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## ABSTRACT

Effort, catch, and catch rates for southern California sportfishing from private boats and from the shoreline were estimated for one-year periods. These categories represent two of four major types of marine sportfishing; the others are fishing from party boats and from piers and jetties.

Probability sampling plans employing fisherman interviews were used in obtaining the basic data for the surveys. Shoreline surveys were supplemented by aerial progressive counts of fishing poles.

Private boat sportfishing activities during 1964 were estimated at 2.8 million man hours (mh) of fishing. The catch of almost 1 million fish was composed primarily of five species, Pacific bonito, California halibut, white croaker, sand bass, and kelp bass.

A 12-month survey, 1965-66, revealed that surf fishermen expended an estimated 1.7 million mh of effort in taking 0.5 million fish. More fishing effort was expended from the bay shoreline, $869,557 \mathrm{mh}$, than from the open coast, $776,732 \mathrm{mh}$. The catch in each area was markedly different. White croaker, queenfish, and smelt (jack and top) were the most significant species in inland bays, while for the open coast, barred surfperch, opaleye, and California corbina were most important.

A synoptic picture of the annual sportfishing activities and harvest in southern California was constructed. The total effort from party boats, piers, jetties, private boats, and the shoreline was estimated to be 12.3 million man hours of fishing. Three groups contributed well over half of the 7.3 million fish captured: tunas, 1.9 million; sea basses, 1.4 million; and croakers, 1.1 million. Pacific bonito, with 1.6 million fish, made the largest contribution by a single species. California barracuda was second with 0.6 million and white croaker was third with 0.5 million.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was performed as part of Dingell-Johnson Project, California F-20-R, "Southern California Marine Sportfish Survey," supported by Federal Aid to Fish Restoration Funds.

We wish to thank all the people who assisted us throughout our investigation. Special thanks are due the thousands of sportfishermen who willingly cooperated with our census clerks.

The following people assisted us in collecting the basic fishing data: Ronald D. Black, Richard J. Cavaliere, Patrick C. Collier, Wade Corder, Jack A. Hanson, Gerald A. Iriye, Robert Michaud, Med F. Peck, Warren L. Schaffer, Gregory Smith, and James C. Thomas.

Patrick Symons, Warden-Pilot, deserves our special thanks for his superb piloting and general assistance in our aerial census.

Norman J. Abramson, of the Biometrical Unit at the California State Fisheries Laboratory, graciously assisted us throughout the computational phase of our study.

Laura L. Richardson performed the difficult task of converting our rough drafts into a finished manuscript.
General guidance and overall review of our work was provided by John E. Fitch. The editorial staff of John L.
Baxter, Patricia Powell, and Phil M. Roedel assisted in polishing and guiding this report through the various prepublications stages.

LEO PINKAS<br>MALCOLM S. OLIPHANT<br>CHARLES W. HAUGEN<br>June, 1968

## 1. INTRODUCTION

California's first comprehensive measurement of marine sportfishing from piers, jetties, private boats, and the shoreline was initiated in 1957. Limited funds restricted this survey to central and northern California (Miller and Gotshall, 1965). A similar study in southern California, Point Conception to the United States-Mexico border, was undertaken in 1962 when manpower and funds became available through the Federal Aid to Fish Restoration Act. Monetary and staffing limitations also restricted the scope of the latter investigation. Piers and jetties were surveyed in 1963 (Pinkas, Thomas, and Hanson, 1967), private vessels in 1964, and the shoreline from April 1965 through March $1966{ }^{\text {(Figure 1) }}$.

The goal of each survey was to ascertain the magnitude and significance of marine sportfishing activities within the respective geographical areas. These fishing activities, as a group, represented the last major gap in our knowledge of California's marine fisheries.

The basic objectives and concepts of the southern California series of surveys engendered probability sampling plans to estimate total fishing effort, total catch, and species composition of the catch. Slightly different approaches were utilized in each survey, in part to accommodate geographical and behavioral (fishermen) variations and in part as a reflection of our experience and maturity in conducting surveys.

This report summarizes the findings of our creel census of private boat and shoreline fishermen. Their activities, plus the catch, are analyzed individually, as a group with pier and jetty fishermen, and finally in juxtaposition with the other major sportfishing group-the partyboat fishery.

## 2. METHODS

### 2.1. Definitions

General terms are frequently ambiguous, change with time, or mean different things to different people. Although most of the technical terms in this report are in general use by fisheries investigators and have been defined by Miller and Gotshall (1965) for marine sport fish surveys, certain specific ones are described here for clarity and understanding.

### 2.1.1. Partyboats:

All boats regardless of size that carry passengers (fishermen) for a fee. Usually operated by a skipper knowledgeable in marine sportfishing methods and practices.

### 2.1.2. Private boats:

All private or rented craft, skiffs, or vessels not involved in commercial fishing and not carrying paying passengers (sportfishermen) at the time of sampling.


FIGURE 1. Southern California marine sportfishing areas

### 2.1.3. Shore or surf fishermen:

All anglers casting from the natural or artificial shoreline.

### 2.1.4. Launching site:

A place where skiffs and boats are launched; including mechanical lifts operated from piers or wharfs extending from the shore, improved launching ramps, and unimproved sections of beaches where it is calm and safe to launch a boat.

### 2.1.5. Mooring site:

A place where skiffs, boats, or vessels are secured while still afloat; as used here, principally floating docks within protected harbors.

### 2.1.6. Length of fishing trip-private boats:

The total elapsed time between departure and return of a skiff, boat, or vessel. If an interviewed party indicated it had fished, the entire time was considered as fishing effort because most people could not accurately recall the actual span of time spent fishing or in traveling.

### 2.1.7. Length of fishing trip-shoreline:

The total elapsed time between start of fishing (as reported by the fisherman) and the estimated time of departure (as determined by the presence or absence between interview rounds). If the fishing party was absent, one-half the time interval between interview rounds was used as the time of departure.

### 2.1.8. Boat day:

The total time, during a calendar day, that a private boat engaged in sportfishing activities; including travel time to and from point of depature.

### 2.1.9. Man day—private boast:

The total time, during a calendar day, spent by one person aboard a private boat engaged in sportfishing activities. The time span is equivalent to a boat day.

### 2.1.10. Man day-shoreline:

The total time during a calendar day that one person fished from the shoreline. A man day is equivalent to length of fishing trip-shoreline.

### 2.1.11. Catch-per-man-day:

The average number of fish caught by a fisherman during a calendar day.

### 2.1.12. Catch-per-man-hour:

The average number of fish caught by a fisherman in one hour.

### 2.1.13. Catch-per-boat day:

The average number of fish caught from a boat during a "boat day" in a calendar day.
Common fish names are used throughout this report. Their scientific equivalents and taxonomic order follow Roedel $(1962,1963)$ and the American Fisheries Society (1960) (Table 1).

### 2.2. Private Vessel Survey-1964

Preliminary surveys indicated that the population of sportfishing boats in southern California could be divided into two categories: (i) boats launched from trailers (either from ramps or by hoists), and (ii) boats operating from mooring sites in marinas. Apparent differences in sportfishing activity between the two groups warranted stratification into sub-populations, i.e., a launching site stratum and a mooring site stratum.

The launching site stratum consisted of all launching ramps and hoists in the sample area with each site treated as a sampling unit. We divided marinas (mooring sites) into sampling units of a size that would permit the sampler to see all boats entering the unit even while occupied in conducting an interview. This resulted in sampling units that averaged about 100 boats each.

Sportfishing activity was re-evaluated periodically and units added to or removed from the sample population as conditions warranted. Changes in the sampling frame were made only at the beginning of a new sampling period. The number of sampling units in the launching site stratum ranged from 17 to 25 while the mooring site stratum contained from 115 to 128.

In forming our sampling plan, financial and manpower limitations dictated that we use days as the primary sampling unit and the launching and mooring sites as the second stage sampling units. In this way it was possible to operate with as few as two sampling clerks-one for each sub-population. We had, however, three samplers available and arbitrarily assigned two to the mooring site stratum and one to the launching site stratum.

The year was divided into six 2 -month periods. Within each period we stratified weekdays from weekend days and holidays in an attempt to minimize the variance of the estimates. Proportional allocation was used to divide the 36 sampling days (arbitrarily assigned to each period per man) between the strata of weekdays and weekend days.

The launching site stratum estimates cover the 12-month period January through December 1964. Mooring site sampling did not begin until March 1 because extensive field reconnaissance was needed to resolve difficulties in forming a sampling frame and to establish procedures. Catch and effort estimates for this stratum therefore cover the 10 months March-December 1964.

All sample days and geographical units were selected at random in advance of actual field work. One launching site and two mooring sites were drawn independently for each sample day of its respective stratum. The construction of this portion of the sampling frame was facilitated by the use of a table of random numbers (Rand Corp., 1955).

Interview hours were from 0900 to 1800 Pacific Standard Time. Upon arrival at an assigned sampling unit the clerk would determine, from the number of trailers present or the number of empty slips, the sample fraction to be used during the day; i.e., whether he would interview each boating party, every second, third or $n$th party.

As each $n$th boat landed, the clerk would record the time of landing and the type of activity, i.e., sportfishing, sailing, water skiing, etc. If sportfishing was one of the activities, the interview was continued to ascertain the time the boat departed, the number of persons in the party, the location fished, and the number and species of fish caught.

### 2.3. Shoreline Survey 1965-66

### 2.3.1. Ground Census

Prior to establishing a sampling frame for our creel census of shoreline fishermen, considerable pre-census scouting and study of coastal maps was done to find a suitable geographical unit for sampling. Many obvious categories, such as rocky shores and sandy beaches, were quickly ruled out because, with few exceptions, there were no distinct boundaries (Figure 2). Two exceptions were the categories "open coast" and "bays": here the differences were marked and easily definable ${ }^{\text {(Figure 3) }}$. We retained the distinction throughout the survey.

These preliminary studies led us to use, for a 2-month period, geographical areas based on expected fisherman behavior, namely limited access and unlimited access units.

The limited access unit concept assumed that: (i) an area was accessible through a single point such as a path, stairway, or gate; (ii) fishermen would enter and leave the given area via the access point only; and, (iii) by strategically stationing a census taker at the access point interviews yielding completed trip data could be obtained.

The unlimited access units were open coast or bay areas with infinite accessibility points that could be censused by traversing the shoreline and interviewing fishermen engaged in their sport. The method would yield incomplete trip data.

The criteria for establishing an area as a sampling unit were availability to the general public and its size; specifically of a length that could be censused in approximately 2 hours by one man.

The above screening device automatically created a third geographical category, inaccessible areas. The term does not mean to imply that no fishing occurred, on the contrary, local landholders and their guests fished the areas to a greater or lesser degree. Since the general public was excluded, we decided not to sample this type of area from the ground. A measure of the fishing activity was gleaned, however, by an aerial censusing technique to be described later.

The sampling frame used during April and May 1965 sampling period consisted of 119 units. The coast, including bays, was divided into 46 limited access units and 73 unlimited access units.

By the end of April's field sampling it became apparent that the "limited access unit" concept was grossly inadequate because fishermen did not behave as anticipated. The unlimited access approach, on the other hand, appeared to reflect true fishing activity within an area. Therefore, all geographical areas were reviewed and revised to create only two types of units, unlimited access and inaccessible areas.

The new sampling frame was first utilized for the June 1965 sampling period. It contained 90 open coast and 10 bay units all of the unlimited access type. One bay unit was added beginning in July and thereafter the list remained stable through to March 31, 1966 when the field phase of the survey ended.


FIGURE 2. Typical southern California open coast, rocky shoreline where sportfishermen catch opaleye, black perch, halfmoon, and cabezon. Aerial photograph by Chet Hart, December 1964.


FIGURE 3. King Harbor, Redondo Beach, California illustrating the variety of available sportfishing opportunities: $A$, open coast surf-fishing; B, piers for shallow to deep water fishing; $C$, rock jetty; $D$, protected harbor or bay; $E$ and $F$, mooring and launching sites for private vessels which fish the open sea or in protected bays; G, point of embarkation for partyboats which fish the open or deep sea areas; $H$, live bait receiver. Aerial photograph by Chet Hart, December 1964.

Each calendar month in the year-long survey, April 1, 1965 through March 31, 1966, was temporally stratified by weekdays and weekend days. Each of these divisions was split into morning and afternoon sampling periods. In April and May the sampling areas were stratified into limited and unlimited access units.

The arbitrary assignment of 60 sampling days per month was governed by available manpower. This schedule was successfully met throughout the survey except for May and June when 54 and 56 days were assigned. Allocation of sampling effort between weekdays and weekend days was proportionate to the number of days in each category for each month. Within each group of days the effort was evenly distributed between morning and afternoon sampling periods. The April and May distribution deviated slightly to accommodate the unlimited and limited access divisions. In April the time was evenly divided between the limited and unlimited access units while in May sampling effort was distributed in proportion to the numbers of units in each listing.

The distribution of sampling effort between the open coast and bay units (June through March) was on a proportional basis except that a minimum of two sampling days was assigned to the bay units to satisfy the requirement imposed by variance calculations. Thus 8 bay and 52 coastal units were scheduled for sampling each month except in June when 8 bay and 48 open coast units were sampled.

Days and units at all levels in our shoreline sampling plan were selected in a random manner using a table of random numbers (Rand Corp., 1955).

We varied the length of the sampling day according to the number of daylight hours and to comply with our decision to keep the working day (including traveling time) within reasonable limits in the summer. Thus our sampling day (interview time) was 10 hours long during November, December, January, and February; 12 hours long during March, April, September, and October; and 14 hours long in May, June, July, and August.

We were unable to measure sportfishing activity at night along the southern California shoreline because of budgetary limitations, despite the fact that it is an important component of surf fishing activities, (Hull, 1964; Patterson, 1965; and personal communications with ardent surf fishermen).

### 2.3.2. Aerial Census

In addition to our main "interview type" probability sampling from the ground, we were fortunate in being able to conduct 14 aircraft flights along the open coast to assess sportfishing effort. Thirteen of the flights afforded the opportunity to compare estimates of fishing effort by the aerial progressive count technique and the shoreline interview probability plan. The flights also yielded some data on the relative amounts of fishing activity in areas not included in our ground shoreline sampling frame.

The methods used in the aerial counts were essentially the same for all flights. Progressive counts of fishing poles began at the United States-Mexico border between 1000 and 1115 hours and continued northwesterly along the coastline to Goleta. After about a one-hour stop
for lunch and refueling the flight was continued to Jalama Beach County Park where tallying was terminated. Total flight time was usually close to 3 hours.

Each flight consisted of a pilot and a biologist observer. To facilitate tallying of fishing poles and note taking, a series of strip charts (United States Geological Survey topographic series) of the coast was assembled in sequence south to north in a loose-leaf three-ring notebook. Each chart was placed within a clear plastic envelope. Notations were made with a wax marking pencil on the plastic. These original flight notes were Xeroxed for our permanent records and then the plastic was wiped clean (soft rag or tissue) for reuse.

The aerial pole counts were tallied into either (i) the areas included in the ground survey or (ii) an "all other area" category. Low coastal fog, haze, and flight restrictions near airports sometimes precluded observation of some of the coastline. Adjustments in the fishing pole counts were made by first calculating the pole-per-sampling unit (ground survey units) for the observed areas, then expanding this value by the total number of sampling units. The net result was two sets of adjusted counts, one for the areas included in the ground survey and one for all the other areas.

To obtain pole hour estimates for the ground survey area for a period, the adjusted aerial count was expanded by the total hours in the sampling period. The estimate for the year was calculated by expanding the mean adjusted pole count from the 14 flights by the total sampling hours in the year.

Fishing effort in areas not covered by our ground survey was estimated by using the adjusted aerial counts in conjunction with the ground survey estimates of effort. The estimate of fishing effort outside the ground survey area was calculated by a simple proportion formula using the ratio of aerial pole counts in units covered by the ground survey (A) to the ground survey estimate of pole hours (B) and the aerial pole count in the unsurveyed area (C) to the (unknown) estimated effort in the unsurveyed area (D):

$$
\frac{A}{B}=\frac{C}{D} \quad \text { then }: \quad D=\frac{B C}{A}
$$

### 2.4. Calculating the Estimates

The same basic approach was used to calculate the various parameters for our private boat survey as for our shoreline survey. The parameters for the 1964 private boat survey were, man hours and man days of fishing; catch by species; total catch; catch-per-man hour of fishing; number of fishermen per boat; catch-per-boat day; catch-per-boat hour; and average length of boat trip. The descriptive figures for the 1965 shoreline sportfishing survey were man hours of fishing; catch by species; total catch; catch-per-man hour of fishing; and average length of fishing trip. Standard errors were also calculated for these estimates except for average length of fishing trip.

Estimates were calculated by a straightforward expansion of the mean observed value of the measured parameters by a factor of the product of the numbers of days in a stratum and the appropriate number of units in a listing. The estimation procedure is best illustrated
by following through the calculations for man hours of fishing along the coast. Estimation procedures for man hours of fishing:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{X}_{h}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{h}} X_{h i}}{n_{h}} \\
& \stackrel{\wedge}{X}_{h}=\bar{X}_{h} N_{h} P_{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

Variance of the estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v\left(\hat{X}_{h}\right)=N_{h}^{2} P_{h}^{2} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{h}}\left(X_{h i}-\bar{X}_{h i}\right)^{2}}{n_{h}\left(n_{h}-1\right)} \\
& v(\hat{X})=\sum_{h=1}^{4} v\left(\hat{X}_{h}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$\bar{X}_{h} \quad$ mean observed man hours of fishing per day per unit in the $h$ th sampling period
$h \quad 1$ AM sampling period weekend stratum
2 PM sampling period weekend stratum
3 AM sampling period weekday stratum
4 PM sampling period weekday stratum
$X_{h i} \quad$ Observed man hours of fishing in the $i$ th day of the $h$ th sampling period
$\hat{X}_{h} \quad$ estimated man hours fishing for a stratum
$\hat{X} \quad$ estimated man hours of fishing for a month
$n_{h} \quad$ number of days sampled in the $h$ th sampling period
$N_{h} \quad$ number of days in $h$ th sampling period
$P_{h} \quad$ number of sampling units in the $h$ th sampling period
$v\left(\hat{X}_{h}\right) \quad$ variance of the estimated man hours of fishing for the $h$ th stratum
$v(\hat{X}) \quad$ variance of the estimated man hours of fishing for a month TABLE

Catch per unit of effort estimates and their respective variances for each stratum were calculated using the ratio estimate technique of Cochran (1963). The variance estimates for the ratio of the combined strata were calculated using a variation of the formula given by Hansen, Hurwitz, and Madow (1953) (page 190 \#4.5) (modified by Norman J. Abramson, Biometrical Analysis Section, California Department of Fish and Game).

Ratio estimate:

$$
R_{h}=\frac{\bar{y}_{h}}{\bar{x}_{h}}
$$

Variance of ratio estimate for the $h$ th stratum

$$
\begin{gathered}
v\left(R_{h}\right)=\frac{\left(N_{h}-n_{h}\right)}{N_{h} n_{h}\left(n_{h}-1\right) \bar{x}_{h}^{2}}\left(\Sigma Y_{h}^{2}+R_{h}^{2} \Sigma X_{h}^{2}-2 R_{h} \Sigma X_{h} Y_{h}\right) \\
\text { EQUATION }
\end{gathered}
$$

Variance of ratio estimate for combined strata

$$
v\left(R_{s t}\right)=\frac{\bar{x}_{1}^{2} N_{1}^{2} v\left(R_{1}\right)+\cdots \cdot+x_{h}^{2} N_{h}^{2} v\left(R_{h}\right)}{\overline{\bar{x}}^{2}}
$$

where

| $R_{h}$ | catch per man hour of fishing in $h$ th stratum |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\bar{y}_{h}$ | mean number of fish caught in $h$ th stratum |
| $x_{h}$ | mean number of man hours of fishing in $h$ th stratum |
| $v\left(R_{h}\right)$ | variance of the catch per man hour of fishing in the $h$ th <br> stratum |
| $Y_{h}$ | numbers of fish caught in the $h$ th stratum |
| $X_{h}$ | man hours of fishing in $h$ th stratum |
| $v\left(R_{s t}\right)$ | variance of the catch per man hour of fishing for several <br> $\bar{x}$ |
| strata <br> mean number of man hours of fishing for several strata |  |
|  | EQUATION |

Our estimate of the annual fishing effort and catch from sport boats (party boats) in southern California marine waters was derived from data in statistical reports developed and maintained by Parke H. Young, Marine Sportfish Investigation, California Department of Fish and Game, Terminal Island (Young, 1963).

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1. Sportfishing from Private Vessels

### 3.1.1. Effort

Sportfishing from private vessels in southern California marine waters during 1964 was estimated at 2,773,405 man hours of fishing. This is equivalent to 443,258 man days of fishing. We were able to estimate that 142,107 boat days were expended during the year carrying
an average of 2.92 fishermen per trip that lasted an average of 6.11 hours (Table 2).
This fishing activity resulted in an estimated catch of 981,460 fish comprised of over 68 species. The summer months of July and August registered peak effort and catch, 983,712 man hours and 331,001 fish. A low of 146,893 man hours of fishing and a catch of 57,522 fish was recorded for the November-December period (Table 3).

Mooring site vessels made longer trips and carried more fishermen than those from launching sites: 6.56 vs 6.09 hours and 3.87 vs 2.87 fishermen. However, the total fishing effort from mooring site vessels was only a third of that expended by launching site boats: 35,806 vs 106,301 boat days of fishing.

Differences in passenger loads are probably due to the fact that launching site boats were smaller. There is no ready explanation for the marked differences in effort except that mooring site boats are more often used strictly for "pleasure boating" than for fishing and there are fewer of these larger, more expensive craft.

### 3.1.2. Catch

A surprisingly small group of fishes ( 5 out of 68 species) made up the bulk (almost 75 percent) of the private boat catch. Pacific bonito alone contributed 42 percent of the total catch with an estimated 401,575 fish. California halibut, the second most important species, contributed only 10 percent with 98,692 fish. White croaker $(84,641)$, sand bass $(64,513)$ and kelp bass $(61,093)$ ranked third, fourth, and fifth (Table 4$)$.

A significant portion of the overall catch from private boats was composed of near-shore species. In addition to the five species mentioned above, Pacific mackerel, sculpin, halfmoon, black perch, California barracuda, queenfish, and rockfish made important contributions to the total landings. The exciting, prestige-type, offshore species, such as albacore, bluefin tuna, California yellowtail, and striped marlin were represented by relatively small numbers. Albacore were the most important of these, contributing 5,902 fish or 0.6 percent of the total catch.

### 3.1.3. Catch-Per-Unit-of-Effort

Relative fishing success was calculated and expressed in four different, but related, ratios of catch to effort: catch-per-boat day, 6.51 fish; catch-per-man day, 1.79 fish; catch-per-boat hour, 1.09 fish; and catch-per-man hour, 0.306 fish (Table 5). Catch per man hour is, perhaps, the most significant measure among these because it is directly comparable to similar ratios for sportfishing activities in other areas. Average catch-per-man hour of fishing values for private boats in 1964 ranged from 0.141 in the January-February period to 0.429 in the September-October period. During July and August, the period of maximum effort and catch, the catch-per-man hour was 0.350 —not as good as early fall but better than spring or winter.

### 3.2. Sportfishing from the Shoreline

### 3.2.1. Effort

A total of $1,646,289$ man hours of fishing effort was estimated from interviewing 6,323 shoreline fishermen for the 12 months April 1965
through March 1966. This effort was about equally divided between the open coast ( 47.2 percent) and inland bays ( 52.8 percent) (Table 6).

Monthly estimates ranged from 54,233 man hours in December to 294,024 in July. Fishing activity in July and August exceeded other months by approximately 2 times; the distribution between the open coast and inland bays was about even. Estimated effort for the other months was intermediate between the December-January lows and the July-August peak.

The distribution of fishing effort between coastal and bay areas reflected both the weather and runs of desirable fish. For example, during January almost 70 percent of the total fishing effort was expended along the open coast, apparently in response to winter runs of barred surfperch. In February and March, usually a rainy, windy period in southern California, the effort shifted predominantly to the bays, 72 and 78 percent respectively.

The average length of a fishing trip was 2.987 hours, ranging from 3.329 in September to 2.231 in February. Open coast trips were consistently shorter than inland bay trips; typical examples are: February, 2.006 vs 2.455 hours, and September, 2.907 vs 3.750 hours.

### 3.2.2. Catch

Sportfishing from the marine shoreline in southern California resulted in an estimated catch of 501,734 fish of at least 43 species. The peak catch of 126,528 fish in July was more than twice as high as for any other month. The winter lows were represented by December and February with 13,758 and 13,819 fish respectively. Catches in other months were intermediate (Table 7).

Inland bays yielded slightly more fish than the open coast, 266,041 vs 235,693 . The monthly catch origins were almost equally divided between the two areas except for January, March, and July. Barred surfperch accounted for the January open coast catch being five times that from inland bays; 21,813 vs 4,137 fish. The larger catches from inland bays in March and July were probably the result of runs of several species, none of which was outstanding by itself.

The five most important fish to open coast surf fishermen were barred surfperch, opaleye, corbina, black perch, and walleye surfperch. Inland bay fishermen took an entirely different group of fish: in order of importance, these were white croaker, queenfish, jack and topsmelt, kelp and sand bass, and Pacific bonito (Tables 8, 9, and 10).

In the overall (total) shoreline catches, white croaker were caught and retained in greater numbers ( 95,010 fish) than any other species, and over 98 percent came from bays. Barred surfperch were second with 87,620 fish, and over 98 percent of these originated from the surf zone of the open coast. The third most important species to shoreline fishermen was the queenfish ( 47,333 fish) with 99 percent coming from bays. Opaleye and black perch ranked fourth and fifth, with 38,837 and 38,160 fish. Catches of these two species reflect the success of surf fishermen in rocky areas of the open coast.

Traditional favorites, such as California corbina, walleye surfperch, and spotfin croaker, along with Pacific bonito and smelt (jack and top), were also among the 10 most numerous species in the fisherman's bag.

Rockfish, wrasses, and halfmoons were not taken by hook and line fishermen in bays; conversely, California barracuda, bonefish, and jack mackerel were absent from the open coast catches whereas all of these species occur in both habitats.

### 3.2.3. Catch-Per-Unit-of-Effort

The overall average catch-per-man hour of fishing from the shoreline was calculated to be 0.305 : ranging from 0.147 in February to 0.434 in January. In general, the monthly catch-per-unit-of-effort values vacillated from month to month and from area to area, showing no discernible pattern (Table 11).

The average success for open coast fishermen ranged from 0.199 fish-per-man hour in August to 0.585 in November. Although bay fisher-men also experienced a low in August, 0.178 , February was the poorest month with 0.106 and June the best with 0.526 fish per-man-hour of fishing.

### 3.3. Results of Aerial Census

Estimates of sportfishing effort from our aerial surveys were remarkably similar to those from the more extensive and independent ground census. The mean aerial pole count of 170 , for the 90 units covered by the ground survey, yielded an estimated 742,560 pole hours, while the year-long ground census estimate was 721,141 .

Detailed comparisons between aerial and ground survey estimates were possible for 10 groups at the weekday and weekend day strata level. Three aerial estimates are within one standard deviation of the respective shoreline estimate. The January weekend estimate of 33,300 (aerial survey) vs. 35,569 (ground) pole hours per month is a good example: the others are June and December weekdays. Three other aerial estimates were within 2 standard deviations of the ground census: October weekdays being the best with 14,364 vs. 18,937 pole hours per month; and September weekdays and February weekend days were not far behind (Table 12).

The greatest disparities are in our estimates for January weekdays and March weekends. These showed the aerial estimates to be far greater than the ground census estimates: 12,390 vs. 5,494 and $39,744 \mathrm{vs} .9,555$ respectively. Bias inherent in the flight procedures undoubtedly expressed itself in these estimates. Flying days in these months were during periods of optimum weather for observing and at hours of highest fishing activity on these short winter days.

The long, warm, days of July probably spread the fishing effort and the bias in our flight count was in the other direction, accounting for considerably lower estimates than from our ground census.

The aerial survey also included the 40 geographical units that were not part of the ground survey sampling frame. The average adjusted count for these areas was 39.6 poles, which expands to 172,973 pole hours for the year.

Estimates of total sportfishing effort for the entire open coast between Point Conception and the U.S.-Mexico border can be derived by combining pole hour estimates from the ground census with those from the aerial survey of the excluded ground survey units: i.e. 721,141 plus 172,973 for a total of 894,114 pole hours of fishing per year. The
addition of the estimated 911,996 pole hours of fishing from the bays yields a grand total of $1,806,110$ pole hours per year for the entire southern California marine shoreline (Table 12).

## 4. REVIEW

A synoptic and concise (in terms of effort and catch) picture of sportfishing activities in southern California marine waters can now be constructed by gathering together the various segments on the assumption they are representative of a typical year. The available data span the years 1963 through 1966.

### 4.1. Effort

The measure of effort coming closest to being uniform for all sportfishing segments was man hours, although pole hours is preferred for analysis of fish populations. Species and numbers of fish, of course, are comparable between areas and types of fisheries.

The estimated average effort expended from party boats was $2,797,250$ man hours ( mh ) ; for private boats, $2,773,405 \mathrm{mh}$; for piers and jetties, $5,090,523 \mathrm{mh}$; for the shoreline, $1,646,289 \mathrm{mh}$; the grand total being $12,307,467$ man hours of fishing per year (Table 13).

### 4.2. Catch

The total catch of $7,326,003$ fish originated, in numerical order, from the following fisheries: party boats, $3,997,839$; piers and jetties, $1,844,970$; private boats, 981,460 and the shoreline, 501,734 (Table 13).

Catch details at the family and species level reflect not only ecological differences in the areas fished but also differences in modus operandi of fishermen sub-groups. The far-ranging party boats, led by professional guides and aided by a large live bait capacity, pursue offshore, pelagic game species such as Pacific bonito, 879,335 fish; California barracuda, 530,688 fish; Pacific mackerel, 150,739 fish; albacore, 103,748 fish; and California yellowtail, 45,834 fish. Results of bottom, reef, and kelp-bed fishing activities are evident in substantial catches of kelp and sand bass, 1,207,996 fish; California halibut, 116,489 fish; and various species of rockfish, 604,601 fish (Table 14).

Pier and jetty fishermen take a wide variety of fish ranging from the small staghorn sculpin, 1,720 fish, to the more pelagic types such as California barracuda, 17,351 . The most prevalent species taken however, were queenfish, 362,892 fish and white croaker, 342,002 fish. Pacific bonito were third in importance with 283,068 fish. Surfperch also contributed significantly to the total catch, led by walleye surfperch and shiner perch with 141,151 and 132,968 fish respectively (Table 10). Despite the advantages of a range of water depths and live bait, pier and jetty fishermen are dependent, to a greater or lesser degree, on the movement of fish into or through the area.

The shoreline fisherman's take is characterized by the near-shore and surf-loving species such as croakers, surfperch, opaleye, and jack and topsmelt. Pacific bonito were taken in fair numbers, 15,193 fish, because they occasionally approach the shore and also enter protected bays. White croaker, 95,010 fish, and barred surfperch, 87,621 fish, were the important species in this area. Also of substantial importance
were queenfish 47,333 ; opaleye, 38,838 ; black perch, 38,160 ; and California corbina, 30,647. Sharks were frequently taken but relatively few were retained, thus they escaped enumeration.

The harvest by private boat fishermen appears to be a combination of the take by the other three sportfishing groups. All the private vessels have, in one form or another, a high degree of mobility plus a fair live bait capacity, thus a large portion of their catch parallels that of the party boats: Pacific bonito, 401,575 fish; kelp and sand bass, 125,606 fish; California halibut, 98,692 fish; and rockfish, 51,516 fish. On the other hand, limited range and general inexperience (boat handling, navigation and fishing) restricts a major portion of the effort to the near-shore and inland bays. Thus we see significant catches of white croaker, 84,641 ; halfmoon, 19,879; and black perch, 19,558.

Our best estimate of the overall annual southern California sportfishing harvest reveals that during the 1963-66 period three groups of fish contributed well over half of the 7.3 million fish captured: mackerels and tunas, 1.9 million; sea basses, 1.4 million; and croakers, 1.1 million (Table 14). Pacific bonito, with $1,579,171$ fish, made the largest contribution by a single species. California barracuda was second with 565,166 fish; white croaker was third with 545,012 fish; and queenfish, with 426,592 , was fourth. Several other listings registered larger catches, however, they consist of two or more species such as rockfish with 661,220 fish.

## 5. RECOMMENDATIONS

Management of California's marine resources depends on a thorough knowledge of catch, effort, and basic life history of the plants and animals within the ecological system. To satisfy the first two requirements the California Department of Fish and Game has devised and maintains a continuous data collecting system for the commercial fishery that approaches 100 percent coverage. For the sportfishery, only party boat activites are monitored from year to year at a level that is also close to 100 percent.

Our southern California marine sportfish survey found that 77 percent of the total sportfishing effort is expended in areas other than party boats. This effort, an estimated 9.5 million man hours, accounts for 45 percent of the estimated catch.

Since the southern California marine sportfish survey was a piecemeal, once-only investigation, it is evident that substantial amounts of sportfishing effort and catch are not being measured at a sufficiently high level to yield meaningful managerial information.

We recommend that, in addition to the current party boat monitoring efforts, a routine program be devised and implemented to measure marine sportfishing effort and catch from piers, jetties, private boats, and the shoreline.

Life history information, the third basic essential for making management decisions, is unavailable for most of the more important species taken by southern California sportfishermen; good information is on hand only for kelp bass, California barracuda, California yellowtail, and barred surfperch. The following species, all among the 10 most significant sport fishes, are lacking in basic life history data: Pacific
bonito, sand bass, various species of rockfish, white croaker, queenfish, sculpin, walleye surfperch, shiner perch and black perch. The life histories of these species should be investigated.
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## 7. TABLES

## TABLE 1

Common and Scientific Names of Fishes Caught by Private Boat and Shoreline Fishermen in Southern California During 1964 and 1965-66

| Common names | Scientific names |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mackerel sharks_ | Lamnidae |
| Thresher shark | Alopias vulpinus (Bonnaterre) |
| Cat sharks. | Scyliorhinidae |
| Swell shark | Cephaloscyllium uter (Jordan and Gilbert) |
| Requiem sharks | Carcharhinidae |
| Gray smoothhound | Mustelus californicus Gill |
| Brown smoothhound | Triakis henlei (Gill) |
| Blue shark. | Prionace glauca (Linnaeus) |
| Dogfish sharks_ | Squalidae |
| Spiny dogfish | Squalus acanthias Linnaeus |
| Guitarfishes.- | Rhinobatidae |
| Thornback_ | Platyrhinoidis triseriata (Jordan and Gilbert) |
| Shovelnose guitarfish | Rhinobatos productus (Ayres) |
| Eagle rays_ | Myliobatidae |
| Bat ray . | Myliobatis californicus Gill |
| Bonefishes. | Albulidae |
| Bonefish | Albula vulpes (Linnaeus) |
| Herrings | Clupeidae |
| Pacific sardine | Sardinops caeruleus (Girard) |
| Anchovies_ | Engraulidae |
| Northern anchovy | Engraulis mordax Girard |
| Salmons. | Salmonidae |
| Silver salmon | Oncorhynchus kisutch (Walbaum) |
| Lizardfishes. | Synodontidae |
| California lizardfish | Synodus lucioceps (Ayres) |
| Codfishes and hakes | Gadidae |
| Pacific hake | Merluccius productus (Ayres) |
| Sea basses_ | Serranidae |
| Kelp bass | Paralabrax clathratus (Girard) |
| Spotted sand bass | Paralabrax maculatofasciatus (Steindachner) |
| Sand bass_ | Paralabrax nebulifer (Girard) |
| Giant sea bass. | Stereolepis gigas Ayres |
| Tilefishes_ | Branchiostegidae |
| Ocean whitefish | Caulolatilus princeps (Jenyns) |
| Jacks | Carangidae |
| California yellowtail_ | Seriola dorsalis (Gill) |
| Jack mackerel. | Trachurus symmetricus (Ayres) |
| Grunts. | Pomadasyidae |
| Sargo | Anisotremus davidsoni (Steindachner) |
| Salema | Xenistius californiensis (Steindachner) |
| Croakers. | Sciaenidae |
| Black croaker | Cheilotrema saturnum (Girard) |
| White seabass | Cynoscion nobilis (Ayres) |
| White croaker | Genyonemus lineatus (Ayres) |
| California corbina | Menticirrhus undulatus (Girard) |
| Spotfin croaker | Roncador stearnsi (Steindachner) |
| Queenfish. | Seriphus politus Ayres |
| Yellowfin croaker | Umbrina roncador Jordan and Gilbert |
| Halfmoons. | Scorpidae |
| Halfmoon | Medialuna californiensis (Steindachner) |
| Nibblers. | Girellidae |
| Opaleye | Girella nigricans (Ayres) |
| Surfperches. | Embiotocidae |
| Barred surfperch | Amphistichus argenteus Agassiz |
| Shiner perch. | Cymatogaster aggregata Gibbons |
| Black perch. | Embiotoca jacksoni Agassiz |
| Walleye surfperch | Hyperprosopon argenteum Gibbons |
| Rainbow seaperch | Hypsurus caryi (Agassiz) |
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TABLE 1-Continued
Common and Scientific Names of Fishes Caught by Private Boat and Shoreline Fishermen in Southern California During 1964 and 1965-66

| Common names | Scientific names |
| :---: | :---: |
| Surfperches-continued | Embiotocidae-continued |
| White seaperch. | Phanerodon furcatus Girard |
| Rubberlip perch | Rhacochilus toxotes Agassiz |
| Pile perch_ | Rhacochilus vacca (Girard) |
| Damselfishes_ | Pomacentridae |
| Blacksmith | Chromis punctipinnus (Cooper) |
| Wrasses. | Labridae |
| Rock wrasse | Halichoeres semicinctus (Ayres) |
| Señorita_ | Oxyjulis californica (Gunther) |
| California sheephead. | Pimelometopon pulchrum (Ayres) |
| Mackerels and Tunas. - | Scombridae |
| Skipjack tuna. | Katsuwonus pelamis (Linnaeus) |
| Pacific mackerel | Scomber japonicus Houttuyn |
| Pacific bonito. | Sarda chiliensis (Cuvier) |
| Albacore. | Thunnus alalunga (Bonnaterre) |
| Bluefin tuna | Thunnus thynnus (Linnaeus) |
| Billfishes | Istiophoridae |
| Striped marlin_ | Tetrapturus audax Phillipi |
| Rockfishes. | Scorpaenidae |
| Sculpin. | Scorpaena guttata Girard |
| Kelp rockfish | Sebastodes atrovirens (Jordan and Gilbert) |
| Grass rockfish | Sebastodes rastrelliger (Jordan and Gilbert) |
| Olive rockfish | Sebastodes serranoides Eigenmann and Eigenmann |
| Sablefishes_ | Anoplopomatidae |
| Sablefish | Anoplopoma fimbria (Pallas) |
| Greenlings. | Hexagrammidae ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
| Lingcod. | Ophiodon elongatus Girard |
| Sculpins | Cottidae |
| Pacific staghorn sculp | Leptocottus armatus Girard |
| Cabezon.------ | Scorpaenichthys marmoratus (Ayres) |
| Clinids | Clinidae |
| Giant kelpfish | Heterostichus rostratus Girard |
| Onespot fringehead | Neoclinus uninotatus Hubbs |
| Cusk-eels. | Ophidiidae |
| Cusk-eel | Otophidium spp. |
| Butterfishes. | Stromateidae |
| Pacific pompano | Peprilus simillimus (Ayres) |
| Barracudas | Sphyraenidae |
| California barracuda | Sphyraena argentea (Girard) |
| Silversides. | Atherinidae |
| Topsmelt | Atherinops affinis (Ayres) |
| Jacksmelt. | Atherinopsis californiensis Girard |
| Lefteye flounders | Bothidae |
| Sanddab species | Citharichthys spp. |
| Bigmouth sole. | Hippoglossina stomata Eigenmann and Eigenmann |
| California halibut | Paralichthys californicus (Ayres) |
| Fantail sole. | Xystreurys liolepis Jordan and Gilbert |
| Righteye flounders. | Pleuronectidae |
| Petrale sole. | Eopsetta jordani (Lockington) |
| Diamond turbot | Hypsopsetta guttulata (Girard) |
| Rock sole. | Lepidopsetta bilineata (Ayres) |
| English sole. | Parophrys vetulus Girard |
| Hornyhead turbot | Pleuronichthys verticalis Jordan and Gilbert |
| Molas.- | Molidae |
| Mola | Mola mola (Linnaeus) |
| Toadfishes | Batrachoididae |
| Specklefin midshipma | Porichthys myriaster Hubbs and Schultz |
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Estimated 1964 Sportfishing Effort from Private Boats in Southern California Marine Waters

TABLE 3
Estimated Number of Fish Caught by Sportfishermen from Private Boats

${ }^{1}$ S.E. = Standard error of the estimate. ${ }^{\text {Mooring sites were not sampled during January and February. The number of fish caught during this period was }}$ approximated by multiplying the January-February launching site catch by $0.4259-$ the ratio of mooring site to launching site catch for the months of March through December.

TABLE 3
Estimated Number of Fish Caught by Sportfishermen from Private Boats in 1964 in Southern California Marine Waters


TABLE 4
Species Composition of the 1964 Sportfishing Catch from Private Boats in Southern California Marine Waters


TABLE 4
Species Composition of the 1964 Sportfishing Catch from Private Boats in Southern California Marine Waters

| Billfasese Striped marlin |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\text {Rockfishes }}^{\text {Stimed }}$ marlin. | -- | - | - | - | 79 | - | 79 |
| Sculpin. | 2,690 | ${ }^{3.324}$ | 3,797 | ${ }^{2,553}$ | 5.140 | 3,521 | ${ }^{21,025}$ |
| Rockfish species Sablefishes | 8,435 | 5,886 | 12,778 | 11,432 | 8,242 | 4,743 | 51,516 |
| Sablefish.- | -. | .. | 1,075 | 1,051 | 39 | 77 | 2,242 |
| Greenlings Lingeod | 185 | 282 | 34 | 416 | .- | 307 | 1,224 |
| Sculpins | 185 |  | 746 |  |  |  |  |
| Clinids | 185 | 693 | 746 | 464 | 282 | 461 | 2,831 |
| Giant kelpfitioh- | 115 | - |  | 214 |  | 38 | 367 |
| Barracudas | $\cdots$ | $\cdot$ | 34 | - | $\cdots$ | -- |  |
| California barracuda. | 231 | 2,997 | 4,180 | 4,038 | 4,751 | 38 | 16,235 |
| Siversides Topsmelt. |  |  | 98 | 1,035 | 39 |  | 1.172 |
| Jacksmelt... | 81 | 376 | 1,622 | 1,389 | 39 | 1,257 | 4,764 |
| Lefteyo elounders Sanddab speci | 162 | 115 | 711 | 127 | 408 | 935 | 2,458 |
| Bigmouth sole. |  | 347 |  | 344 | 314 |  | 1,005 |
| California halibut. | ${ }_{5}^{5.5874}$ | 13,656 127 | $\underset{\substack{\text { c, } \\ 1,264 \\ 1,264}}{ }$ | ${ }^{25,472}$ [56 | ${ }^{29,904}$ | 4,960 | - |
| Righteye flounders |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |
| Petrale sole ... | .. | 115 | 236 |  | - | ${ }_{118}$ | ${ }_{4} 69$ |
| Diamond turbot... | - | ${ }^{376}$ | 401 | ${ }_{90}^{127}$ | $\cdots$ | 843 | ${ }^{1,747}$ |
| English sole........ | - | $\because$ | -. | ${ }_{90}$ | - | - | 90 |
| Flatish unybead turbot... | .. | $\stackrel{29}{-}$ | 65 | 601 | 1,301 | 747 | 2,714 |
| Molas |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toadifises | .- | 29 | -- | -- | -. | .. | 29 |
| Unidentified fish........- | .. | .. | $3_{34}^{34}$ | 129 | .. | . | 34 163 |
| TOTALS. | 57,153 | 22 | 159,689 | 331,001 | 278,832 | 57,522 | 957,119 |

§

TABLE 4-Cont'd.


TABLE 5
Observed Sportfishing Catch-Per-Unit-of-Effort from Private Boats in 1964 in Southern California Marine Waters


TABLE 6
Estimated 1965-66 Sportfishing Effort Expended from the Southern California Marine Shoreline

$$
\text { TABLE } 7
$$

Estimated Numbers of Fish Caught by Sportfishermen from the Southern

|  | Open coast |  | Inland bays |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimate | S.E. ${ }^{2}$ | Estimate | S.E. | Estimate | S.E. |
| 1965 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April' | ${ }^{(22,787)}$ | .- | (19,142) | -- | ${ }^{41,929}$ | 14,858 |
| May ${ }^{1}$ | (14,332) |  | (20,556) |  | ${ }^{34,888}$ | 11,875 |
| June.. | ${ }^{27,220}$ | 8.038 | 26,753 | ${ }^{11,678}$ | 53,973 | 14,176 |
| July. | 39,144 | 8.064 | 87,384 | 60,107 | 126,528 | 60,645 |
| August... | 33,257 | 9,854 | 21,352 | 5,744 | 54,609 | 11,405 |
| September. | 19.077 | 6,015 | 18,487 | 8.629 | 37.564 | 10,518 |
| October. | 24,659 | 8,242 | 17,274 | 4,080 | 41,933 | 9,196 |
| November. | 14,248 | 5,026 | 18,352 | 9,333 | 32,600 | 10,600 |
| December. | 7,612 | 2.223 | 6,146 | 2,825 | 13,758 | 3,594 |
| 1966 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 21,813 | 6,156 | 4,137 | 2,543 | 25,950 | 6,660 |
| February | 6,691 | 2,273 | 7,128 | 3,141 | 13,819 | 3,877 |
| March. | 4,853 | 1,449 | 19,330 | 11,314 | 24,183 | 11,406 |
| Sub-totals (10 months). | 198,574 | 20,154 | 226,343 | 64,130 |  |  |
| Totals (12 months)... | 235,693 | -- | 266,041 | -- | 501,734 | 69,862 |

1 In April and May the open coast and inland bay sampling units were one population, thus the estimated numbers of
fish, with the associated standard errors, applies only to the combined areas. The figures in parentheses represent
2 S.E. $=$ Standard error of the estimate.

TABLE 7
Estimated Numbers of Fish Caught by Sportfishermen from the Southern California Marine Shoreline, 1965-1966


TABLE 8
Species Composition of the 1965-66 Sportfish Catch from the Southern California Shoreline-Open Coast

TABLE 8-Continued

| Species | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | Norember | Deeember | January | February | Mareb | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sculpins |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pacific staghorn seulpin. |  |  | 440 | 1,067 | 104 |  | 668 |  |  | ${ }^{113}$ |  |  | ${ }_{2}^{2,412}$ |
| Caberon.......- | 575 | 136 | 110 | 330 | .- | 116 | .. | 694 | 224 | 218 | 340 | .. | 2,743 |
| Clinids |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Giant kelpfisb... | .. | .- | 220 | 105 | .. | 116 | 105 | .. | .- | 218 | 310 | .. | 1,074 |
| Silversides Jack and topsmelt. |  | .. | 110 | 330 | .. | .. | .. | 210 | 518 | .. | .. | .. | 1,168 |
| Lefleye flounders |  |  |  |  | - | .. | .. |  |  | .. | $\cdots$ | .. |  |
| Californias halibut. | 195 | . |  | 435 | .- | .. | .. | 100 | .. | - | 103 | .. | 833 |
| Unidentifed fibl... | .- | .. | 120 | 113 | .- | .. | .- | .. | .. | .- | .- | .. | 233 |
| Totals. | 22,768 | 14,332 | 27,220 | 39,144 | 33,257 | 19.077 | 24,659 | 14,248 | 7,612 | 21,813 | 6,691 | 4,853 | 235,693 |
| S.E.1. | .. |  | 8,038 | 8.064 | 9,854 | 6,015 | 8,242 | 5.026 | 2,223 | 6,156 | 2,273 | 1,449 |  |

TABLE 8
Species Composition of the 1965-66 Sportfish Catch from the Southern California Shoreline-Open Coast TABLE 9

| Species | April | May | June | July | August | September | Oetober | November | December | January | February | March | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sharks, unidentifed. | -. | -. | 264 | 347 | .- | 50 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 661 |
| Guitarfisbes Shovelnose guitarfish.. |  |  | 88 | 116 | 50 |  |  | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 254 |
| Rays, unidentified..... | .. | 135 | 4 | - | 50 | 50 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 279 |
| Bosefistes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bonefisb. | .. | 135 | 88 | 231 | .- | -. | .. | -. | .- | .. | .- | .- | 454 |
| Sea bases Kelp tass... | 161 | 136 | 169 | 809 | 50 | 660 | 115 | 809 | 44 | .. |  | 4 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Spoptud }}$ Kand bas.. | 146 | 3,779 | 565 | 578 | 319 | 50 | ${ }_{5 S}$ |  |  | . | 4 |  | 5,536 |
| Sand bass....... | 161 | 1,352 | 1,379 | 517 | 468 | 198 | 792 | 198 | 259 |  | 1,540 | 259 | 7,123 |

TABLE 9
Species Composition of the 1965-66 Sportfish Catch from Southern California Shoreline-Inland Bays

| Jacke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 127 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\text {Grunts }}^{\text {Jackere }}$ | .. |  | .. | 116 | .. | .- | .. | .- | .- | .. | .. |  |  |
| Sargo.. | 146 | .. | .. | 55 | ${ }_{50}^{99}$ | 347 | 1,293 | 149 | 4 | * | 110 | .. | 2,243 |
| Crasers |  | . |  |  |  |  |  | - | . |  |  |  |  |
| Black craker.. White eabuse |  | .- | 792 | ${ }_{55}^{517}$ | 64 | 149 | .. | ${ }^{37}$ | .- | .. | .. | .- | ${ }^{1.805}$ |
| White seabss.... | 5,138 | 11,179 | 20,475 | 28,292 | ${ }_{4.961}^{64}$ | 7.524 | 5.134 | 5,313 | 2,736 | 110 | 132 | 2,244 | ${ }^{93,278}$ |
| Californic cortion |  |  |  | 110 | 149 | 50 |  | . | ${ }^{468}$ |  | $\cdots$ |  | 1.003 |
| Spotfin croaker.. | ${ }^{6,278}$ | ${ }_{4}^{407}$ | 205 | 330 | ${ }^{990}$ | 1,947 | 831 | .. | 176 | 110 | .. | 385 | 11,659 |
| Quenfist... | ${ }_{202}^{161}$ | 135 | ${ }^{264}$ | 45,550 | - 1,018 | 396 | 165 | .. | .. | .. | .. | $\cdots$ | $\underset{\substack{47,228 \\ 1,068}}{ }$ |
| Nibblers |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  | ${ }^{2}$ | 85 | - | .- |  |  | .. |  |
| Opaleye..- | -- | 674 | ${ }^{433}$ | ${ }^{633}$ | 517 | ${ }^{50}$ | 616 | 1,106 | .- | 281 | 990 | 4 | 5,344 |
| Surfiperches Barred surfeerch. | 161 |  | 176 | 35 |  | 50 | 116 | 330 |  | ${ }^{330}$ | 660 |  | 1.878 |
| Black perch... |  | 1,283 | 528 | ${ }^{1.551}$ | ${ }^{2.332}$ | 347 | ${ }^{2,426}$ | 1,733 | ${ }^{429}$ | ${ }^{226}$ | 836 | 1,056 | ${ }^{12,747}$ |
| Walleye sutiperch | ${ }_{3}^{321}$ | ${ }^{394}$ | ${ }_{24}^{242}$ | ${ }_{605}^{356}$ | ${ }^{121}$ | ${ }_{2}^{215}$ |  | ${ }_{729} 9$ | ${ }_{91}^{726}$ | 1.133 <br> ${ }_{726}$ | 88 330 |  | - ${ }_{8.895}^{1.895}$ |
| White seaperch. | 1,183 | ${ }^{43}$ | 403 | 605 | .. | 1,287 | ${ }^{1.386}$ | ${ }^{726}$ | 941 | ${ }^{726}$ | ${ }^{330}$ | ${ }_{8}^{83}$ | 8,983 |
| Rubbertip perch. Plie perch..... | 161 <br> 161 | .. | 44 | 110 | 50 | .. |  | 50 | ${ }^{44}$ | ${ }^{935}$ | ${ }^{1,628}$ | ${ }_{297}^{49}$ | - $\begin{aligned} & 1,228 \\ & 2,351\end{aligned}$ |
| Peeth unidenitifed. | 161 | .. | 88 | 275 | 512 | 30 | 34 | 1,944 | 215 | .. | 1,628 | ${ }_{1,056}^{297}$ | ${ }_{4,451}^{2,351}$ |
| Mackeres and tunas Pacific mackerl. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preific mackerel..... | .. | .. | $\ddot{88}$ | 787 | 1,210 | 2,195 | 1,271 | 1,881 | $:$ | $\because$ | : | ${ }^{1,761}$ | ${ }_{15,123}^{127}$ |
| Sculpin Peific staghorn sculpin. | .. | .. | .. | 116 | 363 | 396 | 116 | .. | .. | 55 | . |  |  |
| Clinderon........... | .. |  | .. |  | .. | .. | .. | .. |  |  | .. | 4 | 44 |
| Clinids ${ }_{\text {Ciant }}$ kelpfish... | .. | .. | .. | .. | 50 | ${ }^{50}$ | .. | .. | .- | .- | .. | .- | 100 |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Barracudas } \\ \text { Cailornia barrauda }}}{ }$ |  |  |  | 231 | 534 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{127}$ | 892 |
| Silverides |  |  | .- | 231 | 53 |  | .. | .. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jack and topamelt.. | 3,197 | .. | 81 | 798 | 4,158 | 1,188 | 1,980 | 3,498 | .. | .. | .. | 2,618 | 17,518 |
| Lefereye flounders Calioriat. | 1,022 | .. | 249 | 2,932 | 2,486 | 149 | 881 | 149 | .. | .. | 110 | 4 | 7,422 |
| Righteye flounders Turbots, unidentified. | 453 | 404 | 88 | 847 | 121 | 1,089 | 55 | 50 | 4 | 231 | 660 | 2,112 | 6,154 |
| Totals. | 19,142 | 20,556 | 26,753 | 87,384 | 21,352 | 18,487 | 17,274 | 18,352 | 6,146 | 4,137 | 7.128 | 19,330 | 266,941 |
| s.E.1 | . | .. | 11,678 | 60,107 | 5,744 | 8,629 | 4,050 | 9,333 | 2,825 | 2,543 | 3,141 | ${ }^{11,314}$ |  |

TABLE 9-Cont'd.

TABLE 10

| Numerical Ranking of the $\mathbf{1 5}$ Most Important Sport-Caught Fish in Southern California Marine Waters, 1963-66 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank Species | Numbers | Percent comp. | Rank Species | Numbers | Percent comp. |
| Party Boats (Annual Average, 1963-66) |  |  | Private Boats (1964) |  |  |
| 1. Kelp and sand bass.- | 1,207,996 | 30.2 | 1. Pacific bonito-......-- | 401,575 | 42.0 |
| 2. Pacific bonito. | 879,335 | 22.0 | 2. Kelp and sand bass.-- | 132,150 | 13.8 |
| 3. Rockfish species_ | 604,601 | 15.1 | 3. California halibut | 98,692 | 10.3 |
| 4. California barracuda. | 530,688 | 13.3 | 4. White croaker | 84,641 | 8.8 |
| 5. Sculpin. | 192,369 | 4.8 | 5. Rockfish species | 51,516 | 5.4 |
| 6. Pacific mackerel | 150,739 | 3.8 | 6. Pacific mackerel | 24,173 | 2.5 |
| 7. California halibut | 116,489 | 2.9 | 7. Sculpin | 21,025 | 2.2 |
| 8. Albacore. | 103,748 | 2.6 | 8. Halfmoon | 19,879 | 2.1 |
| 9. California yellowtail | 45,834 | 1.2 | 9. Black perch | 19,558 | 2.0 |
| 10. Halfmoon. | 35,202 | 0.9 | 10. California barracuda_- | 16,235 | 1.7 |
| 11. California sheephead | 34,970 | 0.9 | 11. Queenfish. | 15,939 | 1.7 |
| 12. White croaker- | 23,359 | 0.6 | 12. Smelt, jack and top-- | 5,936 | 0.6 |
| 13. White seabass. | 12,109 | 0.3 | 13. Albacore | 5,902 | 0.6 |
| 14. Ocean whitefish | 10,608 | 0.3 | 14. White seaperch | 5,713 | 0.6 |
| 15. Jack mackerel. | 10,161 | 0.3 | 15. California yellowtail | 4,926 | 0.5 |
| Subtotals | 3,958,208 | 99.0 | Subtotals | 907,860 | 92.5 |
| Other fish. | 39,631 | 1.0 | Other fish | 73,600 | 7.5 |
| Grand totals | 3,997,839 | 100.0 | Grand totals | 981,460 | 100.0 |
| Piers and Jetties (1963) <br> 1. Queenfish. | 362,892 | 19.7 | Open Coast (1965-66) <br> 1. Barred surfperch. | 85,743 | 36.4 |
| 2. White croaker | 342,002 | 18.5 | 2. Opaleye.... | 33,494 | 14.2 |
| 3. Pacific bonito | 283,068 | 15.3 | 3. California corbin | 29,644 | 12.6 |
| 4. Walleye surfperch | 141,151 | 7.7 | 4. Black perch | 25,413 | 10.8 |
| 5. Shiner perch | 132,968 | 7.2 | 5. Walleye surfperch | 12,405 | 5.3 |
| 6. Smelt, jack and top | 72,187 | 3.9 | 6. Halfmoon.- | 8,563 | 3.6 |
| 7. Black perch. | 64,764 | 3.5 | 7. Yellowfin croake | 4,190 | 1.8 |
| 8. California halibut | 56,933 | 3.1 | 8. White seaperch | 3,212 | 1.4 |
| 9. Pacific mackerel. | 56,669 | 3.1 | 9. Cabezon | 2,743 | 1.2 |
| 10. Kelp and sand bass | 46,821 | 2.5 | 10. Sargo. | 2,659 | 1.1 |
| 11. Opaleye | 31,448 | 1.7 | 11. Pacific staghorn |  |  |
| 12. Northern anchovy | 29,686 | 1.6 | sculpin. | 2,412 | 1.0 |
| 13. Barred surfperch | 23,990 | 1.3 | 12. Pile perch | 2,389 | 1.0 |
| 14. White seaperch. | 17,769 | 1.0 | 13. Wrasses, unspecified.- | 2,380 | 1.0 |
| 15. California barracuda. | 17,351 | 0.9 | 14. Sharks, unspecified..- | 2,310 | 1.0 |
| Subtotals | 1,678,699 | 91.0 | 15. Kelp and sand bass. | 2,231 | 1.0 |
|  |  |  | Subtota | 219,788 | 93.3 |
| Other fish. | 166,271 | 9.0 |  |  |  |
| Grand totals | 1,844,970 | 100.0 | her fish | 15,905 | 6.7 |
|  |  |  | Grand totals | 235,693 | 100.0 |

TABLE 10
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TABLE 10-Continued
Numerical Ranking of the 15 Most Important Sport-Caught Fish in Southern California Marine Waters, 1963-66

| Rank Species | Numbers | Percent comp. | Rank Species | Numbers | Percent comp. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inland Bays (1965-66) |  |  | Total All Southern California (Representative Annual Catch, 1963-66) |  |  |
| 1. White croaker- | 93,278 | 35.1 | 1. Pacific bonito.------- | 1,579,171 | 21.6 |
| 2. Queenfish | 47,228 | 17.8 | 2. Kelp and sand bass..- | 1,390,958 | 19.1 |
| 3. Smelt, jack and top | 17,518 | 6.6 | 3. Rockfish species | 661,220 | 9.1 |
| 4. Kelp and sand bass | 15,656 | 6.0 | 4. California barracuda-- | 565,166 | 7.7 |
| 5. Pacific bonito_ | 15,193 | 5.7 | 5. White croaker | 545,012 | 7.5 |
| 6. Black perch- | 12,747 | 4.8 | 6. Queenfish - | 426,592 | 5.8 |
| 7. Spotfin croaker | 11,695 | 4.4 | 7. California halibut | 280,369 | 3.8 |
| 8. White seaperch. | 8,983 | 3.4 | 8. Pacific mackerel | 231,708 | 3.2 |
| 9. California halibut. | 7,422 | 2.8 | 9. Sculpin | 220,129 | 3.0 |
| 10. Turbots, unspecified | 6,154 | 2.3 | 10. Walleye surfperch.--- | 159,089 | 2.2 |
| 11. Opaleye --. - | 5,344 | 2.0 | 11. Shiner perch | 133,386 | 1.8 |
| 12. Perch unspecified. | 4,451 | 1.7 | 12. Black perch. | 122,482 | 1.7 |
| 13. Walleye surfperch | 3,895 | 1.5 | 13. Barred surfperch | 113,599 | 1.6 |
| 14. Pile perch | 2,351 | 0.9 | 14. Albacore.- | 109,650 | 1.5 |
| 15. Sargo | 2,243 | 0.8 | 15. Smelt, Jack and top_- | 96,809 | 1.3 |
| Subtotals | 254,158 | 95.5 | Subtotals | 6,635,340 | 90.6 |
| Other fish | 11,883 | 4.5 | Other fish | 690,663 | 9.4 |
| Grand totals | 266,041 | 100.0 | Grand totals. | 7,326,003 | 100.0 |

TABLE 10
Numerical Ranking of the 15 Most Important Sport-Caught Fish in Southern California Marine Waters, 1963-66

TABLE 11
Estimated 1965-66 Catch-Per-Man Hour of Fishing by Sportfishermen from the Southern California Marine Shoreline

| Month | Open coast |  | Inland bays |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fish per hr. | S.E. ${ }^{1}$ | Fish per hr. | S.E. | Fish per hr. | S.E. |
| April | --- | --- | --- | --- | 0.282 | 0.04632 |
| May .- |  |  |  | -- | 0.276 | 0.02876 |
| June | 0.301 | 0.05252 | 0.526 | 0.18115 | 0.382 | 0.05567 |
| July | 0.313 | 0.05244 | 0.517 | 0.13616 | 0.430 | 0.06049 |
| August. | 0.199 | 0.04015 | 0.178 | 0.03476 | 0.190 | 0.02056 |
| September. | 0.230 | 0.06999 | 0.299 | 0.13466 | 0.260 | 0.04968 |
| October-- | 0.516 | 0.10248 | 0.261 | 0.02250 | 0.365 | 0.04454 |
| November. | 0.585 | 0.11801 | 0.321 | 0.05865 | 0.399 | 0.03614 |
| December. | 0.279 | 0.06062 | 0.228 | 0.11590 | 0.254 | 0.04782 |
| January | 0.524 | 0.13398 | 0.227 | 0.09019 | 0.434 | 0.09457 |
| February .-....-- | 0.254 | 0.08531 | 0.106 | 0.03691 | 0.147 | 0.02467 |
| March.- | 0.217 | 0.05161 | 0.248 | 0.07033 | 0.240 | 0.05516 |
| Grand total.- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 0.305 | 0.015 |

${ }^{1}$ S.E. $=$ Standard error of the estimate.
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TABLE 12
Comparison of Ground Survey and Aerial Estimates of Pole Hours of Fishing in Southern California
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TABLE 13
Summary of Sportfishing Effort, Catch, Catch-per-Unit-of-Effort in Southern

| Fishery | Man or angler days | Man hours | Numbers of fish | Catch-per-man hour of fishing ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Party boats (average 1963-66) | 570,477 | 2,797,250 | 3,997,839 | 1.429 |
| Piers and jetties (1963) | 1,404,079 | 5,090,523 | 1,844,970 | 0.362 |
| Private boats (1964). | 443,258 | 2,773,405 | 981,460 | 0.354 |
| Shore line (1965-66). | 551,151 | 1,646,289 | 501,734 | 0.305 |
| Totals_ | 4,379,203 | 12,307,467 | 7,326,003 | 0.595 |

Cala from adjusted estimates

TABLE 13
Summary of Sportfishing Effort, Catch, Catch-per-Unit-of-Effort in Southern California Marine Waters
table 14

|  | Party boat average of 1963-66 | Pier and jetty 1963 | Private boat 1964 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Shoreline } \\ 1965-66 \end{gathered}$ | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sharks_ | 100 | 754 | 3,687 | 2,971 | 7,512 |
| Guitarfishes | -.- | 650 | 900 | 680 | 2,230 |
| Rays.-. | -.- |  | 120 | 2,216 | 2,336 |
| Bonefish. | -.. | -.- | --- | --7 | 454 |
| Bonefish. | -.- |  | --- | 454 | 454 |
| Herrings-. | -.- |  | -1i | --- | 1,699 |
| Pacific sardine | --- | 1,581 | 118 | --- | 1,699 |
| Anchovies...-.-.-. | .-. |  | --- | --- | 29,686 |
| Northern anchovy | -.- | 29,686 | ...- | -... | 29,686 |
| Salmons | $\cdots$ | --- | $\cdots$ | -.. | 311 |
| Silver salmon | 38 | 239 | 34 | -.. | 311 |
| Lizardfishes .-......... | -.- | --- |  | --- | 124 |
| California lizardfish. | -.- | --- | 124 | --- | 124 |
| Codfish and hakes.- | --- | --- |  | --- | 2,237 |
| Sea basses .--- | $\cdots$ | --- | 2,237 | ---- | 1,405,798 |
| Kelp and sand bass | 1,207,996 |  | -... |  | 1,207,996 |
| Kelp bass...-....- | , .-- | 34,606 | 61,093 | 5,033 | 100,732 |
| Spotted sand bass |  |  | 6,544 | 5,536 | 12,080 |
| Sand bass.. | -. | 10,399 | 64,513 | 7,318 | 82,230 |
| Giant sea bass. | 519 | ,-- | 425 | -.- | 944 |
| Bass, unidentified. | ... | 1,816 | -.. | -.. | 1,816 |
| Tilefishes_ | --- | --- | -17\% | --- | 11,725 |
| Ocean Whitefish. | 10,608 | --- | 1,117 | .-. | 11,725 |
| Jacks...... |  | .-. |  | --- | 65,843 |
| California yellowtail | 45,834 | -- | 4,926 | $\cdots$ | 50,760 |
| Jack mackerel. | 10,161 | 4,030 | 649 | 243 | 15,083 |
| Grunts.-- | .-. |  |  |  | 19,452 |
| Sargo--- | -.- | 8,145 | 1,388 | 4,902 | 14,435 |
| Salema_-. | --- | 4,967 | -.- | 50 | 5,017 |
| Croakers-- | --- |  |  |  | 1,089,996 |
| Black croaker | --- | 2,158 | 863 | 2,748 | 5,769 |
| White seabass | 12,109 | 8,551 | 3,350 | 699 | 24,709 |
| White croaker. | 23,359 | 342,002 | 84,641 | 95,010 | 545,012 |
| California corbina. | , | 7,595 | 71 | 30,647 | 38,313 |
| Spotfin croaker. | -.. | 14,721 | 806 | 13,610 | 29,137 |
| Queenfish.- | 428 | 362,892 | 15,939 | 47,333 | 426,592 |
| Yellowfin croaker. | -.- | 12,057 | 275 | 5,258 | 17,590 |
| Croaker, unidentified | -.. | 2,874 | --- | ... | 2,874 |
| Halfmoons.- |  |  | --7 |  | 67,320 |
| Halfmoon. | 35,202 | 3,676 | 19,879 | 8,563 | 67,320 |
| Nibblers.- | .-- |  |  |  | 73,587 |
| Opaleye.- | ... | 31,448 | 3,301 | 38,838 | 73,587 |
| Surfperches. |  |  |  |  | 616,423 |
| Barred surfperch |  | 23,990 | 1,988 | 87,621 | 113,599 |
| Shiner perch.-. |  | 132,968 | 418 |  | 133,386 |
| Black perch. | .-. | 64,764 | 19,558 | 38,160 | 122,482 |
| Walleye surf perch_ | .-. | 141,151 | 1,638 | 16,300 | 159,089 |
| Rainbow seaperch. | ..- | 933 | 195 | 120 | 1,248 |
| White seaperch..- |  | 17,769 | 5,713 | 12,195 | 36,677 |
| Rubberlip perch... |  | 4,503 | 3,375 | 3,113 | 10,991 |
| Pile perch...-.-.- |  | 14,271 | 2,036 | 4,740 | 21,047 |
| Perch, unidentified. |  | 12,387 | 79 | 6,438 | 18,904 |
| Damselfish.-.--- |  |  |  |  | 163 |
| Blacksmith_ |  | 105 | 58 |  | 163 |
| Wrasses_. |  | .-. |  |  | 39,405 |
| Rock wrasse |  | ... | 74 | 2,380 | 2,454 |
| Señorita |  | ... | 156 |  | 156 |
| California sheephead | 34,970 | --. | 1,450 | 375 | 36,795 |

TABLE 14
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Average Annual Catch (Numbers) by Party Boat, Pier and Jefty, Private Boat, Shoreline Fishermen and Their Combined Catch in Southern California 1963-66

|  | Party boat average of 1963-66 | Pier and jetty 1963 | Private boat 1964 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Shoreline } \\ 1965-66 \end{gathered}$ | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mackerels and tunas...- | --7 | --- | --- | --- | 1,931,094 |
| Bluefin tuna | 875 | - |  | --- | 875 |
| Skipjack tuna_ | 3,816 |  | 106 |  | 3,922 |
| Pacific mackerel | 150,739 | 56,669 | 24,173 | 127 | 231,708 |
| Pacific bonito..- | 879,335 | 283,068 | 401,575 | 15,193 | 1,579,171 |
| Albacore..-- | 103,748 | -- | 5,902 | -.- | 109,650 |
| Mackerel, unidentified...---- | -.- | 5,768 | -.- | -.- | 5,768 |
|  | --- | --- | -- | .-- | 122 |
| Striped marlin..- | 43 | .-. | 79 | --- | 122 |
| Rockfishes.-...... |  |  | --- | -.- | 881,349 |
| Sculpin--.------------------- | 192,369 | 6,735 | 21,025 |  | 220,129 |
| Rockfish species .--...-.-.-.-- | 604,601 | 3,967 | 51,516 | 1,136 | 661,220 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 9,163 |
|  | 3,398 | 3,523 | 2,242 | -.- | 9,163 |
| Greenlings |  | , |  | -.- | 6,687 |
| Lingcod. | 5,463 | ... | 1,224 | -.. | 6,687 |
| Sculpins... |  |  |  |  | 19,588 |
| Cabezon. | 3,634 | 5,070 | 2,831 | 2,787 | 14,322 |
| Pacific staghorn sculpin.-...-- | -.- | 1,720 | --- | 3,546 | 5,266 |
| Clinids .-. - | --- | --- | $\cdots$ |  | 2,247 |
| Giant kelpfish...-.-.-.-.---- | .-. | 672 | 367 | 1,174 | 2,213 |
| Onespot fringehead --------- | ... | -.- | 34 | --- | 34 |
| Butterfishes.- | -.- |  | --- | --- | 7,887 |
| Pacific pompano.----------- | -.- | 7,887 | --- | --- | 7,887 |
| Barracudas --.-....... |  |  |  |  | 565,166 |
| California barracuda | 530,688 | 17,351 | 16,235 | 892 | 565,166 |
| Silversides........- | .-- | $\cdots$ | .-. |  | 96,809 |
| Jack and topsmelt. | .-. | 59,465 | 5,936 | 18,686 | 84,087 |
| Grunion-.........-.-.......-- | --- | 743 | -.- | --- | 743 |
| Silversides, unidentified.....-- | .-. | 11,979 | -.. | -.. | 11,979 |
| Lefteye flounders .-.-.-.-....- | --- | -7\% |  | -.- | 295,506 |
| Sanddab species . | ... | 7,567 | 2,458 | -.. | 10,025 |
| Bigmouth sole. |  | 459 | 1,005 |  | 1,464 |
| California halibut. | 116,489 | 56,933 | 98,692 | 8,255 | 280,369 |
| Fantail sole. | -.- | 1,032 | 2,616 | ..- | 3,648 |
| Righteye flounders. | --- | -.. |  |  | 12,834 |
| Petrale sole... | -.- | $\cdots$ | 469 | ... | 469 |
| Diamond turbot. | -.- | 3,961 | 1,747 | ..- | 5,708 |
| Rock sole... | -.- | -.- | 90 | --- | 90 |
| English sole... | --- | ... | 90 | --- | 90 |
| Hornyhead turbot.- | -.- | $\cdots$ | 29 | $\cdots$ | 29 |
| Turbots, unidentified. | 7-7 | 294 | -7- | 6,154 | 6,448 |
| Flatfish unidentified | 6,372 | 1,408 | 2,714 | .-. | 10,494 |
| Molas.. | -.- | --- | $\cdots$ | -.- | 29 |
| Mola | -.- | -.- | 29 | --. | 29 |
| Cusk eels_........-....- | --- | $\cdots$ | --- | --- | 190 |
| Cusk eel, unidentified. | --- | 190 | -.- |  | 190 |
| Toadfishes....-.......... | .- | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  | 458 |
| Specklefin midshipman_ |  | 424 | 34 |  | 458 |
| Other and unidentified fish. | 14,945 | 10,397 | 163 | 233 | 25,738 |
| TOTALS. | 3,997,839 | 1,844,970 | 957,119 | 501,734 | 7,301,662 |
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