (Figure 4.7). Fish export is larger in productive coastal upwelling areas, but forms a smaller fraction of the total export (Figure 4.8). Fish export is likely to be high in ocean regions that have warm water at mesopelagic depths due to elevated metabolic rates, but no such conditions were present in our study area. As fish export largely bypasses direct measurements of passive carbon export, it is additive to estimates of the biological pump made with them. This often-neglected component of the biological pump is large enough to affect global biogeochemical models that are currently fit to sediment trap data.

Quantification of fish export can be improved with more research on size-, temperature-, depth-, and taxonomically-specific energy budgets, respiration, and daily
rations of mesopelagic fishes. Depth-stratified sampling will serve to better describe the vertical distribution patterns of mesopelagic fishes, and thus depths of carbon ingestion and release. The major predators of mesopelagic fishes in the northeast Pacific Ocean are still unknown (Mann, 1984), and therefore the fate of mesopelagic fish production is also unknown, although it has been estimated that $34.6 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{y}^{-1}$ of mesopelagic fishes $\left(\sim 0.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{y}^{-1}\right.$ wet weight cf. the mean biomass of $\left.29.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}\right)$ are consumed by cetaceans in the CCE (Barlow et al., 2008). More in situ respiration experiments similar to that of Smith and Laver (1981) will improve understanding of daily variation in the metabolic rates of fishes. Finally, the export of nitrogen from the epipelagic by mesopelagic fishes and the fecal export of carbon by epipelagic fishes, although not studied here, are likely to prove ecologically important.
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### 4.8. Supplementary Material

Table 4.6. Trawl information. The P0810, ORCAWALE, and SEAPLEX cruises are abbreviated as "LTER," "O," and "SP," respectively. "IKMT" refers to a 3-m² IsaacsKidd midwater trawl (Isaacs and Kidd, 1953), and "MOHT" to a $5-\mathrm{m}^{2}$ Matsuda-OozekiHu trawl (Oozeki et al., 2004). "Light" refers to light conditions at the time of the trawl, "Depth" to the maximum depth of an oblique trawl profile, "Vol. filt." to the volume of water filtered by the net, " $T_{\text {top }}$ " to the mean temperature of the top $150 \mathrm{~m}, ~ " T_{\text {bottom }}$ " to the temperature at 400 m depth, and " $W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}}$ " to the wet weight of fishes collected per $\mathrm{m}^{2} . T_{\text {top }}$ and $T_{\text {bottom }}$ are only reported for the 66 deep trawls used to estimate fish export.

| Cruise:tow | Net | Date (PDT/PST) | Time (PDT/PST) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Lat. } \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Long. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right)$ | Light | Depth <br> (m) | Vol. filt. $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} T_{\text {top }} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $T_{\text {bottom }}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}} \\ \left(\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LTER:1-1 | MOHT | 6 Oct. 2008 | 07:40 | 34.150 | 120.871 | day | 605 | 67046 | 12.4 | 7.2 | 4.243 |
| LTER:1-2 | MOHT | 6 Oct. 2008 | 17:55 | 33.873 | 120.803 | day | 769 | 42411 | 12.7 | 7.3 | 5.085 |
| LTER:1-3 | MOHT | 6 Oct. 2008 | 21:41 | 33.802 | 120.720 | night | 194 | 17308 |  |  | 0.533 |
| LTER:1-4 | MOHT | 7 Oct. 2008 | 00:56 | 33.760 | 120.696 | night | 210 | 17203 |  |  | 1.322 |
| LTER:1-5 | MOHT | 7 Oct. 2008 | 14:49 | 33.645 | 120.725 | day | 182 | 16383 |  |  | 0.000 |
| LTER:1-6 | MOHT | 7 Oct. 2008 | 16:30 | 33.599 | 120.688 | day | 758 | 48567 | 12.1 | 7.1 | 3.644 |
| LTER:1-7 | MOHT | 8 Oct. 2008 | 04:23 | 33.502 | 120.725 | night | 745 | 41897 | 12.8 | 7.3 | 4.644 |
| LTER:2-1 | MOHT | 12 Oct. 2008 | 01:15 | 32.470 | 123.724 | night | 199 | 16882 |  |  | 0.326 |
| LTER:2-2 | MOHT | 12 Oct. 2008 | 14:36 | 32.339 | 123.749 | day | 232 | 18143 |  |  | 0.000 |
| LTER:2-3 | MOHT | 12 Oct. 2008 | 16:09 | 32.291 | 123.752 | day | 903 | 45518 | 14.8 | 6.4 | 2.226 |
| LTER:2-4 | MOHT | 12 Oct. 2008 | 23:46 | 32.233 | 123.813 | night | 760 | 45757 | 14.5 | 6.4 | 1.405 |
| LTER:2-5 | MOHT | 14 Oct. 2008 | 03:35 | 32.148 | 123.953 | night | 169 | 29957 |  |  | 0.104 |
| LTER:3-1 | MOHT | 15 Oct. 2008 | 05:09 | 33.961 | 121.815 | night | 218 | 19717 |  |  | 1.122 |
| LTER:3-2 | MOHT | 15 Oct. 2008 | 07:07 | 33.871 | 121.767 | day | 727 | 45469 | 13.1 | 6.6 | 4.138 |
| LTER:3-3 | MOHT | 16 Oct. 2008 | 05:55 | 34.033 | 121.739 | night | 189 | 20107 |  |  | 0.792 |
| LTER:3-4 | MOHT | 16 Oct. 2008 | 08:15 | 33.896 | 121.695 | day | 746 | 46129 | 13.0 | 6.2 | 4.457 |
| LTER:3-5 | MOHT | 16 Oct. 2008 | 15:09 | 33.990 | 121.718 | day | 694 | 47888 | 13.0 | 6.9 | 5.546 |
| LTER:3-6 | MOHT | 16 Oct. 2008 | 21:33 | 34.016 | 121.651 | night | 693 | 45084 | 13.2 | 6.9 | 5.160 |
| LTER:3-7 | MOHT | 17 Oct. 2008 | 00:45 | 34.119 | 121.685 | night | 143 | 18596 |  |  | 0.964 |
| LTER:4-1 | MOHT | 20 Oct. 2008 | 15:30 | 33.534 | 121.138 | day | 687 | 48325 | 13.1 | 7.4 | 2.162 |
| LTER:4-2 | MOHT | 20 Oct. 2008 | 20:56 | 33.518 | 121.147 | night | 889 | 38623 | 13.0 | 7.5 | 4.615 |
| LTER:4-3 | MOHT | 21 Oct. 2008 | 01:28 | 33.545 | 121.165 | night | 200 | 20888 |  |  | 0.810 |
| LTER:4-4 | MOHT | 21 Oct. 2008 | 05:07 | 33.549 | 121.179 | night | 179 | 21926 |  |  | 1.926 |
| LTER:5-1 | MOHT | 22 Oct. 2008 | 06:52 | 32.824 | 120.884 | day | 741 | 39062 | 12.0 | 6.8 | 3.551 |
| LTER:5-2 | MOHT | 22 Oct. 2008 | 09:28 | 32.846 | 120.921 | day | 211 | 15619 |  |  | 0.006 |
| LTER:5-3 | MOHT | 23 Oct. 2008 | 14:35 | 32.842 | 120.605 | day | 986 | 31451 | 12.1 | 6.4 | 3.541 |
| LTER:5-4 | MOHT | 23 Oct. 2008 | 20:24 | 32.831 | 120.532 | night | 841 | 34676 | 12.7 | 6.3 | 4.835 |
| LTER:5-5 | MOHT | 24 Oct. 2008 | 00:51 | 32.854 | 120.531 | night | 213 | 16192 |  |  | 1.780 |
| LTER:5-6 | MOHT | 24 Oct. 2008 | 04:30 | 32.852 | 120.568 | night | 191 | 15331 |  |  | 1.165 |
| LTER:6-1 | MOHT | 26 Oct. 2008 | 05:12 | 32.539 | 120.527 | night | 171 | 18156 |  |  | 0.429 |
| LTER:6-2 | MOHT | 26 Oct. 2008 | 06:50 | 32.512 | 120.505 | day | 719 | 39831 | 13.2 | 6.5 | 2.844 |
| LTER:6-3 | MOHT | 26 Oct. 2008 | 09:19 | 32.541 | 120.500 | day | 209 | 16524 |  |  | 0.011 |
| LTER:6-4 | MOHT | 26 Oct. 2008 | 20:42 | 32.510 | 120.323 | night | 784 | 37694 | 14.0 | 6.6 | 4.009 |
| LTER:6-5 | MOHT | 27 Oct. 2008 | 00:02 | 32.553 | 120.319 | night | 207 | 16642 |  |  | 0.919 |
| LTER:6-6 | MOHT | 27 Oct. 2008 | 08:44 | 32.505 | 120.203 | day | 754 | 38049 | 13.6 | 6.5 | 2.622 |
| LTER:6-7 | MOHT | 27 Oct. 2008 | 14:29 | 32.461 | 120.177 | day | 691 | 43245 | 13.8 | 6.4 | 3.719 |
| LTER:6-8 | MOHT | 27 Oct. 2008 | 17:31 | 32.447 | 120.188 | day | 672 | 44445 | 12.0 | 6.5 | 2.135 |
| LTER:6-9 | MOHT | 27 Oct. 2008 | 22:55 | 32.481 | 120.136 | night | 192 | 17626 |  |  | 0.543 |
| LTER:7-1 | MOHT | 28 Oct. 2008 | 13:13 | 32.273 | 119.681 | day | 449 | 26582 | 13.7 | 7.0 | 1.523 |

Table 4.6. (continued)

| Cruise:tow | Net | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { (PDT/PST) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Time } \\ \text { (PDT/PST) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lat. } \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Long. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) | Light | Depth <br> (m) | Vol. filt. $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & T_{\text {top }} \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $T_{\text {bottom }}$ $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}} \\ \left(\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O:1-1 | IKMT | 30 Jul. 2008 | 20:40 | 45.939 | 125.957 | day | 176 | $10530^{2}$ |  |  | 0.000 |
| O:1-2 | IKMT | 31 Jul. 2008 | 00:14 | 45.956 | 125.973 | night | 449 | $29160^{2}$ | 9.5 | 5.8 | 3.151 |
| O:1-3 | IKMT | 31 Jul. 2008 | 02:27 | 45.958 | 125.998 | night | 165 | $10530^{2}$ |  |  | 0.207 |
| O:1-4 | IKMT | 31 Jul. 2008 | 20:23 | 47.320 | 124.932 | day | 161 | $15660^{2}$ |  |  | 0.000 |
| 0:1-5 | IKMT | 31 Jul. 2008 | 23:49 | 47.332 | 124.858 | night | 167 | $14310^{2}$ |  |  | 0.791 |
| O:1-6 | IKMT | 1 Aug. 2008 | 01:31 | 47.329 | 124.970 | night | 425 | $32400^{2}$ | 8.0 | 6. | 1.825 |
| O:1-7 | IKMT | 1 Aug. 2008 | 23:56 | 47.730 | 126.853 | night | 126 | $11880^{2}$ |  |  | 0.229 |
| O:1-8 | IKMT | 2 Aug. 2008 | 00:51 | 47.710 | 126.897 | night | 535 | $34830{ }^{2}$ | 9.3 | 5.4 | 1.487 |
| O:1-11 | IKMT | 3 Aug 2008 | 01:02 | 46.514 | 129.138 | night | 165 | $13500^{2}$ |  |  | 0.357 |
| O:1-12 | IKMT | 3 Aug 2008 | 02:13 | 46.515 | 129.143 | night | 486 | $31860^{2}$ | 9.0 | 5.1 | 0.873 |
| O:1-15 | IKMT | 5 Aug. 2008 | 23:52 | 46.283 | 128.197 | night | 186 | $12960{ }^{2}$ |  |  | 0.505 |
| O:1-16 | IKMT | 6 Aug. 2008 | 01:11 | 46.295 | 128.233 | night | 462 | $31050^{2}$ | 9.2 | 5.4 | 0.683 |
| O:1-17 | IKMT | 6 Aug. 2008 | 23:54 | 45.031 | 127.035 | night | 146 | $13770^{2}$ |  |  | 0.322 |
| O:1-18 | IKMT | 7 Aug. 2008 | 01:08 | 45.066 | 127.191 | night | 436 | $31590{ }^{2}$ | 9.5 | 5.5 | 1.017 |
| O:1-24 | IKMT | 9 Aug. 2008 | 19:50 | 44.393 | 130.583 | day | 173 | $14580^{2}$ |  |  | 0.000 |
| O:1-26 | IKMT | 10 Aug 2008 | 00:19 | 44.394 | 130.577 | night | 147 | $12960{ }^{2}$ |  |  | 0.065 |
| O:1-27 | IKMT | 10 Aug 2008 | 01:33 | 44.403 | 130.580 | night | 469 | $32400^{2}$ | 9.3 | 5.0 | 1.073 |
| O:1-28 | IKMT | 10 Aug. 2008 | 23:53 | 42.494 | 131.010 | night | 121 | $12960{ }^{2}$ |  |  | 0.320 |
| O:1-29 | IKMT | 11 Aug. 2008 | 01:08 | 42.496 | 131.007 | night | 430 | $32940^{2}$ | 10.5 | 5.3 | 0.795 |
| O:1-34 | IKMT | 13 Aug 2008 | 23:25 | 40.901 | 130.051 | night | 162 | $13500^{2}$ |  |  | 0.115 |
| O:1-35 | IKMT | 14 Aug 2008 | 00:38 | 40.899 | 130.052 | night | 502 | $33480{ }^{2}$ | 12.0 | 6.0 | 0.559 |
| O:1-36 | IKMT | 14 Aug. 2008 | 18:52 | 41.508 | 129.927 | day | 140 | $14670^{2}$ |  |  | 0.000 |
| O:1-48 | IKMT | 19 Aug. 2008 | 00:31 | 44.411 | 125.417 | night | 134 | $13500^{2}$ |  |  | 1.652 |
| O:1-49 | IKMT | 19 Aug. 2008 | 01:43 | 44.409 | 125.409 | night | 508 | $34290{ }^{2}$ | 9.9 | 5.8 | 2.021 |
| O:2-52 | IKMT | 26 Aug. 2008 | 00:48 | 45.247 | 125.366 | night | 134 | $14040^{2}$ |  |  | 1.618 |
| 0:2-53 | IKMT | 26 Aug. 2008 | 02:11 | 45.460 | 125.374 | night | 468 | $32940^{2}$ | 9.2 | 5.7 | 4.621 |
| O:2-56 | IKMT | 27 Aug. 2008 | 23:15 | 43.330 | 127.515 | night | 164 | $14040^{2}$ |  |  | 0.225 |
| O:2-57 | IKMT | 28 Aug. 2008 | 00:35 | 43.330 | 127.515 | night | 469 | $34020^{2}$ | 11.6 | 5.7 | 1.177 |
| O:2-58 | IKMT | 28 Aug. 2008 | 19:15 | 41.864 | 127.656 | day | 150 | $9180^{2}$ |  |  | 0.000 |
| O:2-60 | IKMT | 28 Aug. 2008 | 23:16 | 41.889 | 127.804 | night | 166 | $14040^{2}$ |  |  | 0.433 |
| O:2-61 | IKMT | 29 Aug. 2008 | 00:36 | 41.884 | 127.804 | night | 471 | $33750^{2}$ | 10.4 | 5.4 | 3.805 |
| O:2-65 | IKMT | 4 Sep. 2008 | 22:46 | 36.761 | 124.418 | night | 156 | $13770{ }^{2}$ |  |  | 0.426 |
| 0:2-67 | IKMT | 5 Sep. 2008 | 01:56 | 36.759 | 124.419 | night | 457 | $33210^{2}$ | 10.8 | 6.1 | 1.643 |
| O:2-73 | IKMT | 11 Sep. 2008 | 22:57 | 38.129 | 123.963 | night | 156 | $13770^{2}$ |  |  | 0.499 |
| O:2-75 | IKMT | 12 Sep. 2008 | 01:08 | 38.129 | 123.952 | night | 458 | $34290^{2}$ | 10.0 | 6.1 | 1.554 |
| O:2-77 | IKMT | 12 Sep. 2008 | 23:00 | 37.918 | 126.170 | night | 142 | $13500^{2}$ |  |  | 0.425 |
| O:2-80 | IKMT | 13 Sep. 2008 | 02:36 | 37.916 | 126.173 | night | 449 | $32670^{2}$ | 10.6 | 6.3 | 2.456 |
| O:3-81 | IKMT | 18 Sep. 2008 | 17:58 | 39.290 | 125.731 | day | 159 | $14040^{2}$ |  |  | 0.001 |
| O:3-82 | IKMT | 18 Sep. 2008 | 23:41 | 39.335 | 125.780 | night | 144 | $12690^{2}$ |  |  | 1.151 |
| O:3-83 | IKMT | 19 Sep. 2008 | 00:54 | 39.338 | 125.781 | night | 541 | $31590{ }^{2}$ | 9.4 | 5.4 | 0.791 |
| O:3-84 | IKMT | 19 Sep. 2008 | 23:01 | 41.081 | 125.114 | night | 153 | $12960^{2}$ |  |  | 1.320 |
| 0:3-85 | IKMT | 20 Sep. 2005 | 00:18 | 41.057 | 125.127 | night | 613 | $33210^{2}$ | 9.9 | 6.1 | 4.001 |
| 0:3-88 | IKMT | 21 Sep. 2005 | 22:36 | 40.249 | 127.327 | night | 168 | $13.230^{2}$ |  |  | 0.641 |
| 0:3-89 | IKMT | 21 Sep. 2005 | 23:55 | 40.248 | 127.329 | night | 625 | $33750{ }^{2}$ | 10.4 | 5.6 | 1.956 |
| O:3-90 | IKMT | 22 Sep. 2005 | 22:34 | 39.448 | 128.962 | night | 155 | $13.230^{2}$ |  |  | 0.017 |
| 0:3-91 | IKMT | 22 Sep. 2005 | 23:56 | 39.450 | 128.969 | night | 540 | $34830^{2}$ | 13.4 | 6.1 | 0.563 |
| O:3-94 | IKMT | 24 Sep. 2008 | 22:29 | 37.795 | 129.336 | night | 148 | $13500^{2}$ |  |  | 0.047 |
| O:3-95 | IKMT | 24 Sep. 2008 | 23:44 | 37.813 | 129.374 | night | 499 | $33480^{2}$ | 13.9 | 6.0 | 1.851 |
| O:3-102 | IKMT | 27 Sep. 2008 | 23:37 | 35.435 | 125.472 | night | 132 | $13500^{2}$ |  |  | 0.240 |
| 0:3-103 | IKMT | 28 Sep. 2008 | 00:38 | 35.446 | 125.538 | night | 479 | $32940^{2}$ | 14.2 | 6.7 | 0.984 |
| O:3-105 | IKMT | 28 Sep. 2008 | 23:11 | 36.904 | 125.327 | night | 142 | $13500^{2}$ |  |  | 0.378 |
| O:3-106 | IKMT | 29 Sep. 2008 | 00:12 | 36.859 | 125.323 | night | 601 | $33210^{2}$ | 11.2 | 6.2 | 1.762 |
| 0:3-107 | IKMT | 29 Sep. 2008 | 22:25 | 36.835 | 126.559 | night | 136 | $13500^{2}$ |  |  | 0.534 |
| O:3-108 | IKMT | 29 Sep. 2008 | 23:42 | 36.833 | 126.557 | night | 517 | $34290^{2}$ | 17.0 | 5.7 | 1.400 |

Table 4.6. (continued)

| Cruise:tow | Net | Date (PDT/PST) | Time (PDT/PST) | Lat. <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$ | Long. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right)$ | Light | Depth <br> (m) | Vol. filt. $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} T_{\text {top }} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} T_{\text {botom }} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}} \\ \left(\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-109 | IKMT | 30 Sep. 2008 | 22:26 | 34.931 | 127.178 | night | 139 | $13500^{2}$ |  |  | 0.166 |
| 0:3-110 | IKMT | 30 Sep. 2008 | 23:45 | 34.932 | 127.174 | night | 507 | $36450^{2}$ | 14.3 | 6.7 | 1.486 |
| 0:3-111 | IKMT | 1 Oct. 2008 | 22:11 | 34.209 | 125.789 | night | 146 | $13230^{2}$ |  |  | 0.120 |
| O:3-112 | IKMT | 1 Oct. 2008 | 23:35 | 34.212 | 125.786 | night | 431 | $34560^{2}$ | 12.3 | 6.2 | 1.296 |
| 0:3-113 | IKMT | 2 Oct. 2008 | 22:12 | 35.246 | 124.678 | night | 144 | $13500^{2}$ |  |  | 0.036 |
| O:3-114 | IKMT | 2 Oct. 2008 | 23:30 | 35.243 | 124.677 | night | 910 | $41175^{2}$ | 14.1 | 6.3 | 1.268 |
| 0:3-116 | IKMT | 3 Oct. 2008 | 22:02 | 34.872 | 122.769 | night | 129 | $13230^{2}$ |  |  | 0.353 |
| 0:3-117 | IKMT | 3 Oct. 2008 | 23:20 | 34.873 | 122.767 | night | 489 | $35100^{2}$ | 10.9 | 7.6 | 3.851 |
| O:3-118 | IKMT | 4 Oct. 2008 | 21:53 | 34.517 | 121.254 | night | 132 | $13230^{2}$ |  |  | 0.284 |
| O:3-119 | IKMT | 4 Oct. 2008 | 23:09 | 34.516 | 121.251 | night | 503 | $32940^{2}$ | 11.5 | 7.0 | 1.470 |
| O:3-120 | IKMT | 5 Oct. 2008 | 21:31 | 33.937 | 118.850 | night | 149 | $13230^{2}$ |  |  | 0.721 |
| O:3-121 | IKMT | 5 Oct. 2008 | 22:49 | 33.933 | 118.842 | night | 457 | $35640^{2}$ | 13.0 | 7.9 | 1.903 |
| O:3-122 | IKMT | 6 Oct. 2008 | 21:34 | 33.726 | 119.356 | night | 123 | $13230^{2}$ |  |  | 0.466 |
| 0:3-123 | IKMT | 6 Oct. 2008 | 22:50 | 33.726 | 119.359 | night | 481 | $36450{ }^{2}$ | 12.0 | 7.8 | 2.440 |
| O:3-124 | IKMT | 7 Oct. 2008 | 21:41 | 32.466 | 119.715 | night | 131 | $13230^{2}$ |  |  | 0.213 |
| O:3-125 | IKMT | 7 Oct. 2008 | 22:56 | 32.464 | 119.714 | night | 455 | $37260^{2}$ | 13.1 | 6.9 | 1.088 |
| O:3-126 | IKMT | 8 Oct. 2008 | 21:28 | 32.233 | 118.103 | night | 161 | $13500^{2}$ |  |  | 0.119 |
| O:3-127 | IKMT | 8 Oct. 2008 | 23:18 | 32.242 | 118.046 | night | 482 | $34560^{2}$ | 13.4 | 7.9 | 2.236 |
| O:4-130 | IKMT | 16 Oct. 2008 | 22:46 | 33.068 | 121.489 | night | 169 | $13500^{2}$ |  |  | 0.552 |
| O:4-131 | IKMT | 17 Oct. 2008 | 00:28 | 33.064 | 121.479 | night | 796 | $32670^{2}$ | 12.9 | 7.0 | 1.378 |
| 0:4-136 | IKMT | 20 Oct. 2008 | 21:44 | 38.007 | 127.924 | night | 145 | $13230^{2}$ |  |  | 0.642 |
| O:4-137 | IKMT | 20 Oct. 2008 | 22:57 | 38.011 | 127.970 | night | 448 | $33750^{2}$ | 11. | 6.0 | 1.224 |
| O:4-140 | IKMT | 23 Oct. 2008 | 21:02 | 37.863 | 123.452 | night | 153 | $13500^{2}$ |  |  | 0.716 |
| O:4-141 | IKMT | 23 Oct. 2008 | 22:19 | 37.861 | 123.450 | night | 500 | $33210^{2}$ | 10.2 | 6.6 | 2.644 |
| O:4-142 | IKMT | 24 Oct. 2008 | 21:42 | 36.445 | 122.967 | night | 134 | $13500^{2}$ |  |  | 1.119 |
| O:4-143 | IKMT | 24 Oct. 2008 | 22:53 | 36.447 | 122.969 | night | 423 | $32940^{2}$ | 10.8 | 6.7 | 2.094 |
| O:4-144 | IKMT | 25 Oct. 2008 | 21:21 | 36.358 | 123.496 | night | 157 | $13230^{2}$ |  |  | 0.289 |
| O:4-145 | IKMT | 25 Oct. 2008 | 22:40 | 36.350 | 123.487 | night | 482 | $33480^{2}$ | 10.9 | 6.7 | 0.917 |
| O:4-151 | IKMT | 29 Oct. 2008 | 22:16 | 35.955 | 122.043 | night | 127 | $13770^{2}$ |  |  | 0.466 |
| O:4-152 | IKMT | 29 Oct. 2008 | 23:52 | 35.935 | 121.976 | night | 453 | $33210^{2}$ | 10.3 | 6.3 | 2.303 |
| O:5-158 | IKMT | 8 Nov. 2008 | 20:28 | 34.266 | 127.036 | night | 144 | $13500^{2}$ |  |  | 0.078 |
| O:5-159 | IKMT | 8 Nov. 2008 | 21:39 | 34.265 | 127.047 | night | 493 | $32670^{2}$ | 13.5 | 6.6 | 0.612 |
| O:5-160 | IKMT | 9 Nov. 2008 | 15:47 | 32.856 | 125.253 | day | 139 | $13230^{2}$ |  |  | 0.000 |
| O:5-163 | IKMT | 10 Nov. 2008 | 20:36 | 31.460 | 125.090 | night | 153 | $13230^{2}$ | 15.6 | 6.5 | 0.036 |
| O:5-164 | IKMT | 10 Nov. 2008 | 21:52 | 31.459 | 125.090 | night | 483 | $32670^{2}$ |  |  | 1.125 |
| O:5-178 | IKMT | 17 Nov. 2008 | 20:01 | 30.825 | 121.798 | night | 131 | $13230^{2}$ | 12.2 | 6.6 | 0.120 |
| O:5-179 | IKMT | 17 Nov. 2008 | 21:15 | 30.825 | 121.795 | night | 490 | $32670^{2}$ |  |  | 1.953 |
| O:5-181 | IKMT | 18 Nov. 2008 | 20:08 | 30.350 | 122.967 | night | 136 | $12960^{2}$ |  |  | 0.219 |
| O:5-182 | IKMT | 18 Nov. 2008 | 21:19 | 30.430 | 122.966 | night | 474 | $32940{ }^{2}$ | 13.9 | 6.6 | 0.923 |
| O:5-185 | IKMT | 27 Nov. 2008 | 19:59 | 31.982 | 122.972 | night | 145 | $13500^{2}$ |  |  | 0.204 |
| O:5-186 | IKMT | 27 Nov. 2008 | 21:00 | 32.009 | 123.018 |  | 479 | $32940{ }^{2}$ | 12.7 | 6.8 | 1.773 |
| SP:1-1 | MOHT | 3 Aug. 2009 | 07:03 | 32.426 | 119.985 | day | $\sim 900^{1}$ | 39244 | 12.8 | 6.8 | 4.809 |
| SP:1-2 | MOHT | 3 Aug. 2009 | 14:48 | 32.414 | 119.979 | day | 910 | 37931 | 12.9 | 7.0 | 4.600 |
| SP:1-3 | MOHT | 3 Aug. 2009 | 19:59 | 32.411 | 119.992 | dusk | 835 | 44001 | 11.4 | 6.8 | 3.029 |
| SP:1-4 | MOHT | 3 Aug. 2009 | 23:46 | 32.418 | 120.079 | night | 231 | 21974 |  |  | 0.676 |
| SP:2-1 | MOHT | 8 Aug. 2009 | 16:16 | 32.064 | 137.899 | day | 918 | 37827 | 19.1 | 7.6 | 1.312 |
| SP:2-2 | MOHT | 8 Aug. 2009 | 20:57 | 32.076 | 137.940 | dusk | 824 | 45585 | 19.6 | 7.6 | 1.342 |
| SP:2-3 | MOHT | 9 Aug. 2009 | 01:51 | 32.106 | 137.904 | night | 177 | 20212 |  |  | 0.172 |
| SP:2-4 | MOHT | 9 Aug. 2009 | 05:49 | 32.069 | 137.914 | dawn | 756 | 50398 | 19.2 | 7.8 | 1.050 |
| SP:3-1 | MOHT | 10 Aug. 2009 | 17:04 | 32.915 | 140.312 | day | 878 | 41107 | 19.2 | 6.7 | 1.146 |
| SP:3-2 | MOHT | 10 Aug. 2009 | 21:21 | 32.925 | 140.303 | dusk | 797 | 52453 | 18.2 | 6.9 | 2.541 |
| SP:3-3 | MOHT | 11 Aug. 2009 | 02:27 | 32.884 | 140.265 | night | 176 | 18317 |  |  | 0.261 |
| SP:3-4 | MOHT | 11 Aug. 2009 | 06:07 | 32.883 | 140.283 | dawn | 725 | 49817 | 17.7 | 6.8 | 1.939 |
| SP:4-1 | MOHT | 14 Aug. 2009 | 00:50 | 34.063 | 139.976 | night | 888 | 42191 | 18.6 | 7.0 | 1.563 |
| SP:4-2 | MOHT | 14 Aug. 2009 | 04:57 | 34.064 | 139.976 | night | 197 | $16800^{2}$ |  |  | 0.289 |

Table 4.6. (continued)

| Cruise:tow | Net | Date <br> (PDT/PST) | Time <br> $($ PDT/PST $)$ | Lat. <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$ | Long. <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right)$ | Light | Depth <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Vol. fill. <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $T_{\text {top }}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $T_{\text {bottom }}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | $W_{W_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}}}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-2}\right)$ |
| :---: |
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