UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Determination of dexamethasone dose for cortisol suppression in adult common marmosets (Callithrix jacchus)

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/05f4845k

Journal Journal of Medical Primatology, 51(6)

ISSN 0047-2565

Authors

Phillips, Kimberley A Lopez, Matthew Salmon, Adam B <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2022-12-01

DOI

10.1111/jmp.12602

Peer reviewed

Abstract

lower 0.5 mg/kg dose.

KEYWORDS

Revised: 2 June 2022

SHORT REPORT

Determination of dexamethasone dose for cortisol suppression in adult common marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*)

Kimberley A. Phillips^{1,2} | Matthew Lopez^{1,2} | Adam B. Salmon^{3,4} | Corinna N. Ross² | David H. Abbott⁵ | John P. Capitanio⁶

We conducted a dose-response study of dexamethasone to investigate an optimal

dexamethasone suppression test for common marmosets. Twelve marmosets re-

ceived 0.1, 0.5, or 1.0 mg/kg dexamethasone. Doses of 0.5 and 1.0 mg/kg both sup-

pressed endogenous cortisol for at least 18 h with greater individual variability in the

common marmoset, dexamethasone suppression test, glucocorticoid

¹Department of Psychology, Trinity University, San Antonio, Texas, USA ²Southwest National Primate Research Center, Texas Biomedical Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas, USA

³Department of Molecular Medicine, The Sam and Ann Barshop Institute for Longevity and Aging Studies, University of Texas Health San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, USA

⁴Geriatric Research, Education and Clinical Center, South Texas Veterans Health Care Systems, San Antonio, Texas, USA

⁵Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Wisconsin National Primate Research Center, University of Wisconsin Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

⁶California National Primate Research Center and Psychology Department, University of California, Davis, California, USA

Correspondence

Kimberley A. Phillips, Department of Psychology, Trinity University, San Antonio Texas, 78212-7200, USA. Email: kimberley.phillips@trinity.edu

Funding information

University of Wisconsin-Madison, Grant/Award Number: P510D011133; National Institutes of Health, Grant/ Award Number: P510D011106; National Institute on Aging of the National Institutes of Health, Grant/Award Number: R01 AG064091

1 | INTRODUCTION

Dexamethasone (DEX), a synthetic glucocorticoid that preferentially binds NR3C1, suppresses endogenous adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) and cortisol production. Dexamethasone suppression tests (DST) are used to evaluate the functioning of the hypothalamicpituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis by assessing the integrity of the glucocorticoid feedback receptor sensitivity¹ and are utilized in basic research involving non-human primate models of stress and neuroendocrine dysfunction.²⁻⁹

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2022 The Authors. Journal of Medical Primatology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd. Common marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*) are increasingly used in biomedical research as valuable models of human disease, aging, and social behavior.^{10,11} Studies utilizing DST in marmosets have largely investigated social suppression of cortisol in subordinate female marmosets, psychogenic stress, or consequences of prenatal DEX exposure¹²⁻¹⁶, with male marmosets rarely being tested.^{17,18} The DEX dose given to marmosets in previous studies has ranged broadly from 0.1 to 5.0 mg/kg. Johnson et al.¹⁴ conducted a dose-response (0.1-4.0 mg/kg) study of DEX in male marmosets and female marmosets but only reported outcomes using 1.0 mg/kg. Interestingly, male marmosets and female marmosets were found to have differential responses to the DST test in certain social conditions.

Given the inconsistencies in the literature, we conducted a doseresponse study of DEX to evaluate an optimal dose for use in marmoset DST and to examine, in an exploratory fashion, sex-specific responses of marmosets to DST.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Animals and sampling

Twelve adult marmosets (six male, six female; mean age = 2.4 ± 0.8 years; Table 1) were selected from the Barshop Institute for Longevity and Aging Studies at UT Health San Antonio (UTHSA) colony. Animals were in stable, male-female nulliparous pairs, and deemed healthy by the veterinarian according to clinical and physical evaluation prior to the study. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of UTHSA reviewed and approved the study, and the U.S. National Research Council's guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals were followed.

On Day 1, female marmosets were injected intramuscularly (IM) with $0.75 \,\mu g$ estrumate (cloprostenol sodium; $0.15 \,m$ l of 1:50 dilution in sterile saline) as a means of standardizing ovarian cycle phase, with

female marmosets expected to be in the luteal phase at the onset of DST. Apart from peri-ovulation, circulating cortisol levels in female marmosets are comparable between follicular and luteal phases of the ovarian cycle.¹⁹ A baseline 1 ml blood sample was obtained from the femoral vein at 7 AM on Day 15. We used block randomization (block = sex) to assign marmosets to receive dexamethasone sodium sulfate (Henry Schein) dose of 0.1, 0.5, or 1.0 mg/kg; IM injections were administered at 1 pm on Day 15. A second blood sample was obtained on Day 16 at 7 AM. Animals were fasted overnight prior to each sample, and samples were placed into SST tubes. Plasma was separated from whole blood by centrifuging at 1000g for 10 min and stored at -80° C. Progesterone (for female marmosets) and cortisol measurements occurred at the University of Wisconsin Assay Services Lab, as previously described.⁶

2.2 | Data analysis

The experimental design suggested a three-way analysis of variance, with sex and dose as between-subjects variables and pre-post as a within-subjects variable. Exploratory analyses revealed no statistically reliable effect of sex; therefore, we performed a two-way analysis of variance, with dose as between-subjects variable and pre-post as within-subjects variable. Cortisol concentrations were log-transformed due to heteroscedasticity of the data. Alpha was set at 0.05.

3 | RESULTS

Two-way ANOVA indicated circulating cortisol concentrations post-DEX treatment were significantly lower compared with pre-treatment values (pre-post: *F* (1, 9)=28.24, p <.001). A significant effect of dose was found (*F* (2, 9)=6.76, p = .016), with the 1.0 mg/kg dose resulting in significantly lower cortisol compared with the

TABLE 1 Cortisol concentration pre- and post-dexamethasone dosing for female and male common marmosets

				Pre-Dose	Post-Dose	Durante
Dexamethasone dose	Sex	Age (years)	Body weight (g)	Cortisol (µg/dl)	Cortisol (µg/dl)	Progesterone (ng/ml)
0.1 mg/kg	F	2.8	340	78.5	87.5	23.75
	F	2.1	475	123.0	76.0	0.732
	М	1.6	456	36.7	42.2	N/A
	М	3.4	402	46.3	55.0	N/A
0.5 mg/kg	F	2.1	540	95.2	66.5	4.992
	F	3.4	372	105.6	49.8	0.928
	М	2.1	403	50.0	33.5	N/A
	М	2.6	374	150.0	79.0	N/A
1.0mg/kg	F	3.4	427	61.5	8.4	29.00
	F	1.4	570	39.5	15.6	0.17
	М	1.3	464	94.2	3.0	N/A
	М	2.8	403	66.2	2.3	N/A

Note: Progesterone concentration is reported for female marmosets. Statistical analyses were performed on log₁₀-transformed data.

0.5 mg/kg dose. There was a significant dose x pre-post-treatment interaction (F (2, 9)=9.17, p = .007). With the 0.1 mg/kg dose, there was no significant difference between pre-treatment and post-treatment cortisol levels (p = .819). At the next-higher (0.5 mg/kg) dose, post-treatment cortisol levels were lower than the pre-treatment levels (p = .024). With the highest dose, 1.0 mg/kg, post-treatment cortisol levels were lower than the pre-DEX treatment levels (p < .001). Table 1 presents the data for each animal in each treatment condition; raw data indicate that the highest dose (1.0 mg/kg) resulted in less variability in post-treatment cortisol concentrations (range: 2.3 to 15.6 ug/dl) than was the case for the 0.5 mg/kg dose (33.5–79.0 ug/dl).

4 | DISCUSSION

Endogenous cortisol levels were significantly reduced following treatment with 0.5 mg/kg and 1.0 mg/kg doses of DEX. Cortisol values with lowest dose (0.1 mg/kg) treatment indicated that only one animal was potentially suppressed, while the other three had equivocal or higher post-dose cortisol values. The intermediate (0.5 mg/kg dose) and high (1.0 mg/kg) DEX concentrations lowered cortisol concentrations post-treatment compared with pre-treatment in all animals. All animals displayed reduction in cortisol by at least 30% with the intermediate dose.

In our exploratory analysis of the sex effect, female marmosets had non-significantly higher cortisol levels than male marmosets both preand post-dose but only in the low-dose (0.1 mg/kg) group. This was expected as other studies have suggested greater adrenal responsiveness in female marmosets (e.g., marmosets¹⁸, rhesus monkeys²⁰, and humans.²¹) The most parsimonious explanation for such a sex difference may involve differential gonadal hormone levels, but relationships between sex hormones and cortisol regulation are complex in marmosets.¹⁷ This effect was limited to only one of three groups and these sample sizes for each group were small; thus, we suggest caution in interpreting this result. There was no sex effect within the dose-group (1.0 mg/kg) that significantly suppressed displayed suppression of endogenous cortisol suggesting that this dose could be used in both male marmosets and female marmosets for future DST experimental designs. As only adult marmosets were tested, these doses of DEX should be validated before DST testing in developing and aged marmosets.

In conclusion, we found 0.5 mg/kg and 1.0 mg/kg doses of DEX were effective in reducing endogenous cortisol levels in common marmosets. As individuals' responses to the 0.5 mg/kg dose were substantially more variable than to the higher dose, we suggest this dose be used if the research question concerns individual differences. If one is interested in testing the effect of psychosocial manipulations, then the 1.0 mg/kg may be the better choice.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Joselyn Artavia and Aubrey Sills, the San Antonio Nathan Shock Center (P30 AG013319) and San Antonio Claude D. Pepper Older Americans Independence Center (P30 AG044271) for assistance in conducting this project. Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute on Aging of the National Institutes of Health under award number R01 AG064091 to KAP, the Office of The Director, National Institutes of Health, under award number P510D011106 to the Wisconsin National Primate Research Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison and award number P510D011133 to the Southwest National Primate Research Center, Texas Biomedical Research Institute. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Kimberley A. Phillips D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5517-3596

REFERENCES

- Liddle GW. Tests of pituitary-adrenal suppressibility in the diagnosis of Cushing's syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metabol. 1960;20:1539-1560.
- Meyer JS, Hamel AF. Models of stress in nonhuman primates and their relevance for human psychopathology and endocrine dysfunction. *ILAR J.* 2014;55(2):347-360. doi:10.1093/ilar/ilu023
- Golub MS, Hogrefe CE, Widaman KF, Capitanio JP. Iron deficiency anemia and affective response in rhesus monkey infants. *Dev Psychobiol*. 2009;51(1):47-59. doi:10.1002/dev.20345
- Brooke SM, de Haas-Johnson AM, Kaplari JR, Manuck SB, Sapolsky RM. Dexamethasone resistance among nonhuman primates associated with a selective decrease of glucocorticoid receptors in the hippocampus and a history of social instability. *Neuroendocrinology*. 1994;60(2):134-140. doi:10.1159/000126743
- Kohn JN, Snyder-Mackler N, Barreiro LB, Johnson ZP, Tung J, Wilson ME. Dominance rank causally affects personality and glucocorticoid regulation in female rhesus macaques. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2016;74:179-188. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.09.005
- Simons ND, Michopoulos V, Wilson M, Barreiro LB, Tung J. Agonism and grooming behaviour explain social status effects on physiology and gene regulation in rhesus macaques. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2022;377(1845):20210132. doi:10.1098/ rstb.2021.0132
- Blomquist GE, Hinde K, Capitanio JP. Inheritance of hormonal stress response and temperament in infant rhesus macaques (Macaca Mulatta): Nonadditive and sex-specific effects. *Behav Neurosci.* 2022;136(1):61-71. doi:10.1037/bne0000493
- Short SJ, Lubach GR, Shirtcliff EA, Styner MA, Gilmore JH, Coe CL. Population variation in neuroendocrine activity is associated with behavioral inhibition and hemispheric brain structure in young rhesus monkeys. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2014;47:56-67. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2014.05.002
- Edwards HE, Burnham WM. The impact of corticosteroids on the developing animal. *Pediatr Res.* 2001;50(4):433-440. doi:10.1203/00006450-200110000-00003
- Miller CT, Freiwald WA, Leopold DA, Mitchell JF, Silva AC, Wang X. Marmosets: a neuroscientific model of human social behavior. *Neuron*. 2016;90(2):219-233. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.03.018

- ^{410 |} ₩ILE
- Tardif SD, Mansfield KG, Ratnam R, Ross CN, Ziegler TE. The marmoset as a model of aging and age-related diseases. *ILAR J*. 2011;52(1):54-65.
- Saltzman W, Prudom SL, Schultz-Darken NJ, Wittwer DJ, Abbott DH. Social suppression of cortisol in female marmoset monkeys: role of circulating ACTH levels and glucocorticoid negative feedback. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2004;29:141-161.
- Saltzman W, Prudom SL, Schultz-Darken NJ, Abbott DH. Reduced adrenocortical responsiveness to adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) in socially subordinate female marmoset monkeys. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2000;25:463-477.
- Johnson EO, Kamilaris TC, Carter CS, Calogero AE, Gold PW, Chrousos GP. The biobehavioral consequences of psychogenic stress in a small, social primate (*Callithrix jacchus jacchus*). Biol Psychiatry. 1996;40:317-337.
- Hauser J, Dettling-Artho A, Pilloud S, et al. Effects of prenatal dexamethasone treatment on postnatal physical, endocrine, and social development in the common marmoset monkey. *Endocrinology*. 2007;148:1813-1822. doi:10.1210/en.2006-1306
- Hauser J, Knapman A, Nicole RZ, et al. Effects of prenatal dexamethasone treatment on physical growth, pituitary-adrenal hormones, and performance of motor, motivational, and cognitive tasks in juvenile and adolescent common marmoset monkeys. *Endocrinology*. 2008;149:6343-6355. doi:10.1210/en.2008-0615
- Pattison JC, Abbott DH, Saltzman W, Conley AJ, Bird IM. Plasticity of the zona reticularis in the adult marmoset adrenal cortex: voyages of discovery in the New World. J Endocrinol. 2009;203:313-326.

- Pattison JC, Saltzman W, Abbott DH, et al. Gender and gonadal status differences in zona reticularis expression in marmoset monkey adrenals: Cytochrome b5 locatization with respect to cytochrome P450 17,20-lyase activity. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 2007;265–266:93-101.
- Saltzman W, Schultz-Darken NJ, Wegner FH, Wittwer DJ, Abbott DH. Suppression of cortisol levels in subordinate female marmosets: reproductive and social contributions. *Horm Behav.* 1998;33:58-74.
- Capitanio JP, Mendoza SP, Mason WA, Maninger N. Rearing environment and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal regulation in young rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*). Dev Psychobiol. 2005;46(4):318-330. doi:10.1002/dev.20067
- Kudielka BM, Buske-Kirschbaum A, Hellhammer DH, Kirschbaum C. HPA axis responses to laboratory psychosocial stress in healthy elderly adults, younger adults, and children: impact of age and gender. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2004;20(1):83-98.

How to cite this article: Phillips KA, Lopez M, Salmon AB, Ross CN, Abbott DH, Capitanio JP. Determination of dexamethasone dose for cortisol suppression in adult common marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*). *J Med Primatol*. 2022;51:407-410. doi: 10.1111/jmp.12602