UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

Lexical and Pragmatic Metonymy Processing: Two Domains vs. One Mechanism

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8dz4z0ng

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 36(36)

ISSN

1069-7977

Authors

Hanson, Emily Foster Zhang, Muye Negishi, Michiro et al.

Publication Date

2014

Peer reviewed

Lexical and Pragmatic Metonymy Processing: Two Domains vs. One Mechanism

Emily Foster Hanson

Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, United States

Muye Zhang

Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, United States

Michiro Negishi

Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, United States

Maria M. Piñango

Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

Abstract: We investigate the compositional properties of metonymy through self-paced reading (SPR) and ERP. We examine lexical metonymy (Novel producer-for-product: All freshmen read/meet Wickstrom) and circumstantial/pragmatic metonymy (A waitress says to another: "Table-13/That couple asked for more wine"). We test the hypothesis that both metonymic types are instantiated by the same interpretive mechanism, a referential dependency between the named and intended entities similar to a pronoun-antecedent relation (e.g., Jackendoff, 1997), and that their real-time implementation is modulated by degree of contextualization (circumstantial >> lexical). Whereas SPR results show cost of implementation only for the circumstantial metonymy contrast and only at the segment directly following the metonymy trigger, ERP results show identical latency and polarity properties for both metonymies (P500) in left anterior electrodes exclusively. These results support metonymy as a unified, computationally isolable reference transfer process whose composition exerts visible cost which increases as demands for contextualization increase.