

# UC Irvine

## UC Irvine Previously Published Works

### Title

On the common pathways of deformation: RNA vs DNA under interrogation

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/00g1b4jd>

### Journal

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 120(23)

### ISSN

0027-8424

### Author

Yu, Jin

### Publication Date

2023-06-06

### DOI

10.1073/pnas.2306453120

### Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

## On the common pathways of deformation: RNA vs DNA under interrogation

DNA/RNA molecular structures are highly susceptible to environmental stimuli and consequent deformations significantly impact on genetic regulation and association with proteins for various functions (1, 2). As physiological processes are complicated and hard to be monitored directly, efforts have been made in well controlled experimental conditions on measuring DNA/RNA conformational responses to representative stimuli: from earlier applying mechanical tensions on DNA to monitor twist-tension or twist-stretch coupling (3, 4), to later probing temperature dependence of DNA persistence length or twist (5, 6), and to more recently imposing salt concentration variation to induce double-stranded (ds) RNA conformation change (7) or DNA twist (8, 9). Meanwhile, comparative studies had been conducted between dsRNA and DNA, revealing comparable mechanical responses but notable distinctions (10-12). In PNAS, Tian et al. present a work demonstrating particularly dsRNA deformations upon salt and temperature changes, and suggesting a common pathway of the RNA deformations via helical twist-groove coupling, independent of stimuli including salt, temperature, and stretching force (13). The RNA deformations have also been compared with DNA deformations studied recently (9), in which an alternative common pathway via helical twist-diameter coupling reveals, irrespective of the types of stimuli as well.

### RNA/DNA twisting using magnetic tweezers (MT)

To monitor the twist change of dsRNA/DNA, magnetic tweezer (MT) as a primary experimental tool has been well employed. In an MT apparatus, magnetic beads are manipulated via forces in the presence of an external magnetic field (14). Early implementation of MT was initiated on measuring structural mechanical responses of single molecule DNA (15-17). The coupling between DNA twisting and stretching degrees of freedom were then particularly investigated, e.g., using rotor bead tracking technique along with applying tension to single molecule DNA via MT (3). It was found that below a critical tension of  $\sim 30$  pN, DNA overwinds as well as lengthens when it is stretched. Another work using MT methods quantitatively determined that the extension of a stretched DNA molecule increases by  $\sim 0.42$  nm for every excess turn applied to the double helix, and the measurements were justified via modeling torsionally restrained DNA subject to energy minimization (4). Using similar MT techniques, dsRNA molecule was studied later, i.e., upon solving RNA assembly issue during implementation of single-molecule external forces and torques. It was shown that beyond similarities with DNA on melting and conformational transitions etc., dsRNA shortens upon overwinding or twisting (10), in contrast with lengthening of DNA under similar conditions. In PNAS, rather than applying mechanical force, Tian et al. (13) have imposed salt and temperature changes, and demonstrated notably that the coupling between helical twist and length degrees persists and dictates the dsRNA deformations, in general.

### Combining MT experiments with molecular dynamics (MD) simulations and theoretical analyses

In current implementation, to determine RNA twist change in the MT experiments, one first counts the rotation number corresponding to a maximum RNA extension upon the RNA relaxation at a certain salt/temperature condition (see **Figure 1** middle), and then monitors the change of the maximum RNA extension induced by variation of the condition, i.e., due to salt ionic strength or temperature change. The experimental results show that the twist of dsRNA increases as the salt concentration increases. Meanwhile, the RNA twist decreases as the temperature increases. Instead of manipulating force or torque experimentally, Tian et al. further employed molecular modeling and simulation techniques to probe

45 which internal degrees of freedom are coupled and how, focusing on the RNA deformations of twisting  
46 induced by salt and temperature change.

47  
48 All-atom molecular dynamics (MD) simulations apply classical mechanics to biomolecular systems to  
49 monitor conformational changes (18-20), on top of molecular force field developments (21-23). In PNAS,  
50 Tian et al. employed the atomic MD simulations to reproduce the salt-induced RNA twisting first, semi-  
51 quantitatively (13). The simulations were conducted for a construct of 25-bp dsRNA with explicit water  
52 solvent at different concentrations of NaCl, KCl, and RbCl, at room temperature and then higher (~20 to  
53 35 C°). Implementing typical force field (with adjustment for Na<sup>+</sup>) and simulation package, hundreds of  
54 nanoseconds atomic MD simulations were conducted for each system, and the simulated RNA  
55 conformational changes were analyzed. The analyses suggest that upon the salt concentration or solvent  
56 ionic screening increase, electrostatic repulsion between RNA strands weakens, hence the RNA major  
57 groove size decreases, which is transduced to increase RNA twist via the twist-groove coupling. To  
58 illustrate the coupling, the authors constructed a two-dimensional potential of mean force (PMF) from the  
59 simulations via sampling the RNA helical twist and groove size degrees, revealing negative coupling  
60 between the two, i.e., the larger the twist, the smaller the groove size, and vice versa. With conformational  
61 coupling constants derived from the MD simulations, further theoretical analyses were conducted to fit  
62 with experimental data, based on the idea that an effective force is generated on the two RNA strands, i.e.,  
63 dominated by the phosphate-phosphate electrostatic repulsion. Upon changes of salt concentration or  
64 species, which modulate effectively the Debye screening length to affect the intramolecular electrostatic  
65 repulsion and cation binding to the dsRNA major groove, the force induces changes on the RNA groove  
66 size and then the helical twist. In case that temperature rises, one expects another effective force due to  
67 conformational entropic effect, i.e., to enlarge the RNA groove size. Such an entropic force can be  
68 estimated by segregating the energetic or enthalpic part from the temperature-dependent or entropic part  
69 of the PMF obtained from the MD simulation. As a result, the entropic force that enlarges the groove size  
70 with increasing temperature then reduces the RNA twist, again, via the negative twist-groove coupling. As  
71 such, one sees how the RNA twist couples with the major groove size upon the salt or temperature  
72 impact. Nevertheless, one may still wonder why dsRNA does not behave as DNA, i.e., to deform via the  
73 twist-diameter coupling.

74  
75 **Distinction between the common pathways of RNA twist-groove and DNA twist-diameter coupling**  
76 To further illustrate the mechanism behind the RNA helical twist-groove size coupling, additional degrees  
77 of freedom of the RNA structure were explored in the simulations. It was found that the diameter of the  
78 dsRNA helical structure hardly changes upon the variation of salt or temperature (13), in contrast with the  
79 finding on diameter variations in the DNA deformations (9). One can envision that as the helical strand of  
80 RNA keeps a fixed contour length, the diameter size of the helical structure remains constant. In such a  
81 case, if one overtwists the RNA structure, the helical pitch (i.e., height of one turn) has to shrink, which  
82 leads to a reduced groove size. The mechanism was noticed previously via MD simulation studies on  
83 mechanical responses of dsRNA and DNA under constant stretching force (12) (see **Figure 1A**). It was  
84 suggested that the extra oxygen of RNA with respect to DNA impedes the RNA diameter variation, which  
85 turns about to be a necessary condition to support the RNA twist-groove coupling. In comparison, the  
86 diameter of the DNA helical structure is more susceptible to change than the groove size, so the twist-  
87 diameter coupling dominates the DNA deformations (9). In order to explain measurements from twist-  
88 stretch experiments (10), an earlier MD simulation study also suggested that overwinding RNA results in

89 more compact conformation with a narrower major groove, while overwinding DNA results in a reduced  
90 helical radius (11). Above all, it is in PNAS, Tian et al. suggest that ‘universality’ of the dsRNA  
91 deformations applies, on top of combined experimental and computational studies evidencing twist-  
92 groove coupling in RNA induced by salt and temperature changes, while incorporating previous findings  
93 on the mechanical stimulus into current framework. Putting all together, the universality in regard to  
94 common deformation pathways of dsRNA and DNA have been highlighted, respectively, via two well  
95 collapsed lines of twist-groove and twist-diameter coupling, under salt, temperature, and force stimuli  
96 (**Figure 1B** middle). In addition, Tian et al. thoroughly recorded how various internal degrees of dsRNA  
97 change, e.g., on the helical bend (roll) and tilt, in addition to twist, groove, and diameter changes, from  
98 the MD simulations.

99

### 100 **Implications for protein binding to RNA and DNA**

101 As the mechanisms behind the universality rely on the double helical geometry and RNA/DNA internal  
102 rigidities, for protein-RNA/DNA interactions that can be regarded as perturbations to the helical geometry  
103 and elasticity, one would expect that RNA/DNA naturally reacts by displaying the common pathway of  
104 deformations, so that to lower the energy cost to facilitate the protein binding. Follow this idea, Tian et al.  
105 additionally tested a handful of dsRNA/DNA binding proteins, to examine the corresponding RNA/DNA  
106 deformations upon protein association (13). Six protein-RNA/DNA complexes with high-resolution  
107 structures taken from protein data bank were simulated via atomic MD and further analyzed, in  
108 comparison with the standalone dsRNA or DNA structures. The obtained RNA/DNA deformations do  
109 show some extent of correlations between twist and groove/diameter size, in particular, when changes of  
110 individual degrees are normalized, i.e., to reduce RNA/DNA sequence impacts. Anyhow, such  
111 expectations on the protein-RNA/DNA association are based on the assumption that the protein impacts  
112 act as perturbations to the dsRNA/DNA helical structures. In case that the double helical structures of the  
113 RNA/DNA are to be substantially altered for certain physiological functions, or upon extra energetic  
114 supply, the deformations may go beyond the currently suggested common pathways or universality.

115

### 116 **Legend**

117 **Figure 1.** (A) Previous computational work (12) suggested mechanical responses of dsRNA and DNA (images  
118 adapted from (12)). (B) In PNAS, Tian et al. used MT for monitoring RNA peak extension change to determine  
119 the RNA twist change (*left*) (13), and suggested the universality of dsRNA and DNA deformations upon salt,  
120 temperature, and force changes (*middle*). The structural schematics of dsRNA and DNA are shown for the  
121 twist-groove and twist-diameter coupling (9), respectively (images adapted from (13)).

122

123

### 124 **References**

- 125 1. E. L. Van Nostrand *et al.*, A large-scale binding and functional map of human RNA-binding proteins.  
126 *Nature* **583**, 711-719 (2020).
- 127 2. A. Basu, D. G. Bobrovnikov, T. Ha, DNA mechanics and its biological impact. *J Mol Biol* **433**,  
128 166861 (2021).
- 129 3. J. Gore *et al.*, DNA overwinds when stretched. *Nature* **442**, 836-839 (2006).
- 130 4. T. Lionnet, S. Joubaud, R. Lavery, D. Bensimon, V. Croquette, Wringing out DNA. *Phys Rev Lett* **96**,  
131 178102 (2006).
- 132 5. S. Geggier, A. Kotlyar, A. Vologodskii, Temperature dependence of DNA persistence length. *Nucleic*  
133 *Acids Res* **39**, 1419-1426 (2011).

134 6. F. Kriegel *et al.*, The temperature dependence of the helical twist of DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**,  
135 7998-8009 (2018).

136 7. Y. L. Chen, L. Pollack, Salt Dependence of A-Form RNA Duplexes: Structures and Implications. *J*  
137 *Phys Chem B* **123**, 9773-9785 (2019).

138 8. S. Cruz-León *et al.*, Twisting DNA by salt. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, 5726-5738 (2022).

139 9. C. Zhang *et al.*, Twist-diameter coupling drives DNA twist changes with salt and temperature. *Sci Adv*  
140 **8**, eabn1384 (2022).

141 10. J. Lipfert *et al.*, Double-stranded RNA under force and torque: similarities to and striking differences  
142 from double-stranded DNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 15408-15413 (2014).

143 11. K. Liebl, T. Drsata, F. Lankas, J. Lipfert, M. Zacharias, Explaining the striking difference in twist-  
144 stretch coupling between DNA and RNA: A comparative molecular dynamics analysis. *Nucleic Acids*  
145 *Res* **43**, 10143-10156 (2015).

146 12. A. Marin-Gonzalez, J. G. Vilhena, R. Perez, F. Moreno-Herrero, Understanding the mechanical  
147 response of double-stranded DNA and RNA under constant stretching forces using all-atom molecular  
148 dynamics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, 7049-7054 (2017).

149 13. F. Tian *et al.*, Universality in RNA and DNA Deformations Induced by Salt, Temperature Change,  
150 Stretching Force, and Protein Binding *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **in press**  
151 (2023).

152 14. I. De Vlaminck, C. Dekker, Recent advances in magnetic tweezers. *Annu Rev Biophys* **41**, 453-472  
153 (2012).

154 15. S. B. Smith, L. Finzi, C. Bustamante, Direct mechanical measurements of the elasticity of single DNA  
155 molecules by using magnetic beads. *Science* **258**, 1122-1126 (1992).

156 16. T. R. Strick, J. F. Allemand, D. Bensimon, A. Bensimon, V. Croquette, The elasticity of a single  
157 supercoiled DNA molecule. *Science* **271**, 1835-1837 (1996).

158 17. C. Bustamante, Z. Bryant, S. B. Smith, Ten years of tension: single-molecule DNA mechanics. *Nature*  
159 **421**, 423-427 (2003).

160 18. M. Karplus, J. A. McCammon, Molecular dynamics simulations of biomolecules. *Nat Struct Biol* **9**,  
161 646-652 (2002).

162 19. E. H. Lee, J. Hsin, M. Sotomayor, G. Comellas, K. Schulten, Discovery through the computational  
163 microscope. *Structure* **17**, 1295-1306 (2009).

164 20. R. O. Dror, R. M. Dirks, J. P. Grossman, H. Xu, D. E. Shaw, Biomolecular simulation: a  
165 computational microscope for molecular biology. *Annu Rev Biophys* **41**, 429-452 (2012).

166 21. T. E. Cheatham, P. A. Kollman, Molecular dynamics simulation of nucleic acids. *Annu Rev Phys Chem*  
167 **51**, 435-471 (2000).

168 22. A. D. Mackerell, L. Nilsson, Molecular dynamics simulations of nucleic acid-protein complexes. *Curr*  
169 *Opin Struct Biol* **18**, 194-199 (2008).

170 23. K. Liebl, M. Zacharias, The development of nucleic acids force fields: From an unchallenged past to a  
171 competitive future. *Biophys J* (2022).

172

