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# Experiencing Integration in Louisville: How Parents and Students See the Gains and Challenges Gary Orfield \& Erica Frankenberg 

As the first part of research on the student assignment plan that seeks to create and maintain diverse schools in Jefferson County, we surveyed samples of both parents and students across the county. These surveys were designed to learn more about their experiences with integration efforts after the implementation of Jefferson County Public Schools' (JCPS) new student assignment plan, which was redesigned after the Supreme Court's 2007 decision. Despite the difficulties encountered in designing and implementing a new plan, survey results show a deep and continuing commitment to the goal of diverse schools in Louisville among all groups of parents and students. There are problems, but they are not inherent in the goals or objectives of the plan. Instead, there are problems related to the implementation of changes in the plan associated with periodically unreliable bus service and long bus rides experienced by a minority of families.

Overall, students strongly affirm the benefits of the diversity plan and think more should be done. High school students of all races were very supportive of the district's integration plan, with a substantial share agreeing with the statement, "continue desegregation efforts." More than a fourth of students also believe that the district should do more to improve diversity and equity in the schools.

Some of the findings from the survey of students include:

- Less than a fifth of students favored ending the plan, with the rest supporting continuing as it is or strengthening it ( $27 \%$ of whites and $51 \%$ of black students).
- Students reported strong teacher support for their college-going aspirations. In terms of encouragement for higher education, $89 \%$ of students said they were encouraged by their teachers to go to college. Few substantial differences by race were reported, with $58 \%$ of black students and $63 \%$ of white students said they received strong encouragement.
- JCPS students associated positive gains with the racial diversity within their classrooms. $64 \%$ of whites and $68 \%$ of blacks said they were "very comfortable" "discussing controversial issues related to race," and even higher proportions felt very comfortable "working with students from different racial and ethnic backgrounds on group projects."
- In terms of their future, huge majorities of students felt very well prepared to work and live in diverse settings, an increasingly important educational outcome in the nation's rapidly diversifying society.
- The student survey also showed continuing problems related to gaps in schooling experience and some outcomes that should be considered in the next stage of a plan. Bringing students together into the same schools and classrooms are very important first steps, but maximum benefits emerge when treatment and educational opportunities within schools becomes more equal.

Some of the major findings from the survey of parents include:

- More than $90 \%$ of parents believe that diverse schools have important educational benefits for their children. A substantial percentage of parents also believe that the decades of integrated schools have improved the greater Louisville community.
- Eighty-nine percent of parents think that the school district's guidelines should "ensure that students learn with students from different races and economic backgrounds."
- There is very strong support for a student assignment policy that allows for family choice ( $90 \%$ of parents), and, of course, parents would also like to have diverse schools options close to their homes, when possible.
- A majority of parents were satisfied with student assignment for their child (69\%) and an even higher percentage were satisfied with the quality of their child's education (87\%), but there was less satisfaction about how well the plan was working or had been implemented over all (54\%).
- The survey revealed some concerns by some parents about unreliable buses and long bus rides, and these concerns were related to parents' assessment of the success of the plan's implementation. At the same time, there was also a strong desire for additional transportation to allow students to stay for afterschool activities. Many children report enjoying their bus ride.
- Finally, the survey revealed gaps in knowledge of the plan and of the choices available to parents. This indicates a major area for improvement as JCPS moves forward with implementing the assignment new plan.

The experiences and views of the district's students and parents will provide the central guideposts for the next stage of our work, which now begins in earnest. We are confident that the plan can be adjusted to answer the concerns and continue to realize broadly shared goals.

## FOREWORD

It has been a very long time since I first came to Louisville in 1975, on the eve of the implementation of its sweeping desegregation plan. The city was suffering from terrible division and fear, and there were armed guards checking people coming into the meeting at which I spoke. It has been my privilege to return to Louisville every two or three years since that time and watch the community and the school district deal with many changes and challenges - what started out as a court-ordered mandate was converted to a plan designed with a great deal of local input and new educational options for the generations of students who have passed through the area's diverse schools. I have been issuing reports on the level of desegregation achieved in all of the nation's states and big cities now for a third of a century. Often Kentucky has been the least segregated state in the nation for black students because of the Jefferson County plan. I have worked with the school district and its staff and testified in court for the school plan and so I was pleased to respond to the school board's request for some help in thinking through what should be done now. I agreed to do it because of my great respect for the community, which has much to be proud of, and because it has always been a pleasure to deal with the system's staff, which has been unfailingly competent and honest and has never tried to exert any pressure on me to skew the results of my studies. Having been involved in many cities, I know that Louisville has had uncommon leadership in many sectors, from education officials to political leaders and civil rights organizations, from the business community to the press.

We are coming to Louisville to listen, to obtain data, and to try to help. Working with my colleague, Professor Erica Frankenberg, and after we have learned more we will offer our best thoughts about what could be done to improve the current plan. We will outline what we see as some of the issues that could address concerns on the part of some groups of parents. Our first step has been to conduct surveys to find out what parents and students are experiencing in the district and what problems they think should be addressed. ${ }^{1}$ This has been a very useful process and will quickly lead to the next step of weighing this information and thinking about possible solutions. As we learn things and reach our own independent conclusions, we will be very happy to answer questions. We understand, of course, that our role is purely advisory and that the decisions about the future rest with the people and the school board.

Often when communities are engaged in controversial discussions, they lose sight of what they share and what hard things they have learned and accomplished. Your community has accomplished much for many years. We hope that our work will help foster a healthy and civil community discussion about shared goals and will diagnose what problems need to be solved. We encourage readers who may not have students in the public schools and may

[^0]have questions about our findings to discuss them with parents and young people who are involved directly. I am very optimistic that Jefferson County will continue its leadership on these important issues. It is an honor to once again be part of this effort.
-Gary Orfield

## Experiencing Integration in Louisville: How Parents and Students See the Gains and Challenges

Our work in Jefferson County has begun by asking the parents and students who have been living in the community and experiencing the operation of the district's revised diversity plan. Their experience is, of course, the starting point in thinking about what the plan has accomplished and identifying issues needing careful attention in our research. These surveys have given a representative sample of both groups to tell us what has happened and how they view both the goals and the current operation of the plan. This report has five sections. It briefly describes the national trends in surveys about school diversity and summarizes what research shows about the general impact of diverse schooling. The next two sections report on what the district's high school juniors have reported in a survey this month and what the parents told interviewers in a telephone survey conducted by a Louisville survey organization. The final section summarizes what we see as the most important findings from the surveys, a handful of recommendations and a description of what we see as the next phase of our work. When we accepted the invitation of the school board to do an independent assessment of the plan, it was clear that there was uncertainty about what families in the JPCS were experiencing and whether the long-term commitment of the community to integrated education was fading. We now have a much better understanding of those issues, and we believe that the citizens of the county will be very interested in what the parents and students have concluded.

The first step, in examining what is needed to fix problems that the Jefferson County Public Schools may be confronting in their diversity plan, is to find out what needs to be changed, or to diagnose the current problems and overall health of the plan. For many centuries, doctors have taken the Hippocratic Oath, which has as a central principle to take care to avoid any unnecessary treatment that may harm the patient. Sometimes this has been described as "first, do no harm." Any changes in the organization of schooling affect the lives, friendships, and plans of children and their families. Sometimes change is essential but before any surgery is proposed, we need to know what the problems are. There are no better sources for this than asking about the experiences and perceptions of the students and their parents, which we have done through surveys of both a sample of JCPS parents and high school juniors, three fourths of whom have only experienced education in JCPS's diverse schools.

One of the reasons we wanted to have professional surveys assuring anonymity is that issues of race and diversity are often clouded by rumors, mistrust, and legitimate fear that some outside expert might propose changes without knowing the real-life experience of local citizens. It is always true, as any public official or educator knows, that those who are unhappy about a policy tend to express themselves much more strongly in public than those who have no complaints. There is no way to find out how widely shared those problems are without asking the public in a systematic way. That is what we have done; the results of which we summarize in this report.

Our basic conclusion is that, in spite of the 2007 Supreme Court decision striking down the old plan in Jefferson County and the subsequent difficulties encountered in designing and implementing a new plan, there is a deep continuing commitment to the goal of diverse schools in Louisville among all groups of parents and students. A large majority is content with their existing school assignments and thinks their schools are doing a good job. There is little interest
in returning to a strict neighborhood school policy that would not permit choice or transfer. There are problems but they are not problems of the goals or objectives of the plan; instead they are problems of implementation of the changes in the plan which seem significantly related to poor bus service and the longest bus rides experienced by a minority of families.

It appears that our task is to figure out the most useful response to cleaning up those implementation problems without disrupting the successful experience for most children and families. There are also continuing problems of some gaps in schooling experience and outcomes that should be considered in the next stage of a plan. Bringing students into the same schools and classrooms are very important steps, but maximum benefits come when the treatment and educational opportunities become more equal. ${ }^{2}$ Sometimes when the focus is on whether or not the desegregation plans should be continued, too little energy is devoted to making certain that in-school treatment follows principles that maximize the educational advantages of diversity and integration. ${ }^{3}$ We were particularly interested in the views of the district's high school students of all races who were very supportive of the district's integration plan. More than a fourth of students believe that the district should do more to improve diversity and equity in the schools. Extremely high percentages of parents value the goals of integration and believe that JCPS should have guidelines to ensure diverse schools. The experiences and views of the district's parents and students will provide the central guideposts for the next stage of our work, which now begins in earnest.

## A Brief Review of Public Opinion and School Desegregation: Surprising Trends

People criticizing school desegregation plans often assert that busing has failed and that the public has rejected it as a failed experiment. In fact, busing-the term is used to describe mandatory reassignment of students to distant schools-has not been a major policy in U.S. cities for many years since desegregation plans began to embrace magnet and voluntary transfer policies in the 1970s. No major new mandatory plans have been adopted in the past three decades. Choice is now a central component of any integration plan.

Desegregation attitudes were most negative following the Supreme Court's decision in Swann v. Charlotte Mecklenburg in 1971, when major new mandatory plans were first implemented in the cities of the states with a history of de jure segregation. The Supreme Court's 1973 decision in Keyes, the Denver case, brought desegregation to many northern cities. During that period, almost all desegregation plans were designed by courts because the local school boards refused to do it, were implemented suddenly, and involved mandatory reassignments of teachers and students without educational options. Even African Americans, who were supposed to benefit from the policies, were deeply divided.

[^1]The busing issue provoked an extremely hostile white response in the 1970s, with $87 \%$ opposed in 1972, declining only slightly to $83 \%$ in 1978. White resistance then declined to $67 \%$ by $1996 .{ }^{4}$ Younger whites were more supportive. ${ }^{5}$

Improving white attitudes about integration in general were apparent in responses to a Gallup question, which asked white parents over many years whether they would object to having their child in a school with a few blacks, half black or a black majority. Even at the height of the busing controversy, $92 \%$ of whites said they would have no objection to being in a school with a few blacks, and $69 \%$ said they would accept a half black school, but only $39 \%$ would not object if their child was in a majority black school. ${ }^{6}$ The favorable trends in these attitudes over many years- in contrast to the extreme white opposition at the beginning of busing-indicates that urban desegregation was not framed as an issue about integration but as some extraordinary governmental intervention via busing, even though more suburban and rural and many private school children had gone to school by bus for many years. There have been very few surveys about the kind of desegregation plan that has been dominant in the last three decades, with major emphasis on parental choice. Much of the survey data and many of the public attitudes we have are about a form of large-scale mandatory desegregation that has long since ended in most regions.

One of the most important trends in public opinion is the fact that the public thought busing was a very important issue in education policy in the early 1970s. There was a great deal of controversy, but it virtually disappeared as a leading issue over time. The degree of public concern about desegregation and busing peaked nearly forty years ago. During the period between 1969 and 1973, the annual Gallup Poll question asking about the leading problems in the schools showed that it was one of the biggest problems, cited by between an eighth and a fifth of the public as a top issue. By the early 1980s, it was down to about $5 \%$ percent and it fell to $3 \%$ by the early 1990s. ${ }^{7}$

Black opposition to busing (or preference for neighborhood schools) was at the peak during the most intense period of the busing battle. One national poll showed $48 \%$ opposed and another showed a very close division with only $55 \%$ in favor. Black support of busing gradually increased in the 1980s and 1990s. ${ }^{8}$ Black families showed overwhelming acceptance of the idea going to school with white students, including a very large majority who were ready to have their children attend majority white schools. ${ }^{9}$ There is no poll evidence for the claim of black abandonment of the integration ideal, though there has also never been a consensus over the means to achieve integration.

One of the most striking elements about research on desegregation and busing is that few surveys actually asked those most affected-- the students, their parents, and educators who actually work

[^2]in desegregated schools. One would assume that these stakeholders would be the most concerned if it were a very difficult and damaging policy. The Harris Survey questioned national samples of parents three times from 1978 to 1989. In 1978, not long after the peak of the busing issue, nearly two-thirds of black parents ( $63 \%$ ) whose children were bused in integration plans said the experience had been "very satisfactory" and only one black parent in twelve and one white parent in six thought it was "unsatisfactory." By 1989, at the highest level of black-white desegregation ever recorded, opinions had become more positive. 64 percent of whites, 63 percent of blacks and 70 percent of Asians bused for desegregation said that the experience was "very satisfactory" and only one black parent in 25 , one white in 20 , and one Asian in 50 reported that it was unsatisfactory. ${ }^{10}$ These figures are vastly more positive than parents' views of many other educational issues.

Initially there was overwhelming white opposition to desegregation in Louisville, even higher than the national average, and more than nine-tenths were opposed. ${ }^{11}$ The court ordered a plan in which there was massive mandatory busing across the metro. The plan became more choiceoriented and, over time and through experience with desegregated schools, attitudes changed. When surveyed by the Louisville Courier-Journal in 1991, however, 81 percent of the black parents and 53 percent of the whites said that the experience was satisfactory. ${ }^{12}$ After the plan was challenged in the 1990s and later, there were a number of surveys of the general public and school parents and they showed strong support for continuing the plan, which had become more driven by choice mechanisms but included race-conscious desegregation goals. ${ }^{13}$

One thing that was particularly notable about desegregation surveys is that the great bulk of the respondents were people who had no children who had actually been bussed for desegregation since there was never more than a small minority of U.S. children bussed under desegregation plans, certainly less than a tenth. One would expect that when parents of bussed children were asked their opinion they would be the most hostile. It was clear, however, in the surveys that actually asked these parents, that they were much more positive about the experience than the public as a whole. In other words, a majority of those who experienced what was supposed to be a terrible experience actually said it was a positive experience. This suggests that those who get their views from the media, or from politics, and have no direct contact with desegregation, were the core of the opponents. Notably the supposed victims were the strongest supporters. This pattern suggests that much of the strongest opposition to desegregation was not the product of experience but of fears.

One of the important realities in surveys of desegregation and many other policies is that Americans strongly prefer contradictory things. People, for example, usually say that they value high quality public education but also want to cut taxes that pay for it; they want to improve the environment but to avoid regulation; they want greater food safety and smaller government, and there are many other examples. Throughout the surveys there has, for many years, been a strong

[^3]majority preferring integrated education but deep controversy over the means to achieve it. They would like integrated schools and the kind of educational choices they want all right in their neighborhood.

The experience in Louisville is very reflective of these general patterns. The pattern of overall intense white opposition gave way to a more nuanced view and, eventually, to strong support for integrated schools from both the parents and the students. These new polls suggest that this pattern is continuing to hold. If the attitudes of today's students are predictive, it seems likely to hold well into the future.

This brief summary of public opinion surveys suggests that when policy makers hear claims that public opinion has turned sharply against desegregation plans, they should look more closely. It may be that people are reflecting what they heard about the intense conflicts at the beginning of mandatory urban desegregation, are unfamiliar with the way current plans actually work, or that they have heard something from the media. Systematic study of public opinion about school integration shows that opposition is much less dramatic than in the past and that support has grown, especially among those most affected, the parents and the students.

It is also true, of course, that the public would like to have it all, as is often true about public opinion on controversial issues. It would be ideal to have well and stably integrated schools that children could walk to in their neighborhood or to have everyone get their first choice of school and also have a diverse student body. It would be just as ideal to sharply cut taxes and have the very good schools we want for our children. In the real world, the art of government is choosing to do as much as possible to realize both goals. In urban desegregation, this has increasingly meant using choice mechanisms to spur voluntary desegregation and giving as many people as possible their first choice. Parents have fewer guarantees of neighborhood schools but many more ideal educational options that they willingly transfer their children to receive. They also have the diverse schools they prefer without the fear of resegregation, which might lose many of those advantages.

## Benefits of integration

The attitudes of parents and students are, of course, critically important-- and so are the attitudes of teachers. One of the truly important findings of recent research is that teachers tend to value and to remain in stably diverse schools but move away from schools that go through resegregation. Since qualified and experienced teachers are of central importance to the achievement of students, this is an extremely important fact-and important benefit of integration policies. Research shows that as resegregated schools lose many high achieving students they also suffer the loss of experienced teachers, which compounds the educational damage. ${ }^{14}$ In classes with less competitive students along with new or less experienced teachers, students are likely to fall seriously behind. ${ }^{15}$

[^4]The benefits of integrated schools improve the educational experience and life opportunities for students who attend them. Research has focused on several dimensions of this. First, having students of different backgrounds can help prevent stereotype formation and challenge students' assumptions, which can help develop critical thinking. ${ }^{16}$ Second, researchers find a "perpetuation effect" of desegregated schools-that students who attend them are more likely to live and work in diverse settings after they graduate. ${ }^{17}$ Third, students may be more likely to have higher educational aspirations and networks from diverse schools that help connect them to more prestigious school or work opportunities. ${ }^{18}$

Finally, as the Supreme Court noted in its 2007 decision, racially isolated schools are linked to disadvantages in terms of the educational environment that students experience. In addition to the difficulty of retaining experienced, high-quality teachers, these schools often lack more advanced curricular offerings and middle-class peers. Not surprisingly, these schools are associated with higher dropout rates. ${ }^{19}$ The lack of a high school diploma has a critical impact on the higher educational and career opportunities of students in today's economic climate.

## How Desegregation is Working: The Students' View in January 2011

No one knows more about how diversity has been working in Jefferson County schools than those who have experienced it and who are living it today-the students, especially the older students who have grown up in JCPS's desegregated schools. Eleven years ago, the school district administered a survey designed by national experts, who came to Harvard University to help the Civil Rights Project develop questions to explore students' experiences and reactions in Louisville and other cities. In the report analyzing the 2000 survey, published in our book, Diversity Challenged, which was cited by the Supreme Court, we found remarkably high levels of support for diverse schools among Louisville's high school juniors and a strong belief that the experiences had successfully prepared them for living and working in diverse schools. ${ }^{20}$ That survey with the addition of one question was administered again in English classes across the district's eleventh grade classes this month.

[^5]In Fall 2009, JCPS began to implement its new student assignment plan in elementary schools, seeking to create diverse schools through a multifaceted consideration of diversity, consisting of racial composition, educational attainment, and household income. Thus, the high school juniors surveyed were assigned to schools under the district's former voluntary integration policy that aimed for racially diverse schools.

Amid all the controversy about the future of diversity in Louisville schools and the changes in the local plan imposed by the 2007 Supreme Court decision,, we wondered whether or not students' experiences and attitudes had changed. We surveyed one-sixth of all high school juniors, from a representative sample drawn by JCPS, and obtained a very high response rate, which makes us confident that it represents the views of the district's high school juniors. The survey was administered recently on computers in JCPS high schools. The results are a very strong reflection of the overall views of students nearing the end of high school and thinking about their experiences and their futures. No one in the school district saw the survey forms and all student responses were anonymous, so students were free to tell the truth as they saw it without any risk. The responses collected in the survey this January were very similar to those in 2000. Since the area is becoming more diverse through immigration of Latino and Asian families, we also can now report some findings on their experiences and attitudes, since these groups are likely to increase significantly in the future.

1,095 students responded to the survey. The responses went directly into an electronic database and were analyzed by Professors Orfield and Frankenberg, not by JCPS staff. The respondents included 326 African Americans, 633 Whites, 35 Asian Americans, 57 Latinos, and 101 students who identified themselves as from an "other" race or as multiracial. ${ }^{21}$

Students surveyed by race/ethnicity

| What is your race/ethnicity? | Percent <br> $(\%)$ | Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| African American or Black | 28.3 | 329 |
| White | 54.7 | 635 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 4.9 | 57 |
| Asian American | 3.1 | 36 |
| Other/Multi-Racial | 9.0 | 104 |
| Total | 100.00 | 1161 |

Because the vast majority was white or black and the samples of the other groups were small, we will report only the black and white responses on most issues. We do include the smaller groups on some questions with the understanding that we should be very cautious in using that data, since the results could be changed by a handful of students. The responses to all survey questions described here will be posted on the web at civilrightsproject.ucla.edu for public access.

The great majority of the students were born in the U.S., including $96 \%$ of the whites and $94 \%$ of the blacks, but one-fourth of Asian and $44 \%$ of Latinos were born in another country. ${ }^{22}$ Although

[^6]the U.S. now has about a fifth students raised with a non-English home language, the number is much lower in JCPS, only $3 \%$ for whites, $4 \%$ for blacks, but $44 \%$ for Asians and $70 \%$ for Latinos, both small but growing populations. ${ }^{23}$ White students are much more likely to have parents who have a college or graduate degree than are blacks. $21.4 \%$ of white parents have a college degree and $11.4 \%$ have a graduate or professional decree. For black parents, $12.2 \%$ have a college degree and $4.4 \%$ have a graduate or professional degree. ${ }^{24}$ Most students have spent their entire education in JCPS, with 71 percent of black and $75 \%$ of white high school juniors saying they had been in the district since elementary school. Only one-sixth of blacks and one seventh of whites had arrived during high school. ${ }^{25}$ So a very large majority of students have had a long time to think about the issues that have affected so much of their educational experience.

Percent students indicating length of education in JCPS by racial/ethnic group

| Please indicate how long you have been in this school district. |  | Black | White | Other |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Since elementary school | $\%$ |  |  |  |
|  | Number | 70.8 | 75.1 | 63.5 |
|  | 233 | 476 | 127 |  |
| Since middle/junior high school | $\%$ | 11.9 | 11.0 | 10.5 |
|  | Number | 39 | 70 | 21 |
| Since high school | $\%$ | 17.3 | 13.9 | 26.0 |
|  | Number | 57 | 88 | 52 |
| Total | $\%$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Number | 329 | 634 | 200 |

Source: Diversity Assessment Questionnaire, Q11
Louisville students not only go to diverse schools but they typically learn in diverse classrooms. JCPS students surveyed reported that their high school English classes were substantially integrated. $53 \%$ of blacks and $57 \%$ of whites reporting that there were at least "quite a few" students of other races in their class and with a third of African Americans and a fourth of whites reporting that half or more were from other racial or ethnic groups. ${ }^{26}$

[^7]
## Impact on Learning and Future Lives

Sometimes critics claim that when desegregation brings groups of students together it simply reinforces stereotypes and polarization. According to the large majority of students, quite the opposite is happening in Jefferson County.

One of the most important and positive findings from the students, in terms of their future lives and the future of the community, is that a majority from all groups of students in the JCPS felt "very prepared" to "work in a job setting where people are of a different racial or ethnic background than you." Since their generation is projected to witness the transformation of American society to a society where European Americans are one of many minorities and there is no racial or ethnic majority, these are likely to be important skills.
$62 \%$ of all students say they are "very prepared" for a diverse workplace and almost all the rest, $33 \%$ say they are somewhat prepared. ${ }^{27}$

Feelings of preparation for diverse job setting by racial/ethnic group

| After high school, how prepared do you <br> feel to work in a job setting where people <br> are of a different racial or ethnic <br> background than you are? | Black | White | Other |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O |  |  |  |  |
| Very Prepared | $\%$ | 56.3 | 65.2 | 64.4 |
|  | Number | 180 | 409 | 125 |
| Somewhat Prepared | \% | 36.6 | 30.5 | 31.4 |
|  | Number | 117 | 191 | 61 |
| Somewhat Unprepared | \% | 3.4 | 3.2 | 1.0 |
|  | Number | 11 | 20 | 2 |
| Very Unprepared | $\%$ | 3.8 | 1.1 | 3.1 |
|  | Number | 12 | 7 | 6 |
| Total | $\%$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Diversity Assessment Questionnaire, Q36
The students relate their preparation directly to their school experiences. Three-fourths of blacks and whites, as well as Hispanics and Asian Americans, say their school experiences helped. More than a third of all groups say it helped "a lot." ${ }^{28}$ Further, in data not shown here, a substantial majority of all groups said that they would be "very comfortable" working with a supervisor "of a different racial or ethnic background.,"29

[^8]Perception that school experiences will affect ability to work with members of other races by racial/ethnic group

| How do you believe your school <br> experiences will affect your ability to work <br> with members of other races and ethnic <br> groups? | Black | White | Other |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Helped a lot |  | \% |  |  |
| Helped somewhat | Number | 36.9 | 36.0 | 36.6 |
|  | Number | 118 | 225 | 70 |
| Had no effect | $\%$ | 37.8 | 40.6 | 33.5 |
|  | Number | 21.6 | 254 | 64 |
| Hurt my ability | $\%$ | 69 | 120 | 23.6 |
|  | Number | 3.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Total | $\%$ | 10 | 13 | 4 |

Source: Diversity Assessment Questionnaire, Q37
The students said that, in a number of ways, their school experiences had positive impacts. $64 \%$ of whites and $68 \%$ of blacks said they were "very comfortable" "discussing controversial issues related to race" and even higher proportions felt very comfortable "working with students from different racial and ethnic backgrounds on group projects" or learning about differences from students from other groups. ${ }^{30} 88 \%$ of black and $91 \%$ of whites said they were comfortable "debating current social and political issues," something that could well contribute to local government. ${ }^{31}$

Huge majorities expected to go to racially diverse colleges ( $89 \%$ for blacks and $93 \%$ of whites) $)^{32}$ and more than nine-tenths expected to work in diverse settings. ${ }^{33}$

[^9]Interest in attending diverse college campus by racial/ethnic group

| How interested are you in attending a racially/ethnically <br> diverse college campus? |  | Black | White | Other |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Very Interested | \% | 36.1 | 24.8 | 34.9 |
|  | Number | 115 | 155 | 67 |
| Interested | $\%$ | 34.8 | 33.3 | 32.3 |
|  | Number | 111 | 208 | 62 |
| Somewhat Interested | $\%$ | 18.5 | 28.8 | 22.9 |
|  | Number | 59 | 180 | 44 |
| Total | $\%$ | 10.7 | 13.0 | 9.9 |
|  | Number | 34 | 81 | 19 |

Source: Diversity Assessment Questionnaire, Q43
Two thirds of both groups ( $66 \%$ of blacks and $63 \%$ of whites) were very interested in attending a four-year college, now the key to the middle class. ${ }^{34}$ Six out of seven white students and almost nine-tenths of black students expressed some degree of interest in "living in a racially/ethnically diverse neighborhood when you are an adult., ${ }^{35}$
$56 \%$ of blacks and $41 \%$ of whites said that classes and activities at school had made them more interested in integrated living. ${ }^{36}$ Three fourths of black and white students said that school had increased their "ability to understand members of other races and ethnic groups., 37

[^10]High school changed interest in living in racially diverse setting as an adult by racial/ethnic group

| To what extent have classroom or extracurricular activities offered through your high school changed your interest in living in a racially/ethnically diverse setting when you are an adult? |  | Black | White | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greatly Increased |  | 22.0 | 12.4 | 19.6 |
|  | Number | 71 | 77 | 38 |
| Somewhat Increased | \% | 33.9 | 28.6 | 32.5 |
|  | Number | 109 | 178 | 63 |
| No Effect | \% | 37.3 | 51.7 | 39.7 |
|  | Number | 120 | 322 | 77 |
| Somewhat Decreased | \% | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
|  | Number | 12 | 21 | 6 |
| Greatly Decreased | \% | 3.1 | 4.0 | 5.2 |
|  | Number | 10 | 25 | 10 |
| Total | \% | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Number | 322 | 623 | 194 |

Source: Diversity Assessment Questionnaire, Q45

## Dimensions of Educational Opportunity

The survey also revealed both highly positive signs and persisting challenges in terms of achieving full educational equality. White students, for example, were substantially more likely than blacks to be in an honors or AP English class (51\% for whites and 29\% for African Americans), but more than a fifth of whites and nearly a third of blacks were in "basic" English classes. ${ }^{38}$ The racial composition of social studies and history classes was very similar. Although advanced classes, especially AP classes, had notably higher proportions of whites there was significant diversity at all levels. Equality was not at hand but there was a major overlap across racial lines at all levels of achievement.

Having students in the same classes does not, of course, tell us about what goes on in the educational process. It is clear, however, in JCPS that teachers are talking about issues of race and ethnicity in social science and history classes and, in a lesser way, in English classes. Students believed they had become comfortable in talking about these sensitive issues with each other, something that many U.S. adults could not say. Three-fourths (74.8\%) of students say racial issues are discussed in their social science classes at least once a month and $42.3 \%$ say at least three times. ${ }^{39}$

[^11]Frequency of history or social studies classroom discussions exploring racial issues by racial/ethnic group

| During classroom discussions in your <br> SOCIAL STUDIES or HISTORY class <br> how often are racial issues discussed and <br> explored? |  | Black | White | Other |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| At least 3 Times a Month | \% | 43.6 | 41.9 | 45.6 |
|  | Number | 113 | 226 | 72 |
| Once or Twice a Month | $\%$ | 33.2 | 35.2 | 27.8 |
|  | Number | 86 | 190 | 44 |
| Less than Once a Month | \% | 15.1 | 17.0 | 18.4 |
|  | Number | 39 | 92 | 29 |
| Never | $\%$ | 8.1 | 5.9 | 8.2 |
|  | Number | 21 | 32 | 13 |
| Total | $\%$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Number | 259 | 540 | 158 |

Source: Diversity Assessment Questionnaire, Q19
More than three-fourths of students (76.2\%) say these discussions had some impact on their "understanding of different points of view" though most say it has been a modest impact. $30 \%$ say the impact has been "quite a bit" or "a lot." ${ }^{40}$

Extent to which classroom discussions about race changed understanding of different points of view by racial/ethnic group

| To what extent do you believe that these <br> discussions have changed your <br> understanding of different points of view? |  | Black | White | Other |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Not at all | $\%$ | 20.4 | 26.2 | 23.5 |
|  | Number | 54 | 144 | 38 |
| A little | $\%$ | 48.7 | 47.1 | 37.7 |
| Quite a bit | Number | 129 | 259 | 61 |
|  | $\%$ | 24.5 | 22.0 | 28.4 |
|  | Number | 65 | 121 | 46 |
| Total | $\%$ | 6.4 | 4.7 | 10.5 |
|  | Number | 17 | 26 | 17 |

Source: Diversity Assessment Questionnaire, Q20
There is a broad spread of grades by race reported by JPCS students though whites are more likely to get mostly A's ( $26.3 \%$ ), compared to $18.9 \%$ for blacks. Blacks are more likely (38.7\%)

[^12]to get mostly C's compared to $21.0 \%$ for whites. Both groups report a similar and small percent of "mostly D's." ${ }^{41}$ The outcomes show significant differences but also strong overlaps. The results on taking college admissions exams are similar, with $62.9 \%$ of white juniors and $55.8 \%$ of black students saying that they had taken the exams. These were encouraging levels for eleventh graders. ${ }^{42}$ In schools in many big city districts, few students have taken such exams by their junior year. Two-thirds of the students were taking a foreign language class, with half in their second or third year of foreign language instruction, including $17 \%$ of whites and $10 \%$ of blacks. ${ }^{43}$

There was strong support for college aspirations. In terms of encouragement for higher education, nine-tenths of students ( $88.9 \%$ ) said they were encouraged by their teachers to go to college and $58 \%$ of black students and $63 \%$ of white students said they had been strongly encouraged about college by their teachers. ${ }^{44}$

Teachers encouraged students to attend college by racial/ethnic group

| To what extent have your teachers <br> encouraged you to attend college? |  | Black | White | Other |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Strongly Encouraged | $\%$ | 57.6 | 62.9 | 53.3 |
|  | Number | 185 | 395 | 104 |
| Somewhat Encouraged | $\%$ | 29.0 | 28.5 | 29.2 |
|  | Number | 93 | 179 | 57 |
| Neither Encouraged Nor | $\%$ | 10.6 | 7.6 | 14.4 |
| Discouraged | Number | 34 | 48 | 28 |
| Somewhat Discouraged | $\%$ | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
|  | Number | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Strongly Discouraged | $\%$ | 1.6 | 0.5 | 2.6 |
|  | Number | 5 | 3 | 5 |
| Total | $\%$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Number | 321 | 628 | 195 |

Source: Diversity Assessment Questionnaire, Q26

[^13]About a fourth of students ( $29 \%$ of whites and 21 percent of blacks) were strongly encouraged by their teachers to take Advanced Placement courses. ${ }^{45}$

Teachers encouraged students to attend take honors and/or AP courses by racial/ethnic group

| To what extent have your teachers <br> encouraged you to take Honors and/or AP <br> classes? |  | Black | White | Other |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Strongly Encouraged | \% | 21.1 | 29.0 | 27.2 |
|  | Number | 68 | 183 | 53 |
| Somewhat Encouraged | $\%$ | 33.4 | 38.4 | 28.7 |
|  | Number | 108 | 242 | 56 |
| Neither Encouraged nor | $\%$ | 37.8 | 30.1 | 36.4 |
| Discouraged | Number | 122 | 190 | 71 |
| Somewhat Discouraged | $\%$ | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
|  | Number | 7 | 7 | 3 |
| Strongly Discouraged | $\%$ | 5.6 | 1.4 | 6.2 |
|  | Number | 18 | 9 | 12 |
| Total | $\%$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Number | 323 | 631 | 195 |

Source: Diversity Assessment Questionnaire, Q30
In terms of equity, black students were actually significantly more likely than white students ( $44.9 \%$ to $34.8 \%$ ) to strongly agree that "at least one of my teaches takes a special interest in me." Overall about three fourths of each group had experienced some special interest from a teacher. ${ }^{46} 46 \%$ of blacks and $40 \%$ of white students said their teacher encouraged them "to work with students of other racial/ethnic backgrounds. ${ }^{, 47}$ Most students thought discipline was fair but whites were considerably more positive, ( $62.3 \%$ ) than blacks ( $51.5 \%$ ). ${ }^{48}$ The basic evidence from the survey is that there is diversity in many aspects of the schooling experience, and that there is good evidence of both very positive actions by teachers and of the need for continuing attention to the significant gaps that remain.

## Students' Advice to the Community

Since today's high school juniors will soon be voting citizens of the community, it seemed like a good idea to ask them not only what they had experienced but what they thought should be done now. Like the parents in our other survey, students were overwhelmingly but not unanimously committed to desegregation efforts. Just 19.9\% thought that the district should "drop desegregation goals and policies." This included one white student in four and one African American student in nine.

[^14]
## The Jefferson County Public Schools have implemented policies to produce diverse schools for 35 years. If you could advise the school district, what would recommend for the future?

$\approx$ Drop desegregation goals and policies
䧳Continue present desegregation efforts
$■$ Put more effort into policies for diversity and equal treatment


Source: Diversity Assessment Questionnaire, Q46
Forty-eight percent of whites and $38 \%$ of blacks thought the existing policies should be continued. Surprisingly in a community where there is a debate over the possibility of doing much less about desegregation, two out of five students favored strengthening "policies for diversity and equal treatment," including half of black students ( $50.8 \%$ ), more than a fourth of white students ( $27.3 \%$ ), $47 \%$ of Latinos and $44 \%$ of Asians. Though black students are often said to have borne the larger burden, they are the most favorable on a number of dimensions and the most in support of strengthening the existing policy.

## Summary

The Jefferson County survey certainly does not show that all of the city's racial and ethnic problems have been solved in the schools or that perfect equality and harmony have been achieved. Anyone who is familiar with the history of American race relations and the struggles across the country over the achievement gap knows that the U.S. still has far to go. The central finding of this survey, however, like its counterpart a decade ago, is that there have been solid accomplishments in the region's schools, that very large and usually very similar majorities of black and white students believe that growing up in diverse schools has been an advantage for their future in some important respects. If there were victims from the desegregation policy, these students, the great majority of whom are in their $12^{\text {th }}$ year (including kindergarten) in diverse JCPS classrooms, would be very well aware of the problems. They do not say it's perfect and not everyone agrees, but only a small minority favor abandoning the policy and a significantly larger group actually think that more should be done to realize its goals. Clearly
there are some solid accomplishments. Most students have a teacher who cares about them, most have been strongly encouraged to go on to college and hope to go to a four-year college, and they have taken college entrance exams. Many students, both black and white, are taking demanding honors and college credit courses, though the percentages are not equal.

Perhaps the most encouraging evidence is the way the students see how the schools are preparing them effectively for the kind of society in which they are going to live and work. They feel very comfortable in interracial settings, able to discuss controversial racial and social issues, have deeper understanding of other groups, and are very prepared to work in diverse settings. In fact, as has often been said, there is good evidence here that integrated school prepares young people for a successfully integrated life. As they think about a time in the future when they will be making their own decisions, many express a preference for a diverse college, a diverse workplace, and for living in a diverse neighborhood. They strongly relate these preferences to their schooling experience.

In today's economy, the things that are highly valued in selecting employees are not only the "hard skills" of math, and understanding the substance of the job, but increasingly the "soft skills" of relating to others, working effectively in group settings, being a contributor and leader in pursuing collaborative tasks, etc. There is considerable evidence in this survey that Louisville area students are convinced that they have acquired some very valuable skills and understandings for their future lives. Certainly this bodes well for community institutions and employers in the region, and for the likelihood that all groups will be able to work together with understanding in the search for solutions to community problems.

## The Parent Survey: Support for Integration and Concerns of Implementation

This survey of parents of children enrolled in Jefferson County Public Schools (JCPS) reveals unwavering commitment to the importance of diverse schools and some questioning the implementation of the district's current integration policy. The survey of parents was conducted in December 2010 by IQS Research, randomly sampling parents of JCPS students. For more details on survey methodology, see Appendix A.

| Target Audience | Desired <br> Interviews | Actual <br> Interviews |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Households of students in graded K-2 area A | 383 | 382 |
| Households of students in graded K-2 area B | 383 | 384 |
| Households of students in graded 3-12 area A | 327 | 328 |
| Households of students in graded 3-12 area B | 757 | 758 |
| Total | 1850 | 1852 |

Eighty percent of respondents were female. Twenty-six percent had a high school degree or less, another third had some college education, and another $36 \%$ had a four-year college degree or beyond. Thirty-eight percent of the sample lived in "A" areas; sixty-two percent in "B" areas. Finally, $36 \%$ of the sample was African-American, $56 \%$ was white, and $8 \%$ were from all other racial/ethnic groups.

## Broad-based Support for the Goals of Diversity and the Benefits of Integration.

As we found in surveying high school juniors in JCPS this month, parents of JCPS students also strongly believe in the benefits of diverse schools. We asked a series of questions that probed different aspects of support for the goals of JCPS's current integration policy. Among the clearest trends we find in this survey is the support for the goals of integration across all groups of parents. This finding affirms the decades that JCPS has pursued the goal of diverse schools, and makes it that much more important to figure out how best to structure the current assignment policy in order to enable the district to pursue the goals that such a large majority of parents support.

District parents report extremely high levels of support for the types of diversity that the current student assignment plan reflects. Nearly nine out of ten JCPS parents surveyed agreed that schools should include students from different racial/ethnic groups-opinion shared by both "A" and "B" households. Since this has been the goal pursued the longest by the district, it is not surprising that it is slightly higher than some of the other options about school composition. Nearly as high shares of parents believe that schools should have students from families with a range of incomes and educational attainment. While a large majority also supported having diverse levels of student achievement in each school, this value got the least extensive support, reflecting a pattern in similarly worded questions of JCPS parents in 2008.

Parents were asked to rate the extent to which they agreed that schools should include students from the following categories. (strongly or somewhat agree)

|  | OVERALL <br> $(\%)$ | A <br> $(\%)$ | B <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Different racial/ethnic groups | 88 | 88 | 89 |
| Lower, middle, and higher income families | 86 | 87 | 86 |
| Parents with different educational levels | 86 | 85 | 86 |
| High achievers and students who are not <br> doing so well | 78 | 80 | 76 |

Supporters of integration have traditionally cited reasons to pursue diverse schools because of the benefits to students who attend integrated schools as well as the longer-term benefits that the community receives. ${ }^{49}$ This survey finds support for both of these rationales for the goals of integration. JCPS parents also strongly value integration for its positive impact on both their child as well as the community at large.

More than $90 \%$ of all parents agree that it is important for their child's development for them to attend diverse schools. This percentage has increased since the last time this question was asked in 1996 ( $86 \%$ agreed), suggesting that the district's continued experience with diverse schools

[^15]after the end of the McFarland case, may have contributed to such high agreement about the importance of diverse schools for the students who attend them. Black parents, as well as those in "A" areas, report the most agreement about the importance for diverse schools, but very high levels of parents of all groups support the importance of diversity for their child's education. There is little difference when examining responses to this question by grade level.

It is important for our children's long-term personal and academic development that schools have students from different races and backgrounds in the same school.

|  | Strongly or somewhat agree (\%) | Strongly or somewhat disagree (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | 91 | 8 |
| White | 89 | 10 |
| Black | 95 | 5 |
| Other | 93 | 6 |

We also asked respondents to assess the effect of the decades of integrated schools on the community. Although the responses were less supportive than when asked to consider the impact of integration for children's development, a substantially higher percentage of JCPS parents believe the district's integration policies have improved the community, more than those who believe the policies have harmed it. Overall, more than two-fifths of respondents-as well as more than two-fifths of both "A" and "B" households- believed that JCPS's integration policies have improved the community while less than one-fifth of respondents thought that these policies had harmed the greater Louisville area. Parents of first and second graders had the highest percentage responding that the integration policies had improved the district $(46 \%)$. Although only a fraction of respondents reported persistent transportation problems, this group was the least supportive of the effect of integration policies on the community ( $32 \%$ ). Of course, parents could have differing ways of assessing the effects on the community, but this is a promising finding.

The Jefferson County Public Schools have implemented policies to produce integrated schools for 35 years. What effect do you think this has had on the community?

|  | Improved (\%) | No Real Impact (\%) | Harmed (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | 43 | 38 | 19 |
| A | 46 | 39 | 15 |
| B | 41 | 38 | 22 |

In sum, parents of JCPS students remain in agreement with the district about the importance of diverse schools and the benefits of such schools for their children's academic and personal development as well as the effect integration has had on the larger community for the past several decades. As we'll see below, these parents are less in agreement about how the district should actually design student assignment policy to achieve these goals.

> Principles for Student Assignments-Parents' Contradictory Preferences.

The next section of this report examines parents' preferences about different student assignment priorities. We find generally high levels of support for a range of student assignment policies, not all of which can possibly be attained simultaneously. We did not ask parents to prioritize
among the different student assignment principles. Of note, very large majorities of parents support school choice, yet schools of choice, in their nature, require extensive transportation to make schools available to students from across the district. Further, there are few differences by grade level of child in their answers about most of these principles.

Most congruent with the support for diverse schools expressed by parents in this survey (described in previous section), there is strong-even growing-support for student assignment guidelines that are aimed to create diverse schools. Nearly $90 \%$ of all JCPS parents think that the district's guidelines should "ensure that students learn with students from different races and economic backgrounds," including $62 \%$ that strongly agreed with this statement. Both percentages, particularly those strongly agreeing, represent increases from the last survey about student assignment in 2008. The increase seems to be due, at least in part, to increasing agreement with this priority from white parents. There are minimal differences in agreement by the grade level of student, and the highest percentage of parents strongly agreeing about the importance of guidelines to create racially and economically diverse schools were parents of kindergarten students (65\%).

It is one thing to support these priorities generally, but it is important to note that a majority of parents $(55 \%)$ agreed that they would be willing to send their child to a school other than one in their neighborhood if it would help the district achieve diversity. The percentage of parents agreeing with this statement has also gone up substantially since it was last asked: $38 \%$ agreed in 1996. The increased support is seen in the responses of parents across all racial/ethnic backgrounds. Again, there were few differences in agreement with this question by the grade level of the parent's child.

Percentage of parents somewhat or strongly agreeing

|  | The school district should have <br> guidelines to ensure that students <br> learn with students from different <br> races and economic backgrounds. <br> $(\%)$ | I would send my child to a school <br> outside of our neighborhood if <br> that would help the district <br> achieve diversity. (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | 89 | 55 |
| White | 86 | 45 |
| Black | 92 | 69 |
| Other | 93 | 65 |

Finally, we asked about student assignment policy for a subset of school knows as magnet schools. A majority of parents on this question also supported the consideration of diversity in determining who should be offered enrollment in the event there was more demand than available seats. Similar agreement about considering diversity exists among parents of students in different grade levels, while parents in "A" areas and non-white parents were more likely to believe diversity should be considered.

Percentage of parents selecting very or somewhat important

|  | If a magnet school has too many applicants, how important is it <br> that diversity be a consideration in admissions? (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Overall | 66 |
| White | 58 |
| Black | 76 |
| Other | 72 |

JCPS's student assignment policy combines diversity guidelines with a variety of parental choice options. Parents are also very supportive of policies that allow them some type of choice for their child's school. Ninety percent of all parents wanted the opportunity to choose a school other than simply the one closest to where they lived, and this support was shared across all groups. A lower percentage of parents-but still the vast majority-agreed that district policy should allow students to transfer, presuming space availability, even if the transfer would increase segregation. There was identical support for this statement between "A" and "B" area parents, although a higher share of "A" parents agreed strongly. A slightly different question about student transfers was asked in 2008, without regard to segregation, which had an even higher percentage of parent support. ${ }^{50}$ Finally, virtually all parents agreed that they would send their child outside their neighborhood for specialized program that meets their child's needs. Taken together, these responses indicate a strong desire to have school choice options outside of their neighborhood.

Percentage of parents somewhat or strongly agreeing

|  | The school district should have <br> guidelines to ensure that students <br> can choose schools other than the <br> school closest to them. (\%) | Students should be allowed to transfer <br> as long as there is a seat for the student <br> in the school to which he/she transfers <br> even if it increases segregation. (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | 90 | 81 |
| White | 89 | 84 |
| Black | 91 | 77 |
| Other | 92 | 80 |

Somewhat in contradiction with the above reported trends, particularly in a community with residential segregation, parents also value the importance of proximity in student assignment. White parents and "B" area parents are slightly less likely to agree with this statement. Nearly four-fifths of parents also agree that their child should be allowed to attend the school closest to their house, even where such an assignment would exacerbate segregation. White and "B" area parents are more likely to agree with this statement, however. On both of the proximity questions, there are relatively few differences by grade level.

Almost all parents-ninety percent-believe that "a student assignment plan should be designed to minimize the transportation time for students," including $70 \%$ that strongly agreed with this statement. The high percentage of parents agreeing with this principle is not surprising. It's

[^16]hard to imagine that anyone would prefer to have students spend extended time on buses, though it also doesn't mean that they necessarily think students should have to go to the nearest school either. The percentages of parents agreeing with these student assignment values have remained relatively constant in comparison to prior surveys.

Percentage of parents somewhat or strongly agreeing

|  | My child should be allowed to attend <br> the school closest to our home, even <br> if the policy increases segregation. <br> $(\%)$ | A student assignment plan should <br> be designed to minimize the <br> transportation time for students. <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | 79 | 90 |
| White | 85 | 88 |
| Black | 72 | 91 |
| Other | 74 | 91 |

Finally, one of the student assignment principles that received the least support was for feeder patterns, or where elementary classmates would attend middle school together. Sixty-eight percent of parents surveyed agreed that this was at least somewhat important, similar to responses in 2008. This isn't to say that parents don't value the familiarity that feeder patterns would provide, but simply that higher shares of parents agree about the importance of other student assignment priorities, including broad educational choices.

Taken together, JCPS parents strongly value many student assignment principles embodied in its current policy: diversity, choice, and proximity. Because these values cannot always coexist together, tradeoffs between these values is necessarily a part of a student assignment policy, particularly in a district like JCPS that is geographically expansive and with residential segregation.

## Policy Implementation: More Satisfaction about Individual Experiences than their Overall Assessment of the Student Assignment Plan

Parents' evaluation of the implementation of the student assignment policy was not as positive as was their assessment of the value of the goals of the policy described above. Notably, parents are more satisfied with the student assignment for their child than they are when asked to rate their overall assessment of the student assignment plan and its implementation. As a reminder, kindergarten students are not explicitly part of the student assignment policy while $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade students are part of the district's new student assignment plan.

Quite high percentages of parents reported satisfaction with the quality of education their child was receiving. This was particularly so for parents of younger students. Close to $90 \%$ of kindergarten parents were satisfied, and $45 \%$ were "completely satisfied" with the quality of their child's education. White and black parents shared similar levels of satisfaction with the quality of their child's education, while the relatively smaller number of parents of other races/ethnicities (e.g., Latino, Asian, etc) had even higher satisfaction with their child's education.

Quality of child's education (on scale of 1-7, where 1= completely dissatisfied, 7= completely satisfied)

|  |  | $5-7(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | 80 | 1852 |
| Grade level |  |  |
| K | 87 | 308 |
| $1-2$ | 83 | 458 |
| $3-12$ | 77 | 1086 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |
| Black | 80 | 675 |
| White | 79 | 1029 |
| Other | 87 | 148 |

Respondents indicated generally high levels of awareness of the district's student assignment policy, although this varied between groups. More than seventy percent of all respondents were at least somewhat familiar with the plan. Given the extensive community education efforts about the new student assignment plan, it is somewhat surprising to see a substantial share of respondents who report no familiarity with the plan. While someone else in the household may make decisions about student assignment for the children, it is indicative of the need to continue community education efforts to help parents (and non-parents) understand the plan.

Familiarity with current student assignment plan

|  | Overall <br> $(\%)$ | FRL <br> $(\%)$ | Paid Lunch <br> $(\%)$ | A <br> $(\%)$ | B <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Somewhat/very familiar | 71 | $68 \%$ | 76 | 68 | 74 |
| I'm not familiar with the plan at all | 13 | $15 \%$ | 10 | 15 | 12 |

An encouraging finding is generally high satisfaction with their own experience of the student assignment process. Nearly $70 \%$ of all parents indicated that they were satisfied with how the district handled their child's student assignment process including nearly one-third of parents who were completely satisfied. There were few differences among parents of different demographic groups. For example, almost identical shares of "A" and "B" area parents indicated complete satisfaction with student assignment for their child.

Transportation will be more fully discussed in the next section, but the one noticeable difference we found was among the relatively small number of parents who indicated the unreliability of transportation. Perhaps as a result of late/unreliable bus service, a considerably lower share of those parents responded that they were satisfied with how the district handled student assignment for their child.

Satisfaction with student assignment for your child (1=completely dissatisfied, 7=completely satisfied)

|  | Overall | Bus on <br> time | Bus not on <br> time | Doesn't <br> ride bus |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5-7$ | $69 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| 4 | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| $1-3$ | $21 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| $N$ | 1852 | 1146 | 193 | 519 |

There was less agreement that the student assignment plan was working well, and assessments varied widely among groups of parents. ${ }^{51}$ Less than half of respondents gave a positive assessment of how well the plan was working (response of 5, 6 , or 7 ). Those with children not riding the bus, or riding for the longest period of time, were the least likely to positively assess the plan, while more than half of those whose child rode the bus for 40 minutes or less every day gave a positive rating to how the plan was working. Likewise, kindergarten parents gave generally positive ratings of the plan ( $52 \%$ said 5,6 , or 7 ). Finally, majorities of parents in "A" areas, non-whites, and students whose children received subsidized lunch were more likely to rate that the plan working.

Overall student assignment plan (1=not working at all, 7= working perfectly)

|  | Overall | Time spent on bus per day |  |  |  | Doesn't |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1-20$ <br> mins. | $21-40$ <br> mins. | $41-60$ <br> mins. | $60+$ <br> mins. |  |
| $5-7$ | $44 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| 4 | $19 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| $1-3$ | $37 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| $N$ | 1326 | 247 | 260 | 242 | 193 | 384 |

Finally, we asked parents about how the district has handled implementation of the plan. A majority of respondents were satisfied (e.g., response of 5,6 , or 7 on 7 point scale), with onesixth of respondents indicating complete satisfaction. Substantial differences existed on this question as well. Parents in "B" areas as well as parents of white students and non-subsidized lunch students were less satisfied with the plan's implementation. The gaps were smaller for A \& B areas than by race or economic status. Parents of kindergarten students were the most supportive of the plan's implementation ( $58 \%$ ) who rated implementation as a 5,6 , or 7 . Finally, we see again that the minority of parents who rated transportation as unreliable and late are, unsurprisingly, less satisfied with the plan's implementation. There is also less satisfaction among parents whose children don't ride the bus, which might reflect dissatisfaction at not having transportation options.

[^17]Overall implementation of student assignment plan (on scale of 1-7, where $1=$ completely dissatisfied, $7=$ completely satisfied)

|  | Overall | Bus on <br> time | Bus not on <br> time | Doesn't <br> ride bus |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5-7$ | $54 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| 4 | $16 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| $1-3$ | $30 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| $N$ | 1852 | 1146 | 193 | 519 |

In contrast to support for the goals of the district's student assignment policy, there's less support for how the policy is working or for its implementation. A positive finding is that parents were more supportive about their own experiences with JCPS, either about the quality of education or their direct experience with student assignment. Considerable differences existed among groups of parents as to how they assessed the policy and its implementation.

## Transportation Concerns

Concerns with the student assignment plan have focused, in part, around concerns in transporting students to schools. While transportation is provided for many reasons besides compliance with the district's integration policy, transportation is an important element of a comprehensive effort to achieve integrated schools. In JCPS, students are provided with transportation to a school if it is more than one mile away from their residence, unless they have requested a transfer. Other students who would have to cross a major barrier are also provided with transportation.

Given the headlines surrounding bus times during the first two years of implementing the student assignment policy, we interpret some of the responses below with caution. Even if the estimates, for example, of time spent on a bus or whether the transportation system has operated on time are not precisely accurate, parents' perceptions are also important to consider, particularly given the differences in parents' evaluations of the district's implementation of the student assignment policy described above.

Seventy-two percent of respondents said their child rode the bus, with the median time (per day) on the bus being 40 minutes. ${ }^{52}$ The median bus time remains similar across different demographic groups, but the percent not riding the bus varies widely. In particular, higher shares of "B" households, as well as those who were white or whose children were not eligible for subsidized lunch, did not ride a school bus. Parents of kindergarten parents reported the same usage of bus transportation as did parents of older children.

[^18]

A substantial majority of parents--more than two-thirds of all respondents--believe that the transportation system has always or almost always operated on time and as scheduled. The perception of whether the transportation system operates on time/as scheduled is more favorable by more advantaged and non-black households. Conversely, the groups that use transportation at a higher rate (see above) are less likely to believe that the transportation has operated on time.

In your opinion, to what extent has the transportation system operated on time and as scheduled? (Always or Almost Always)

|  | Percentage | $N$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | 68 | 1339 |
| A or B Household |  |  |
| A | 63 | 568 |
| B | 71 | 771 |
|  | Economic Status (Eligibility for Subsidized Lunch) |  |
| FRL | 64 | 1031 |
| Paid | 73 | 821 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |
| Black | 66 | 562 |
| White | 69 | 668 |
| Other | 70 | 109 |

While there is clear evidence of concern with the reliability of transportation, parents also indicated that there were positive aspects of children's daily experiences on school buses. Here
too we see somewhat contradictory messages about the nature of the bus experience. The highest frequency of responses is that it's "just another part of the school day," with more than half of parents agreeing with this option. The next highest response ( $46 \%$ ) was positive, that the bus had a child's friends and/or was enjoyable. Approximately one-quarter of respondents reported that their child complains about the bus ride and an almost equal share described it as tiring. This question allowed parents to select multiple responses, and may reflect that students have a variety of changing experiences over the course of a year on the bus.

How does your child describe his or her experience on the bus each day (choose all that apply)?

|  | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Has a lot of friends on the bus/enjoys the ride | 860 | 46 |
| Does homework on the bus | 315 | 17 |
| It's just another part of the school day | 1022 | 55 |
| Gets tired from the long ride | 526 | 28 |
| Thinks other children on the bus are noisy | 756 | 41 |
| Does not like the ride/complains | 502 | 27 |
| Does not discuss the trip/don't know | 588 | 32 |

Finally, as we'll see below, considerations about geography, transportation, and child care options impact parents' choice of school. In particular, for choices far away, transportation is a particular concern. In some places, "late buses" or transportation options that transport students from afterschool activities or sports help students take part in such activities even if they live at a distance from the school and don't have other means to get home. JCPS does not currently offer such transportation, but parents surveyed indicated strong support for such an optionparticularly among segments of the population that rely most heavily on bus transportation already. Few differences exist among parents with students in different grade levels.

How important do you think it is for the district to provide transportation for students so that they can stay after school for activities or sports? (\% very or somewhat important)

|  | Percentage | $N$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | 83 | 1852 |  |
| A or B Household |  |  |  |
| A | 92 | 710 |  |
| B | 78 | 1142 |  |
| Economic Status (Eligibility for Subsidized Lunch) |  |  |  |
| FRL | 91 | 1031 |  |
| Paid | 74 | 821 |  |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| Black | 96 | 675 |  |
| White | 74 | 1029 |  |
| Other | 91 | 148 |  |

Transportation has gained many headlines in the time that the district's new student assignment policy has been in place, and this survey of parents reveals mixed findings about transportation. Again, it should be reiterated that JCPS would still transport children even if it had no diversity policy. At the same time, given the associations reported above between assessment of the
district's student assignment policy and transportation experiences, the findings here indicate some concerns for the district to address to improve the overall implementation, success, and equity of the plan.

## Choice: Unequal Knowledge and Preferences

Any choice-based student assignment policy relies on all parents having full information about the options available to them and being able to assess the relative merits of these choices for students. Because research has indicated that more advantaged groups of parents have more access to school choice information, this is particularly important to consider for choice-based integration policies. On a number of questions relating to information about choice options, these data reveal persisting patterns in knowledge gaps about choice options and access to information sources about schools among JCPS parents.

Those who answer that they knew they had the option to request a school other than the one their child currently attends is relatively high (three-quarters), but the percentage who did know declined since last asked in 1996 ( $81 \%$ in 1996). The declining share who report knowing about children's school choice options could be due to fewer permanent parent assistance centers throughout the district. In particular, most of the decline stems from among parents with FRLeligible students, from $74 \%$ in 1996 to $68 \%$ in 2010. By contrast, more advantaged groups such as those not receiving subsidized lunch, B households, and whites were much more likely to know about school choice options. Similar to these survey results, "A" area students were less likely than students from " B " households to submit on-time applications during last year's student assignment process. Finally, those who don't ride a bus were more likely than those who did to know about school choices, perhaps a reflection of the fact that JCPS does not guarantee transportation to those who request a transfer.

Knowledge about ability to request a school other than where child currently attends ${ }^{53}$

|  | Yes (\%) | No (\%) | $N$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | 76 | 19 | 1852 |
| A/B Area Households |  |  |  |
| A | 67 | 27 | 710 |
| B | 81 | 14 | 1142 |
| Economic Status (Eligibility for Subsidized Lunch) |  |  |  |
| FRL Eligible | 68 | 24 | 1031 |
| Paid Lunch | 85 | 12 | 821 |

JCPS offers parents multiple ways of learning about school choices, and high shares of parents reported multiple ways in which they received schooling information. Some of the most popular ways include a brochure, the district's website, or talking with JCPS staff. The most popular source of information about school choice options for all parents-particularly for certain groups-was talking to parents of other JCPS students. For each source of information that parents were asked about, parents in " $B$ " areas reported utilizing each at a higher rate than parents in "A" areas; similar patterns not shown here were found when analyzing parents of

[^19]students who received subsidized lunch. This gap, when coupled with the differential knowledge of school choice options described above, is similar to experiences in other districts with choicebased student assignment and represents an area upon which JCPS can improve.

Before your child was assigned to his/her current school, did you receive information about the different school choices from any of the following sources? (check all that apply)

|  | Overall <br> $(\%)$ | A <br> $(\%)$ | B <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A brochure from the school system which described the different <br> types of programs available at each school | 56 | 51 | 58 |
| The Showcase of Schools which took place in October at the <br> Convention Center | 38 | 35 | 40 |
| Newspaper ads describing the student assignment process | 28 | 28 | 29 |
| A TV or radio ad about the different school choices available to you | 18 | 19 | 17 |
| Talk with other parents who have children in the public schools about <br> their experiences | 62 | 54 | 67 |
| Visited the JCPS website for student assignment information | 51 | 42 | 57 |
| Attended a public informational meeting | 21 | 19 | 22 |
| Talk with JCPS staff about the public schools and choices | 50 | 47 | 53 |
| None | 10 | 14 | 7 |

Encouragingly, the vast majority of respondents report that the information they received about school choice options was somewhat or very helpful. This is particularly true for more economically advantaged households. At the same time, one-ninth of all respondents-and higher shares of A and FRL households-reported not receiving any information.

How helpful info is (very/somewhat helpful)

|  | Helpful (\%) | Did not receive any <br> information (\%) | $N$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | 75 | 11 | 1852 |
| A/B Area Households |  |  | 710 |
| A | 73 | 13 | 1142 |
| B | 76 | 9 | 1031 |
| Economic Status (Eligibility for Subsidized Lunch) |  |  |  |
| FRL Eligible | 70 | 14 | 821 |
| Paid Lunch | 81 | 7 |  |

School choice policies are complicated, in part, because people have different things they weigh in evaluating the fit of a school for their child(ren). Unsurprisingly, virtually all of the respondents rate the school's educational program as an important consideration in their school choice. A lesser percentage-but still high-believes that test scores are important as well. These two academic factors received the highest share of consideration by parents.

White parents and those living in " $B$ " areas were less concerned about aspects of student composition. Lower shares believed that student racial diversity and especially low-income students were important in determining school choice. They were also less concerned about
"pragmatic" considerations in comparison to non-whites and to those living in "A" areas: the availability of transportation and childcare.

As would be expected, childcare was a higher priority in school choice for parents with children in lower grades as well as low-income families. However, there were smaller differences among importance of geographic location by student grade level.

Finally, geographic location was not as important for two groups: those who did not ride a bus, and those who rode the bus for at least an hour every day.

Factors impacting school choice, those reporting 5, 6, 7 on a 1-7 scale

|  | Educational <br> program <br> $(\%)$ | Test <br> Scores <br> $(\%)$ | Percentage <br> of Low- <br> income <br> Students <br> $(\%)$ | Student <br> Racial <br> Diversity <br> $(\%)$ | Child <br> care <br> options <br> $(\%)$ | Availability <br> of <br> transportation <br> $(\%)$ | Geographic <br> location <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | 96 | 89 | 49 | 68 | 51 | 78 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Conclusions

The community has a strong and consistent commitment to diverse schools that continues a long tradition. We recommend that the school board strongly reaffirm that preference. There are major positive findings in the attitudes of the parents as well as the experiences and plans of the students that the community should be proud of. What is needed is a significant tune-up, not an engine replacement. It would be a mistake to do major surgery on the machinery of choice to correct more limited problems.

At the same time, there are concerns among significant groups about the transportation system both in terms of unnecessarily long trips and scheduling failures. Those concerns matter for support of the plan.

There is strong support for diversity guidelines in the choice process but also a strong desire to honor individual preferences. Fortunately, this is not a serious problem in practice, since $83 \%$ of choosers who submit their applications on time get their first choice school. ${ }^{54}$ Eighty-seven percent of applications were submitted on time. Yet, the fact that gaps exist among different groups in knowledge about choice options and submitting on-time applications suggests the need

[^20]for further district efforts to inform all parents about the choice options and procedures for their children.

There is an extremely strong preference for choice among schools. Since a variety of welldeveloped choices cannot be offered in each local school, this inevitably involves considerable transportation.

The entire burden of this process should not rest on the school district. The only way to have diversity more focused in local schools with minimum transportation is to facilitate housing integration. The school board should strongly ask local government and housing agencies to engage in joint planning to move toward the time when the community would come much closer to the possibility of diverse education in stably integrated communities, with much less necessity for transporting students to accomplish this community goal.

Though the Latino population of the county is still modest, the demographic trends in the state and region make clear that it will rapidly grow. Good planning must include Latinos in a serious way and preparation to deal with the cultural and linguistic issues they will bring to the county.

We will meet with staff and experts to assess possible short-term corrections to see if we can make some quick recommendations for minor changes. We believe that an essential part of the planning for the next phase should be a discussion about ways to make diverse schools more equitable in their treatment of students from various racial and ethnic groups, planning that should involve community organizations, teachers and administrators, and experts in the field.

Our next priorities, along with the transportation consultant retained by the district, will be on examining possibilities for giving families options to shorten the longest bus route, underlining the great importance of reliability in bus scheduling, increasing information and communications to parents and the broader community, and suggesting ways to improve equity within diverse schools.

## Appendix A Methodology

This report analyzes responses to two separately administered surveys. Both were conducted independently of the district, though they assisted with the administration. JCPS has not had access to any of the results of the survey, nor did they design either survey, although some questions asked in prior parent surveys were used in the parent survey.

## Student survey

Jefferson County Public Schools administered the student survey in January 2011 via an online survey tool, SurveyMonkey. The district drew a representative sample of high school juniors $(1,292)$ to include approximately one-fifth of the junior population in the district $(6,334)$. Due to some mixed-grade classes, a small percentage of students were not juniors. All of the high schools in the district participated in the study, and the sample drawn from the district is proportional to the total enrollment of each school. We obtained a response rate of $85 \%$, resulting in 1,095 completed surveys.

Students were assured that their responses would be confidential and anonymous. (The survey did not ask for the student's name.) The survey also informed students that the school or district would not have access to individual responses. ${ }^{55}$

## Parent survey

IQS Research, based in Louisville, conducted the confidential parent survey. To accomplish the data collection for this research project, telephone interviews were utilized for individuals from a list that was randomly sorted within each of the four target strata. The target groups were stratified as follows:

1. Households of students in graded $\mathrm{K}-2$ area A
2. Households of students in graded $K-2$ area $B$
3. Households of students in graded 3-12 area A
4. Households of students in graded 3-12 area B

For the telephone interviews, a household was considered to be a single unit, even if there was more than one adult willing to take the survey and even if there was more than one child at the residence.

All interviews were conducted in English during the hours of 9:00 AM and 9:00 PM. Interviews took place during the week and also on Saturdays during the data collections window. The telephone interviews were conducted from December 13-23, 2010. The average interview lasted thirteen minutes.

[^21]After all interviews were completed we have the following results for response:

| Target Audience | Desired <br> Interviews | Actual <br> Interviews |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Households of students in graded K-2 area A | 383 | 382 |
| Households of students in graded K-2 area B | 383 | 384 |
| Households of students in graded 3-12 area A | 327 | 328 |
| Households of students in graded 3-12 area B | 757 | 758 |
| Total | 1850 | 1852 |

The parent file was provided to IQS Research from the research department of Jefferson County Public Schools. The parent file was composed of households of children who attend Jefferson County Public Schools. This list was de-duplicated so that households with multiple children only appeared one time. Furthermore, the telephone contact information on JCPS records for each household was listed and therefore households without a "land line" were also included. The universe of possible households for this survey was approximately 50,000 .

The parent file was stratified by target strata and then randomly dialed within each strata. All records for a given strata were utilized and the callers would make phone calls for several different strata throughout the day until the quota for each strata was reached.

## Appendix B <br> Survey Responses by Grade Level of Child

## Satisfaction with schools (Q5)

Overall, how satisfied are you with the quality of education your child receives at school? For this question, please indicate a number between 1 and 7 where 1 is completely dissatisfied and 7 is completely satisfied.

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| 1=Completely dissatisfied | 9 | 2.9 | 10 | 2.2 | 32 | 2.9 |
| 2 | 4 | 1.3 | 3 | . 7 | 25 | 2.3 |
| 3 | 6 | 1.9 | 24 | 5.2 | 70 | 6.4 |
| 4 | 21 | 6.8 | 41 | 9.0 | 118 | 10.9 |
| 5 | 61 | 19.8 | 108 | 23.6 | 297 | 27.3 |
| 6 | 68 | 22.1 | 94 | 20.5 | 261 | 24.0 |
| 7=Completely satisfied | 139 | 45.1 | 178 | 38.9 | 283 | 26.1 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

How familiar are you with the current school district student assignment plan? (Q6)

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Very familiar | 112 | 36.4 | 150 | 32.8 | 352 | 32.4 |
| Somewhat familiar | 97 | 31.5 | 189 | 41.3 | 426 | 39.2 |
| Slightly familiar (go to Q8) | 52 | 16.9 | 66 | 14.4 | 172 | 15.8 |
| I'm not familiar with the plan at all (go to Q8) | 47 | 15.3 | 53 | 11.6 | 136 | 12.5 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

How well do you think the new student assignment plan is working overall? Still using the 7-point scale where 1 is not working at all and 7 is working perfectly. (Q7)

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| 1=Not working at all | 33 | 15.8 | 57 | 16.8 | 111 | 14.3 |
| 2 | 15 | 7.2 | 44 | 13.0 | 77 | 9.9 |
| 3 | 19 | 9.1 | 40 | 11.8 | 94 | 12.1 |
| 4 | 33 | 15.8 | 65 | 19.2 | 156 | 20.1 |
| 5 | 49 | 23.4 | 66 | 19.5 | 182 | 23.4 |
| 6 | 21 | 10.0 | 24 | 7.1 | 86 | 11.1 |
| 7=Working perfectly | 39 | 18.7 | 43 | 12.7 | 72 | 9.3 |
| Total | 209 |  | 339 |  | 778 |  |

The Jefferson County Public Schools have implemented policies to produce integrated schools for 35 years. What affect do you think this has had on the community? (Q8)

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Improved the community | 117 | 38.0 | 211 | 46.1 | 459 | 42.3 |
| No real impact | 140 | 45.5 | 167 | 36.5 | 404 | 37.2 |
| Harmed the community | 51 | 16.6 | 80 | 17.5 | 223 | 20.5 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

How satisfied were you with the way JCPS handled the student assignment for your child? Here we are using the 7-point satisfaction scale again where 1 indicates completely dissatisfied and 7 indicates completely satisfied. (Q9)

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| 1=Completely dissatisfied | 44 | 14.3 | 54 | 11.8 | 103 | 9.5 |
| 2 | 13 | 4.2 | 18 | 3.9 | 49 | 4.5 |
| 3 | 25 | 8.1 | 29 | 6.3 | 57 | 5.2 |
| 4 | 20 | 6.5 | 45 | 9.8 | 126 | 11.6 |
| 5 | 54 | 17.5 | 85 | 18.6 | 239 | 22.0 |
| 6 | 33 | 10.7 | 72 | 15.7 | 191 | 17.6 |
| 7=Completely satisfied | 119 | 38.6 | 155 | 33.8 | 321 | 29.6 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

Overall, how satisfied are you with the way the district has handled the implementation of the new student assignment plan? This uses the same 7-point satisfaction scale. (Q10)

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| 1=Completely dissatisfied | 44 | 14.3 | 62 | 13.5 | 132 | 12.2 |
| 2 | 23 | 7.5 | 42 | 9.2 | 70 | 6.4 |
| 3 | 21 | 6.8 | 40 | 8.7 | 118 | 10.9 |
| 4 | 42 | 13.6 | 66 | 14.4 | 190 | 17.5 |
| 5 | 78 | 25.3 | 98 | 21.4 | 291 | 26.8 |
| 6 | 40 | 13.0 | 60 | 13.1 | 138 | 12.7 |
| 7=Completely satisfied | 60 | 19.5 | 90 | 19.7 | 147 | 13.5 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

I am now going to read you a list of items. For each one, please tell me to what extent you agree that schools should include the following? Your answer choices are strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree and strongly disagree. You can also say that you don't know. (Q11)

| Students from different racial or ethnic groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kindergarten |  | 1 st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 196 | 63.6 | 315 | 68.8 | 683 | 62.9 |
| Somewhat agree | 67 | 21.8 | 95 | 20.7 | 280 | 25.8 |
| Somewhat disagree | 8 | 2.6 | 14 | 3.1 | 45 | 4.1 |
| Strongly disagree | 13 | 4.2 | 15 | 3.3 | 25 | 2.3 |
| Don't know/ no opinion | 24 | 7.8 | 19 | 4.1 | 53 | 4.9 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |


| Students from lower, middle, and higher income families. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 184 | 59.7 | 278 | 60.7 | 664 | 61.1 |
| Somewhat agree | 71 | 23.1 | 109 | 23.8 | 295 | 27.2 |
| Somewhat disagree | 20 | 6.5 | 38 | 8.3 | 52 | 4.8 |
| Strongly disagree | 20 | 6.5 | 20 | 4.4 | 36 | 3.3 |
| Don't know/ no opinion | 13 | 4.2 | 13 | 2.8 | 39 | 3.6 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

## Students of parents with different educational levels.

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 186 | 60.4 | 278 | 60.7 | 670 | 61.7 |
| Somewhat agree | 70 | 22.7 | 111 | 24.2 | 269 | 24.8 |
| Somewhat disagree | 20 | 6.5 | 32 | 7.0 | 65 | 6.0 |
| Strongly disagree | 21 | 6.8 | 27 | 5.9 | 46 | 4.2 |
| Don't know/ no opinion | 11 | 3.6 | 10 | 2.2 | 36 | 3.3 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |


|  | Students who are high achievers and students who are not doing so w |  |  | 1st \& 2nd | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 139 | 45.1 | 222 | 48.5 | 493 | 45.4 |
| Somewhat agree | 92 | 29.9 | 143 | 31.2 | 349 | 32.1 |
| Somewhat disagree | 39 | 12.7 | 42 | 9.2 | 125 | 11.5 |
| Strongly disagree | 28 | 9.1 | 31 | 6.8 | 75 | 6.9 |
| Don't know/ no opinion | 10 | 3.2 | 20 | 4.4 | 44 | 4.1 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

## District assignment priorities

To what extent do you agree with the following statements? This uses the same agreement scale as the last question. (Q12)
It is important for our children's long-term personal and academic development that schools have students from different races and backgrounds in the same school.

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 226 | 73.4 | 331 | 72.3 | 757 | 69.7 |
| Somewhat agree | 55 | 17.9 | 80 | 17.5 | 238 | 21.9 |
| Somewhat disagree | 16 | 5.2 | 23 | 5.0 | 45 | 4.1 |
| Strongly disagree | 8 | 2.6 | 19 | 4.1 | 34 | 3.1 |
| Don't know/no opinion | 3 | 1.0 | 5 | 1.1 | 12 | 1.1 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

It is important for children to attend a middle school with the same students he/she has as classmates in elementary school.

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1 st \& 2nd |  | 3 rd to 12 th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 102 | 33.1 | 145 | 31.7 | 326 | 30.0 |
| Somewhat agree | 110 | 35.7 | 179 | 39.1 | 389 | 35.8 |
| Somewhat disagree | 62 | 20.1 | 82 | 17.9 | 236 | 21.7 |
| Strongly disagree | 28 | 9.1 | 45 | 9.8 | 113 | 10.4 |
| Don't know/no opinion | 6 | 1.9 | 7 | 1.5 | 22 | 2.0 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

The school district should have guidelines for enrollment to ensure that students can choose schools 3rd to 12 th than the school closest to them.

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 213 | 69.2 | 294 | 64.2 | 738 | 68.0 |
| Somewhat agree | 64 | 20.8 | 116 | 25.3 | 237 | 21.8 |
| Somewhat disagree | 12 | 3.9 | 24 | 5.2 | 54 | 5.0 |
| Strongly disagree | 17 | 5.5 | 20 | 4.4 | 47 | 4.3 |
| Don't know/no opinion | 2 | . 6 | 4 | . 9 | 10 | . 9 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |


| A student assignment plan should be designed to minimize transportation time for students. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 226 | 73.4 | 337 | 73.6 | 736 | 67.8 |
| Somewhat agree | 54 | 17.5 | 83 | 18.1 | 227 | 20.9 |
| Somewhat disagree | 14 | 4.5 | 13 | 2.8 | 67 | 6.2 |
| Strongly disagree | 9 | 2.9 | 17 | 3.7 | 35 | 3.2 |
| Don't know/no opinion | 5 | 1.6 | 8 | 1.7 | 21 | 1.9 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |


| My child should be allowed to attend the school closest to our home, even if the policy increases segregation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 173 | 56.2 | 269 | 58.7 | 589 | 54.2 |
| Somewhat agree | 75 | 24.4 | 105 | 22.9 | 250 | 23.0 |
| Somewhat disagree | 32 | 10.4 | 46 | 10.0 | 104 | 9.6 |
| Strongly disagree | 22 | 7.1 | 33 | 7.2 | 111 | 10.2 |
| Don't know/no opinion | 6 | 1.9 | 5 | 1.1 | 32 | 2.9 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

The school district should have guidelines for enrollments to ensure that students learn with students from different races and economic backgrounds.

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 201 | 65.3 | 293 | 64.0 | 650 | 59.9 |
| Somewhat agree | 77 | 25.0 | 116 | 25.3 | 310 | 28.5 |
| Somewhat disagree | 16 | 5.2 | 23 | 5.0 | 54 | 5.0 |
| Strongly disagree | 10 | 3.2 | 21 | 4.6 | 46 | 4.2 |
| Don't know/no opinion | 4 | 1.3 | 5 | 1.1 | 26 | 2.4 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

I am willing to send my child to a school outside of my neighborhood if that school offered a specialized program not available at my neighborhood school that meets my child's individual needs.

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | J | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 232 | 75.3 | 350 | 76.4 | 853 | 78.5 |
| Somewhat agree | 58 | 18.8 | 74 | 16.2 | 174 | 16.0 |
| Somewhat disagree | 7 | 2.3 | 19 | 4.1 | 19 | 1.7 |
| Strongly disagree | 10 | 3.2 | 11 | 2.4 | 32 | 2.9 |
| Don't know/no opinion | 1 | . 3 | 4 | . 9 | 8 | . 7 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |


| I would send my child to a school outside of our neighborhood if that would help the district achieve diversity. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 77 | 25.0 | 127 | 27.7 | 300 | 27.6 |
| Somewhat agree | 92 | 29.9 | 121 | 26.4 | 309 | 28.5 |
| Somewhat disagree | 57 | 18.5 | 75 | 16.4 | 194 | 17.9 |
| Strongly disagree | 79 | 25.6 | 125 | 27.3 | 267 | 24.6 |
| Don't know/no opinion | 3 | 1.0 | 10 | 2.2 | 16 | 1.5 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |


|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 139 | 45.1 | 206 | 45.0 | 467 | 43.0 |
| Somewhat agree | 118 | 38.3 | 178 | 38.9 | 394 | 36.3 |
| Somewhat disagree | 26 | 8.4 | 41 | 9.0 | 106 | 9.8 |
| Strongly disagree | 18 | 5.8 | 24 | 5.2 | 80 | 7.4 |
| Don't know/no opinion | 7 | 2.3 | 9 | 2.0 | 39 | 3.6 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

## Availability of information for parents about choice options

Did you know that you had the choice of requesting that your child attend one of several 3rd to 12 th schools besides the one he or she attends? (Q13)

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Yes | 227 | 73.7 | 359 | 78.4 | 814 | 75.0 |
| No | 68 | 22.1 | 73 | 15.9 | 212 | 19.5 |
| Not sure | 13 | 4.2 | 26 | 5.7 | 60 | 5.5 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

Before your child was assigned to his/her current school, did you receive information about the different school choices from any of the following sources? (check all that apply) (Q14:A2)

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3 rd to 12 th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| A brochure from the school system which described the different types of programs available at each school | 172 | 55.8 | 245 | 53.5 | 613 | 56.4 |
| The Showcase of Schools which took place in October at the Convention Center | 98 | 31.8 | 153 | 33.4 | 458 | 42.2 |
| Newspaper ads describing the student assignment process | 81 | 26.3 | 140 | 30.6 | 304 | 28.0 |
| A TV or radio ad about the different school choices available to you | 53 | 17.2 | 77 | 16.8 | 197 | 18.1 |
| Talk with 3rd to 12th parents who have children in the public schools about their experiences | 187 | 60.7 | 290 | 63.3 | 669 | 61.6 |
| Visited the JCPS website for student assignment information | 166 | 53.9 | 241 | 52.6 | 536 | 49.4 |
| Attended a public informational meeting | 64 | 20.8 | 69 | 15.1 | 256 | 23.6 |
| Talk with JCPS staff about the public schools and choices | 171 | 55.5 | 234 | 51.1 | 525 | 48.3 |
| None | 24 | 7.8 | 48 | 10.5 | 109 | 10.0 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

How helpful was this information? (Q15)

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Very helpful | 116 | 37.7 | 164 | 35.8 | 448 | 41.3 |
| Somewhat helpful | 116 | 37.7 | 172 | 37.6 | 368 | 33.9 |
| Slightly helpful | 27 | 8.8 | 41 | 9.0 | 85 | 7.8 |
| Not at all helpful | 22 | 7.1 | 35 | 7.6 | 60 | 5.5 |
| Did not receive any information | 27 | 8.8 | 46 | 10.0 | 125 | 11.5 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

How important were each of the following in submitting choices for your child's school? For these items please indicate a 1 to a 7 where 1 is not important at all and 7 is extremely important. (Q16)

| Educational program | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| $1=$ Not at all important | 3 | 1.0 | 9 | 2.0 | 8 | . 7 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 | . 2 |
| 3 | 2 | . 6 | 2 | . 4 | 13 | 1.2 |
| 4 | 4 | 1.3 | 7 | 1.5 | 20 | 1.8 |
| 5 | 17 | 5.5 | 23 | 5.0 | 81 | 7.5 |
| 6 | 32 | 10.4 | 34 | 7.4 | 112 | 10.3 |
| 7=Extremely important | 250 | 81.2 | 383 | 83.6 | 850 | 78.3 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |


| Student racial diversity | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $f$ | $\%$ | $f$ | $\%$ | $f$ | $\%$ |
| 1=Not at all important | 39 | 12.7 | 50 | 10.9 | 117 | 10.8 |
| 2 | 14 | 4.5 | 24 | 5.2 | 65 | 6.0 |
| 3 | 13 | 4.2 | 30 | 6.6 | 64 | 5.9 |
| 4 | 24 | 7.8 | 38 | 8.3 | 119 | 11.0 |
| 5 | 83 | 26.9 | 108 | 23.6 | 268 | 24.7 |
| 6 | 44 | 14.3 | 49 | 10.7 | 161 | 14.8 |
| $7=$ Extremely important | 91 | 29.5 | 159 | 34.7 | 292 | 26.9 |
|  | Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |


| Geographic location | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| 1=Not at all important | 17 | 5.5 | 27 | 5.9 | 59 | 5.4 |
| 2 | 6 | 1.9 | 10 | 2.2 | 29 | 2.7 |
| 3 | 8 | 2.6 | 24 | 5.2 | 54 | 5.0 |
| 4 | 22 | 7.1 | 32 | 7.0 | 86 | 7.9 |
| 5 | 47 | 15.3 | 84 | 18.3 | 258 | 23.8 |
| 6 | 56 | 18.2 | 54 | 11.8 | 216 | 19.9 |
| 7=Extremely important | 152 | 49.4 | 227 | 49.6 | 384 | 35.4 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |


| Test scores | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| 1=Not at all important | 16 | 5.2 | 10 | 2.2 | 27 | 2.5 |
| 2 | 5 | 1.6 | 1 | . 2 | 13 | 1.2 |
| 3 | 7 | 2.3 | 10 | 2.2 | 23 | 2.1 |
| 4 | 14 | 4.5 | 26 | 5.7 | 46 | 4.2 |
| 5 | 43 | 14.0 | 64 | 14.0 | 180 | 16.6 |
| 6 | 60 | 19.5 | 69 | 15.1 | 213 | 19.6 |
| 7=Extremely important | 163 | 52.9 | 278 | 60.7 | 584 | 53.8 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |


| \% of low-income students | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| $1=$ Not at all important | 66 | 21.4 | 112 | 24.5 | 255 | 23.5 |
| 2 | 30 | 9.7 | 34 | 7.4 | 68 | 6.3 |
| 3 | 23 | 7.5 | 44 | 9.6 | 87 | 8.0 |
| 4 | 36 | 11.7 | 47 | 10.3 | 149 | 13.7 |
| 5 | 65 | 21.1 | 79 | 17.2 | 226 | 20.8 |
| 6 | 23 | 7.5 | 31 | 6.8 | 85 | 7.8 |
| 7=Extremely important | 65 | 21.1 | 111 | 24.2 | 216 | 19.9 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |


| Child care options | Kindergarten |  | 1 st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $f$ |  | $\%$ | $f$ | $\%$ | $f$ |


| Availability of transportation | Kindergarten |  | 1 st $\& 2 \mathrm{nd}$ |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\%$ |  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $f$ | $\%$ |
| 1=Not at all important | 29 | 9.4 | 53 | 11.6 | 124 | 11.4 |
| 2 | 9 | 2.9 | 13 | 2.8 | 31 | 2.9 |
| 3 | 4 | 1.3 | 15 | 3.3 | 44 | 4.1 |
| 4 | 18 | 5.8 | 20 | 4.4 | 56 | 5.2 |
| 5 | 31 | 10.1 | 53 | 11.6 | 116 | 10.7 |
| 6 | 45 | 14.6 | 54 | 11.8 | 155 | 14.3 |
| $7=$ Extremely important | 172 | 55.8 | 250 | 54.6 | 560 | 51.6 |
|  | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

If a magnet school has too many applicants, how important is it that diversity be a consideration in admissions? (Q17)

|  | Kindergarten |  |  | 1 st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | $\%$ | $f$ | $\%$ | $f$ | $\%$ |  |
| Very important | 102 | 33.1 | 158 | 34.5 | 363 | 33.4 |  |
| Somewhat important | 101 | 32.8 | 145 | 31.7 | 350 | 32.2 |  |
| Slightly important | 50 | 16.2 | 70 | 15.3 | 147 | 13.5 |  |
| Not at all important | 55 | 17.9 | 85 | 18.6 | 226 | 20.8 |  |
|  | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |  |

## Transportation

If your child rides the bus to his/her school, on an average day how much time does he or she spend on the bus? (Q18)

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Rides bus <br> (Minutes) | Does not <br> ride bus (go <br> to Q21) | Rides bus <br> (Minutes) | Does not <br> ride bus (go <br> to Q21) | Rides bus <br> (Minutes) | Does not <br> ride bus (go <br> to Q21) |
| Frequency | 220 | 88 | 321 | 137 | 792 | 294 |
| Percentage | 71.4 | 28.6 | 70.12 | 29.9 | 72.9 | 27.1 |
| Range | $1-200$ |  | $2-270$ |  | $1-300$ |  |
| Mean | 49 |  | 56 |  | 47 |  |
| Median | 40 | 45 |  | 35 |  |  |
| S.D. | 37.62 | 46.06 | 37.27 |  |  |  |
| $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 25 | 20 | 20 |  |  |  |
| $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 40 | 45 | 35 |  |  |  |
| $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 60 |  | 60 |  | 60 |  |

In your opinion, to what extent has the transportation system operated on time and as scheduled? (Q19)

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3 rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Always on time | 63 | 28.6 | 76 | 23.6 | 218 | 27.4 |
| Almost always | 78 | 35.5 | 124 | 38.5 | 348 | 43.7 |
| Usually | 36 | 16.4 | 64 | 19.9 | 139 | 17.4 |
| Sometimes | 24 | 10.9 | 32 | 9.9 | 55 | 6.9 |
| Rarely | 19 | 8.6 | 26 | 8.1 | 37 | 4.6 |
| Total | 220 |  | 322 |  | 797 |  |

How does your child describe his or her experience on the bus each day (choose all that apply randomize choices) (SL_Q20)

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Has a lot of friends on the bus/enjoys the ride | 151 | 49.0 | 213 | 46.5 | 496 | 45.7\% |
| Does homework on the bus | 39 | 12.7 | 83 | 18.1 | 193 | 17.8\% |
| It's just an3rd to 12th part of the school day | 163 | 52.9 | 244 | 53.3 | 615 | 56.6\% |
| Gets tired from the long ride | 113 | 36.7 | 137 | 29.9 | 276 | 25.4\% |
| Thinks 3rd to 12th children on the bus are noisy | 117 | 38.0 | 182 | 39.7 | 457 | 42.1\% |
| Does not like the ride/complains | 81 | 26.3 | 116 | 25.3 | 305 | 28.1\% |
| Does not discuss the trip/don't know | 97 | 31.5 | 142 | 31.0 | 349 | 32.1\% |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

How important do you think it is for the district to provide transportation for students so that they can stay after school for activities or sports? (Q21)

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Very important | 191 | 62.0 | 289 | 63.1 | 672 | 61.9 |
| Somewhat important | 70 | 22.7 | 103 | 22.5 | 215 | 19.8 |
| Slightly important | 32 | 10.4 | 33 | 7.2 | 107 | 9.9 |
| Not at all important | 15 | 4.9 | 33 | 7.2 | 92 | 8.5 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

## These last three questions are for statistical purposes only.

And your gender is... (state choice based on voice, if unsure, then read options) (QSEX)

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Male | 60 | 19.5 | 96 | 21.0 | 224 | 20.6 |
| Female | 248 | 80.5 | 362 | 79.0 | 862 | 79.4 |
| Total | 308 |  | 458 |  | 1086 |  |

What is the highest level of education you have completed? (Q23)

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Some high school | 25 | 8.1 | 27 | 5.9 | 48 | 4.4 |
| High School | 78 | 25.4 | 86 | 18.9 | 220 | 20.4 |
| Some College/Associates Degree | 98 | 31.9 | 164 | 36.1 | 357 | 33.0 |
| College Graduate | 65 | 21.2 | 93 | 20.5 | 207 | 19.1 |
| Some Post Graduate study | 7 | 2.3 | 12 | 2.6 | 37 | 3.4 |
| Post Graduate Degree | 27 | 8.8 | 55 | 12.1 | 166 | 15.4 |
| 3rd to 12th (trade school, technical school, etc) | 7 | 2.3 | 17 | 3.7 | 46 | 4.3 |
| Total | 307 |  | 454 |  | 1081 |  |

Which category best describes your total annual household income? (Q24)

|  | Kindergarten |  | 1st \& 2nd |  | 3rd to 12th |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Under \$ 25,000 | 109 | 36.6 | 163 | 37.0 | 267 | 25.9 |
| Between \$ 26,000 and \$41,000 | 65 | 21.8 | 99 | 22.4 | 230 | 22.4 |
| Between \$42,000 and \$55,000 | 36 | 12.1 | 58 | 13.2 | 139 | 13.5 |
| Between \$56,000 and \$75,000 | 39 | 13.1 | 40 | 9.1 | 146 | 14.2 |
| More than \$75,000 | 49 | 16.4 | 81 | 18.4 | 247 | 24.0 |
| Total | 298 |  | 441 |  | 1029 |  |

## Appendix C <br> Survey Responses by "A" or "B" Area Households

## Satisfaction with schools (Q5)

Overall, how satisfied are you with the quality of education your child receives at school? For this question, please indicate a number between 1 and 7 where 1 is completely dissatisfied and 7 is completely satisfied.

|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $f$ | $\%$ | $f$ | $\%$ |
| $1=$ Completely dissatisfied | 23 | 3.2 | 28 | 2.5 |
| 2 | 9 | 1.3 | 23 | 2.0 |
| 3 | 31 | 4.4 | 69 | 6.0 |
| 4 | 56 | 7.9 | 124 | 10.9 |
| 5 | 172 | 24.2 | 294 | 25.7 |
| 6 | 138 | 19.4 | 285 | 25.0 |
| $7=$ Completely satisfied | 281 | 39.6 | 319 | 27.9 |
|  | 710 |  | 1142 |  |

How familiar are you with the current school district student assignment plan? (Q6)

|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $f$ |  | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Very familiar | 215 | 30.3 | 399 | 34.9 |
| Somewhat familiar | 266 | 37.5 | 446 | 39.1 |
| Slightly familiar (go to Q8) | 124 | 17.5 | 166 | 14.5 |
| I'm not familiar with the plan at all <br> (go to Q8) | 105 | 14.8 | 131 | 11.5 |
|  |  | 710 |  | 1142 |

How well do you think the new student assignment plan is working overall? Still using the 7-point scale where 1 is not working at all and 7 is working perfectly. (Q7)

|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| 1=Not working at all | 60 | 12.5 | 141 | 16.7 |
| 2 | 32 | 6.7 | 104 | 12.3 |
| 3 | 55 | 11.4 | 98 | 11.6 |
| 4 | 84 | 17.5 | 170 | 20.1 |
| 5 | 108 | 22.5 | 189 | 22.4 |
| 6 | 52 | 10.8 | 79 | 9.3 |
| 7=Working perfectly | 90 | 18.7 | 64 | 7.6 |
| Total | 481 |  | 845 |  |

The Jefferson County Public Schools have implemented policies to produce integrated schools for 35 years. What affect do you think this has had on the community? (Q8)

|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $f$ |  | $\%$ | $f$ |

How satisfied were you with the way JCPS handled the student assignment for your child? Here we are using the 7 -point satisfaction scale again where 1 indicates completely dissatisfied and 7 indicates completely satisfied. (Q9)

|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| 1=Completely dissatisfied | 76 | 10.7 | 125 | 10.9 |
| 2 | 30 | 4.2 | 50 | 4.4 |
| 3 | 36 | 5.1 | 75 | 6.6 |
| 4 | 70 | 9.9 | 121 | 10.6 |
| 5 | 154 | 21.7 | 224 | 19.6 |
| 6 | 113 | 15.9 | 183 | 16.0 |
| 7=Completely satisfied | 231 | 32.5 | 364 | 31.9 |
| Total | 710 |  | 1142 |  |

Overall, how satisfied are you with the way the district has handled the implementation of the new student assignment plan? This uses the same 7-point satisfaction scale. (Q10)

|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| 1=Completely dissatisfied | 80 | 11.3 | 158 | 13.8 |
| 2 | 41 | 5.8 | 94 | 8.2 |
| 3 | 53 | 7.5 | 126 | 11.0 |
| 4 | 111 | 15.6 | 187 | 16.4 |
| 5 | 178 | 25.1 | 289 | 25.3 |
| 6 | 88 | 12.4 | 150 | 13.1 |
| 7=Completely satisfied | 159 | 22.4 | 138 | 12.1 |
| Total | 710 |  | 1142 |  |

I am now going to read you a list of items. For each one, please tell me to what extent you agree that schools should include the following? Your answer choices are strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree and strongly disagree. You can also say that you don't know. (Q11)

| Students... | $\ldots$ from different racial or ethnic groups |  |  |  | $\ldots$ from lower, middle, and higher income |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Students... | ...of parents with different educational levels. |  |  |  | ...who are high achievers and students who are not doing so well. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area A |  | Area B |  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 458 | 64.5 | 676 | 59.2 | 361 | 50.8 | 493 | 43.2 |
| Somewhat agree | 148 | 20.8 | 302 | 26.4 | 208 | 29.3 | 376 | 32.9 |
| Somewhat disagree | 44 | 6.2 | 73 | 6.4 | 72 | 10.1 | 134 | 11.7 |
| Strongly disagree | 38 | 5.4 | 56 | 4.9 | 40 | 5.6 | 94 | 8.2 |
| Don't know/ no opinion | 22 | 3.1 | 35 | 3.1 | 29 | 4.1 | 45 | 3.9 |
| Total | 710 |  | 1142 |  | 710 |  | 1142 |  |

## District assignment priorities

To what extent do you agree with the following statements? This uses the same agreement scale as the last question. (Q12)

|  | It is important for our children's long-term personal and academic development that schools have students from different races and backgrounds in the same school. |  |  |  | It is important for children to attend a middle school with the same students he/she has as classmates in elementary school. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area A |  | Area B |  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 559 | 78.7 | 755 | 66.1 | 215 | 30.3 | 358 | 31.3 |
| Somewhat agree | 107 | 15.1 | 266 | 23.3 | 239 | 33.7 | 439 | 38.4 |
| Somewhat disagree | 23 | 3.2 | 61 | 5.3 | 149 | 21.0 | 231 | 20.2 |
| Strongly disagree | 16 | 2.3 | 45 | 3.9 | 95 | 13.4 | 91 | 8.0 |
| Don't know/no opinion | 5 | . 7 | 15 | 1.3 | 12 | 1.7 | 23 | 2.0 |
| Total | 710 |  | 1142 |  | 710 |  | 1142 |  |


|  | The school district should have guidelines for enrollment to ensure that students can choose schools other than the school closest to them. |  |  |  | A student assignment plan should be designed to minimize transportation time for students. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area A |  | Area B |  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | J | \% | J | \% |
| Strongly agree | 518 | 73.0 | 727 | 63.7 | 492 | 69.3 | 807 | 70.7 |
| Somewhat agree | 125 | 17.6 | 292 | 25.6 | 133 | 18.7 | 231 | 20.2 |
| Somewhat disagree | 31 | 4.4 | 59 | 5.2 | 41 | 5.8 | 53 | 4.6 |
| Strongly disagree | 33 | 4.6 | 51 | 4.5 | 30 | 4.2 | 31 | 2.7 |
| Don't know/no opinion | 3 | . 4 | 13 | 1.1 | 14 | 2.0 | 20 | 1.8 |
| Total | 710 |  | 1142 |  | 710 |  | 1142 |  |


|  | My child should be allowed to attend the school closest to our home, even if the policy increases segregation. |  |  |  | The school district should have guidelines for enrollments to ensure that students learn with students from different races and economic backgrounds. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area A |  | Area B |  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 383 | 53.9 | 648 | 56.7 | 507 | 71.4 | 637 | 55.8 |
| Somewhat agree | 160 | 22.5 | 270 | 23.6 | 151 | 21.3 | 352 | 30.8 |
| Somewhat disagree | 84 | 11.8 | 98 | 8.6 | 22 | 3.1 | 71 | 6.2 |
| Strongly disagree | 74 | 10.4 | 92 | 8.1 | 21 | 3.0 | 56 | 4.9 |
| Don't know/no opinion | 9 | 1.3 | 34 | 3.0 | 9 | 1.3 | 26 | 2.3 |
| Total | 710 |  | 1142 |  | 710 |  | 1142 |  |


|  | I am willing to send my child to a school outside of my neighborhood if that school offered a specialized program not available at my neighborhood school that meets my child's individual needs. |  |  |  | I would send my child to a school outside of our neighborhood if that would help the district achieve diversity. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area A |  | Area B |  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Strongly agree | 602 | 84.8 | 833 | 72.9 | 269 | 37.9 | 235 | 20.6 |
| Somewhat agree | 76 | 10.7 | 230 | 20.1 | 207 | 29.2 | 315 | 27.6 |
| Somewhat disagree | 13 | 1.8 | 32 | 2.8 | 100 | 14.1 | 226 | 19.8 |
| Strongly disagree | 17 | 2.4 | 36 | 3.2 | 125 | 17.6 | 346 | 30.3 |
| Don't know/no opinion | 2 | . 3 | 11 | 1.0 | 9 | 1.3 | 20 | 1.8 |
| Total | 710 |  | 1142 |  | 710 |  | 1142 |  |


| Students should be allowed to transfer as long as there is a seat for the student in the school to which <br> he/she transfers even if it increases segregation. | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $f$ |  | $\%$ | $f$ |

## Availability of information for parents about choice options

Did you know that you had the choice of requesting that your child attend one of several other schools besides the one he or she attends? (Q13)

|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Yes | 475 | 66.9 | 925 | 81.0 |
| No | 191 | 26.9 | 162 | 14.2 |
| Not sure | 44 | 6.2 | 55 | 4.8 |
| Total | 710 |  | 1142 |  |

Before your child was assigned to his/her current school, did you receive information about the different school choices from any of the following sources? (check all that apply) (Q14:A2)

|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $f$ | $\%$ | $f$ | $\%$ |
| A brochure from the school system which described the <br> different types of programs available at each school | 363 | 51.1 | 667 | 58.4 |
| The Showcase of Schools which took place in October at the <br> Convention Center | 250 | 35.2 | 459 | 40.2 |
| Newspaper ads describing the student assignment process | 197 | 27.7 | 328 | 28.7 |
| A TV or radio ad about the different school choices available to <br> you | 132 | 18.6 | 195 | 17.1 |
| Talk with other parents who have children in the public schools <br> about their experiences | 381 | 53.7 | 765 | 67.0 |
| Visited the JCPS website for student assignment information | 295 | 41.5 | 648 | 56.7 |
| Attended a public informational meeting | 137 | 19.3 | 252 | 22.1 |
| Talk with JCPS staff about the public schools and choices | 330 | 46.5 | 600 | 52.5 |
| None | 99 | 13.9 | 82 | 7.2 |
|  | 710 |  | 1142 |  |

How helpful was this information? (Q15)

|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $f$ |  | $\%$ |  |
| Very helpful | 289 | 40.7 | 439 | 38.4 |
| Somewhat helpful | 228 | 32.1 | 428 | 37.5 |
| Slightly helpful | 50 | 7.0 | 103 | 9.0 |
| Not at all helpful | 51 | 7.2 | 66 | 5.8 |
| Did not receive any information | 92 | 13.0 | 106 | 9.3 |
|  | 710 |  | 1142 |  |

How important were each of the following in submitting choices for your child's school? For these items please indicate a 1 to a 7 where 1 is not important at all and 7 is extremely important. (Q16)

|  | Educational program |  |  |  | Student racial diversity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area A |  | Area B |  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
|  | J | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| $1=$ Not at all important | 7 | 1.0 | 13 | 1.1 | 70 | 9.9 | 136 | 11.9 |
| 2 | 2 | . 3 | 2 | . 2 | 22 | 3.1 | 81 | 7.1 |
| 3 | 5 | . 7 | 10 | . 9 | 32 | 4.5 | 75 | 6.6 |
| 4 | 11 | 1.5 | 20 | 1.8 | 43 | 6.1 | 138 | 12.1 |
| 5 | 48 | 6.8 | 73 | 6.4 | 165 | 23.2 | 294 | 25.7 |
| 6 | 60 | 8.5 | 118 | 10.3 | 87 | 12.3 | 167 | 14.6 |
| 7=Extremely important | 577 | 81.3 | 906 | 79.3 | 291 | 41.0 | 251 | 22.0 |
| Total | 710 |  | 1142 |  | 710 |  | 1142 |  |


|  | Geographic location |  |  |  | Test scores |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area A |  | Area B |  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| 1=Not at all important | 42 | 5.9 | 61 | 5.3 | 22 | 3.1 | 31 | 2.7 |
| 2 | 16 | 2.3 | 29 | 2.5 | 5 | . 7 | 14 | 1.2 |
| 3 | 32 | 4.5 | 54 | 4.7 | 13 | 1.8 | 27 | 2.4 |
| 4 | 56 | 7.9 | 84 | 7.4 | 27 | 3.8 | 59 | 5.2 |
| 5 | 144 | 20.3 | 245 | 21.5 | 95 | 13.4 | 192 | 16.8 |
| 6 | 107 | 15.1 | 219 | 19.2 | 98 | 13.8 | 244 | 21.4 |
| 7=Extremely <br> important | 313 | 44.1 | 450 | 39.4 | 450 | 63.4 | 575 | 50.4 |
| Total | 710 |  | 1142 |  | 710 |  | 1142 |  |


|  | \% of low-income students |  |  |  | Child care options |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area A |  | Area B |  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| 1=Not at all important | 138 | 19.4 | 295 | 25.8 | 167 | 23.5 | 433 | 37.9 |
| 2 | 41 | 5.8 | 91 | 8.0 | 23 | 3.2 | 70 | 6.1 |
| 3 | 49 | 6.9 | 105 | 9.2 | 37 | 5.2 | 64 | 5.6 |
| 4 | 72 | 10.1 | 160 | 14.0 | 49 | 6.9 | 74 | 6.5 |
| 5 | 127 | 17.9 | 243 | 21.3 | 94 | 13.2 | 144 | 12.6 |
| 6 | 59 | 8.3 | 80 | 7.0 | 63 | 8.9 | 94 | 8.2 |
| 7=Extremely important | 224 | 31.5 | 168 | 14.7 | 277 | 39.0 | 263 | 23.0 |
| Total | 710 |  | 1142 |  | 710 |  | 1142 |  |


|  | Availability of transportation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| 1=Not at all important | 51 | 7.2 | 155 | 13.6 |
| 2 | 12 | 1.7 | 41 | 3.6 |
| 3 | 13 | 1.8 | 50 | 4.4 |
| 4 | 25 | 3.5 | 69 | 6.0 |
| 5 | 63 | 8.9 | 137 | 12.0 |
| 6 | 83 | 11.7 | 171 | 15.0 |
| 7=Extremely important | 463 | 7.2 | 519 | 45.4 |
| Total | 710 |  | 1142 |  |

If a magnet school has too many applicants, how important is it that diversity be a consideration in admissions? (Q17)

|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $f$ | $\%$ | $f$ | $\%$ |
| Very important | 309 | 43.5 | 314 | 27.5 |
| Somewhat important | 205 | 28.9 | 391 | 34.2 |
| Slightly important | 89 | 12.5 | 178 | 15.6 |
| Not at all important | 107 | 15.1 | 259 | 22.7 |
|  | 710 |  | 1142 |  |

## Transportation

If your child rides the bus to his/her school, on an average day how much time does he or she spend on the bus? (Q18)

|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Rides bus <br> (Minutes) |  | Does not ride bus <br> (go to Q21) | Rides bus <br> (Minutes) |
|  | 566 | 144 | 767 | Does not ride bus <br> (go to Q21) |
| Percentage | 79.7 | 20.3 | 67.2 | 375 |
| Range | $1-240$ |  | $1-300$ |  |
| Mean | 49 | 50 |  |  |
| Median | 40 |  | 40.8 |  |
| S.D. | 39.71 |  | 39.82 |  |
| $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 20 |  | 20 |  |
| $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 40 | 40 |  |  |
| $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 60 |  | 60 |  |

In your opinion, to what extent has the transportation system operated on time and as scheduled? (Q19)

|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Always on time | 154 | 27.1 | 203 | 26.3 |
| Almost always | 205 | 36.1 | 345 | 44.7 |
| Usually | 98 | 17.3 | 141 | 18.3 |
| Sometimes | 63 | 11.1 | 48 | 6.2 |
| Rarely | 48 | 8.5 | 34 | 4.4 |
| Total | 568 |  | 771 |  |

How does your child describe his or her experience on the bus each day (choose all that apply randomize choices) (SL_Q20)

|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $f$ | $\%$ | $f$ | $\%$ |
| Has a lot of friends on the bus/enjoys the ride | 381 | 53.7 | 479 | 41.9 |
| Does homework on the bus | 117 | 16.5 | 198 | 17.3 |
| It's just another part of the school day | 424 | 59.7 | 598 | 52.4 |
| Gets tired from the long ride | 250 | 35.2 | 276 | 24.2 |
| Thinks other children on the bus are noisy | 328 | 46.2 | 428 | 37.5 |
| Does not like the ride/complains | 229 | 32.3 | 273 | 23.9 |
| Does not discuss the trip/don't know | 280 | 39.4 | 308 | 27.0 |
|  | 710 |  | 1142 |  |

How important do you think it is for the district to provide transportation for students so that they can stay after school for activities or sports? (Q21)

|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $f$ | \% | $f$ | \% |
| Very important | 553 | 77.9 | 599 | 52.5 |
| Somewhat important | 99 | 13.9 | 289 | 25.3 |
| Slightly important | 36 | 5.1 | 136 | 11.9 |
| Not at all important | 22 | 3.1 | 118 | 10.3 |
| Total | 710 |  | 1142 |  |

## These last three questions are for statistical purposes only.

And your gender is... (state choice based on voice, if unsure, then read options) (QSEX)

|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $f$ |  | $\%$ | $f$ |

What is the highest level of education you have completed? (Q23)

|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $f$ | $\%$ | $f$ | $\%$ |
| Some high school | 69 | 9.8 | 31 | 2.7 |
| High School | 190 | 27.0 | 194 | 17.0 |
| Some College/Associates Degree | 260 | 37.0 | 359 | 31.5 |
| College Graduate | 110 | 15.6 | 255 | 22.4 |
| Some Post Graduate study | 11 | 1.6 | 45 | 4.0 |
| Post Graduate Degree | 33 | 4.7 | 215 | 18.9 |
| Other (trade school, technical school, etc) | 30 | 4.3 | 40 | 3.5 |
|  | 703 |  | 1139 |  |

Which category best describes your total annual household income? (Q24)

|  | Area A |  | Area B |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $f$ | $\%$ | $f$ | $\%$ |
| Under $\$ 25,000$ | 340 | 49.5 | 199 | 18.4 |
| Between $\$ 26,000$ and $\$ 41,000$ | 180 | 26.2 | 214 | 19.8 |
| Between $\$ 42,000$ and $\$ 55,000$ | 82 | 11.9 | 151 | 14.0 |
| Between $\$ 56,000$ and $\$ 75,000$ | 52 | 7.6 | 173 | 16.0 |
| More than $\$ 75,000$ |  | 33 | 4.8 | 344 |
| 31.8 |  |  |  |  |
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