# UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Environmental impacts of COVID-19 treatment: Toxicological evaluation of azithromycin and hydroxychloroquine in adult zebrafish

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0534n5tx

Authors

Mendonça-Gomes, Juliana Moreira da Costa Araújo, Amanda Pereira da Luz, Thiarlen Marinho <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2021-10-01

DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148129

Peer reviewed



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Science of the Total Environment



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/scitotenv

# Environmental impacts of COVID-19 treatment: Toxicological evaluation of azithromycin and hydroxychloroquine in adult zebrafish



Juliana Moreira Mendonça-Gomes <sup>a</sup>, Amanda Pereira da Costa Araújo <sup>b,c</sup>, Thiarlen Marinho da Luz <sup>b</sup>, Ives Charlie-Silva <sup>d</sup>, Helyson Lucas Bezerra Braz <sup>e</sup>, Roberta Jeane Bezerra Jorge <sup>e,f</sup>, Mohamed Ahmed Ibrahim Ahmed <sup>g</sup>, Rafael Henrique Nóbrega <sup>h</sup>, Christoph F.A. Vogel <sup>i</sup>, Guilherme Malafaia <sup>b,j,k,l,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Departamento de Imunologia, Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> Laboratório de Pesquisas Biológicas, Instituto Federal Goiano, Urutaí, GO, Brazil

<sup>c</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Ambientais, Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiânia, GO, Brazil

<sup>d</sup> Departamento de Farmacologia, Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

<sup>e</sup> Drug Research and Development Center, Federal University of Ceará, Brazil

<sup>f</sup> Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, School of Medicine, Federal University of Ceará, Brazil

<sup>g</sup> Plant Protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University, Assiut 71526, Egypt

h Reproductive and Molecular Biology Group, Department of Structural and Functional Biology, Institute of Biosciences, São Paulo State University, Botucatu, SP, Brazil

<sup>i</sup> Department of Environmental Toxicology and Center for Health and the Environment, University of California, Davis, USA

<sup>j</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biotecnologia e Biodiversidade, Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiânia, GO, Brazil

<sup>k</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia e Conservação de Recursos Naturais, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Uberlândia, MG, Brazil

<sup>1</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Conservação de Recursos Naturais do Cerrado, Instituto Federal Goiano, Urutaí, GO, Brazil

# HIGHLIGHTS

# GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

- AZT and HCQ dispersed in the water are uptake by zebrafish
- Zebrafish exposed to AZT and HCQ show REDOX imbalance
- Combined exposure to AZT + HCQ induces cholinesterase activity in zebrafish
- Superficial neuromats are affected by AZT and HCQ
- Mechanisms of action of drugs are proposed by in silico analysis

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 21 April 2021 Received in revised form 24 May 2021 Accepted 26 May 2021 Available online 29 May 2021

Editor: Damia Barcelo



# ABSTRACT

One of the most impact issues in recent years refers to the COVID-19 pandemic, the consequences of which thousands of deaths recorded worldwide, are still inferior understood. Its impacts on the environment and aquatic biota constitute a fertile field of investigation. Thus, to predict the impact of the indiscriminate use of azithromycin (AZT) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) in this pandemic context, we aim to assess their toxicological risks when isolated or in combination, using zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) as a model system. In summary, we observed that 72 h of exposure to AZT and HCQ (alone or in binary combination, both at 2.5  $\mu$ g/L) induced the reduction of total protein levels, accompanied by increased levels of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances, hydrogen peroxide, reactive oxygen species and nitrite, suggesting a REDOX imbalance and possible oxidative

E-mail addresses: guilhermeifgoiano@gmail.com, guilhermeifgoiano@gmail.com (G. Malafaia).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Biological Research Laboratory, Goiano Federal Institution, Urutaí Campus, Rodovia Geraldo Silva Nascimento, 2,5 km, Zona Rural, Urutaí, GO CEP: 75790-000, Brazil.

Keywords: Water pollution SARs-Cov-2 Danio rerio Ecotoxicity Antibiotic Antimalarial stress. Molecular docking analysis further supported this data by demonstrating a strong affinity of AZT and HCQ with their potential antioxidant targets (catalase and superoxide dismutase). In the protein-protein interaction network analysis, AZT showed a putative interaction with different cytochrome P450 molecules, while HCQ demonstrated interaction with caspase-3. The functional enrichment analysis also demonstrated diverse biological processes and molecular mechanisms related to the maintenance of REDOX homeostasis. Moreover, we also demonstrated an increase in the AChE activity followed by a reduction in the neuromasts of the head when zebrafish were exposed to the mixture AZT + HCQ. These data suggest a neurotoxic effect of the drugs. Altogether, our study demonstrated that short exposure to AZT, HCQ or their mixture induced physiological alterations in adult zebrafish. These effects can compromise the health of these animals, suggesting that the increase of AZT and HCQ due to COVID-19 pandemic can negatively impact freshwater ecosystems.

© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

In the last decades, pharmacologically active compounds have been increasingly perceived in the aquatic ecosystem, representing a problem of great importance in environmental chemistry. However, the occurrence of these chemical compounds in nature is due to the release of industrial effluents and domestic sewage without adequate and effective treatment (Maasz et al., 2019). According to Salgado et al. (2021) the presence of drugs or its metabolized subproducts as result of body's excretion is an increasing concern of environmental contamination. It has been estimated that in 2030, the global consumption of antibiotics may be 200% higher than the 42 billion defined daily doses (DDD) estimated in 2015 (Klein et al., 2018). This disposal in the natural ecosystems can culminate in wide and unknown effects on the biota. Thus, ecotoxicologists around the world have made efforts to assess the toxicological risk impacts of drugs in non-target organisms, to understand how they can affect individuals and their populations. Several reports demonstrate on the ecotoxicity of different types of drugs (in various organisms), such as antidiabetics (Godoy et al., 2018; Godoy et al., 2019), analgesics and antipyretics (Nunes, 2020; Priyan et al., 2021), anti-inflammatory (Grandclément et al., 2020; Luongo et al., 2021), antihypertensive (Gallego et al., 2021), neuropsychiatric (Ramírez-Morales et al., 2021; Oliveira et al., 2021), and anticancer (Araújo et al., 2019; Mesak et al., 2019), which include biochemical, histopathological, genotoxic and mutagenic effects.

On the other hand, non-standard situations such as pandemic or endemic diseases, in which many patients receive specific medications, directly influence the use, excretion and disposal of drugs in the aquatic environment. One emblematic example is the significant increase in the use of azithromycin (AZT) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic (Yazdany and Kim, 2020; Malik et al., 2020; Agarwal et al., 2020; Nasir et al., 2020; Mallhi et al., 2020; Quispe-Cañari et al., 2020). Their effectiveness, however, against SARs-Cov-2 infection is questioned by several studies (Ghazy et al., 2020; Jameleddine et al., 2020), but people are receiving these prescriptions or are self-medicating. AZT is a macrolide antibiotic that inhibits bacterial protein synthesis (Parnham et al., 2014). It has also been used to treat cancer and autoimmune and inflammatory diseases (Patel and Hashmi, 2020). HCQ is used in the prevention and treatment of malaria (Shippey et al., 2018) and is considered a therapeutic option in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (Lane et al., 2020), lupus erythematosus (Jakhar and Kaur, 2020), porphyria cutanea tarda (Malkinson and Levitt, 1980), Q fever (Cherry and Kersh, 2020) and photosensitive diseases (Millan and Quijano, 1957).

Therefore, the increase in the input and dispersion of these drugs in aquatic ecosystems is already a fact, especially due to the dumping of domestic sewage and hospital waste into rivers or streams or via leaching from landfills, which in many countries do not receive adequate treatment (Urban and Nakada, 2021) or the processes used are insufficient to remove these pollutants or are financially inaccessible (Khan et al., 2019). In cities with a high incidence of COVID-19, for instance, the dramatic increase in the production of hospital waste in health facilities has been an additional administrative challenge (Sarkodie and Owusu, 2020), in addition to amplifying the presumed concentrations of AZT and HCQ in the aquatic environment.

However, this evidence has not been sufficient for the systematic development of studies to evaluate the ecotoxicological effects of these drugs, whether in aquatic or terrestrial organisms [see review by Yang et al., 2020]. Regarding macrolides, previous studies (in fish) addressed the toxic effects of erythromycin (Bills et al., 1993; Kiryu and Moffitt, 2002; Ji et al., 2012; Rodrigues et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017), roxithromycin (Zhang et al., 2019), clarithromycin (Sotto et al., 2017) tilmicosin (Yan et al., 2019). On the other hand, only the studies of Fairgrieve et al. (2005) and Shiogiri et al., (2017) evaluated the toxicological effects of AZT in fish. Fairgrieve et al. (2005) demonstrated that Chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha exposed orally to AZT did not cause histopathologically significant lesions in gills, head and trunk, kidney, liver, spleen, heart, pyloric caeca, upper intestine, gonad, and brain. Shiogiri et al. (2017) reported only moderate damage in liver, minor histological changes in the gills and no lesions in the kidneys of tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) exposed to AZT. A similar investigative scenario has been observed in the relation to studies involving antimalarials of the 4-aminoquinolines class (e.g.: HCQ). Research involving non-target organisms is restricted to groups of invertebrates (e.g. Daphinia magna - Lilius et al., 1994; Lilius et al., 1995; Zurita et al., 2005; Kumar et al., 2008; Rendal et al., 2011), microalgae (Chlorella vulgaris - Zurita et al., 2005), bacteria (Vibrio fischeri - Zurita et al., 2005) and plants (Salix viminalis - Jjemba, 2002; Rendal et al., 2011). In this interim, fish studies are limited to assessing the ecotoxicological effects of chloroquine (CQ), a compound structurally related to HCQ. In Ou et al. (2012), the authors did not report changes in hair cell death of D. rerio lateral line with increased duration of exposure to gentamicin combined with any of the quinoline derivatives (including CQ), unlike Ramesh et al. (2018), who reported enzymological/histopathological alterations in Cyprinus carpio exposed to QC. The study of Davis et al. (2020) is a pioneer in evaluating the in vivo effects of HCQ on freshwater fish. At the time, the authors observed a significant reduction (depending on the tested concentrations) in the number of surviving hair cells of D. rerio larvae exposed to HCQ and CQ.

Thus, taken together, it is evident that studies on the ecotoxicity of AZT and HCQ in aquatic organisms, especially in fish that inhabit potentially polluted freshwater environments are needed. Considering these facts, this study aims to evaluate the toxicity of these drugs, alone and in combination, using as an experimental model adult zebrafish (*D. rerio*) exposed to environmentally relevant concentrations of AZT and HCQ. Our hypothesis is that the uptake of these drugs by aquatic animals induces changes in different physiological parameters predictive of nutritional alteration, REDOX imbalance, and neurotoxicity. Furthermore, based on in silico analysis, we seek to identify putative mechanisms of action of the evaluated drugs. We believe that our study provides insights into the toxicity of AZT and HCQ in the animal model studied and predicts that an increase in the disposal and dispersion of these drugs in the environments could dramatically affect the freshwater ichthyofauna. Furthermore, considering that zebrafish is considered a good translational model for humans (Tal et al., 2020), this study provides some insight that can guide future studies in humans.

#### 2. Material and methods

# 2.1. Drugs

Azithromycin (AZT) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) used in our study, [similarly to study by Amaral et al., 2019] were intentionally acquired in common commercial facilities to bring our experimental design as close to the most realistic condition as possible. However, for the preparation of the AZT stock solution, we used AZT dihydrate draggers (500 mg) (Brainfarma Indústria Química e Farmacêutica SA, Anápolis, GO, Brazil) and for the HCQ stock solution, HCQ sulfate draggers (400 mg), manufactured by Apsen Farmacêutica SA (São Paulo, SP, Brazil), were used. Both solutions were prepared by diluting the draggers in acetonitrile solution (0.01 M). From these solutions, the aliquots added to the exposure waters were removed.

The concentrations of AZT and HCQ tested in our study were based on the work of Fernandes et al. (2020) and Olaitan et al. (2014), respectively. Fernandes et al. (2020) reported that AZT was detected in a concentration up to 2.8  $\mu$ g/L in a river at northern Portugal, while Olaitan et al. (2014) showed that the median concentration of chloroquine (chemically like HQC, its derivative) identified in different water samples from Nigeria was 2.12  $\mu$ g/L. Therefore, the concentration tested in our study (i.e.: 12.5  $\mu$ g/L) simulates a potential increase (approximately 6 times) in AZT and HCQ concentrations in aquatic environments, which can be a predictive environmentally relevant concentration, considering the COVID-19 pandemic.

#### 2.2. Model system and experimental design

This study was carried out at the Biological Research Laboratory of Goiano Federal Institute - Urutaí Campus (GO, Brazil). To assess the aquatic toxicity of AZT and HCQ, we used adult zebrafish (*D. rerio*) at the age group of approximately 6 months presenting body biomass between 0.3 and 0.4 g with mixed sex. *D. rerio* is a tropical freshwater fish natural to rivers in Southern Asia, mainly in Northern India, Pakistan, Bhutan, and Nepal (Engeszer et al., 2007). This species has been used as model organism in studies about environmental toxicology and ecotoxicology worldwide (Magyary, 2018), besides being considered a translational model for humans (Tal et al., 2020).

Ninety-six healthy adults (i.e., with normal swimming movements and without morphological deformities or apparent lesions) were distributed into four experimental groups (n = 24 fish/group; 4 replicates tanks of six animals/each treatment group). The "AZT" and "HCQ" groups were exposed to water containing 12.5 µg/L of individual drugs, respectively, and the animals from the "AZT + HCQ" group were exposed to water containing both AZT and HCQ (at 12.5 µg/L/each). In the control group ("C"), adult zebrafish were kept in dechlorinated tap water naturally containing only the vehicle solution (0.01 M acetonitrile solution) in an amount proportional to that added in the other experimental groups. The period of exposure was 72 h (static condition). This exposure simulates the animals' ephemeral contact with drugs, since in the natural environment animals can migrate from contaminated places to places free of pollutants and, therefore, the exposure can be relatively short. The animals were kept in tanks (2.2 L), containing dechlorinated water and continuous aeration; and were fed once a day (ad libitum) with commercial fish feed. In addition, the room where the animals were kept had the temperature (24  $^{\circ}C \pm 2 ^{\circ}C$ ) and the luminosity controlled (12-12 h light-dark cycle).

#### 2.3. Toxicity biomarkers

#### 2.3.1. Quantification of drugs

2.3.1.1. Azithromycin. The AZT uptake by zebrafish was assessed according to the methodology adopted by Keskar and Jugade (2015), with little modifications. It was used 8 animals/group, weighing approximately 350 mg/animal, which were euthanized (immersion in ice-slurry) and subsequently macerated in 1 mL of phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 5 min (at 4 °C). Aliquots of 30 µL of the sample supernatant were transferred to test tubes (previously sanitized) and mixed with 470 µL of acetonitrile solution (0.01 M), 500 µL of bromocresol green solution (0.0002 M) and 1.5 mL of acetonotrileethanol solution (1:1). Then, the samples were shaken and homogenized in a vortex shaker for 5 s and, sequentially, 200 µL of each sample were transferred to a 96-well microplate (in duplicate), for later reading at 630 nm, in an ELISA reader. In parallel, a standard curve was made using known concentrations of AZT (0, 0.03, 0.05, 0.0752, 0.1, 0.25, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6 and 0.7 mg/mL) and the equation of a straight line generated was used to determine the concentrations of the test samples. The background fluorescence of the control samples was determined and subtracted from the samples from the zebrafish exposed to AZT.

2.3.1.2. Hydroxychloroguine. The procedures used for the quantification of HCQ followed the recommendations of Bergqvist et al. (1985), with some modifications. The supernatant of the same 8 animals/group mentioned above was used. In that case, 200 µL aliquot of supernatant from each sample was transferred to previously cleaned hygienic conical bottom microtubes and, sequentially, 400 µL of the bromothymol blue solution (0.65 mmol/L) and 600 µL of dichloromethane P.A. were added sequentially. Then, the solutions were homogenized in a vortex mixer (for 30 s) and centrifuged at 1500 rpm, for 5 min, at 23 °C. Subsequently, the aqueous phase of the mixture was discarded and 200 µL of the organic phase was transferred to a 96-well microplate, for later reading at 405 nm, in an ELISA reader. The concentrations of HCQ in the samples were determined from the equation of the straight line obtained by making a standard curve, using known concentrations of HCQ (0, 0.00625, 0.0125, 0.025, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4 and 0.8 mg/mL). The background fluorescence of the control samples was also determined and subtracted from the samples from zebrafish exposed to HCQ.

## 2.4. Biochemical analyzes

#### 2.4.1. Sample preparation

Prior to biochemical assessments, the samples to be analyzed were prepared, similarly to Guimarães et al. (2021). Eight fish/group were also weighed (approximately 350 mg/animal), euthanized (immersion in ice-slurry), macerated in 1 mL of phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 5 min (at 4 °C). The supernatant was separated into aliquots to be used in different biochemical evaluations. Entire bodies were used in the experiment due to difficulties on isolating certain organs from small animals. Organ "contamination" by organic matter and/or by other particles consumed by zebrafish can be bias at biochemical analysis applied to organs at dissection time (Lusher et al., 2013; Guimarães et al., 2021). Samples were stored in sterile conical bottom microtubes at 80 °C for a maximum of 7 days.

#### 2.4.2. Assessment of nutritional status

Previous reports on the exposure of different aquatic organisms to different drugs can affect animals' feeding behavior and change their energy metabolism (Mennigen et al., 2010; Burkina et al., 2015; Falfushynska et al., 2019; Barros et al., 2020). Thus, the influence of treatments on total proteins, triglycerides, and total soluble carbohydrate levels was herein assessed. Total proteins and triglycerides concentrations were determined by using commercial kits, based on the Lowry method (Lowry et al., 1951) (Ref. BT1000900; BioTécnica,

Varginha, MG, Brazil) and on the enzymatic colorimetric method by using glycerol-3-phosphate oxidase (GPO) (Ref. BT1001000; BioTécnica, Varginha, MG, Brazil) (Sullivan et al., 1985), respectively. Total soluble carbohydrate levels were performed based on the methodology proposed by Dubois et al. (1956).

#### 2.4.3. Oxidative stress biomarkers

The effects of exposure to AZT e HCQ (alone or in combination) on oxidative stress reactions were evaluated based on (i) indirect nitric oxide (NO) (via nitrite measurement;  $NO_2^-$ ) (Soneja et al., 2005); (ii) thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) [predictive of lipid peroxidation (De-Leon and Borges, 2020)]; (iii) production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and (iv) hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) [which plays an essential role in responses to oxidative stress in different cell types (Sies, 2020)]. The Griess colorimetric reaction [as described in Bryan and Grisham (2007)] was used to measure  $NO_2^-$  and the TBARS levels were determined based on procedures described by Sachett et al. (2018). The production of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and ROS was evaluated according to Elnemma (2004) and Maharajan et al. (2018), respectively.

#### 2.4.4. Neurotoxicity

The possible neurotoxic effects induced by AZT and HCQ (alone and in combination) were evaluated by determining the activity of acetylcholinesterase (AChE) enzymes, according to the method of Ellman et al. (1961). In addition, to assess whether these drugs were able to alter the mechanosensory system of the fish, we performed the count of superficial neuromasts in exposed individuals. For this, we adopted the procedures described in Guimarães et al. (2021), in which, briefly, the live animals (n = 8/each group) were placed (for 30 min) in a beaker containing 400 mL of water (with constant aeration) reconstituted with 5 mM of the fluorescent dye 4-(4-Diethylaminostyryl)-1methylpyridinium iodide (4-Di-2-ASP), from stock solution (40 mg of 4-Di-2-ASP) diluted in 10 mL of dimethyl sulfoxide P.A. Then, the animals were carefully removed and transferred to a beaker containing dechlorinated water (without dye), and remained for 30 min, to remove excess of dye in the body. After that, the animals were euthanized (immersion in ice-slurry) and positioned horizontally on glass slides for later observation under a fluorescence microscope.

The number of positive neuromasts for 4-Di-2-ASP was determined in the region corresponding to the terminal neuromasts (T1, T2 and T3 region highly conserved in zebrafish – Wada et al., 2008) of the lateral caudal line system of each animal, as well as in the region of the head (Fig. 1). Quantification was done manually from sequential images captured in a camera attached to the microscope. Neuromasts located at the bottom of the head were excluded from the count, which generally contained significant amounts of nonspecific staining or because they were out of focus or absent due to the positioning of the animal under the microscope.

#### 2.5. Bioinformatics in silico analysis

#### 2.5.1. In silico chemogenomics-based ChemDIS system analysis

To assess the effects of potential interactions between AZT and HCQ and their possible targets in animals, we used a chemogenomics-based system called ChemDIS-Mixture (Tung et al., 2018), which is built using the previously introduced ChemDIS (Tung, 2015) and statistical p-tests combined with Venn diagram tools available by using the STITCH database (Szklarczyk et al., 2016). To enable the inference of chemicalinduced effects, o ChemiDIS-Mixture several databases are downloaded and integrated into a MongoDB database including STITCH 5, Reactome, SMPDB, miRTarBase, Ensemble, DOSE, DO.db, KEGG.db and org.Hs.eg. db. Currently, >430,000 chemicals with >15 million chemical-protein interactions can be analyzed using ChemDIS-Mixture (Tung and Wang, 2018). For each drug (AZT and HCQ) the possible interacting proteins were extracted, and the enrichment analysis was conducted based on a hypergeometric test for identifying the enriched GO (Gene Ontology) terms with an adjusted p-value < 0.05 using Benjamini-Hochberg multiple test correction.

#### 2.5.2. Interaction networks analysis

To complement the analysis of the possible interactions between AZT and HCQ and their target molecules, we carried out an analysis of network building and functional annotation enrichments, through the STITCH 4.0 Resource (http://stitch.embl.de). The network of each individual drug and in combination was built to assess the possible modes of action of the drugs, considering the thickness of the network lines (thicker lines represent stronger associations). Furthermore, lines and, for directed edges, arrows of different colors stand for different edge types in the actions view: binding (blue), activation (green), inhibition (red), catalysis (magenta), same activity (cyan) and reaction (black) (Kuhn et al., 2007). Statistical significance was determined by corrected p-value < 0.05, using the Bonferroni test. We only considered the shortest paths (allowing no more than five interactions with the highest confidence score > 0.8 to ensure a high level of confidence for the interaction).

#### 2.5.3. Molecular docking

To predict the binding sites and affinity of the bonds among AZT, HCQ and the protein structures of the enzymes AChE, BChE, SOD and CAT, we performed docking and chemoinformatic screens. The ligands AZT (CSID: 10482163) and HCQ (CSID: 3526) were obtained from the virtual repository Chemspider (http://www.chemspider.com/) and optimized with force field type MMFF94 in Avogadro software (Hanwell et al., 2012). The protein structures (targets) of the zebrafish were obtained by the homology construction technique by the SWISS-MODEL server (https://swissmodel.expasy.org/) with structural similarity values between 87.14% and 99.8%. The validation of the structures was verified with the SAVES v.6.0 server (https://saves.mbi.ucla.edu/). For molecular docking simulations, AutoDock tools (ADT) v4.2 were used to prepare binders and targets (Morris et al., 2009) and AutoDock Vina 1.1.2, to perform the calculations (Trott and Olson, 2010). The binding affinity and interactions between residues were used to determine the best molecular interactions. The results were visualized using ADT, Discovery Studio v4.5 and UCSF Chimera X (Pettersen et al., 2021).

## 2.5.4. Genomic similarity (zebrafish vs. humans)

The analyzes described above consider the genomic similarity between zebrafish and humans. As defined by Vilella et al. (2009), 71.4% of human genes have at least one zebrafish orthologist. Reciprocally, 69% of zebrafish genes have at least one human ortholog. Among orthologous genes, 47% of human genes have a one-to-one relationship with a zebrafish ortholog. The second largest class of ortholog contains human genes that are associated with many zebrafish genes (the "the 'one-human-to-many-zebrafish' class" class), with an average of 2.28 zebrafish genes for each gene human [see details in Howe et al., 2013].

#### 2.6. Statistical analysis

GraphPad Prism Software Version 8.0 (San Diego, CA, USA) was used to perform the statistical analysis. Initially, data were checked for deviations from normality of variance and homogeneity of variance before analysis. Normality of data was assessed by use of the Shapiro-Wilk test, and homoscedasticity was assessed by use of Bartlette's test. Multiple comparisons were performed using a one-way ANOVA and Tukey's post-hoc analysis (for parametric data) or Kruskal-Wallis test, with Dunn's post-hoc (for non-parametric data). Correlation analyses were performed through Pearson tests (for parametric data) or Spearman tests (for non-parametric data). Significance level adopted for all analyses was alpha = 0.05.



Fig. 1. (A) Head and (B) final portion of the tail of the adult zebrafish (*D. rerio*) where the neuromasts were quantified. T1 to T3: neuromasts' nomenclature, based on Wada et al. (2008). The white arrows point to the neuromasts.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. AZT and HCQ detection (uptake)

Our data revealed that the exposure to AZT and HCQ, even in a short period (72 h), allowed their absorption by adult zebrafish (Fig. 2). The concentrations of AZT in the body tissues of the zebrafish were higher than those of HCQ in individuals exposed to the drugs alone and in combination (Fig. 2). In the "AZT" and "AZT + HCQ" groups, AZT concentrations were 84.7% and 80.9% higher than those of HCQ detected in the "HCQ" and "AZT + HCQ" groups, respectively (Fig. 2). In addition, we observed that the exposure to the combination of drugs did not influence the uptake of AZT (Fig. 2). Similar results were found in tadpoles (Luz et al., 2021). According to Luz et al. (2021), *Physalaemus cuvieri* tadpoles (stage 26G) that were exposed to AZT, HCQ and the combination of these two drugs (72 h; 12.5 µg/L of both drugs) showed an AZT concentration almost 70% higher than those of HCQ (in the HCQ and AZT + HCQ groups). When compared to other drugs such as erythromycin, AZT also showed a higher accumulation. In Fall Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus*)

*tshawytscha*) (exposed to azithromycin 30 mg/kg fish, for 14 days), this accumulation was 95% higher in fry, and 4.4% higher in smolts (Fairgrieve et al., 2005). In addition, AZT had greater tissue persistence (>76 d after treatment ceased) than erythromycin (21 d post-treatment) (Fairgrieve et al., 2005). These authors did not find any histopathological changes in the trunk kidney or other organ tissues and attributed this prolonged retention of azithromycin in *O. tshawytscha* to an increase in the efficacy of that antibiotic. However, it has been reported that macrolide antibiotics, such as AZT, can promote hepatoxicity in larval zebrafish, such as liver degeneration, alterations in liver size and hepatic steatosis (Zhang et al., 2020).

Hand and Hand (2002) reported that AZT can accumulate much more in human polymorphonuclear leukocytes than other antibiotics. These authors evaluated specific characteristics and mechanisms of AZT interactions with human polymorphonuclear leukocytes and demonstrated that an extracellular antibacterial activity of drug is related to the release of this intra-phagocyte drug at the sites of infection. Therefore, AZT is highly accumulated and slowly released. This may justify the long time that this drug remain in the Oncorhynchus tshawytscha



**Fig. 2.** Concentrations of azithromycin (AZT) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) in the body tissues of *D. rerio* adults, after 72 h of exposure. The bars represent the mean + SEM, the data was submitted to one-way ANOVA, with Tukey's post-test, at 5% probability. AZT: group exposed to azithromycin (12.5  $\mu$ g/L); HCQ: group exposed to hydroxychloroquine (12.5  $\mu$ g/L); AZT (MIX) and HCQ (MIX): represent the animals exposed to the binary combination of drugs, with the individual quantification of each compound. n = 8 fish/group.

organs as reported by Fairgrieve et al. (2005). Furthermore, it helps us to understand our results of higher uptake of AZT in relation to HCQ. Interestingly, Klempner and Styrt (1983) demonstrated that some drugs, including chloroquine, caused an alkalinization of the intralysosomal pH, which resulted in the inhibition of neutrophil degranulation. Similar results were also found by Dey and Bishayi (2015), in a study of murine peritoneal macrophages. This may indicate that HCQ can further assist in the accumulation of AZT.



**Fig. 3.** (A) Total soluble carbohydrates, (B) total proteins and triglycerides levels in body tissues of *D. rerio* adults exposed or not to azithromycin (AZT) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ). The bars represent the mean + SEM, and the data were submitted to one-way ANOVA, with Tukey's post-test, at 5% probability. Different lowercase letters indicate differences among experimental groups. C: control group; AZT: group exposed to azithromycin (12.5 µg/L); HCQ: group exposed to hydroxychloroquine (12.5 µg/L); AZT + HCQ: represent animals exposed to the binary combination of drugs. n = 8 fish/group.

# 3.2. Biochemical effects

We also observed that the uptake of drugs by adult zebrafish was not able to increase significantly or reduce tissue levels of total soluble carbohydrates (Fig. 3A). However, drug exposures caused a reduction in total protein levels (Fig. 3B). For triglyceride levels, it was possible to observe a reduction only in the "AZT + HCQ" group, compared to the animals in the control group (Fig. 3C). On the other hand, we observed an increase in the production of TBARS, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, ROS and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> (Fig. 4A-D, respectively) in zebrafish exposed to all treatments. These data suggest that the oxidative stress processes in these animals were enhanced by both AZT and HCQ, without a synergistic, additive, or antagonistic effect of the combined exposure. This result was corroborated by Cook et al. (2006) showing a possible pharmacokinetic interaction between AZT and CQ (chloroquine) in healthy volunteers. Their results indicated no clinically relevant effect of one drug on the other, suggesting that AZT and CQ do not exhibit any direct pharmacokinetic interaction (Cook et al., 2006). However, triglyceride data demonstrated synergistic negative effect of the two drugs on the triglyceride values (Fig. 3C). Altogether, these data suggest that combination of two drugs can influence energy metabolism in adult zebrafish. To our knowledge, there are not many reports in the literature about the influence of AZT in reducing triglyceride levels. Interestingly, HCO generally has protective actions against dyslipidemia (high blood lipid levels). This can lead to a reduction in cardiovascular diseases, systemic lupus erythematosus and rheumatic diseases (Cairoli et al., 2012; Masui et al., 2019; Morris et al., 2011). However, the consequences of the synergistic negative effect of AZT and HCQ on triglyceride levels still need to be further studied.

The TBARS,  $H_2O_2$ , ROS and  $NO_2^-$  levels in zebrafish differed between the groups exposed to the drugs. Additionally, our analyzes show a positive and significant correlations between these different biomarkers (Fig. 5). However, the same treatments did not produce similar effects in *P. cuvieri* tadpoles (Luz et al., 2021). This result suggest a speciesspecific type of response. Since some species such as *Daphnia magna* and *Dicentrarchus labrax* also show an increase in biomarkers of oxidative stress, while other species such as *Oreochromis niloticus*, these markers were not affected (Li et al., 2020; Mhadhbi et al., 2020; Shiogiri et al., 2017). It is essential to note that studies that assess biomarkers of oxidative stress induced by HCQ in aquatic organisms are



**Fig. 5.** Spearman correlation matrix of the biomarkers "hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)", "oxygen reactive species (ROS)", "nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>)" and "thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARs)". Correlation coefficients (r) appear on the bottom triangle (beige), and a graphical display of these values appears on the top triangle (white). The number of asterisks denote the significance of the correlation: "denotes p value < 0.03, \*\*p value < 0.01, \*\*\*p value < 0.001, and \*\*\*\*p value < 0.001. Blue-tinted ellipses represent positive correlations. The boldness of the color and shape of the circle represent the strength of the relationship between variables, with stronger correlations having bolder colors and narrower circles.

extremely limited. Therefore, it is important that the impacts of HCQ on the aquatic environment are evaluated, especially when this drug is associated with other drugs of indiscriminate use.

# 3.3. Oxidative stress and molecular docking

We performed different in silico analyzes to comprehend the mechanisms of action that led to increased oxidative stress in adult zebrafish exposed to drugs. Initially, we evaluated through molecular docking the plausibility of the interactions between AZT and HCQ with the



**Fig. 4.** (A) Production of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), (B) hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ , (C) reactive oxygen species (ROS) and (D) nitrite  $(NO_2^-)$  in body tissues of *D. rerio* adult exposed or not to azithromycin (AZT) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ). The bars represent the mean + SEM (in "A, B and D"), data were submitted to one-way ANOVA, with Tukey's post-test (in "A, B and D") and to Kruskal-Wallis test, with Dunn's post-test (in "C"), both at 5% probability. Different lowercase letters indicate differences among experimental groups. C: control group; AZT: group exposed to azithromycin (12.5 µg/L); HCQ: group exposed to hydroxychloroquine (12.5 µg/L); AZT + HCQ: represent animals exposed to the binary combination of drugs. n = 8 fish/group.



**Fig. 6.** Graphical representation of the binding energies (in kcal/mol) of molecular docking between azithromycin (AZT) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) with their potential antioxidant targets such as catalase and superoxide dismutase (SOD). Values were calculated by the software AutoDock Vina.

molecular structure of the enzymes superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase, both considered in the frontline of antioxidant defense. As it can be seen in Fig. 6, our analyzes predicted a strong affinity between the drugs and their potential antioxidant targets, as well as the existence of interactions with residues from all tested moorings. The binding energies required for AZT and HCQ to bind to catalase were -8.1 $\pm$  0.71 kcal/mol and -6.6  $\pm$  0.36 kcal/mol (mean  $\pm$  SD), respectively. The energies expected for the binding between drugs and SOD were  $-7.1\pm0.7$  kcal/mol (for AZT and SOD) and  $-6.8\pm0.19$  kcal/mol (for HCQ and SOD) (Fig. 6). In addition, the analysis of the interactions showed that AZT reacted with the catalase by means of conventional and carbon hydrogen bond, involving the amino acids Asn338, Gln415 and Thr381 (Fig. 7A-B) and the interactions between HCQ and catalase were of the type of conventional hydrogen bond, Pi-Pi Stacked and Pi-Alkyl, involving the amino acids Phe356 and Asp157 (Fig. 7C-D). In relation to SOD, the interaction with AZT occurred through conventional and carbon hydrogen bond (Arg170, Gly168 and Asn166) (Fig. 7E-F) and with HCQ, through interactions of the conventional hydrogen bond and Pi- Alkyl (Ala179, Gln180 and Lys30) (Fig. 7G-H).

The pharmacokinetics of AZT are characterized by exceptionally low serum concentrations and wide distribution in tissues (Hand and Hand, 2002). A high concentration of AZT has been proceeded in murine and

human phagocytic cells by several authors (Bonnet and Van der Auwera, 1992; Fietta et al., 1997; Gladue et al., 1989; Meyer et al., 1993; Rakita et al., 1994; Stamler et al., 1994). When macrophages trigger an explosion of respiratory activity, there is an increased production of ROS, such as the superoxide anion and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> that can damage lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids (Dey and Bishayi, 2015). However, some authors have reported that AZT is not able to induce oxidative stress by attenuating the membrane destabilizing effect of bioactive phospholipids (Anderson et al., 1996; Dey and Bishayi, 2015). In fact, some species such as tilapias (O. niloticus) and tadpoles (P. cuvieri) did not show changes in ROS levels when exposed to AZT (Luz et al., 2021; Shiogiri et al., 2017). However, our data revealed that in zebrafish, AZT was able to generate ROS and we also demonstrated through molecular docking that AZT and HCQ also interact with antioxidant enzymes such as SOD and catalase. Similar results were demonstrated by Yan et al. (2019), in which zebrafish embryos were exposed to macrolide antibiotics, including AZT. Their results indicated severe toxicities in the development of this species, in addition to increased oxidative stress, decreased SOD activities and increased MDA content. This indicates that antibiotics such as AZT can cause damage to the zebrafish and this needs to be further investigated through biochemical and molecular biological investigations.

Notwithstanding, CQ acts in the production of  $H_2O_2$  and superoxide anion, demonstrating its bactericidal effect in terms of ROS production more accentuated than AZT (Abrantes et al., 2008). These results corroborate our data and all together indicate that these two drugs may have different mechanisms of action due to oxidative stress. In addition, it is likely that there is a failure in the response of antioxidants, since, in this study, the oxidative stress generated by AZT and HCQ was not well orchestrated.

#### 3.4. Interaction network

We also explored the putative pathways, integrating the investigated drugs with different proteins in a metabolite-protein interaction network. According to the STITCH interaction network, AZT and HCQ were linked to different metabolic pathways that may also explain the increase in oxidative stress observed in the evaluated animals. AZT showed a strong interaction with different cytochrome P450 family members, family 3, subfamily A (CYP3A5, CYP3A4 and CYP3A7)



Fig. 7. Two-dimensional/three-dimensional representation and residues of interaction between azithromycin (AZT) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) with their potential antioxidant targets. (A–B) AZT-catalase, (C–D) HCQ-catalase; (E–F) AZT-SOD and (G–H) HCQ-SOD. SOD: superoxide dismutase.





Fig. 8. Network analysis results using the Search Tool for Interactions of Chemicals (STITCH) to explore the interaction between azithromycin (AZT) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) with their different target molecules (A) AZT and (B) HCQ assessed separately. (C) AZT and HCQ assessed together. Splice isoforms or post-translational modifications are collapsed, i.e., each node represents all the proteins produced by a single, protein-coding gene locus. Small nodes: protein of unknown 3D structure. Large nodes: some 3D structure is known or predicted. Colored nodes: query proteins and first shell of interactors. White nodes: second shell of interactors.

(Fig. 8A) and HCQ to caspase-3 (Fig. 8B). It has been shown that in fish, as in other animals, xenobiotic biotransformation carried out by liver cytochromes P-450 and antioxidant defense system play an important role in maintaining cellular homeostasis (Burkina et al., 2015; Westphal, 2000). Thus, CYP450 activity is a crucial factor determining the detoxification abilities of living organisms.

The activation of caspase-3 by HCQ is very well reported in the literature. According to Boya et al. (2003), HCQ causes mitochondrial release of cytochrome *c* and activates caspase-3. The same effect was reported in bladder cancer cells treated with HCQ (Lin et al., 2017), in malignant B cells of 20 patients with chronic B lymphocytic leukemia treated with HCQ (Lagneaux et al., 2001; Lagneaux et al., 2002) and in culture of rheumatoid synoviocytes, suggesting that HCQ can exert its anti-rheumatic effect on rheumatoid joints through these mechanisms (Kim et al., 2006).

In this regard, for both drugs, functional enrichment analysis demonstrated that the binding of AZT and HCQ and their target molecules involved different biological processes and molecular mechanisms in the cytosol, including ROS metabolism and regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity, in addition to other enzymes and proteins that participate in REDOX homeostasis (Table 1).

#### Table 1

Functional enrichment analysis for investigating the biological processes involved in the interaction between azithromycin (AZT) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) with their different target molecules.

rate           Azithromytin <sup>1</sup> Biological process (C0)         COUV72533           COUV72533         Reactive oxygen species metabolic process         12 $1.3 \times 10^{-14}$ COUV072533         Regulation of nitri-oxide synthase activity         6 $4.68 \times 10^{-7}$ COUV062099         Regulation of nitri-oxide synthase activity         6 $4.68 \times 10^{-7}$ COUV00302         Response to oxidative stress         10 $8.68 \times 10^{-7}$ COUV00302         Response to reactive oxygen species         8 $1.57 \times 10^{-6}$ COUV06401         Peroxidase activity         6 $6.36 \times 10^{-4}$ COUV06402         Clutathone peroxidase activity         5 $8.43 \times 10^{-4}$ COUV06402         Clutathone peroxidase activity         5 $8.43 \times 10^{-4}$ COUV06402         Clutathone peroxidase activity         5 $8.43 \times 10^{-4}$ COUV06402         Clutathone peroxidase activity         6 $3.37 \times 10^{-4}$ COUV005829         Cytosol         9         0.000465           Hidro synthese activity         6 $3.37 \times 10^{-4}$ COUV00599         Reactive oxygen species metabolic process	Pathway ID	Pathway description	Count in gene set	False discovery
Julitomycini         Holiogical process (GO)         13 × 10 <sup>-14</sup> Biological process (GO)         Nitric oxide metabolic process         12         13 × 10 <sup>-14</sup> GO:005293         Regulation of intri-oxide synthase activity         6         4.68 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> GO:0056979         Regulation of intri-oxide synthase activity         6         4.68 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> GO:0005020         Response to recrive oxygen species         8         1.57 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GO:0005021         Response to recrive oxygen species         8         1.57 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GO:000502         Response to recrive oxygen species         8         1.57 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GO:0004601         Peroxidase activity         7         6.36 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> GO:0004602         Clutathione peroxidase activity         13         6.36 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> GO:0004602         Clutathione peroxidase activity         5         8.43 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> GO:0004602         Cytosol         19         0.000465           Hydroxychloroquine <sup>2</sup> Biological process         11 $7.96 \times 10^{-15}$ GO:000253         Cytosol         19         0.000465           GO:0002461         Response to hypoxia         8 $1.3 × 10^{-2}$ GO:0002457         Nitric o				rate
Biological process (Ga)         I 3 × 10 <sup>-14</sup> C0,0072393         Reactive oxygen species metabolic process         8         34 × 10 <sup>-12</sup> C0,0005090         Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity         6         6.66 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> C0,0005090         Response to oxidative stress         10         8.08 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> C0,0005090         Response to reactive oxygen species         8         15.7 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> C0,0004601         Peroxidase activity         6         6.35 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> C0,0004602         Antoxidan activity         7         6.36 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> C0,0004601         Oxidoreductase activity         7         6.36 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> C0,0004602         Glutathione peroxidase activity         7         6.36 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> C0,0004602         Glutathione peroxidase activity         5         8.43 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> C0,00005829         Quosl         1         7.96 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> C0,00005829         Reactive oxygen species metabolic process         1         1.7.96 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> C0,0000580         Response to lipopolyaccharide         8         1.3.2 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> C0,0001666         Response to lipopolyaccharide         8         1.3.2 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> C0,00003661         NADPH hemoprotein reductas	Azithromycin <sup>1</sup>			
GC:072593         Reactive oxygen species metabolic process         12         1.3 × 10 <sup>-14</sup> GC:00505999         Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity         6         4.68 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> GC:0000302         Response to vidative stress         10         4.68 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> GC:0000302         Response to vidative stress         10         4.68 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> GC:0000302         Response to vidative stress         10         6.36 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> GC:00004001         Peroxidase activity         6         6.35 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> GC:00016491         Oxidoreductase activity         7         6.35 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> GC:0004602         Glutathione peroxidase activity         5         8.43 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> GC:0004602         Glutathione peroxidase activity         5         8.43 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> GC:0004602         Gutathione peroxidase activity         5         8.43 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> GC:0004602         Cytosol         10         0.000465           Biological process         11         7.95 × 10 <sup>-15</sup> GC:00072603         Nitric oxide metabolic process         1         7.95 × 10 <sup>-15</sup> GC:00072603         Response to hypoxia         3         1.32 × 10 <sup>-16</sup> GC:00072603         Response to hypoxia	Biological process (GO)			
C0:0046209       Nitric oxide metabolic process       8 $3.4 \times 10^{-12}$ C0:0050990       Response to oxidative stress       10 $8.60 \times 10^{-7}$ C0:0005072       Response to oxidative stress       10 $8.60 \times 10^{-7}$ C0:0005070       Response to oxidative stress       10 $8.60 \times 10^{-7}$ C0:0016200       Peroxidase activity       6 $6.35 \times 10^{-8}$ C0:0016201       Antioxidant activity       7 $6.36 \times 10^{-8}$ C0:0016202       Citatatione prexidase activity       5 $8.43 \times 10^{-4}$ C0:0002037       Heme binding       7 $1.57 \times 10^{-6}$ C0:000529       Cytosol       9       0.000465         C0:000529       Cytosol       9       0.000465         Vedroxychloroquine <sup>2</sup> E       E       E         Eloiogtcal process (C0)       Response to lipopolysaccharide       8 $1.1 \times 10^{-13}$ C0:000569       Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity       6 $3.97 \times 10^{-6}$ C0:000566       Response to lipopolysaccharide       8 $1.3 \times 10^{-6}$ C0:000566       Response to lipopolysaccharide       8 $1.3 \times 10^{-6}$ C0:000567       Nation	GO:0072593	Reactive oxygen species metabolic process	12	$1.3 imes10^{-14}$
C0:0005099       Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity       6 $4.68 \times 10^{-7}$ C0:0000579       Response to oxidative stress       10 $8.08 \times 10^{-7}$ G0:0004601       Peroxidase activity       6 $6.36 \times 10^{-8}$ G0:0004601       Peroxidase activity       7 $6.36 \times 10^{-8}$ G0:0016209       Antioxidant activity       7 $6.36 \times 10^{-8}$ G0:0004601       Oxidoreductase activity       7 $6.38 \times 10^{-8}$ G0:0004602       Glutathione peroxidase activity       5 $8.43 \times 10^{-8}$ G0:000037       Heme binding       7 $1.57 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:000037       Heme binding       7 $0.000465$ Hydroxychloroquine <sup>2</sup> Cytosol       9       0.000465         Hydroxychloroquine <sup>2</sup> Cytosol       1 $7.96 \times 10^{-15}$ G0:000599       Regulaton of nitric-oxide synthase activity       6 $3.97 \times 10^{-8}$ G0:000166       Response to hypoxia       8 $1.32 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:000166       Response to hypoxia       8 $1.32 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:000166       Response to hypoxia       8 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:000170       Nitric-oxide	GO:0046209	Nitric oxide metabolic process	8	$3.4 \times 10^{-12}$
C0:0006079         Response to oxidative stress         10         8.08 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> C0:0000702         Response to reactive oxygen species         8         1.57 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> Molecular function (C0)         6         6.36 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> 6.36 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> C0:0004001         Peroxidase activity         7         6.36 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> C0:0004602         Glutathione peroxidase activity         5         8.43 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> C0:0004602         Glutathione peroxidase activity         5         8.43 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> C0:0004602         Glutathione peroxidase activity         6         8.43 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> C0:0004602         Glutathione peroxidase activity         6         8.43 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> C0:0004503         Meme binding         7         .57 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> C0:000529         Cytosol         9         .0000452           Glutathione peroxidase activity         6         3.97 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> G0:000529         Reactive oxygen species metabolic process         8         1.1 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> G0:000509         Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity         6         3.97 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> G0:0005166         Response to lipopolyaccharide         8         1.32 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> G0:0005166         Response to lipopolyaccha	GO:0050999	Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity	6	$4.68  imes 10^{-7}$
CC:0000302         Response to reactive oxygen species         8         1.57 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> Molecular function (CO)         Peroxidase activity         6         6.36 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> C0:00016401         Natioxidant activity         13         6.36 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> C0:00016491         Oxidoreductase activity         13         6.36 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> C0:00016401         Oxidoreductase activity         13         6.36 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> C0:000017         Heme binding         7         1.57 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> Collular component (CO)         Torso         7         1.57 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> Collular component (CO)         Go:00025829         Cytosol         19         0.0004655           Hydroxychloroquine <sup>2</sup> Torso         8         1.1 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> G0:0002593         Reactive oxygen species metabolic process         8         1.1 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> G0:0002466         Response to hypoxia         8         1.32 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> G0:0002466         Response to hypoxia         8         1.32 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> G0:0002466         Response to hypoxia         8         1.32 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> G0:0002466         Response to hypoxia         8         1.32 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> G0:00024661         Tetrahydrobiopterin binding	GO:0006979	Response to oxidative stress	10	$8.08  imes 10^{-7}$
Molecular function (Co)         6         6.36 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> C0:0004001         Peroxidase activity         7         6.36 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> C0:0016209         Antioxidant activity         7         6.36 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> C0:0016401         Oxidoreductase activity         3         8.43 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> C0:0004602         Clutathione peroxidase activity         3         8.43 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> C0:0000502         Clutathione peroxidase activity         3         8.43 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> C0:0000502         Cytosol         19         0.000465           Hydroxychloroquine <sup>2</sup> E         E         E           C0:0005029         Niriro oxide metabolic process         1         7.96 × 10 <sup>-15</sup> C0:000509         Niriro oxide metabolic process         8         1.1 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> C0:000509         Regulation of initri-oxide synthase activity         6         3.97 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> C0:000517         Nitric oxide synthase activity         3         7.5 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> C0:0003617         Nitric oxide synthase activity         3         9.99 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> C0:0003617         Nitri-oxide synthase activity         3         9.99 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> C0:0003617         Naphinebinding         3         9.99 × 10 <sup>-6</sup>	GO:0000302	Response to reactive oxygen species	8	$1.57\times 10^{-6}$
GC:0004601         Peroxidase activity         6 $6.36 \times 10^{-8}$ GC:00016209         Antioxidant activity         7 $6.36 \times 10^{-8}$ GC:00016401         Oxidoreductase activity         5 $8.43 \times 10^{-8}$ GC:00004602         Glutathione peroxidase activity         5 $8.43 \times 10^{-8}$ GC:0002037         Heme binding         7 $1.57 \times 10^{-6}$ Cellular component (GO)         Cytosol         9         0.0004655           Hydroxychloroquine <sup>2</sup> E         E         E           Biological process (GO)         Nitric oxide metabolic process         1 $7.96 \times 10^{-15}$ GO:0005299         Reactive oxygen species metabolic process         1 $1.1 \times 10^{-13}$ GO:0005690         Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity         6 $3.37 \times 10^{-6}$ GO:0001666         Response to lipopolysaccharide         8 $1.32 \times 10^{-6}$ GO:0004517         Nitric-oxide synthase activity         3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ GO:0004517         Nitric-oxide synthase activity         3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ GO:0003581         NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity         3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ <	Molecular function (GO)			
GC:0016209         Antioxidant activity         7         G.36 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> GC:0016491         Oxidoreductase activity         3         G.63 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> GC:0004602         Clutathione peroxidase activity         5         8.43 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> GC:00005829         Cytosol         9         0.000465           Hydroxychloroquine <sup>2</sup> 0.000465           Hydroxychloroquine <sup>2</sup> 0.000465           GC:0002593         Reactive oxygen species metabolic process         1         7.96 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> GC:0002593         Reactive oxygen species metabolic process         8         1.32 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GC:00032496         Response to hypoxia         8         1.32 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GC:0003496         Response to hypoxia         3         9.99 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GC:0003496         Response to hypoxia         3         9.99 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GC:00034617         Tetrahydrobioperin binding         3         9.99 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GC:00034517         Tetrahydrobioperin reductase activity         3         9.74 × 10 <sup>-9</sup> GC:00034517         Tetrahydrobioperin reductase activity         3         9.94 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GC:000358         NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity         3         9.74 × 10 <sup>-9</sup>	GO:0004601	Peroxidase activity	6	$6.36 \times 10^{-8}$
GC:0016491       Oxidoreductase activity       13 $6.36 \times 10^{-8}$ GO:0004602       Glutatinone peroxidase activity       5 $8.43 \times 10^{-8}$ GO:002037       Heme binding       7 $1.57 \times 10^{-6}$ Cellular component (GO)       19       0.000465         Hydroxychloroquine <sup>2</sup> 5       3.67 \times 10^{-8}         Biological process (CO)       6       3.97 \times 10^{-8}         GO:0002533       Reactive oxygen species metabolic process       8 $1.1 \times 10^{-13}$ GO:0002530       Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity       6 $3.97 \times 10^{-8}$ GO:000266       Response to hypoxia       8 $1.32 \times 10^{-6}$ GO:0002460       Response to hypoxia       8 $1.32 \times 10^{-6}$ GO:00024617       Nitric-oxide synthase activity       3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ GO:0003617       NaDPH-hemopretin reductase activity       3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ GO:0003661       NADPH-hemopretin reductase activity       3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ GO:000358       NADPH-hemopretin reductase activity       3 $9.71 \times 10^{-10}$ GO:000359       Cytosol       13       0.00906         Azithromycin AND Hydroxychloroquine <sup>3</sup> 13	GO:0016209	Antioxidant activity	7	$6.36 \times 10^{-8}$
GC:0004602         GLatathione peroxidase activity         5         8.43 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> GC:0020037         Heme binding         7         1.57 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> Cellular component (GO)         19         0.000465           Hydroxychloroquine <sup>2</sup> Startive oxygen species metabolic process         11         7.96 × 10 <sup>-15</sup> GO:00072593         Reactive oxygen species metabolic process         11         7.96 × 10 <sup>-15</sup> GO:00050290         Nitric oxide metabolic process         8         1.1 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> GO:0005099         Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity         6         3.97 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GO:0002496         Response to hippoplyaccharide         8         1.32 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> Molecular function (GO)         1         7.5 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> 1.32 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GO:0034617         Nitric-oxide synthase activity         3         9.99 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GO:00358         NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity         3         9.99 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GO:000358         NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity         3         9.99 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GO:000358         NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity         3         9.99 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GO:000358         NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity         3         0.000006	GO:0016491	Oxidoreductase activity	13	$6.36 \times 10^{-8}$
Co:0020037         Heme binding         7         1.57 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> Celllular component (CO)         19         0.000465           Hydroxychloroquine <sup>2</sup> 5         6         0.000453           Biological process (CO)         11         7.96 × 10 <sup>-15</sup> Co:0072533         Reactive oxygen species metabolic process         11         7.96 × 10 <sup>-15</sup> Co:0001666         Response to hypoxia         8         1.1 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> Co:00012099         Regulation of nitri-coxide synthase activity         6         3.97 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> Co:00012056         Response to hypoxia         8         1.32 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> Co:000120461         Response to hypoxia         8         1.32 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> Co:00034618         Response to hypoxia         8         3.32 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> Co:00034617         Tetrahydrobiopterin binding         3         9.99 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> Co:00034618         Arginine binding         3         9.99 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> Co:0003580         NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity         3         9.97 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> Go:0003661         NADP binding         4         0.000060           Azithromycin AND Hydroxychloroquine <sup>3</sup> Exercise         9         5.71 × 10 <sup>-10</sup>	GO:0004602	Glutathione peroxidase activity	5	$8.43 \times 10^{-8}$
Cellular component (CO)         19         0.000465           GO:0005829         Qtsol         19         0.000465           Hydroxychloroquine <sup>2</sup> Biological process (GO)         1         7.96 × 10 <sup>-15</sup> GO:00072593         Reative oxygen species metabolic process         11         7.96 × 10 <sup>-15</sup> GO:004209         Nitric oxide metabolic process         8         1.1 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> GO:0005099         Regulation of nitri-oxide synthase activity         6         3.97 × 10 <sup>-8</sup> GO:0001666         Response to hypoxia         8         1.32 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GO:0004517         Nitri-oxide synthase activity         3         9.99 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GO:0004517         Nitri-oxide synthase activity         3         9.99 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GO:000358         NADPH-hemoproterin reductase activity         3         9.99 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> GO:0003651         NADP binding         3         9.94 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> GO:0005829         Qtosol         13         0.000205           Cellular component (CO)         I         Co:0004501         0.000906           Co:0004529         Qtosol         13         0.00906           Co:00055829         Qtosol         13         0.00906           Co:00050	GO:0020037	Heme binding	7	$1.57 \times 10^{-6}$
GC:0005829         Cytosol         19         0.000465           Hydroxychloroquine <sup>2</sup>	Cellular component (GO)			
Hydroxychloroquine <sup>3</sup> Biological process (CO)GO:0072593Reactive oxygen species metabolic process11 $7.96 \times 10^{-15}$ GO:0006099Nitric oxide metabolic process8 $1.1 \times 10^{-13}$ GO:00050999Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity6 $3.97 \times 10^{-8}$ GO:0001666Response to hypoxia8 $1.32 \times 10^{-6}$ GO:0001666Response to lipopolysaccharide8 $1.32 \times 10^{-6}$ Molecular function (GO)009.99 \times 10^{-6}GO:0004517Nitric-oxide synthase activity3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ GO:00034618Arginine binding3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ GO:0003588NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity3 $9.74 \times 10^{-5}$ GO:0003661NADPNADP binding40.000205Cellular component (GO)130.00906Ziblogical process (GO)130.00906Azitromych AND Hydroxychloroquine <sup>3</sup> 5 $1.35 \times 10^{-5}$ GO:00072593Reactive oxygen species metabolic species9 $5.71 \times 10^{-10}$ GO:000377Regulation of rirecivic oxygen species metabolic process60.000101GO:0001666Response to hypoxia70.000130Molecular function (GO)1 $3.25 \times 10^{-5}$ $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ GO:000209Regulation of rirecivic oxygen species metabolic process6 $0.000101$ GO:00046209Nitric oxide activity5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ GO:0004661Response to hypoxia70.000130 <td< td=""><td>GO:0005829</td><td>Cytosol</td><td>19</td><td>0.000465</td></td<>	GO:0005829	Cytosol	19	0.000465
Biologial process (GO)         7.96 × 10 <sup>-15</sup> GC:0072593         Rective oxygen species metabolic process         1         7.96 × 10 <sup>-15</sup> GC:00426209         Nitric oxide metabolic process         8 $1.1 \times 10^{-13}$ GC:0001666         Response to hypoxia         8 $3.32 \times 10^{-6}$ GC:00032496         Response to hypoxia         8 $1.32 \times 10^{-6}$ Molecular function (GO)           7.5 × 10^{-6}           GC:0034617         Tetrahydrobiopterin binding         3         9.99 × 10^{-6}           GO:0034618         Arginine binding         3         9.99 × 10^{-6}           GO:00034618         Arginine binding         3         9.99 × 10^{-6}           GO:00034618         Arginine binding         3         9.99 × 10^{-6}           GO:0003958         NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity         3         9.74 × 10^{-5}           GO:0003958         NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity         3         0.009006           Azithromycin AND Hydroxychloroquine <sup>3</sup> 9.00006         10         0.000205           Celluar component (GO)            1.5 × 10^{-10}         1.5 × 10^{-10}         1.5 × 10^{-10}         1.5 × 10^{-10} <td< td=""><td>Hydroxychloroquine<sup>2</sup></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Hydroxychloroquine <sup>2</sup>			
G0:0072593         Reactive oxygen species metabolic process         11 $7.96 \times 10^{-15}$ G0:0046209         Nitric oxide metabolic process         8 $1.1 \times 10^{-13}$ G0:0050999         Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity         6 $3.97 \times 10^{-8}$ G0:0050990         Response to hypoxia         8 $1.32 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:0004660         Response to lipopolysaccharide         8 $1.32 \times 10^{-6}$ Molecular function (GO)         Tetrahydrobiopterin binding         3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:0003958         NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity         3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:0003958         NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity         3 $9.99 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0003958         NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity         3 $9.99 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:00050661         NADP binding         4 $0.000205$ Cellular component (GO)         G0:00072593         Reactive oxygen species metabolic species         9 $5.71 \times 10^{-10}$ G0:0005099         Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity         5 $1.35 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0005099         Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity         5 $1.35 \times 10^{-5}$	Biological process (GO)			
G0:0046209Nitric oxide metabolic process8 $1.1 \times 10^{-13}$ G0:0050999Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity6 $3.97 \times 10^{-8}$ G0:0032496Response to hypopxia8 $1.32 \times 10^{-6}$ Molecular function (GO)G0:0034517Nitric-oxide synthase activity3 $7.5 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:0034617Nitric-oxide synthase activity3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:0004517Nitric-oxide synthase activity3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:00034618Arginine binding3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:0003958NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity3 $9.74 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0003958NADPH-homoprotein reductase activity3 $9.74 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0003958NADPH-homoprotein reductase activity3 $9.74 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0005061NADP binding40.000205Cellular component (GO) $Cytosol$ 130.00906Azithromycin AND Hydroxychloroquine <sup>3</sup> $5.71 \times 10^{-10}$ $8.28 \times 10^{-8}$ G0:0004209Nitric oxide metabolic species9 $5.71 \times 10^{-10}$ G0:0004209Nitric oxide synthase activity5 $1.35 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:000377Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:001666Response to hypoxia70.000130Molecular function (GO) $C_0:000377$ $8.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:001661Regulati activity5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0001671Oxidoreductase activity10 <t< td=""><td>GO:0072593</td><td>Reactive oxygen species metabolic process</td><td>11</td><td><math>7.96 \times 10^{-15}</math></td></t<>	GO:0072593	Reactive oxygen species metabolic process	11	$7.96 \times 10^{-15}$
G0:0050999         Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity         6 $3.97 \times 10^{-8}$ G0:0001666         Response to hypoxia         8 $1.32 \times 10^{-6}$ Molecular function (GO)         T<	GO:0046209	Nitric oxide metabolic process	8	$1.1 \times 10^{-13}$
G0:0001666Response to hypoxia8 $1.32 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:0032496Response to lipopolysaccharide8 $1.32 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:0034517Molecular function (GO) </td <td>GO:0050999</td> <td>Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity</td> <td>6</td> <td><math>3.97 \times 10^{-8}</math></td>	GO:0050999	Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity	6	$3.97 \times 10^{-8}$
G0:0032496Response to lipopolysaccharide8 $1.32 \times 10^{-6}$ Molecular function (GO)Nitric-oxide synthase activity3 $7.5 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:0004517Nitric-oxide synthase activity3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:0034618Arginine binding3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:003958NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity3 $9.74 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:00050661NADP binding4 $0.000205$ Cellular component (GO) $2$ $2$ $0.000025$ Cellular component (GO) $0.00005829$ $0.000005829$ $0.000005829$ Cotor72593Reactive oxygen species metabolic species9 $5.71 \times 10^{-10}$ G0:0072593Reactive oxygen species metabolic species9 $5.71 \times 10^{-10}$ G0:000377Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity5 $1.35 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0001666Response to hypoxia7 $0.000139$ Molecular function (GO) $U$ $U$ $U$ G0:0016209Antioxidant activity5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016209Antioxidant activity5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016209Antioxidant activity10 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016209Antioxidant activity5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:00	GO:0001666	Response to hypoxia	8	$1.32 \times 10^{-6}$
Molecular function (GO)         The second seco	GO:0032496	Response to lipopolysaccharide	8	$1.32 \times 10^{-6}$
G0:0004517Nitric-oxide synthase activity3 $7.5 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:0034617Tetrahydrobiopterin binding3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:003958Arginine binding3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:003958NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity3 $9.74 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0003958NADP binding4 $0.000205$ Cellular component (GO)Cytosol13 $0.00906$ Azithromycin AND Hydroxychloroquine <sup>3</sup> Cytosol13 $0.00906$ Azithromycin AND Hydroxychloroquine <sup>3</sup> Reactive oxygen species metabolic species9 $5.71 \times 10^{-10}$ G0:0072593Reactive oxygen species metabolic species9 $5.71 \times 10^{-10}$ G0:00050999Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity5 $1.35 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:2000377Regulation of reactive oxygen species metabolic process6 $0.00013$ Molecular function (GO)TT $0.000139$ G0:0016209Antioxidant activity5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016209Antioxidant activity5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016491Oxidoreductase activity10 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0020037Heme binding6 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0004601Peroxidase activity5 $0.000141$	Molecular function (GO)			
G0:0034617Tetrahydrobiopterin binding3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:0034618Arginine binding3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:003958NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity3 $9.74 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0050661NADP binding40.000205Cellular component (GO) $G0:005829$ Cytosol130.00906Azithromycin AND Hydroxychloroquine <sup>3</sup> $F$ $F$ $F$ Biological process (GO) $G0:0072593$ Reactive oxygen species metabolic species9 $5.71 \times 10^{-10}$ G0:00066209Nitric oxide metabolic process6 $2.89 \times 10^{-8}$ $3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:00016609Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity5 $1.35 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0001666Response to hypoxia70.000131Molecular function (GO) $G0:0016209$ Antioxidant activity5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016209Antioxidant activity5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016209Antioxidant activity5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:001620	GO:0004517	Nitric-oxide synthase activity	3	$7.5  imes 10^{-6}$
G0:0034618Arginine binding3 $9.99 \times 10^{-6}$ G0:0003958NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity3 $9.74 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0050661NADP binding40.000205Cellular component (GO)	GO:0034617	Tetrahydrobiopterin binding	3	$9.99 imes10^{-6}$
G0:0003958NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity3 $9.74 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0050661NADP binding40.000205Cellular component (GO)G0:0005829Cytosol130.00906Azithromycin AND Hydroxychloroquine <sup>3</sup> Biological process (GO) </td <td>GO:0034618</td> <td>Arginine binding</td> <td>3</td> <td><math>9.99 imes10^{-6}</math></td>	GO:0034618	Arginine binding	3	$9.99 imes10^{-6}$
G0:0050661         NADP binding         4         0.000205           Cellular component (GO)         Cytosol         13         0.00906           Azithromycin AND Hydroxychloroquine <sup>3</sup>	GO:0003958	NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity	3	$9.74\times10^{-5}$
Cellular component (GO)         Cytosol         13         0.00906           Azithromycin AND Hydroxychloroquine <sup>3</sup> -         -	GO:0050661	NADP binding	4	0.000205
G0:0005829         Cytosol         13         0.00906           Azithromycin AND Hydroxychloroquine <sup>3</sup>	Cellular component (GO)			
Azithromycin AND Hydroxychloroquine <sup>3</sup> Biological process (GO)9 $5.71 \times 10^{-10}$ G0:0072593Reactive oxygen species metabolic species9 $5.71 \times 10^{-10}$ G0:0046209Nitric oxide metabolic process6 $2.89 \times 10^{-8}$ G0:0050999Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity5 $1.35 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:200377Regulation of reactive oxygen species metabolic process6 $0.000130$ G0:001666Response to hypoxia7 $0.000130$ Molecular function (GO)5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016209Antioxidant activity5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016491Oxidoreductase activity10 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0004497Monooxygenase activity5 $0.000141$ G0:0004601Peroxidase activity4 $0.000141$	GO:0005829	Cytosol	13	0.00906
Biological process (GO)       9 $5.71 \times 10^{-10}$ G0:0072593       Reactive oxygen species metabolic species       9 $5.71 \times 10^{-10}$ G0:0046209       Nitric oxide metabolic process       6 $2.89 \times 10^{-8}$ G0:00509999       Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity       5 $1.35 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:2000377       Regulation of reactive oxygen species metabolic process       6 $0.000101$ G0:001666       Response to hypoxia       7 $0.000139$ Molecular function (GO)       7 $0.000139$ G0:0016209       Antioxidant activity       5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016209       Antioxidant activity       5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016209       Antioxidant activity       5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016491       Oxidoreductase activity       10 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0020037       Heme binding       6 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0004497       Monooxygenase activity       5       0.000141         G0:0004601       Peroxidase activity       4       0.000141	Azithromycin AND Hydroxychloroquine <sup>3</sup>			
GO:0072593         Reactive oxygen species metabolic species         9 $5.71 \times 10^{-10}$ GO:0072593         Nitric oxide metabolic process         6 $2.89 \times 10^{-8}$ GO:0050999         Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity         5 $1.35 \times 10^{-5}$ GO:0001666         Response to hypoxia         7         0.000139           Molecular function (GO) $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ GO:0016209         Antioxidant activity         5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ GO:0016209         Antioxidant activity         5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ GO:0016209         Antioxidant activity         5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ GO:0016491         Oxidoreductase activity         10 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ GO:0004497         Monooxygenase activity         5         0.000141           GO:0004601         Peroxidase activity         4         0.000141	Biological process (GO)			
G0:0046209         Nitric oxide metabolic process         6 $2.89 \times 10^{-8}$ G0:0050999         Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity         5 $1.35 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:2000377         Regulation of reactive oxygen species metabolic process         6 $0.000101$ G0:0001666         Response to hypoxia         7 $0.000139$ Molecular function (GO) $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016209         Antioxidant activity         5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016491         Oxidoreductase activity         10 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0020037         Heme binding         6 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0004497         Monooxygenase activity         5         0.000141           G0:0004601         Peroxidase activity         4         0.000141	G0:0072593	Reactive oxygen species metabolic species	9	$5.71  imes 10^{-10}$
G0:0050999         Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity         5 $1.35 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:2000377         Regulation of reactive oxygen species metabolic process         6         0.000101           G0:0001666         Response to hypoxia         7         0.000139           Molecular function (GO)          3.82 \times 10^{-5} $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016209         Antioxidant activity         5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016491         Oxidoreductase activity         10 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0020037         Heme binding         6 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0004497         Monoxygenase activity         5         0.000141           G0:0004601         Peroxidase activity         4         0.000141	GO:0046209	Nitric oxide metabolic process	6	$2.89 \times 10^{-8}$
G0:2000377         Regulation of reactive oxygen species metabolic process         6         0.000101           G0:0001666         Response to hypoxia         7         0.000139           Molecular function (GO)          5         3.82 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> G0:0016209         Antioxidant activity         5         3.82 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> G0:0016491         Oxidoreductase activity         10         3.82 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> G0:002037         Heme binding         6         3.82 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> G0:0004497         Monooxygenase activity         5         0.000141           G0:0004601         Peroxidase activity         4         0.000141	GO:0050999	Regulation of nitric-oxide synthase activity	5	$1.35 \times 10^{-5}$
G0:0001666         Response to hypoxia         7         0.000139           Molecular function (GO)	GO:2000377	Regulation of reactive oxygen species metabolic process	6	0.000101
Molecular function (GO)         Antioxidant activity         5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016209         Antioxidant activity         10 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016491         Oxidoreductase activity         10 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0020037         Heme binding         6 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0004497         Monooxygenase activity         5         0.000141           G0:0004601         Peroxidase activity         4         0.000141	GO:0001666	Response to hypoxia	7	0.000139
G0:0016209         Antioxidant activity         5 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0016491         Oxidoreductase activity         10 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0020037         Heme binding         6 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0004497         Monooxygenase activity         5 $0.000141$ G0:0004601         Peroxidase activity         4 $0.000141$	Molecular function (GO)	1 J I I I J I		
G0:0016491         Oxidoreductase activity         10 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0020037         Heme binding         6 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0004497         Monooxygenase activity         5         0.000141           G0:0004601         Peroxidase activity         4         0.000141	GO:0016209	Antioxidant activity	5	$3.82 \times 10^{-5}$
G0:0020037         Heme binding         6 $3.82 \times 10^{-5}$ G0:0004497         Monooxygenase activity         5         0.000141           G0:0004601         Peroxidase activity         4         0.000141	GO:0016491	Oxidoreductase activity	10	$3.82\times10^{-5}$
G0:0004497         Monooxygenase activity         5         0.000141           G0:0004601         Peroxidase activity         4         0.000141	GO:0020037	Heme binding	6	$3.82 \times 10^{-5}$
G0:0004601 Peroxidase activity 4 0.000141	GO:0004497	Monooxygenase activity	5	0.000141
	GO:0004601	Peroxidase activity	4	0.000141

<sup>1</sup> PPI enrichment p-value:  $6.79 \times 10^{-11}$  and clustering coefficient: 0.749.

<sup>2</sup> PPI enrichment p-value:  $4.25 \times 10^{-13}$  and clustering coefficient: 0.689.

 $^3$  PPI enrichment p-value:  $1.55 \times 10^{-9}$ . Number of nodes: 21; number of edges: 47; average node degree: 4.48 and clustering coefficient: 0.777.

# 3.5. Chemical-chemical interaction (via ChemDIS-mixture)

To deepen the prediction of possible mechanisms of action responsible for the effects observed in our study, we performed an analysis of chemical-chemical interaction (involving the tested drugs and different molecules). In addition, we evaluated the potential specific biological endpoint resulting from these interactions. We identified from the ChemDIS-Mixture tool a total of 446 proteins that can interact with AZT or HCQ. Of these, 255 were specific for AZT, 178 for HCQ and 13 proteins are shared between drugs (Fig. 9). We also observed that among the top ten most significant hits for the targets responsible for the effect of interaction with AZT (i.e., with a score  $\geq 0.8$ ), 70% are proteins directly or indirectly related to oxidative stress (catalase, cytochrome P450 family 3 subfamily A member 4, glutathione S-transferase alpha 3, glutathione S-transferase alpha 1, glutathione S-transferase alpha 4, glutathione S-transferase alpha 2, cytochrome P450 family 3 subfamily A member 5, cytochrome P450 family 3 subfamily A member 7) (Fig. 9A), which is similar to what was observed in the interaction network analysis

above. In relation to HCQ, the main targets (i.e., score  $\ge 0.8$ ) included caspase 3 and toll like receptors (Fig. 9B), thus covering the pathways by which the drug may have induced an increased in oxidative stress. Among the protein targets shared by both AZT and HCQ, our analysis showed interleukin 6 (IL-6) as a target in which the scores for both drugs were higher than 0.825 (Fig. 9).

The anti-inflammatory effects of HCQ already discussed in this article, such as interference with lysosomal acidification and inhibition of phospholipase absorption, are also accompanied by the inhibition of toll-like receptor signals, inhibition of T and B cell receptors and, mainly, the decreased production of macrophage cytokines such as interleukin (IL)-1 and IL-6 (Ben-Zvi et al., 2012). In this manner, HCQ controls the inflammatory response since inhibition of cytokines such as IL-6 decreases tissue damage and endothelial inflammation (Moudgil and Choubey, 2011).

The antioxidant effects of AZT alone and combined with HCQ was observed in *P. cuvieri* tadpoles. In this species, SOD and catalase were increased when exposed to these drugs and possibly acted to maintain the

#### J.M. Mendonça-Gomes, A.P. da Costa Araújo, T.M. da Luz et al.

Science of the Total Environment 790 (2021) 148129



Fig. 9. Venn diagram comparing the protein-protein interaction with azithromycin or hydroxychlorin or both. (A-C) Summarized information on the most significant results for the targets responsible for the effect of interaction with (A) azithromycin (AZT), (B) hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) and (C) AZT/HCQ.

basal production of NO, ROS, TBARS and  $H_2O_2$  (Luz et al., 2021). The antioxidant effects of AZT alone and combined with HCQ was observed in *P. cuvieri* tadpoles. In this species, SOD and catalase were increased when exposed to these drugs and possibly acted to maintain the basal production of NO, ROS, TBARS and H2O2 (Luz et al., 2021). Already the Increase in ROS presented in our article is suggestive of a failure in the antioxidant response que can be attributed to the interaction of drugs with the main antioxidant enzymes, SOD, and catalase. However, further studies must be conducted to elucidate this hypothesis.

#### 3.6. Gene ontology

To provide an overview of the main processes, molecular mechanisms, and cellular localization of proteins with potential interaction with AZT and/or HCQ, we conducted an ontology (GO) analyze gene. In this analysis, 674 genes responsive to drugs were identified, 293 to AZT, 264 to HCQ and 117 shared between AZT and HCQ. Biological process analysis indicated that proteins with a strong interaction with AZT act mainly in processes related to glutathione metabolism and cellular



Fig. 10. Gene Ontology (GO) classification of differentially expressed genes related exclusively to azithromycin. The differentially expressed genes are grouped into three hierarchically structured terms: biological process, cellular component, and molecular function. In "A" the number of genes is presented and in "B" the increasing significance (Log10 P value) of each GO annotation.



Fig. 11. Gene Ontology (GO) classification of differentially expressed genes related exclusively to azithromycin. The differentially expressed genes are grouped into three hierarchically structured terms: biological process, cellular component, and molecular function. In "A" the number of genes is presented and in "B" the increasing significance (Log10 P value) of each GO annotation.

oxidant detoxification; acting on molecular mechanisms involving the activity of various enzymes, especially glutathione transferase, which expands the findings of molecular docking. In addition, our analysis revealed that these proteins are in different cytoplasmic elements/ structures, such as in the mitochondrial matrix and in the NADPH oxidase complex. Fig. 10 shows the GO prediction of the biological process,



Fig. 12. Gene Ontology (GO) classification of differentially expressed genes related exclusively to azithromycin. The differentially expressed genes are grouped into three hierarchically structured terms: biological process, cellular component, and molecular function. In "A" the number of genes is presented and in "B" the increasing significance (Log10 P value) of each GO annotation.



**Fig. 13.** (A) Activity of the enzyme acetylcholinesterase (AChE) in the body tissues of *D. rerio* adults, exposed or not to drugs. The bars represent the mean + SEM, and the data were submitted to *one-way* ANOVA, with Tukey's post-test, at 5% probability (n = 8 fish/group). (B) Graphical representation of the binding energies (in kcal/mol) of molecular docking between the ligands azithromycin (AZT) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) and the target "acetylcholinesterase", calculated by the AutoDock Vina software. (C–D): Two-dimensional/three-dimensional representation and residues of interaction between the ligands (C) azithromycin (AZT) and (D) hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) with the target "acetylcholinesterase".

molecular mechanism and cellular compartment of proteins that interact with AZT, highlighting the number of genes involved (Fig. 10A) and the increasing order of significance observed (Log10 p value) (Fig. 10B).

The proteins that interacted strongly with HCQ act mainly in biological processes related to glucuronidation (one of the phase II reactions of elimination of xenobiotics through biotransformation) and with flavonoid biosynthetic process, through molecular mechanisms that include, especially, related to ligand binding and glucuronosyltransferase activity (Fig. 11). In addition, cellular compartment prediction confirmed that these proteins are identified especially in the intracellular environment, including autophagosomal and endocytic vesicles, as well as in organelle membranes (Fig. 11). On the other hand, the proteins shared between AZT and HCQ act in processes that involve nucleophagy, macroautophagy, immune response (from the induction of inflammatory response), as well as in oxidation-reduction process, especially through ligand binding mechanisms that involve the activity of different enzymes (Fig. 12). Such proteins are found, especially in the part of the cytoplasm that does not contain organelles, but which does contain other particulate matter, such as protein complexes (cytosol), lipid bilayer surrounding the endoplasmic reticulum and extracellular exosome, i.e., vesicle that is released into the extracellular region by fusion of the limiting endosomal membrane of a multivesicular body with the plasma membrane (Fig. 12).

# 3.7. Neurotoxicity (acetylcholinesterase/molecular docking and neuromasts)

Regarding the evaluation of AChE activity, we observed that the combination "AZT + HCQ" induced a cholinesterasic effect in the adult zebrafish, as indicated by the increased enzyme activity, as compared to the control group (Fig. 13A). In agreement, molecular docking analyzes predicted a strong affinity between drugs and AChE [binding energy required for AZT and AChE binding:  $-8.2 \pm 0.48$  kcal/mol and binding energy required for binding of AZT and AChE: HCQ and AChE:  $-6.9 \pm 0.36$  kcal/mol (mean  $\pm$  SD) (Fig. 13B)]. These interactions

involved conventional bonds, carbon hydrogen bond and Pi-Alkyl, involving the amino acids Leu590, Leu587, Trp583, Asn584, Asp331, Thr260, His387 (for AZT; Fig. 13C) and Thr541 and Arg533 (for HCQ; Fig. 13D). When evaluating the number of neuromast, treatments did not affect their number in the tail of the zebrafish (Fig. 14A). In contrast, a reduction was observed in the head of animals exposed to AZT (alone) or in combination with HCQ (Fig. 14B). This result seems to indicate that AZT alone and associated with HCQ can destroy hair cells in zebrafish. These hair cells are mechanosensorial cells existing within neuromasts and have similarities to the cells present in the mammalian ear. Both in the inner ear of mammals and in the lateral line of the zebrafish, these cells are sensitive to drugs (Harris et al., 2003; Hernández et al., 2006; Murakami et al., 2003; Nakashima et al., 2000; Ton and Parng, 2005; Williams and Holder, 2000).

Our results also indicate an extraordinarily strong interaction between AZT and HCQ with AChE. However, its effects on AChE occurred only when the drugs were combined. In fact, AZT appears to have an inhibitory effect on AChE in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) and tadpoles (*P. cuvieri*) (Luz et al., 2021; Mhadhbi et al., 2020). The same can be observed for HCQ (Luz et al., 2021). Interestingly, Luz et al. (2021) demonstrated that the association of the drugs AZT and HCQ decreases the levels of AChE in tadpoles. Our data show the opposite for zebrafish. The combination of AZT and HCQ induced an increase in AChE and this increase indicates a consequence of environmental exposure to neurotoxic pollutants (Senger et al., 2011; Van Dyk and Pletschke, 2011), as well as the combination of AZT and HCQ in zebrafish.

# 4. Conclusion

To sum up, our study confirms the hypothesis that 72 h of exposure to AZT, HCQ or their combination was sufficient to allow the uptake of drugs by zebrafish and induce the reduction of total protein levels, as well as predictive changes in oxidative stress (inferred by TBARS,



**Fig. 14.** Number of neuromasts identified in (A) tail and (B) head of *Danio rerio* adults, exposed or not to drugs. The bars represent the mean + SEM, and the data were submitted to *one-way* ANOVA, with Tukey's post-test, at 5% probability. In "B", different lowercase letters indicate significant differences between the experimental groups. C: control group: AZT: group exposed to azithromycin (12.5 µg/L); HCQ: group exposed to hydroxychloroquine (12.5 µg/L); AZT + HCQ: represent animals exposed to the binary combination of drugs. n = 8 fish/group.

 $H_2O_2$ , ROS and  $NO_2^-$  levels) and neurotoxicity (sustained by the observation of increased AChE and reduced number of superficial neuromasts). In addition, in silico analyzes suggested that the observed effects are related to different physiological and molecular mechanisms. Thus, future investigations that focus on the effects of the molecular bindings between AZT and HCQ on the kinetics of SOD, catalase, and AChE, as well as on the functions of different cytochrome P450 molecules, caspase-3 and on the glutathione-mediated biotransformation will be useful for confirming predictions provided by the bioinformatic analyzes performed. In addition, assessments related to the biochemical and molecular expression and signals of toll-like receptors and IL-6 will provide new insights into how AZT and HCQ affect the zebrafish immune system. Finally, it is paramount to emphasize that our study is not exhaustive and, therefore, our results are only the "tip of an iceberg" that represents the ecotoxicological effects arising from the tested drugs. Therefore, we strongly recommend that further investigations should be carried out to understand the magnitude of the impact of the indiscriminate use of AZT and HCQ, especially in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, whose environmental concentrations are certain to increase.

# **Ethical approval**

All experimental procedures were carried out in compliance with ethical guidelines on animal experimentation. Meticulous efforts were made to assure that animals suffered the least possible and to reduce external sources of stress, pain and discomfort. The current study did not exceed the number of animals necessary to produce trustworthy scientific data. This article does not refer to any study with human participants performed by any of the authors.

# **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

# Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the Brazilian National Research Council (CNPq) (proc. N. 426531/2018-3 and N. 305639/2019-6) and to Goiano Federal Institute for the financial support. Malafaia G. is granted with productivity scholarship from CNPq (Proc. N. 307743/2018-7).

#### References

- Abrantes, P., Dimopoulos, G., Grosso, A.R., do Rosario, V.E., Silveira, H., 2008. Chloroquine mediated modulation of Anopheles gambiae gene expression. PLoS ONE 3 (7), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0002587.
- Agarwal, M., Ranjan, P., Mittal, A., Baitha, U., 2020. Use of Hydroxychloroquine for Preexposure Prophylaxis in COVID 19: Debate and Suggested Future Course.
- Amaral, D.F., Montalvão, M.F., de Oliveira Mendes, B., da Costa Araújo, A.P., de Lima Rodrigues, A.S., Malafaia, G., 2019. Sub-lethal effects induced by a mixture of different pharmaceutical drugs in predicted environmentally relevant concentrations on Lithobates catesbeianus (Shaw, 1802)(Anura, ranidae) tadpoles. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 26 (1), 600–616.
- Anderson, R., Theron, A.J., Feldman, C., 1996. Membrane-stabilizing, anti-inflammatory interactions of macrolides with human neutrophils. Inflammation 20 (6), 693–705. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01488805.
- Araújo, A.P.C., Mesak, C., Montalvão, M.F., Freitas, Í.N., Chagas, T.Q., Malafaia, G., 2019. Anti-cancer drugs in aquatic environment can cause cancer: insight about mutagenicity in tadpoles. Sci. Total Environ. 650, 2284–2293.
- Barros, S., Coimbra, A.M., Alves, N., Pinheiro, M., Quintana, J.B., Santos, M.M., Neuparth, T., 2020. Chronic exposure to environmentally relevant levels of simvastatin disrupts zebrafish brain gene signaling involved in energy metabolism. J. Toxic. Environ. Health A 83 (3), 113–125.
- Ben-Zvi, I., Kivity, S., Langevitz, P., Shoenfeld, Y., 2012. Hydroxychloroquine: from malaria to autoimmunity. Clin. Rev. Allergy Immunol. 42 (2), 145–153. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s12016-010-8243-x.
- Bergqvist, Y., Hed, C., Funding, L., Suther, A., 1985. Determination of chloroquine and its metabolites in urine; a field method based on ion-pair extraction. Bull. World Health Organ. 63 (5), 893.
- Bills, T.D., Marking, L.L., Howe, G.E., 1993. Sensitivity of Juvenile Striped Bass to Chemicals Used in Aquaculture. Fish and Wildlife Service Washington DC.
- Used in Aquaculture. Fish and Wildlife Service Washington DC. Bonnet, M., Van der Auwera, P., 1992. In vitro and in vivo intraleukocytic accumulation of azithromycin (CP-62, 993) and its influence on ex vivo leukocyte chemiluminescence. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 36 (6), 1302–1309. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AAC.36.6.1302.
- Boya, P., Gonzalez-Polo, R.A., Poncet, D., Andreau, K., Vieira, H.L.A., Roumier, T., Perfettini, J.L., Kroemer, G., 2003. Mitochondrial membrane permeabilization is a critical step of lysosome-initiated apoptosis induced by hydroxychloroquine. Oncogene 22 (25), 3927–3936. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1206622.
- Bryan, N.S., Grisham, M.B., 2007. Methods to detect nitric oxide and its metabolites in biological samples. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 43 (5), 645–657.
- Burkina, V., Zlabek, V., Zamaratskaia, G., 2015. Effects of pharmaceuticals present in aquatic environment on Phase I metabolism in fish. Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 40 (2), 430–444.
- Cairoli, E., Rebella, M., Danese, N., Garra, V., Borba, E.F., 2012. Hydroxychloroquine reduces low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in systemic lupus erythematosus: a longitudinal evaluation of the lipid-lowering effect. Lupus 21 (11), 1178–1182. https:// doi.org/10.1177/0961203312450084.
- Cherry, C.C., Kersh, G.J., 2020. Pediatric Q fever. Curr. Infect. Dis. Rep. 22 (4), 1-7.
- Cook, J.A., Randinitis, E.J., Bramson, C.R., Wesche, D.L., 2006. Lack of a pharmacokinetic interaction between azithromycin and chloroquine. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 74 (3), 407–412. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2006.74.407.
- Davis, S.N., Wu, P., Camci, E.D., Simon, J.A., Rubel, E.W., Raible, D.W., 2020. Chloroquine kills hair cells in zebrafish lateral line and murine cochlear cultures: implications for ototoxicity. Hear. Res. 395, 108019.
- De-Leon, J.A.D., Borges, C.R., 2020. Evaluation of oxidative stress in biological samples using the thiobarbituric acid reactive substances assay. J. Vis. Exp. (159), e61122.
- Dey, S., Bishayi, B., 2015. Killing of Staphylococcus aureus in murine macrophages by chloroquine used alone and in combination with ciprofloxacin or azithromycin. J. Inflamm. Res. 8, 29–47. https://doi.org/10.2147/JIR.S76045.
- Dubois, M., Gilles, K.A., Hamilton, J.K., Rebers, P.T., Smith, F., 1956. Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal. Chem. 28 (3), 350–356.

- Ellman, G.L., Courtney, K.D., Andres Jr., V., Featherstone, R.M., 1961. A new and rapid colorimetric determination of acetylcholinesterase activity. Biochem. Pharmacol. 7 (2), 88–95.
- Elnemma, E.M., 2004. Spectrophotometric determination of hydrogen peroxide by a hydroquinone-aniline system catalyzed by molybdate. Bull. Kor. Chem. Soc. 25 (1), 127–129.
- Engeszer, R.E., Patterson, L.B., Rao, A.A., Parichy, D.M., 2007. Zebrafish in the wild: a review of natural history and new notes from the field. Zebrafish 4, 21–40.
- Fairgrieve, W.T., Masada, C.L., McAuley, W.C., Peterson, M.E., Myers, M.S., Strom, M.S., 2005. Accumulation and clearance of orally administered erythromycin and its derivative, azithromycin, in juvenile fall Chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha. Dis. Aquat. Org. 64 (2), 99–106. https://doi.org/10.3354/dao064099.
- Falfushynska, H., Sokolov, E.P., Haider, F., Oppermann, C., Kragl, U., Ruth, W., ... Sokolova, I.M., 2019. Effects of a common pharmaceutical, atorvastatin, on energy metabolism and detoxification mechanisms of a marine bivalve Mytilus edulis. Aquat. Toxicol. 208, 47–61.
- Fernandes, M.J., Paíga, P., Silva, A., Llaguno, C.P., Carvalho, M., Vázquez, F.M., Delerue-Matos, C., 2020. Antibiotics and antidepressants occurrence in surface waters and sediments collected in the north of Portugal. Chemosphere 239, 124729.
- Fietta, A., Merlini, C., Gialdroni Grassi, G., 1997. Requirements for intracellular accumulation and release of clarithromycin and azithromycin by human phagocytes. J. Chemother. 9 (1), 23–31. https://doi.org/10.1179/joc.1997.9.1.23.
- Gallego, S., Nos, D., Montemurro, N., Sanchez-Hernandez, J.C., Pérez, S., Solé, M., Martin-Laurent, F., 2021. Ecotoxicological impact of the antihypertensive valsartan on earthworms, extracellular enzymes and soil bacterial communities. Environ. Pollut. 275, 116647.
- Ghazy, R.M., Almaghraby, A., Shaaban, R., Kamal, A., Beshir, H., Moursi, A., ... Taha, S.H.N., 2020. A systematic review and meta-analysis on chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine as monotherapy or combined with azithromycin in COVID-19 treatment. Sci. Rep. 10 (1), 1–18.
- Gladue, R.P., Bright, G.M., Isaacson, R.E., Newborg, M.F., 1989. In vitro and in vivo uptake of azithromycin (CP-62,993) by phagocytic cells: possible mechanism of delivery and release at sites of infection. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 33 (3), 277–282. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.33.3.277.
- Godoy, A.A., Domingues, I., Nogueira, A.J.A., Kummrow, F., 2018. Ecotoxicological effects, water quality standards and risk assessment for the anti-diabetic metformin. Environ. Pollut. 243, 534–542.
- Godoy, A.A., de Oliveira, Á.C., Silva, J.G.M., de Jesus Azevedo, C.C., Domingues, I., Nogueira, A.J.A., Kummrow, F., 2019. Single and mixture toxicity of four pharmaceuticals of environmental concern to aquatic organisms, including a behavioral assessment. Chemosphere 235, 373–382.
- Grandclément, C., Piram, A., Petit, M.E., Seyssiecq, I., Laffont-Schwob, I., Vanot, G., ... Doumenq, P., 2020. Biological removal and fate assessment of diclofenac using Bacillus subtilis and brevibacillus laterosporus strains and ecotoxicological effects of diclofenac and 4'-Hydroxy-diclofenac. J. Chem. 2020.
- Guimarães, A.T.B., Charlie-Silva, I., Malafaia, G., 2021. Toxic effects of naturally-aged microplastics on zebrafish juveniles: a more realistic approach to plastic pollution in freshwater ecosystems. J. Hazard. Mater. 407, 124833.
- Hand, W.L., Hand, D.L., 2002. Characteristics and mechanisms of azithromycin accumulation and efflux in human polymorphonuclear leukocytes. Antibiot. Khimioter. 47 (7), 6–12.
- Hanwell, M.D., Curtis, D.E., Lonie, DC., Vandermeersch, T., Zurek, E., Hutchison, G.R., 2012. Avogadro: an advanced semantic chemical editor, visualization, and analysis platform. J. Cheminf. 4 (1), 1–17.
- Harris, J.A., Cheng, A.G., Cunningham, L.L., MacDonald, G., Raible, D.W., Rubel, E.W., 2003. Neomycin-induced hair cell death and rapid regeneration in the lateral line of zebrafish (Danio rerio). J. Assoc. Res. Otolaryngol. 4 (2), 219–234. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10162-002-3022-x.
- Hernández, P.P., Moreno, V., Olivari, F.A., Allende, M.L., 2006. Sub-lethal concentrations of waterborne copper are toxic to lateral line neuromasts in zebrafish (Danio rerio). Hear. Res. 213 (1–2), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.2005.10.015.
- Howe, K., Clark, M.D., Torroja, C.F., Torrance, J., Berthelot, C., Muffato, M., ... Teucke, M., 2013. The zebrafish reference genome sequence and its relationship to the human genome. Nature 496 (7446), 498–503.
- Jakhar, D., Kaur, I., 2020. Potential of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine to treat COVID-19 causes fears of shortages among people with systemic lupus erythematosus. Nat. Med. 26 (5), 632.
- Jameleddine, M., Harzallah, N., Grati, H., Jebali, M.C., Hamouda, C., 2020. PIN3 chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine in COVID-19 with or without azithromycin: a systematic review of in vitro and clinical studies. Value Health 23, S545.
- Ji, K., Kim, S., Han, S., Seo, J., Lee, S., Park, Y., ... Choi, K., 2012. Risk assessment of chlortetracycline, oxytetracycline, sulfamethazine, sulfathiazole, and erythromycin in aquatic environment: are the current environmental concentrations safe? Ecotoxicology 21 (7), 2031–2050.
- Jjemba, P.K., 2002. The effect of chloroquine, quinacrine, and metronidazole on both soybean plants and soil microbiota. Chemosphere 46 (7), 1019–1025.
- Keskar, M.R., Jugade, R.M., 2015. Spectrophotometric determination of macrolides using bromocresol green in pharmaceutical formulations and urine samples. Anal. Chem. Lett. 5 (1), 50–60.
- Khan, B.A., Cheng, L., Khan, A.A., Ahmed, H., 2019. Healthcare waste management in Asian developing countries: a mini review. Waste Manag. Res. 37 (9), 863–875.
- Kim, W.U., Yoo, S.A., Min, S.Y., Park, S.H., Koh, H.S., Song, S.W., Cho, C.S., 2006. Hydroxychloroquine potentiates Fas-mediated apoptosis of rheumatoid synoviocytes. Clin. Exp. Immunol. 144 (3), 503–511. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2249.2006.03070.x.

- Kiryu, Y., Moffitt, C.M., 2002. Models of comparative acute toxicity of injectable erythromycin in four salmonid species. Aquaculture 211 (1–4), 29–41.
- Klein, E.Y., Van Boeckel, T.P., Martinez, E.M., Pant, S., Gandra, S., Levin, S.A., ... Laxminarayan, R., 2018. Global increase and geographic convergence in antibiotic consumption between 2000 and 2015. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 115 (15), E3463–E3470.
- Klempner, M.S., Styrt, B., 1983. Alkalinizing the intralysosomal pH inhibits degranulation of human neutrophils. J. Clin. Investig. 72 (5), 1793–1800. https://doi.org/10.1172/ JCI111139.
- Kuhn, M., von Mering, C., Campillos, M., Jensen, L.J., Bork, P., 2007. STITCH: interaction networks of chemicals and proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 36 (suppl\_1), D684–D688.
- Kumar, S., Mishra, P., Rawat, B., 2008. Phototoxicological studies of riboflavin and chloroquine on Daphnia magna. Toxicol. Int. 15 (2), 137.
- Lagneaux, L., Delforge, A., Carlier, S., Massy, M., Bernier, M., Bron, D., 2001. Early induction of apoptosis in B-chronic lymphocytic leukaemia cells by hydroxychloroquine: activation of caspase-3 and no protection by survival factors. Br. J. Haematol. 112 (2), 344–352. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2141.2001.02553.x.
- Lagneaux, L., Delforge, A., Dejeneffe, M., Massy, M., Bernier, M., Bron, D., 2002. Hydroxychloroquine-induced apoptosis of chronic lymphocytic leukemia involves activation of caspase-3 and modulation of Bcl-2/bax/ratio. Leuk. Lymphoma 43 (5), 1087–1095.
- Lane, J.C., Weaver, J., Kostka, K., Duarte-Salles, T., Abrahao, M.T.F., Alghoul, H., ... Prieto-Alhambra, D., 2020. Risk of hydroxychloroquine alone and in combination with azithromycin in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: a multinational, retrospective study. Lancet Rheumatol. 2 (11), e698–e711.
- Li, Y., Ma, Y., Yang, L., Duan, S., Zhou, F., Chen, J., Liu, Y., Zhang, B., 2020. Effects of azithromycin on feeding behavior and nutrition accumulation of Daphnia magna under the different exposure pathways. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 197 (March), 110573. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110573.
- Lilius, H., Isomaa, B., Holmström, T., 1994. A comparison of the toxicity of 50 reference chemicals to freshly isolated rainbow trout hepatocytes and Daphnia magna. Aquat. Toxicol. 30 (1), 47–60.
- Lilius, H., Hästbacka, T., Isomaa, B., 1995. A comparison of the toxicity of 30 reference chemicals to Daphnia magna and Daphnia pulex. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 14 (12), 2085–2088.
- Lin, Y.C., Lin, J.F., Wen, S.I., Yang, S.C., Tsai, T.F., Chen, H.E., Chou, K.Y., Hwang, T.I.S., 2017. Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine inhibit bladder cancer cell growth by targeting basal autophagy and enhancing apoptosis. Kaohsiung J. Med. Sci. 33 (5), 215–223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kjms.2017.01.004.
- Liu, J., Lu, G., Cai, Y., Wu, D., Yan, Z., Wang, Y., 2017. Modulation of erythromycin-induced biochemical responses in crucian carp by ketoconazole. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 24 (6), 5285–5292.
- Lowry, O.H., Rosebrough, N.J., Farr, A.L., Randall, R.J., 1951. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 193, 265–275.
- Luongo, G., Guida, M., Siciliano, A., Libralato, G., Saviano, L., Amoresano, A., ... Zarrelli, A., 2021. Oxidation of diclofenac in water by sodium hypochlorite: identification of new degradation by-products and their ecotoxicological evaluation. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 194, 113762.
- Lusher, A.L., Mchugh, M., Thompson, R.C., 2013. Occurrence of microplastics in the gastrointestinal tract of pelagic and demersal fish from the English Channel. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 67 (1–2), 94–99.
- Luz, T.M., Araújo, A.P. da C., Estrela, F.N., Braz, H.L.B., Jorge, R.J.B., Charlie-Silva, I., Malafaia, G., 2021. Can use of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin as a treatment of COVID-19 affect aquatic wildlife? A study conducted with neotropical tadpole. Sci. Total Environ. 780, 146553. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146553.
- Maasz, G., Mayer, M., Zrinyi, Z., Molnar, E., Kuzma, M., Fodor, I., ... Takács, P., 2019. Spatiotemporal variations of pharmacologically active compounds in surface waters of a summer holiday destination. Sci. Total Environ. 677, 545–555.
- Magyary, I., 2018. Recent advances and future trends in zebrafish bioassays for aquatic ecotoxicology. Ecocycles 4 (2), 12–18.
- Maharajan, K., Muthulakshmi, S., Nataraj, B., Ramesh, M., Kadirvelu, K., 2018. Toxicity assessment of pyriproxyfen in vertebrate model zebrafish embryos (Danio rerio): a multi biomarker study. Aquat. Toxicol. 196, 132–145.
- Malik, M., Tahir, M.J., Jabbar, R., Ahmed, A., Hussain, R., 2020. Self-medication during Covid-19 pandemic: challenges and opportunities. Drugs Ther. Perspect. 36 (12), 565–567.
- Malkinson, F.D., Levitt, L., 1980. Hydroxychloroquine treatment of porphyria cutanea tarda. Arch. Dermatol. 116 (10), 1147–1150.
- Mallhi, T.H., Khan, Y.H., Alotaibi, N.H., Alzarea, A.I., Alanazi, A.S., Qasim, S., ... Tanveer, N., 2020. Drug repurposing for COVID-19: a potential threat of self-medication and controlling measures. Postgrad. Med. J., 1–2 https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2020-138447.
- Masui, Y., Yanai, H., Hiraga, K., Tsuda, N., Kano, T., 2019. Effects of anti-malarial drug, hydroxychloroquine, on glucose and lipid metabolism in Japanese population. J. Endocrinol. Metab. 9 (5), 159–164. https://doi.org/10.14740/jem611.
- Mennigen, J.A., Sassine, J., Trudeau, V.L., Moon, T.W., 2010. Waterborne fluoxetine disrupts feeding and energy metabolism in the goldfish Carassius auratus. Aquat. Toxicol. 100 (1), 128–137.
- Mesak, C., Montalvão, M.F., Paixão, C.F.C., de Oliveira Mendes, B., da Costa Araújo, A.P., Quintão, T.C., Malafaia, G., 2019. Do Amazon turtles exposed to environmental concentrations of the antineoplastic drug cyclophosphamide present mutagenic damages? If so, would such damages be reversible? Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 26 (6), 6234–6243.
- Meyer, A.P., Bril-Bazuin, C., Mattie, H., Van den Broek, P.J., 1993. Uptake of azithromycin by human monocytes and enhanced intracellular antibacterial activity against Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 37 (11), 2318–2322. https://doi. org/10.1128/AAC.37.11.2318.

- Mhadhbi, L., El Ayari, T., Tir, M., Kadri, D., 2020. Azithromycin effects on the European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) early life stages following acute and chronic exposure: laboratory bioassays. Drug Chem. Toxicol. 0 (0), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 01480545.2020.1822388.
- Millan, G.J., Quijano, H.H., 1957. Skin diseases caused by photosensitivity; its treatment with hydroxychloroquine sulfate. Prensa Med. Mex. 22 (8–9), 265.
- Morris, G.M., Huey, R., Lindstrom, W., Sanner, M.F., Belew, R.K., Goodsell, D.S., Olson, A.J., 2009. AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4: automated docking with selective receptor flexibility. J. Comb. Chem. 30 (16), 2785–2791.
- Morris, S.J., Wasko, M.C.M., Antohe, J.L., Sartorius, J.A., Kirchner, H.L., Dancea, S., Bili, A., 2011. Hydroxychloroquine use associated with improvement in lipid profiles in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Arthritis Care Res. 63 (4), 530–534. https://doi.org/10.1002/ acr.20393.
- Moudgil, K.D., Choubey, D., 2011. Cytokines in autoimmunity: role in induction, regulation, and treatment. J. Interf. Cytokine Res. 31 (10), 695–703. https://doi.org/ 10.1089/jir.2011.0065.
- Murakami, S.L., Cunningham, L.L., Werner, L.A., Bauer, E., Pujol, R., Raible, D.W., Rubel, E.W., 2003. Developmental differences in susceptibility to neomycin-induced hair cell death in the lateral line neuromasts of zebrafish (Danio rerio). Hear. Res. 186 (1–2), 47–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-5955(03)00259-4.
- Nakashima, T., Teranishi, M., Hibi, T., Kobayashi, M., Umemura, M., 2000. Vestibular and cochlear toxicity of aminoglycosides - a review. Acta Otolaryngol. 120 (8), 904–911. https://doi.org/10.1080/00016480050218627.
- Nasir, M., Salauddin Chowdhury, A.S.M., Zahan, T., 2020. Self-medication during COVID-19 outbreak: a cross sectional online survey in Dhaka city. Int. J. Basic Clin. Pharmacol. 9 (9), 1325–1330.
- Nunes, B., 2020. Ecotoxicological effects of the drug paracetamol: a critical review of past ecotoxicity assessments and future perspectives. Non-Steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs in Water: Emerging Contaminants and Ecological Impact, pp. 131–145.
- Olaitan, O.J., Anyakora, C., Bamiro, T., Tella, A.T., 2014. Determination of pharmaceutical compounds in surface and underground water by solid phase extraction-liquid chromatography. J. Environ. Chem. Ecotoxicol. 6 (3), 20–26.
- Oliveira, A.C., Fascineli, M.L., Andrade, T.S., Sousa-Moura, D., Domingues, I., Camargo, N.S., ... Villacis, R.A., 2021. Exposure to tricyclic antidepressant nortriptyline affects earlylife stages of zebrafish (Danio rerio). Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 210, 111868.
- Ou, H.C., Keating, S., Wu, P., Simon, J.A., Raible, D.W., Rubel, E.W., 2012. Quinoline ring derivatives protect against aminoglycoside-induced hair cell death in the zebrafish lateral line. J. Assoc. Res. Otolaryngol. 13 (6), 759–770.
- Parnham, M.J., Haber, V.E., Giamarellos-Bourboulis, E.J., Perletti, G., Verleden, G.M., Vos, R., 2014. Azithromycin: mechanisms of action and their relevance for clinical applications. Pharmacol. Ther. 143 (2), 225–245.
- Patel, P.H., Hashmi, M.F., 2020. Macrolides [Updated 2019 Nov 28]. StatPearls, StatPearls Publishing, Treasure Island, FL.
- Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., et al., 2021. UCSF ChimeraX: structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. Protein Sci. 30, 70–82. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/pro.3943.
- Priyan, V.V., Shahnaz, T., Suganya, E., Sivaprakasam, S., Narayanasamy, S., 2021. Ecotoxicological assessment of micropollutant Diclofenac biosorption on magnetic sawdust: phyto, microbial and fish toxicity studies. J. Hazard. Mater. 403, 123532.
- Quispe-Cañari, J.F., Fidel-Rosales, E., Manrique, D., Mascaró-Zan, J., Huamán-Castillón, K.M., Chamorro-Espinoza, S.E., ... Mejia, C., 2020. Prevalence of Self-medication During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Peru (Available at SSRN 3688689).
- Rakita, R.M., Jacques-Palaz, K., Murray, B.E., 1994. Intracellular activity of azithromycin against bacterial enteric pathogens. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 38 (9), 1915–1921. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.38.9.1915.
- Ramesh, M., Anitha, S., Poopal, R.K., Shobana, C., 2018. Evaluation of acute and sublethal effects of chloroquine (C18H26CIN3) on certain enzymological and histopathological biomarker responses of a freshwater fish Cyprinus carpio. Toxicol. Rep. 5, 18–27.
- Ramírez-Morales, D., Masís-Mora, M., Montiel-Mora, J.R., Cambronero-Heinrichs, J.C., Briceño-Guevara, S., Rojas-Sánchez, C.E., ... Rodríguez-Rodríguez, C.E., 2021. Occurrence of pharmaceuticals, hazard assessment and ecotoxicological evaluation of wastewater treatment plants in Costa Rica. Sci. Total Environ. 746, 141200.
- Rendal, C., Kusk, K.O., Trapp, S., 2011. The effect of pH on the uptake and toxicity of the bivalent weak base chloroquine tested on Salix viminalis and Daphnia magna. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 30 (2), 354–359.
- Rodrigues, S., Antunes, S.C., Correia, A.T., Nunes, B., 2016. Acute and chronic effects of erythromycin exposure on oxidative stress and genotoxicity parameters of Oncorhynchus mykiss. Sci. Total Environ. 545, 591–600.
- Sachett, A., Bevilaqua, F., Chitolina, R., Garbinato, C., Gasparetto, H., Dal Magro, J., ... Siebel, A.M., 2018. Ractopamine hydrochloride induces behavioral alterations and oxidative status imbalance in zebrafish. J. Toxic. Environ. Health A 81 (7), 194–201.
- Salgado, M.A., Salvador, M.R., Baldoni, A.O., Thomé, R.G., Santos, H.B., 2021. Evaluation of the potential environmental risk from the destination of medicines: an epidemiological and toxicological study. DARU J. Pharm. Sci. 1–11.
- Sarkodie, S.A., Owusu, P.A., 2020. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on waste management. Environ. Dev. Sustain. 1–10.

- Senger, M.R., Seibt, K.J., Ghisleni, G.C., Dias, R.D., Bogo, M.R., Bonan, C.D., 2011. Aluminum exposure alters behavioral parameters and increases acetylcholinesterase activity in zebrafish (Danio rerio) brain. Cell Biol. Toxicol. 27 (3), 199–205. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10565-011-9181-y.
- Shiogiri, N.S., Ikefuti, C.V., Carraschi, S.P., da Cruz, C., Fernandes, M.N., 2017. Effects of azithromycin on tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus): health status evaluation using biochemical, physiological and morphological biomarkers. Aquac. Res. 48 (7), 3669–3683. https://doi.org/10.1111/are.13191.
- Shippey, E.A., Wagler, V.D., Collamer, A.N., 2018. Hydroxychloroquine: an old drug with new relevance. Cleve. Clin. J. Med. 85 (6), 459–467.
- Sies, H., 2020. Oxidative stress: concept and some practical aspects. Antioxidants 9 (9), 852.
- Soneja, A., Drews, M., Malinski, T., 2005. Role of nitric oxide, nitroxidative and oxidative stress in wound healing. Pharmacol. Rep. 57, 108.
- Sotto, R.B., Medriano, C.D., Cho, Y., Kim, H., Chung, I.Y., Seok, K.S., ... Kim, S., 2017. Sublethal pharmaceutical hazard tracking in adult zebrafish using untargeted LC–MS environmental metabolomics. J. Hazard. Mater. 339, 63–72.
- Stamler, D.A., Edelstein, M.A.C., Edelstein, P.H., 1994. Azithromycin pharmacokinetics and intracellular concentrations in Legionella pneumophila-infected and uninfected guinea pigs and their alveolar macrophages. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 38 (2), 217–222. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.38.2.217.
- Sullivan, D.R., Kruijswijk, Z., West, C.E., Kohlmeier, M., Katan, M.B., 1985. Determination of serum triglycerides by an accurate enzymatic method not affected by free glycerol. Clin. Chem. 31 (7), 1227–1228.
- Szklarczyk, D., Santos, A., Von Mering, C., Jensen, L.J., Bork, P., Kuhn, M., 2016. STITCH 5: augmenting protein–chemical interaction networks with tissue and affinity data. Nucleic Acids Res. 44 (D1), D380–D384.
- Tal, T., Yaghoobi, B., Lein, P.J., 2020. Translational toxicology in zebrafish. Curr. Opin. Toxicol. 23–24, 55–66.
- Ton, C., Parng, C., 2005. The use of zebrafish for assessing ototoxic and otoprotective agents. Hear. Res. 208 (1–2), 79–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.2005.05.005.
- Trott, O., Olson, A.J., 2010. AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. J. Comput. Chem. 31 (2), 455–461. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21334.
- Tung, C.W., 2015. ChemDIS: a chemical-disease inference system based on chemicalprotein interactions. J. Cheminformatics 7 (1), 1–7.
- Tung, C.W., Wang, S.S., 2018. ChemDIS 2: an update of chemical-disease inference system. Database 2018.
- Tung, C.W., Wang, C.C., Wang, S.S., Lin, P., 2018. ChemDIS-mixture: an online tool for analyzing potential interaction effects of chemical mixtures. Sci. Rep. 8 (1), 1–6.
- Urban, R.C., Nakada, L.Y.K., 2021. COVID-19 pandemic: solid waste and environmental impacts in Brazil. Sci. Total Environ. 755, 142471.
- Van Dyk, J.S., Pletschke, B., 2011. Review on the use of enzymes for the detection of organochlorine, organophosphate and carbamate pesticides in the environment. Chemosphere 82 (3), 291–307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.10.033.
- Vilella, A.J., Severin, J., Ureta-Vidal, A., Heng, L., Durbin, R., Birney, E., 2009. EnsemblCompara GeneTrees: complete, duplication-aware phylogenetic trees in vertebrates. Genome Res. 19 (2), 327–335.
- Wada, H., Hamaguchi, S., Sakaizumi, M., 2008. Development of diverse lateral line patterns on the teleost caudal fin. Dev. Dyn. 237 (10), 2889–2902.
- Westphal, J.F., 2000. Macrolide induced clinically relevant drug interactions with cytochrome P-450A (CYP) 3A4: an update focused on clarithromycin, azithromycin and dirithromycin. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 50 (4), 285–295. https://doi.org/10.1046/ j.1365-2125.2000.00261.x.
- Williams, J.A., Holder, N., 2000. Cell turnover in neuromasts of zebrafish larvae. Hear. Res. 143 (1-2), 171-181. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-5955(00)00039-3.
- Yan, Z., Huang, X., Xie, Y., Song, M., Zhu, K., Ding, S., 2019. Macrolides induce severe cardiotoxicity and developmental toxicity in zebrafish embryos. Sci. Total Environ. 649, 1414–1421.
- Yang, C., Song, G., Lim, W., 2020. A review of the toxicity in fish exposed to antibiotics. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C Toxicol. Pharmacol. 237, 108840.
- Yazdany, J., Kim, A.H., 2020. Use of Hydroxychloroquine and Chloroquine During the COVID-19 Pandemic: What Every Clinician Should Know.
- Zhang, S., Ding, J., Razanajatovo, R.M., Jiang, H., Zou, H., Zhu, W., 2019. Interactive effects of polystyrene microplastics and roxithromycin on bioaccumulation and biochemical status in the freshwater fish red tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). Sci. Total Environ. 648, 1431–1439.
- Zhang, M. qing, Chen, B., Zhang, J. pu, Chen, N., Liu, C. zhao, Hu, C. qin, 2020. Liver toxicity of macrolide antibiotics in zebrafish. Toxicology 441, 152501. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.tox.2020.152501.
- Zurita, J.L., Jos, Á., del Peso, A., Salguero, M., López-Artíguez, M., Repetto, G., 2005. Ecotoxicological evaluation of the antimalarial drug chloroquine. Aquat. Toxicol. 75 (2), 97–107.