UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Acculturation and smoking patterns among Hispanics - A review

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7db0s7hi

Journal

American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 29(2)

ISSN

0749-3797

Authors

Bethel, J W Schenker, Marc B

Publication Date

2005-08-01

Peer reviewed

THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN ACCULTURATION AND SMOKING PATTERNS AMONG HISPANICS: A REVIEW

Jeffrey W. Bethel, Marc B. Schenker, MD, MPH

Department of Public Health Sciences, University of California, Davis, California

Correspondence and reprint requests:

Marc B. Schenker, MD, MPH Professor and Chair, Department of Public Health Sciences University of California One Shields Avenue, TB 168 Davis, CA 95616-8638

Phone: 530-752-5676 Fax: 530-752-3239

Email: mbschenker@ucdavis.edu

Word count: 2,470

Number of pages: 9 (text only)

Number of tables: 3

ABSTRACT

Objective

To conduct a systematic review of published studies investigating the association of acculturation and smoking patterns among Hispanic men and women in the United States.

Methods

Online bibliographic databases were searched from 1985 to 2003 using three key search terms. The methodology and findings of all retrieved articles were critically evaluated. Data were extracted from each article regarding study population, study methods, exposure assessment, outcomes measured, acculturation measures used, and results.

Results

The literature search identified 78 articles from MEDLINE, PubMed, and PsychINFO databases; of these, eleven studies met the inclusion criteria. Seven regional studies based in the western U.S. and four nationwide studies were included in the review. Seven studies utilized formal acculturation scales, three used language spoken, and one used language spoken and country of birth to indicate acculturation status. Nine studies showed a positive association between acculturation and smoking among women and one study involving men showed a negative association.

Conclusion

The findings suggest that the association of acculturation and smoking is gender-specific. In this instance, increased smoking prevalence with increased acculturation is consistently observed among Hispanic women but not among men. As Hispanic women acculturate, their cigarette smoking may increase because their behavior becomes more strongly influenced by the norms and practices of the dominant group than among men. Immigrant- and gender-specific public

health interventions need to be designed to combat the increase in smoking rates among Hispanic in the U.S.

INTRODUCTION

- 2 Cigarette smoking is the leading cause of preventable death in the United States and produces
- 3 substantial health-related economic costs to society. From 1995-1999, over 440,000 people in
- 4 the U.S. died from smoking-related causes each year. Among adults, most smoking deaths were
- 5 from lung cancer (124,813), ischemic heart disease (81,976), and chronic airway obstruction
- 6 (64,735). Estimates show that smoking caused over \$150 billion in annual health-related
- 7 economic losses from 1995-1999 including \$81.9 billion in mortality-related productivity and
- 8 \$75.5 billion in excess medical expenditures in 1998.
- 9 National and regional surveys have shown that Hispanics have lower smoking rates than non-
- Hispanic whites. Data from the 2001 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) show that the
- overall prevalence of cigarette smoking was significantly lower for Hispanics (16.7%, SD=1.2)
- than for non-Hispanic whites (24.0%, SD=0.6). The percentage of Hispanic men who currently
- smoked (21.6%, SD=1.9) was lower than the percentage among non-Hispanic white men
- 14 (25.4%, SD=1.0). However, a wider gap was seen when comparing Hispanic and non-Hispanic
- white women. The percentage of Hispanic women who currently smoked (11.9%, SD=1.3) was
- nearly half of the percentage among non-Hispanic white women (22.8%, SD=0.9).²
- 17 Acculturation to the mainstream U.S. society is a complex, multidimensional phenomenon that
- has a crucial but poorly understood role in many health behaviors, including smoking.³ The term
- acculturation refers to changes in values, attitudes, and behaviors experienced by individuals of
- an ethnic group as a result of continuous interaction with people of a different ethnic group. 4-6
- 21 This acculturation model predicts that smoking patterns of Hispanics would reflect the extent to
- 22 which they have adopted the smoking norms and practices of the larger society. This suggests
- 23 that Hispanic smoking rates will eventually equal those of non-Hispanic whites with increasing

levels of acculturation. With more than 35 million Hispanics living in the U.S. (40% are foreignborn), the effect of acculturation on smoking is clearly a public health concern. Again, the
concept of acculturation is a complex phenomenon which is not fully understood. However,
formal scales have been developed and proxy measures used in an attempt to better understand
its association with health outcomes and health behaviors.

The objectives of this paper are to 1) review published studies investigating the association of
acculturation on smoking patterns among Hispanic men and women in the U.S, and 2) examine

METHODOLOGY

the acculturation measures used in these studies.

This literature review consisted of studies that examined acculturation and health behaviors of Hispanics in the U.S. Studies under review were initially identified using MEDLINE, PubMed, and PsychINFO database search engines. The three key search terms were *smoking*, and *acculturation* or *immigration*, and *Hispanic* or *Mexican-American* or *Latino/a*. The search terms were limited from 1985 to 2003 but included all journals in the databases. This lower limit was chosen to include articles using data from the Hispanic Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (HHANES) 1982-1984, an important study of Hispanic health in the U.S. This search strategy identified 78 articles that provided data on smoking and acculturation/immigration among Hispanics in the U.S. These articles were examined and final inclusion into the review was determined by 1) English language reference 2) use of an adult study population and 3) examination of smoking rates across acculturation groups using measures based on a validated scale, language preference, country of birth or time (years) living in the U.S. The large percentage of the excluded references did not satisfy the second or third criterion.

- 47 RESULTS
- 48 A total of 26,611 men and women were included in the 11 studies with sample sizes ranging
- from 76 to 8,882. Three studies analyzed women only and the remaining eight studies analyzed
- men and women (Table 1). The respondents were predominantly of Mexican origin with a small
- 51 proportion coming from Puerto Rico, Cuba, Central America, and South America.
- 52 Approximately 70% of the respondents were drawn from the general U.S. population and the
- remainder was from western U.S. states including Arizona, California, Colorado, New Mexico,
- and Texas. All of the studies were cross-sectional in design; eight utilized in-person interviews,
- 55 two used telephone interviews, and one study used a self-administered survey. All studies were
- administered in English or Spanish based on the participants' preference. Seven studies
- employed formal acculturation scales^{19,23-31}, three used language spoken⁷⁻⁹ and one used
- language spoken and country of birth¹⁰ to indicate acculturation status. The studies using formal
- acculturation scales reported excellent internal reliability with Cronbach alpha values ranging
- 60 from 0.75 to 0.96.
- 61 *Smoking rates by study location*
- 62 Current smoking rates ranged from 24.5% to 45.8% in the eight studies involving men and
- 63 11.5% to 26.1% in the eleven studies involving women (Table 2). The prevalence ratios show
- 64 that among Hispanics, smoking rates in men were approximately twice as high as rates in women
- in most studies (Table 2). Among men and women, similar ranges of smoking rates and
- 66 prevalence ratios were found in studies using nationwide samples and populations in the Western
- 67 U.S.
- 68 Smoking rates by acculturation measures
- 69 1. Proxy measures for acculturation

70 The association between acculturation and current smoking rates was examined to address the 71 primary objective of the review. Four studies used proxy measures for acculturation including 72 three which used language spoken and one which used country of birth and language spoken. 73 All of these studies analyzed women and three analyzed men and women. A positive association 74 was found between acculturation and smoking in three of the four studies involving women 75 (Table 3). English language use was associated with higher rates of smoking than Spanish 76 language use. Specifically, English-speaking Hispanic women had current smoking rates of over four and two times higher than Spanish-Speaking Hispanic women in studies by Acevedo et al.⁸ 77 and Palinkas et al.⁹, respectively. In the study by Sundauist and Winkleby¹¹, both Spanish- and 78 79 English-speaking US-born Hispanic women had a higher age- and education-adjusted smoking 80 prevalence than the Mexican-born women. However, among men, no studies using a proxy 81 measure for acculturation showed an association between language preference and smoking. 82 2. Acculturation Scales 83 Seven studies involved women and utilized formal scales to assess acculturation whereas five studies involved men (and women) and used a formal acculturation scale. 12-18 When smoking 84 85 rates and analytical model results were examined, six of the seven studies involving women 86 showed a positive association between acculturation and smoking (Table 3). An increase in 87 acculturation was consistently associated with higher current smoking rates. Specifically, studies by Cantero et al., Haynes et al., Marin et al., and Perez-Stable et al. found ranges of current 88 smoking rates (from low to high acculturation) of 11.0% to 25.1%, 19.0% to 28.0%, 13.6% to 89 22.6%, and 10.3% to 15.5% among Hispanic women, respectively. 12,15,16,18 Coonrod and 90 91 colleagues found a current smoking prevalence ratio of 3.7 comparing US-oriented and Mexicooriented Hispanic women. 13 Finally, Coreil et al. used logistic regression to find a significant 92

positive association between acculturation and current smoking status across all age groups of
 women.¹⁴

Only one study involving men showed a significant association between acculturation and smoking. Marin et al. found a negative association between acculturation and smoking in which more acculturated men had a lower current age-adjusted smoking rate than less acculturated men (26.7% vs. 37.5%).

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

95

96

97

98

DISCUSSION

This review found a consistent positive association between acculturation and smoking among Hispanic women but not men, indicating a differential association of acculturation and current smoking status by gender. Specifically, nine of the eleven studies involving women reported a significant positive association between acculturation and current smoking status. In these studies, more acculturated women were more likely to be current smokers. In contrast, only one of the eight studies involving men found a significant association between acculturation and current smoking status and this relationship was negative; an increase in acculturation was associated with a lower prevalence of current smoking. The low smoking rates of women in Mexico may help explain the presence of an association between acculturation and smoking among Hispanic women in the U.S. The second and third editions of Mexico's National Survey of Addictions (ENA-93 and ENA-98) found female smoking rates of 16.3% and 18.4% in Mexico, respectively. 32,33 These are lower than the rates reported among non-Hispanic white women and more acculturated Hispanic women found in this review. Authors from two studies in this review suggest that the association between acculturation and female Hispanics may simply reflect the current social norms for smoking in

the United States. 15,16 As Hispanic women acculturate, their cigarette smoking rates increase because their behavior becomes more strongly influenced by the norms and practices of the dominant group; therefore, their smoking rates will become closer to those of the U.S. non-Hispanic white population. The specific reason why Hispanic women are more susceptible than Hispanic men is unknown; however, researchers have provided sociological and psychological theories to help explain this observation. Cigarette smoking may be a way for a Hispanic woman to establish her independence in American society. Cigarette smoking may also symbolize the acquisition of greater equality of status in society associated with changing gender roles and employment opportunities. Also, the abandonment of Hispanic social pressures, which traditionally helped prevent cigarette smoking among women but not men may also contribute to the observed differences by gender. 35,36 Finally, differential exposure to acculturative stress by gender may also explain the association between acculturation and smoking among women but not among men.³⁷ The high smoking rates of men in Mexico may explain the absence of an association between acculturation and smoking among Hispanic men in the U.S. The second and third editions of Mexico's National Survey of Addictions (ENA-93 and ENA-98) found male smoking rates of 42.9% and 51.2%, respectively. These rates are much higher than the overall smoking prevalence of non-Hispanic white men in the U.S. (24.0%)² but similar to rates reported in a few of the studies under review. According to the idea that immigrants' smoking rates will equal those of the dominant group, male Hispanic smoking rates should decrease from their higher levels in Mexico to the level of non-Hispanic whites in the U.S. Only one study showed this

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

negative relationship and two studies showed a negative relationship between acculturation and smoking which was not statistically significant. 11,18 Results from this review were evident across acculturation measures used. The positive relationship between acculturation and smoking among women was present in studies that used formal acculturation scales as well as in studies that used proxy measures. Six of the seven studies that used formal acculturation scales and three of the four studies that utilized country of birth and/or language spoken as proxies reported this trend. The seven studies that did not find a significant association between acculturation and current smoking status among men utilized formal acculturation scales as well as country of birth and/or language spoken as proxy measures. The results found were also consistent across studies that presented prevalence values only, adjusted prevalence values (age- and/or education-adjusted), and multivariate logistic regression results. Although only two studies used the same acculturation scale, systematic differences between the scales would not explain the differential association between acculturation and smoking by gender because the same study instrument was used on men and women. Also, the use of the various scales in different populations in the western U.S. and nationwide demonstrates that the observed association between acculturation and smoking was externally valid. The trends discovered in this review, however, cannot be generalized to the entire Hispanic population throughout the U.S. All seven of the regional studies included Hispanics who were predominantly or entirely Mexican-American. These studies were based in Western U.S. states such as Colorado, California, Texas, New Mexico, and Arizona. Also, three of the four nationwide studies used Mexican-American sub-samples for their analyses instead of the entire Hispanic sample. Therefore, it may only be appropriate to generalize these results to Mexican-

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

Americans. The large sample sizes, sophisticated sample schemes of the nationwide studies such as the HHANES and NHANES, and strong internal validity of the study populations allow the results to be adequately generalized to the Mexican-American population. Regardless of the acculturation measures used in these studies, a potential source of bias exists. Seven studies in this review used formal scales to assess acculturation and six of these studies used primarily language-based acculturation scales. The language-based scales (including the Acculturation Rating Scale for Mexican Americans, ARSMA-I) measure acculturation linearly with Mexican culture at one extreme and American culture at the other. Thus, acculturation is defined as a linear movement in one direction of the continuum. ¹⁹ This definition assumes that strengthening of one ethnic tie requires weakening of the other. These measures do not account for those biculturals who score high in the two cultures. Two-dimensional models should be used which stress that acculturation is a process in which the relation to the culture of origin and the new culture can be considered simultaneously. ARSMA-II was developed to address these concerns, but was not used in any of the studies in the review.²⁰ Four studies utilized proxy measures for acculturation such as nativity, length of U.S. residence, language preference, and generation status. 8,10,11,17 These studies make similar linear assumptions as seen in the language-based acculturation scales. Many public health studies use proxy measures to represent the complicated acculturation process. These measures are particularly convenient because they are often found in secondary data sources such as Vital Statistics records and national databases. However, these measures are limited in their ability to adequately assess an individual's level of acculturation, and these limitations have not been sufficiently evaluated.

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

Another potential source of bias shared by all studies in the review was the self-reporting of cigarette use. Cigarette use has been falsely reported and underreported among New Mexico Hispanics and among a sub-sample of the Mexican-American population in HHANES. 21,22 Thus, the smoking prevalence reported in these studies may be underestimates. An analysis of Mexican-American smokers in HHANES indicated that at least 20.4% of men and 24.7% of women who reported smoking less than 10 cigarettes per day may have underreported their actual consumption. However, we are unable to confirm this underreporting, or to compare it to inaccurate reporting among non-Hispanics. We do not believe that inaccuracies in reporting smoking prevalence would invalidate the basic conclusions of this review. The findings from this review suggest that some of the processes of acculturation are genderspecific. Specifically, gender modified the effect of acculturation on smoking behavior among Hispanics. Again, as Hispanic women acculturate, their cigarette smoking rates increase because their behavior becomes more strongly influenced by the dominant group; therefore, their smoking rates will become closer to those of the U.S. non-Hispanic white population. Smoking cessation and prevention programs should be customized to address the needs of specific Hispanic subpopulations. Female Hispanic immigrants are a group particularly susceptible to smoking initiation upon arrival in the U.S. Public health interventions need to account for the unique acculturation experience of Hispanics entering the U.S. Specifically, gender-specific and immigrant-specific approaches are necessary to halt the increase in smoking among Hispanics before it begins.

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

203 REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Annual smoking-attributable mortality, years
 of potential life lost, and economic costs United States, 1995-1999. MMWR Morb
- 206 Mortal Wkly Rep 2002;51(14):300-3.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Cigarette smoking among adults United
 States, 2001. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2003;52(40):953-956.
- Padilla AM. Acculturation: Theory, Models, and Some New Findings. Boulder, Colo:
 Westview Press; 1980.
- 4. Burnam AM, Telles CA, Karno M, Hough RL. Measurement of acculturation in a community of Mexican Americans. Hisp J Behav Sci 1987;9(2):105-130.
- 5. Kaplan MS, Marks G. Adverse effects of acculturation: psychosocial distress among
 Mexican American young adults. Soc Sci Med 1990;31(12):1313-9.
- 6. Keefe SE, Padilla AM. Chicano Ethnicity. Albuquerque, NM: University of New Mexico
 Press; 1987.
- 7. http://factfinder.census.gov. US Census Bureau, 2000.
- 8. Acevedo MC. The role of acculturation in explaining ethnic differences in the prenatal health-risk behaviors, mental health, and parenting beliefs of Mexican-American and European American at-risk women. Child Abuse Negl 2000;24(1):111-27.
- Palinkas LA, Pierce J, Rosbrook BP, Pickwell S, Johnson M, Bal DG. Cigarette smoking
 behavior and beliefs of Hispanics in California. Am J Prev Med 1993;9(6):331-7.
- 10. Samet JM, Howard CA, Coultas DB, Skipper BJ. Acculturation, education, and income
 as determinants of cigarette smoking in New Mexico Hispanics. Cancer Epidemiol
 Biomarkers Prev 1992;1(3):235-40.

- 11. Sundquist J, Winkleby MA. Cardiovascular risk factors in Mexican American adults: a
- transcultural analysis of NHANES-III, 1988-1994. Am J Public Health 1999;89(5):723-
- 228 30.
- 12. Cantero PJ, Richardson JL, Baezconde-Garbanati L, Marks G. The association between
- acculturation and health practices among middle-aged and elderly Latinas. Ethn Dis
- 231 1999;9(2):166-80.
- 13. Coonrod DV, Balcazar H, Brady J, Garcia S, Van Tine M. Smoking, acculturation and
- family cohesion in Mexican-American women. Ethn Dis 1999;9(3):434-40.
- 14. Coreil J, Ray LA, Markides KS. Predictors of smoking among Mexican-Americans:
- findings from the Hispanic HANES. Prev Med 1991;20(4):508-17.
- 15. Haynes SG, Harvey C, Montes H, Nickens H, Cohem BH. Patterns of cigarette smoking
- among Hispanics in the United States: results from HHANES 1982-1984. Am J Public
- Health 1990;80 Suppl:47-53.
- 239 16. Marin G, Perez-Stable EJ, Marin BV. Cigarette smoking among San Francisco Hispanics:
- the role of acculturation and gender. Am J Public Health 1989;79(2):196-8.
- 17. Markides KS, Coreil J, Ray LA. Smoking among Mexican-Americans: a three generation
- 242 study. Am J Public Health 1987;77(6):708-11.
- 18. Perez-Stable EJ, Ramirez A, Villareal R, Talavera GA, Trapido E, Suarez L, et al.
- Cigarette smoking behavior among US Latino men and women from different countries
- of origin. Am J Public Health 2001;91(9):1424-30.
- 19. Cuellar I, Harris JC, Jasso R. An acculturation scale for Mexican-American normal and
- clinical populations. Hisp J Behav Sci 1980;2(3):199-217.

- 248 20. Cuellar I, Arnold B, Maldonado R. Acculturation Rating Scale for Mexican-Americans-
- II: A Revision of the Original ARSMA Scale. Hisp J Behav Sci 1995;17(3)275-304.
- 21. Coultas DB, Howard CA, Peake GT, Skipper BJ, Samet JM. Discrepancies between self-
- reported and validated cigarette smoking in a community survey of New Mexico
- 252 Hispanics. Am Rev Respir Dis 1988;137(4):810-4.
- 22. Perez-Stable EJ, Marin BV, Marin G, Brody DJ, Benowitz NL. Apparent underreporting
- of cigarette consumption among Mexican American smokers. Am J Public Health
- 255 1990;80(9):1057-61.
- 23. Markides KS, Krause N, Mendes de Leon CF. Acculturation and alcohol consumption
- among Mexican Americans: a three-generation study. Am J Public Health
- 258 1988;78(9):1178-81.
- 24. Bundek NI, Marks G, Richardson JL. Role of health locus of control beliefs in cancer
- screening of elderly Hispanic women. Health Psychol 1993;12(3):193-9.
- 261 25. Marks G, Solis J, Richardson JL, Collins LM, Birba L, Hisserich JC. Health behavior of
- elderly Hispanic women: does cultural assimilation make a difference? Am J Public
- 263 Health 1987;77(10):1315-9.
- 26. Richardson JL, Marks G, Solis JM, Collins LM, Birba L, Hisserich JC. Frequency and
- adequacy of breast cancer screening among elderly Hispanic women. Prev Med
- 266 1987;16(6):761-74.
- 27. Ruiz MS, Marks G, Richardson JL. Language acculturation and screening practices of
- elderly Hispanic women. The role of exposure to health-related information from the
- 269 media. J Aging Health 1992;4(2):268-81.

- 28. Balcazar H, Peterson G, Krull J. Acculturation and family cohesiveness in Mexican-
- American pregnant women: social and health implications. Fam Community Health
- 272 1997;20:16-31.
- 29. Delgado JL, Johnson CL, Roy I, Trevino FM. Hispanic Health and Nutrition Examination
- Survey: methodological considerations. Am J Public Health 1990;80 Suppl:6-10.
- 30. Marin G, Sabogal F, Marin B, Otero-Sabogal R, Perez-Stable EJ. Development of a short
- acculturation scale for Hispanics. Hisp J Behav Sci 1997;9:183-205.
- 31. Markides KS, Levin JS, Ray LA. Determinants of physician utilization among Mexican-
- Americans. A three generation study. Med Care 1985;23(3):236-46.
- 32. Tapia-Conyer R, Kuri-Morales P, Hoy-Gutierrez MJ. An Epidemiologic Overview of
- Smoking in Mexico. Salud Publica Mex 2001;43(5): 1-6.
- 33. Secretaria de Salud, Direccion General de Epidemiologica. Encuesta Nacional de
- 282 Adicciones 1998 (ENA-98). Mexico, D.F.: SSA, 1998.
- 34. Cuellar I, Harris LC, Jasso R. An acculturation scale for Mexican-American normal and
- clinical populations. Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Science 1980;2(3):199-217.
- 35. Marin G, Marin BV, Otero-Sabogal R, Sabogal F, Perez-Stable EJ. The role of
- acculturation in the attitudes, norms, and expectancies of Hispanic smokers. J Cross-
- 287 Cultural Psychol 1989; 20:399-415.
- 36. Marin G, Marin BV, Otero-Sabogal R, Sabogal F, Perez-Stable EJ. Cultural differences
- in attitudes towards smoking: developing messages using theory of reasoned action. J
- 290 Appl Soc Psychol 1990; 20:478-93.
- 291 37. Janes CR. Migration, changing gender roles and stress: the Samoan case. Med
- 292 Anthropol 1990; 12:217-48.

Table 1. Review of studies on smoking and acculturation						
Study Authors	Year	Site	Study Population	Sample Size		
Acevedo ⁷	2000	Colorado	Pregnant Women	331		
Cantero et al. ¹¹	1999	Los Angeles	Women 46-92	573		
Coonrod et al. 12	1999	Arizona	Women 18-65	76		
Coreil et al. 13	1991	Nationwide	Men & Women 20-74	3464		
Haynes et al. ¹⁴	1990	Nationwide	Men & Women 20-74	3464		
Marin et al. ¹⁵	1989	California	Men & Women 15-64	1669		
Markides et al. 16	1987	Texas	Men & Women 18-80	1125		
Palinkas et al. ⁸	1993	California	Men & Women 18+	3164		
Perez-Stable et al. 17	2001	Nationwide	Men & Women 18+	8882		
Samet et al. ⁹	1992	New Mexico	Men & Women 18+	1072		
Sundquist et al. 10	1999	Nationwide	Men & Women 25-64	2791		

Table 2. Current smoking rates in Hispanics by study location and gender

<u>-</u>	Current Sr	noking Rate	_	
Study Authors	Men	Women	Prevalence Ratio ^a	
Western States				
Acevedo		13.0%		
Cantero et al.		16.4%		
Coonrod et al.		17.8%		
Marin et al.	32.4%	16.8%	1.93	
Markides et al.	45.8%	22.9%	2.00	
Palinkas et al.	24.5%	11.5%	2.13	
Samet et al.	29.6%	26.1%	1.13	
Nationwide				
Coreil et al.	43.4%	24.8%	1.75	
Haynes et al.	40.0%	25.0%	1.60	
Perez-Stable et al.	25.0%	12.1%	2.07	
Sundquist et al.	31.1%	15.7%	1.98	

^a Men prevalence/women prevalence

Table 3. Association of acculturation and smoking and acculturation measures used

Association of Acculturation and Smoking

-			<u> </u>
Study Authors	Men	Women	Acculturation Measure(s)
Acevedo		Positive	Language spoken
Cantero et al.		Positive	11-item acculturation scale ^{18,22-} , years living in US
Coonrod et al.		Positive	General Acculturation Index ²⁷ , birthplace, years living in US
Coreil et al.	None	Positive	8-item acculturation scale ¹⁸
Haynes et al.	None	Positive	8-item acculturation scale ^{28,32}
Marin et al.	Negative	Positive	5-item acculturation scale ²⁹
Markides et al.	None	None	Ethnicity of friends, language, and traditional values scales ³⁰
Palinkas et al.	None	Positive	Language spoken
Perez-Stable et al.	None	Positive	5-item acculturation scale ²⁹ , birthplace
Samet et al.	None	None	Language spoken
Sundquist et al.	None	Positive	Birthplace and language spoken