Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Recent Work

Title
RISE TIME OF EPR SIGNAL II - IN CHLOROPLAST PHOTOSYSTEM II

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0534j6hw

Author
Blankenship, Robert E.

Publication Date
1976-10-01

eScholarship.org Powered by the California Digital Library
University of California

Submitted to Biochimica et Biophysica Acta

LBL-6107
Preprint

RISE TIME OF EPR SIGNAL II_{vf} IN CHLOROPLAST PHOTOSYSTEM II

Robert E. Blankenship, Anne McGuire, and Kenneth Sauer

October 1976

DOCUMENTS SECTION

Prepared for the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room



LBL-6107
c.1

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

RISE TIME OF EPR SIGNAL II_{vf} IN CHLOROPLAST PHOTOSYSTEM II

Robert E. Blankenship*, Anne McGuire and Kenneth Sauer

Department of Chemistry and

Laboratory of Chemical Biodynamics

University of California

Berkeley, California 94720

*Present Address: Department of Biochemistry SJ-70

University of Washington

Seattle, Washington 98195

SUMMARY

The rise time of the photoinduced, reversible EPR Signal II_{vf} in spinach chloroplasts is found using flash excitation to be 20±10 μs. The results are interpreted as evidence that the Signal II_{vf} radical is an electron carrier on the donor side of Photosystem II, but probably does not result from the first donor to $P680^+$.

Abbrevaitions: HEPES, N-2-hydroxyethyl piperazine-N'-2-ethanesulphonic acid.

A new photosynthetic EPR signal, called Signal II_{vf}, has been observed recently and assigned to the physiological donor to P680+ at room temperature. (1-4) The decay kinetics and inhibitor response of the signal were the primary basis of this assignment. The formation kinetics were not resolved in earlier work owing to the inadequate response time of the instrument. A measurement of the rise time of Signal II_{vf} is of interest in the assessment of its role in electron transport in Photosystem II. In this communication we report the formation kinetics of Signal II_{vf} in spinach chloroplasts.

Flash kinetic EPR measurements were made essentially as previously described (2), except that the Varian E-3 EPR instrument was modified for 1 MHz magnetic field modulation as described by Smith et al. (5). The instrument time constant was nominally set at 10 μs. Since the time constant and the 10 μs flash duration are comparable to the rise kinetics expected for Signal II_{vf} it is important to demonstrate the overall response time of the system for a signal known to have a fast rise. This is most clearly shown by the formation kinetics of the EPR resonance called Signal I, associated with P700 oxidation, which is known to occur faster than 2 μs. (6) Fig 1a shows the rise time under our instrumental conditions of the EPR signal at a field value corresponding to Signal I. A first order plot gives an apparent $t_{1/2}$ of 5 μs, which is indicative of the limit of the overall instrument response time. The Signal II_{vf} rise in the same sample, shown in Fig 1b, is calculated from a first order plot to be 20 $\pm$ 10 μs.

For an accurate determination of rise kinetics the excitation source should be very short, and the decay of the response should be slow compared to the rise. In this experiment the 10 μs flashes and the possibility of

undetected fast decay components make both of these sources of error non-negligible, so the 20 μs value for $t_{1/2}$ for the Signal II_{vf} rise is an approximate number.

Some ambiguity has existed concerning whether Signal II_{vf} might be identical to X-320, a species thought to be the primary acceptor of Photosystem II. (7,8) The difficulty stems from the fact that the two components have very similar decay times, about 600 μs in untreated chloroplasts. However, the decay kinetics of X-320 are not significantly changed by tris-washing (8), a procedure which slows the Signal II_{vf} decay by as much as 1000 fold. Also, X-320 rises in less than 1 μs (8), and we now find that Signal II_{vf} is slower. These results are most compatible with an assignment of Signal II_{vf} on the donor side of Photosystem II and X-320 on the acceptor side, in agreement with previous work.

Recent fluorescence (9,10) and absorption (11,12) measurements on Photosystem II have suggested that rereduction of P680+ occurs in less than 1 μs, considerably faster than the 35 μs time reported by Gläser et al. (13) If P680+ rereduction is this rapid, then Signal II_{vf} must arise from a species that is farther from the reaction center than previously thought. These experiments suggest that the unidentified component Z is located between P680 and Signal II_{vf}. The rise time of Z should be less than 1 μs and the decay should have $t_{1/2}$ = 20 μs. We can describe electron flow on the donor side of the Photosystem II by the scheme shown below.



In this scheme the species responsible for Signal II_{vf} lies between the water-splitting enzyme S, and Z, the secondary electron donor to Photosystem II.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration.

REFERENCES

1. Babcock, G.T. and Sauer, K. (1975) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 376, 329-344.

2. Blankenship, R.E., Babcock, G.T., Warden, J.T. and Sauer, K. (1975) FEBS Lett. 51, 287-293.

3. Babcock G.T., Blankenship, R.E. and Sauer, K. (1976) FEBS. Lett. 61, 286-289.

4. Warden, J.T., Blankenship, R.E. and Sauer, K. (1976) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 423, 462-478.

5. Smith, G., Blankenship, R.E. and Klein, M. (1976) Rev. Sci. Inst. (in press).

6. Blankenship, R.E., McGuire, A. and Sauer, K. (1975) Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 72, 4943-4947.

7. Stiehl, H.H. and Witt, H.T. (1969) Z. Naturforsch. 24b, 1588-1598.

8. Renger, G. and Wolff, Ch. (1976) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 423, 610-614.

9. Duysens, L.N.M., den Haan, G.A. and van Best, J.A. (1974) Proc. 3rd Int. Congr. Photosynth. Res. Rehovot, ppl-12, Elsevier, Amsterdam.

10. Den Haan, G.A., Duysens, L.N.M. and Egberts, D.J.N. (1974) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 368, 409-421.

11. Mathis, P., Haveman, J. and Yates, M. (1976) Brookhaven Symposium in Biology #28 (in press).

12. Gläser, M., Wolff, Ch., and Renger, G. (1976) Z. Naturforsch. 31c (in press).

13. Gläser, M., Wolff, Ch., Buchwald, H.-E. and Witt, H.T. (1974) FEBS Lett. 42, 81-85.

FIGURE LEGEND

Fig. 1 a) Rise kinetics of EPR signal at a field value corresponding to Signal I in spinach chloroplasts at room temperature; monitored at the high field maximum at 3396 Gauss. b) Rise kinetics of Signal II_{vf} monitored at the low field maximum at 3378 Gauss. 10 μs xenon flashes were given at the rate of 2/sec. The trace in a) is the average of 3000 events, while that in b) is the average of 20,000 events. Microwave power, 25 mW in a) and 50 mW in b). Modulation amplitude, 4 Gauss; microwave frequency, 9.525 GHz. Chlorophyll content, 6.7 mg/ml. The chloroplast solution contained 4 x 10^{-3}M NADP, 80 μg/ml ferredoxin, and 2 x 10^{-4}M EDTA in 0.4M sucrose, 0.05M HEPES, pH 7.6, and 0.01M NaCl. A single 5 ml sample was flowed continuously through the EPR flat cell at .25 ml/min. The vertical scale in b) is 1.7 times expanded relative to that in a). The coupling of microwaves into the cavity was reversed between a) and b) so that the direction of the change would be the same in both cases. Control experiments showed no effect of cavity coupling or sample aging on rise kinetics.



Fig. 1

Blankenship

This report was done with support from the United States Energy Research and Development Administration. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the United States Energy Research and Development Administration.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720