Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

REGGE EFFECTS IN WEAK AMPLITUDES

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/04j937hw>

Author

Risk, Clifford.

Publication Date

1970-02-24

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
RADIATION LABORATORY

APR 7 1970

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

REGGE EFFECTS IN WEAK AMPLITUDES

Clifford Risk

February 24, 1970

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA BERKELEY

UCRL-19710
44.9
2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

REGGE EFFECTS IN WEAK AMPLITUDES*

Clifford Risk

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

February 24, 1970

ABSTRACT

Recently Regge effects have been studied in weak amplitudes by describing the final state strong interaction with a Regge pole at $J = \phi(t)$. In particular, it has been shown that the double helicity flip amplitude $A_1(s,t)$ for the process $\gamma\pi \rightarrow \gamma\pi$ has a moving pole at $J = \phi(t) - 2$ and a fixed pole at $J = -1$, and that the electromagnetic form factor of the pion $F_\pi(s)$ can be written as $F_\pi(s) = 1 + G_p(s) + G_\rho(s)$, where $G_\rho(s) \rightarrow s^{\phi(0)-1} [1 + O(1/\ln s)]$.

In the present note we wish to demonstrate how these results can be obtained by a simple and direct method that draws out an immediate physical understanding of the results obtained. Our method involves the study of the asymptotic behavior of the relevant field theoretic diagrams with the use of Sudakov variables.

I. FIXED POLES IN WEAK AMPLITUDES

In Refs. 1-3, it was shown that sum rules require that the double helicity flip amplitude $A_1(s,t)$ for the process $\gamma\pi \rightarrow \gamma\pi$ must have a fixed pole at $J = 1$. This was confirmed² in the model of Fig. 1. Here, $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the amplitude for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi\pi$, I is the sum of irreducible graphs for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi\pi$, and T is the off-shell $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude, with

$$T_{\mu\nu}(\Delta, R, P) = I_{\mu\nu}(\Delta, R, P) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^4 X I_{\mu\nu}(\Delta, R, X) T(\Delta, X, P)}{\left[\left(\frac{\Delta}{2} + X \right)^2 + \mu^2 - i\epsilon \right] \left[\left(\frac{\Delta}{2} - X \right)^2 + \mu^2 - i\epsilon \right]} \quad (1)$$

After making the projection

$$T_{\mu\nu} = P_\mu P_\nu A_1 + \dots, \quad I_{\mu\nu} = X_\mu X_\nu I_1 + \dots, \quad (2)$$

and passing to the J -plane, it is seen² that the J singularities of A_1 are governed by the J singularities of I_1 and T . When the amplitudes I_1 and T are given by the diagrams of Fig. 2, this leads to A_1 having singularities at $J = 1$ and $J = \alpha(t) - 2$.

We wish to show that this result can be obtained by a simple and direct analysis of Eq. (1) with a technique that displays in an appealing manner a physical understanding for the result. Our results (and much more) were all obtained with the elegant machinery developed in Ref. 2, but we present our technique because it is simple and direct (it does not require any of the P_J'' expansions and Jacob-Wick theory

used in Ref. 2), because it displays the precise point at which the effect of the $P_\mu P_\nu$ projection comes into play, and because it ties in with much of the recent work⁵⁻¹⁰ on Regge theory from diagrams.

This technique uses the Sudakov^{8,9,11} variables. Light-like momenta are defined by

$$p_1'^2 = p_2'^2 = 0, \quad 2p_1' \cdot p_2' = s; \quad (3)$$

to lowest order in s ,

$$p_1' = p_1 - \frac{M_1^2}{s} p_2, \quad p_2' = p_2 - \frac{M_2^2}{s} p_1. \quad (4)$$

The internal momenta of integration are replaced by Sudakov variables defined by

$$k = \alpha p_2' + \beta p_1' + K, \quad d^4k = \frac{s}{2} d\alpha d\beta dK, \quad (5)$$

where K is a two dimensional space-like vector perpendicular to p_1, p_2 . The momentum transfer can be written as

$$\Delta = \frac{t}{s}(p_2' + p_1') + Q, \quad t = \Delta^2 \simeq Q^2 \quad (6)$$

but for simplicity we will take $t = 0$. Then, the energy variables of Fig. 1 become

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= d_2 = d = k^2 = \alpha\beta s + K^2 \\ s_1 &= (p_1 - k)^2 = (1 - \beta) \left(\frac{M_1^2}{s} - \alpha \right) s + K^2 \\ s_2 &= (p_2 + k)^2 = (1 + \alpha) \left(\frac{M_2^2}{s} + \beta \right) s + K^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

We also need the projection of

$$(2k - p_1)_\mu (2k - p_1)_\nu$$

onto $P_\mu P_\nu$. Since

$$p_1 = R, \quad p_2 = -P \quad (8a)$$

$$k = p_1 \left(\beta - \frac{M_1^2 \alpha}{s} \right) + p_2 \left(\alpha - \frac{M_2^2 \beta}{s} \right) + K \quad (8b)$$

therefore

$$2k - p_1 = 2P \left(-\alpha + \frac{M_2^2 \beta}{s} \right) + R \left(\beta - \frac{M_1^2 \alpha}{s} - 1 \right) + K, \quad (8c)$$

and

$$A(s, 0) = s \int d\alpha d\beta dK \frac{\left(-\alpha + \frac{M_2^2 \beta}{s} \right)^2 I(s_1, 0; d)}{(d - m^2 + i\epsilon)^2} T(s_2, 0; d). \quad (9)$$

The amplitude I is given¹² by

$$I(s_1, 0; d) = \frac{1}{s_1 - m^2 + i\epsilon}, \quad (10)$$

and the amplitude T satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} T(s_2, 0; d) &\rightarrow s_2^{\phi(0)} g(d) && \text{if } s_2 \rightarrow \infty \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{d} && \text{if } s_2 \leq d \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We shall also write T as¹³

$$T(s_2, 0; d) = \int_{4m^2}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x - s_2} f(x, d). \quad (11)$$

To extract the large s behavior of $A_1(s,t)$, it is convenient to perform some integrations explicitly. To do this, note¹¹ that the denominators in Eq. (7) vanish over certain regions in the real α, β plane, as shown in Fig. 3. In particular, we see that the important region of integration is the region

$$0 < \beta < 1, \quad \alpha \sim 0(m^2/s) \quad (12a)$$

$$-1 < \alpha < 0, \quad \beta \sim 0(m^2/s) \quad (12b)$$

To express this more precisely, consider the integral (9). As β ranges over the real axis, the terms d, s_1, s_2 have simple poles in the complex α plane which are prescribed by the $i\epsilon$ prescription;

$$d - m^2 + i\epsilon = 0; \quad s_1 - m^2 + i\epsilon = 0, \quad s_2 - x + i\epsilon = 0, \quad (13)$$

and which distribute themselves about the real α contour of integration (Fig.4). For $\beta > 1$ and $\beta < -M_2^2/s$, the contribution to Eq.(9) vanish because the α contour of integration can be closed in the half plane free of singularities. For $0 \leq \beta < 1$, a pole in α from s_1 occurs at

$$\alpha = \frac{M_1^2}{s} + \frac{K^2 - m^2}{(1 - \beta)s}, \quad (14)$$

corresponding to the integration region (12a). Evaluating the residue of (14), we obtain

$$A_1(s,t) \propto \frac{1}{s^2} \iint dK \int_0^1 \frac{d\beta h^2 T(\beta s + K^2, 0; d)}{d^2(1 - \beta)} \quad (15a)$$

$$d = \beta \left[M_1^2 + \frac{K^2 - m^2}{1 - \beta} \right] + K^2 - m^2 \quad (15b)$$

$$h = M_1^2 + \frac{K^2 - m^2}{1 - \beta} - \beta M_2^2 \quad (15c)$$

Letting $s \rightarrow \infty$

$$A_1(s, t) \rightarrow s^{\phi(0)-2} \iint dK \int_0^1 \frac{d\beta h^2 \beta^{\phi(0)}}{d^2(1 - \beta)} g(d) \quad (16)$$

This is the result of the type obtained by Bronzan et al.² Looking back to Eq. (7), we see that it comes from that region of integration where the energy s_2 flowing through the Reggeon is large (of order s), and the energy s_1 through the left side of the diagram is small. Ordinarily, the presence of the Reggeon would lead to behavior $s^{\phi(0)}$; but the effect of the projection of $X_\mu X_\nu$ onto $P_\mu P_\nu$ introduces an extra factor $1/s^2$ through the coefficient of P in Eq. (8c).

Finally, we turn to the contribution from $\beta \sim O(m^2/s)$ (Fig. 4b). This can be evaluated exactly by picking up the pole in α from the term s_1 . However, it is considerably easier to evaluate this contribution if we reverse the roles of α and β by integrating on $-1 < \alpha < 0$ and picking up the pole in β from s_1 (Fig. 5). One might argue that in so doing we are double counting the region of integration

$$-1 < \alpha < -|O(m^2/s)|, \quad |O(m^2/s)| < \beta < +1, \quad (17)$$

(Fig. 3), but it is easily seen that the contributions we are evaluating come from the (non-intersecting) regions of Eq. (12a,b). The region (17) corresponds to a background integral of (12a,b) and gives a lower order term in s .¹⁴ Evaluating the pole in s_2 , then,

$$\beta = -\frac{M_2^2}{s} + \frac{x - K^2}{(1 + \alpha)s} \quad (18)$$

and hence

$$A(s,0) \propto \iint dK \int_{-1}^0 \frac{\alpha^2 d\alpha}{(1 + \alpha)} \int_{4m^2}^{\infty} \frac{dx f(x,d)}{d^2 [-\alpha s + K^2 + M_1^2 - m^2]} \quad (19a)$$

$$\rightarrow -\frac{1}{s} \iint dK \int_{-1}^0 \frac{\alpha d\alpha}{(1 + \alpha)} \int_{4m^2}^{\infty} \frac{dx f(x,d)}{d^2} \quad (19b)$$

where

$$d = \alpha \left[-M_2^2 + \frac{x - K^2}{(1 + \alpha)} \right] + K^2 - m^2. \quad (19c)$$

We see that $A(s,0)$ has a fixed pole at $J = -1$, and this comes from that region of integration where the large energy s flows through the propagator of the amplitude I. Note that the presence of spin, reflected through the term α^2 in Eq. (19a), is essential. Without this term, the integral corresponding to (19b) would diverge. This would mean that $A_1(s,t)$ would behave as $\ln s/s$, as a box diagram. The α^2 saves the day, though, and the fixed pole appears.

II. ELECTROMAGNETIC FORM FACTOR OF THE PION

In Ref. 4 a model was proposed for the electromagnetic form factor of the pion that is based on the diagram of Fig. 6, giving a T matrix proportional to

$$p_\mu = \frac{i}{(2\pi)^4} \int \frac{d^4 k (2k + p)_\mu T(s, k^2)}{[k - p_1]^2 - m^2 [(k + p_2)^2 - m^2]} \quad (20)$$

This leads to a form factor given by $F_\pi(s) = 1 + G_P(s) + G_\rho(s)$

$$G_\rho(s) \propto \int d^4 k \left(1 + \frac{2p \cdot k}{p^2} \right) \frac{A(s, k^2)}{[(k - p_1)^2 - m^2][(k + p_2)^2 - m^2]} \quad (21)$$

The amplitude $A(s, k^2)$ was taken to be a Veneziano amplitude.¹⁵ It was expanded in terms of poles, the integrals of Eq. (21) were done directly, and, among other things, the asymptotic behavior of $G_\rho(s)$ was found as $s \rightarrow \infty$,

$$G_\rho(s) \rightarrow s^{\phi(0)-1} \quad (22)$$

We would like to show how the result (22) can be obtained directly from Eq. (21) knowing only the asymptotic form of $A(s, k^2)$ and without having to specify its details. Our derivation has two features. First, it uncovers the implied assumption of Ref. 4 that the form factors of $A(s, k^2)$ be neglected. We shall see that form factors would lead to a greatly depressed behavior in (22). Second, it demonstrates that a result like (22) depends only on the asymptotic form of $A(s, k^2)$, and is independent of the particular way (Veneziano model) that

this is generated. In particular, the use of a unitarized Veneziano amplitude, say, would not affect the type of result (22).

To extract the asymptotic behavior of $G_\rho(s)$, we again set

$$k = \alpha p'_2 + \beta p'_1 + K \quad (23a)$$

$$P = p_1 - p_2 = p'_1 \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{s}\right) + p'_2 \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{s}\right) \quad (23b)$$

Then

$$G_\rho(s) \propto \int \frac{s d\alpha d\beta dK \left[1 + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - m^2/s}\right] A(s, \alpha\beta s + K^2)}{[(1 - \beta)(m^2/s - \alpha)s + K^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon][(1 + \alpha)(m^2/s + \beta)s + K^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon]} \quad (24)$$

Again performing the integration on α explicitly,

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)s} (-\beta m^2 + K^2), \quad (25)$$

and

$$G_\rho(s) \propto \int d\beta dK \left(\frac{1 + \beta}{1 - \beta}\right) \frac{A(s, \beta(-\beta m^2 + K^2)/(1 - \beta) + K^2)}{\beta s + K^2 - m^2} \quad (26)$$

The main contribution to the β integration comes from the region $0 < \beta < \epsilon$ (see Fig. 7), where ϵ is a small fixed number⁶

$$G_\rho(s) \rightarrow \frac{\ln s}{s} \int dK A(s, K^2) \propto \frac{\ln s}{s} \int dt' A(s, t') \quad (27)$$

This is the general type of result we wished to obtain. For the special case when $A(s, t')$ is a simple Regge pole,

$$A(s, t') = e^{at'} s^{\phi(t')}, \quad \phi(t') = \phi(0) + \phi' t', \quad (28)$$

then

$$G_{\rho}(s) \rightarrow \frac{\ln s}{s} \frac{s^{\phi(0)}}{a + \phi' \ln s} \rightarrow s^{\phi(0)-1} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\ln s}\right) \right] \quad (29)$$

This is the result of Ref. 4. In particular, we see that the leading contribution to $G_{\rho}(s)$ comes from that region of integration where the line $(k - p_1)^2$ is on mass shell, and the line $(k + p_2)^2$ goes off mass shell linearly in s . This means that if form factors had been included in the amplitude $A(s, t')$, the behavior (29) would have been depressed to a form $1/s^2$.

III. CONCLUSIONS

The Sudakov technique allows one to evaluate in a simple and direct manner amplitudes arising in weak amplitudes. In particular, effects of the photon spin are displayed, and the asymptotic behaviors obtained are easily associated with regions of integration where the relevant internal energy variables are large.

For scattering processes, the amplitude $A_1(s,0)$ has a fixed pole at $J = -1$ and a moving pole at $J = \alpha(0) - 2$. For vertex processes, the form factor term $G_\rho(s)$ behaves as $s^{\phi(0)-1} (1 + O(1/\ln s))$ when Veneziano $\pi\pi$ amplitudes are used and the pion form factor is neglected; the asymptotic behavior will be depressed if the pion form factor is included.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work developed out of conversations with Professor Kerson Huang. I wish to thank him for encouraging the work and for many instructive discussions. I am grateful to Professor Ira Gerstein for helpful discussions.

I wish to thank Mr. S. Negrine for many long discussions of his work while it was in preparation. I am grateful to Professor J. C. Polkinghorne, Dr. I. T. Drummond, Dr. P. V. Landshoff, Dr. D. I. Olive, and Dr. G. Winbow for further discussions on the Sudakov technique.

I am grateful to Professor G. Chew for the hospitality of the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory as an A.E.C. Fellow.

FOOTNOTES AND REFERENCES

- * This work was supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.
- 1. S. Fubini, Nuovo Cimento 43, 475 (1966); R. Dashen and M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Rev. Letters 17, 340 (1966).
- 2. J. B. Bronzan, I. S. Gerstein, B. W. Lee, and F. E. Low, Phys. Rev. 157, 1448 (1967).
- 3. V. Singh, Phys. Rev. Letters 18, 36 (1967).
- 4. I. S. Gerstein, Kurt Gottfried, and Kerson Huang, Phys. Rev. Letters 24, 294 (1970).
- 5. I. T. Drummond, P. V. Landshoff, and W. J. Zakrewski, Nuclear Physics B11, 383 (1969).
- 6. S. M. Negrine, Cambridge preprint, October 1969.
- 7. J. C. Polkinghorne, Cambridge preprint, September 1969.
- 8. V. N. Gribov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 58, 654 (1967) [Sov. Phys. JETP 26, 414 (1968)]; V. N. Gribov and A. A. Migdal, Yad. Fiz. 8, 1002, 1213 (1968) [Sov. J. Nuc. Phys. 8, 583, 703 (1969)].
- 9. V. V. Sudakov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 30, 87 (1956) [Sov. Phys. JETP 3, 65 (1956)].
- 10. G. A. Winbow, Phys. Rev. 177, 2533 (1969).
- 11. A rigorous formulation of the Sudakov technique has been presented by Negrine (Ref. 6), by studying pinches in the α, β variables that occur when $s \rightarrow \infty$. Figure 3 was first studied by Negrine.
- 12. The second amplitude of Fig. 2a is easily handled by the same technique.

13. This holds strictly only for $\phi(0) < 0$; the general case can be handled by subtractions.
14. This was first noted by Winbow [Eq. (8) of Ref. 10] and more recently expressed rigorously by Negrine (Ref. 6).
15. The term $G_p(s)$ is associated with a Pomeron amplitude $B(s, k^2)$ having no resonances.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Fig. 1. Model for final state interactions in the amplitude $T_{\mu\nu}$ of $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi\pi$. Solid lines are pions, wavy lines are photons.
- Fig. 2a. Irreducible graphs for the amplitude $I_{\mu\nu}$ of $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi\pi$.
- Fig. 2b. Regge pole model for the $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$ amplitude.
- Fig. 3. Region of integration contributing to the leading behavior of $A_1(s,t)$.
- Fig. 4. Distribution of the poles in α of the integrand of Eq. (9) about the real α contour of integration.
- Fig. 5. Poles in β for $-1 < \alpha < 0$.
- Fig. 6. Model for the electromagnetic form factor of the pion. Solid lines are pions, wavy lines are photons.
- Fig. 7. The term $1/(\beta s - 1)$. The main contribution to the integral is $\ln s/s$ and comes from the region $0(1/s) < \beta < \epsilon$. The range $0 < \beta < 0(1/s)$ gives the principal value $1/s$, while the range $\epsilon < \beta < 1$ gives a contribution $1/s$.

XBL702-2382

Fig. 1

XBL702-2368

Fig. 2

XBL702-2369

Fig. 3

XBL702-2373

Fig. 4

XBL702-2370

Fig. 5

XBL702-2371

Fig. 6

XBL702-2372

Fig. 7

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or*
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.*

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor."

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720