UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Accuracy and Reproducibility of Myocardial Blood Flow Quantification by Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography Imaging in Patients With Known or Suspected Coronary Artery Disease

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/62w0g0jk

Journal

Circulation Cardiovascular Imaging, 15(6)

ISSN

1941-9651

Authors

de Souza, Ana Carolina do AH Harms, Hendrik J Martell, Laurel <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2022-06-01

DOI

10.1161/circimaging.122.013987

Peer reviewed

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Accuracy and Reproducibility of Myocardial Blood Flow Quantification by Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography Imaging in Patients With Known or Suspected Coronary Artery Disease

Ana Carolina do A.H. de Souza[®], MD, PhD; Hendrik J. Harms, PhD; Laurel Martell, CNMT; Courtney Bibbo, CNMT; Meagan Harrington, CNMT; Kyle Sullivan, CNMT; Jon Hainer[®], BS; Sharmila Dorbala, MD, MPH; Ron Blankstein, MD; Viviany R. Taqueti, MD, MPH; Marie Foley Kijewski, PhD; Mi-Ae Park[®], PhD; Alejandro Meretta, MD; Christopher Breault, BS; Nathaniel Roth, PhD; Alexis Poitrasson-Rivière[®], PhD; Prem Soman, MD, PhD; Grant T. Gullberg, PhD; Marcelo F. Di Carli, MD

BACKGROUND: Single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) has limited ability to identify multivessel and microvascular coronary artery disease. Gamma cameras with cadmium zinc telluride detectors allow the quantification of absolute myocardial blood flow (MBF) and myocardial flow reserve (MFR). However, evidence of its accuracy is limited, and of its reproducibility is lacking. We aimed to validate ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi SPECT MBF and MFR using standard and spline-fitted reconstruction algorithms compared with ¹³N-ammonia positron emission tomography in a cohort of patients with known or suspected coronary artery disease and to evaluate the reproducibility of this technique.

METHODS: Accuracy was assessed in 34 participants who underwent dynamic ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi SPECT and ¹³N-ammonia positron emission tomography and reproducibility in 14 participants who underwent 2 ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi SPECT studies, all within 2 weeks. A rest/pharmacological stress single-day SPECT protocol was performed. SPECT images were reconstructed using a standard ordered subset expectation maximization (OSEM) algorithm with (N=21) and without (N=30) application of spline fitting. SPECT MBF was quantified using a net retention kinetic model, and MFR was derived as the stress/rest MBF ratio.

RESULTS: SPECT global MBF with splines showed good correlation with ¹³N-ammonia positron emission tomography (r=0.81, P<0.001) and MFR estimates (r=0.74, P<0.001). Correlations were substantially weaker for standard reconstruction without splines (r=0.61, P<0.001 and r=0.34, P=0.07, for MBF and MFR, respectively). Reproducibility of global MBF estimates with splines in paired SPECT scans was good (r=0.77, P<0.001), while ordered subset expectation maximization without splines led to decreased MBF (r=0.68, P<0.001) and MFR correlations (r=0.33, P=0.3). There were no significant differences in MBF or MFR between the 2 reproducibility scans independently of the reconstruction algorithm (P>0.05 for all).

CONCLUSIONS: MBF and MFR quantification using ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi cadmium zinc telluride SPECT with spatiotemporal spline fitting improved the correlation with ¹³N-ammonia positron emission tomography flow estimates and test/retest reproducibility. The use of splines may represent an important step toward the standardization of SPECT flow estimation.

Key Words: myocardial blood flow = myocardial flow reserve = positron emission tomography = tomography, emission-computed, single-photon

Supplemental Material is available at https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.122.013987.

For Sources of Funding and Disclosures, see page 396.

Correspondence to: Marcelo F. Di Carli, MD, Brigham & Women's Hospital, 75 Francis St, ASB-L1 037C, Boston, MA 02115. Email mdicarli@bwh.harvard.edu This manuscript was sent to Gary Heller, MD, PhD, Guest Editor, for review by expert referees, editorial decision, and final disposition.

^{© 2022} American Heart Association, Inc.

Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging is available at www.ahajournals.org/journal/circimaging

CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE

Myocardial flow reserve (MFR) is an established noninvasive quantitative marker of cardiovascular risk and helps to overcome the limitations of relative single photon emission computed tomography perfusion imaging, including the evaluation of individuals with multivessel coronary artery disease, diffuse atherosclerosis, and/or coronary microvascular dysfunction. MFR can be determined using positron emission tomography, but this method still has limited availability worldwide. Newer gamma cameras with cadmium zinc telluride detectors allow the acquisition of dynamic images and quantification of MFR. We showed for the first time that the addition of spatiotemporal spline fitting to a conventional reconstruction algorithm improves the agreement between measurements of myocardial blood flow and MFR using 99mTc-sestamibi cadmium zinc telluride single photon emission computed tomography in comparison to the gold standard ¹³N-ammonia positron emission tomography in a cohort of patients with known or suspected coronary artery disease. We also showed that this method is reproducible and that the test-retest variability was markedly improved by the application of splines when compared to standard reconstruction alone. The application of splines represents an important step towards standardizing flow estimation with single photon emission computed tomography and has the potential to broaden the use of commercially available cadmium zinc telluride gamma cameras for MFR quantification, with direct implications to risk stratification and management of the rapidly growing segment of the population with cardiometabolic risk factors.

Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms

CAD	coronary artery disease
CZT	cadmium zinc telluride
MBF	myocardial blood flow
MFR	myocardial flow reserve
OSEM	ordered subset expectation maximization
PET	positron emission tomography
SPECT	single photon emission computed tomography
TAC	time-activity curve

Semiquantitative evaluation of regional myocardial perfusion has been standard practice with radionuclide myocardial perfusion imaging for more than four decades. This approach has proven to be accurate and reproducible, with total perfusion deficit serving as a powerful marker of clinical risk and a clinically relevant guide to patient management. However, it is well recognized that this approach often underestimates the extent of ischemia in the setting of multivessel coronary artery disease (CAD)¹ and is not sensitive for identification of coronary microvascular dysfunction, a frequent source of chest pain worldwide, especially in the rapidly growing segment of the population with cardiometabolic risk factors.²

Quantitative measures of myocardial blood flow (MBF) and myocardial flow reserve (MFR) provide an objective and accurate means to improve the detection of angiographic CAD and characterization of its physiological severity. MFR is now an established noninvasive quantitative marker of cardiovascular risk allowing improved risk stratification of patients with suspected or known CAD.3 These quantitative measures of MBF and MFR can be determined accurately and reproducibly by positron emission tomography (PET).^{4,5} There is also growing evidence that single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) using gamma cameras with cadmium zinc telluride (CZT) detectors may be used for MBF quantification.⁶⁻⁸ However, there are limited data supporting its accuracy, and data on the reproducibility of this method are still lacking. We designed this prospective investigation to determine the accuracy of ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi CZT SPECT MBF and MFR quantification using standard and spline-fitted reconstruction algorithms compared with ¹³N-ammonia PET, and to evaluate the test/retest reproducibility of this technique in patients with known or suspected CAD.

METHODS

Study Sample

Study participants were selected from the pool of patients with known or suspected CAD referred for myocardial perfusion SPECT at Brigham and Women's Hospital (Boston, MA) from February 2016 to January 2018. We also recruited healthy volunteers with a low likelihood of obstructive CAD based on the absence of symptoms, cardiovascular risk factors, or history of cardiovascular disease. Study participants were assigned to 2 different groups for the validation and reproducibility studies (Figure 1). Individuals with suspected acute coronary syndrome, documented uncontrolled hypertension (>200/120 mmHg) within 30 days, significant cardiac arrhythmias or valvular disease, lung disease with active wheezing, decompensated heart failure, known hypersensitivity to regadenoson, and pregnant or breastfeeding women were excluded. The study was approved by the Mass General Brigham Healthcare Institutional Review Board and conducted in accordance with institutional guidelines. All participants signed written informed consent. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Study Design

All participants had 2 hospital visits. All participants in the validation group underwent rest and stress ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi SPECT followed by a ¹³N-ammonia PET scan within 2 weeks. In the reproducibility group, participants underwent 2 SPECT studies also within a 2-week interval for test/retest assessment of MBF and MFR. For radiation dosimetry safety reasons, participants in



Figure 1. Flowchart of the study population.

OSEM indicates ordered subset expectation maximization, and SPECT, single photon emission computed tomography.

the reproducibility group were different than those included in the validation group.

A total of 50 participants were initially recruited and underwent the study protocol, with 35 assigned to the validation group and 15 to the reproducibility group. One participant was excluded from the validation cohort and 1 participant was excluded from the reproducibility cohort due to technical problems with image acquisition which precluded further reconstruction. The validation cohort included 33 participants with known or suspected CAD and 1 healthy volunteer (N=34), and the reproducibility group included twelve participants with known or suspected CAD and 2 healthy volunteers (N=14). Because of loss of the raw data and corresponding reconstructions in both cohorts, a reduced number of study scans was available for analyses per cohort and per reconstruction algorithm compared (standard ordered subset expectation maximization [OSEM] with and without spline fitting). From the 34 scans obtained in the validation cohort, 21 were available for reconstruction using OSEM with splines, while 31 were available for reprocessing using OSEM without splines. One additional participant was identified as an outlier due to highly abnormal flow estimates obtained with OSEM without

splines and was excluded. Therefore, 30 scans were available for analysis in the OSEM without splines subgroup. In the reproducibility cohort, 12 of the 14 scans were available for reconstruction with conventional OSEM without splines algorithm, while all 14 could be processed using splines. A comprehensive summary of the study sample and analyzed data is visually demonstrated in Figure S1. Baseline characteristics of the population according to available imaging reconstruction are listed in the Table S1.

^{99m}Tc-Sestamibi SPECT

All participants in the validation and reproducibility groups underwent a 1-day, rest/stress myocardial perfusion SPECT using a dedicated cardiac camera with solid-state CZT detectors (D-SPECT, Spectrum Dynamics Medical, Cesarea, Israel). They were instructed to abstain from caffeine, methylxanthinecontaining substances, and medication for at least 24 hours before the scan. Myocardial perfusion imaging was performed at rest and peak vasodilator stress with regadenoson using ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi as the radiotracer. Rest and stress imaging were performed in the supine position. To enable positioning of the heart in the camera's field of view, a prescan of 60 seconds was performed after injection of \approx 1 mCi. Rest listmode imaging was started simultaneously with bolus injection of 99mTcsestamibi (~6 mCi) and continued for 6 minutes. Gated rest images were acquired immediately after dynamic imaging for 9 minutes. Approximately 30 minutes after completion of the rest images, intravenous regadenoson (0.4 mg) was infused over 30 seconds. Thirty seconds after completion of the regadenoson administration, a second dose of 99mTc-sestamibi (~22 mCi) was injected, and listmode imaging was recorded in the same manner. Gated stress images were obtained immediately for \approx 7 minutes. Heart rate (HR; in bpm), systemic blood pressure (BP; in mmHg), and 12-lead ECG were recorded at baseline and every minute during and after regadenoson administration (Table S2). Radiotracer delivery was performed with an automatic injector to guarantee injection reproducibility.

Rest and stress list mode images were reformatted into 32 frames (21×3-sec, 1×9-sec, 1×15-sec, 1×21-sec, 1×27-sec, and 7×30-sec frames) and reconstructed using a standard OSEM algorithm with spline fitting (7 iterations and 32 subsets) and without spline fitting (4 iterations and 32 subsets). Spline fitting of dynamic SPECT data was performed by fitting linear third-degree temporal functions with geometrically increasing knot spacing to the time-activity curves (TACs), as previously described.^{9,10} The same voxel model was maintained in the standard OSEM reconstruction. Since the spline model is linear, we used the standard OSEM solver with minor modifications.

For both reconstruction approaches, automated regions of interest were placed and copied along the entire dynamic series to obtain arterial and myocardial tissue TACs using commercially available software (Corridor4DM, INVIA Medical Imaging Solutions, Ann Arbor, MI). Motion correction was applied to each frame after visual inspection and manual image alignment to the left ventricular (LV) contours in the short and long-axis planes using the same software. LV contours were automatically generated from myocardial images summed from 2 minutes to the end of the acquisition. Partial volume correction was applied using the same software. For this correction, 0.63 was derived from having a resolution of 11 mm and a myocardium width of 10 mm. Attenuation and scatter correction were not performed, but we conducted a phantom study to assess the biases in global and regional retention and myocardial perfusion reserve index (MPR index) estimates due to (1) scatter from liver activity and (2) lack of attenuation correction. The results are provided in Tables S3 and S4. The residual radioactivity from the rest injection was subtracted from the stress dynamic series,11 and prescan injection was not subtracted from the rest series. TACs were then fitted with a net retention kinetic model, corrected for the 99mTc-sestamibi extraction fraction to obtain regional and global MBF values (mL/min/g) using the Renkin-Crone extraction fraction model (a=0.899, b=0.541). Rest MBF was normalized for rate pressure product, calculated as the product of HR and systolic BP. MFR was calculated as the ratio of stress MBF to normalized rest MBF.

Semiquantitative expert visual interpretation of myocardial perfusion images was performed using a 17-segment model and standard 5-point system.¹² Summed rest, stress, and difference scores were computed to reflect scar, scar plus ischemia, and ischemia, respectively.¹²

¹³N-Ammonia PET

Participants in the validation cohort were imaged in a wholebody PET scanner (Discovery RX or STE LightSpeed 64, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI). They were instructed to fast overnight and refrain from caffeine and methylxanthine-containing substances or vasoactive drugs for 24 hours. MBF was measured at rest and during peak vasodilator stress with regadenoson using ¹³N-ammonia as the flow tracer, as previously described⁴ (Supplemental Material).

Regional and global rest and stress MBF was obtained by fitting TACs to a previously validated 2-compartment kinetic model⁷ using commercially available software (Corridor4DM, INVIA Medical Imaging Solutions, Ann Arbor, MI). Regional and global MFR were calculated as the ratio of stress to normalized rest MBF. PET semiquantitative visual interpretation was performed as described for SPECT. An index of coronary vascular resistance (CVR) at rest and stress was calculated by dividing the corresponding mean arterial pressure by MBF for both SPECT and PET data.

Statistical Analyses

Continuous variables were expressed as mean and SD or median and interquartile range. Categorical variables were expressed as rates with percentages (%). Spearman correlation was used to describe the association between ¹³N-ammonia PET and ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi SPECT estimates of MBF and MFR in the validation cohort, with and without spline fitting added to OSEM standard reconstruction. Bland-Altman plots of the residuals (difference between MBF and MFR values obtained from SPECT and PET studies in the validation cohort or between the 2 sequential SPECT studies in the reproducibility cohort) against the means were constructed to evaluate the agreement and assess for systematic errors or bias. Wilcoxon signed-ranks test was performed to compare paired MBF and MFR estimates in the validation and reproducibility cohorts. For the latter, mean percentage difference (%) between MBF and MFR estimates was calculated as the absolute value change divided by the average of the 2 sequential measurements obtained within 2 weeks, multiplied by 100. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was also calculated for the rest and stress MBF and the MFR data. A 2-sided P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Analyses were performed using IBM SPSS version 23.0 (IBM Statistics, Armonk, NY).

RESULTS

Study Sample

Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of the final study sample. The median age was 63 (52–72) years, 52.1% were female and 81.3% were White, with similar distributions between the validation and reproducibility cohorts. The most frequent cardiovascular risk factors were hypertension (83.3%) and dyslipidemia (72.9%). In the validation cohort, semiquantitative visual analysis identified 31 patients with a normal scan by SPECT and 26 by PET. In the reproducibility cohort, 1 patient had an abnormal scan.

Overall cohort (N=48)	Validation cohort (N=34)	Reproducibility cohort (N=14)			
63 (52–72)	63 (54–73)	63 (54–70)			
23 (47.9%)	17 (50%)	6 (42.9%)			
39 (81.3%)	28 (82.4%)	11 (78.6%)			
46 (95.8%)	32 (94.1%)	14 (100%)			
30.6 (27.6–33.6)	31.1 (27.8–34.1)	28.7 (26.9–32.6)			
Clinical characteristics					
13 (27.1%)	11 (32.4%)	2 (14.3%)			
17 (35.4%)	12 (35.3%)	5 (35.7%)			
40 (83.3%)	30 (88.2%)	10 (71.4%)			
35 (72.9%)	25 (73.5%)	10 (71.4%)			
12 (25%)	9 (26.5%)	3 (21.4%)			
6 (12.5%)	2 (5.9%)	4 (28.6%)			
9 (18.8%)	7 (20.6%)	2 (14.3%)			
9 (18.8%)	7 (20.6%)	2(14.3%)			
2 (4.2%)	1 (2.9%)	1 (7.1%)			
7 (14.6%)	5 (14.7%)	2 (14.3%)			
Medications					
25 (52.1%)	20 (58.8%)	5 (35.7%)			
16 (33.3%)	12 (35.3%)	4 (28.6%)			
9 (18.8%)	7 (20.6%)	2 (14.3%)			
31 (64.6%)	23 (67.6%)	8 (57.1%)			
6 (12.5%)	4 (11.8%)	2 (14.3%)			
17 (35.4%)	11 (32.4%)	6 (42.9%)			
7 (14.6%)	7 (20.6%)	0 (0%)			
17 (35.4%)	14 (41.2%)	3 (21.4%)			
5 (10.4%)	3 (8.8%)	2 (14.3%)			
	Overall cohort (N=48) 63 (52–72) 23 (47.9%) 39 (81.3%) 46 (95.8%) 30.6 (27.6–33.6) 13 (27.1%) 17 (35.4%) 40 (83.3%) 35 (72.9%) 12 (25%) 6 (12.5%) 9 (18.8%) 2 (4.2%) 7 (14.6%) 16 (33.3%) 9 (18.8%) 31 (64.6%) 6 (12.5%) 17 (35.4%) 7 (14.6%) 17 (35.4%) 7 (14.6%) 17 (35.4%) 5 (10.4%)	Overall cohort (N=48) Validation cohort (N=34) 63 (52-72) 63 (54-73) 23 (47.9%) 17 (50%) 39 (81.3%) 28 (82.4%) 46 (95.8%) 32 (94.1%) 30.6 (27.6-33.6) 31.1 (27.8-34.1) 11 (32.4%) 12 (35.3%) 40 (83.3%) 30 (88.2%) 35 (72.9%) 25 (73.5%) 12 (25%) 9 (26.5%) 6 (12.5%) 2 (5.9%) 9 (18.8%) 7 (20.6%) 9 (18.8%) 7 (20.6%) 2 (4.2%) 1 (2.9%) 7 (14.6%) 5 (14.7%) 12 (35.3%) 12 (35.3%) 9 (18.8%) 7 (20.6%) 16 (33.3%) 12 (35.3%) 9 (18.8%) 7 (20.6%) 11 (32.4%) 17 (35.4%) 11 (32.4%) 11 (32.4%) 17 (35.4%) 11 (32.4%) 17 (35.4%) 11 (32.4%) 17 (35.4%) 11 (32.4%) 17 (35.4%) 14 (41.2%) 5 (10.4%) 3 (8.8%)			

- · · · ·		A 1 1 1		<u>.</u>	-	
Table 1.	Baseline	Characteristics	of the	Study	' PO	pulation

Values are presented as median and IQR or N (%). ACE indicates angiotensin-conversing enzyme; ARB, angiotensin-II receptor blocker; BMI, body mass index; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; CAD, coronary artery disease; IQR, interquartile range; and PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.

Systemic Hemodynamics and Radiotracer Doses

Systemic hemodynamics and administered radiotracer doses for the 2 study groups are presented in Table S2. In the reproducibility cohort, HR, systolic, diastolic, and mean arterial BP at rest and stress were comparable between the 2 SPECT scans. In the validation cohort, rest systolic, diastolic and mean arterial BP, as well as peak diastolic BP, and mean arterial BP were significantly higher at the SPECT scan visit compared with the PET visit.

Accuracy of ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi SPECT Estimates of MBF and MFR Compared with ¹³N-ammonia PET

OSEM SPECT Reconstruction

OSEM reconstructions of the SPECT data were available in 31 of the 34 patients in the validation cohort. One participant was identified as an outlier because of highly abnormal global MBF and MFR values and was excluded. Therefore, 30 scans were available for analysis.

Table 2 shows the mean global MBF and MFR values for participants in the validation cohort. Uncorrected and rate pressure product-corrected rest global MBF were not significantly different between SPECT and PET. However, stress MBF and MFR were significantly higher with SPECT as compared with PET. To account for the higher BP during the SPECT study visit, we calculated an index of CVR and found that hyperemic CVR was similar for both SPECT and PET, suggesting that differences in MBF were not related to changes in BP between the 2 studies. Global rest and stress MBF by ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi SPECT and ¹³N-ammonia PET showed a moderate correlation (r=0.61, P<0.001) (Figure 2A). Bland-Altman plots showed an overestimation of MBF by SPECT (0.26±0.76 mL/min/g, with 1.75 to -1.24 mL/min/g as 95% limits of agreement [mean difference±1.96 SD]; Figure 2B). This overestimation was predominantly driven by an overestimation of stress MBF,

Standard OSEM reconstruction				
	^{99m} Tc-sestamibi SPECT (N=30)	¹³ N-ammonia (N=30)	P value*	
Global rest flow, mL/min/g	0.80 (0.57-1.07)	0.73 (0.62–0.83)	0.17	
Global corrected rest flow, mL/min/g	0.85 (0.73–0.95)	0.93 (0.70-1.04)	0.84	
Global stress flow, mL/min/g	1.74 (1.22–2.48)	1.45 (1.22–1.67)	0.017	
Global MFR	2.15 (1.69-2.94)	1.90 (1.68-2.21)	0.082	
Corrected global MFR	2.05 (1.54–2.54)	1.66 (1.26–2.07)	0.012	
Rest CVR	123.1 (103.5–161.7)	115.0 (105.9–141.1)	0.11	
Stress CVR	52.3 (40.7-81.8)	63.9 (51.0–79.7)	0.34	
Spline fitting reconstruction				
	^{99m} Tc-sestamibi SPECT (N=21)	¹³ N-ammonia (N=21)	P value*	
Global rest flow, mL/min/g	0.58 (0.47–0.71)	0.73 (0.60–0.83)	0.003	
Global corrected rest flow, mL/min/g	0.69 (0.56–0.74)	0.96 (0.68–1.06)	0.001	
Global stress flow, mL/min/g	1.28 (0.88–1.50)	1.44 (1.21–1.80)	0.024	
Global MFR	2.20 (1.61–2.46)	1.90 (1.71–2.23)	0.4	
Corrected global MFR	1.72 (1.50–2.44)	1.55 (1.22–2.08)	0.073	
Rest CVR	175.7 (133.0–203.1)	117.8 (102.1–151.3)	<0.001	
Stress CVR	80.2 (62.2–94.03)	62.6 (50.4-84.4)	0.002	

 Table 2.
 Comparison Between Global MBF and MFR Estimates Obtained With 99mTc-Sestamibi

 SPECT and ¹³N-Ammonia PET With and Without Spline Fitting

MBF is reported in mL/min/g. MFR was calculated as the ratio between stress MBF and that at rest. CVR was calculated as the ratio between mean arterial blood pressure and MBF and reported as mm Hg/mL/min/g. CVR indicates coronary vascular resistance; MBF, myocardial blood flow; MFR, myocardial flow reserve; OSEM, ordered subset expectation maximization; PET, positron emission tomography; and SPECT, single photon emission computed tomography.

*P values refer to the comparison between SPECT and PET groups and are based on the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

as seen graphically. The correlation of global MFR estimates between SPECT and PET was poor and nonsignificant (r=0.34, P=0.07; Figure 2C). Bland-Altman plots showed an overestimation of MFR by SPECT compared with PET, with a mean difference of 0.45±0.94 and 2.29 to -1.39 as limits of agreement (Figure 2D).

OSEM With Spline Fitting SPECT Reconstruction

Overall, 21 of the 34 SPECT studies were available for reprocessing using spline fitting. Rest and peak stress MBF were significantly lower with SPECT compared with PET. Hyperemic CVR was higher in the subgroup with spline reconstructions. However, MFR estimates were comparable between the 2 modalities (P>0.05). Addition of spline fitting to the reconstruction led to a significant improvement in the correlation between SPECT and PET MBF (r=0.81, P<0.001; Figure 3A) and CVR estimates (r=0.79, P<0.001; Figure S2). Bland-Altman plots revealed an overall underestimation of MBF by SPECT, with a mean difference of -0.24 ± 0.33 mL/min/g and narrower limits of agreement (0.41 to -0.88 mL/min/g) when compared with conventional OSEM reconstruction without splines (Figure 3B). Likewise, addition of spline fitting led to a marked improvement in the correlation between SPECT and PET estimates of MFR (r=0.75, P<0.001; Figure 3C) with slight overestimation of MFR by SPECT (mean difference 0.17 ± 0.5) and significantly narrower limits of agreement (1.15 to -0.81; Figure 3D), as compared with conventional OSEM reconstruction.

In sensitivity analyses including only the 18 participants who had both reconstructions available, we observed a modest improvement in MBF correlations between PET and SPECT with standard OSEM (r=0.73, P < 0.001) whereas results remained unchanged for the spline-fitted data (Figures S3 and S4).

Regional MBF and MFR Measurements Using OSEM and OSEM With Spline Fitting SPECT Reconstructions

There was a moderate correlation between PET and SPECT regional MBF estimates with conventional OSEM reconstruction without splines (N=90 territories; r=0.59, P<0.001), with a mean difference of 0.35 mL/min/g (Figure S5). Similarly to the results observed for global MBF, addition of spline fitting led to an improved correlation between SPECT and PET-derived MBF, although still moderate (N=63 territories; r=0.69, P<0.001). Bland-Altman plots revealed a mean difference of -0.18 mL/min/g, with 0.65 and -1.00 as limits of agreement, which were considerably narrower than the ones observed with OSEM reconstruction without splines. Consistent with the global findings, regional MBF and MFR estimates obtained with SPECT using OSEM reconstruction without splines were significantly higher as compared with



Figure 2. Comparison of global rest and stress myocardial blood flow (MBF) and flow reserve (MFR) estimated with ¹³N-ammonia positron emission tomography (PET) and ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) using standard ordered subset expectation maximization (OSEM) reconstruction without spline fitting. Correlation plots of global MBF (**A**) and MFR measurements (**C**). Bland-Altman plots of global MBF (**B**) and MFR measurements (**D**).

PET, while the addition of splines led to an overall underestimation of rest and stress MBF (Table S5).

Reproducibility of ^{99m}Tc-Sestamibi SPECT Estimates of MBF and MFR

Table 3 shows MBF and MFR estimates from 2 consecutive ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi SPECT studies performed in the reproducibility cohort. Overall, 12 of the 14 scans were available for reconstruction with the conventional OSEM algorithm, while all 14 could be processed using splines. There were no significant differences between global rest, stress, MFR, and rate pressure product-normalized MFR measurements between the 2 scans, independently of the reconstruction algorithm (P>0.05 for all). Mean percentage differences (%) between scans ranged from 0.7% to 5.0% for conventional OSEM without splines and from 1.4% to 7.6% for OSEM with splines. The ICC for OSEM without splines reconstruction was 0.77, 0.89, and 0.53 for rest MBF, stress MBF, and MFR, respectively, whereas the corresponding values after adding splines were 0.75, 0.71 and 0.76. Figure 4 shows a significant correlation for global rest and stress MBF between the 2 scans with the spline-fitted OSEM reconstruction (r=0.77, P<0.001), while correlations were slightly worse for global MFR (r=0.63, P=0.017). The corresponding Bland-Altman plot shows no systematic errors, with the





major proportion of MBF differences remaining within the 95% limits of agreement. Importantly, the adoption of conventional OSEM without splines reconstruction led to a decreased MBF correlation (r=0.68, P<0.001) and a nonsignificant MFR correlation (r=0.33, P=0.3) between the 2 scans (Figure S6).

The correlation between regional rest and stress MBF was good with the use of splines (r=0.71, P<0.001; Figure S7). Although conventional OSEM also led to a significant correlation between regional MBF values (r=0.68, P<0.001), it was associated with decreased agreement between the 2 sequential scans.

DISCUSSION

SPECT has played a critical role in the evaluation of patients with suspected or known CAD for nearly 50 years. Despite its wide availability, the spacially relative nature of myocardial perfusion images limits the evaluation of individuals with multivessel CAD, diffuse atherosclerosis, and/or coronary microvascular dysfunction. This is important because diffuse atherosclerosis and coronary microvascular dysfunction are prevalent, especially among patients with cardiometabolic disease, and both are drivers of increased clinical risk.^{3,13} Absolute

Table 3. Analyses of Reproducibility for Global MBF and MFR

Standard OSEM reconstruction (N=12)						
	First SPECT	Second SPECT	P value	Mean difference between paired SPECT scans	Mean percentage difference, %	
Global rest flow, mL/min/g	0.85 (0.52-1.07)	0.70 (0.56-1.04)	0.76	0.048±0.36	3.1%	
Corrected rest flow, mL/min/g	0.89 (0.73-1.29)	0.89 (0.68-1.42)	0.75	0.054±0.48	5.0%	
Stress flow, mL/min/g	1.65 (1.03–2.69)	1.61(1.19–2.04)	0.81	0.028±0.63	2.6%	
Global MFR	2.19 (1.59–2.48)	2.08 (1.78-2.59)	0.84	0.01±0.76	0.7%	
Corrected global MFR	1.60 (1.19–2.46)	1.98 (1.22–2.47)	1.00	-0.04±0.87	2.6%	
Spline fitting reconstruction (N=14)						
	First SPECT	Second SPECT	P value	Mean difference between paired SPECT scans	Mean percentage difference, %	
Global rest flow, mL/min/g	0.64 (0.51–0.80)	0.62 (0.54-0.86)	0.46	-0.029±0.17	4.6%	
Corrected rest flow, mL/min/g	0.71 (0.68–0.82)	0.74 (0.64–0.88)	0.14	-0.057±0.19	6.6%	
Stress flow, mL/min/g	1.52 (1.02–1.73)	1.13 (1.00–1.95)	0.73	0.014±0.42	1.4%	
Global MFR	2.21 (1.76-2.46)	1.83 (1.71–2.40)	0.51	0.12±0.73	5.6%	
Corrected global MFR	1.73 (1.40–2.39)	1.65 (1.36-2.12)	0.59	0.14±0.52	7.6%	

MFR is calculated as the ratio between stress MBF and that at rest. MBF indicates myocardial blood flow; MFR, myocardial flow reserve; OSEM, ordered subset expectation maximization; and SPECT, single photon emission computed tomography.

*P values refer to the comparison between 2 paired SPECT scans performed within 2 weeks and are based on the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Mean percentage difference (%) was calculated as absolute change in value divided by the average of the 2 sequential SPECT measurements, multiplied by 100.

estimation of MBF and MFR helps to overcome this limitation and allows more precise quantification of myocardial ischemia, thereby enhancing risk stratification and patient management.

Our results confirm the technical feasibility of MBF quantification using 99mTc-sestamibi SPECT and expand the current knowledge in 2 important ways. First, by demonstrating that applying spline fitting to CZT SPECT reconstruction improves signal-to-noise in dynamic series and increases the accuracy of flow estimates when compared with ¹³N-ammonia PET. Indeed, spline fitting of the rest and stress myocardial perfusion data resulted in a substantially improved correlation and reduced mean difference between CZT SPECT and PET MBF and MFR estimates as compared with standard OSEM. Nonetheless, the agreement between SPECT and PET flows worsened during stress using both reconstruction algorithms but was especially marked with standard OSEM, as similarly observed in prior work using the same scanner.⁷ Using imprecision analysis and clinical simulations, a recent study reported SPECT MFR imprecision across previously published validation studies-defined as the standard deviation of the mean difference between SPECT and PET MFR-to range from 0.556 to 0.829.14 Accordingly, our results are consistent with previous investigations of SPECT MFR quantification7,11,15,16 and reflect a significant reduction in MBF and MFR imprecision with spline fitting application.

The effect of spatiotemporal spline fitting is particularly important for the early frames of dynamic reconstruction due to the rapid changes in tracer distribution and facilitates segmentation of arterial blood and myocardial tissue counts, likely reducing partial volume effects and improving the accuracy of the arterial input function.^{10,17,18} As spatiotemporal splines are used to model the dynamic distribution of the radiopharmaceutical within the volume of interest from the projected field of view¹⁷ and allow for the extraction of kinetic parameters directly from dynamic projections, they can minimize bias and noise.^{9,10,19} The improved accuracy with spline fitting is consistent with prior work using conventional SPECT systems,²⁰ as it uses count statistics from all projections and weights their contributions using linear sums of basis functions, which reduces the frame-toframe variations in TACs, while the OSEM reconstruction only uses projections from a single angle. Therefore, splines provide smooth TACs for segmented myocardial volumes throughout a continuous period of time and may represent a novel advance for the standardization and implementation of CZT SPECT-derived MBF and MFR into clinical practice.

Second, our study is the first to assess the test/ retest reproducibility of MBF and MFR estimates using ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi SPECT in a dedicated cardiac CZT camera. Our results show that this methodology is reproducible, resulting in mean MBF and MFR differences below 10% between studies performed within 2 weeks. These results are consistent with previous ⁸²Rb and ¹³N-ammonia PET assessments of flow reproducibility.^{4,21} Importantly, the test-retest variability was markedly improved by the application of splines when compared with standard reconstruction alone. These results further support their role in reducing the inherent statistical noise from SPECT dynamic series. Despite showing higher test-retest imprecision than previously described for



Figure 4. Reproducibility analyses of global rest and stress myocardial blood flow (MBF) and flow reserve (MFR) estimated with ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) within 2 wks using ordered subset expectation maximization (OSEM) reconstruction with spline fitting.

Correlation plots of rest and stress MBF (**A**) and MFR measurements (**C**). Bland-Altman plots of rest and stress MBF (**B**) and MFR measurements (**D**) obtained during the 2 visits.

⁸²Rb PET,^{14,22} our results show modest improvement relative to recently published assessment of MBF repeatability with a cardiac CZT camera using ⁹⁹Tctetrofosmin.²³ Despite the significant imprecision reduction in MBF and MFR estimation with spline fitting, the relatively limited degree of correlation between SPECT and PET flows can be explained by different factors. First, SPECT ^{99m}Tc radiotracers show a lower extraction fraction at high flows, which negatively impacts MBF quantification during stress

and requires the use of correction models. Second, although solid state cameras have increased photon sensitivity and resolution compared with traditional sodium-iodide cameras, image quality is still relatively inferior to that of PET systems. Furthermore, most currently available CZT SPECT systems, unlike PET, are not hybrid and do not allow for CT-based attenuation correction. Third, dynamic SPECT processing still largely relies on manual motion correction, which represents an additional source of variability. Finally, differences in radiotracer selection, injected doses, reconstruction algorithms and kinetic models lead to the significant heterogeneity of flow values obtained with CZT SPECT in previous studies.

The findings of this study have to be interpreted in light of some limitations. First, this is a single-center experience with a relatively modest sample size. However, the study has a balanced inclusion of overweight or obese men and women with multiple risk factors, which adequately represents patients undergoing SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging. OSEM and spline-fitted reconstructions were unfortunately not available for every patient in the study due to the loss of raw data. However, there were no differences between the characteristics of patients with and without spline-fitted data, especially with respect to sex, BMI, or injected dose. Second, our objective was to assess the accuracy and reproducibility of flow quantification with CZT SPECT when compared to PET and thus, our study design did not include a requirement of referral to coronary angiography. The accuracy of our quantitative approach for detecting multivessel CAD will have to be tested. Third, we did not perform individual attenuation or scatter correction of the SPECT data. Although some studies have suggested that the use of attenuation does not significantly improve the accuracy of SPECT MBF and MFR over PET,^{11,24} or even the test-retest repeatability of flow estimates,²³ the need of attenuation remains to be confirmed by larger clinical studies. Similarly, since the initial positioning dose was not subtracted from the rest series, its effects on flow estimation also remain to be investigated. Fourth, we applied a short frame duration (3-seconds) during the first acquisition minute to capture blood phase and avoid averaging bolus and myocardial phases. This frame duration has been previously validated in other studies,^{7,16} but the optimal frame duration still needs to be determined. Additionally, the implementation of validated, fully automated motion correction algorithms holds the potential to increase the accuracy and reproducibility of CZT SPECT-derived MFR even further. This technique may become of special relevance in sites where PET is not available due to economical or logistical reasons.

CONCLUSIONS

Myocardial blood flow and flow reserve quantification using ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi CZT SPECT with spatiotemporal spline fitting reconstruction significantly improved the correlation with ¹³N-ammonia PET flow estimates and test/retest reproducibility. The use of splines may represent an important step toward standardization of CZT SPECT flow estimation.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Received January 14, 2022; accepted May 9, 2022.

Affiliations

Cardiovascular Imaging Program, Departments of Medicine and Radiology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA (A.C.d.A.H.d.S., H.J.H., L.M., C.B., M.H., K.S., J.H., S.D., R.B., V.R.T., M.F., M.-A.P., M.F.D.C.). Spectrum Dynamics Medical, Caesarea, Israel (C.B., N.R.). Instituto Cardiovascular de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina (A.M.). Heart and Vascular Institute, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA (P.S.). Department of Radiology and Biomedical Imaging, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA (G.T.G.). INVIA Medical Imaging Solutions, Ann Harbor, MI (A.P.-R.).

Acknowledgments

All the authors have made substantial contributions to the study design, data collection, analysis and drafting and/or editing of the article. All the authors have access to the data and approved to be named as authors in the article.

Sources of Funding

The study was supported by an investigator-initiated, institutional grant from Spectrum Dynamics.

Disclosures

Dr Dorbala received research grants and consulting fees from Pfizer and GE. Dr Blankstein received research support from Amgen Inc and Astellas Pharma. Dr Soman received Research Grants from Astellas Pharma and Pfizer, Consulting fees from Pfizer, Alnylam, and Eidos. C. Breault is an employee of Spectrum Dynamics. Dr Roth is an employee of Spectrum Dynamics. Dr Poitrasson-Rivière is an employee of INVIA Medical Imaging Solutions. Dr Di Carli received Research grants from Gilead Sciences and Spectrum Dynamics (see sources of funding) and consulting fees from Bayer and Janssen. The other authors report no conflicts.

Supplemental Material

Supplemental Methods Tables S1–S5 Figures S1–S7 References 25–27

REFERENCES

- Lima RS, Watson DD, Goode AR, Siadaty MS, Ragosta M, Beller GA, Samady H. Incremental value of combined perfusion and function over perfusion alone by gated SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging for detection of severe three-vessel coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2003;42:64–70. doi: 10.1016/s0735-1097(03)00562-x
- Taqueti VR, Di Carli MF. Coronary microvascular disease pathogenic mechanisms and therapeutic options: JACC state-of-the-art review. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;72:2625–2641. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.09.042
- Murthy VL, Naya M, Foster CR, Hainer J, Gaber M, Di Carli G, Blankstein R, Dorbala S, Sitek A, Pencina MJ, Di Carli MF. Improved cardiac risk assessment with noninvasive measures of coronary flow reserve. *Circulation*. 2011;124:2215–2224. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.050427
- El Fakhri G, Kardan A, Sitek A, Dorbala S, Abi-Hatem N, Lahoud Y, Fischman A, Coughlan M, Yasuda T, Di Carli MF. Reproducibility and accuracy of quantitative myocardial blood flow assessment with (82)Rb PET: comparison with (13)N-ammonia PET. *J Nucl Med.* 2009;50:1062–1071. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.104.007831
- Murthy VL, Bateman TM, Beanlands RS, Berman DS, Borges-Neto S, Chareonthaitawee P, Cerqueira MD, deKemp RA, DePuey EG, Dilsizian V, et al; SNMMI Cardiovascular Council Board of Directors; ASNC Board of Directors. Clinical quantification of myocardial blood flow using pet: joint position paper of the SNMMI cardiovascular council and the ASNC. *J Nucl Med.* 2018;59:273–293. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.117.201368
- Ben-Haim S, Murthy VL, Breault C, Allie R, Sitek A, Roth N, Fantony J, Moore SC, Park MA, Kijewski M, et al. Quantification of myocardial perfusion reserve using dynamic SPECT imaging in humans: a feasibility study. J Nucl Med. 2013;54:873–879. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.112.109652
- Agostini D, Roule V, Nganoa C, Roth N, Baavour R, Parienti JJ, Beygui F, Manrique A. First validation of myocardial flow reserve assessed by dynamic 99mTc-sestamibi CZT-SPECT camera: head to head comparison with 150-water PET and fractional flow reserve in patients with suspected coronary artery disease. The waterday study. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2018;45:1079–1090. doi: 10.1007/s00259-018-3958-7
- 8. de Souza ACDAH, Gonçalves BKD, Tedeschi AL, Lima RSL. Quantification of myocardial flow reserve using a gamma camera with solid-state

cadmium-zinc-telluride detectors: Relation to angiographic coronary artery disease. J Nucl Cardiol. 2021;28:876–884. doi: 10.1007/s12350-019-01775-z

- Reutter BW, Gullberg GT, Huesman RH. Direct least-squares estimation of spatiotemporal distributions from dynamic SPECT projections using a spatial segmentation and temporal B-splines. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2000;19:434–450. doi: 10.1109/42.870254
- Reutter BW, Gullberg GT, Huesman RH. Accuracy and precision of compartmental model parameters obtained from directly estimated dynamic SPECT time-activity curves. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci.* 2004;51:170–176. doi: 10.1109/TNS.2003.823014
- Wells RG, Marvin B, Poirier M, Renaud J, deKemp RA, Ruddy TD. Optimization of SPECT measurement of myocardial blood flow with corrections for attenuation, motion, and blood binding compared with PET. J Nucl Med. 2017;58:2013–2019. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.117.191049
- Berman DS, Abidov A, Kang X, Hayes SW, Friedman JD, Sciammarella MG, Cohen I, Gerlach J, Waechter PB, Germano G, Hachamovitch R. Prognostic validation of a 17-segment score derived from a 20-segment score for myocardial perfusion SPECT interpretation. *J Nucl Cardiol.* 2004;11:414–423. doi: 10.1016/j.nuclcard.2004.03.033
- Bajaj NS, Osborne MT, Gupta A, Tavakkoli A, Bravo PE, Vita T, Bibbo CF, Hainer J, Dorbala S, Blankstein R, et al. Coronary microvascular dysfunction and cardiovascular risk in obese patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018;72:707– 717. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.05.049
- Renaud JM, Poitrasson-Rivière A, Hagio T, Moody JB, Arida-Moody L, Ficaro EP, Murthy VL. Myocardial flow reserve estimation with contemporary CZT-SPECT and 99mTc-tracers lacks precision for routine clinical application. J Nucl Cardiol. 2021; DOI: 10.1007/s12350-021-02761-0
- Giubbini R, Bertoli M, Durmo R, Bonacina M, Peli A, Faggiano I, Albano D, Milan E, Stern E, Paghera B, et al. Comparison between N13NH3-PET and 99mTc-Tetrofosmin-CZT SPECT in the evaluation of absolute myocardial blood flow and flow reserve. *J Nucl Cardiol.* 2021;28:1906–1918. doi: 10.1007/s12350-019-01939-x
- Acampa W, Zampella E, Assante R, Genova A, De Simini G, Mannarino T, D'Antonio A, Gaudieri V, Nappi C, Buongiorno P, et al. Quantification of myocardial perfusion reserve by CZT-SPECT: a head to head comparison with 82Rubidium PET imaging. *J Nucl Cardiol.* 2021;28:2827–2839. doi: 10.1007/s12350-020-02129-w
- 17. Gullberg GT, Reutter BW, Sitek A, Maltz JS, Budinger TF. Dynamic single photon emission computed tomography-basic principles and

cardiac applications. *Phys Med Biol.* 2010;55:R111-R191. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/55/20/R01

- Reutter BW, Gullberg GT, Huesman RH. Effects of temporal modelling on the statistical uncertainty of spatiotemporal distributions estimated directly from dynamic SPECT projections. *Phys Med Biol*. 2002;47:2673–2683. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/47/15/309
- Winant CD, Aparici CM, Zelnik YR, Reutter BW, Sitek A, Bacharach SL, Gullberg GT. Investigation of dynamic SPECT measurements of the arterial input function in human subjects using simulation, phantom and human studies. *Phys Med Biol*. 2012;57:375–393. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/57/2/375
- Alhassen F, Nguyen N, Bains S, Gould RG, Seo Y, Bacharach SL, Song X, Shao L, Gullberg GT, Aparici CM. Myocardial blood flow measurement with a conventional dual-head SPECT/CT with spatiotemporal iterative reconstructions - a clinical feasibility study. *Am J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2013;4:53–59.
- Nagamachi S, Czernin J, Kim AS, Sun KT, Böttcher M, Phelps ME, Schelbert HR. Reproducibility of measurements of regional resting and hyperemic myocardial blood flow assessed with PET. J Nucl Med. 1996;37:1626–1631.
- Kitkungvan D, Johnson NP, Roby AE, Patel MB, Kirkeeide R, Gould KL. Routine clinical quantitative rest stress myocardial perfusion for managing coronary artery disease: clinical relevance of test-retest variability. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2017;10:565–577. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2016.09.019
- Wells RG, Radonjic I, Clackdoyle D, Do J, Marvin B, Carey C, deKemp RA, Ruddy TD. Test-Retest precision of myocardial blood flow measurements with 99mTc-tetrofosmin and solid-state detector single photon emission computed tomography. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2020;13:e009769. doi: 10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.119.009769
- Bailly M, Thibault F, Courtehoux M, Metrard G, Ribeiro MJ. Impact of attenuation correction for CZT-SPECT measurement of myocardial blood flow. J Nucl Cardiol. 2021;28:2560–2568. doi: 10.1007/s12350-020-02075-7
- King MA, Tsui BM, Pan TS. Attenuation compensation for cardiac single-photon emission computed tomographic imaging: part 1. impact of attenuation and methods of estimating attenuation maps. *J Nucl Cardiol.* 1995;2:513–524. doi: 10.1016/s1071-3581(05)80044-3
- King MA, Tsui BM, Pan TS, Glick SJ, Soares EJ. Attenuation compensation for cardiac single-photon emission computed tomographic imaging: part 2. attenuation compensation algorithms. *J Nucl Cardiol.* 1996;3:55–64. doi: 10.1016/s1071-3581(96)90024-0
- Patton JA, Turkington TG. SPECT/CT physical principles and attenuation correction. J Nucl Med Technol. 2008;36:1–10. doi: 10.2967/jnmt.107.046839