UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

High Nasal Burden of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Increases Risk of Invasive Disease

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/01m426f6

Journal Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 52(1)

ISSN 0095-1137

Authors

Datta, Rupak Shah, Atia Huang, Susan S <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2014

DOI

10.1128/jcm.01606-13

Peer reviewed



High Nasal Burden of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Increases Risk of Invasive Disease

Rupak Datta,^a Atia Shah,^b Susan S. Huang,^{a,b} Eric Cui,^{a,b} Vinh Nguyen,^c Susan J. Welbourne,^d Kathleen A. Quan,^e Lauri Thrupp^b

Health Policy Research Institute, University of California Irvine School of Medicine, Irvine, California, USA^a; Division of Infectious Diseases, University of California Irvine Medical Center, Orange, California, USA^b; Department of Statistics, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California, USA^c; Department of Decision Support Services, University of California Irvine Medical Center, Orange, California, USA^d; Department of Epidemiology and Infection Prevention, University of California Irvine Medical Center, Orange, California, USA^e

In a retrospective cohort study of 1,140 patients harboring methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, the nasal burden was low in 31%, category 1 + to 2 + in 54%, and category 3 + to 4 + in 15%. There was a significant trend in infection risk with increasing nasal burden (P = 0.007). In multivariate models, high nasal burden remained significantly associated with invasive infection.

N asal colonization with *Staphylococcus aureus*, including methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA), is an independent risk factor for infection (1–6). Approximately 10% to 20% of MRSA carriers develop infection during hospitalization, and risks persist after discharge (7, 8). Such studies have driven practice guidelines for *S. aureus* screening and decolonization, including nasal mupirocin administration, in patients undergoing selected surgeries or dialysis (9–14). Additionally, many states now mandate nasal screening for MRSA among high-risk inpatients (15).

While higher quantities of *S. aureus* nasal burden are associated with surgical site infection (16, 17), it is unknown whether increased nasal carriage of MRSA predisposes to invasive infection in general. This is important because patients with high nasal burden may benefit from focused decolonization. We sought to assess whether patients with a high nasal burden of MRSA as measured by standardized semiquantitative methods incurred increased risks of invasive infection after adjustment for known risk factors for infection.

We conducted a retrospective cohort study of all adults with an MRSA-positive bilateral naris surveillance culture between March 2008 and June 2011 at a 400-bed tertiary care teaching hospital. Surveillance cultures reflected intensive care unit (ICU) screening and hospital-wide screening on admission. Patients decolonized with mupirocin were excluded, and patients receiving vancomycin, linezolid, or tigecycline during hospitalization were identified. All naris specimens were routinely inoculated using standardized semiquantitative methods (18), and the levels of nasal burden were reported as low, 1+, 2+, 3+, or 4+. Our analyses grouped them into low, 1+ to 2+, and 3+ to 4+, reflecting logarithmic increments. The University of California Regents Institutional Review Board approved this study.

The primary outcome was risk of invasive infection based on MRSA-positive blood or urine specimens from our institution within 6 months of the initial naris culture. Demographics were recorded, and diabetes mellitus, end-stage renal disease, and cause of admission were identified using International Classification of Diseases (ninth revision) (ICD9) codes. Additional risk factors for infection were assessed, including length of stay, surgery, and ICU admission.

Across nasal burden strata, we assessed the proportion of patients who developed MRSA-positive blood or urine cultures. For patients developing infection, the time from initial detection to infection was determined. For patients with multiple naris cultures, the concordance in burden across cultures was evaluated.

We used the Cochran-Armitage trend test to assess the trend in MRSA infection with increasing nasal burden. Using logistic regression (SAS, version 9.3), adjusted analyses were performed with the following risk factors *a priori* specified in a multivariate model: age, diabetes, end-stage renal disease, length of stay, surgery, and ICU admission.

We identified 1,140 MRSA-positive patients during the study period. The mean age was 58 years (range, 18 to 100 years), and 59% were male. Nineteen percent had diabetes, and 11% had endstage renal disease. MRSA carriage was detected upon hospital admission in 89% of patients. During hospitalization, 37% of patients had an ICU admission, and 28% underwent surgery. The mean length of stay was 9 days (median, 5 days). The top causes of admission were infection (23%) and cardiovascular symptoms (12%).

Overall, the MRSA nasal burden was low in 31% of patients, 1+ to 2+ in 54%, and 3+ to 4+ in 15%. Within 6 months of detection, 58 (5.1%) patients developed MRSA-positive blood or urine cultures. The mean time from detection to infection was 21 days. Among patients developing infection, 32 (55%) had an MRSA-positive blood culture. Of those with MRSA-positive blood cultures, 6 (18%) also had an MRSA-positive urine culture.

The risk of subsequent infection increased significantly with increasing MRSA nasal burden (P = 0.007) (Table 1). This trend persisted in evaluations of MRSA-positive blood cultures (P = 0.05) and urine cultures (P = 0.01) alone. Nasal burden remained associated with infection after excluding patients developing infection within 7 days of detection (P = 0.01).

Across all patients, 158 (14%) had multiple MRSA-positive

Received 20 June 2013 Returned for modification 20 July 2013 Accepted 17 October 2013 Published ahead of print 23 October 2013 Editor: K. C. Carroll Address correspondence to Rupak Datta, Rdatta@uci.edu. R.D. and A.S. are co-first authors. Copyright © 2014, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/JCM.01606-13

 TABLE 1 Frequency of subsequent methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) blood or urine infection in the 6 months after detection with MRSA

Nasal burden	No. of patients	No. (%) of patients developing MRSA infection		
		Blood culture	Urine culture	Blood or urine culture ^a
Low	350	7 (2.0)	4 (1.1)	10 (2.9)*
1+ or 2+	621	16 (2.6)	20 (3.2)	34 (5.5)*
3+ or 4+	169	9 (5.3)	8 (4.7)	14 (8.3)*
Total	1,140	32 (2.8)	32 (2.8)	58 (5.1)

^{*a* *, P = 0.007 by Cochran-Armitage trend test.}

surveillance swabs. Most (72%) had one subsequent positive swab. The mean interval between swab samplings was 35 days. Overall, a minority of patients had subsequent swabs with a discordant growth result compared to the initial swab (as defined by a \geq 2-category shift in semiquantitative grouping). When burden groups were reclassified according to maximum growth across swabs, there was no impact on the trend in infection risk with increasing burden.

In multivariate testing, high nasal burden was predictive of infection (low, 1.0 [reference]; 1+ to 2+, odds ratio [OR] = 2.0 [95% confidence interval {CI}, 1.0 to 4.2]; 3+ to 4+, OR = 3.7 [95% CI, 1.6 to 8.6]). This effect persisted even after excluding patients receiving vancomycin, linezolid, or tigecycline.

These data highlight potential focused decolonization strategies for MRSA-positive patients. Prior interventions have targeted all MRSA carriers, despite the fact that subsequent infection risks may vary. Our report shows that carriers with high nasal burden incur increased risks of MRSA infection. While heavy S. aureus nasal carriage is associated with surgical site infection (16, 17), we show that high MRSA nasal burden is further associated with bacteremia and urinary tract infection (which often indicates concomitant bloodstream infection [19-22]). As MRSA screening becomes increasingly common, nasal burden measurement may enable focused efforts to educate and decolonize patients. This may be particularly important as mupirocin resistance threatens to limit the utility of decolonization (23), and targeted usage may be warranted. Further studies are needed to evaluate whether high nasal burden predisposes to mupirocin resistance in patients undergoing decolonization.

Our report may underestimate infection risks. Nearly 20% of severe infections are missed when restricting infection surveillance to the same institution where detection occurred (8). Additionally, other types of infections were not assessed.

This study had limitations. First, we did not determine whether MRSA isolates from nares and clinical isolates were genetically identical, but this was shown previously (9). Second, we did not evaluate extranasal carriage and whether infection was related to extranasal burden. Nevertheless, high nasal burden predisposes to multisite colonization (24). Third, while high nasal burden distinguishes persistent from intermittent carriage (25), systematic serial cultures were not performed to exclude intermittent carriage. However, the time from detection to infection was short. Fourth, the cause of discordant growth in subsequent swabs was not evaluated, but this affected a minority of patients. Fifth, subsequent antibiotic exposures were not assessed. In summary, we found that patients with high MRSA nasal burden incurred increased risks of invasive disease, even after accounting for host factors for infection. These patients may benefit from targeted decolonization to reduce MRSA-attributable infection.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was funded by the Department of Medicine, University of California Irvine.

REFERENCES

- Kluytmans J, van Belkum A, Verbrugh H. 1997. Nasal carriage of *Staphylococcus aureus*: epidemiology, underlying mechanisms, and associated risks. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 10:505–520.
- Wertheim HF, Vos MC, Ott A, van Belkum A, Voss A, Kluytmans JA, van Keulen PH, Vandenbroucke-Grauls CM, Meester MH, Verbrugh HA. 2004. Risk and outcome of nosocomial *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia in nasal carriers versus non-carriers. Lancet 364:703–705. http://dx .doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16897-9.
- Wertheim HF, Melles DC, Vos MC, van Leeuwen W, van Belkum A, Verbrugh HA, Nouwen JL. 2005. The role of nasal carriage in *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. Lancet Infect. Dis. 5:751–762. http://dx.doi.org /10.1016/S1473-3099(05)70295-4.
- Seybold U, Schubert S, Bogner JR, Hogardt M. 2011. Staphylococcus aureus infection following nasal colonization: an approach to rapid risk stratification in a university healthcare system. J. Hosp. Infect. 79:297– 301. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2011.08.017.
- Asensio A, Guerrero A, Quereda C, Lizán M, Martinez-Ferrer M. 1996. Colonization and infection with methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: associated factors and eradication. Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 17:20–28. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/647184.
- Pujol M, Pena C, Pallares R, Ayats J, Ariza J, Gudiol F. 1994. Risk factors for nosocomial bacteremia due to methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 13:96–102. http://dx.doi.org /10.1007/BF02026134.
- Davis KA, Stewart JJ, Crouch HK, Florez CE, Hospenthal DR. 2004. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) nares colonization at hospital admission and its effect on subsequent MRSA infection. Clin. Infect. Dis. 39:776–782. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/422997.
- Huang SS, Hinrichsen VL, Datta R, Spurchise L, Miroshnik I, Nelson K, Platt R. 2011. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection and hospitalization in high-risk patients in the year following detection. PLoS One 6:e24340. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024340.
- 9. von Eiff C, Becker K, Machka K, Stammer H, Peters G. 2001. Nasal carriage as a source of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia. N. Engl. J. Med. 344:6–11.
- Donker JM, van der Laan L, Hendriks YJ, Kluytmans JA. 2012. Evaluation of *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal carriage screening before vascular surgery. PLoS One 7:e38127. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone .0038127.
- Kallen AJ, Wilson CT, Larson RJ. 2005. Perioperative intranasal mupirocin for the prevention of surgical-site infections: systematic review of the literature and meta-analysis. Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 26:916– 922. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/505453.
- Engelman R, Shahian D, Shemin R, Guy TS, Bratzler D, Edwards F, Jacobs M, Fernando H, Bridges C. 2007. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons practice guidelines series: antibiotic prophylaxis in cardiac surgery, part II: antibiotic choice. Ann. Thorac. Surg. 83:1569–1576. http://dx.doi .org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.2006.09.046.
- Bode LG, Kluytmans JA, Wertheim HF, Bogaers D, Vandenbroucke-Grauls CM, Roosendaal R, Troelstra A, Box AT, Voss A, van der Tweel I, van Belkum A, Verbrugh HA, Vos MC. 2010. Preventing surgical-site infections in nasal carriers of Staphylococcus aureus. N. Engl. J. Med. 362:9–17. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM0a0808939.
- Kalmeijer MD, Coertjens H, van Nieuwland-Bollen PM, Bogaers-Hofman D, de Baere GA, Stuurman A, van Belkum A, Kluytsmans JA. 2002. Surgical site infections in orthopedic surgery: the effect of mupirocin nasal ointment in a doubleblind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. Clin. Infect. Dis. 35:353–358. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/341025.
- 15. Weber SG, Huang SS, Oriola S, Huskins WC, Noskin GA, Harriman K, Olmsted RN, Bonten M, Lundstrom T, Climo MW, Roghmann MC,

Murphy CL, Karchmer TB. 2007. Legislative mandates for use of active surveillance cultures to screen for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and vancomycin-resistant enterococci: position statement from the Joint SHEA and APIC Task Force. Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 28:249–260. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/512261.

- White A. 1963. Increased infection rates in heavy nasal carriers of coagulase-positive staphylococci. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 161:667–670.
- Kalmeijer MD, van Nieuwland-Bollen E, Bogaers-Hofman D, de Baere GA. 2000. Nasal carriage of Staphylococcus aureus is a major risk factor for surgical-site infections in orthopedic surgery. Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 21:319–323. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/501763.
- York MK. 2010. Processing, isolation, detection, and interpretation of aerobic bacteriology cultures, p 3.3.1.9–3.3.2.4. *In* Garcia LS (ed), Clinical microbiology procedures handbook, 3rd ed, vol 1. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- 19. Musher DM, McKenzie SO. 1977. Infections due to *Staphylococcus aureus*. Medicine 56:383–409.
- Lee BK, Crossley K, Gerding DN. 1978. The association between *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia and bacteriuria. Am. J. Med. 65:303–306. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0002-9343(78)90824-0.
- 21. Nolan CM, Beaty HN. 1976. Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia: current

clinical patterns. Am. J. Med. **60**:495–501. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0002 -9343(76)90715-4.

- Muder RR, Brennen C, Rihs JD, Wagener MM, Obman A, Stout JE, Yu VL. 2006. Isolation of *Staphylococcus aureus* from the urinary tract: association of isolation with symptomatic urinary tract infection and subsequent staphylococcal bacteremia. Clin. Infect. Dis. 42:46–50. http://dx .doi.org/10.1086/498518.
- McDanel JS, Murphy CR, Diekema DJ, Quan V, Kim DS, Peterson EM, Evans KD, Tan GL, Hayden MK, Huang SS. 2013. Chlorhexidine and mupirocin susceptibilities of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus from colonized nursing home residents. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57:552–558. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01623-12.
- Mermel LA, Cartony JM, Covington P, Maxey G, Morse D. 2011. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization at different body sites: a prospective, quantitative analysis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 49:1119– 1121. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02601-10.
- Stone ND, Lewis DR, Lowery HK, Darrow LA, Kroll CM, Gaynes RP, Jernigan JA, McGowan JE, Jr, Tenover FC, Richards CL, Jr. 2008. Importance of bacterial burden among methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus carriers in a long-term care facility. Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 29:143–148. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/526437.