## UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

## Title

Genetic pathways regulating the longitudinal acquisition of cocaine self-administration in a panel of inbred and recombinant inbred mice

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/04z7c5kr>

Journal Cell Reports, 42(8) ISSN 2639-1856 Authors Khan, Arshad H Bagley, Jared R LaPierre, Nathan [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/04z7c5kr#author)

Publication Date

2023-08-01

DOI 10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112856

Peer reviewed



# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript Cell Rep. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 September 27.

Published in final edited form as:

Cell Rep. 2023 August 29; 42(8): 112856. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112856.

## **Genetic pathways regulating the longitudinal acquisition of cocaine self-administration in a panel of inbred and recombinant inbred mice**

**Arshad H. Khan**1,6, **Jared R. Bagley**2, **Nathan LaPierre**3,7, **Carlos Gonzalez-Figueroa**4, **Tadeo C. Spencer**4, **Mudra Choudhury**4, **Xinshu Xiao**4, **Eleazar Eskin**5, **James D. Jentsch**2, **Desmond J. Smith**1,8,\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular and Medical Pharmacology, Geffen School of Medicine, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology, Binghamton University, Binghamton, NY, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Computer Science, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Integrative Biology and Physiology, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

<sup>5</sup>Department of Computational Medicine, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

<sup>6</sup>Present address: Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, 8700 Beverly Blvd, Los Angeles, CA 90048, USA

<sup>7</sup>Present address: Department of Human Genetics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

<sup>8</sup>Lead contact

## **SUMMARY**

To identify addiction genes, we evaluate intravenous self-administration of cocaine or saline in 84 inbred and recombinant inbred mouse strains over 10 days. We integrate the behavior data with brain RNA-seq data from 41 strains. The self-administration of cocaine and that of saline are genetically distinct. We maximize power to map loci for cocaine intake by using a linear mixed model to account for this longitudinal phenotype while correcting for population structure. A total of 15 unique significant loci are identified in the genome-wide association study. A transcriptome-wide association study highlights the  $Tppv2$  ion channel as a key locus for cocaine self-administration as well as identifying 17 additional genes, including Arhgef26, Slc18b1, and

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112856.

This is an open access article under the CC BY license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: dsmith@mednet.ucla.edu.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, J.D.J. and D.J.S.; methodology, A.H.K., J.R.B., J.D.J., and D.J.S.; software, A.H.K., J.R.B., N.L., C.G.-F., T.C.S., M.C., X.X., E.E., and D.J.S.; formal analysis, A.H.K., J.R.B., N.L., C.G.-F., T.C.S., M.C., and D.J.S.; investigation, A.H.K. and J.R.B.; data curation, A.H.K., J.R.B., and D.J.S.; writing – original draft, D.J.S.; writing – review and editing, A.H.K., J.R.B., N.L., C.G.-F., T.C.S., M.C., X.X., E.E., J.D.J., and D.J.S.; visualization, D.J.S.; supervision, X.X., E.E., J.D.J., and D.J.S.; funding acquisition, E.E., J.D.J., and D.J.S.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Slco5a1. We find numerous instances where alternate splice site selection or RNA editing altered transcript abundance. Our work emphasizes the importance of  $Trpv2$ , an ionotropic cannabinoid receptor, for the response to cocaine.

## **Graphical Abstract**



## **In brief**

Khan et al. use a panel of 84 inbred and recombinant inbred mouse strains to identify genes for cocaine self-administration. By combining genetic mapping data with RNA sequencing data from the brain of 41 strains, increased expression of the ionotropic cannabinoid receptor  $Trpv2$  is linked to decreased cocaine use.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Cocaine use disorders are a significant health burden. In the United States, 2 million people use cocaine once a month or more, and greater than 850,000 individuals are dependent on the drug.<sup>1-4</sup> Deaths due to cocaine overdose in 2018 were 4.5 per 100,000 standard population.<sup>5</sup>

Cocaine acts by blocking the reuptake transporters for dopamine, serotonin, and norepinephrine in presynaptic nerve terminals, thus increasing the concentrations of these neurotransmitters in the synaptic cleft. The rewarding effects of cocaine are largely mediated

by increased dopaminergic neurotransmission in the limbic system, in particular the nucleus accumbens (NAc) and prefrontal cortex. $2,4$ 

Addiction to cocaine is a complex trait, with multiple environmental and genetic factors. The broad sense heritability  $(H^2)$  for cocaine use in humans is ~0.32–0.79, and the additive heritability ( $h^2$ ) for cocaine dependence is ~0.27–0.30. There is evidence of overlap between the genetic risk factors for cocaine use and other addictive drugs, in particular cannabis.<sup>6</sup>

Properly powered genome-wide association studies (GWASs) of cocaine addiction in humans await ascertainment of adequate population sizes, likely tens to hundreds of thousands of individuals. Hurdles in obtaining sufficient numbers include difficulties in recruiting cocaine-dependent individuals, gene-environment interactions, and population and phenotypic heterogeneity. One genome-wide significant variant for cocaine use disorder has been identified in the  $FAM53B$  gene.<sup>7</sup> Analysis of the same data using a gene-based test identified C1QL2, STK38, KCTD20, and NDUFB9 as addiction genes, while a meta-analysis identified *HIST1H2BD*.<sup>8-10</sup> A recent GWAS employed gene-environment interactions to identify 13 significant genes, and another investigation found two significant loci associated with the latency from cocaine use to dependence.<sup>11,12</sup>

Genetic studies in mice can provide useful insights into cocaine addiction, since control of environment and behavioral endpoints is easily obtained. One investigation evaluated cocaine self-administration in 39 strains of recombinant inbred BXD mice. A cocaine selfadministration quantitative trait locus (QTL) was found to harbor a trans expression QTL  $(eQTL)$  for  $Fam53b$ .<sup>13</sup> Impulsivity and other behavioral endophenotypes may be valuable surrogates for genetic mapping of cocaine use disorders in rodent models.<sup>14-17</sup> For example, one study using BXD mice showed that poor reversal learning, which indicates a lack of inhibitory control, was associated with greater cocaine self-administration.<sup>18</sup>

Further evidence for a genetic basis of cocaine use was the observation that an acute dose of the drug caused significant differences in locomotor activity across 45 inbred mouse strains.<sup>19</sup> Divergence in sensitization to cocaine was also found using 51 genetically diverse collaborative cross strains and their inbred founders.20 An elegant study using two closely related substrains of the C57BL/6 mouse strain revealed that a missense mutation in Cyfip2 results in altered sensitization to cocaine.<sup>21</sup>

The hybrid mouse diversity panel (HMDP) is a collection of  $\sim$ 30 inbred and  $\sim$ 70 recombinant inbred mouse strains that can be used for association mapping of complex traits, including behavior.  $22,22-24$  The inbred strains have a large number of recombination events facilitating high resolution genetic mapping, while the recombinant inbred strains increase statistical power. Because the HMDP is genetically stable, it is possible to layer multiple phenotypes on the panel, providing ever more powerful insights.

We have previously used the HMDP to evaluate cocaine and saline intravenous selfadministration (IVSA) over a 10-day testing period.<sup>25</sup> The panel showed high phenotypic diversity in cocaine and saline IVSA, consistent with a genetic basis for these traits. Genetic mapping revealed significant loci for cocaine IVSA on chromosomes 3 and 14 and another suggestive locus on chromosome 3. Massively parallel RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) of the

NAc and medial frontal cortex (mFC) provided *cis* eQTLs that could be employed to narrow down candidate genes for cocaine self-administration. However, the power of the study was not completely realized since the genome scans used five sequential sets of binned 2-day intervals, rather than exploiting the full longitudinal nature of the datasets.

In this study, we used a linear mixed model to analyze the same dataset and identify loci that affect longitudinal cocaine IVSA while correcting for population structure. We further extended the analyses of RNA-seq data by mapping *cis* and *trans* QTLs affecting transcript, spliceform, and editing abundance. Splicing and editing events that influenced transcript abundance were identified. We then used transcriptome-wide association studies (TWASs) to combine the results of the behavioral GWASs with the RNA-seq data, providing confirmatory support for genes underlying cocaine use while also suggesting additional genes.

## **RESULTS**

#### **Cocaine and saline self-administration**

As described previously, we evaluated 84 strains of the HMDP for cocaine (479 mice) or saline IVSA (477 mice) over a 10-day testing period.<sup>25</sup> Animals could press either of two levers in the testing chamber; one caused the infusate (cocaine or saline) to be delivered, and the other was inactive. A total of four behavioral endpoints were evaluated: number of infusions, active lever presses, percent active lever presses, and inactive lever presses (Table S1). Normalized data were used for all analyses.

#### **Behavioral covariates**

To evaluate the influences on behavior independent of genetic background, we used a linear mixed model implemented in lme4 with fixed effects of testing chamber, active lever (left vs. right), age, sex, and testing day, along with a random effect of strain.<sup>26</sup>

Testing chamber was a significant effect on all behavioral endpoints for both cocaine  $(\eta_{\text{p}}^2)$  $= 0.047 \pm 0.009$ ,  $\chi^2 = 227$ , degrees of freedom [df] = 45, p < 2.2 × 10<sup>-16</sup>, percent lever presses, least significant endpoints quoted) and saline ( $\eta_{\text{p}}^2 = 0.037 \pm 0.009$ ,  $\chi^2 =$ 164, df = 46, p =  $3.2 \times 10^{-15}$ , percent active lever presses) (Figure S1A). The significant effect of testing chamber may reflect the fact that chambers were assigned non-randomly, to minimize the consequences of having multiple mice from the same strain in the same chamber.<sup>25</sup>

There was significantly higher self-administration of both cocaine (left vs. right =  $0.19 \pm$ 0.02, t[1, 4672] = 8.5,  $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ , Kenward-Roger df, active lever presses) and saline (left vs. right =  $0.10 \pm 0.02$ , t[1, 4650] = 4.9, p =  $9.7 \times 10^{-7}$ , infusions) when the active lever was on the left compared to the right (Figure S1A). Conversely, inactive lever presses were significantly higher when the active lever was on the right(cocaine,leftvs. right = −0.43  $\pm$  0.02, t[1, 4681] = 19.3, p< 2.2 × 10<sup>-16</sup>; saline, leftvs. right = -0.32  $\pm$  0.02, t[1, 4652]  $= 14.8$ , p < 2.2 × 10<sup>-16</sup>). Even though significant, the relatively modest effect size of lever placement was similar for all covariates and reflected the large sample size.

Cocaine behavioral endpoints showed no significant effect of age (Figure S1A), while all saline measures decreased with age (coefficient =  $-0.05 \pm 0.007$ , t[1, 4704] = 7.7, p = 1.4  $\times$ 10−14, infusions) except percent active lever presses, which was non-significant. Males had significantly higher measures for all cocaine endpoints (males vs. females =  $0.07 \pm 0.02$ , t[1, 4672] = 3.3, p =  $1.2 \times 10^{-3}$ , infusions) except percent active lever presses, which was non-significant (Figure S1A). In contrast, sex had no significant effect on saline endpoints.

Mice working for cocaine compared to saline showed significantly higher infusions, active lever presses, and percent active lever presses (active lever presses, cocaine vs. saline =  $0.12 \pm 0.02$ , t[1, 9431] = 7.5, p = 9.1 × 10<sup>-14</sup>) (Figure S1B). In contrast, mice showed significantly higher inactive lever presses for saline than cocaine (cocaine vs. saline  $= -0.31$ )  $\pm$  0.02, t[1,9433] = 19.5, p < 2.2 × 10<sup>-16</sup>).

For cocaine over the 10 days of the experiment, there was a significant increase in percent lever presses (coefficient =  $0.026 \pm 0.004$ , t[1, 4657] = 6.2, p =  $5.1 \times 10^{-10}$ ) and a significant decrease in inactive lever presses (coefficient =  $-0.019 \pm 0.004$ , t[1, 4657] = 5.2, p = 2.4  $\times 10^{-7}$ ) (Figure S1B). Saline showed the converse pattern, with a significant decrease in percent lever presses (coefficient =  $-0.029 \pm 0.004$ , t[1, 4636] = 7.1, p = 1.1 × 10<sup>-12</sup>) and a significant increase in inactive lever presses (coefficient =  $0.021 \pm 0.004$ , t[1, 4636] = 6.2,  $p = 5.9 \times 10^{-10}$ ). Infusions and active lever presses for either cocaine or saline showed no significant effect of experimental day.

#### **Differing genetic basis for cocaine and saline IVSA**

A number of analyses suggested distinct genetics for cocaine and saline taking. We found significant correlations between infusates for behavioral measures averaged by strain (cocaine vs. saline,  $R = 0.31 \pm 5.0 \times 10^{-3}$ ), but within the same infusate, the correlations were significantly higher (combined cocaine vs. cocaine and saline vs. saline,  $R = 0.51 \pm 9.3$  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup>; comparison between and within infusates t = 18.8, df = 2,408, p < 2.2  $\times$  10<sup>-16</sup>). This observation suggests different behavioral responses to the two regimens (Figures 1A, S1C, and S1D).

Over the 10-day period, all measures of self-administration showed significant  $H^2$ , both for saline (e.g., infusion H<sup>2</sup> = 0.46 ± 0.02, t[1, 9] = 29.4, p = 3.0 × 10<sup>-10</sup>, one sample t test) and cocaine (infusion H<sup>2</sup> = 0.38 ± 0.01, t[1, 9] = 38.9, p = 2.5 × 10<sup>-11</sup>) (Figures 1B, 1C, and S2A-S2C). However, there was significantly higher  $H^2$  for saline compared to cocaine for infusions, active lever presses, and inactive lever presses (infusion,  $p = 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ , sampling without replacement).

h<sup>2</sup> was also significant for all measures of self-administration (saline infusion h<sup>2</sup> = 0.35  $\pm$ 0.02, t[1, 9] = 16.1, p =  $6.1 \times 10^{-8}$ ; cocaine infusion  $h^2 = 0.24 \pm 0.01$ , t[1, 9] = 18.7, p = 1.7  $\times$  10<sup>-8</sup>) (Figures 1D, 1E, and S2D-S2F). Similar to H<sup>2</sup>, h<sup>2</sup> was significantly higher for saline than cocaine for infusions, active lever presses, and inactive lever presses (infusion, t[1, 9]  $= 4.6$ , p = 1.4 × 10<sup>-3</sup>). The aversive properties of cocaine may outweigh its reinforcing properties in mice, "masking" the action of genes that would otherwise contribute to selfadministration.  $H^2$  and  $h^2$  were roughly consistent with estimates from human populations.

Cocaine inactive lever presses showed a significant decrease in  $h^2$  during the experiment (time coefficient =  $-1.3 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3.3 \times 10^{-3}$ , p =  $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ ) (Figures 1E and S2D), while saline did not (time coefficient =  $-5.5 \times 10^{-4} \pm 4.2 \times 10^{-3}$ , p = 0.87). In fact, h<sup>2</sup> for cocaine inactive lever presses on day 8 was non-significant  $(h^2 = 0.05 \pm 0.04, Z = 1.3, p = 0.18)$ . The decrease in  $h^2$  for cocaine inactive lever presses occurred simultaneously with a switch to the active lever (Figure S1B), suggesting reduced additive variance as the cause of the decrease. Consistent with this reasoning, additive variance for cocaine on day 8 was non-significant  $(\sigma^2 = 0.04 \pm 0.02, Z = 1.8, p = 0.08)$ , while environmental variance remained significant ( $\sigma^2$ )  $= 0.62 \pm 0.02$ ,  $Z = 27.7$ ,  $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ) (Figures S2D-S2F).

To further explore the genetic basis for cocaine and saline IVSA, we performed a separate GWAS for each of the 10 days using the four behavioral endpoints.<sup>25</sup> We employed a linear mixed model using FaST-LMM software to correct for population structure.<sup>27</sup> Although none of the GWASs for the individual days exceeded genome-wide significance, clustering of the results showed segregation of the genome scans for cocaine and saline (Figure 1F). Further, there was a significantly higher correlation of GWAS results within infusate (cocaine vs. cocaine and saline vs. saline;  $R = 0.35 \pm 8.0 \times 10^{-3}$ ) than between (cocaine vs. saline)  $(R = 0.10 \pm 2.6 \times 10^{-3}$ , t[1, 1898] = 29.0, p < 2.2 × 10<sup>-16</sup>) (Figure S1D). Together, these observations indicate different genetic factors for cocaine and saline IVSA.

#### **A longitudinal analysis increases statistical power**

To improve statistical power, we evaluated the longitudinal behavioral phenotypes using a linear mixed model implemented in GMMAT software.28 Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were treated in the model as fixed effects on the normalized IVSA measures. The model further incorporated fixed and random slopes of testing day as a continuous variable plus fixed covariate effects of age, sex, active lever position (left or right), testing chamber, and cohort. Genetic relatedness was corrected via a random intercept derived from an SNP-based kinship matrix. A total of 17 significant loci were identified, of which 15 were unique (Figure 2, Table 1). The lack of intermediate -log<sub>10</sub>p values in the percent cocaine active lever presses (Figure 2C) may reflect the fact that 12% of mice pressed neither active nor inactive lever, rendering these data undefined.

We nominated plausible candidate genes for cocaine self-administration based on proximity to the behavioral loci and known roles in addiction, dopamine neurotransmission, or the brain. We gave higher priority to candidate genes that were also cis eQTLs or supported by TWASs. Of the 15 unique significant loci, eight were supported by evidence from cis eQTLs or TWASs (Table 1).

As expected, loci showed allelic differences in behavioral endpoints over the time course of the study (Figure S3A). Of the 17 loci for longitudinal cocaine IVSA, only one was also significant for longitudinal saline intake (rs30059671, inactive lever presses, Spry1,  $p = 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$ ). Quantile-quantile plots for longitudinal cocaine IVSA showed deviations from normality (Figure S1E), reflecting longer linkage disequilibrium blocks in the HMDP compared to human, as well as the longitudinal nature of the phenotypes.<sup>29</sup>

#### **RNA-seq**

To better discern genes for cocaine self-administration, RNA-seq was performed on NAc and mFC from 41 cocaine- and saline-exposed strains in the HMDP. NAc and mFC were chosen because the enhanced dopaminergic signaling in these brain regions caused by cocaine is responsible for much of the drug's action.<sup>2,4</sup> A total of  $72.6 \pm 1.1$  M paired-end reads were obtained per strain in each brain region for cocaine and  $73.0 \pm 1.1$  M for saline.<sup>25</sup>

Principal components analyses were performed using transcript abundance, splicing (percent spliced in, psi or  $\psi$ ) and RNA editing (percent edited, phi or  $\phi$ ) (Figure S3B). Samples showed strong separation due to region, some separation due to batch, but little or no separation based on sex or infusate. A total of four samples showed possible misattribution based on region, corresponding to an error rate of 1%. This error rate is comparable to, or better than, other genome-scale studies.<sup>30,31</sup> To avoid over-fitting, we elected not to correct the putatively mis-assigned samples.

#### **Gene regulation**

Changes in transcript and isoform abundance may be caused directly by the infusate or indirectly influenced by genetic background. Our study is nearly balanced with respect to infusate and mouse strain, so population structure is unlikely to be an appreciable confound. Nevertheless, to ensure that we identified expression changes independent of genetic background, we used a linear mixed model implemented in lme4qtl to correct for population structure via a kinship matrix.<sup>32</sup> The model also incorporated all known dependent variables, with fixed effects of brain region, sex, infusate, sex  $\times$  infusate interaction, and RNA-seq batch, each assigned its own p value. We included only one interaction term, which evaluated sex-dependent effects of cocaine on gene expression. Additional interactions could exhaust the power of the model and lead to unacceptable false positive and false negative rates.<sup>33</sup>

#### **Genes regulated by cocaine**

The fixed effect of infusate was significant for a total of 5,111 transcripts, representing either induction or repression by cocaine (false discovery rate  $[FDR] < 0.05$ ).<sup>34</sup> Regulated genes included Per2, Fam107a, Eif5, and Ankrd28 (Figures 3A and S4A). Per2 is a core circadian rhythm gene known to be regulated by cocaine that, in turn, alters the effects of cocaine on circadian phase shifts.35 Gene Ontology (GO) analysis using the biological process term showed 465 significantly enriched functional categories in the transcripts regulated by infusate (FDR < 0.05) (Figure S4I). Metabolic process was prominent, including nitrogen compound and organic substance metabolic process. Genes related to cocaine and addiction were featured in these processes, including *Bche* and *Comt*, which are involved in cocaine metabolism, *Oprm1*, the  $\mu$  opioid receptor, and *Cnr1*, a metabotropic cannabinoid receptor.<sup>36</sup>

Confirming our results, there was significant overlap between the regulated transcripts found in our investigation and a recent study that used RNA-seq to examine the NAc of C57BL/6J mice that underwent cocaine IVSA (odds ratio = 1.7, p =  $6.2 \times 10^{-5}$ , Fisher's exact test).<sup>37</sup> We found significant regulation due to brain region or sex (supplemental information, Figures S4B and S4D). A total of 48 transcripts were identified with significant sex  $\times$ 

infusate interactions (FDR < 0.05), including *Bc1*, *Crebzf*, *Taok1*, and *Psmc3* (Figures 3B) and S4C). Bc1 is a non-coding gene whose RNA is transported to dendrites to regulate translation.<sup>38</sup>

To identify factors that regulate splicing or RNA editing, we again used the linear mixed model implemented in lme4qtl. We added transcript abundance to the fixed effects of brain region, sex, infusate, sex  $\times$  infusate interaction, and batch. A total of 31 exons showed significant differential splicing as a result of infusate (cocaine vs. saline;  $FDR < 0.05$ ) (Figures 3C, 3D, and S4E).<sup>39</sup> Examples included *Rbm39* and *Luc7I*, which themselves both regulate splicing.40,41 Reminiscent of Per2, Rbm39 also shows a circadian rhythm-based splicing regulation.<sup>42</sup> GO analysis of spliceforms regulated by cocaine revealed significant enrichment in terms related to RNA splicing (Figure S4J). A total of 860 differential splicing events were significantly associated with the fixed effect of transcript abundance due to exon selection affecting RNA stability (FDR  $< 0.05$ ) (Figures 3E and S5A-S5C).<sup>43</sup>

Only two genes showed significant changes in RNA editing levels as a result of cocaine,  $Cdc42bb$  and  $Dock3$  (FDR < 0.05) (Figures 3F and S4G). Both editing sites are in intronic Alu elements. GO analysis of genes in which RNA editing was nominally regulated by cocaine or cocaine  $\times$  sex interactions (p  $< 0.05$ ) showed significant enrichment of a number of categories including organelle organization and metabolic processes (Figure S4K).

Spliceforms and RNA editing events significantly regulated by brain region were also identified (FDR < 0.05) (supplemental information, Figures S4F, S4H, S4J, and S4K). A total of 13 RNA editing sites significantly regulated by brain region resulted in non-synonymous coding region changes, including Cadps, Tmem63b, Unc80, and Cyfip2 (Figure S4H).

#### **Expression QTLs**

Cis and trans QTLs were identified for transcript abundance (eQTLs), splicing (sQTLs) or ψQTLs) and RNA editing (edit QTLs or φQTLs) using FaST-LMM (Figures 4A and S6A-S6C). The number of *cis* eQTLs averaged over the two brain regions and infusates was  $4,844 \pm 159$  (Figures 4A and S6A). The distance between the *cis* eQTLs and their corresponding genes was  $0.63Mb \pm 0.005 Mb$ , averaged across brain regions and infusates, consistent with the known linkage disequilibrium structure of the HMDP (Figures 4B and S6D). Since enhancers >1 Mb from the regulated gene have been identified, with some as far away as 10 Mb,  $44-49$  we chose to define *cis* eQTLs as those eQTLs residing closer than 2 Mb to the target gene. This cutoff is consistent with previous studies using the  $HMDP<sup>24,50,51</sup>$ 

We identified hotspots for transcript abundance, in which a locus regulates many genes.  $24,51$ A total of nine hotspots regulating ≥20 genes were present in NAc cocaine samples (FDR  $< 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ) and 10 hotspots in mFC cocaine samples (FDR  $< 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ). We sought candidate genes for hotspots by looking for co-aligned cis eQTLs. One NAc cocaine hotspot was coincident with a *cis* eQTL for the transcription factor *Runx2* (Figure 4C).

#### **Splicing QTLs**

A total of  $1,426 \pm 20$  *cis* splicing QTLs ( $\psi$ QTLs) were detected, averaged over the two brain regions and infusates (Figure S6B). Spliceforms regulated by genetic variants can affect transcript abundance as a result of changes in mRNA stability.52,53 To evaluate the prevalence of this phenomenon, we examined whether there was a statistically significant enrichment in coincident *cis* eQTLs and  $\psi$ QTLs. There were 360 coincident *cis* eQTLs and  $\psi$ QTLs in NAc from cocaine-treated mice (odds ratio [OR] = 2.8, p < 2.2 × 10<sup>-16</sup>, Fisher's exact test), while cocaine-exposed mFC had 419 (OR = 2.6, p <  $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ). A coincident  $cis$  eQTL and  $\psi$ QTL in cocaine-exposed NAc for *Lsm6*, a gene involved in pre-mRNA splicing,<sup>54</sup> is shown in Figures 4D-4H.

#### **Editing QTLs**

RNA editing results in sequence changes that can affect transcript stability and abundance as well as coding sequence.<sup>55</sup> We identified  $272 \pm 11$  *cis*-acting loci that affect RNA editing efficiency ( $\phi$ QTLs), averaged over the two brain regions and infusates (Figure S6C).<sup>56</sup> Because RNA editing occurs at single nucleotides, confident quantitation of these events is more demanding than transcript or spliceform abundance. The ascertainment rate for all editing events was  $37\% \pm 0.4\%$  of RNA-seq samples, averaged across infusates and brain regions. The decreased power resulting from the <100% detection rate means that the φQTLs should be treated with some caution.

To evaluate how often genetically driven variations in RNA editing can affect transcript abundance, we tested for statistically significant increases in coincident cis eQTLs and φQTLs. There were 51 coincident cis eQTLs and φQTLs in NAc from cocaine-treated mice  $(OR = 2.0, p = 4.2 \times 10^{-5}$ , Fisher's exact test), while cocaine-exposed mFC had 46 (OR  $= 1.6$ ,  $p = 5.3 \times 10^{-3}$ ). If *cis* φQTLs regulate editing events that in turn alter transcript stability and give rise to *cis* eQTLs, coincident *cis*  $\phi$ QTLs and *cis* eQTLs should be enriched in editing sites that appear in the final transcript rather than intronic or intergenic regions. This prediction was correct. We found significant enrichment of editing sites affecting 5′ untranslated, 3' untranslated, and exonic coding regions in the coincident  $cis \phi QTLs$  and eQTLs in both cocaine-exposed NAc (odds ratio = 1.9, p =  $9.2 \times 10^{-3}$ , Fisher's exact test) and cocaine-exposed mFC (odds ratio = 2.9, p =  $2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ , Fisher's exact test).

A cis  $\phi$ QTL that regulates editing of a site in a B1\_Mm *Alu* element in the 3<sup>'</sup> untranslated region of *Samd8* (chromosome 14, 21,797,711 bp) and that aligns with a *cis* eQTL in NAc from cocaine-exposed mice is shown in Figures S5D-S5G.

#### **Integrating RNA-seq and behavioral loci**

Cis eQTLs were used to narrow down the candidate genes for the longitudinal behavioral loci. A total of  $17.4 \pm 2.9$  cocaine-exposed NAc *cis* eQTLs and  $15.8 \pm 2.3$  cocaine-exposed mFC cis eQTLs lay within 2 Mb of each behavioral locus. Plausible candidate genes that aligned with either cocaine-exposed NAc or mFC cis eQTLs were found in seven of the 15 unique IVSA loci (Table 1).

The two most significant loci for cocaine infusions mapped to chromosome 11 at 60,484,778 bp and 62,605,569 bp (Figures 2A, 5, and S3A). Candidate genes for the two loci were Drg2 and  $Trpv2$ , respectively, each of which were supported by significant *cis* eQTLs in both NAc and mFC (Figure 5).<sup>57</sup> Higher expression of both  $Drg2$  and  $Trpv2$  was associated with lower cocaine infusions. The effect sizes of the two loci on infusions (0.33  $\pm$  0.06, Drg2; 0.37  $\pm$ 0.07, Trpv2) were comparable to the difference between cocaine and saline (0.23  $\pm$  0.02) (Figure S1B). There was, however, significant linkage disequilibrium between the Drg2 and *Trpv2* loci ( $D' = 0.91$ ,  $R^2 = 0.67$ , p < 2.2 × 10<sup>-16</sup>), making it hard to disentangle their relative contributions. Other genes supported by co-aligned cis eQTLs in the cocaine IVSA loci were  $A630001G21Rik$ , Plch1, Asic2, Rnf17, and Cldn20 (Table 1).

#### **Transcriptome-wide association studies**

We used TWASs to further evaluate genes for longitudinal cocaine IVSA. The TWAS approach nominates a gene for a trait if the gene possesses a cis eQTL and also shows significant correlation of its expression with the trait. Because TWAS employs genes rather than markers, there is decreased multiple hypothesis correction and thus increased statistical power. We used FUSION and FOCUS software to perform TWASs. Compared to FUSION, FOCUS provides fine mapping by controlling for both linkage disequilibrium and pleiotropy.58,59

Consistent with its increased statistical power, TWAS identified 20 significant genes for the four behavioral endpoints of cocaine IVSA. Of these genes, three were present in the longitudinal GWASs (A630001G21 Rik, Gna12, and Trpv2) and 17 were new (Tables 1 and S2 and Figures 6 and S7A-S7E). Of the 20 TWAS significant genes, 12 were significant using FUSION (Slco5a1, Cpxm1, Gm14057, Arhgef26, Tprkb, Slc18b1, Mgat4b, Hnrnpab, Gdi2, Trat1, Dubr, and Gm10232), five were significant using FOCUS (Slc4a11, Gna12, 9930111J21Rik2, Gm12216, and Mief2), and three (A630001G21Rik, G3bp1, and Trpv2) were common to both.

In some cases, TWAS suggested different genes than those nominated on the basis of proximity and biological plausibility (Table 1). For example, G3bp1 was significant for cocaine inactive lever presses using both FUSION ( $p = 1.83 \times 10^{-6}$ ) and FOCUS (posterior inclusion probability,  $pip = 0.91$ ) on RNA-seq data from cocaine-exposed mFC. However, G3bp1 was 626,581 bp from the nearest behavioral QTL. In contrast, the nominated candidate gene for this QTL, *Hint1*, was 7,761 bp from the locus. Although *Hint1* had no significant cis eQTLs, we gave this gene precedence because of its proximity to the behavioral QTL and its known role in addiction.<sup>60,61</sup> Further work is required to distinguish which of the two genes (or both) is relevant to cocaine IVSA. A similar situation exists for Pdyn and Cpxm1, and Drg2 and Mief2. Regardless, the TWAS genes for cocaine IVSA are useful entry points for new studies of drug addiction.

Among the three genes supported by TWAS in the 15 non-redundant cocaine IVSA loci, FUSION and FOCUS provided strong evidence for Trpv2 (Tables 1 and S2). The TWAS results for *Trpv2* were significant for both cocaine infusions and active lever presses using cocaine-exposed NAc data but not mFC. However, the neighboring candidate gene Drg2 was not supported by TWASs, despite significant cis eQTLs in cocaine-exposed NAc and mFC.

 $Drg2$  and  $Trpv2$  show significant linkage disequilibrium, but the linkage is less than perfect, leaving room for discordant TWAS results. Further, environment may affect Drg2 expression differently than  $Trpv2$ , weakening any correlation of  $Drz2$  expression levels with cocaine self-administration.

Additional strain transcriptomes may provide enough power to support a role for Drg2 in cocaine IVSA using TWASs. Alternatively, Drg2 may exert its effects through amino acid variations rather than expression, although no such variants are currently known among the 37 sequenced inbred mouse strains.<sup>62,63</sup> Genome sequencing of further strains in the HMDP may reveal Drg2 protein-altering variants.

#### **eCAVIAR**

To further dissect the contributions of Trpv2 and Drg2 to cocaine IVSA, we used eCAVIAR. This software evaluates the posterior probability that the same SNP is causal for both GWAS and expression QTLs, while accounting for the uncertainty introduced by linkage disequilibrium.<sup>64</sup> A colocalization posterior probability (CLPP)  $> 0.01$  supports sharing of causal GWAS and eQTL variants. eCAVIAR gives superior performance to conditional analysis, which relies on iterative selection of the most significantly associated SNPs but can lead to selection of no causal SNPs if the markers are in high linkage disequilibrium.

Consistent with the TWAS results, Trpv2 had above-threshold CLPPs for infusions (NAc, rs26984580, CLPP = 0.13; mFC, rs26970449, CLPP = 0.03) and active lever presses (NAc, rs26984580, CLPP =  $0.06$ ; mFC, rs26970449, CLPP =  $0.02$ ), while  $Drg2$  did not. CLPPs for Trpv2 were higher in cocaine-exposed NAc than mFC, suggesting NAc is the more relevant target tissue. This observation accords with the significant TWAS results for  $Tppv2$  in NAc but not mFC (Table 1). The SNP with the highest CLPP for Trpv2 (rs26984580) was 18,069 bp telomeric to  $Trpv2$ . The SNP is located midway (180 bp) between two transcriptional regulatory elements separated by 362 bp in the 3′ untranslated region of the neighboring gene, Lrrc75a.

The fact that eCAVIAR, which employs a different statistical approach than TWAS, gives similar discordant results for  $Trpv2$  and  $Drg2$  is further support for  $Trpv2$  as the relevant candidate gene for cocaine self-administration.

## **DISCUSSION**

Longitudinal GWASs increased the power to detect QTLs affecting cocaine IVSA. The longitudinal GWASs identified 15 unique loci using the four IVSA endpoints, of which three loci were for cocaine infusions. GWASs using individual days identified no significant loci, while GWASs using binned 2-day intervals identified two significant loci and one suggestive locus for infusions.<sup>25</sup> Further, the longitudinal GWAS QTLs had higher peak  $-\log_{10}p$  values than the GWASs using 2-day intervals.

The GWASs using cocaine infusion data binned over 2 days identified a suggestive QTL on chromosome 3 (36,771,265 bp) and a significant QTL on chromosome 14 (56,388,089 bp). Both QTLs are within credible linkage disequilibrium distances of loci identified

using the longitudinal model. The suggestive 2-day QTL on chromosome 3 is 1,406,935 bp centromeric to a longitudinal QTL for inactive lever presses, which has Spry1 as the candidate gene (Table 1).  $Spry1$  falls between the binned 2-day QTL (871,007 bp telomeric) and the longitudinal QTL (535,928 bp centromeric). The 2-day QTL on chromosome 14 is 8,077 bp telomeric to a longitudinal QTL for inactive lever presses, with Rnf17 as the candidate gene. *Rnf17* is supported by a *cis* eQTL in mFC.

Coincident *cis* eQTLs and TWASs gave support to longitudinal GWAS candidates, while suggesting additional genes. Only three significant TWAS genes were common to FUSION and FOCUS. In contrast to FUSION, which treatsgenes independently, FOCUS allows for fine mapping of TWAS results by controlling for linkage disequilibrium, while also accounting for pleiotropic effects. Moreover, the performance of FOCUS is preserved in proxy tissues whose expression is correlated with the causative tissue.

The role of  $Trpv2$  in cocaine IVSA was supported by  $cis$  eQTLs in NAc and mFC, as well as TWASs and eCAVIAR. The TWAS and eCAVIAR analyses suggested NAc was a more relevant target tissue for  $Trpv2$  than mFC.  $Trpv2$  is a cation channel that is activated by cannabidiol and 9-tetrahydrocannabinol, possibly explaining the overlapping genetic risk factors for cocaine and cannabis use disorders.<sup>6,65,66</sup> In fact, the six known Trp cation channels are sometimes referred to as ionotropic cannabinoid receptors. Cannabidiol shows promise as a treatment for cocaine use disorder, consistent with our observation that higher expression of Trpv2 is associated with lower cocaine IVSA.<sup>67</sup> Trpv2 knockout mice have been created and show macrophage and mechanical nociception phenotypes.68-70 These mice would be an attractive reagent to test the role of Trpv2 in cocaine IVSA.

Support for *Drg2* as a gene for cocaine IVSA was provided by *cis* eQTLs in NAc and mFC. Consistent with a potential role in cocaine addiction, Drg2 knockout mice show decreased dopamine release in the striatum.<sup>71</sup> However,  $Drg2$  was not supported by TWASs or eCAVIAR. A locus for inactive lever presses was close to A630001G21Rik, a likely nuclear body protein involved in transcription, and was supported by NAc and mFC cis eQTLs and also TWASs.<sup>72</sup>

Another locus for inactive lever presses was close to Pdyn. Although not supported by cis eQTLs or TWASs, Pdyn encodes prodynorphin, which is proteolytically processed to produce  $\kappa$  opioid receptor ligands and may cause aversion to cocaine.<sup>73,74</sup> There is a body of literature showing upregulation of *Pdyn* following chronic cocaine administration.<sup>75</sup> However, neither our study nor a recent RNA-seq study of the NAc in C57BL/6J mice self-administering cocaine<sup>37</sup> found significant upregulation of *Pdyn*.

Candidate genes lacking additional supporting evidence in the current study are speculative. For example, the peak SNP for the locus on chromosome 12 regulating the number of active presses (rs33619289) is actually located in the middle of the immunoglobulin heavy chain complex, near variable region gene  $3-48$  (*Ighv1–43*). The nominated candidate gene, *Vipr2*, is located 1,156,141 bp telomeric to the SNP, and another gene, Ptprn2, is 1,925,431 bp telomeric.

VIPR2 and PTPRN2 were significant in a linkage analysis of comorbid cocaine dependence and major depressive episode in humans.<sup>76</sup> Further, *Ptprn2* is significant in human GWASs for cognitive performance, risk taking, and smoking initiation.<sup>77</sup> Copy number increases in *Vipr2* are associated with schizophrenia and alter dopaminergic neurotransmission in engineered mice.<sup>78</sup> Ptprn2 knockout mice show decreased brain dopamine, norepinephrine, and serotonin concentrations.<sup>79</sup>

In our study, *Vipr2* had no *cis* eQTLs, while *Ptprn2* had significant *cis* eQTLs in both cocaine-exposed NAc and mFC. We nominated Vipr2 rather than Ptprn2 largely because Vipr2 is substantially closer to the peak behavior SNP. Although both genes are biologically plausible and within the credible distance for linkage disequilibrium, their candidacy should be treated with caution.

Slc18b1 was significant for inactive lever presses using FUSION analysis of RNA-seq data from both cocaine-exposed NAc and mFC. Slc18b1 is a serotonin transporter, consistent with the role of cocaine in inhibiting the reuptake of this neurotransmitter.<sup>80</sup> Other TWAS significant genes included *Cpxm1*, also significant in human GWASs for cognitive and executive function; *Arhgef26* for body mass index, sulcal depth, and smoking initiation; G3bp1 for cortical thickness; and Dubr for worry.<sup>77</sup>

We used geneMANIA, a repository of gene interactions, to chart links between the 32 candidate genes uncovered by the longitudinal behavioral GWASs and TWASs (Figure S7F).<sup>81</sup> There were 90 interactions involving the cocaine IVSA genes, featuring 25 candidate genes and 20 additional genes. For example, Rnf17, Pdyn, and Hnrnpab, candidate genes for inactive lever presses (Figure 6 and Table 1), showed genetic interactions.<sup>82</sup>

#### **Limitations of the study**

Our study lacks experimental confirmation of the candidate genes. However, at least eight of the candidate genes have been evaluated in knockout mice for traits other than addiction (*Vipr2, Pdyn, Asic2, Hint1, Drg2, Spry1, Rnf17, Trpv2*).<sup>70</sup>,<sup>71</sup>,<sup>83-88</sup> Expanding the phenotyping of these mice to include cocaine IVSA would be of value.

The single-day GWAS and heritability data suggest that saline longitudinal loci will show illuminating differences with cocaine. Consistent with these observations, only one out of 17 peak significant SNPs for longitudinal cocaine IVSA were also significant for longitudinal saline IVSA. However, a fuller picture awaits the completion of saline longitudinal GWASs, which are ongoing. Recently, a large number of new BXD RI strains have been constructed and genotyped, bringing the total to 140 strains.<sup>89</sup> Adding these mice to the study of cocaine IVSA will increase power and reveal further loci.

Dose-dependent gene regulation by cocaine or saline could be evaluated in the lme4qtl linear mixed model using self-administration measures as continuous rather than categorical variables. Adult NAc and mFC may not be the optimal tissues to identify cis eQTLs linked to cocaine IVSA. Analysis of various developmental time points in other brain regions, such as amygdala or ventral tegmental area, may be more relevant. TWAS relies on filtering by cis eQTLs. In contrast, reference trait analysis employs both environmental and genetic

variation to relate transcript levels to phenotypes and can outperform TWASs.<sup>90</sup> For genes lacking cis eQTLs, TWASs could be implemented using cis  $\psi$ QTLs and cis  $\phi$ QTLs.

Pathways for cocaine addiction can be identified from the RNA-seq data using tools such as KEGG and WikiPathways.91,92 Phenome-wide association studies (PheWASs) interrogate individual genetic variants for their effects on multiple phenotypes.<sup>93</sup> Combining the cocaine IVSA data with phenotypes already ascertained in the  $HMDP<sup>23</sup>$  will be a rich resource to illuminate addiction mechanisms using PheWASs.

## **STAR**★**METHODS**

#### **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

**Lead contact—**Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Desmond J. Smith (DSmith@mednet.ucla.edu).

**Materials availability—**This study did not generate new unique reagents.

#### **Data and code availability**

- **•** This paper analyzes existing, publicly available data. The accession numbers for the datasets are listed in the key resources table.
- **•** All original code has been deposited at figshare: https://doi.org/10.6084/ m9.figshare.21539487 and is publicly available as of the date of publication. DOIs are listed in the key resources table.
- **•** Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

**Mice—**A total of 479 and 477 mice from 84 strains of the HMDP were used for cocaine and saline IVSA respectively, as described earlier.<sup>25</sup> Animals for IVSA were acquired from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor ME) with an indwelling jugular catheter. A total of 32 inbred and 52 recombinant inbred strains were evaluated. Target numbers were 3 males and 3 females for each strain for each of the two infusates. The actual number per strain was  $5.7 \pm 0.1$  s.e.m. for both cocaine and saline, exceeding the 5 animals calculated to provide 80% power to identify a OTL with an effect size of 10% in the 100 strains of the HMDP.<sup>104</sup> There were close to equal numbers of males and females within each strain and infusate  $(50.4 \pm 0.7\%$  males). The age of the mice was  $11.3 \pm 0.07$  weeks. Mouse experiments were approved by the Binghamton University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and conformed to all relevant regulatory standards.

#### **METHOD DETAILS**

**Cocaine intravenous-self administration—**Mice were subjected to either cocaine or saline IVSA over 10 consecutive daily sessions. Animals were confronted with two levers in the testing chambers, one of which gave an infusion of cocaine or saline (active lever),

the other of which did not (inactive lever). A time-out period of 20 s occurred after an infusion, during which active lever presses were recorded but no infusion was given. Testing continued until 65 infusions were administered or 2 h elapsed, whichever came first. The amount of free base cocaine administered per infusion was 0.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of body weight. Consequently, the behavioral endpoints represent normalized cocaine doses. The concentration of sterile saline was 0.84 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>. The infusion volume was 0.67 mL kg<sup>-1</sup> infusion−1 for both cocaine and saline.

Four endpoints were analyzed; number of infusions, number of active lever presses, percentage of active lever presses and number of inactive lever presses. The first three endpoints evaluate the propensity of the mice for cocaine self-administration. Percent active lever presses control for locomotor activity by normalizing active lever presses to total lever presses. In contrast, inactive lever presses may measure either the aversive properties of the infusate or locomotor activity, whether intrinsic or modified by infusate.

**RNA-seq—**NAc (core and shell) and mFC were harvested from all mice 24 h after their final test session.<sup>25</sup> RNA-seq was performed on the first 41 strains exposed to either cocaine or saline and consisted of 28 inbred (A/J, AKR/J, LP/J, NOD/ShiLtJ, 129X1/SvJ, BALB/ cByJ, BALB/cJ, BPL/1J, C3H/HeJ, C3HeB/FeJ, C57BL/10J, C57BL/6J, C57BLKS/J, C57BR/cdJ, C58/J, CBA/J, DBA/1J, DBA/2J, FVB/NJ, KK/HlJ, MA/MyJ, MRL/MpJ, NZB/BlNJ, NZO/HlLtJ, NZW/LacJ, PL/J, SJL/J and SM/J) and 13 recombinant inbred strains (BXD31/TyJ, BXD32/TyJ, BXD38/TyJ, BXD40/TyJ, BXD42/TyJ, BXD48a/RwwJ, BXD61/RwwJ, BXD62/RwwJ, BXD65/RwwJ, BXD73a/RwwJ, BXD77/RwwJ, BXD84/ RwwJ and BXD98/RwwJ).

RNA-seq used individual samples for four strains (A/J, AKR/J, LP/J, NOD/ShiLtJ), resulting in 6 samples composed of 3 males and 3 females for each infusate and brain region. For the remaining strains, we pooled samples of the same sex, yielding a total of 2 samples (male or female) for each infusate and brain region. Samples were pooled to save library construction costs, while preserving information on sex, infusate and brain region. The total number of RNA-seq samples was 392. Sequencing used 75 bp paired-ends for cocaine with 72.6  $\pm$  1.1 million (M) reads per strain and brain region and 73.0  $\pm$  1.1 M for saline.

Reads were mapped as described<sup>25,51</sup> to mouse genome sequence build GRCm38.p6 downloaded from Ensembl<sup>96</sup> using STAR aligner.<sup>103</sup> Alignments employed the default mismatch value of 10, permitting one multiple mapping for each read. The transcriptome used was Gencode M25 (GRCm38.p6).<sup>98</sup> Expression levels at the gene level were obtained from each sample by using htseq-count to evaluate the aligned and sorted BAM files produced from STAR.<sup>101</sup>

#### **QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

**Behavioral covariates—**The effects of the covariates on the normalized behavioral phenotypes were evaluated using a linear mixed model implemented in lme4 with day of assay, sex, chamber number, active lever and age as fixed effects. Day of assay and age were

treated as continuous variables. The model assessed population structure using strain as a random effect.26,102

**Heritability**—Broad sense heritability  $(H^2)$  was calculated using the same model as the behavioral covariates, but with day of assay omitted to evaluate  $H^2$  on individual days. Additive heritability ( $h^2$ ) was calculated using the heritability package.<sup>99</sup> All heritability analyses used normalized data.

**Genome-wide association studies of IVSA—**Loci for cocaine and saline IVSA on individual days were mapped using a linear mixed model implemented in FaST-LMM to correct for population structure via a kinship matrix.<sup>25,27</sup> Covariates included sex, active lever (left or right), testing chamber, cohort and age. Behavioral data used individual mice and were normalized within individual days using the rank-based inverse normal transformation (Blom's method).105 Tied values were replaced by their mean. Genome-wide significance thresholds were obtained from permutation,  $p < 4.1 \times 10^{-6}$ , as described.<sup>51,104</sup> The corresponding family-wide error rate was 5%. Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotypes were obtained from the mouse diversity array.<sup>94</sup> After removing SNPs with minor allele frequency <5% or missing genotype frequency >10%, 340,097 SNPs remained for mapping. Coordinates are from mouse genome build GRCm38/mm10.<sup>95</sup>

To increase statistical power, we used a linear mixed model implemented in GMMAT to evaluate the fixed effects of SNPs on the normalized IVSA phenotypes treated as longitudinal traits.<sup>28</sup> The approach effectively used individual mice as repeated measures, preserving valuable degrees of freedom and giving increased power compared to strain means. The model employed fixed and random effects of testing day as a continuous variable and also corrected for population structure using random effect of genotype via a kinship matrix. Normalization and covariates were the same as for FaST-LMM.

Incorporating an infusate  $\times$  SNP interaction term into the model would allow efficient identification of loci that differentially affect cocaine and saline IVSA. However, we were unable to find available software that would accomplish this goal while incorporating other necessary model features. Further, a sample size increase of ~16-fold is required to detect an interaction with the same power as a main effect, and an underpowered term can lead to unacceptable false positive and false negative rates.<sup>33</sup>

Significance testing used the Wald test, because of its increased power compared to the score test.<sup>106</sup> The GMMAT model used the same threshold  $p < 4.1 \times 10^{-6}$  as for FaST-LMM. One genome scan took  $\sim$ 4 weeks on a computer cluster continuously running  $\sim$ 100 nodes in parallel, each using 16 Gb of memory consisting of 4 cores of 4 Gb.

**GWAS of transcript abundance—**Gene transcripts with 6 reads and transcripts per million (TPM) > 0.1 in at least 20% of samples for each infusate (cocaine or saline) and brain region (NAc or mFC) were selected for GWAS.<sup>51,107</sup> A total of 21,118  $\pm$ 41 transcripts remained for analysis, averaged over the two brain regions and infusates. Conditional quantile normalization was used to normalize the data.108 We mapped

expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) separately for cocaine and saline using FaST-LMM with covariates of sex and sequencing batch.

Cis eQTLs were defined as residing within 2 Mb of the regulated gene. Genome-wide significance thresholds of  $p < 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$  for *cis* eQTLs and  $6 \times 10^{-6}$  for *trans* were derived from permutation, corresponding to a family-wise error rate of  $5\%$ .<sup>51</sup> Pairs of QTLs, whether behavioral or molecular, were defined as coincident if they were located within 2 Mb of each other.

**GWAS of splicing—**Read mapping for spliceforms was performed as for transcripts using STAR and htseq-count, followed by calculation of percentage spliced in (psi, or  $\psi$ ) at the exon level.<sup>109</sup> Although many packages are available to quantitate splicing,<sup>110</sup> we chose STAR and htseq-count because of their wide popularity. Exons were selected with 5 reads in all samples for each infusate and brain region. For each transcript, the exon with the highest standard deviation of percentage spliced in (psi, or  $\psi$ ) between individuals was chosen.111 Of these, only exons with non-zero standard deviation that were also included in the transcript abundance analysis were retained. A total of  $9,436 \pm 59$  exons remained for analysis, averaged over the two brain regions and infusates. Values of  $\psi$  were quantile normalized and QTLs for alternate splicing (ψQTLs) mapped using FaST-LMM.

**GWAS of RNA editing—**We quantified RNA editing sites by aligning RNA-seq reads using hisat2 v.2.0.4 with default parameters.<sup>100</sup> Unmapped reads were realigned using a pipeline to resolve mapping of hyper-edited reads.<sup>112,113</sup> RNA editing sites were then obtained from the REDIportal database and downstream processing steps performed as described.97,114-116

Editing sites were retained for further analysis if  $10\%$  of samples for each infusate and brain region had data. A total of  $5,266 \pm 181$  editing sites were analyzed, averaged over the two brain regions and infusates. We quantile normalized editing ratios (φ) and used FaST-LMM to map QTLs regulating RNA editing (φQTLs). All editing sites were A to I.

**Regulation of transcripts, spliceforms and editing sites—**To identify expression changes independent of genetic background, we used a linear mixed model implemented in lme4qtl.<sup>32</sup> Fixed effects were brain region, sex, infusate, sex  $\times$  infusate interaction and RNA-seq batch. For splicing and editing, transcript expression level was added as an additional fixed effect. Random effects used a kinship matrix to account for population structure and correct for regulatory effects due solely to genetic background.

**Transcriptome-wide association studies—**Transcriptome-wide association studies (TWAS) were performed using FUSION and FOCUS software.58,59 Significance thresholds for FUSION used  $p < 0.05$ , Bonferroni corrected for the number of genes tested. FOCUS genes were considered significant if the posterior inclusion probability ( $pip$ )  $> 0.8$ .

**eCAVIAR—**To find SNPs that co-regulated *cis* eQTLs and behavioral loci with the highest colocalization posterior probability (CLPP), we used eCAVIAR to evaluate markers within

200 SNPs of the *cis* eQTL.<sup>64</sup> A CLPP >0.01 is considered the support threshold for a co-regulating SNP.

#### **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Funding was provided from the National Institute on Drug Abuse, U01 DA041602, P50 DA039841; the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, T32 AA025606; and the National Institute of Mental Health, R01 MH123177. We thank the UCLA Semel Institute Neurosciences Genomics Core for sequencing. This work used computational and storage services associated with the Hoffman2 Shared Cluster provided by the UCLA Institute for Digital Research and Education Research Technology Group.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. Deak JD, and Johnson EC (2021). Genetics of substance use disorders: a review. Psychol. Med 51, 2189–2200. 10.1017/S0033291721000969. [PubMed: 33879270]
- 2. Fernàndez-Castillo N, Cabana-Domínguez J, Corominas R, and Cormand B (2022). Molecular genetics of cocaine use disorders in humans. Mol. Psychiatr 27, 624–639. 10.1038/ s41380-021-01256-1.
- 3. Palmer RHC, Johnson EC, Won H, Polimanti R, Kapoor M, Chitre A, Bogue MA, Benca-Bachman CE, Parker CC, Verma A, et al. (2021). Integration of evidence across human and model organism studies: A meeting report. Gene Brain Behav. 20, e12738. 10.1111/gbb.12738.
- 4. Pierce RC, Fant B, Swinford-Jackson SE, Heller EA, Berrettini WH, and Wimmer ME (2018). Environmental, genetic and epigenetic contributions to cocaine addiction. Neuropsychopharmacology 43, 1471–1480. 10.1038/s41386-018-0008-x. [PubMed: 29453446]
- 5. Hedegaard H, Miniño AM, and Warner M (2020). Drug overdose deaths in the United States, 1999–2018. NCHS Data Brief 356, 1–8.
- 6. Kendler KS, Myers J, and Prescott CA (2007). Specificity of genetic and environmental risk factors for symptoms of cannabis, cocaine, alcohol, caffeine, and nicotine dependence. Arch. Gen. Psychiatr 64, 1313–1320. 10.1001/archpsyc.64.11.1313. [PubMed: 17984400]
- 7. Gelernter J, Sherva R, Koesterer R, Almasy L, Zhao H, Kranzler HR, and Farrer L (2014). Genome-wide association study of cocaine dependence and related traits: FAM53B identified as a risk gene. Mol. Psychiatr 19, 717–723. 10.1038/mp.2013.99.
- 8. Cabana-Domínguez J, Shivalikanjli A, Fernàndez-Castillo N, and Cormand B (2019). Genome-wide association meta-analysis of cocaine dependence: Shared genetics with comorbid conditions. Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry 94, 109667. 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2019.109667.
- 9. Huggett SB, and Stallings MC (2020). Cocaine'omics: Genome-wide and transcriptome-wide analyses provide biological insight into cocaine use and dependence. Addiction Biol. 25, e12719. 10.1111/adb.12719.
- 10. Huggett SB, and Stallings MC (2020). Genetic architecture and molecular neuropathology of human cocaine addiction. J. Neurosci 40, 5300–5313. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2879-19.2020. [PubMed: 32457073]
- 11. Sherva R, Zhu C, Wetherill L, Edenberg HJ, Johnson E, Degenhardt L, Agrawal A, Martin NG, Nelson E, Kranzler HR, et al. (2021). Genome-wide association study of phenotypes measuring progression from first cocaine or opioid use to dependence reveals novel risk genes. Explor. Med 2, 60–73. 10.37349/emed.2021.00032. [PubMed: 34124712]
- 12. Sun J, Kranzler HR, Gelernter J, and Bi J (2020). A genome-wide association study of cocaine use disorder accounting for phenotypic heterogeneity and gene–environment interaction. J. Psychiatry Neurosci 45, 34–44. 10.1503/jpn.180098. [PubMed: 31490055]
- 13. Dickson PE, Miller MM, Calton MA, Bubier JA, Cook MN, Goldowitz D, Chesler EJ, and Mittleman G (2016). Systems genetics of intravenous cocaine self-administration in the BXD

recombinant inbred mouse panel. Psychopharmacology 233, 701–714. 10.1007/s00213-015-4147 z. [PubMed: 26581503]

- 14. Jupp B, Caprioli D, and Dalley JW (2013). Highly impulsive rats: modelling an endophenotype to determine the neurobiological, genetic and environmental mechanisms of addiction. Dis. Model. Mech 6, 302–311. 10.1242/dmm.010934. [PubMed: 23355644]
- 15. Kalueff AV, Stewart AM, Song C, and Gottesman II (2015). Targeting dynamic interplay among disordered domains or endophenotypes to understand complex neuropsychiatric disorders: Translational lessons from preclinical models. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev 53, 25–36. 10.1016/ j.neubiorev.2015.03.007. [PubMed: 25813308]
- 16. Groman SM, James AS, and Jentsch JD (2009). Poor response inhibition: At the nexus between substance abuse and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev 33, 690–698. 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2008.08.008. [PubMed: 18789354]
- 17. Laughlin RE, Grant TL, Williams RW, and Jentsch JD (2011). Genetic dissection of behavioral flexibility: Reversal learning in mice. Biol. Psychiatr 69, 1109–1116. 10.1016/ j.biopsych.2011.01.014.
- 18. Cervantes MC, Laughlin RE, and Jentsch JD (2013). Cocaine self-administration behavior in inbred mouse lines segregating different capacities for inhibitory control. Psychopharmacology 229, 515–525. 10.1007/s00213-013-3135-4. [PubMed: 23681162]
- 19. Wiltshire T, Ervin RB, Duan H, Bogue MA, Zamboni WC, Cook S, Chung W, Zou F, and Tarantino LM (2015). Initial locomotor sensitivity to cocaine varies widely among inbred mouse strains: Initial locomotor sensitivity to cocaine in inbred mice. Gene Brain Behav. 14, 271–280. 10.1111/gbb.12209.
- 20. Schoenrock SA, Gagnon L, Olson A, Leonardo M, Philip VM, He H, Reinholdt LG, Sukoff Rizzo SJ, Jentsch JD, Chesler EJ, and Tarantino LM (2022). The collaborative cross strains and their founders vary widely in cocaine-induced behavioral sensitization. Front. Behav. Neurosci 16, 886524. 10.3389/fnbeh.2022.886524. [PubMed: 36275853]
- 21. Kumar V, Kim K, Joseph C, Kourrich S, Yoo S-H, Huang HC, Vitaterna MH, de Villena FP-M, Churchill G, Bonci A, and Takahashi JS (2013). C57BL/6N mutation in cytoplasmic FMRP interacting protein 2 regulates cocaine response. Science 342, 1508–1512. 10.1126/ science.1245503. [PubMed: 24357318]
- 22. Ghazalpour A, Rau CD, Farber CR, Bennett BJ, Orozco LD, van Nas A, Pan C, Allayee H, Beaven SW, Civelek M, et al. (2012). Hybrid mouse diversity panel: a panel of inbred mouse strains suitable for analysis of complex genetic traits. Mamm. Genome 23, 680–692. 10.1007/ s00335-012-9411-5. [PubMed: 22892838]
- 23. Lusis AJ, Seldin MM, Allayee H, Bennett BJ, Civelek M, Davis RC, Eskin E, Farber CR, Hui S, Mehrabian M, et al. (2016). The Hybrid Mouse Diversity Panel: A resource for systems genetics analyses of metabolic and cardiovascular traits. J. Lipid Res 57, 925–942. 10.1194/jlr.R066944. [PubMed: 27099397]
- 24. Park CC, Gale GD, de Jong S, Ghazalpour A, Bennett BJ, Farber CR, Langfelder P, Lin A, Khan AH, Eskin E, et al. (2011). Genenetworks associated with conditional fear in mice identified using a systems genetics approach. BMC Syst. Biol 5, 43. 10.1186/1752-0509-5-43. [PubMed: 21410935]
- 25. Bagley JR, Khan AH, Smith DJ, and Jentsch JD (2022). Extreme phenotypic diversity in operant response to intravenous cocaine or saline infusion in the hybrid mouse diversity panel. Addiction Biol. 27, e13162. 10.1111/adb.13162.
- 26. Bates DW, Mächler M, Bolker B, and Walker S (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. BMJ Qual. Saf 24, 1–3. 10.18637/jss.v067.i01.
- 27. Lippert C, Listgarten J, Liu Y, Kadie CM, Davidson RI, and Heckerman D (2011). FaST linear mixed models for genome-wide association studies. Nat. Methods 8, 833–835. 10.1038/ nmeth.1681. [PubMed: 21892150]
- 28. Chen H, Wang C, Conomos MP, Stilp AM, Li Z, Sofer T, Szpiro AA, Chen W, Brehm JM, Celedón JC, et al. (2016). Control for population structure and relatedness for binary traits in genetic association studies via logistic mixed models. Am. J. Hum. Genet 98, 653–666. 10.1016/ j.ajhg.2016.02.012. [PubMed: 27018471]

- 29. Tyler AL, El Kassaby B, Kolishovski G, Emerson J, Wells AE, Mahoney JM, and Carter GW (2021). Effects of kinship correction on inflation of genetic interaction statistics in commonly used mouse populations. G3 11, jkab131. 10.1093/g3journal/jkab131. [PubMed: 33892506]
- 30. Broman KW, Keller MP, Broman AT, Kendziorski C, Yandell BS, Sen , and Attie AD (2015). Identification and correction of sample mix-ups in expression genetic data: A case study. G3 5, 2177–2186. 10.1534/g3.115.019778. [PubMed: 26290572]
- 31. Westra H-J, Jansen RC, Fehrmann RSN, te Meerman GJ, van Heel D, Wijmenga C, and Franke L (2011). MixupMapper: correcting sample mix-ups in genome-wide datasets increases power to detect small genetic effects. Bioinformatics 27, 2104–2111. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr323. [PubMed: 21653519]
- 32. Ziyatdinov A, Vázquez-Santiago M, Brunel H, Martinez-Perez A, Aschard H, and Soria JM (2018). lme4qtl: linear mixed models with flexible covariance structure for genetic studies of related individuals. BMC Bioinf. 19, 68. 10.1186/s12859-018-2057-x.
- 33. Durand CP (2013). Does raising type 1 error rate improve power to detect interactions in linear regression models? A simulation study. PLoS One 8, e71079. 10.1371/journal.pone.0071079. [PubMed: 23976980]
- 34. Benjamini Y, and Hochberg Y (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol 57, 289–300.
- 35. Prosser RA, Stowie A, Amicarelli M, Nackenoff AG, Blakely RD, and Glass JD (2014). Cocaine modulates mammalian circadian clock timing by decreasing serotonin transport in the SCN. Neuroscience 275, 184–193. 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2014.06.012. [PubMed: 24950119]
- 36. Freshour SL, Kiwala S, Cotto KC, Coffman AC, McMichael JF, Song JJ, Griffith M, Griffith OL, and Wagner AH (2021). Integration of the drug–gene interaction database (DGIdb 4.0) with open crowd-source efforts. Nucleic Acids Res. 49, D1144–D1151. 10.1093/nar/gkaa1084. [PubMed: 33237278]
- 37. Walker DM, Cates HM, Loh Y-HE, Purushothaman I, Ramakrishnan A, Cahill KM, Lardner CK, Godino A, Kronman HG, Rabkin J, et al. (2018). Cocaine self-administration alters transcriptome-wide responses in the brain's reward circuitry. Biol. Psychiatr 84, 867–880. 10.1016/j.biopsych.2018.04.009.
- 38. Robeck T, Skryabin BV, Rozhdestvensky TS, Skryabin AB, and Brosius J (2016). BC1 RNA motifs required for dendritic transport in vivo. Sci. Rep 6, 28300. 10.1038/srep28300. [PubMed: 27350115]
- 39. Garrido-Martín D, Palumbo E, Guigó R, and Breschi A (2018). ggsashimi: Sashimi plot revised for browser- and annotation-independent splicing visualization. PLoS Comput. Biol 14, e1006360. 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006360. [PubMed: 30118475]
- 40. Daniels NJ, Hershberger CE, Gu X, Schueger C, DiPasquale WM, Brick J, Saunthararajah Y, Maciejewski JP, and Padgett RA (2021). Functional analyses of human LUC7-like proteins involved in splicing regulation and myeloid neoplasms. Cell Rep. 35, 108989. 10.1016/ j.celrep.2021.108989. [PubMed: 33852859]
- 41. Xu Y, Nijhuis A, and Keun HC (2022). RNA-binding motif protein 39 (RBM39): An emerging cancer target. Br. J. Pharmacol 179, 2795–2812. 10.1111/bph.15331. [PubMed: 33238031]
- 42. El-Athman R, Knezevic D, Fuhr L, and Relógio A (2019). A computational analysis of alternative splicing across mammalian tissues reveals circadian and ultradian rhythms in splicing events. Int. J. Mol. Sci 20, 3977. 10.3390/ijms20163977. [PubMed: 31443305]
- 43. Baralle FE, and Giudice J (2017). Alternative splicing as a regulator of development and tissue identity. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol 18, 437–451. 10.1038/nrm.2017.27. [PubMed: 28488700]
- 44. van den Heuvel A, Stadhouders R, Andrieu-Soler C, Grosveld F, and Soler E (2015). Longrange gene regulation and novel therapeutic applications. Blood 125, 1521–1525. 10.1182/ blood-2014-11-567925. [PubMed: 25617428]
- 45. Joehanes R, Zhang X, Huan T, Yao C, Ying SX, Nguyen QT, Demirkale CY, Feolo ML, Sharopova NR, Sturcke A, et al. (2017). Integrated genome-wide analysis of expression quantitative trait loci aids interpretation of genomic association studies. Genome Biol. 18, 16. 10.1186/ s13059-016-1142-6. [PubMed: 28122634]

- 46. Kirsten H, Al-Hasani H, Holdt L, Gross A, Beutner F, Krohn K, Horn K, Ahnert P, Burkhardt R, Reiche K, et al. (2015). Dissecting the genetics of the human transcriptome identifies novel trait-related trans -eQTLs and corroborates the regulatory relevance of non-protein coding loci. Hum. Mol. Genet 24, 4746–4763. 10.1093/hmg/ddv194. [PubMed: 26019233]
- 47. Laverré A, Tannier E, and Necsulea A (2022). Long-range promoter–enhancer contacts are conserved during evolution and contribute to gene expression robustness. Genome Res. 32, 280– 296. 10.1101/gr.275901.121. [PubMed: 34930799]
- 48. Moore JE, Pratt HE, Purcaro MJ, and Weng Z (2020). A curated benchmark of enhancer-gene interactions for evaluating enhancer-target gene prediction methods. Genome Biol. 21, 17. 10.1186/s13059-019-1924-8. [PubMed: 31969180]
- 49. Novo CL, Javierre B-M, Cairns J, Segonds-Pichon A, Wingett SW, Freire-Pritchett P, Furlan-Magaril M, Schoenfelder S, Fraser P, and Rugg-Gunn PJ (2018). Long-range enhancer interactions are prevalent in mouse embryonic stem cells and are reorganized upon pluripotent state transition. Cell Rep. 22, 2615–2627. 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.02.040. [PubMed: 29514091]
- 50. Ghazalpour A, Bennett B, Petyuk VA, Orozco L, Hagopian R, Mungrue IN, Farber CR, Sinsheimer J, Kang HM, Furlotte N, et al. (2011). Comparative analysis of proteome and transcriptome variation in mouse. PLoS Genet. 7, e1001393. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1001393. [PubMed: 21695224]
- 51. Hasin-Brumshtein Y, Khan AH, Hormozdiari F, Pan C, Parks BW, Petyuk VA, Piehowski PD, Brümmer A, Pellegrini M, Xiao X, et al. (2016). Hypothalamic transcriptomes of 99 mouse strains reveal trans eQTL hotspots, splicing QTLs and novel non-coding genes. Elife 5, e15614. 10.7554/ eLife.15614. [PubMed: 27623010]
- 52. Mockenhaupt S, and Makeyev EV (2015). Non-coding functions of alternative pre-mRNA splicing in development. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol 47–48, 32–39. 10.1016/j.semcdb.2015.10.018.
- 53. Titus MB, Chang AW, and Olesnicky EC (2021). Exploring the diverse functional and regulatory consequences of alternative splicing in development and disease. Front. Genet 12, 775395. 10.3389/fgene.2021.775395. [PubMed: 34899861]
- 54. Wu D, Jiang S, Bowler MW, and Song H (2012). Crystal structures of Lsm3, Lsm4 and Lsm5/6/7 from Schizosaccharomyces pombe. PLoS One 7, e36768. 10.1371/journal.pone.0036768. [PubMed: 22615807]
- 55. Brümmer A, Yang Y, Chan TW, and Xiao X (2017). Structure-mediated modulation of mRNA abundance by A-to-I editing. Nat. Commun 8, 1255. 10.1038/s41467-017-01459-7. [PubMed: 29093448]
- 56. Li Q, Gloudemans MJ, Geisinger JM, Fan B, Aguet F, Sun T, Ramaswami G, Li YI, Ma J-B, Pritchard JK, et al. (2022). RNA editing underlies genetic risk of common inflammatory diseases. Nature 608, 569–577. 10.1038/s41586-022-05052-x. [PubMed: 35922514]
- 57. Pruim RJ, Welch RP, Sanna S, Teslovich TM, Chines PS, Gliedt TP, Boehnke M, Abecasis GR, and Willer CJ (2010). LocusZoom: Regional visualization of genome-wide association scan results. Bioinformatics 26, 2336–2337. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq419. [PubMed: 20634204]
- 58. Gusev A, Ko A, Shi H, Bhatia G, Chung W, Penninx BWJH, Jansen R, de Geus EJC, Boomsma DI, Wright FA, et al. (2016). Integrative approaches for large-scale transcriptome-wide association studies. Nat. Genet 48, 245–252. 10.1038/ng.3506. [PubMed: 26854917]
- 59. Mancuso N, Freund MK, Johnson R, Shi H, Kichaev G, Gusev A, and Pasaniuc B (2019). Probabilistic fine-mapping of transcriptome-wide association studies. Nat. Genet 51, 675–682. 10.1038/s41588-019-0367-1. [PubMed: 30926970]
- 60. Barbier E, Zapata A, Oh E, Liu Q, Zhu F, Undie A, Shippenberg T, and Wang JB (2007). Supersensitivity to amphetamine in protein kinase-C interacting protein/HINT1 knockout mice. Neuropsychopharmacology 32, 1774–1782. 10.1038/sj.npp.1301301. [PubMed: 17203012]
- 61. Liu P, Liu Z, Wang J, Ma X, and Dang Y (2017). HINT1 in neuropsychiatric diseases: A potential neuroplastic mediator. Neural Plast. 2017, 5181925–5181929. 10.1155/2017/5181925. [PubMed: 29214080]
- 62. Lilue J, Doran AG, Fiddes IT, Abrudan M, Armstrong J, Bennett R, Chow W, Collins J, Collins S, Czechanski A, et al. (2018). Sixteen diverse laboratory mouse reference genomes

define strain-specific haplotypes and novel functional loci. Nat. Genet 50, 1574–1583. 10.1038/ s41588-018-0223-8. [PubMed: 30275530]

- 63. Timmermans S, Van Montagu M, and Libert C (2017). Complete overview of protein-inactivating sequence variations in 36 sequenced mouse inbred strains. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 114, 9158– 9163. 10.1073/pnas.1706168114. [PubMed: 28784771]
- 64. Hormozdiari F, van de Bunt M, Segrè AV, Li X, Joo JWJ, Bilow M, Sul JH, Sankararaman S, Pasaniuc B, and Eskin E (2016). Colocalization of GWAS and eQTL signals detects target genes. Am. J. Hum. Genet 99, 1245–1260. 10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.10.003. [PubMed: 27866706]
- 65. Muller C, Morales P, and Reggio PH (2018). Cannabinoid ligands targeting TRP channels. Front. Mol. Neurosci 11, 487. 10.3389/fnmol.2018.00487. [PubMed: 30697147]
- 66. Qin N, Neeper MP, Liu Y, Hutchinson TL, Lubin ML, and Flores CM (2008). TRPV2 is activated by cannabidiol and mediates cgrp release in cultured rat dorsal root ganglion neurons. J. Neurosci 28, 6231–6238. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0504-08.2008. [PubMed: 18550765]
- 67. Calpe-López C, García-Pardo MP, and Aguilar MA (2019). Cannabidiol treatment might promote resilience to cocaine and methamphetamine use disorders: A review of possible mechanisms. Molecules 24, 2583. 10.3390/molecules24142583. [PubMed: 31315244]
- 68. Entin-Meer M, Cohen L, Hertzberg-Bigelman E, Levy R, Ben-Shoshan J, and Keren G (2017). TRPV2 knockout mice demonstrate an improved cardiac performance following myocardial infarction due to attenuated activity of peri-infarct macrophages. PLoS One 12, e0177132. 10.1371/journal.pone.0177132. [PubMed: 28481959]
- 69. Katanosaka K, Takatsu S, Mizumura K, Naruse K, and Katanosaka Y (2018). TRPV2 is required for mechanical nociception and the stretchevoked response of primary sensory neurons. Sci. Rep 8, 16782. 10.1038/s41598-018-35049-4. [PubMed: 30429536]
- 70. Park U, Vastani N, Guan Y, Raja SN, Koltzenburg M, and Caterina MJ (2011). TRPvanilloid 2 knock-out mice are susceptibleto perinatal lethality but display normal thermal and mechanical nociception. J. Neurosci 31, 11425–11436. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1384-09.2011. [PubMed: 21832173]
- 71. Lim HR, Vo M-T, Kim DJ, Lee UH, Yoon JH, Kim H-J, Kim J, Kim SR, Lee JY, Yang CH, et al. (2019). DRG2 deficient mice exhibit impaired motor behaviors with reduced striatal dopamine release. Int. J. Mol. Sci 21, 60. 10.3390/ijms21010060. [PubMed: 31861806]
- 72. Blake JA, Baldarelli R, Kadin JA, Richardson JE, Smith CL, Bult CJ, the Mouse Genome Database Group; Anagnostopoulos, A.V., Beal JS, Bello SM, et al. (2021). Mouse Genome Database (MGD): Knowledgebase for mouse–human comparative biology. Nucleic Acids Res. 49, D981– D987. 10.1093/nar/gkaa1083. [PubMed: 33231642]
- 73. Abraham AD, Casello SM, Land BB, and Chavkin C (2022). Optogenetic stimulation of dynorphinergic neurons within the dorsal raphe activate kappa opioid receptors in the ventral tegmental area and ablation of dorsal raphe prodynorphin or kappa receptors in dopamine neurons blocks stress potentiation of cocaine reward. Addict. Neurosci 1, 100005. 10.1016/ j.addicn.2022.100005. [PubMed: 36176476]
- 74. Wee S, and Koob GF (2010). The role of the dynorphin–κ opioid system in the reinforcing effects of drugs of abuse. Psychopharmacology 210, 121–135. 10.1007/s00213-010-1825-8. [PubMed: 20352414]
- 75. Shippenberg TS, Zapata A, and Chefer VI (2007). Dynorphin and the pathophysiology of drug addiction. Pharmacol. Ther 116, 306–321. 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2007.06.011. [PubMed: 17868902]
- 76. Yang B-Z, Han S, Kranzler HR, Farrer LA, and Gelernter J (2011). A genomewide linkage scan of cocaine dependence and major depressive episode in two populations. Neuropsychopharmacology 36, 2422–2430. 10.1038/npp.2011.122. [PubMed: 21849985]
- 77. Buniello A, MacArthur JAL, Cerezo M, Harris LW, Hayhurst J, Malangone C, McMahon A, Morales J, Mountjoy E, Sollis E, et al. (2019). The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, D1005–D1012. 10.1093/nar/gky1120. [PubMed: 30445434]
- 78. Tian X, Richard A, El-Saadi MW, Bhandari A, Latimer B, Van Savage I, Holmes K, Klein RL, Dwyer D, Goeders NE, et al. (2019). Dosage sensitivity intolerance of VIPR2 microduplication

is disease causative to manifest schizophrenia-like phenotypes in a novel BAC transgenic mouse model. Mol. Psychiatr 24, 1884–1901. 10.1038/s41380-019-0492-3.

- 79. Nishimura T, Kubosaki A, Ito Y, and Notkins AL (2009). Disturbances in the secretion of neurotransmitters in IA-2/IA-2β null mice: Changes in behavior, learning and lifespan. Neuroscience 159, 427–437. 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2009.01.022. [PubMed: 19361477]
- 80. Moriyama Y, Hatano R, Moriyama S, and Uehara S (2020). Vesicular polyamine transporter as a novel player in amine-mediated chemical transmission. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Biomembr 1862, 183208. 10.1016/j.bbamem.2020.183208. [PubMed: 32004521]
- 81. Franz M, Rodriguez H, Lopes C, Zuberi K, Montojo J, Bader GD, and Morris Q (2018). GeneMANIA update 2018. Nucleic Acids Res. 46, W60–W64. 10.1093/nar/gky311. [PubMed: 29912392]
- 82. Lin A, Wang RT, Ahn S, Park CC, and Smith DJ (2010). A genome-wide map of human genetic interactions inferred from radiation hybrid genotypes. Genome Res. 20, 1122–1132. 10.1101/ gr.104216.109. [PubMed: 20508145]
- 83. Ago Y, Hayata-Takano A, Kawanai T, Yamauchi R, Takeuchi S, Cushman JD, Rajbhandari AK, Fanselow MS, Hashimoto H, and Waschek JA (2017). Impaired extinction of cued fear memory and abnormal dendritic morphology in the prelimbic and infralimbic cortices in VPAC2 receptor (VIPR2)-deficient mice. Neurobiol. Learn. Mem 145, 222–231. 10.1016/j.nlm.2017.10.010. [PubMed: 29030297]
- 84. Charbogne P, Kieffer BL, and Befort K (2014). 15 years of genetic approaches in vivo for addiction research: Opioid receptor and peptide gene knockout in mouse models of drug abuse. Neuropharmacology 76 Pt B, 204–217. 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2013.08.028. [PubMed: 24035914]
- 85. Ettaiche M, Guy N, Hofman P, Lazdunski M, and Waldmann R (2004). Acid-Sensing Ion Channel 2 is important for retinal function and protects against light-induced retinal degeneration. J. Neurosci 24, 1005–1012. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4698-03.2004. [PubMed: 14762118]
- 86. Jackson KJ, Wang JB, Barbier E, Chen X, and Damaj MI (2012). Acute behavioral effects of nicotine in male and female HINT1 knockout mice: HINT1 and acute nicotine. Gene Brain Behav. 11, 993–1000. 10.1111/j.1601-183X.2012.00827.x.
- 87. Macià A, Vaquero M, Gou-Fàbregas M, Castelblanco E, Valdivielso JM, Anerillas C, Mauricio D, Matias-Guiu X, Ribera J, and Encinas M (2014). Sprouty1 induces a senescence-associated secretory phenotype by regulating NFκB activity: implications for tumorigenesis. Cell Death Differ. 21, 333–343. 10.1038/cdd.2013.161. [PubMed: 24270409]
- 88. Pan J, Goodheart M, Chuma S, Nakatsuji N, Page DC, and Wang PJ (2005). RNF17, a component of the mammalian germ cell nuage, is essential for spermiogenesis. Development 132, 4029–4039. 10.1242/dev.02003. [PubMed: 16093322]
- 89. Ashbrook DG, Arends D, Prins P, Mulligan MK, Roy S, Williams EG, Lutz CM, Valenzuela A, Bohl CJ, Ingels JF, et al. (2021).A platform for experimental precision medicine: The extended BXD mouse family. Cell Syst. 12, 235–247.e9. 10.1016/j.cels.2020.12.002. [PubMed: 33472028]
- 90. Skelly DA, Raghupathy N, Robledo RF, Graber JH, and Chesler EJ (2019). Reference Trait Analysis reveals correlations between gene expression and quantitative traits in disjoint samples. Genetics 212, 919–929. 10.1534/genetics.118.301865. [PubMed: 31113812]
- 91. Kanehisa M, Araki M, Goto S, Hattori M, Hirakawa M, Itoh M, Katayama T, Kawashima S, Okuda S, Tokimatsu T, and Yamanishi Y (2008). KEGG for linking genomes to life and the environment. Nucleic Acids Res. 36, D480–D484. 10.1093/nar/gkm882. [PubMed: 18077471]
- 92. Kutmon M, Riutta A, Nunes N, Hanspers K, Willighagen EL, Bohler A, Mélius J, Waagmeester A, Sinha SR, Miller R, et al. (2016). WikiPathways: capturing the full diversity of pathway knowledge. Nucleic Acids Res. 44, D488–D494. 10.1093/nar/gkv1024. [PubMed: 26481357]
- 93. Bastarache L, Denny JC, and Roden DM (2022). Phenome-Wide Association Studies. JAMA 327, 75–76. 10.1001/jama.2021.20356. [PubMed: 34982132]
- 94. Rau CD, Parks B, Wang Y, Eskin E, Simecek P, Churchill GA, and Lusis AJ (2015). High-density genotypes of inbred mouse strains: Improved power and precision of association mapping. G3 5, 2021–2026. 10.1534/g3.115.020784. [PubMed: 26224782]

- 95. Lee BT, Barber GP, Benet-Pagès A, Casper J, Clawson H, Diekhans M, Fischer C, Gonzalez JN, Hinrichs AS, Lee CM, et al. (2022). The UCSC Genome Browser database: 2022 update. Nucleic Acids Res. 50, D1115–D1122. 10.1093/nar/gkab959. [PubMed: 34718705]
- 96. Howe KL, Achuthan P, Allen J, Allen J, Alvarez-Jarreta J, Amode MR, Armean IM, Azov AG, Bennett R, Bhai J, et al. (2021). Ensembl 2021. Nucleic Acids Res. 49, D884–D891. 10.1093/nar/ gkaa942. [PubMed: 33137190]
- 97. Picardi E, D'Erchia AM, Lo Giudice C, and Pesole G (2017). REDIportal: A comprehensive database of A-to-I RNA editing events in humans. Nucleic Acids Res. 45, D750–D757. 10.1093/nar/gkw767. [PubMed: 27587585]
- 98. Frankish A, Diekhans M, Jungreis I, Lagarde J, Loveland JE, Mudge JM, Sisu C, Wright JC, Armstrong J, Barnes I,et al. (2021). GENCODE 2021. Nucleic Acids Res. 49, D916–D923. 10.1093/nar/gkaa1087. [PubMed: 33270111]
- 99. Kruijer W, and White I (2019). heritability: Marker-based estimation of heritability using individual plant or plot data.<https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=heritability>.
- 100. Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, and Salzberg SL (2019). Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. Nat. Biotechnol 37, 907–915. 10.1038/ s41587-019-0201-4. [PubMed: 31375807]
- 101. Anders S, Pyl PT, and Huber W (2015). HTSeq–a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics 31, 166–169. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638. [PubMed: 25260700]
- 102. R Core Team (2022). R: a language and environment for statistical computing. [https://www.R](https://www.R-project.org/)[project.org/.](https://www.R-project.org/)
- 103. Dobin A, and Gingeras TR (2016). Optimizing RNA-Seq Mapping with STAR. In Data Mining Techniques for the Life Sciences Methods in Molecular Biology, Carugo O and Eisenhaber F, eds. (Springer), pp. 245–262. 10.1007/978-1-4939-3572-7\_13.
- 104. Bennett BJ, Farber CR, Orozco L, Kang HM, Ghazalpour A, Siemers N, Neubauer M, Neuhaus I, Yordanova R, Guan B, et al. (2010). A high-resolution association mapping panel for the dissection of complex traits in mice. Genome Res. 20, 281–290. 10.1101/gr.099234.109. [PubMed: 20054062]
- 105. Mangiafico S (2022). rcompanion: Functions to support extension education program evaluation. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rcompanion>.
- 106. Demidenko E (2020). Approximations of the power functions for Wald, likelihood ratio, and score tests and their applications to linear and logistic regressions. Model Assisted Statistics Appl. 15, 335–349. 10.3233/MAS-200505.
- 107. Consortium GTEx (2020). The GTEx Consortium atlas of genetic regulatory effects across human tissues. Science 369, 1318–1330. 10.1126/science.aaz1776. [PubMed: 32913098]
- 108. Hansen KD, Irizarry RA, and Wu Z (2012). Removing technical variability in RNA-seq data using conditional quantile normalization. Biostatistics 13, 204–216. 10.1093/biostatistics/kxr054. [PubMed: 22285995]
- 109. Schafer S, Miao K, Benson CC, Heinig M, Cook SA, and Hubner N (2015). Alternative splicing signatures in RNA-seq data: Percent Spliced in (PSI). Curr. Protoc. Hum. Genet 87, 11.16.1– 11.16.14. 10.1002/0471142905.hg1116s87.
- 110. Jiang M, Zhang S, Yin H, Zhuo Z, and Meng G (2023).Acomprehensive benchmarking of differential splicing tools for RNA-seq analysis at the event level. Briefings Bioinf. 24, bbad121. 10.1093/bib/bbad121.
- 111. Kahles A, Lehmann K-V, Toussaint NC, Hüser M, Stark SG, Sachsenberg T, Stegle O, Kohlbacher O, Sander C, Rätsch G, and Rätsch G (2018). Comprehensive analysis of alternative splicing across tumors from 8,705 patients. Cancer Cell 34, 211–224.e6. 10.1016/ j.ccell.2018.07.001. [PubMed: 30078747]
- 112. Porath HT, Carmi S, and Levanon EY (2014). A genome-wide map of hyper-edited RNA reveals numerous new sites. Nat. Commun 5, 4726. 10.1038/ncomms5726. [PubMed: 25158696]
- 113. Tran SS, Jun H-I, Bahn JH, Azghadi A, Ramaswami G, Van Nostrand EL, Nguyen TB, Hsiao Y-HE, Lee C, Pratt GA, et al. (2019). Widespread RNA editing dysregulation in brains from autistic individuals. Nat. Neurosci 22, 25–36. 10.1038/s41593-018-0287-x. [PubMed: 30559470]

- 114. Bahn JH, Lee J-H, Li G, Greer C, Peng G, and Xiao X (2012). Accurate identification of A-to-I RNA editing in human by transcriptome sequencing. Genome Res. 22, 142–150. 10.1101/ gr.124107.111. [PubMed: 21960545]
- 115. Lee J-H, Ang JK, and Xiao X (2013). Analysis and design of RNA sequencing experiments for identifying RNA editing and other single-nucleotide variants. RNA 19, 725–732. 10.1261/ rna.037903.112. [PubMed: 23598527]
- 116. Zhang Q, and Xiao X (2015). Genome sequence–independent identification of RNA editing sites. Nat. Methods 12, 347–350. 10.1038/nmeth.3314. [PubMed: 25730491]

## **Highlights**

**•** Cocaine use has a genetic component, but human analysis is difficult

- **•** Genetically diverse mice are evaluated for cocaine self-administration
- Genome-wide and transcriptome-wide association studies identify 32 loci
- *Trpv2*, a known cannabinoid receptor, plays a key role in cocaine use



#### **Figure 1. Cocaine and saline IVSA**

(A) Correlation significance values  $(-\log_{10}p)$  between behaviors averaged by strain. Each row and column represents a different day of testing. Gray key indicates saline or cocaine. Blue key indicates behavioral endpoint.

(B) Broad sense heritability  $(H<sup>2</sup>)$  for cocaine and saline infusions over 10 days. p value compares cocaine and saline. Means ± SEM.

 $(C)$  H<sup>2</sup> for inactive lever presses.

(D) Additive heritability  $(h^2)$  for infusions.

(E)  $h^2$  for inactive lever presses.

(F) GWAS clustering. Columns represent GWAS for cocaine and saline IVSA on individual days for the four behavioral endpoints. Dendrogram shows unsupervised clustering of columns. Rows represent SNPs maintained in genome order; chromosomes are indicated. Color represents GWAS −log10p value. See also Figures S1 and S2 and Table S1.



**Figure 2. Genome scans of longitudinal cocaine IVSA phenotypes**

(A) Infusions.

- (B) Active presses.
- (C) Percent active presses.

(D) Inactive presses. Rik,  $A630001G21Rik$ . Red horizontal line, significance threshold p <

 $4.1 \times 10^{-6}$ . See also Figure S3.



**Figure 3. Regulation of gene expression by cocaine**

(A) Normalized transcript abundance. Per2, Fam107a, both FDR <  $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ . Sa, saline; Co, cocaine. M, male; F, female.

(B) Sex × infusate interactions for transcript abundance. Bc1, FDR =  $3.8 \times 10^{-12}$ ; Psmc3, FDR =  $3.5 \times 10^{-3}$ . Means ± SEM.

(C) Splicing. *Rbm39*, exon 3, FDR =  $2.2 \times 10^{-12}$ ; *Prrc2c*, exon 20, FDR =  $4.0 \times 10^{-6}$ .

(D) Sashimi plot of cocaine-regulated splicing of  $Luc7l$ , exon 2. FDR =  $7.6 \times 10^{-3}$ .

(E) Alternate splicing of *Rap1gap*, exon 6, affects transcript abundance. Strain means  $\pm$ 

SEM.  $R^2$  and p values are strain averaged results; linear mixed model FDR <2.2 × 10<sup>-16</sup>.

(F) RNA editing. Cdc42bpb, editing site chromosome 12, 111,309,987 bp in Alu element B1\_Mus2, intron 21, FDR = 0.04; *Dock3*, editing site chromosome 9, 106,905,884 bp in Alu element B1\_Mur1, intron  $44/49$ , FDR = 0.04. See also Figures S3, S4, and S5.



**Figure 4. Genetic regulation of gene expression in NAc from cocaine-treated mice**

(A) Cis (red) and trans (blue) eQTLs. Marginal graphs show SNPs regulating many genes (horizontal graph, eQTL hotspots) and genes regulated by many eQTLs (vertical graph). Red lines,  $FDR < 0.05$  (Poisson).

(B) Distance between cis eQTLs and the corresponding genes.

(C) Co-aligned eQTL hotspot and Runx2 cis eQTL. Red arrow, location of Runx2. Blue horizontal line, eQTL hotspot significance threshold, FDR < 0.05. Red horizontal line, *cis* eQTL significance threshold.

(D) Coincident cis  $\psi$ QTL for exon 2 and eQTL for *Lsm6*. Peak marker rs45886430 for both QTLs. Blue and red horizontal lines, respective significance thresholds.

(E) Sashimi plot for exon 2 of Lsm6 in BXD98/RwwJ and KK/HIJ, with A or T allele of rs45886430, respectively.

(F) Allele A of peak marker rs45886430 is associated with higher  $\psi$  for exon 2 of Lsm6 and lower expression. Normalized  $\psi$  and expression. Means  $\pm$  SEM for each strain.

(G) Allele effect of rs45886430 on  $\psi$  of *Lsm6* exon 2. Individual samples are shown.

(H) Allele effect of rs45886430 on Lsm6 expression. See also Figures S5 and S6.

Khan et al. Page 32



**Figure 5.** *Trpv2* **and** *Drg2* **are close to infusion longitudinal QTLs and have** *cis* **eQTLs** (A) LocusZoom plot for cocaine infusions, showing loci harboring  $Drg2$  and  $Trpv2$ .  $R^2$ values convey linkage disequilibrium.

(B) Normalized infusion time course for peak SNP of Trpv2 locus, rs26984580. Allele effect for saline not significant using longitudinal model. Means ± SEM.

(C) Trpv2 expression in cocaine-exposed NAc associated with peak cis eQTL SNP,

rs26970449. SNPs rs26984580 and rs26970449 are in linkage disequilibrium (D' = 1,  $R^2$  = 0.71,  $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ).

(D) Infusion time course for peak SNP of Drg2 locus, rs26986383. Saline allele effect is not significant.

(E) Expression of Drg2 for peak cis eQTL SNP, rs26957257, in cocaine-exposed NAc. SNPs rs26986383 and rs26957257 are in linkage disequilibrium (D' = 1,  $R^2 = 0.07$ , p = 1.8  $\times$  $10^{-9}$ ).

(F) Coincident loci for infusions and Trpv2 cis eQTL in cocaine-exposed NAc.

(G) Coincident loci for infusions and Drg2 cis eQTL in cocaine-exposed NAc. See also Figure S3.

Khan et al. Page 33



#### **Figure 6. TWASs of cocaine IVSA**

(A) FUSION TWAS of infusions.  $Trpv2$  in NAc significant.

(B) FOCUS of  $Trpv2$  for infusions in NAc (pip = 1.00). Linkage disequilibrium map is shown underneath.

(C) FUSION TWAS of inactive lever presses in NAc. Rik, A630001G21Rik.

(D) FOCUS of  $A630001G21Rik$  for inactive lever presses in mFC (pip = 0.91). All TWASs employ RNA-seq data from cocaine-exposed mice. See also Figure S7 and Table S2.

Loci for cocaine self-administration Loci for cocaine self-administration



Cell Rep. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 September 27.

Infus, number of infusions; Act Press, active lever presses; % Act Press, percent active lever presses; Inact, inactive lever presses.

In the absence of other supporting evidence, candidate genes were nominated based on a combination of proximity and biological function. In the absence of other supporting evidence, candidate genes were nominated based on a combination of proximity and biological function.

 $b_{\rm{Vegative}}$  distance, gene centromeric to SNP; positive, telomeric. Negative distance, gene centromeric to SNP; positive, telomeric.

 $^{\mathcal{C}}$  FUSION in cocaine-exposed mice. FUSION in cocaine-exposed mice.

 $d_{\mbox{\footnotesize{FOCUS}}}$  in cocaine-exposed mice. FOCUS in cocaine-exposed mice.

 $e_{\rm Cs\,eQTLs}$  in cocaine-exposed mice. Cis eQTLs in cocaine-exposed mice.

 $f_{\rm Rik,~A630001G21Rik.}$ Rik, A630001G21Rik.

Cell Rep. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 September 27.

 $\mathcal{E}_{\text{Both NAc and mFC.}}$ 

#### KEY RESOURCES TABLE







 Author Manuscript**Author Manuscript** 

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript