





<b>Same-sex couples</b>	<b>4,009</b>	<b>Same-sex couples per 1,000 households</b>	<b>3.6</b>
<b>Husband/wife</b>	<b>833</b>	<b>Same-sex "husband/wife" couples per 1,000 "husband/wife" couples</b>	<b>1.5</b>
<b>Unmarried partner</b>	<b>3,176</b>	<b>Same-sex "unmarried partner" couples per 1,000 "unmarried partner" couples</b>	<b>51.5</b>


Same-sex couples per 1,000 households  
by Census tract (adjusted)




0 100 Miles




**All Same-sex Couples**




**Same-sex couples who identify as spouses**




**Same-sex couples who identify as unmarried partners**




**Same-sex female couples per 1,000 households by county (adjusted)**



**Same-sex male couples per 1,000 households by county (adjusted)**




## Percent of same-sex couples raising “own” children\* by county (adjusted)




\*“Own” children are never-married children under 18 who are sons or daughters of one partner or spouse (Person 1) by birth, marriage (stepchild), or adoption.


All Same-sex Couples



Same-sex couples who identify as spouses



Same-sex couples who identify as unmarried partners



### Data and methodology

Data are compiled using the US Census Bureau’s state-level preferred estimates for same-sex couples found [here](#). Same-sex couples are identified in households where Person 1 describes his or her relationship with another adult of the same sex as either a “husband/wife” or “unmarried partner”. The Census Bureau preferred estimates adjust original Census tabulations reported in the Census 2010 SF-1, PCT15 to account for the likelihood that a small portion of different-sex couples miscode the sex of a spouse or partner and are incorrectly counted as a same-sex couple.

#### Adjusted data

The Census Bureau only released preferred estimates for states. County, city, and tract data used in this report are adjusted by the authors and do not represent official Census Bureau tabulations. Like the Census Bureau preferred estimates, the adjustment procedure accounts for the likelihood that a small portion of different-sex couples miscode the sex of a spouse or partner and are incorrectly counted as a same-sex couple.

#### Undercount

The adjusted figures do not take into account the possibility that some same-sex couples may not be counted in Census tabulations due to concerns about confidentiality or because neither partner was Person 1 in the household.

Go [here](#) for a complete description of the adjustment procedure.

**Counties with 50+ same-sex couples ranked by same-sex couples per 1,000 households**

State rank	US rank among 1,142 counties with 50+ same-sex couples	County	Same-sex couples (adjusted)	Same-sex couples per 1,000 households (adjusted)	Same-sex male couples (adjusted)	Same-sex female couples (adjusted)	% Raising "own" children among same-sex couples (adjusted)
1	134	Douglas	293	6.72	139	154	12%
2	309	Wyandotte	304	5.21	185	119	26%
3	402	Johnson	1009	4.74	569	440	12%
4	417	Sedgwick	907	4.69	478	429	26%
5	546	Shawnee	302	4.16	56	246	12%
6	597	Riley	104	4.02	32	72	18%
7	653	Saline	86	3.85	46	40	29%
8	817	Crawford	54	3.43	0	54	39%
9	1042	Reno	70	2.71	1	69	2%
10	1120	Leavenworth	55	2.08	0	55	20%

**Counties with <50 same-sex couples**

		Allen	13	2.44	0	13	67%
		Anderson	2	0.50	0	2	39%
		Atchison	19	3.02	0	19	44%
		Barber	1	0.66	0	1	0%
		Barton	13	1.18	0	13	24%
		Bourbon	18	3.07	0	18	83%
		Brown	5	1.21	0	5	0%
		Butler	42	1.77	0	42	33%
		Chase	5	4.66	5	0	0%
		Chautauqua	1	0.62	0	1	100%
		Cherokee	23	2.71	0	23	37%
		Cheyenne	1	0.43	0	1	100%
		Clark	0	0.12	0	0	0%
		Clay	5	1.46	0	5	54%
		Cloud	7	1.91	0	7	27%
		Coffey	1	0.22	0	1	100%
		Comanche	0	0.00	0	0	0%
		Cowley	47	3.35	7	40	17%
		Decatur	3	2.33	3	1	45%
		Dickinson	16	1.92	0	16	0%
		Doniphan	3	0.86	0	3	100%
		Edwards	2	1.26	0	2	100%
		Elk	0	0.00	0	0	0%
		Ellis	20	1.69	3	17	51%
		Ellsworth	0	0.00	0	0	0%
		Finney	33	2.68	0	33	64%
		Ford	33	2.95	12	20	66%
		Franklin	40	3.97	0	40	27%
		Geary	38	3.02	19	19	72%
		Gove	5	4.63	5	1	60%

Graham	6	4.95	0	6	32%
Grant	6	2.33	4	2	7%
Gray	2	1.12	0	2	0%
Greeley	0	0.24	0	0	0%
Greenwood	6	1.97	0	6	11%
Hamilton	0	0.00	0	0	0%
Harper	3	1.15	2	0	0%
Harvey	39	2.89	0	39	45%
Haskell	0	0.22	0	0	0%
Hodgeman	1	1.71	0	1	100%
Jackson	16	3.07	0	16	0%
Jefferson	19	2.57	0	19	0%
Jewell	3	2.27	0	3	0%
Kearny	4	2.58	0	4	33%
Kingman	4	1.14	0	4	0%
Kiowa	3	3.02	0	3	100%
Labette	15	1.72	6	10	17%
Lane	0	0.00	0	0	0%
Lincoln	8	5.32	0	8	0%
Linn	8	1.96	0	8	14%
Logan	1	0.56	0	1	100%
Lyon	40	3.02	0	40	14%
Marion	4	0.85	0	4	0%
Marshall	4	1.02	0	4	0%
McPherson	13	1.11	0	13	3%
Meade	0	0.00	0	0	0%
Miami	32	2.67	0	32	9%
Mitchell	2	0.59	1	1	52%
Montgomery	27	1.91	0	27	73%
Morris	6	2.54	0	6	0%
Morton	0	0.00	0	0	0%
Nemaha	0	0.00	0	0	0%
Neosho	16	2.46	0	16	100%
Ness	0	0.00	0	0	0%
Norton	3	1.19	0	3	3%
Osage	16	2.44	0	16	55%
Osborne	0	0.00	0	0	0%
Ottawa	4	1.56	0	4	21%
Pawnee	2	0.84	0	2	100%
Phillips	4	1.67	0	4	0%
Pottawatomie	27	3.38	4	22	31%
Pratt	2	0.42	0	2	68%
Rawlins	0	0.00	0	0	0%
Republic	8	3.55	0	8	27%
Rice	0	0.00	0	0	0%
Rooks	0	0.00	0	0	0%
Rush	7	4.40	5	2	0%
Russell	8	2.59	0	8	100%

	Scott	3	1.51	0	3	53%
	Seward	18	2.40	0	18	70%
	Sheridan	0	0.00	0	0	0%
	Sherman	0	0.00	0	0	0%
	Smith	3	1.52	0	3	39%
	Stafford	3	1.43	0	3	100%
	Stanton	2	2.92	0	2	0%
	Stevens	0	0.00	0	0	0%
	Sumner	7	0.76	0	7	0%
	Thomas	0	0.00	0	0	0%
	Trego	0	0.00	0	0	0%
	Wabaunsee	11	3.98	0	11	44%
	Wallace	0	0.00	0	0	0%
	Washington	0	0.00	0	0	0%
	Wichita	2	2.45	2	0	100%
	Wilson	6	1.54	1	5	0%
	Woodson	2	1.36	0	2	100%

**Cities with 50+ same-sex couples  
ranked by same-sex couples per 1,000 households**

State rank	US rank among 1,415 cities with 50+ same-sex couples	City	Same-sex couples (adjusted)	Same-sex couples per 1,000 households (adjusted)
1	372	Lawrence	267	7.62
2	564	Prairie Village	63	6.49
3	585	Leawood	75	6.39
4	797	Topeka	296	5.49
5	824	Kansas	287	5.32
6	831	Wichita	803	5.29
7	982	Shawnee	110	4.67
8	985	Lenexa	90	4.65
9	1039	Overland Park	319	4.46
10	1071	Manhattan	87	4.36
11	1186	Salina	77	3.95
12	1269	Olathe	158	3.55
13	1339	Hutchinson	54	3.17

**About the authors**

**Gary J. Gates, PhD** is the Williams Distinguished Scholar at the Williams Institute, UCLA School of Law.

**Abigail M. Cooke** is a PhD candidate in the Department of Geography at UCLA and is affiliated with the California Center for Population Research.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors thank Williams Institute Fellows Angeliki Kastanis, Laura Durso, and Christy Mallory for assistance with the Snapshots.

**For more information**

The Williams Institute, UCLA School of Law, (310) 267-4382

<http://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/>