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Introduction: Systemic weakness is a common chief complaint of patients presenting to the emergency department (ED). A well thought out approach to the assessment and workup of these patients is key to diagnostic accuracy and definitive therapy.

Case Presentation: In this case, a 19-year-old female presented to the ED with generalized weakness and near syncope. She had global weakness in her extremities and multiple electrolyte abnormalities.

Discussion: This case takes the reader through the differential diagnosis and evaluation of a patient with weakness and profound electrolyte derangements. It includes a discussion of the diagnostic studies and calculations that ultimately led to the patient’s diagnosis. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(3):276–282.]

Keywords: Clinicopathological cases; neurology; renal tubular acidosis.

CASE PRESENTATION (DR. CAVALIERE)
A 19-year-old female was brought to the emergency department (ED) by emergency medical services (EMS) with complaints of generalized weakness, an inability to move her extremities, and near syncope. The patient stated that she began feeling generalized weakness that morning, which she initially attributed to her “sleeping position.” Over the day the weakness worsened, culminating in difficulty or inability to move her extremities and a near syncopal episode. The patient stated she had attempted to stand up from a seated position when she “felt like [she] was going to pass out.” The patient called 911 for assistance. On further discussion, the patient revealed she had experienced one similar episode of weakness earlier in the year, but this had resolved spontaneously and was not as severe. She does not have a primary care physician and she had never sought care for this complaint. The patient said she noticed generalized abdominal pain, nausea, and constipation, associated with each of these episodes of weakness and lightheadedness. She denied any recent illnesses. She stated she treats her bipolar disorder with daily cannabis and consumes alcohol daily as well.

The patient had a history of anxiety, depression, migraines, and normocytic anemia. Surgical history included an adenoidectomy and tonsillectomy as a child. She had no pertinent family history. Her social history included daily alcohol use, drinking a total of 1.75 liters of vodka over a two-week period. She started smoking when she was 16 years old, smoking a pack per day, but quit a year prior to presentation. The patient smoked cannabis daily. Her only medication was ferrous sulfate 325 milligrams (mg) daily. She had no known drug or environmental allergies.

On physical exam, the patient was alert and in no acute distress but appeared tired. She was able to stand unassisted. At the time of triage, she was afebrile (36.6 °Celsius), her heart rate was 40 beats per minute, she was breathing 20 times per minute, her blood pressure was 115/90 millimeters of mercury, and she had an oxygen saturation of 98% on room air. She weighed 77.3 kilograms and was 1.65 meters tall (body mass index = 28.3). She was well developed, well nourished and speaking in complete sentences without accessory muscle use. She was oriented as to person, place
and time. She was without sensory deficits and had normal muscle tone. Her strength was 4/5 with elbow flexion and extension, hand grip, knee flexion and extension, and ankle dors- and plantar-flexion bilaterally. Deep tendon reflexes were 2+ for the bilateral brachioradialis and patellar reflexes. No clonus could be provoked.

She did not have any cranial nerve (II-XII) defects, and she had a normal gait and station. She had normal range of motion of all four extremities, and she did not have any edema. Her lower extremity compartments were soft in both the thighs and the lower legs bilaterally. She exhibited tenderness around her bilateral shoulders and shins. Her head was normocephalic and without signs of injury. Her oropharynx was clear and moist, and her pupils were equal, round, and reactive to light. Her conjunctiva and extraocular motions were normal. Her neck was supple and had a full range of motion, without jugular venous distention or adenopathy.

On cardiovascular exam the patient was bradycardic with a regular rhythm, and she had a normal S1/S2 without gallops, friction rubs, or murmurs. On auscultation her breath sounds were clear without wheezes, rales or rhonchi. Her abdomen was non-distended, soft and non-tender throughout with normal bowel sounds. Her skin was warm and dry, and her capillary refill was less than two seconds. She did not have any rashes. Her mood, affect, and behavior were normal. The patient’s electrocardiogram (ECG) is shown (Image). The results of the patient’s initial laboratory evaluation are shown in Table 1. A test was ordered, and a diagnosis was made.

**CASE DISCUSSION (DR. MURALI)**

This case involved a young woman with episodic weakness. She reported near syncope, transient extremity paralysis, and generalized weakness. She reported associated nausea, abdominal pain, and constipation. She also reported regular substance use in the form of marijuana and alcohol. Her review of systems was otherwise unremarkable, and notably it was negative for recent illness or gastrointestinal (GI) distress outside of this episode.

With this in mind, I began to formulate a differential diagnosis. Episodic weakness, particularly extremity paralysis, suggests metabolic and electrolyte derangements such as hypokalemic periodic paralysis. Weakness may also suggest a primary neurologic condition, including Guillain-Barré syndrome, multiple sclerosis, and other demyelinating disorders. The patient’s near syncope may be due to orthostatic hypotension or neurocardiogenic causes. Her GI symptoms could be due to a broad array of abdominal conditions, but her substance use suggests these symptoms may be related to an ingestion. The patient’s bradycardia could be due to disseminated Lyme disease, myocarditis, or other etiologies of heart block. More information is required.

I used the information provided by her physical exam to further refine my differential diagnosis. Her physical exam was notable for a tired-appearing female with bradycardia. Pertinent negative findings included that the compartments of the legs were noted to be soft, clinically excluding a compartment syndrome. Additionally, the patient had no focal neurologic deficits based on the documented neurologic exam. Several findings, including cerebellar signs were not documented, but the patient was noted to have normal gait and station. The mention of normal compartments and the normal neurologic exam suggests that a neurologic cause is unlikely. Further, the case did not provide any imaging studies – notably, there was no neuroimaging included. The patient’s ECG showed a sinus bradycardia with sinus arrhythmia, short QTc with T-wave inversions in aVR and V1, and U waves, but it did not show a heart block.

I then reviewed the patient’s laboratory findings. She was noted to have a mild anemia, elevated creatine kinase with myoglobinuria, hematuria, proteinuria, and urinary findings consistent with a urinary tract infection. Additionally, she has multiple electrolyte derangements, including hypokalemia, hyperchloremia with acidosis, hypermagnesemia and hypophosphatemia. She had an elevated creatinine and a mild transaminitis. These laboratory findings suggest her symptoms are due to a metabolic derangement.

This patient had a non-anion gap metabolic acidosis. The differential diagnosis for non-anion gap metabolic acidosis includes diarrhea, intestinal fistulae, renal tubular acidosis (RTA), urerotileostomy, uretersigmoidostomy, toluene use, ketoacidosis, D-lactic acidosis, and administration of chloride-rich solutions. After cross-referencing this with the case details, some of these diagnoses can be eliminated based on the history, exam, and review of systems. Specifically, the patient reported constipation, thereby eliminating diarrhea as a cause. She also had no surgical history, hence eliminating ureteroleiostomy and uretersigmoidostomy as causes. Although her diet is not mentioned, there is no reported history of abnormal ingestion of food or fluids; so I reasonably eliminated chloride-rich solution ingestion as a cause. This left proximal and distal RTA, toluene use, ketoacidosis, and D-lactic acidosis as diagnoses under consideration. When
### Table 1. Initial laboratory results of a 19-year-old female with weakness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory test</th>
<th>Patient value</th>
<th>Normal value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complete blood count</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White blood cells</td>
<td>7.7 K/mcL</td>
<td>3.4 - 10.8 K/mcL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
<td>34.0 - 46.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>239 K/mcL</td>
<td>150 – 450 K/mcL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serum chemistries</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>139 mmol/L</td>
<td>134 – 144 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>1.3 mmol/L</td>
<td>3.5 - 5.2 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>116 mmol/L</td>
<td>96 – 106 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide</td>
<td>13 mmol/L</td>
<td>20 – 29 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood urea nitrogen</td>
<td>17 mg/dL</td>
<td>5 – 18 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>1.16 mg/dL</td>
<td>0.49 - 0.90 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>88 mg/dL</td>
<td>65 – 99 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>10.4 mg/dL</td>
<td>8.9 - 10.4 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>2.7 mg/dL</td>
<td>1.6 - 2.6 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>2.3 mg/dL</td>
<td>2.5 - 4.5 mmol/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total protein</td>
<td>2.3 g/dL</td>
<td>6.3 - 8.2 g/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>4.6 g/dL</td>
<td>3.7 - 5.6 g/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate aminotransferase</td>
<td>65 u/L</td>
<td>14 – 36 u/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine aminotransferase</td>
<td>31 u/L</td>
<td>0 – 34 u/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin</td>
<td>0.3 mg/dL</td>
<td>0.2 - 1.3 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline phosphatase</td>
<td>115 u/L</td>
<td>38 – 126 u/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional labs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine kinase</td>
<td>1117 u/L</td>
<td>30 – 135 u/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myoglobin</td>
<td>599 ng/mL</td>
<td>≤ 62 ng/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid stimulating hormone</td>
<td>0.850 mIU/L</td>
<td>0.450 - 5.330 mIU/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human chorionic gonadotropin</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine toxicology screen</td>
<td>Cannabis present</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urinalysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>Straw</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>Slightly cloudy</td>
<td>Clear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity</td>
<td>1.004</td>
<td>1.002 - 1.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.0 - 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilirubin</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urobilinogen</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketones</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocyte esterase</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White blood cells</td>
<td>11-25 cells/hpf</td>
<td>0 – 5 cells/hpf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red blood cells</td>
<td>0-2 cells/hpf</td>
<td>0 – 2 cells/hpf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous epithelial cells</td>
<td>0-2 cells/hpf</td>
<td>0 – 2 cells/hpf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*cells/hpf, cells per high powered field; g/dL, grams per deciliter; K/mcL, thousand cells per microliter; mIU/mL, micro-international units per milliliter; mg/dL, milligram per deciliter; mmol/L, millimole per liter; ng/mL, nanogram per milliliter; u/L, units per liter.
cross-referencing these with the case details and laboratory findings once again, some options were not consistent with the presentation. Specifically, there was no ketonuria making ketoadidosis unlikely. Lactic acidosis is a result of a hypoperfusion state, and the clinical case did not provide any evidence of hypoperfusion making this unlikely as well.

There were some additional laboratory findings outside of the metabolic panel that needed to be considered. Namely, the patient’s hemoglobin and hematocrit were slightly abnormal (although this may be due to her known anemia). Also, she had an elevated creatine kinase and myoglobin as well as slight elevation in her aspartate transaminase. Her urine also showed some hematuria, pyuria, and proteinuria as well as findings of nitrates and leukocyte esterase. When these labs are considered in conjunction with the metabolic abnormalities, my differential diagnosis now included hypokalemic periodic paralysis, rhabdomyolysis, adrenal insufficiency, proximal and distal RTA, inflammatory myopathy, and poisoning (including toluene).

Adrenal insufficiency can cause metabolic derangements and presents with symptoms including fatigue, weight loss, GI complaints, and myalgias, and may also include psychiatric symptoms. In primary adrenal insufficiency, the potassium is high and sodium is low, which is not consistent with this case. In secondary or tertiary adrenal insufficiency, potassium is normal or low, sodium can be high or low, and chloride is normal with a low glucose. These are not consistent with the findings in this case either, so I eliminated adrenal insufficiency from my differential diagnosis.

Inflammatory myopathies present with muscle weakness, cardiac involvement, and laboratory findings including elevated serum creatinine kinase and elevated myoglobin levels in both urine and serum. These patients usually present with acute onset of “antisynthetase syndrome,” constitutional symptoms, Raynaud’s phenomenon, and a nonerosive arthritis. While the laboratory findings here were consistent with a possible myopathy, the clinical presentation was not classic, making this a less likely possibility.

Another consideration was rhabdomyolysis potentially resulting from compartment syndrome. Compartment syndrome occurs from increased fascial compartment pressure with subsequent tissue hypoperfusion, which can lead to muscle necrosis and rhabdomyolysis. The classic triad of findings in rhabdomyolysis is muscle pain, weakness, and dark urine. Patients with rhabdomyolysis usually have some combination of highly elevated creatine kinase, myoglobinuria, hyperkalemia, hyperphosphatemia, acute kidney injury, hypocalcemia, and metabolic acidosis with or without an anion gap. In this patient’s case, there was no clear inciting event, and her symptoms were episodic with spontaneous resolution. Additionally, she did not complain of focal pain or weakness as would be expected in compartment syndrome. Although she did have an elevated creatine kinase, the elevation was not significant and the expected laboratory findings of hyperkalemia and hyperphosphatemia were not present. I felt that compartment syndrome and rhabdomyolysis were unlikely.

In this young adult patient with episodic weakness and hypokalemia, hypokalemic periodic paralysis was immediately considered as part of the differential diagnosis. This condition is characterized by attacks of weakness with a normal neurologic exam in between, as seen in this patient. Primary hypokalemic periodic paralysis follows an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern, and notably this patient had no known family history of the same. Bulbar and respiratory functions are preserved and between attacks, patients will also present with normal plasma potassium. Triggers include stress, exercise, and carbohydrates. The condition also presents with arrhythmias. There are, however, other conditions that can cause non-familial hypokalemic paralysis, including RTA.

All three subtypes of RTA are characterized by an inability to acidify the urine. As a result of this, RTAs present with an increased urine anion gap, but this information was not provided in the case history. In distal or type 1 RTA, there is impaired hydrogen ion secretion in the distal tubule of the nephron. In proximal or type 2 RTA, there is impaired bicarbonate reabsorption in the proximal tubule of the nephron. In type 4 RTA, there is decreased aldosterone secretion or aldosterone resistance. As a result of this, type 4 RTA is associated with serum hyperkalemia while the other two types of RTA result in hypokalemia. Due to the serum potassium levels, which were not suggestive of aldosterone resistance, I eliminated type 4 RTA from my list of possibilities.

The types of hypokalemic RTAs are differentiated by examining the potential of hydrogen (pH) of the patient’s urine. In type 2 (proximal) RTA, urine pH is initially high, then decreases to < 5.5. The urine pH remains above 5.5 in type 1 (distal) RTA. This patient had a urine pH of 7.0, suggesting either a type 1 (distal) RTA or an early type 2 (proximal) RTA. Type 1 (distal) RTA can be hereditary or be caused by autoimmune diseases such as Sjögren’s syndrome, or as a complication of chemotherapy or toluene use. The causes of type 2 (proximal) RTA include genetic abnormalities, Fanconi syndrome, monoclonal gammopathy, and carbonic anhydrase inhibitor use. There was no mention of chemotherapy or carbonic anhydrase inhibitors with the patient’s presentation. The patient had no family history of similar issues, and it would stand to reason that a genetic abnormality would have come to light before age 19 years. As such, I feel type 1 (distal) RTA is more likely than type 2 (proximal) RTA.

The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry notes that toluene is a solvent found in paints, nail polish, paint thinners, and adhesives, among other substances. It can have toxic effects if ingested or inhaled. The findings of acute toluene use include a hypokalemic paralysis and a metabolic acidosis. Patients are also often found to have liver injury and
rhabdomyolysis, and may present with altered mentation, renal failure, and acidemia.10

This patient’s presentation is most consistent with type 1 (distal) RTA due to toluene use. She denied any illicit drug use but did admit to a history of alcohol ingestion and marijuana use, raising the question of whether there could be toxic alcohols or other coingestions. Unfortunately, there is no diagnostic test for toluene use. However, proximal and distal RTA can be differentiated by calculating the urinary ammonium ion concentration from the measured urine anion gap and osmolar gap. Therefore, my test of choice would be a urine electrolyte panel to calculate the anion gap and osmolar gap. Additionally, I would consult nephrology to assist in management of this patient.

CASE OUTCOME (DR. CAVALIERE)

The patient developed bigeminy while in the ED but remained hemodynamically stable and did not have a change in her mental status. Her electrolytes were replaced with oral and intravenous potassium, with improvement of her arrhythmia and symptoms. She declined central line placement for more rapid replacement. The patient was admitted to the pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) for further management and evaluation.

Random urine electrolytes were obtained after the patient was admitted to the PICU (Table 2). Nephrology was consulted because these results demonstrated an elevated urine anion gap suggestive of RTA.

The patient’s symptoms completely resolved once her electrolytes were repleted. She was found to have positive antinuclear (ANA-Abs) and anti–Sjögren’s-syndrome-related antigen A (SS-A/Ro) autoantibodies, leading to the diagnosis of Sjögren’s syndrome, despite a lack of phenotypic features.

The patient’s urine anion gap was indeterminate for the etiology of her non-anion gap metabolic acidosis; however, her urine osmolar gap of less than 150 milliosmoles per kilogram (mOsm/kg) suggested type 1 or type 4 RTA as the etiology. This coupled with laboratory findings suggestive of autoimmune disease led to the diagnosis of type 1 RTA. Her RTA was treated with potassium supplementation and alkali therapy to achieve a normal serum bicarbonate concentration. Unfortunately, the patient has not been compliant with her home therapy and has required multiple hospitalizations since her original presentation. Her presentation and urine anion gap strongly suggest toluene toxicity, but the patient repeatedly denied insufflating glue and there is no diagnostic test for toluene.

RESIDENT DISCUSSION (DR. CAVALIERE)

Hyperchloremic, hypokalemic metabolic acidosis is a condition all emergency providers should be prepared to diagnose and manage. In this case, the patient presented with a cardiac arrhythmia due to severe hypokalemia. The underlying etiology of the hypokalemia should be sought while simultaneously treating the condition. The initial ED evaluation includes obtaining a basic metabolic panel and a urinalysis. Once it is determined that the patient does not have a serum anion gap, the clinician should consider three broad categories of non-anion gap acidosis and their etiologies: increased acid production; loss of bicarbonate; and decreased renal excretion of acid (Table 3).11

Type 1 or distal RTA is a primary problem of urine acidification due to impaired hydrogen ion secretion in the distal convoluted tubules. The underlying etiology in adults is usually autoimmune diseases such as Sjögren’s syndrome or rheumatoid arthritis.12 In pediatrics, the cause is usually a hereditary gene mutation for either the basolateral chloride-bicarbonate exchanger (SLC4A1) or the apical hydrogen-adenosine triphosphatase (ATP6V0A4 and ATP6V1B1) gene.13,14 Lastly, a distal RTA can be iatrogenic due to ifosfamide, a chemotherapeutic analog of cyclophosphamide.15

Type 2 or proximal RTA is a primary problem of impaired bicarbonate reabsorption leading to increased bicarbonate loss.1,7 In adults, the underlying etiology is most commonly proximal tubular toxicity from increased excretion of monoclonal immunoglobulin light chains as seen in multiple myeloma.16 Type 2 RTAs are seen in Fanconi syndrome (loss of bicarbonate with impaired reabsorption of phosphate, glucose, uric acid and amino acids), and in patients prescribed carbonic anhydrase inhibitors (acetazolamide or topiramate).17 In pediatric patients, type 2 RTAs are usually idiopathic, but they can be due to a complication from chemotherapy, cystinosis (genetic disorder causing an accumulation of cystine leading to crystal formation), or inherited mutations in the KCNJ15 (autosomal dominant) and SLC4A4 (autosomal recessive) genes.16,18,19 The term “type 3 RTA” is rarely used as it is now considered a combination of types 1 and 2. Type 4 (hyperkalemic) RTA is beyond the scope of this discussion.

The test of choice when evaluating for a RTA is urine electrolytes so that the clinician can calculate how much

| Table 2. Urine electrolytes of a 19-year-old female with weakness. |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| **Urine electrolyte**   | **Patient value**    | **Normal value**    |
| Chloride                | 25 mmol/L            | 32 - 290 mmol/L     |
| Creatinine              | 24.6 mg/dL           | 20 – 275 mg/dL      |
| Potassium               | 11.5 mmol/L          | 12-129 mmol/L       |
| Sodium                  | 31 mmol/L            | 28 – 272 mmol/L     |
| Urea                    | 109 mg/dL            | ---                 |
| Glucose                 | 0 mg/dL              | 0 mg/dL             |
| Osmolality              | 259 mOsm/kg          | 50 – 1200 mOsm/kg   |
| Urine anion gap         | 17.5 mmol/L          | 0 mmol/L            |
| Urine osmolar gap       | 146.57 mOsm/kg       | 80-100 mOsm/kg      |

mg/dL, milligram per deciliter; mmol/L, millimole per liter; mOsm/kg, milliosmoles per kilogram.
ammonium is being excreted. Ammonium excretion will be decreased in a true RTA and normal/increased if the acidosis is due to toluene use or chronic diarrhea. Unfortunately, ammonium excretion is rarely measured directly. Urine ammonium excretion can be estimated by using the urine anion gap or urine osmolar gap. The urine anion gap is calculated using the equation:

\[ \text{Urine anion gap} = [\text{Sodium}]_{\text{urine}} + [\text{Potassium}]_{\text{urine}} - [\text{Chloride}]_{\text{urine}} \]

A urine anion gap of 20-90 millimoles per liter (mmol/L) signifies impaired renal ammonium excretion and is diagnostic of a distal RTA. A value of -20 to -50 mmol/L is diagnostic of diarrhea and ingestions, as it indicates increased ammonium excretion. Values between -20 and 20 mmol/L are considered indeterminate.

Urine osmolar gap, on the other hand, is more difficult to calculate. The urine osmolar gap is the difference between the measured and the calculated urine osmolarity. Urine osmolarity is calculated using the equation:

\[ \text{Urine osmolarity (mOsm/kg)} = 2([\text{Sodium}]_{\text{urine}} + [\text{Potassium}]_{\text{urine}} + [\text{Urea}]_{\text{urine}} + [\text{Glucose}]_{\text{urine}}) \]

Values less than 150 mOsm/kg are consistent with a type 1 or 4 RTA, while values greater than 400 mOsm/kg are consistent with either diarrhea or toluene use.

Patients with acute presentations of type 1 or 2 RTA should have their electrolytes replaced emergently to prevent cardiac arrhythmias. Central line insertion and high concentration alkali therapy to achieve a normal serum bicarbonate concentration (22-24 mmol/L). The long-term treatment of type 2 RTA depends upon the underlying etiology.

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

Type 1 (distal) renal tubular acidosis with positive SS-A/Ro antibodies.

**KEY TEACHING POINTS**

- Type 1 (distal) RTA is an uncommon cause of a non-anion gap metabolic acidosis.
- A type 1 RTA can be diagnosed using a basic metabolic panel, the urine pH from a urinalysis, and by measuring urine electrolytes.
- An elevated urine anion gap or decreased urine osmolar gap will clinch the diagnosis of a type 1 RTA.

The authors attest that their institution requires neither Institutional Review Board approval, nor patient consent for publication of this case report. Documentation on file.

**Table 3. Categories and etiologies of non-anion gap metabolic acidosis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Etiology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased acid production</td>
<td>Lactic acidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ketoacidosis (starvation, alcoholic and diabetes ketoacidosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ingestions (methanol, ethylene glycol, aspirin, toluene, diethylene glycol, propylene glycol, D-lactic acidosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of bicarbonate</td>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type 2 (proximal) RTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ketoacidosis recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbonic anhydrase inhibitor use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ureteral diversion (ureterosigmoidostomy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased renal excretion of acid</td>
<td>Type 1 (distal) RTA, Type 4 RTA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RTA, renal tubular acidosis.
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This article presents three successfully litigated medical malpractice cases involving emergency physicians and consultants. We discuss the respective case medical diagnoses, as well as established legal principles that determine in a court proceeding which provider will be liable. Specifically, we explain the legal principles of "patient physician relationship" and "affirmative act." [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(3):283–288.]

INTRODUCTION

Emergency physicians (EP) often consult other specialty services to assist in optimal patient care. Here we present three cases that involved consultation and ultimately resulted in successfully litigated malpractice cases. Many EPs and consultants are unaware of the legal principles that govern their liability if a lawsuit is pursued. Specifically, we clarify what defines a “patient physician relationship” and an “affirmative act” as well as the pathophysiology and risk management of the diagnosis in each case.

Case 1: Sozomentou v Arfaras – Florida

A 66-year-old man presented to an emergency department (ED) with chest pain. After examination by the EP he was evaluated with a chest radiograph. The EP interpreted the study as “within normal limits” and reviewed the radiologist's interpretation of “top normal size heart with tortuous aorta.” The patient was subsequently admitted to the hospital with a diagnosis of chest pain and rule out myocardial infarction. That evening, he died from an aortic dissection (AD) with associated pericardial tamponade.

A lawsuit brought against the EP and the radiologist claimed that the radiologist failed to recognize and suggest to the EP the possibility of an AD and the need for immediate computed tomography (CT) of the chest. A second claim alleged that the EP failed to include AD in the differential diagnosis and order a CT of the chest. The radiologist maintained that they did not exclude the diagnosis and it is the EP’s duty to clinically provide appropriate care and orders. After deliberation, a jury awarded the plaintiffs $6.4 million; they assigned 2% of the amount to the radiologist, 80% to the EP, and 18% to the inpatient provider.¹

Case 2: Estate of Fischel v Mujic M – New Jersey

A 39-year-old man presented to the ED via ambulance complaining of difficulty breathing. An electrocardiogram (ECG) was done. The cardiologist who over-read the tracing reported findings consistent with myocardial infarction and communicated this with the EP. The EP discharged the patient with a diagnosis of bronchitis. The patient’s wife had delivered a son two days prior and was discharged from the hospital at the same time. The next day the man collapsed on the floor and cardiopulmonary resuscitation was initiated. An ambulance was called, but nevertheless he died.

A lawsuit was brought claiming that the EP should not have discharged the patient, especially with the cardiologist’s interpretation of the ECG obtained in the ED. The cardiologist claimed the entire fault rested with the EP. The EP’s defense was that his care was reasonable and he was not informed by the cardiologist of his reading. The case was settled by the EP for $2 million.²

Case 3: Anonymous N.P. v Anonymous Physician

Parents brought their three-year-old son to the ED complaining that he had put a watch battery in his nose. The
provider did radiographs of the chest and abdomen and did not see a foreign body. On nasal examination they were unable to visualize the battery. An otolaryngologist (ENT) was called; the emergency provider was instructed to have the parents call the ENT office at eight in the morning for their son to be seen, and to have the child not take any oral liquids or solids after midnight and wait until he was seen by the ENT physician. The parents asked if their child could be taken to a nearby children’s hospital, but they were reassured that it was not necessary and were also instructed to return if there was any respiratory distress.

About six hours later the child began having discharge from his nose. His parents took him to another hospital where a specialist removed the watch battery from his nose. By that time there was extensive tissue necrosis resulting in a perforated nasal septum requiring surgery. A lawsuit for malpractice was filed with the court for delay in care. The lawsuit was filed against the emergency provider and the ENT specialist. The ENT physician asked for the case to be dismissed with respect to his care, claiming he did not have a physician-patient relationship. He also claimed that the plan was a general one in the event he did see the patient the next day and that it was not specific care.

A physician-patient relationship is required as the first element of a malpractice action. The court stated: “there is no physician patient relationship if the physician does not see, treat, or in any way participate in the care and diagnosis.” The court also said “the physician must perform some affirmative act.” The court examined the facts and declared that the ENT physician had placed an order for “nothing per mouth” status and directed when follow-up care was required, demonstrating a participation in care that constituted an affirmative act.3

DISCUSSION
Aortic Dissection and Risk Management

Acute AD is rare, extremely dangerous, and presents variably, resulting in a diagnostically challenging and high-risk situation for EPs. Aortic dissection has an estimated incidence of about 0.006% per year.4 Despite the rarity of AD, mortality may reach as high as 50% at 48 hours, 90% at one month if not operated upon, and even 30% at one month if operated upon.5,6

As a possible diagnosis, AD may occur secondary to predisposing factors including hypertension and smoking, as well as genetic conditions such as Marfan syndrome, congenital bicuspid aortic valve disease, and vasculitides. Aortic dissection may be acquired secondary to trauma or iatrogenically from healthcare procedures.7 While chest pain is the most frequent symptom reported in patients with acute AD, back pain, abdominal pain, syncope, neurologic symptoms, hypoperfusion syndromes, and other symptoms have been reported as well.8

Ordering the appropriate testing to evaluate for dissection is critical. Intravenous contrast-enhanced CT has sensitivity and specificity values between 95-98% and is widely available compared with transesophageal echocardiography and magnetic resonance imaging, making CT the mainstay of evaluation in EDs nationally.9 Evaluation of chest pain, which is the presenting complaint for about 10 million ED visits annually,6 costs the healthcare system about $10 billion each year in the United States.10 Indiscriminate use of CT may pose a risk from radiation to individual patients, as well as unnecessary cost. To assist the clinician in making a determination, many have incorporated the use of D-dimer to exclude the diagnosis, citing its very high sensitivity values.11 However, a clinical policy statement from the American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP) discourages reliance on D-dimer as a singular tool to exclude the diagnosis because the quality of studies supporting this practice are felt to be inadequate (February 2018). The European Society of Cardiology recommends using D-dimer to shift the degree of suspicion up and down rather than to make or exclude the diagnosis.4

When examining litigation of AD cases, a review by Elefteriades et al included 23 patients who had acute ADs, 22 of them fatal. The most common category of malpractice alleged was failure to diagnose or delayed diagnosis. This review showed that lawsuits were brought against a variety of physicians including EPs, radiologists, cardiothoracic surgeons, and many others including obstetrician-gynecologists. In about two thirds of the cases, the medical care was felt to be suboptimal. The authors recommended simply including AD in the differential diagnosis, performing appropriate testing to assess the likelihood of this disease, and interpreting the results of the testing correctly. These three steps will both enhance diagnosis and decrease the likelihood that patients and families will perceive that they were treated suboptimally, reducing the chance of litigation.12

Electrocardiograms and the Diagnosis of Acute Myocardial Infarction

The American Heart Association estimates that a myocardial infarction will occur approximately every 40 seconds in the US, making it a common emergency faced by healthcare teams. Unfortunately, heart disease is also the leading cause of death, representing just over 840,000 deaths domestically in 2016.13 Given the significant morbidity and mortality, this disease process is a focus of emergency medicine (EM) practice. Screening ECGs are commonly performed and read for findings associated with acute myocardial infarction (AMI). Acute coronary syndrome (ACS), as a spectrum of disease, is commonly associated with chest pain; however, dyspnea, diaphoresis, and jaw and arm pain are also presenting symptoms.14

Electrocardiogram changes can raise concern for AMI and ischemia. Furthermore, in many settings ECG findings in conjunction with a concerning history are an indication for percutaneous intervention (PCI) or thrombolitics with
a goal of restoring myocardial perfusion. Well established benchmarks of door-to-intervention times for both thrombolysis and PCI highlight the principle that any delay in diagnosis or treatment of AMI is deleterious to the patient. This principle was underscored by ACEP in its updated 2017 clinical policy statement “ED Management of Patients Needing Reperfusion Therapy for Acute ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction,” where there was a Level B recommendation that fibrinolysis be administered to patients when door-to-balloon time is anticipated to exceed 120 minutes. These well delineated benchmarks outline an expected standard of care for a high-risk disease process, which can also factor into the medicolegal risk involved.

Patients with missed AMI on their index visit are at increased risk for ensuing cardiac events. These adverse outcomes increase morbidity and mortality for this patient population. Thus, careful interpretation of a patient’s ECG by the EP in conjunction with a detailed history and physical exam are necessary in all cases of patients presenting with symptoms that may be associated with AMI. In assessing the literature evaluating the medicolegal consequences of missed myocardial infarction, two clear themes emerge: 1) ACS represents a high-risk disease entity that is associated with more malpractice dollars recovered than any other condition; and 2) it is a common source of litigation in the ED, representing up to 20% of EM-associated settlement funds.

A 2010 review of ED malpractice claims found that AMI was the second most common disease process associated with a claim (5% of claims). Recognition of AMI based on ECG findings can be difficult and prone to error, making these misinterpretations among the costliest mistakes in terms of malpractice dollars. Actual rates of missed AMI are unknown, but studies estimate this to be about 2% in the US, which is quite high for such a high-stakes diagnosis. A more recent article affirmed that AMI accounts for the second most common malpractice claim in ED and urgent care settings.

Translating an ECG into an AMI diagnosis requires both accurate interpretation of the ECG and correct extrapolation that the visualized pattern represents disease and not a mimic of disease. Studies looking specifically at ECG interpretations have found that misdiagnosis rates and accuracy can be variable among EPs. One study that focused on ECGs with ST-segment elevation found there was misinterpretation as to the underlying cause of the elevation in 5.9% of cases. Another study found that accuracy in identifying ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) representing acute coronary occlusion based on ECG was only 69.1%. Finally, these themes exist not only in the US but are seen internationally as well. A 2017 study of closed malpractice claims associated with AMI in Taiwan found that misdiagnosis was the most common dispute associated with claims.

In summary, ACS represents a disease process with increased morbidity and mortality to the patient if the diagnosis is missed. It is a relatively common source of litigation with high malpractice recovery and therefore represents a significant medicolegal risk. Given this risk, great care must be taken to consider ACS in the differential and to evaluate it thoroughly.

**Nasal Button Battery Foreign Body**

While most EPs are aware of the morbidity associated with gastrointestinal ingestion of button batteries, many may not be familiar with the significant risk when they are located in the nose. Patients are often asymptomatic, and many cases are unanticipated discoveries. Nasal foreign bodies (FB) are usually lodged in the floor of the nasal passage, below the inferior turbinate, or in the superior fossa anterior to the middle turbinate. Patients typically present with one-sided, foul-smelling nasal discharge. Having patients simply blow their nose while closing the alternate side may remove the FB. If it is not visualized, then referral to a specialist is indicated.

The vast majority of patients in these cases are less than eight years old. Button batteries are FBs that release toxic substances (eg, silver, zinc, mercury, or lithium), and cause local electrical burns. An electrical circuit is completed when lodged, and injury usually happens on the anode side of the battery. Subsequent electrolyte leakage results in a caustic injury. Pressure necrosis caused by an impacted FB is a third mechanism of pathology. The complications in the nasal cavity are based on length of time between placement and removal, the orientation of the impacted battery, and the site of contact of the negative pole (anode). If the anode is in contact with the septum or turbinate, then ulceration can occur in just 3-6 hours. Ulceration of the inferior meatus, saddle deformities, chondritis, rhinitis, and alar collapse can eventually result as well. The EP must obtain emergency removal when encountering a button battery in a patient’s nose.

**Legal Concepts when Determining Liability Regarding ED Consultants**

To successfully litigate a malpractice claim, four legal elements must be met: 1) a duty was owed to the patient; 2) the duty was breached (standard of care not met); 3) injury occurred to the patient; and 4) the injury was caused by the breach of duty (causation). To prevail in a malpractice lawsuit against a physician, the first element of a patient-physician relationship (duty) must be established. While an in-person evaluation may satisfy this element, it is clear in the case law that the relationship actually hinges on whether an affirmative act was performed by the physician on behalf of the patient. As in our reported pediatric case involving a retained battery demonstrates, the affirmative act can occur even via a telephone call.

Courts have further delineated duty. In *Walters v Rinker*, the court defined an affirmative act by the physician as an action for the benefit of the patient. For example, this would involve participation in diagnosis or treatment of the patient. In contrast, merely having physical contact with a patient...
does not establish a physician-patient relationship. In *Giles v Anonymous Physician I*, a hospitalist presented to the bedside of a patient and checked her medical chart. The hospitalist quickly realized that the patient would not be appropriate for their service. The court found that the hospitalist did not perform any affirmative act by presenting to the bedside or reviewing the medical record and, therefore, there was no physician-patient relationship.\textsuperscript{31}

Emergency physicians commonly discuss patients with specialists by telephone. In the event of an adverse patient outcome resulting in a malpractice suit, consultants may be liable only if they establish a physician-patient relationship with the plaintiff. Courts have found that this requirement exceeds the scope of many “curbside” conversations but is established only when the specialist takes an affirmative action toward the patient. This often entails participating in diagnosis or treatment, which would be an action for the benefit of the patient.

Establishing a patient-physician relationship sets up the basis to create medical liability on the physician’s part. Two essential parts confirm this relationship: 1) the patient requests, either directly or via representatives, that a physician provide care; and 2) the physician in return performs an “affirmative act” or shows “intent of care.” It is important to keep in mind that the affirmative act is not only applicable after a direct physician-patient contact. For example, if a test was interpreted by a specialist and advice was given based on it, a patient-physician relationship is established.\textsuperscript{32} In *Walters v Rinker*, examining a pathological specimen was enough to establish a patient-physician relationship as the recommendations were used to plan further treatment. Despite an initial pathology report stating that the finding appeared to be benign, two years later the patient was diagnosed with large cell lymphoma in the setting of declining physical health. The court in this case decided that since the treatment and interventions were based on this test result, the pathologist performed an affirmative act to contribute to this patient’s care.\textsuperscript{30}

Medicine is a collegial profession. Often, EPs “curbside” other consulting services as “informal” consults regarding test interpretation or further guidance on treatment. For a consultant to be held legally liable usually a patient-physician relationship must be established.\textsuperscript{32} Generally, physicians who are not on duty are not obligated to treat patients; therefore, they mostly are not held accountable.\textsuperscript{33} Moreover, an on-call consultant giving general advice over the phone does not necessarily establish a relationship with the patient regardless of whether the caller was another physician or the patient. The relationship is established by providing patient-specific advice, or giving direct recommendations to the patient directly or through another party such as the EP.\textsuperscript{34}

In addition, documenting in the patient’s chart or billing the patient for a consult, even if there was no physical interaction, strongly implies that a patient relationship exists via an affirmative act. Generally, unless one of the aforementioned circumstances are met, courts tend to be hesitant to hold consultants strictly liable. The courts generally have the opinion that physicians hold a unique set of skills and knowledge that can highly impact society and save lives. Holding the physician accountable for every phone call or professional conversation could negatively impact the willingness of medical specialists to provide others with general recommendations.

The court’s hesitation to hold consultants liable was illustrated in the case of *Bessenyei v Raiti*. The patient suffered an injury after paint thinner was injected into his thumb. Initially he was cared for by Dr. Raiti in the ED. Dr. Raiti recommended that the patient seek care at a specialized center immediately. However, the patient refused and was subsequently discharged home with antibiotics and a follow-up on the following Monday. Dr. Raiti had called Dr. Birely, a plastic surgeon, who agreed with Dr. Raiti’s plan. The patient’s condition deteriorated resulting in an amputation to the tip of his thumb. The patient sued both Dr. Raiti and Dr. Birely. The court ruled that Dr. Birely was not liable as no patient-physician relationship had been established for the following two reasons: 1) Dr. Birely was not on-call that evening, and although he agreed with Dr. Raiti, he did not provide specific advice for the patient; 2) Dr. Raiti was the immediate care provider who independently had the ability and control to either accept or reject Dr. Birely’s recommendations.\textsuperscript{33}

A contrasting example is in *Diggs v Arizona Cardiologists, Ltd.* Dr. Valdez, a cardiologist, was determined to hold a duty of care toward Mrs. Diggs during what appeared to be an “informal consult.” Mrs. Diggs presented to the ED with chest pain, where she was originally being cared for by Dr. Johnson, an EP. Dr. Johnson thought that the most likely diagnosis was pericarditis but was also considering the diagnosis of myocardial infarction. Therefore, he sought expert advice from Dr. Valdez, who happened to be passing by the ED that day. Although he was not the on-call cardiologist, Dr. Valdez reviewed Dr. Johnson’s history, physical examination, and the patient’s ECG. He agreed with Dr. Johnson that it was most likely pericarditis. Mrs. Diggs was discharged from the ED. Three hours later, she suffered a cardiac arrest and died.

Her family brought suit against both the cardiologist and the EP. Dr. Valdez claimed that he did not have a patient-physician relationship, and thus no liability. The court determined that Dr. Valdez reviewed the specific information available on Mrs. Diggs and gave advice and recommendations in his role as an expert that impacted the care Dr. Johnson provided. The court thus held Dr. Valdez was a liable party. Although he was not the on-call cardiologist, the court deemed that a patient-physician relationship had been established as he had given advice specific to Mrs. Diggs’s condition. Additionally, the court ruled that Dr. Johnson lacked the necessary skills and knowledge to interpret the ECG as he did not have admitting privileges. The court held that Dr. Johnson had relied on the expertise of Dr. Valdez when he made his decision to discharge the patient.\textsuperscript{35}
CONCLUSION

The reader should now clearly understand the rationale that led to the legal outcomes in these three reported cases. The first case represents a typical scenario in which all parties were held accountable for medical negligence; each of them had patient-physician relationship and performed an affirmative act. In the second case, the court ruled that there was no affirmative act made by the consultant; therefore the consultant was not held liable. Finally, in the third case, the court clearly stated why they made their decision. The consultant made an affirmative act that impacted the care delivered in the ED.

In the three cases presented there were poor patient outcomes after interactions between EPs and consultants. Courts have defined when consultant/EP co-participation in cases results in specific liability. It is important for the EP to understand when they are solely responsible for a patient, and when consultants will share the liability. If the EP desires consultant’s shared liability, the EP should take the initiative to establish the physician-patient relationship between the consultant and the patient. The simplest way to ensure that consultants share liability is to solicit an “affirmative act.” This could be accomplished by asking the consultant to see the patient or alternatively discuss the patient’s specific details including history, physical examination, and test results.

Take-home Points
1. The accuracy of identifying STEMI on ECG is less than 70%. It is critical to recognize ECG abnormalities to avoid liability and poor patient outcome.
2. Missed myocardial infarction is extremely significant as it accounts for 5% of EP malpractice claims and represents up to 20% of EP dollars paid out in those claims.
3. Aortic dissection is rare, and the most common lawsuit is for failure to diagnose.
4. The ability to intervene and improve mortality hinges upon being able to make the diagnosis accurately and timely and requires the following:
5. Consideration of AD within a differential diagnosis for an individual patient
   a) Using the appropriate testing
   b) Correctly interpreting the results of the testing.
   c) For a consultant to be held liable, the consultant must have a patient-physician relationship that is established by an “affirmative act.” Otherwise, the EP may solely hold the liability.
6. An affirmative act is elicited by seeing the patient or providing patient-specific advice based on a specific patient’s information.
7. An affirmative act is not obtained by answering a general question that is not patient specific.
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Introduction: Post-tonsillectomy hemorrhage is a serious postoperative complication, and its acute management can present a challenge for the emergency provider. Although various strategies have been proposed, guidance on the best approach for management of this condition in the emergency department (ED) setting remains limited. Anecdotal reports of the use of nebulized tranexamic acid (TXA) for management of tonsillar bleeding have emerged over the past two years. Two recently published case reports describe the successful use of nebulized TXA for stabilization of post-tonsillectomy hemorrhage in an adult and a pediatric patient.

Case Series: Eight patients who presented to our ED with secondary post-tonsillectomy hemorrhage received nebulized TXA for hemostatic management. The most common TXA dose used was 500 milligrams, and all but one patient received a single dose of the medication in the ED. Hemostatic benefit was observed in six patients, with complete bleeding cessation observed in five cases. Interventions prior to nebulized TXA administration were attempted in three of the six patients and included ice water gargle, direct pressure with TXA-soaked gauze, and nebulized racemic epinephrine. All but one of the patients were taken to the operating room for definitive management after initial stabilization in the ED.

Conclusion: Nebulized TXA may offer a hemostatic benefit and aid in stabilization of tonsillectomy hemorrhage in the acute care setting, prior to definitive surgical intervention. Consideration of general principles of nebulization and aerosol particle size may be an important factor for drug delivery to the target tissue site. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(3):289–295.]

Keywords: tonsillectomy; hemorrhage; nebulized tranexamic acid.

INTRODUCTION

Post-tonsillectomy hemorrhage is the most common serious complication of tonsillectomy, generally thought to occur at a rate of 0.1–3%. The majority of patients who encounter this complication experience secondary post-tonsillectomy hemorrhage, which is defined as bleeding beyond 24 hours post-surgery. It typically occurs between postoperative days four and 10, as tonsillar eschar sloughs off. Guidance is limited on the best approach for management of post-tonsillectomy bleeding in the emergency department (ED) setting. Strategies deployed for management of this condition in the ED include cold fluids, direct pressure, clot suction, silver nitrate, topical epinephrine, thrombin powder and – more recently – nebulized tranexamic acid (TXA). Published literature on the use of inhaled TXA for this indication is currently limited to two case reports: one pediatric and one adult. Despite the absence of controlled trials, extrapolation from correlate data has been deemed reasonable by some providers. Based on existing data of the use of inhaled TXA in management of hemoptysis, the
drug appears to have an excellent safety profile when given via nebulization. It is also easy to use, relatively quick to administer, low in cost, and requires no airway manipulation for delivery. This case series aims to add to existing case report literature on the use of nebulized TXA for management of post-tonsillectomy bleeding in the ED, as well as to review the basis of TXA use for this indication.

CASE SERIES

Case 1
A 43-year-old female with past medical history significant for obstructive sleep apnea, Ehlers-Danlos syndrome and obesity presented to the ED for oropharyngeal bleeding. She was six days status post tonsillectomy, uvulopalatopharyngoplasty, revision septoplasty, and bilateral inferior turbinate resection. Examination of her airway revealed postoperative granulation tissue, bright red blood, and a large clot in her posterior oropharynx, which was occluding most of her airway. Control of bleeding was first attempted with direct pressure using packing gauze soaked in TXA 500 milligrams (mg) per five milliliters (mL) solution. This was followed by nebulized racemic epinephrine 2.25% 0.25 mL, immediately followed by nebulized TXA 1000 mg / 10 mL. No active bleeding was noted upon arrival of the patient’s ear, nose and throat (ENT) surgeon within 30 minutes of the interventions. She was taken to the operating room (OR) where a blood clot from the right inferior tonsillar pole was evacuated with subsequent successful cauterization of the bleeding site.

Case 2
A 13-year-old female with past medical history significant for obstructive sleep apnea secondary to adenotonsillar hypertrophy, recurrent epistaxis, and menometrorrhagia presented to the ED for hematemesis. She was seven days status post bilateral adenotonsillectomy. On examination of her airway, minimal oozing from the posterior oropharynx was noted. Tranexamic acid 500 mg / 5 mL diluted with 5 mL of normal saline was administered via nebulizer. On arrival of the ENT surgeon, about 40 minutes post-TXA administration, the patient was still noted to have bleeding from the mouth and a clot on the right superior tonsillar pole. She was taken to the OR where a large blood clot, partially obstructing her larynx, was suctioned and a bleeding point in the right lower tonsil was successfully cauterized. The patient completed an outpatient hematology workup, which did not identify a hematologic abnormality.

Case 3
A 29-year-old male with past medical history significant for chronic tonsillitis presented to the ED following an episode of hemoptysis, four days status post bilateral tonsillectomy. Initial examination of the airway revealed displacement of the left tonsillar eschar by a large blood clot. The patient continued to experience small volume hemoptysis in the ED and was taken to the OR where hemostasis was attained via cauterization. He returned to the ED five days later, on postoperative day nine, for recurrent tonsillar bleeding. On examination of the airway, a left tonsillar clot was again visualized. Nebulized TXA 500 mg / 5 mL was administered, and the patient was admitted to the hospital for observation. No further bleeding was reported. Nebulized TXA 500 mg / 5 mL was continued for two additional doses, administered 12 hours apart during his short inpatient stay. Given the recurrent bleeding episodes, hematologic studies were subsequently performed with no overt hematologic abnormality identified.

Case 4
A 17-year-old male with past medical history significant for recurrent sinus infection, tonsillar hypertrophy, and chronic tonsillitis presented to the ED with complaint of oropharyngeal bleeding. He was five days status post bilateral adenotonsillectomy and nasal septoplasty. Examination of the airway confirmed the presence of active bleeding from his posterior oropharynx. Nebulized TXA 500 mg / 5 mL was administered, followed by an ice water gargle. Each
of the two interventions appeared to aid in decreasing the amount of bleeding; however, significant bleeding from his posterior oropharynx soon resumed. The patient was taken to the OR where an area of arterial bleeding was identified and successfully cauterized.

**Case 5**

A 23-year-old male with no pertinent past medical history presented to the ED with a complaint of oropharyngeal bleeding, which had been unrelieved by application of ice chips at home. He was 13 days status post bilateral tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy and had undergone outpatient cauterization of the left tonsillar base for recurrent bleeding earlier that day. Examination of the airway revealed a clot and active bleeding at the left palatine tonsillar fossa. Ice water gargle was first attempted, followed by suctioning of some of the clot and a dose of nebulized TXA 500 mg / 5 mL. These interventions did not completely abate the bleeding and were followed by an additional dose of TXA 500 mg / 5 mL sprayed directly onto the bleeding site with a mucosal atomizer device. Hemostasis was only temporarily achieved. Next, oxymetazoline and lidocaine 4% solution were applied topically to anesthetize the area and allow local injection of lidocaine 1% plus epinephrine. Direct pressure was then applied for five minutes. Hemostasis was briefly attained, but oozing soon resumed. The patient was taken to the OR for definitive control of bleeding via cauterization of the tonsillar beds.

**Case 6**

A six-year-old male with past medical history significant for tonsillar hypertrophy was brought to the ED for oropharyngeal bleeding and an episode of hematemesis 20 minutes prior to arrival. He was six days status post bilateral tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. On examination of his airway, active posterior oropharyngeal bleeding was noted without a specific source identified. The patient was instructed to perform an ice water gargle, which was followed by a dose of nebulized TXA 500 mg / 5 mL. Some oozing was still notable immediately following the nebulization; however, on arrival of the ENT surgeon approximately 30 minutes later no active bleeding was observed. The patient was taken to the operating room where a large clot on the right tonsillar pole was suctioned, revealing a few punctate areas of oozing that were successfully cauterized.

**Case 7**

A 13-year-old female with past medical history significant for tonsillar adenoid hypertrophy and chronic tonsillitis presented to the ED with complaints of hemoptysis with clots. She was 12 days status post bilateral tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. On examination of her airway, active bleeding from the posterior pharynx was observed. Nebulized TXA 500 mg / 5 mL diluted in 5 mL normal saline was administered. The patient reported feeling better following the nebulization, and repeat examination of the airway revealed hemostasis had been achieved. She was subsequently taken to the OR where a clot over the right tonsillar pole was observed along with recurrence of bleeding in the same region. The area was cauterized and microfiber collagen hemostat powder applied over the right tonsillar fossa.

**Case 8**

A 24-year-old male with past medical history significant for chronic tonsillitis presented to the ED with complaint of recurrent tonsillar bleeding 15 days status post bilateral tonsillectomy. This was the third episode of bleeding he had experienced postoperatively, with the first occurrence necessitating a return to the OR for left tonsillar cauterization on postoperative day nine. The second episode had been successfully managed in the ED with bedside silver nitrate cauterization of the right tonsil on postoperative day 14. Upon presentation of this third recurrence, examination of the patient’s airway revealed bilateral tonsillar bleeding. Nebulized TXA 1000 mg / 10 mL was administered. Cessation of bleeding and clot formation were noted on re-examination within 20 minutes of completion of the nebulization. The patient was taken to the OR where a right tonsillar clot was suctioned and the right middle tonsillar pole successfully cauterized. Six days later, the patient reported a brief episode of a small amount of recurrent bleeding, which self-resolved. No further bleeding was reported thereafter.

A summary of the patients’ characteristics, interventions and outcomes is shown in the table below. Coagulation parameters and platelet counts were assessed in all patients and were found to be within normal limits. There was no history of blood dyscrasias or overt suspicion for bleeding disorder in any patient, although two patients subsequently underwent negative outpatient hematology workups. There was no reported use of anticoagulants or antiplatelet agents in any patient. No hemodynamic instability, airway compromise or need for blood transfusion related the tonsillar hemorrhage occurred in any patient within this cohort.

**DISCUSSION**

Since its discovery and introduction in the 1960s by Japanese scientists Utako and Shosuke Okamoto, TXA has been used to aid the management of bleeding in a wide range of medical, dental, and surgical settings and among diverse patient populations. Pharmacologically TXA is a synthetic antifibrinolytic agent that prevents the breakdown of the polymerized fibrin clot matrix. Fibrinolysis is part of the usual, complex processes that comprise vascular hemostasis. It involves activation of circulating plasminogen by tissue plasminogen activator into plasmin, which subsequently degrades existing fibrin clot. For its role, TXA competitively inhibits the lysine-binding site on plasminogen, preventing it and subsequently formed plasmin from interacting with lysine residues on the fibrin polymer, thereby subverting fibrinolysis and subsequent clot
The degree of inhibition of fibrinolysis by TXA has been described as being concentration dependent.\(^{18,19}\)

While TXA has traditionally been used via the oral, intravenous (IV) and topical route, the past decade has seen the exploration of aerosolized application of TXA to anatomically sequestered areas such as the lungs and posterior nasal cavity.\(^{10,26}\) It has been hypothesized that TXA may be particularly effective for management of oropharyngeal bleeding because of the relatively high concentration of plasminogen and low concentration of intrinsic plasminogen inhibitors found in saliva.\(^{4,22}\) Aerosolization of the drug may also provide key advantages including the ability to reach anatomically sequestered sites and perhaps allow for better delivery of sufficient drug concentrations to the active site of bleeding when compared to other routes of administration.

Although pharmacokinetic studies of nebulized TXA are not yet available, correlate data from other experiments provides some useful insights. Topical application of 5% TXA solution via two-minute 10 mL mouth rinse was found to achieve average salival concentration three times higher than those achieved following a one gram IV infusion of TXA (200 micrograms per milliliter [mcg/mL] vs 66 mcg/mL, respectively).\(^{28,29}\) Notably, the salival drug concentration remained at a therapeutic level, typically described to be in the range of 10-15 mcg/mL, for at least two hours following the rinse.\(^{29}\) In contrast, TXA levels in saliva following administration of an oral dose were found to be undetectable.\(^{29}\) The concept that topical application of TXA produces superior and sustained oral tissue drug concentration inclines us to reason that nebulized application of TXA has the potential to yield significant oropharyngeal drug concentrations as well.

Solomonov and colleagues reported the first case series of nebulized TXA, at a dose of 500 mg, in four patients with hemoptysis. All four patients experienced cessation of bleeding following initial dose administration.\(^{20}\) Additional case reports and case series followed, using TXA for management of hemoptysis at doses ranging from 500 mg to 1000 mg, all reporting successful control of bleeding.\(^{21-23}\) The duration of therapy generally varied from single-dose administration to scheduled dosing for two to seven days. Scheduled dosing administration intervals ranged from every six hours to every 12 hours. There was considerable variability in the concentration of TXA solution used, ranging from 10-100 mg/mL.

The first blinded, randomized controlled trial to formally evaluate the use of nebulized TXA for treatment of hemoptysis was published in 2018 by Wand and colleagues.\(^{31}\) Despite a relatively small sample size of 47 patients, the trial showed impressive results with control of bleeding achieved in 96% of patients in the TXA group, compared to 50% in the placebo arm. It allowed for administration of nebulized TXA 500 mg three times daily for up to five days with exact duration determined by the treating physician. The only adverse event attributed to the inhaled antifibrinolytic was a single report of bronchospasm, which was successfully managed with inhaled bronchodilators.

In the pediatric population, Bafaqih and colleagues conducted a pilot study exploring the feasibility and efficacy of using nebulized TXA for diffuse alveolar hemorrhage in mechanically ventilated pediatric patients.\(^{32}\) A dose of TXA 250 mg (for weight less than 25 kilograms) to 500 mg

### Table. Characteristics, interventions, and outcomes of patients with post-tonsillectomy bleeding treated with nebulized tranexamic acid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case #</th>
<th>Age/gender</th>
<th>POD</th>
<th>TXA dose</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Doses given in ED</th>
<th>Hemostasis achieved in ED?</th>
<th>Additional interventions</th>
<th>Need for OR?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>43/F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1000 mg</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Direct pressure with TXA-soaked gauze, nebulized racemic epinephrine</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13/F</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>500 mg</td>
<td>1:1 normal saline</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ice water gargle</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>28/M</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>500 mg</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>17/M</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>500 mg</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Temporarily</td>
<td>Ice water gargle, clot suction, atomized TXA, topical oxymetazoline, topical lidocaine, lidocaine/epinephrine injection, direct pressure</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>23/M</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>500 mg</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ice water gargle, clot suction, atomized TXA, topical oxymetazoline, topical lidocaine, lidocaine/epinephrine injection, direct pressure</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6/M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>500 mg</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ice water gargle</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>13/F</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>500 mg</td>
<td>1:1 normal saline</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>24/M</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1000 mg</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patient had previously required return to the OR on POD four due to postoperative bleeding.

POD, postoperative day; TXA, tranexamic acid; F, female; M, male; mg, milligram; ED, emergency department; OR, operating room.
Successful use of aerosolized TXA has been reported in the setting of epistaxis as well. Booth and colleagues reported the use of nebulized TXA 500 mg in a patient with recent septoplasty who had presented with bilateral epistaxis and posterior oropharyngeal blood. The patient was instructed to breathe through her nose during the 15-minute TXA nebulization to maximize drug delivery into the nasal passages. Cessation of bleeding was observed within 15 minutes of administration of the full dose and was maintained until the patient’s discharge four hours later. Heymer and colleagues described an alternative method of local application of TXA for management of posterior nasal bleeding via mucosal atomizer device, in lieu of posterior nasal packing. They propose a dose of 200 mg (2 mL) of TXA applied into the affected nostril using a commercially available mucosal atomizer and suggest a repeat dose if bleeding persists after three minutes following the initial application.

In the setting of tonsillectomy, various studies have evaluated the use of TXA in the pre-, peri- and immediate post-operative settings with mixed results on operative blood loss and subsequent bleeding rates. Of those that have evaluated postoperative bleeding rates, a distinction between primary and secondary events has not always been made. Importantly, all the studies that have involved the use of TXA in the postoperative period have aimed to assess its potential prophylactic effect on preventing post-tonsillectomy hemorrhage, which may have different outcomes when compared to the drug’s application for management of active bleeding.

In 2012, Chan and colleagues performed the first systematic review and meta-analysis of the use of TXA in the setting of tonsillectomy, and their work has been cited as having concluded that there is no benefit of TXA on post-tonsillectomy hemorrhage. However, six of the seven studies included in the meta-analysis did not use the drug beyond the immediate postoperative period. Given TXA’s relatively short half-life of three hours and the reversible nature with which it inhibits fibrinolysis, it is unlikely that its effects would persist beyond a few to several hours after the last dose. Thus, it would be unsurprising that TXA administration prior to discharge offers no benefit on secondary bleeding rates. The remaining study did extend the use of TXA for four days postoperatively; however, it used the drug in its oral form. It has since been demonstrated that oral administration of TXA does not achieve detectable drug concentration in saliva and so would not be suitable for this application.

Hinder and Tschopp evaluated the use of TXA 0.2% solution applied topically, via gargoyle or spray at the tonsillar fossa, five to six times daily on postoperative days 5-10. They found no difference in secondary bleeding rates between the 246 patients in the TXA group and a historic control cohort (19% vs 22%, respectively). A tendency toward lower rates of bleeding requiring surgical intervention was noted in the treatment arm (8.9% vs 11.3%). This study provides the most convincing evidence for a lack of prophylactic benefit on secondary bleeding rates, although the finding may be somewhat unsurprising given that secondary tonsillectomy bleeding events typically result from mechanical displacement or sloughing of tonsillar eschar. The authors’ findings do, however, suggest that there may be a small benefit of prophylactic topical TXA on severity of bleeding and need for repeat surgical intervention in patients older than 12 years. The reproducibility of this finding and its clinical implications merit further evaluation via prospective randomized controlled trial.

No publication to date has directly studied the effect of TXA, in any formulation, on management of acute secondary post-tonsillectomy bleeding. No specific method for nebulization has been previously proposed in the context of TXA use for tonsillar bleeding. Without available evidence from controlled trials it is impossible to deduce the optimal dose, dilution, and administration method. However, there are some general considerations that could be applied to optimize drug delivery to the tonsillar region in this setting.

The amount of nebulized solution deposited within the respiratory system is influenced by the size of the aerosolized particles. Aerosol particles larger than 15 micrometers (μm) generally deposit in the mouth and nose. Particles in the range of 10-15 μm reach the upper airways, and those smaller than 10 μm and 5 μm reach the large bronchi and lower airways, respectively. Thus, to achieve higher drug concentration at the tonsillar region and minimize drug delivery to the lower airways, programming nebulizer settings to deliver aerosol particles in the range of 10-15 μm would be desired. This is, of course, in contrast to the approach applied to nebulized bronchodilator medications where the goal is to generate aerosol particles smaller than 5μm to reach the large bronchi and alveoli of the lower respiratory system. Specific settings...
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vary between nebulizer brands and types, but larger aerosol particles are generally achieved using a lower gas-flow rate or lower pressure. Whenever possible, the use of a mouthpiece would be preferred over the use of a facemask as the former decreases the amount of aerosol deposited onto the nose, eyes, and face.  

The “dead space” of the nebulizer should also be taken into consideration, as liquid occupying it would not be nebulized. Available data suggest that increasing nebulizer fill volume decreases the amount of drug that remains trapped in the delivery system. Thus, we suggest that TXA doses be diluted to at least the recommended fill volume (typically 4-5 mL) of the nebulizer chamber. This may be particularly important with smaller doses, which may be used in younger patients.

CONCLUSION

A hemostatic benefit in tonsillar bleeding following nebulized TXA administration was observed in 75% of the patients with active hemorrhage in this small case series. Given the limited therapeutic options for managing tonsillar bleeding in the ED, the use of nebulized TXA may be a reasonable, safe, non-invasive option for short-term stabilization pending definitive surgical intervention. Nebulization of the drug offers the advantage of simple and fast delivery of the antifibrinolytic to the targeted tissue site while requiring minimal patient cooperation. Future randomized controlled trials would be valuable to better assess the effectiveness of TXA in patients with post-tonsillectomy hemorrhage and provide insight into remaining questions including optimal dosing and dilution method, optimal duration of administration, the role of repeat or scheduled dosing, and the potential for synergy with other agents (eg, racemic epinephrine).

The Institutional Review Board approval has been documented and filed for publication of this case series.
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**Introduction:** Recently, euglycemic diabetic ketoacidosis has been an increasing topic of discussion within emergency medicine literature. Euglycemic diabetic ketoacidosis can easily be missed, as a normal point-of-care glucose often mistakenly precludes the work-up of diabetic ketoacidosis.

**Case Report:** A 16-year-old female with a past medical history of type 1 diabetes presented to the emergency department with altered mental status, vomiting, and abdominal pain. She was diagnosed with euglycemic diabetic ketoacidosis.

**Conclusion:** Reported cases of euglycemic diabetic ketoacidosis are most frequently attributed to sodium glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors, but other potential causes have been discussed in the literature. In this patient, a starvation state with continued insulin use in the setting of acute appendicitis led to her condition. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(3):296–298.]

**Keywords:** Euglycemic diabetic ketoacidosis; emergency medicine.

**INTRODUCTION**

Euglycemic diabetic ketoacidosis (eDKA) is a clinical syndrome that can occur in patients with type 1 or type 2 diabetes. It is characterized by euglycemia, defined as blood glucose less than 250 milligrams per deciliter (mg/dL), in the presence of severe metabolic acidosis, defined as arterial pH less than 7.3 and serum bicarbonate less than 18 milliequivalents per liter (mEq/L) with ketonemia.1 This condition was first described in 1973. Recent case reports suggest an increase in presentations due to increased use of sodium glucose cotransporter-2 (SGLT2) inhibitors.2-11 Sodium glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors work by blocking glucose reabsorption of filtered glucose in the proximal tubule and subsequently lowering serum glucose.

The initial laboratory values of a patient presenting with eDKA may mislead clinicians, as over-reliance on point-of-care glucose testing, and the finding of a normal blood glucose, may cause clinicians to prematurely contract their list of differential diagnoses in an undifferentiated patient with altered mental status. Initial glucose of less than 250 mg/dL (reference range 80-140 mg/dL) may delay the diagnosis of eDKA or lead the clinician down a differential of elevated gap metabolic acidosis without considering DKA as the primary metabolic derangement. This may prompt unnecessary testing and treatments that could delay diagnosis and definitive treatment. Cases of eDKA reported in the literature are most often attributed to SGLT2 inhibitors, but occasional cases have been reported involving starvation states, pregnancy, cocaine abuse, prolonged vomiting, diarrhea, and insulin use.1 Emergency physicians should not only be aware of this diagnosis but also potential causes other than SGLT2 inhibitors, as delays in the diagnosis of DKA can cause substantial morbidity and mortality.

**CASE REPORT**

The patient was a 16-year-old female with a past medical history of type 1 diabetes on insulin pump therapy, previous episodes of DKA, and autoimmune hypothyroidism. She presented to the emergency department with altered mental status, severe abdominal pain, and multiple episodes of vomiting. According to her mother, all her symptoms started within the prior 24 hours. The patient was observed to be awake but disoriented with generalized abdominal tenderness on palpation. The insulin pump was taken off by the family
just prior to presenting to the hospital. She presented to the hospital via emergency medical services, and her vital signs were normal upon arrival. Initial physical examination revealed a Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) of 12 (eyes 3, verbal 4, motor 5) and the patient was localizing to pain on palpation of the right lower quadrant, without any other significant exam findings. Monitoring and intravenous (IV) access were established, and blood for laboratory evaluation was collected.

The patient was started on a lactated Ringer’s infusion. Her vital signs remained stable and upon re-evaluation, her GCS remained unchanged. With her clinical picture and previous history with DKA, initial concern was for DKA, although her initial point-of-care glucose was 109 mg/dL (reference 80-140 mg/dL). Subsequent point-of-care glucose readings showed decreasing levels less than 100 mg/dL (80-140 mg/dL) requiring glucose administration. Because of initial normal point-of-care glucose readings, the treating team pursued other causes of altered mental status. Initial labs were significant for a venous pH of 7.2 (7.35-7.45), bicarbonate of 8 mEq/L (21-28 mEq/L); anion gap of 33 millimoles per liter (mmol/L) (4-12 mmol/L); lactate of 2 mmol/L (0.5-1 mmol/L); sodium 133 mEq/L (135-145 mEq/L); potassium 4.9 mEq/L (3.6-5.2 mEq/L); blood urea nitrogen 19 mg/dL (7-20 mg/dL); creatinine of 0.8 mg/dL (0.84-1.21 mg/dL); beta-hydroxybutyrate of 3.26 mmol/L (0.4-0.5 mmol/L); initial plasma glucose of 108 mg/dL (80-140 mg/dL); and leukocytosis of 20,600 per microliter (4500-11000 per microliter).

Urine toxicity, salicylate, and acetaminophen screen and pregnancy test were negative. A non-contrast computed tomography (CT) of the brain was unremarkable. She also had an IV contrast-enhanced CT of the abdomen, which was suspicious for possible early appendicitis. With her resulting labs, other causes of elevated gap metabolic acidosis were considered. An endocrine consult was obtained for possible eDKA. Based on the consult and test results, the patient was started on an insulin drip at 0.1 units per kilogram per hour as well as an infusion of a dextrose 10% solution.

Pediatric surgery was consulted, and after exam and review of the CT results recommended that the patient be taken to the operating room for an appendectomy. Surgical findings were noted to be equivocal and the appendix was removed without complication. The patient’s mental status improved post appendectomy. With fluid and insulin therapy her condition improved, laboratory abnormalities normalized within a few days, and she was subsequently discharged home. The consulting teams agreed that the final diagnosis was eDKA likely caused by appendicitis.

**DISCUSSION**

A literature review of this topic reveals mostly case reports. In the published reports, most patients with eDKA had been taking SGLT2 inhibitors, which were thought to have precipitated the eDKA. Very few case reports recognized other causes of eDKA. Approximately 2.6-3.2% of DKA admissions are euglycemic, although cases of eDKA may be under-reported.\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^5\)

The exact mechanism of eDKA is not entirely known. It is proposed that insulin-dependent diabetics in a fasting state can develop severe ketoacidosis without pronounced hyperglycemia as a consequence of a carbohydrate deficit. This results in decreased serum insulin and excess counter-regulatory hormones such as glucagon, epinephrine, and cortisol. The increased glucagon/insulin ratio leads to increased lipolysis, increased free fatty acids, and ketoacidosis. This state leads to decreased gluconeogenesis and enhanced cellular utilization of the limited available glucose.\(^6\)\(^7\)

The liver, in theory, will enter into a state of glycogen depletion and due to the fasting state, lipolysis and fatty acid production will also occur, causing excessive ketone body production resulting in acidemia with euglycemia.\(^8\)\(^9\)\(^10\)\(^11\) This is in contrast to starvation ketoacidosis, where the clinical course is usually more insidious and the bicarbonate level is usually greater than 18 mEq/L (21-28 mEq/L). Presumably, in our patient’s case, starvation and vomiting in the setting of early appendicitis served as a triggering event for eDKA. Her vomiting, fasting state, and continued use of insulin contributed to glycogen storage depletion causing euglycemia and even mild hypoglycemia.
Although the patient was still using insulin, presumably the ratio between glucagon and insulin was altered because as her serum glucose remained low, her injected insulin remained at her basal rate. Her family then removed the insulin pump just prior to arrival, further decreasing her insulin levels. In this state lipolysis and fatty acid production were upregulated, which led to excessive ketone body production. And with her brief period of illness, she was still in a state of relative euvolesia. In terms of treatment, a patient may require more IV dextrose than hyperglycemic DKA patients in order to maintain a euglycemic state, since treatment in both DKA and eDKA requires administering fluids and continuous IV insulin.

CONCLUSION

Diabetic ketoacidosis is commonly diagnosed and treated by emergency clinicians, but euglycemic diabetic ketoacidosis is less frequently encountered. Clinicians should be able to both recognize and treat eDKA, and be aware of the pitfalls of using a euglycemic blood glucose alone to rule out the possibility of DKA. In patients who potentially have diabetic ketoacidosis but have normal glucose levels, clinicians should consider continuing the work up for eDKA, particularly in patients with common potential risk factors such as treatment with SGLT2 inhibitors or a history of recent starvation or vomiting.
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Introduction: Lower extremity deep venous thrombosis (DVT) is a common diagnosis in the emergency department (ED). Deep venous thromboses can be the result of anatomical variation in the vasculature that predisposes the patient to thrombosis. May-Thurner syndrome (MTS) is one such anatomic variant defined by extrinsic compression of the left common iliac vein between the right common iliac artery and lumbar vertebrae.

Case Report: We report such a case of a 39-year-old woman with no risk factors for thromboembolic disease who presented to the ED with extensive unilateral leg swelling and was ultimately diagnosed with MTS.

Conclusion: This diagnosis is an important consideration particularly in patients who are young, female, have scoliosis or spinal abnormalities, or are at low risk for DVT yet who present with extensive lower extremity swelling and are found to have proximal thrombus burden. Often further imaging, anticoagulation, angioplasty, or thrombectomy are indicated to prevent morbidity and post-thrombotic syndrome in these patients. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(3):299–302.]

Keywords: May-Thurner syndrome; deep venous thrombosis; case report.

INTRODUCTION

Lower extremity deep venous thrombosis (DVT) is frequently diagnosed in the emergency department (ED) setting. Risk factors include malignancy, recent major surgery, trauma, obesity, pregnancy, prolonged immobilization, and hormone therapy. In addition, anatomical abnormalities can also predispose patients to DVT. One such frequently recognized anatomical variant is May-Thurner syndrome (MTS), defined by extrinsic compression of the left common iliac vein between the right common iliac artery and lumbar vertebrae. This compression can lead to venous congestion and, ultimately, left iliofemoral DVT.

The incidence of MTS ranges between 18-49% among patients with left lower extremity DVT. It is three times more common in women than men, and typically presents in patients between ages 30-40. Most cases of MTS are asymptomatic and do not require treatment. Symptomatic MTS, however, frequently presents as DVT and requires intervention beyond medical management with anticoagulation. Angioplasty, stenting, and/or thrombectomy prevent complications and minimize morbidity and mortality. Failure to identify MTS as a cause of DVT results in suboptimal treatment and often recurrent thrombosis. May-Thurner syndrome is an essential consideration for the emergency physician in the differential diagnosis of unilateral leg swelling.

CASE REPORT

A 39-year-old woman with history of alcohol use disorder presented to our ED with two days of atraumatic left leg swelling and pain. She had no risk factors for DVT and no personal or family history of thromboembolic disease or hypercoagulability. Physical exam revealed significant swelling of the left lower extremity with positive Homans’ sign and severe pitting edema.
extending from the foot to the groin. The extremity was well perfused, hyperemic, with palpable distal pulses. We initially considered uncomplicated DVT, cellulitis, lymphedema, thrombophilic disease, and early phlegmasia cerulea dolens.

Doppler ultrasonography revealed a large occlusive thrombus in the left common femoral vein extending inferiorly to the great saphenous vein. Given the severity of the patient’s symptoms, lack of significant DVT risk factors, and extensive thrombus found on ultrasound, a computed tomography (CT) venogram was obtained to evaluate for underlying structural pathology. Computed tomography showed a fully occlusive filling defect in the left common iliac and femoral veins, extending into the distal inferior vena cava, with evidence of compression of the left iliac vein by the overlying artery, suggestive of May-Thurner syndrome (MTS). A heparin drip was initiated, and the patient was admitted to the hospital for further management.

Given the severity of her symptoms and risk for post-thrombotic syndrome, interventional radiology (IR) was consulted during her admission. The patient elected to proceed with IR-guided thrombectomy, angioplasty, and stenting the following day. The procedure showed a stenotic region of the common iliac vein, confirming a diagnosis of MTS. A 14-millimeter venous stent was placed in this area after pharmacomechanical thrombectomy. She had an uneventful postoperative course, with near-resolution of her symptoms, and was discharged three days later with rivaroxaban and lifelong clopidogrel therapy.

**DISCUSSION**

Anatomic variants are important but often overlooked risk factors for DVT. May-Thurner syndrome is the most common structural anomaly that predisposes patients to left lower extremity DVT (Figure).

The proposed pathogenesis behind symptomatic MTS is the development of a venous spur. The arterial pulsations of the right iliac artery damage the connective tissue in the iliac vein, leading to the development of a DVT.\(^1,3\) Risk factors for MTS include female gender, scoliosis, pregnancy or the postpartum period, and hormone therapy. Importantly, it is also prudent for the emergency physician to have a high index of suspicion for MTS in patients who have no risk factors for hypercoagulability and yet present with significant left leg swelling, particularly proximal swelling, and/or recurrent DVT.\(^4\) The presence of significant symptoms and thrombus burden with a lack of predisposing factors for thromboembolism can be a clue to underlying structural or anatomical variation as the etiology.

In terms of evaluation, ultrasound is the mainstay of initial diagnostic imaging in cases where DVT is suspected. Unfortunately, ultrasound cannot visualize DVT proximal to the groin or external compression of the iliac vein. Thus, further imaging using computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance (MR) venography is necessary to diagnose MTS. The use of CT venography has been shown to provide rapid and reliable detection of compression syndromes and is the gold standard for diagnosis.\(^5\) Overall, certain patient factors and exam findings should raise the clinical suspicion for MTS.
and prompt further imaging with CT venography in the ED where DVT is suspected or confirmed. These include female gender, scoliosis or spinal abnormalities, extensive left-sided or recurrent DVT, or new diagnosis of DVT in an otherwise low-risk patient.

There are several unique considerations in the management of DVT caused by MTS. Importantly, treatment with anticoagulation alone results in suboptimal outcomes as it does not address the underlying structural pathology of this condition. This can lead to re-thrombosis, recurrent symptoms, iliac vein rupture, and chronic venous stasis. Thus, the first line treatment of MTS is mechanical management with endovascular treatment, namely angioplasty and stenting. This approach reduces the risk for recurrent DVT and long-term negative sequelae such as post-thrombotic syndrome.6

Post-thrombotic syndrome is a common complication of DVT and is thought to be caused by venous hypertension from outflow obstruction due to a thrombus. Symptoms are similar to other causes of venous insufficiency, ranging from minor leg swelling to significant leg pain with ulceration.7 In one study comparing the use of anticoagulation alone vs stent implantation plus anticoagulation after thrombectomy, 72% of patients had postoperative re-thrombosis as opposed to 13% of patients who underwent stent placement.8 Furthermore, there have been a number of other case reports and reviews that advocate for the successful use of endovascular stent placement in long-term treatment of DVT secondary to MTS.8,9 Overall, angioplasty and stent placement significantly improve quality of life for patients and continue to be the evidence-based method of treatment.

Notably, there is no consensus on the optimal antithrombotic regimen for MTS following venous stenting. Some have advocated for an aggressive approach with both anticoagulation and antiplatelet therapy to prevent re-thrombosis and stent failure.10 This was the treatment approach for the patient presented here. Others have suggested sole anticoagulation for at least six months after stent placement. Unfortunately, there is a paucity of literature on the optimal anticoagulation regimen and the exact duration of treatment. Studies report use of a variety of agents, including warfarin, enoxaparin, and direct oral anticoagulants such as rivaroxaban and apixaban.

To date, no randomized controlled trials have been conducted to establish efficacy of one anticoagulation regimen over another. However, most data supports the need for some form of post-procedural anticoagulation.11 In addition, studies have shown better outcomes in terms of preventing stent thrombosis with longer duration of anticoagulation therapy. One such systematic review showed that six months of warfarin anticoagulation yielded a 78% 12-month stent patency rate, compared to 89% when warfarin anticoagulation extended beyond six months.12 Overall, further investigation is required to determine the optimal antithrombotic regimen for MTS patients after endovascular treatment.

**CONCLUSION**

It is essential for emergency physicians to consider anatomic variants such as MTS in the evaluation of patients with unilateral leg swelling. In this case report, we highlight MTS as an important anatomical cause of DVT, especially in young women with left lower extremity swelling and patients without other significant risk factors for thromboembolic disease. Cases are often missed, as the diagnosis of MTS in the ED setting requires a high index of suspicion and CT or MR venography, which is not part of the standard workup for DVT. Prompt recognition of this condition and referral for advanced mechanical or thrombolytic intervention can significantly decrease morbidity and ischemic complications.
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Introduction: Anti-leucine-rich glioma inactivated-1 (LGI-1) is one of few antibodies implicated in limbic encephalitis, a syndrome that can result in permanent neurological symptoms if left untreated.

Case Report: We present a patient with dystonic seizures, progressive cognitive decline, psychiatric symptoms and short-term memory loss, and mild hyponatremia diagnosed with anti-LGI-1 antibody limbic encephalitis.

Conclusion: There are few reports in the emergency medicine community describing anti-LGI-1 antibody limbic encephalitis. Delay in diagnosis can risk irreversible limbic damage. Therefore, it is important for the emergency physician to be aware of anti-LGI-1 antibody limbic encephalitis when presented with adult-onset seizures and altered mental status of unknown etiology. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(3):303–306.]

Keywords: Autoimmune encephalitis; altered mental status; LGI-1 antibody; limbic encephalitis.

INTRODUCTION
Limbic encephalitis (LE), a subtype of autoimmune encephalitis, is characterized by an antibody-mediated inflammation of the limbic region. The limbic system is comprised of the hippocampus, hypothalamus, cingulate gyrus, amygdala, and limbic cortex. Together, these structures play a crucial role in one’s emotions, learning, memory, and motivation. In essence, the limbic system significantly contributes to a person’s temperament. Limbic encephalitis is classically recognized as a paraneoplastic disease, associated most often with small-cell carcinoma of the lung, various testicular tumors, thymoma, breast cancer, and Hodgkin lymphoma. Nevertheless, it is now understood that LE can also manifest secondary to an autoimmune or post-infectious process. Patients typically present with dystonic seizures, altered mental status, and other neurologic deficits related to limbic function. While LE is potentially reversible with early immunotherapy, failure to recognize the condition can lead to irreversible disease progression and poor neurological outcomes. We discuss a patient who presented to the emergency department (ED) with progressive cognitive decline, seizures, memory loss, and emotional instability who was ultimately diagnosed with anti-LGI-1 antibody LE.

CASE REPORT
A 70-year-old, Spanish-speaking female with a two-month history of newly diagnosed Parkinson’s disease, anxiety, and complex partial seizures, who was recently started on valproic acid, presented to our ED in the company of her daughter for evaluation of increasing seizure episodes and confusion in the prior 24 hours. The patient denied any infectious symptoms, headache, or back pain. Her daughter noted that the patient had been high functioning, serving as the primary caregiver for her own mother until two months earlier, when she abruptly developed progressive short-term memory deficits, decreased appetite, insomnia, severe paranoia, hallucinations, and episodes of stiffening and jerking movements of the face and arms that had worsened, despite initiation of valproic acid. Her past medical history was significant for polyps on her last colonoscopy. Her surgical, social, and family histories were
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negative for neurological diseases besides the aforementioned recent diagnoses.

On examination, her vital signs were unremarkable. Despite her confusion, she was alert and oriented with clear speech in Spanish when questioned, but she did not participate in history taking. Her pupils were normal. The patient’s right face tensed for approximately 10 seconds followed by mild confusion, but she could follow commands appropriately afterward without an obvious postictal period. No other focal neurological deficits were appreciated on neurological exam.

Her basic metabolic panel was significant for mild hyponatremia (133 millimoles per liter [mmol/L] (reference range 136-145 mmol/L). Her complete blood count was within normal limits. Despite abnormal urinalysis, her urine cultures were negative for growth. Her cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) protein (280.4 milligrams per deciliter [mg/dL] (reference range 15-60 mg/dL) and CSF red blood cell count (260,000/ microliter, normal = 0) were moderately elevated but more likely attributed to a traumatic lumbar puncture. Her CSF Gram stain was negative.

Non-contrast head computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed multiple abnormalities. Her CT was significant for scattered calcifications in the right caudate, right insular region, and right frontal lobe, which were reported as non-specific findings, possibly representing calcified emboli. Her MRI, which was obtained inpatient, demonstrated right amygdala and anterior temporal lobe edema and hyperintense thickening on the fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequence (Image).

Once autoimmune encephalitis was suspected, serum specimens were sent and resulted positive in less than one month. The inpatient encephalopathy, autoimmune

evaluation, and spinal fluid 2 assay confirmed the anti-LGI-1 antibody in her CSF. This finding, along with hyponatremia, faciobrachial dystonic seizures, and hyperintense limbic thickening on MRI (Image) were all consistent with LGI-1 antibody encephalitis. The patient began immunotherapy treatment with rituximab and a prednisone taper. On follow-up, she has reportedly demonstrated a robust response with near-resolution of symptoms. The presence of occult malignancy is under investigation at this time, with a chest radiograph without evidence of malignancy, and a pending colonoscopy.

DISCUSSION
Autoimmune encephalitis is an uncommon cause of acute altered mental status and new-onset seizures in the ED. While there is an exhaustive list of antibody targets implicated in autoimmune encephalitis as a whole (ie, N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor, α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor), only a few proteins have been identified that are specifically targeted in the limbic system, namely leucine-rich glioma inactivated-1 (LGI-1) and contactin-associated
protein-like 2 (CASPR2) membrane protein. These two proteins are functional components of the voltage-gated potassium channels (VGKC) in limbic neurons. While the pathophysiology of LE is not completely understood, it is thought that the antibodies targeting LGI-1 and CASPR2 disrupt the function of VGKCs, leading to hyperexcitability of neurons found in the limbic system. While LE as a cause of autoimmune encephalitis is uncommon, anti-LGI-1 antibody subtype of LE is rarer still, with an estimated annual incidence of .83 cases/million.

Antibody production in LE was once thought to arise solely in the context of paraneoplastic disease; however, individual case reports in the last decade demonstrate anti-LGI-1 antibodies in the CSF without an underlying malignancy. Anti-LGI-1 antibody LE is exceedingly rare. Thus, LE can arise from both paraneoplastic and independent autoimmune or post-infectious processes, the latter now clinically presenting more frequently in current literature. Given our patient’s recent colonoscopy demonstrating polyps, it would not be unreasonable to consider further investigation for an underlying neoplastic process to explain a potential paraneoplastic etiology of her LE.

Limbic encephalitis is commonly preceded by episodic seizures known as faciobrachial dystonic seizures (FBDS). Emergency physicians may recognize these uncommon seizures as brief (ie, seconds), unilateral facial grimacing and arm posturing, as were seen in our patient on physical exam. The presentation of FBDS are characteristically adult-onset, refractory to anti-epileptic drugs, and immunosuppressive-therapy responsive. Although highly associated with the LGI-1 antibody, it is unclear whether presence of FBDS is rather a symptom than a cause of the development of LE. Nevertheless, emergency physicians may need to consider anti-LGI-1 antibody LE should these rare but classic seizures be observed.

Additionally, limbic involvement in autoimmune encephalitis should present with one or more of the following psychiatric features: emotional instability; psychosis; memory loss; cognitive decline; or sleep-related disorders. Our patient struggled with all of these symptoms at various times in her illness. The delay in diagnosis of LE can be reasonably attributed to the overlap of psychiatric findings found in more prevalent geriatric conditions, including dementia, substance use, delirium, and other primary mood disorders. Lastly, imaging and CSF analysis play a supportive role to further delineate a diagnosis of anti-LGI-1 antibody LE. Patients suspected of the disease will typically present with either an additional finding of a neoplastic mass, a confirmed anti-LGI-1 antibody on CSF encephalopathy-autoimmune evaluation, and/or an increased FLAIR hyperintense signaling in the medial temporal lobes on MRI (unilaterally or bilaterally). In a case such as the one we report here, it is unlikely that an emergency physician would include MRI in the initial workup; rather, a head CT would more likely be performed. However, CT would probably only demonstrate calcifications that are largely non-specific and more representative of chronic changes common to our patient’s age group, raising little suspicion for further investigation. Unfortunately, if left untreated, most patients progress to permanent hippocampal atrophy with irreversible cognitive dysfunction. Additionally, hyponatremia has been found to be a characteristic finding of LE, although non-specific and associated with many seizure disorders.

One month prior to her visit to the ED, our patient was initially misdiagnosed with Parkinsonism, and generalized anxiety. Nevertheless, her facial and upper extremity seizures were refractory to valproic acid, and her hallucinations and cognitive decline worsened despite the increased dosing of haloperidol and quetiapine. The delay in diagnosis is typical of patients with autoimmune encephalitis. Although autoimmune encephalitis of any kind is ultimately not an ED diagnosis, it is crucial for the emergency physician to recognize this constellation of FDBS, hyponatremia, and worsening cognitive decline as being highly suspicious of anti-LGI-1 antibody LE. The physician should obtain CSF studies and MRI to evaluate the limbic region if the diagnosis is possible, as early diagnosis and treatment of LE can prevent or reverse existing hippocampal damage and cognitive decline.

The first-line treatment of LE includes corticosteroids, intravenous immunoglobulins, and plasmapheresis. These treatment options have shown clinical improvement in up to 80% of patients. The second-line treatments include the addition of immunosuppressive therapy, such as mycophenolate mofetil or rituximab, although the benefits of these options are still not clear. If the diagnosis is being considered, neurology consultation will help guide treatment choice.

CONCLUSION
The adult patient with acutely altered mental status and newly diagnosed seizures in the absence of clear etiology presenting to the ED should be considered for workup of autoimmune encephalitis. Emergency physicians encountering the additional findings of faciobrachial dystonic seizures, hyponatremia, and MRI abnormalities in the limbic region should have high clinical suspicion for anti-LGI-1 antibody limbic encephalitis. Confirmatory tests include anti-LGI-1 antibodies in the cerebrospinal fluid. Early diagnosis and treatment with first-line agents have a high likelihood of reversal of cognitive decline and permanent limbic damage.
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INTRODUCTION

Physical urticaria is the term given to a spectrum of conditions where urticaria or angioedema develops in response to a physical stimulus.1,2 This may occur following exposure to heat (heat-induced urticaria), cold (cold-induced urticaria), water of any temperature (aquagenic urticaria), sunlight (solar urticaria), vibrating machinery (vibratory urticaria), elevated body temperature (cholinergic urticaria), firm stroking or scratching of the skin (dermographism), or in a delayed form up to 12 hours after application of pressure (delayed pressure urticaria).1,3,4 Obtaining a detailed history in regard to exposure to the various triggers seen in physical urticarias is essential to establish a diagnosis. A summary of the various physical urticarias and their triggers are summarized in Figure 1.

The majority of patients with cold-induced urticaria (CIU) develop localized urticarial wheals or cutaneous angioedema within minutes of cold exposure.5 However, awareness of this disease process should be of particular interest to emergency physicians as nearly 37% of individuals may experience systemic symptoms ranging from generalized urticaria to anaphylaxis.4,5,6,7,8,9 We report the case of a patient presenting to the emergency department (ED) with symptoms suggestive of anaphylaxis immediately upon jumping into a lake in the middle of summer.

CASE REPORT

A 50-year-old male presented to the ED with complaints of reoccurring syncope, diffuse urticaria, shortness of breath, vomiting, and reported hypotension by palpation after jumping into a lake. On the day of presentation to the ED, the ambient air temperature was 85°F, while the surface temperature of the lake into which the patient jumped was 68°F. Immediately upon jumping into the lake, bystanders observed the patient to have altered mentation, respiratory distress, and inability to swim back to the boat,
Although his head remained above water. After being pulled onto the boat, he was described as hyperemic and was noted to be dyspneic. The patient had multiple episodes of syncope along with nausea and vomiting during transport to the ED. He was administered diphenhydramine prior to arrival. Upon arrival to the ED, a diffuse urticarial rash was appreciated. However, further systemic symptoms had improved as he no longer demonstrated respiratory distress, nausea, vomiting, lightheadedness, or syncope. His lungs were clear to auscultation, heart rate was mildly tachycardic at 108 beats per minute and his reported hypotension was improving with a triage blood pressure of 95/67 millimeters of mercury. Epinephrine was deferred due to his rapidly improving symptoms following diphenhydramine administration and removal of the suspected trigger.

Further questioning revealed the patient to have no chronic medical conditions. He did not carry a formal diagnosis of CIU. However, he described symptoms consistent with CIU as he reported that he had several episodes of an unexplained skin eruption recurring on skin exposed to cold air for the prior six months (following a flu-like illness the previous winter). His symptoms would resolve with over-the-counter diphenhydramine, and therefore he never sought medical attention. Further, since the warmer months, he had not had any ongoing episodes until ED presentation.

His ED course was uncomplicated. After complete resolution of his symptoms, the patient was provided education about cold urticaria and told to avoid water submersion. An epinephrine autoinjector was prescribed along with daily antihistamines and he was referred to an allergist for follow-up.

**DISCUSSION**

Cold-induced urticaria is the development of wheals or angioedema in response to cold air, liquid, or solid objects. According to international guidelines from the 2016 consensus recommendations of the European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology/ the Global Allergy and Asthma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical features and triggers of physical urticarias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic dermographism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold urticaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed Pressure urticaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar urticaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat urticaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibratory Urticaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholinergic urticaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact urticaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquagenic urticaria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1. Clinical features and triggers of physical urticarias.**
European Network/the European Dermatology Forum/the Urticaria Network e.V., CIU falls under a subset of chronic inducible urticarias. While considered rare, with a reported prevalence of 0.05%, CIU makes up 5-34% of physical urticaria subtypes, second only to dermographism. The acquired onset of CIU is most common in early adult life with a mean age onset of 22 years. Up to 25% of cases occur in childhood. Some studies suggest a higher prevalence in females as well as a higher incidence in geographic areas with cooler climates. The majority of CIU cases never have an identifiable cause. Secondary causes have been associated with a wide range of possible etiologies. These include infections (Lyme disease, human immunodeficiency virus, Helicobacter pylori colonization, syphilis, mononucleosis, rubella, toxoplasmosis, varicella, hepatitis); medications (oral contraceptives, penicillin, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors); cryoglobulinemia; Hymenoptera stings; hematologic malignancies; and immunotherapy. A familial variant with autosomal-dominant transmission has also been identified and tends to portray a higher morbidity. See Figure 2 for a summary of secondary causes of chronic urticaria.

The exact pathogenesis of CIU has not been well established. Current hypotheses include the presence of immunoglobulin (Ig) E autoantibodies that react against specific skin antigens only at low temperatures, leading to activation and degranulation of skin mast cells and the resultant release of histamine and other proinflammatory mediators. While the long-term prognosis of acquired forms of CIU is generally good, the condition can lead to a diminished quality of life for a large number of patients. Some studies suggest that a self-limited remission can be achieved in up to 50% of patients with acquired disease within 5-6 years, while others are less positive reporting that only 25% have resolution of symptoms by 10 years. In patients who achieve remission, recurrence is unlikely; however, the majority of patients with familial variants will have symptoms lasting a lifetime.

Most patients develop localized urticarial wheals or cutaneous angioedema within minutes of exposure to cold air, surfaces, or water. However, nearly 37% of patients may experience systemic symptoms including generalized urticaria, headache, fatigue, respiratory distress, or anaphylaxis with cardiovascular compromise, most commonly following swimming. A distinct subtype of CIU (delayed type) in which patients may not develop symptoms for 24-72 hours after cold exposure also deserves recognition in the emergency medicine literature.

For those attempting to establish a diagnosis in the ED, guidelines from the 2016 international consensus recommendations suggest appropriate diagnostic testing be done through cold provocation testing using either the ice cube test or measurements using TempTest (Professor Marcus Maurer, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany). With the ice cube test, the clinician places an ice cube on the forearm for 3-5 minutes and then

---

**Secondary causes of chronic inducible urticarias**

**Infections**
- Lyme disease
- Human immunodeficiency virus
- *Helicobacter pylori*
- Herpes simplex virus
- Helminthic parasitic infections
- Syphilis
- Mononucleosis
- Rabies
- Toxoplasmosis
- Varicella
- Hepatitis B and C
- Epstein-Barr Virus
- Respiratory Syncytial Virus

**Medications**
- Oral contraceptives
- Penicillin
- Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors
- Griseofulvin
- Immunotherapy

**Endocrine**
- Hypothyroidism
- Hyperthyroidism

**Immunologic Conditions**
- Specific complement deficiencies
- Cryoglobulinemia
- Serum sickness
- Other immune-complex mediated processes
- Systemic lupus erythematosus
- Sjögren syndrome
- Dermatomyositis
- Polymyositis
- Still disease
- Juvenile rheumatoid arthritis
- Leukocytoclastic Vasculitis
- Thyroid autoantibodies
- IgE receptor autoantibodies

**Neoplasms**
- Lymphoreticular malignancy
- Lymphoproliferative disorders
- Ovarian tumors
- Schnitzler syndrome

**Insects**
- Hymenoptera stings

---

*Figure 2. Secondary causes of chronic inducible urticarias.*

*Ig, Immunoglobulin.*
it is removed for 10 minutes. The clinician then observes for
development of wheals after rewarming, indicating a positive
test with sensitivity of 83-90% and a specificity of 100%.
Alternatively, cold provocation can be done with a device
such as the TempTest, where the patient is exposed to a
variety of temperatures yielding similar diagnostic accuracy
to the ice cube test (sensitivity of 93% and specificity of 100%).
While unlikely to be available in the ED, devices like the TempTest enable clinicians to both establish a
diagnosis and determine the threshold temperature at which
symptoms occurs for a given patient.

Cold provocation testing using ice packs or cold-water
baths is not recommended due to the risk of systemic
response with the increased percentage of surface area
affected. It is worth mentioning that a subset of patients
will have a negative cold provocation test, which does not
exclude the diagnosis, as atypical variants are possible.

Acute treatment of patients presenting to the ED
is with antihistamines and, if symptoms are suggestive
of anaphylaxis, epinephrine. Intravenous fluids should
be warmed, as some patients’ thresholds for histamine
release may be above that of room temperature fluids.
Patients should be counseled on avoiding over-exposure
in cold weather and to avoid cold water submersion.
If patients do not know their personal temperature threshold,
counseling can include avoiding water temperatures less
than 77°F (25°C), as temperatures above this threshold are
generally considered safe for most patients.

Further, patients should be advised that cold food and beverages should be
avoided as they could induce oropharyngeal angioedema or
anaphylaxis. Patients who present with systemic or atypical
symptoms should be prescribed an epinephrine autoinjector
and referred to an allergy/immunology specialist for
diagnostic testing and chronic management.

A short (less than 10 days) course of corticosteroids may
be considered for other types of chronic urticaria; however, the
literature has not shown this to be beneficial in preventing the
histamine release seen in the cold-induced urticaria subset of
these patients and is therefore not routinely recommended.

Addition of a histamine receptor (H2)-antihistamine blocker,
such as famotidine or cimetidine, may be helpful in patients
refractory to H1-antihistamine monotherapy.

Beyond the acute management of CIU, chronic treatment
is largely aimed at avoidance of cold and prevention of
symptoms with prophylactic dosing of second-generation
H1-antihistamine blockers (e.g., cetirizine, loratadine,
desloratadine, ketotifen, bilastine). In 2014, a joint
task force representing the American Academy of Allergy,
Asthma & Immunology; the American College of Allergy,
Asthma & Immunology; and the Joint Council of Allergy,
Asthma & Immunology published a practice parameter update
describing a stepwise approach to the general management
of chronic urticaria (Figure 3). H1-antihistamine dosing
should begin at standard dosing and titrated upward as needed.
High dose regimens (up to four times the daily recommended
dose) are often needed; studies have not shown an increase

### Stepwise Management of Chronic Urticaria

**Step 1**
- Avoidance of known trigger
- Standard dose 2nd generation H1-antihistamine (e.g., cetirizine, loratadine, desloratadine, ketotifen, bilastine)
- Prescribe Epinephrine autoinjector if history of systemic symptoms

**Step 2**
- Dose advancement of 2nd generation H1-antihistamine (up to 4-times the daily recommended dose)
- Addition of another 2nd generation H1-antihistamine
- Addition of an H2-antagonist (e.g., cimetidine)
- Addition of a leukotriene receptor antagonist (e.g., montelukast, zafirlukast)
- Addition of a 1st generation H1-antihistamine at bedtime (e.g., diphenhydramine)

**Step 3**
- Dose advancement of potent antihistamines (e.g., cyproheptadine, hydroxyzine, doxepin)
- Should only be done in consultation with an allergist

**Step 4**
- Addition of an IgE antagonist (e.g., omalizumab)
- Addition of immunosuppressants (e.g., cyclosporine)
- Should only be done in consultation with an allergist

*Figure 3. Stepwise management of chronic urticaria.*

H1, histamine-1 receptor; H2, histamine-2 receptor.
in adverse events with this regimen.\textsuperscript{10,15} For patients with symptoms refractory to high-dose antihistamines, the addition of another second-generation antihistamine, an H2-antagonist (ie, cimetidine), a leukotriene receptor antagonist (ie, montelukast, zafirlukast) or the addition of a first-generation antihistamine (ie, diphenhydramine) taken at bedtime can be considered. For patients refractory to these measures, dose advancements of potent antihistamines (ie, cyproheptadine, hydroxyzine or doxepin) could be considered followed by the addition of an IgE antagonist (omalizumab) or immunosuppressant (ie, cyclosporine), but should only be started in conjunction with an allergy clinician.\textsuperscript{3,7,10,12,15} While systemic corticosteroids are frequently used, no controlled studies have shown efficacy for chronic urticarias, and their long-term use is not recommended.\textsuperscript{15}

**CONCLUSION**

Cold-induced urticaria is a rare disease process with the potential to carry significant morbidity and even mortality if it is not properly identified upon initial presentation to the ED. When patients present to the ED with concerns for allergic reaction, it is important for the clinician to assess for the presence of physical triggers when obtaining a detailed history, particularly when the underlying etiology is not apparent. While the emergent management of CIU is similar to other causes of allergic response or anaphylaxis, recognition of cold exposure as an etiology is imperative as recurrent exposure, particularly during swimming, could be lethal.
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**Introduction:** An aortoenteric fistula (AEF) is an abnormal connection between the aorta and the gastrointestinal tract due to pathologic cause. It is a rare, but life-threatening, cause of gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding. Although no single imaging modality exists that definitively diagnoses AEF, computed tomography angiography (CTA) of the abdomen and pelvis is the preferred initial test due to widespread availability and efficiency.

**Case Report:** Many deaths occur before the diagnosis is made or prior to surgical intervention. We describe a case of a patient with a history of aortic graft repair who presented with active GI bleeding.

**Conclusion:** Although CTA can make the diagnosis of AEF, it cannot adequately rule it out. In patients with significant GI bleeding and prior history of aortic surgery, vascular surgery should be consulted early on, even if CTA is equivocal. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(3):312–315.]

**Keywords:** Vascular surgery; aortoenteric fistula; radiology; gastrointestinal bleeding.
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**INTRODUCTION**

An aortoenteric fistula (AEF) is an abnormal connection that forms between the aorta and the gastrointestinal tract due to pathologic cause. It is a rare but life-threatening condition with an annual incidence of 0.007 per million. Primary causes are due to compression of an abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) against gastrointestinal (GI) structures. In these cases, there is usually some type of inflammation affecting the aorta, whether it be septic aortitis from bacteremia, cancer, inflammatory bowel disease, peptic ulcer, radiation, perforating biliary stones, or autoimmune disease. Primary AEFs have an incidence of 0.04-0.07%. The most affected portion of bowel in AEF is between the infrarenal aorta and the third and fourth portion of the duodenum.

Secondary etiology is due to erosion of an aortic prosthetic graft after an open repair into the surrounding GI structures. The most frequently affected portion of the bowel is the third portion of the duodenum, likely due to its retroperitoneal fixation and proximity to aorta. Secondary aortoenteric fistulas (SAEF) are far more common than primary. The overall incidence of SAEF has been measured at 0.36-1.6%. They are exceedingly rare after endovascular aneurysm repair.

Gastrointestinal bleeding is the most common initial presentation, occurring in 90% of patients. Abdominal pain is only present in 28%, and fever is present in up to 25%. The classically taught triad of GI bleeding, abdominal pain, and a palpable mass, however, is seen in only 6-12% of patients. One study demonstrated that only 29% of patients arrived with massive hemorrhage. Consequently, diagnosis is not easy due to its rarity and varied presentation, requiring astute clinical judgment. Early diagnosis of SAEF often relies upon recognition of typical “herald bleed,” which is an episode of self-limited bleeding that precedes catastrophic hemorrhage.

Although no single imaging modality definitively diagnoses SAEF, computed tomography angiography (CTA) of the abdomen and pelvis is the preferred initial test due to widespread availability and efficiency. However, CTA has been found to range from 40-90% sensitive and 33-100% specific. This is likely due to the aspects surrounding AEF. Inflammation often...
precludes proper viewing of the affected area, the graft bleeding can often be a small site, and the bleeding might be intermittent and not occurring at the time of radiographic imaging.8-10

We discuss a case where the abdominal CTA was equivocal for suspected SAEF, leading to delayed diagnosis and mortality. This case report emphasizes the importance of maintaining high clinical suspicion for a rare but deadly etiology of GI bleeding, and to understand the limitations of studies used to identify it. Without treatment, AEF is universally fatal.

CASE REPORT

A 74-year-old female presented from her skilled nursing facility after development of dark tarry stool from her ostomy and one episode of dark red hematemesis about two hours prior. She denied any abdominal pain. On arrival she was found to be hypotensive with mean arterial pressure of 50 millimeters of mercury and heart rate in the 120s. The patient had a complicated past medical history, including autoimmune hepatitis, AAA mesh repair in 2015 complicated by pulseless electrical activity arrest, fourth thoracic vertebrae infarct resulting in paraplegia, and neurogenic bladder requiring a chronic indwelling catheter. She also had a left hemicolectomy secondary to ischemic colitis, requiring an ostomy. The patient took 81 milligrams aspirin daily, and otherwise was not on any blood thinners. She had no history of peptic ulcer disease and was not on chronic nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications.

Immediately on arrival the patient was given one liter crystalloid with no improvement; therefore, one unit whole blood was given, followed by multiple units of emergency release packed red blood cells. While in the emergency department (ED), the patient’s ostomy bag was changed multiple times due to it being filled with dark red bloody stool. A CT abdomen and pelvis with intravenous (IV) contrast was performed but did not identify any obvious source of acute GI bleeding or acute pathology. Clear identification of the aortic graft occurred but showed no contrast extravasation or fistula (Image 1 and 2).

After consultation with gastroenterology, the patient was admitted and rapidly transferred to the medical intensive care unit (ICU). By that time, she continued to have intermittent output from her ostomy. Shortly after admission she was administered vasopressor; her mental status declined, and she was intubated. The patient became too unstable for esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD), and so CTA was performed. The CTA showed no active extravasation, but there was contrast within the distal colon and within parastomal hernia from the original contrasted study on pre-contrast images of the CTA (Image 3). Interventional radiology was consulted, but they deferred arteriography given lack of active extravasation on CTA.

Hours later, the patient had increased pressor requirements, and there was renewed concern for active bleeding. While preparing for EGD, the patient’s metabolic acidosis worsened. Lactate increased to 12.5 millimoles per liter (mmol/L) (reference range 2-4 mmol/L), white blood cell count 33 (4.5-11 x 10^9/L), and arterial blood pH 7.24. Vascular surgery consult voiced concern for AEF. By this time the patient had rapidly declined in her course of illness and surgery was no longer a viable option. Due to decompensation with multisystem organ failure, further discussions were held.
with the patient’s two daughters, and the decision was made to move her to comfort care.

**DISCUSSION**

This case highlights two important concepts germane to emergency physicians: The first is to maintain a high level of suspicion for life-threatening diseases, especially rare ones; and the second is to understand the difference between the timing of a CT with contrast and one timed as an aortogram.

Secondary AEF is difficult to diagnose for several reasons. It is a rare disease that can occur with or without aortic aneurysmal repair. It may not present as GI bleeding, but instead with hypotension and a fever mimicking septic shock. Myriad causes may be blamed for the apparent GI bleeding, especially if it is self-limited. We would argue that any patient with a history of an open aortic aneurysmal repair with GI bleeding should be considered an SAEF until proven otherwise. Given the often-vague presentation yet high propensity of morbidity and mortality in AEF, consulting vascular surgery early is the right decision.

In this case, there was never definitive radiographic proof (eg, active extravasation, periaortic edema, graft thrombosis, or thickening and close proximity of the graft to the bowel) that an AEF was present. The first CT ordered was with contrast. This study, in comparison to the gold standard of CT angiography, is not timed to follow the contrast through the vasculature, and thus may not identify active extravasation. Unfortunately, in this case, the CT with IV contrast added a false sense of security among the ED, ICU, GI, and interventional radiology teams.

Computed tomography angiography of the abdomen and pelvis, with and without contrast, is the preferred initial test. Traditional CT with IV contrast does not have adequate sensitivity and specificity for detecting aortic injuries, and its inability to perform accurate arterial-phase scanning followed by detailed 1-mm collimation makes it an inferior first-line test when concerned about AEF. However, CTA is not without limitations. It has been found to range from 40-90% sensitivity and 33-100% specificity. Typical features on CTA that suggest AEF include ectopic gas adjacent to, or within, the aorta, focal bowel wall thickening, discontinuity of the aortic wall, and active extravasation of contrast into the bowel lumen. Additionally, the fat plane between the aorta and bowel is often obliterated along the affected segment. In most cases the site of the fistula is between the proximal suture line and the duodenum.

It must be emphasized that CTA of the abdomen and pelvis must be performed properly to not limit its sensitivity and specificity. All aorta evaluations should occur on 16- or 64-detector CT scanners. Initially, unenhanced scanning should occur, followed by arterial-phase CT performed with bolus contrast tracking and 1-mm collimation. Importantly, there must be an 80-second delay before imaging of the abdomen. This delayed imaging should be performed to detect endoluminal leakage when an aortic graft or stent is present. Oral contrast should never be used, as it can obscure subtle extravasation from the aorta into the bowel lumen. A positive, as well as an indeterminate or equivocal CTA in a patient with active or intermittent GI bleeding, should prompt emergent vascular surgery consultation. Traditional CT with IV contrast has very limited sensitivity and specificity and should not be relied upon to rule out AEF.

The CTA in this case demonstrated contrast in the distal colon and parastomal hernia on pre-contrast images, which implied contrast from the prior CT of the abdomen and pelvis performed hours earlier had moved from the vasculature into the GI tract. This finding is expected in a patient with a GI bleed but does not indicate the origin of the contrast-containing blood. Deferring arteriography due to a lack of active extravasation may be argued from a standpoint of efficacy of the study.

Vascular surgery was consulted very late in the patient’s disease course – too late to offer surgical intervention. Without surgical intervention, AEFs are virtually 100% fatal. When surgery was performed, one study found mortality to be 36%. Most deaths occurred before the diagnosis was made or prior
to surgical intervention. The risk of mortality of this patient who presented in extremis with need for blood products, however, was very high, especially given her age and other underlying medical conditions.

As is often the case in patients with GI bleeding, gastroenterology was primarily consulted instead of vascular surgery. However, endoscopy is not the preferred evaluation or management of AEFs. The sensitivity of endoscopy for SAEF is only 50%. Interestingly, peptic ulcers were found three times more often in association with AAA than in the general population. Even more concerning, a normal endoscopy or one positive for peptic ulcer disease without active bleeding does not definitively rule out AEF.

CONCLUSION
Aortoenteric fistula remains a rare but deadly cause of GI bleeding. Without treatment, AEF is universally fatal. Any patient with a history of aortic surgery and GI bleeding should be considered to have an AEF until proven otherwise, and CTA should be used early on. Computed tomography angiography can rule in the diagnosis of AEF quite well, and is considered the first-line test, but it cannot adequately rule it out. In patients with GI bleeding and prior history of aortic surgery, vascular surgery should be consulted early on, even if CTA is equivocal.

The authors attest that their institution requires neither Institutional Review Board approval, nor patient consent for publication of this case report. Documentation on file.
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Introduction: Chest wall masses are rare in children, but the differential diagnosis is broad and can include traumatic injury, neoplasm, and inflammatory or infectious causes. We report a novel case of an eight-year-old, previously healthy female who presented to the emergency department (ED) with one month of cough, fevers, weight loss, and an anterior chest wall mass.

Case Report: The patient’s ultimate diagnosis was necrotizing pneumonia with pneumatocele extending into the chest wall. This case is notable for the severity of the patient’s pulmonary disease given its extension through the chest wall, and for the unique speciation of her infection.

Conclusion: Although necrotizing pneumonia is a rare complication of community-acquired pneumonia, it is important for the emergency physician to recognize it promptly as it indicates severe progression of pulmonary disease even in children with normal and stable vital signs, as in this case. The emergency physician should consider complications of pneumonia including pneumatocele and empyema necessitans when presented with an anterior chest wall mass in a pediatric patient. Additionally, point-of-care ultrasound was used in the ED to facilitate the diagnosis of this illness and was particularly useful in determining the continuity of the patient’s lung infection with her extrathoracic chest wall mass. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(3):316–319.]

Keywords: Necrotizing pneumonia; empyema necessitans; infectious disease; ultrasound; pediatric; case report.

INTRODUCTION

The emergency physician must consider a wide differential diagnosis for a pediatric patient with an acquired chest wall mass. An incomplete list of some of the most common etiologies includes trauma, neoplasm (most commonly lymphoma, germ cell or neurogenic tumors, sarcoma, lipoma), and inflammatory or infectious causes (abscess, granuloma, osteomyelitis, cellulitis). We present a case of an anterior chest wall mass in an eight-year-old, previously healthy female patient that was ultimately determined to be an extrathoracic extension of a necrotizing pneumonia of the right lung. We found no other reports in the literature describing a previously healthy pediatric patient with a chest wall mass arising from a necrotizing pneumonia communicating through the chest wall. We present this case to encourage the consideration of necrotizing pneumonia in a pediatric patient with a chest wall mass.

CASE REPORT

An eight-year-old, previously healthy female presented to the emergency department (ED) with one month of weight loss, fatigue, dry cough, and low-grade fevers. She had been seen one month prior to presentation for cough and fatigue at a clinic and was given return precautions for a presumed viral upper respiratory infection. Over the course of the subsequent month, her symptoms worsened to include weight loss, fatigue, and persistent low-grade fevers. She presented again to the clinic and was found to have labs significant for hemoglobin of 6.5 grams.
Vertelney et al. Unusual Chest Wall Mass in a Pediatric Patient
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What do we already know about this clinical entity?
Necrotizing pneumonia is a rare but serious complication of community-acquired pneumonia in children.

What makes this presentation of disease reportable?
We found no previously reported cases of necrotizing pneumonia in a pediatric patient that progressed through the chest wall to an extrathoracic pneumatocele.

What is the major learning point?
Community-acquired pneumonia can progress to necrotizing pneumonia and pleural infections involving the chest wall.

How might this improve emergency medicine practice?
Emergency physicians should consider advanced complications of pneumonia when presented with an acquired chest wall mass in a pediatric patient.

The patient’s initial ED bloodwork was significant for a hemoglobin of 5.9 g/dL with hematocrit of 20.2%, white blood cell count of 9.4 x 10^9/L (reference range: 4.5-15.5 x 10^9/L), and platelet count of 412 x 10^9/L (reference range: 140-450 x 10^9/L). C-reactive protein was 92.4 milligrams (mg)/L (0.1-1 mg/L). Her bloodwork was otherwise unremarkable including electrolytes within normal limits.

Point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) in the ED was performed to investigate the anterior chest wall mass using a linear transducer (Image 1). Heterogeneous hypoechoic fluid with scattered areas of echogenic air artifact was identified in the patient’s right chest. An extrathoracic small anterior chest wall collection demonstrated similar echogenic features with foci of gas and no evidence of internal vascularity. It appeared to communicate with the patient’s right lung.

Computed tomography of the chest (Images 2 and 3) showed massive right hemithorax 12.7 x 7.7 x 13.8 cm multiloculated fluid- and air-containing collection with an extrathoracic, rim-enhancing fistulous extension to the right anterior chest wall, measuring 3.3 x 2.0 cm. There was evidence of leftward mediastinal shift and occlusion of the right mainstem bronchus with significant mass effect onto a patent superior vena cava, with severe narrowing of the right main pulmonary artery and venous branches with arterial branches visualized distally.

The patient remained hemodynamically stable throughout her time in the ED and maintained adequate oxygenation with two liters of nasal cannula placed for comfort. Pediatric hematology/oncology was consulted from the ED for concern for possible malignancy. The patient was transfused three units of 5 milliliters (mL) per kilogram packed red blood cells and transferred to the pediatric intensive care unit (PICU).

The patient’s hospital course is summarized as follows: The right chest was aspirated, with approximately 400 mL of purulent material and blood drained in the operating room. A chest tube was placed to suction. The pleural cultures grew *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus*,

---
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per deciliter (g/dL) (reference range: 11.6-15.5 g/dL), hematocrit of 19% (35.0-45.0%), white blood cell count of 12.5 x 10^9/L (4.5-15.5 x 10^9/L), and platelets of 544 x 10^9/L (140-450 x 10^9/L).

The patient was then referred to the ED for further evaluation.

Initial vital signs included temperature of 36.9°C, heart rate of 145 beats per minute, blood pressure of 107/72 millimeters of mercury, respiratory rate of 34 breaths per minute, and oxygen saturation of 96% on room air at rest. Initial exam showed an ill-appearing, thin child in no apparent distress. She had multiple dental caries without tonsillar exudate or erythema, and no abscess noted around the teeth or in the soft tissues of the mouth, with midline uvula. Auscultation of the lungs revealed rhonchi with decreased air movement on the right. She had a 4-centimeter (cm) soft mass on her right anterior chest wall superior to the nipple in the mid-clavicular line without induration or erythema. Her abdomen was flat, soft, and nontender. Neurological examination was non-focal. Her skin was pale with scattered areas of hyperpigmentation on all extremities, and she had pale sclera.

The patient was then referred to the ED for further evaluation. Initial vital signs included temperature of 36.9°C, heart rate of 145 beats per minute, blood pressure of 107/72 millimeters of mercury, respiratory rate of 34 breaths per minute, and oxygen saturation of 96% on room air at rest. Initial exam showed an ill-appearing, thin child in no apparent distress. She had multiple dental caries without tonsillar exudate or erythema, and no abscess noted around the teeth or in the soft tissues of the mouth, with midline uvula. Auscultation of the lungs revealed rhonchi with decreased air movement on the right. She had a 4-centimeter (cm) soft mass on her right anterior chest wall superior to the nipple in the mid-clavicular line without induration or erythema. Her abdomen was flat, soft, and nontender. Neurological examination was non-focal. Her skin was pale with scattered areas of hyperpigmentation on all extremities, and she had pale sclera.

The patient’s initial ED bloodwork was significant for a hemoglobin of 5.9 g/dL with hematocrit of 20.2%, white blood cell count of 9.4 x 10^9/L (reference range: 4.5-15.5 x 10^9/L), and platelet count of 412 x 10^9/L (140-450 x 10^9/L). C-reactive protein was 92.4 milligrams (mg)/L (0.1-1 mg/L). Her bloodwork was otherwise unremarkable including electrolytes within normal limits.

Point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) in the ED was performed to investigate the anterior chest wall mass using a linear transducer (Image 1). Heterogeneous hypoechoic fluid with scattered areas of echogenic air artifact was identified in the patient’s right chest. An extrathoracic small anterior chest wall collection demonstrated similar echogenic features with foci of gas and no evidence of internal vascularity. It appeared to communicate with the patient’s right lung. Computed tomography of the chest (Images 2 and 3) showed massive right hemithorax 12.7 x 7.7 x 13.8 cm multiloculated fluid- and air-containing collection with an extrathoracic, rim-enhancing fistulous extension to the right anterior chest wall, measuring 3.3 x 2.0 cm. There was evidence of leftward mediastinal shift and occlusion of the right mainstem bronchus with significant mass effect onto a patent superior vena cava, with severe narrowing of the right main pulmonary artery and venous branches with arterial branches visualized distally.

The patient remained hemodynamically stable throughout her time in the ED and maintained adequate oxygenation with two liters of nasal cannula placed for comfort. Pediatric hematology/oncology was consulted from the ED for concern for possible malignancy. The patient was transfused three units of 5 milliliters (mL) per kilogram packed red blood cells and transferred to the pediatric intensive care unit (PICU).

The patient’s hospital course is summarized as follows: The right chest was aspirated, with approximately 400 mL of purulent material and blood drained in the operating room. A chest tube was placed to suction. The pleural cultures grew *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus*,
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**Fusobacterium nucleatum** and **Streptococcus viridans**. The patient completed a course of vancomycin and ampicillin and sulbactam before transitioning to amoxicillin clavulanate for three weeks of total antibiotic therapy. Pleural fluid cultures and imaging studies were as described previously. The patient intermittently required up to 10 L of high-flow nasal cannula during her PICU stay and elevated head of bed due to desaturations with activity. She was moved from the PICU on hospital day six to the floor on room air and was discharged to home after 19 total days hospitalized with a Heimlich valve in place with regular dressing changes and follow-up.

**DISCUSSION**

Necrotizing pneumonia is a severe complication of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) in children. It is characterized by a progressive bacterial pneumonia, often in a previously healthy and young (commonly less than five years of age) host. Although it is relatively uncommon and occurs in less than 4% of children with CAP by some estimates, serious complications such as septic shock, respiratory failure, pypneumothorax, and empyema have been reported. The most common pathogens are Streptococcus pneumoniae and Staphylococcus aureus. Computed tomography (CT) is the most sensitive diagnostic tool, with blood and pleural fluid cultures supporting speciation. The mechanism underlying the progression of bacterial pneumonia to necrotizing pneumonia is poorly understood but likely relates to both host susceptibility and bacterial virulence factors. Necrotizing pneumonia is often resistant to adequate antibiotic therapy and may require surgical treatment or drainage of pleural fluid and gas that cause mass effect in the chest. Despite its severity, long-term sequelae and death are uncommon, and patients typically achieve recovery 5-6 months following diagnosis.

In contrast, empyema necessitans is a rare complication of pleural space infections and occurs when the infected fluid dissects from the pleural space spontaneously into the chest wall. These cases most often result from inadequate treatment of an empyema but can occur after a necrotizing pneumonia or pulmonary abscess. Actinomycetes and S pneumoniae are the most common pathogens, and less typically can include S aureus, Streptococcus milleri, Fusobacterium nucleatum, Mycobacterium avium, Mycobacterium intracellulare, Burkholderia cepacia, and Nocardia asteroides.

This case is noteworthy because the patient had microbiologic features of both necrotizing pneumonia as well as a pleural infection arising from several different bacterial species. Her pleural viridans streptococci and Fusobacterium were likely oral flora originating from her poor dentition and are more characteristic of a pleural infection. The extrathoracic fluid collection was initially postulated to be empyema necessitans arising from this pleural infection; however, subsequent imaging evidenced that her disease process was more consistent with a necrotizing right upper lobe pneumonia with a pneumatocele extending through the chest wall. Her imaging did not show enhancing thickened pleura or split pleural sign that would suggest empyema extending to empyema necessitans.

Secondly, we propose that POCUS was a key diagnostic component in the initial ED workup. This imaging modality demonstrated complex avascular heterogeneous hypoechoic fluid with scattered echogenic air artifact both in the patient’s lung and small anterior chest wall collection. The movement of the substance visible on POCUS suggested that the contents of the patient’s lung infection communicated with the extrathoracic fluid collection, a finding that was later corroborated by CT of the chest.
CONCLUSION

We present a case of necrotizing pneumonia that is unique for its microbiologic profile as well as its severity and extension through the patient’s chest wall. Point-of-care ultrasound in the ED facilitated visualization of the communication between the patient’s pulmonary infection and the anterior chest mass, which was a key component in diagnosis of necrotizing pneumonia extending to the chest wall. Emergency physicians should consider necrotizing pneumonia in patients with persistent cough, fevers, fatigue, and weight loss and should consider extension of a pulmonary infection when presented with an acquired chest wall mass in a pediatric patient.

The authors attest that their institution requires neither Institutional Review Board approval, nor patient consent for publication of this case report. Documentation on file.
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**Introduction**: Food dyes mimicking gastrointestinal (GI) hemorrhage have been described in literature. However, reports of food additives causing melanotic stools and falsely positive fecal occult blood tests (FOBT) are uncommon in literature.

**Case Report**: We present a case of a 93-year-old with FOBT positive melanotic stool, felt to be falsely positive due to food additives.

**Conclusion**: Evaluation for GI bleeding accounts for 0.3% of yearly visits to the emergency department (ED). While FOBT is commonly used, its clinical validity in the ED is not supported by guidelines. We showcase the limitations of the FOBT and review the causes of false positive FOBT. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(3):320–324.]

**Keywords**: Melena; food coloring; fecal occult blood test; gastrointestinal hemorrhage; Glasgow-Blatchford Score.

**INTRODUCTION**

Naturally derived food dyes using annatto extract, betacarotene, beet powder, caramel color, paprika, saffron, turmeric, and fruit and vegetable juices have been used in food preparation for centuries. Synthetic color additives were first discovered in 1856 and gained popularity in food and cosmetic production in the early 1900s. While color additives are approved for human consumption by the US Food and Drug Administration due to a lack of scientific evidence of direct harm to consumers, the secondary effects of food dyes are still in question. Since the explosion of fruit-flavored children’s cereals in the 1970s, cases of red-colored stool prompting evaluation of gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding have been documented in the medical literature. Further, certain foods such as those with animal-derived heme, green vegetables, broccoli, cauliflower, cantaloupe, carrots, squash, figs, horseradish, grapefruit, melons, tomatoes, pumpkins, and gourds have all been documented to cause falsely positive chemical fecal occult blood tests (FOBT).

Concern for stool color abnormalities is frequently encountered by the emergency physician. While the true clinical consequences of falsely positive FOBT are unknown, the downstream consequences of over-diagnosis should be considered. We present a case of an under-reported scenario with potential clinical implications that highlights both the limitations of the FOBT and the importance of a detailed history to assist in the diagnosis of pathology and to protect our patients from the risks of over-testing.

**CASE REPORT**

A 93-year-old female presented to the ED with complaints of two episodes of black, tarry stool over the previous 24 hours that turned lime-green in color when it contacted the water in the toilet bowl. She expressed personal concern for GI hemorrhage, as she had a similar episode of melena one year prior and was subsequently found to have a gastric ulcer thought to be secondary to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory...
drug (NSAID) use. The ulcer was treated with endoscopic ablation and the patient had been symptom free since that time. Further past medical history included hypertension and stage III chronic kidney disease. Her only home medications included a daily multivitamin and an omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid supplement.

A detailed history obtained in the ED revealed that she had eaten a fast-food hamburger and frozen beverage the evening prior to the onset of her perceived melanic stool. Further questioning revealed that the hamburger bun and beverage were both dyed black in color as a promotional event by the fast-food restaurant in celebration of Halloween. She denied ongoing NSAID use that had seemingly contributed to her previously mild upper GI bleed (UGIB).

The patient was hemodynamically stable with a blood pressure of 132/79 millimeters of mercury, a heart rate of 74 beats per minute, a respiratory rate of 16 breaths per minute, and an oxygen saturation of 96% on room air. She denied abdominal discomfort. Serology testing showed a chronic and otherwise stable hemoglobin of 11.0 grams per deciliter (g/dL) (reference range 11.5-15.5 g/dL), a blood urea nitrogen (BUN) of 17 milligrams per deciliter (mg/dL) (8-28 mg/dL), a creatinine of 1.8 mg/dL (0.5-1.5 mg/dL), and a BUN to creatinine ratio of 9.4.

Digital rectal exam done in the ED revealed a bright, lime-green stool without obvious evidence of melena or hematochezia. A guaiac FOBT (gFOBT) was performed. Despite the grossly bright, lime-green appearance of the specimen, this turned dark green to black in color with a blue hue when developer was introduced. Appearance of occult blood in stool specimens is considered when a blue coloration is appreciated on the indicator card. However, given the duration of the patient’s symptoms, the absence of acute anemia, the lack of observed melena on digital rectal exam, and the history of recent ingestion of artificially dyed food, a false positive gFOBT was considered.

A shared decision-making discussion on inpatient observation vs close outpatient follow-up was done with the gastroenterologist, the emergency physician, and the patient. Given the absence of melena on digital rectal exam, a stable hemoglobin level near her baseline, and patient preference, she was felt to be reasonable for close outpatient follow-up. Further, she had a calculated Glasgow-Blatchford Bleeding Score of 1, which would suggest a predicted survivability without intervention at a sensitivity of 98.6%.

Following a four-hour observation period, she remained asymptomatic and without intervention at a sensitivity of 98.6% and specificity of 98.6% and 34.6%, respectively, and can be used as an adjunctive measurement of those patients who may be

DISCUSSION

Our patient presented with the subjective complaint of melanic stools, which alone carries a likelihood ratio (LR) of 5.1-5.9 for UGIB. Further clinical findings to support a suspicion of acute UGIB including melena on examination (LR of 25) and a ratio of BUN to creatinine greater than 30 (LR of 7.5), were not present in our patient.

The three most common causes of UGIB are peptic ulcer disease, esophagogastric varices, and erosive esophagitis. A strong clinical history to include all medications, supplements, and ingested foods is paramount to assist with supporting or opposing a diagnosis of GI bleed, and patients should be risk stratified based on all available factors of the history and physical exam. In acute GI bleeding, hemoglobin levels may initially falsely appear at baseline values as it takes several hours to be reflected in laboratory specimens and should not be used as a sole predictor of bleeding severity. The Glasgow-Blatchford Bleeding Score is a risk-stratification tool to assist clinicians in determining who is most likely to need intervention in the setting of UGIB. A score less than or equal to 1, as was present in our patient, yields a sensitivity and specificity of 98.6% and 34.6%, respectively, and can be used as an adjunctive measurement of those patients who may be
reasonable for outpatient evaluation. However, it is important to understand that a risk-stratification score should not replace clinical evaluation and physician judgment.

While it is recommended that all patients with high clinical concern for UGIB should undergo a diagnostic esophagogastroduodenoscopy, endoscopy is not a zero-risk procedure, and a risk-to-benefit analysis should be considered on an individual basis. Serious risks for upper GI endoscopy include bleeding, perforation, infection, and complications of anesthesia, and occur in as many as 0.5% of cases. The addition of small-bowel enteroscopy carries a minor and major adverse event rate of 9.1% and 0.7%, respectively. Significant adverse events of lower endoscopy include bleeding, perforation, and death occurring in 0.02-1% of adult and 1.1-2.4% of pediatric colonoscopies. In a 2008 cost analysis of management strategies in patients with obscure GI bleeding, patients who did not receive diagnostic intervention were associated with a 59% bleeding cessation rate, while dual-balloon enteroscopy was associated with a fourfold increase in total cost and an 86% bleeding cessation rate.

The FOBT is endorsed by the US Preventive Services Task Force and the American College of Gastroenterology for colorectal cancer screening. However, its use as a diagnostic tool for suspected acute upper GI bleeding lacks validation in literature. Three types of fecal occult tests are available: chemical; immunochemical; and deoxyribonucleic acid testing. Deoxyribonucleic acid testing is less commonly available, and clinical utility is limited by its high cost. Fecal immunochemical tests (FIT) detect human globin, a protein component of hemoglobin and have the advantage of not falsely turning positive from medications, animal hemoglobin, fruit or vegetable compounds. However, FITs are limited in that they are only sensitive in detecting bleeding from the lower GI tract as globin from upper bleeds are rapidly degraded by proteolytic enzymes, and blood volumes < 100 mL have gone undetected in studies.

In contrast, chemical tests such as the gFOBT are more widely used for bedside testing as they are easy to conduct and comparatively inexpensive. When blood-derived heme is subjected to hydrogen peroxide, oxidation of guaiac acid occurs, and the indicator paper turns blue. The gFOBT has the advantage of being able to detect small amounts of blood from the upper or lower GI tracts. However, the tests are severely limited by a high rate of false positives with a specificity of only 50% in some studies. False positive results have been described from ingestion of animal-derived heme, foods with high levels of peroxidase (green vegetables, broccoli, cauliflower, cantaloupe, carrots, squash, figs, horseradish, melons, and pumpkins and gourds), chlorophyll, methylene blue-containing tablets, and blue and blue-green colored tablets at high concentrations.

Topical povidone-iodine, which is used as an antiseptic when inserting a Foley catheter, has also been shown to give false positive gFOBT results when the test card is contaminated with this solution. Further, a false positive result can occur from extra-intestinal sources such as episistaxis, inflammatory conditions such as gastritis, or in the setting of clinically insignificant losses. Decreased sensitivity of guaiac results have been described with activated charcoal, dimethylaminoethanol, N-acetylcysteine, red chile, red Jell-O, orange juice, Pepto-Bismol, simethicone, spaghetti sauce, red wines, and vitamin C, which inhibits guaiac oxidation. False negatives are also possible as is seen with slow or intermittent bleeding. While iron supplementation has historically been shown to cause a falsely positive gFOBT, more recent in vivo studies have failed to confirm this finding, suggesting iron ingestion will not alter the clinical results of guaiac testing.

Food dyes are well documented in the literature to alter stool color. However, the direct effect of synthetic food additives on the validity of the FOBT is not apparent in the available literature. When we reviewed the list of ingredients used to make the hamburger bun that had been dyed black and ingested by our patient, we found that the black coloration was achieved using a combination of artificial dyes, including the US Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act-approved Yellow #6, Blue #1, and Red #40.

To test our hypothesis that food additives can affect FOBT coloration, a combination of the afore-mentioned dyes was emulsified with a burger bun and water to give the appearance of melena. We then took a sample of this mixture, applied it to our institutional gFOBT, and then applied developer. To our surprise, a blue discoloration similar to the test indicator was observed (Image 1). As a secondary assessment, a weak solution using just water and the three food dyes was tested on a guaiac fecal occult blood test (right).
interpretation. One can see how this could significantly limit the test’s clinical utility, particularly if a similar patient presentation were to be encountered. In a 2017 publication the Society of Hospital Medicine, in conjunction with the Choosing Wisely Initiative, recommended against the use of FOBT for the diagnosis of UGIB due to lack of supporting benefit in current literature. Other authors mirror this sentiment and argue against the use of chemical and immunochemical FOBT in the ED altogether, citing a lack of evidence and suggesting inpatient management or outcomes are altered by results of occult blood samples. The majority of patients with suspected GI bleeds will undergo endoscopy regardless of FOBT results, and only one-third of patients admitted with a positive FOBT will end up with an endoscopic evaluation.

CONCLUSION

While fecal occult blood testing has been validated for its use in colorectal cancer screening, it has limited utility as a screening tool for UGIB in the hospital. It is important for the emergency clinician to understand these limitations and to recognize that current guidelines do not support its routine use as a diagnostic tool for UGIB. Given the lack of evidence that the FOBT is superior to a strong history and physical exam, and the potential downstream risk of adverse effects from unnecessary endoscopy, we advise against its routine use as a screening tool in the ED in the absence of other clinical features consistent with GI bleeding. Instead, we stress the importance of a detailed history and highlight the importance of clinicians to review patient medications both prescribed and over the counter, in addition to supplements and recent food ingestions when evaluating patients. Making front-line clinicians aware of the implications of false-positive guaiac testing is imperative to advance this understanding. Further, our case points to the need for future research to include the direct effect of synthetic food color additives on results of fecal occult blood testing.

The authors attest that their institution requires neither Institutional Review Board approval, nor patient consent for publication of this case report. Documentation on file.
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Case Report

INTRODUCTION

Language processing is one of the most unique, vital, and complex functions of the human brain. This involves the planning of speech, the motor act of its articulation, the auditory reception of the response, as well as the comprehension of the meaning behind vibrations in the air. The brain’s ability to understand the world by giving image to thought and feeling through rhetoric is dependent upon language. In the same way it serves as the mortar that binds social groups together through its sharing. Disturbances in language can be devastating as they infantilize the brain, returning it to a time when it did not comprehend the world around it.¹

CASE REPORT

A 93-year-old male presented to the emergency department aphasic to English but still fluent in French. Two hours prior, the Creole-born Louisiana native felt suddenly lightheaded without more definite subjective symptoms. The multilingual patient understood English, confirmed through a French translator; however, when asked to repeat English words like “house” his response was the correct French word “maison.” He had no motor deficits. Per the translator, he was neither dysarthric nor disarticulate in his speech but was speaking with an “English” accent, the colloquial term used by French Canadians for their English-speaking countrymen. Most noteworthy, the patient was not speaking his native Creole but rather true French, a learned language, but one in which he was fluent. His friend noted a similar episode had occurred several months before and lasted about an hour, but they had not sought treatment as it resolved.

With a National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) of zero, and his three-hour fibrinolytic window rapidly closing, the most pressing question was whether to administer fibrinolytic therapy for an isolated speech deficit. Vital signs were only notable for moderate hypertension, 158/72 millimeters of mercury; the remainder of his neurologic testing was normal with symmetric and appropriate reflexes, strength, sensation, and cerebellar testing. The patient underwent rapid computed tomography without any evidence of hemorrhage. His glucose and electrolytes were normal, and his electrocardiogram was unremarkable. He bore no evidence of acute trauma, and he

Keywords: Case report; bilingual aphasia; stroke.
had no identifiable infection. Over the hour of his workup, the patient’s expressive English began to return, providing a relative contraindication to fibrinolytic therapy, as his clinical course was more suggestive of a transient ischemic attack. He retained his memory of the entire event, unsure of why it had occurred. Follow-up magnetic resonance imaging did not demonstrate an acute stroke, and the patient was discharged by the neurology service the following day on optimal medical therapy.

**DISCUSSION**

Similar presentations of bilingual aphasia have been found to be the result of stroke, focal seizure, migraine, concussion, and illness. Notably, patients undergoing a stroke have been found to revert to speaking only their learned language in a phenomenon known as bilingual aphasia, while some are left only with their native language. Theories exist as to why this occurs especially given the discrepancy among the various cases; however, no definitive explanation exists. Likely, hypoperfusion of Broca’s area results in selective processing and expression along the motor arm of speech. With Wernicke’s area unaffected, comprehension of speech, regardless of the language, is unaffected. Total loss of a language would suggest a different etiology such as hypoperfusion of the whole dominant hemisphere or even in the whole brain.

Alternative case series describe a slightly different phenomenon known as foreign accent syndrome in which a patient suffers a stroke and thereafter speaks with what bystanders deem to be a foreign accent. This is actually a form of mild dysarthria giving only the appearance of accented speech rather than true transition to a new phonation. In this case, however, it was clarified with the Québec interpreter that by “English” he meant the colloquial term French Canadians use for their English-speaking countrymen, rather than a “British” accent. The patient spoke as expected for someone who had learned traditional French as a second rather than primary language.

Stroke care is highly protocolized with screening questions to assess the indications and contraindications of fibrinolytic therapy. Fibrinolysis is generally contraindicated in mild strokes classified by an NIHSS of less than six. The rationale is that the benefit of fibrinolysis is typically decreased with very small strokes, just as the risk is significantly increased in very large strokes with an NIHSS greater than 25. Aphasia is considered an exception to these limitations given the degree of impact it has on the patient’s quality of life. Not only is language vital to quality of life but isolated aphasia can portend more serious unmanifested ischemia. Thus, fibrinolysis is recommended in patients with isolated aphasia, especially in a language of daily use.

**CONCLUSION**

Although the patient in this case did not ultimately require fibrinolytic therapy, this presentation highlights an important exception to the common inclusion/exclusion criteria for fibrinolytic therapy in stroke. Although rare in their presentation, pure aphasic strokes can affect all or some of a patient’s ability to comprehend or express language. Thus, in an appropriate candidate, fibrinolytic therapy is recommended by the literature for these situations.

The authors attest that their institution requires neither Institutional Review Board approval, nor patient consent for publication of this case report. Documentation on file.
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**CPC-EM Capsule**

What do we already know about this clinical entity? Bilingual aphasia is a unique stroke presentation in which a singular language, rather than all language function, is lost.

What makes this presentation of disease reportable? Despite not meeting typical stroke scale criteria for thrombolysis, bilingual aphasia is considered a thrombolytic candidate.

What is the major learning point? Bilingual aphasia should be evaluated and worked up as a stroke as this is a time-sensitive diagnosis for intervention.

How might this improve emergency medicine practice? Rapid diagnosis of bilingual aphasia can lead to quicker activation of code stroke pathways and improve some patients’ long-term outcomes.
REFERENCES
INTRODUCTION
Human granulocytic anaplasmosis (HGA) is a disease caused by Anaplasma phagocytophilum through the deer tick (Ixodes scapularis) as a vector.¹ The majority of cases occur in the Midwest and Northeast United States,² producing mild and nonspecific symptoms that can generally be managed as an outpatient. However, rare cases can cause severe illness necessitating inpatient and even intensive care unit (ICU) management.³,⁴ While most cases are contained to specific geographic regions, severe cases are uncommon. The nonspecific nature of symptoms can make diagnosis challenging, especially when presentations may mimic coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection during a global pandemic.⁴

CASE REPORT
A 43-year-old male arrived via emergency medical services as a transfer from a stand-alone emergency department (ED) with hypoxemia and severe respiratory distress. He provided a limited history secondary to his respiratory distress but noted he had experienced progressively worsening shortness of breath and chest pain over the prior 1-2 days. The patient had a COVID-19 exposure at an airport, approximately 7-10 days prior to arrival. Paramedics noted he had oxygen saturations in the low 80s on room air and improved to the mid 90s with 15 liters per minute (LPM) through a non-rebreather mask. He felt better with the supplemental oxygen but was still experiencing shortness of breath and speaking in two- to three-word sentences. He denied significant medical history other than tobacco abuse with recent cessation.

The patient’s initial vitals were notable for a temperature of 101.2°F, respiratory rate of 51 breaths per minute, and heart rate of 138 beats per minute. On examination, he remained in respiratory distress with profound tachypnea, but auscultation revealed clear bilateral lung sounds without wheezes, rhonchi, or rales. Other notable exam findings were diffuse patches of capillary dilation with each collection originating from a single locus scattered throughout the distal extremities, tachycardia with regular rhythm, and mild scleral icterus.
After examination, the patient was transitioned to high-flow nasal cannula, and his work of breathing improved with a flow rate of 45 LPM and 100% oxygen. Consideration was given to initiating bilevel positive airway pressure (BiPAP); however, because his clinical presentation was suspicious for COVID-19 infection BiPAP was not started to reduce potential staff exposure. The patient intermittently self-proned with some subjective symptomatic improvement.

The patient’s notable laboratory work-up is described in the Table. A chest radiograph obtained showed bilateral interstitial and airspace infiltrates. In addition, the patient’s COVID-19 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) resulted negative.

### Table. Patient’s significant laboratory values with site’s reference ranges.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab test (units)</th>
<th>Patient’s results</th>
<th>Reference range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (10^9/L)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>150-450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes (10^9/L)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0-4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mmol/L)</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>136-145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dL)</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.73-1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (U/L)</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>10-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/L)</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>0-55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-dimer (ug/mL)</td>
<td>&gt;20.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-reactive protein (mg/dL)</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>0-0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procalcitonin (ng/mL)</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>&lt;0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Despite the negative COVID-19 polymerase chain reaction test (PCR), his clinical presentation and work-up appeared most consistent with severe COVID-19 pneumonia. Blood cultures were drawn, and the patient was started on cefepime and vancomycin in the event his presentation was a result of a bacterial pneumonia. A computed tomography (CT) angiography of the chest was obtained due to the elevated D-dimer and respiratory distress. No pulmonary embolism was present, but the CT did reveal extensive bilateral infiltrates consistent with a COVID-19 pneumonia (Image). After results had been obtained, a report from the transferring ED contained similar lab results, including a negative COVID-19 PCR. The patient was then admitted to the ICU for ongoing care.

After the patient transferred to the ICU, a third COVID-19 PCR test was obtained and resulted negative.

**CPC-EM Capsule**

What do we already know about this clinical entity?
*Tick-borne infections are typically mild, but occasionally have severe manifestations. The rarity of these presentations can make diagnosis challenging.*

What makes this presentation of disease reportable?
*This rare presentation of human granulocytic anaplasmosis closely mimicked the commonly seen coronavirus disease 2019 during the global pandemic.*

What is the major learning point?
*When an uncommon disease presents similarly to a common diagnosis, it is possible for anchoring bias to occur.*

How might this improve emergency medicine practice?
*Recognizing the possibility of anchoring bias can produce a faster, accurate diagnosis and lessen potential morbidity.*

---

**Image.** Computed tomography angiography of the chest. Black arrows indicate ground glass opacities. (A) Axial image showing bilateral central and peripheral ground-glass opacities. (B) Coronal image demonstrating bilateral ground-glass opacities in upper and lower lung fields.
The profound thrombocytopenia triggered a review of the patient’s hematopathology. His peripheral smear demonstrated neutrophil intracellular organismal inclusions consistent with HGA. Confirmatory anaplasmosis PCR testing was sent and would later result positive. The patient was empirically switched to doxycycline 100 milligrams (mg) twice a day antibiotic therapy for 14 days. Further information was later obtained from the patient’s wife, who noted the patient had been hunting approximately 1-2 weeks prior to presentation and had removed numerous ticks from himself afterward.

Despite treatment with doxycycline, the patient’s respiratory status worsened and he required intubation for acute respiratory distress syndrome on hospital day (HD) one and subsequent proning. The patient required five days of mechanical ventilation; he was then extubated and transferred out of the ICU on HD six. He had a progressive, severe, non-oliguric acute kidney injury thought to be secondary to acute tubular necrosis with creatinine peaking at 7.31 mg per deciliter on HD four, but never requiring hemodialysis. The patient’s thrombocytopenia required a platelet transfusion on HD zero and again on HD three after platelets dropped to 9 x10^9 per liter (10^9/L). However, platelets improved to 183 x10^9/L by the time of ICU transfer and did not require further transfusions. The patient recovered and was discharged to home with home physical therapy after a 12-day hospitalization.

DISCUSSION

Human granulocytic anaplasmosis is a disease caused by the gram-negative bacterium, *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*. It is transmitted by the deer tick (*Ixodes scapularis*), which is also the vector for Lyme disease and babesiosis. Although it has long been considered in veterinary pathology, HGA was not known to infect humans until it was discovered in 1994. Highest incidence in the United States occurs in regions endemic for the deer tick, which include the upper Midwest and Northeast regions, with 10 states recording over 90% of reported cases (Minnesota, Wisconsin, Massachusetts, New York, Maine, Connecticut, New Jersey, Rhode Island, Vermont, and New Hampshire), making the amount of time spent in an endemic area the highest risk factor. Most infections occur seasonally, corresponding with tick activity.

Most patients are initially diagnosed with a mild viral illness that readily resolves with supportive care. Symptoms may include fevers, myalgias, headache, and rigors. Rash is surprisingly uncommon. Although most patients relate tick exposure approximately 1-2 weeks prior to onset of symptoms, one quarter of patients do not recall a tick bite. Lab testing often reveals leukopenia with a left shift, thrombocytopenia, and mild to moderate elevation in liver function testing.

Thirty six percent of patients may develop more severe illness and require hospitalization, and 17% of these end up in the ICU. Life-threatening complications develop in 3% and include acute respiratory distress syndrome, acute renal failure, and hemodynamic collapse. Poorer prognosis is seen in elderly, immunocompromised patients, those with underlying malignancy, or in patients where there is a significant delay to diagnosis. While HGA can be quite serious, reported mortality rate is less than 1%. Diagnosis is via serologic testing for antibodies, although treatment with doxycycline may be started with presumptive diagnosis in patients who have fever, myalgias, and suggestive changes in laboratory values. Patients treated with doxycycline usually resolve symptoms within 48-72 hours.

Coronavirus disease 2019, the viral illness that originated in Wuhan, China, and caused a global pandemic, has become readily recognized in EDs across the world. Typically patients present 4-8 days after exposure, describing fever, shortness of breath, cough, myalgias, fatigue, loss of taste and/or smell, or diarrhea. Several unusual phenomenon have been observed on examination, including the following: “happy hypoxemia” where patients are significantly hypoxic without respiratory distress or perceived shortness of breath; and “COVID toes” where patients exhibit purple discoloration in their distal toes, although some controversy exists as to whether this is specific to COVID-19 or generalizable to systemic inflammatory disease. Laboratory studies often show leukopenia, elevated liver transaminases, C-reactive protein, and D-dimer. Chest radiographs in COVID-19 patients frequently show bilateral involvement with mixed airspace and interstitial opacification. Chest computed tomography (CT) provides greater detail, and in severe disease ground-glass opacities can be observed in 100% of patients with a multilobular and bilateral distribution favoring posterior involvement.

In our patient found to have HGA, his presentation mimicked numerous severe COVID-19 cases we have seen in our ED. Many aspects of his history and work-up were further consistent with our initial suspicion. The patient’s incubation period mirrored the duration described earlier, and his symptoms were consistent with those reported by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Although some controversy exists, the discoloration in his distal extremities appeared consistent with described “COVID toes.” Many laboratory results were suggestive of COVID-19 with elevated transaminases, C-reactive protein, D-dimer, and lymphocytopenia. Imaging studies matched those frequently seen in COVID-19 patients. Further confounding the diagnosis was his relief with self-proning, which has been a method used to reduce intubation and mechanical ventilation in COVID-19 patients by increasing oxygenation through recruitment of collapsed alveoli. While all of this was suggestive of COVID-19, his thrombocytopenia, hyponatremia, and two negative COVID-19 PCR tests were inconsistent with a COVID-19 infection. A single PCR test cannot completely rule out infection with sensitivities reported between 60-70%, and studies have shown CT findings can precede a positive PCR. However, after receiving the second negative COVID-19 PCR in the ED, our leading diagnosis needed to be reconsidered.
Although our ED is located in an endemic HGA area, his diagnosis was further challenging because other tick-borne illnesses are more prevalent, his presentation was outside of the typical infectious season, his illness severity was rare for HGA, and we did not have reports of tick exposure. Our failure to move beyond COVID-19 as the leading diagnosis represents anchoring bias, and it is commonly encountered in medicine.13 Bias arises from heuristics and mental shortcuts developed from experience to rapidly assess and treat patients; specifically, anchoring occurs when a physician locks on to a diagnosis despite the presentation of contrarian evidence.13 If a correct diagnosis had not been suggested by pathology assessing evidence while blinded to clinical context, the patient could have suffered significant morbidity or mortality by not receiving appropriate treatment.

CONCLUSION

Human granulocytic anaplasmosis rarely presents with severe disease manifestations, even in endemic areas. A difficult diagnosis can be confounded when presentation mimics one frequently observed during the COVID-19 pandemic. Anchoring bias arises from heuristics used in medicine, especially in a clinical setting, necessitating rapid assessment and treatment such as in emergency medicine. Despite the need to provide expedited care, when examination and testing do not fit a diagnosis the situation requires reassessment. The case described above illustrates the importance of keeping a broad differential and discarding a diagnosis when it is not supported by evidence. Providing timely diagnosis and treatment is vital, but just as important is recognizing when anchoring bias may be present and then having the humility to admit you were wrong.

The authors attest that their institution requires neither Institutional Review Board approval, nor patient consent for publication of this case report. Documentation on file.
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Introduction: Spontaneous intraocular lens (IOL) dislocation is a rare, but serious, complication following cataract surgery.

Case Report: We report a case of patient with a remote history of cataract surgery presenting to the emergency department with monocular blurred vision. Ocular point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) facilitated diagnosis of a late spontaneous IOL dislocation.

Discussion: Prosthetic IOL dislocations are being reported with increasing frequency. Prompt recognition of IOL dislocation is essential to prevent secondary complications, including acute angle-closure glaucoma and retinal detachment, which can result in permanent vision loss.


Keywords: Intraocular lens; spontaneous; dislocation; point-of-care ultrasound; and POCUS.

INTRODUCTION

Between 2007–2010, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention reported an average of 2.4 million ocular-related emergency department (ED) visits annually.¹ Point-of-care ultrasonography (POCUS) is a non-invasive diagnostic tool that provides direct visualization of ocular structures. The use of POCUS in the ED has evolved and expanded in recent years to aid in the diagnosis of time-sensitive ocular conditions, including retinal detachment, vitreous hemorrhage, and intraocular lens (IOL) dislocation.²

CASE REPORT

A 63-year-old male with history of retinal detachment and remote history of bilateral cataract surgery presented to the ED with blurry vision in his right eye. He described sudden-onset visual disturbance “like a curtain dropping” while walking earlier in the day. His vision transiently improved with bending over but would blur again when he stood upright. He denied any ocular trauma, eye pain or swelling, discharge, headache, or other focal neurological complaints. His past medical history included coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, and hypertension.

On physical exam, pupils were equal and reactive to light bilaterally. Extraocular movements were intact. Visual acuity was 20/100 in the right eye and 20/30 in the left eye. Slit lamp exam of the right eye revealed a deep, quiet anterior chamber, but a lack of pupillary reflection and inability to visualize the retina.

Point-of-care ultrasound of the affected eye was performed in the sagittal and transverse anatomic planes using a high-frequency linear transducer. The prosthetic lens was visualized in the posterior chamber with the temporal side haptic still adherent to the lens capsule consistent with an IOL dislocation (Video, Image), whereas an appropriately positioned prosthetic lens would appear as a hyperechoic curvilinear structure within the lens capsule posterior to the iris. The patient was then asked to perform the six cardinal positions of gaze to maximize visualization of the ocular...
structures. There was no evidence of retinal detachment, vitreous detachment, or vitreous hemorrhage. The case was discussed with his ophthalmologist, and the patient underwent urgent operative repair of the dislocated lens as an outpatient.

**DISCUSSION**

We report a unique case of a late spontaneous posterior IOL dislocation diagnosed by POCUS in an ED patient with remote history of cataract surgery. Cataract surgery involves removal of the natural cataractous lens and insertion of an artificial lens into the capsular bag. Intraocular lens dislocation is a rare complication of cataract surgery that often requires repeat surgical intervention.3-5

Intraocular lens dislocations occur in a bimodal distribution. Early IOL dislocation occurs within the initial three-month postoperative period, secondary to improper IOL fixation to the capsular bag or instability of the capsular bag resulting in zonular rupture. Late IOL dislocation occurs more than three months postoperatively, secondary to progressive zonular weakness and capsular bag contraction. Incidence of late IOL dislocations ranges between 0.05-3.0%.6 Rates of IOL dislocation increase over time, with a cumulative risk of 0.1% at five years, 0.2% at 15 years, and 1.7% at 25 years.7 The incidence of late IOL dislocations has been increasing and some studies suggest that this may be a result of an increasing life expectancy and longer life span of current lens materials.6 Other studies suggest that this trend is multifactorial, related to greater numbers of cataract surgeries performed annually, variations in surgical approach, lens material, and other risk factors such as zonular weakness.5,8

Dislocation of the prosthetic lens through a defect or tear in the capsular bag is referred to as in-the-bag dislocation, whereas destabilization and migration of the entire capsular bag constitutes an out-of-the-bag dislocation. Severity ranges from partial dislocations causing mild phacodonesis (vibration/tremulousness of the lens with eye movement) to complete dislocations with migration of the lens into the anterior or posterior chambers.3,9 Displacement of the lens into the anterior chamber can result in acute angle-closure glaucoma, and displacement into the posterior chamber can cause retinal detachment. Other serious complications associated with IOL dislocation include corneal decompensation, lens perforation or dislocation, vitreous detachment, and corneal or macular edema.7,10

Patients with IOL dislocation typically present with visual changes, including blurred vision, double vision, or the ability to visualize the edge of lens implant. An IOL dislocation can be diagnosed using multiple imaging modalities, including ultrasonography, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging. Point-of-care ultrasound is a rapid, noninvasive modality that provides direct visualization of the ocular structures and is an
excellent first-line choice for ocular complaints in the ED. Intraocular lens dislocation typically requires surgical repositioning or replacement of the prosthetic lens. Urgency of treatment largely depends on the type of IOL, the site of IOL dislocation, and coexisting ocular pathology.

CONCLUSION

Complications following cataract surgery, such as IOL dislocation, are being reported with increased frequency. Prompt recognition of IOL dislocation is essential in preventing complications that can result in permanent loss of vision. Point-of-care ultrasound provides a rapid, noninvasive method for early detection of IOL dislocation to help guide management, improve patient outcomes, and mitigate long-term sequelae.

Video. Ultrasound clip showing intraocular lens dislocation (arrow) into the posterior chamber. The prosthetic lens appears as a hyperechoic curvilinear structure in the posterior chamber with the temporal side haptic still adherent to the lens capsule, whereas an appropriately positioned prosthetic lens would appear within the lens capsule posterior to the iris.

The authors attest that their institution requires neither Institutional Review Board approval, nor patient consent for publication of this case report. Documentation on file.
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Introduction: Evisceration of the lung is a rare consequence of open chest trauma that can be fatal. Evisceration of the lung refers to the protrusion of lung parenchyma through a defect of the thoracic wall, without parietal pleural or skin coverage.

Case report: A 20-year-old man was brought to the emergency department (ED) with left lung evisceration from stab wounds. The eviscerated lung was left in place, and the patient was not intubated in the ED. He was immediately taken to the operating room (OR) for intubation and surgical repair. Other significant injuries were ruled out, the eviscerated lung was retrieved, the chest wall defect was closed, and the patient recovered well. He was discharged after seven days in good condition.

Conclusion: The initial management of patients with lung evisceration is critical to prevent rapid decompensation and death. Appropriate ED airway management, lung retrieval in the OR, and thoracic wall repair is recommended for patients with lung evisceration. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(3):335–340].

Key Words: Penetrating injury; open trauma; chest trauma; lung hernias; lung evisceration.

INTRODUCTION

Evisceration of the lung is an uncommon consequence of chest trauma that can lead to respiratory distress and death. This condition requires an accurate assessment of the patient’s respiratory condition and early definitive surgical repair. Without appropriate medical treatment patients with this medical and surgical pathology would not survive to the operating room for surgical treatment. Unfortunately, there are few articles with recommendations on the medical management of these patients.1–6 Moreover, there is often confusion regarding the terminology used to define evisceration. Among clinicians, evisceration and herniation of the lung are terms sometimes used interchangeably. However, it is important to highlight that these two entities are quite different pathologies. Evisceration of the lung refers to the protrusion of parenchyma through a defect of the thoracic wall without parietal pleura or skin coverage.1 On the other hand, lung herniation is the protrusion of the lung parenchyma through the chest wall, which is covered by skin or pleura.

Lung herniation has a more common and less dramatic presentation than evisceration.2,7 Lung hernias are classified based on the etiology and anatomy, and two etiologic groups are recognized: congenital and acquired, the latter further divided into traumatic or spontaneous. Congenital hernias are caused by attenuation of the endothoracic fascia, occurring either at the thoracic inlet or an intercostal space where weakness of the fascia is usually combined with congenital absence of intercostal muscles.8 On the other hand, acquired hernias are caused by weakness of the intercostal muscles in combination with a sudden increase of intrathoracic pressure, usually seen as complications after thoracotomies. This could be one of the reasons why the two entities are sometimes
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confused, as thoracotomies are used during the repair of lung eviscerations yet may lead to complications such as lung herniation. The most common cause of acquired lung hernia is high-energy trauma to the chest, either penetrating or blunt, particularly following a motor vehicle accident. Additionally, acquired hernias have been reported after traumatic cardiopulmonary resuscitation with multiple rib fractures.

The mechanism responsible for evisceration of the lung also involves high-energy trauma but is typically associated with penetrating thoracic injuries. Stab wounds are a common mechanism for this injury since the defect in the chest wall can be large enough for lung to eviscerate. Blunt trauma may also be a mechanism, with displaced rib fractures of the chest wall and elevated intrathoracic pressure causing fractured ribs to pierce the parietal pleura and skin. Pneumothorax may or may not be present, and hemorrhage from the internal thoracic artery has been described.

In contrast to lung eviscerations, the clinical diagnosis of lung hernias can be challenging because symptoms, in addition to pain, can be subtle such as a subcutaneous mass and breath-dependent expansion of the mass. In these scenarios, a radiograph can be suggestive, but an early computed tomography (CT) is recommended for correct diagnosis. The diagnosis of lung evisceration, however, is usually obvious but the presentation may vary. While some patients may present in stable condition, others may have respiratory distress or arterial hemorrhage. Hernias and evisceration also differ in management. While small hernias do not typically require advanced airway management, lung evisceration often requires endotracheal intubation and operative care. Finally, some hernias can be managed with a nonsurgical approach, but surgical repair is recommended for large hernias and eviscerations.

**CASE REPORT**

A 20-year-old man with no remarkable past medical history was brought to the emergency department (ED) of a resource-limited hospital by ambulance with bilateral, anterior thoracic stab-wound lacerations (Image 1).

The patient was awake and responsive with a Glasgow Coma Scale of 15; he had a heart rate of 84 beats per minute, blood pressure of 90/60 millimeters of mercury, 22 breaths per minute, and oxygen saturation of 97% on room air. He complained of bilateral chest pain and shortness of breath. Physical exam primary survey revealed decreased breath sounds on the left, with bilateral large anterior chest wall lacerations. The left chest laceration had lung parenchyma protruding, and it expanded with each inspiration (Image 2). There were no other traumatic injuries or active hemorrhage on further primary survey, and secondary survey was unremarkable.

Closer examination of the wounds showed the right chest wound at the ninth intercostal space (ICS) with no evidence of exposed lung, and the left chest wound also at the ninth ICS but clearly exposing lung parenchyma. Bedside focused assessment with sonography for trauma (FAST) did not demonstrate a pericardial effusion or intra-abdominal free fluid. The standard blood tests for trauma patients were collected in this patient and were unremarkable, including
initial hemoglobin of 13.6 grams (g) per deciliter (dL) (reference range: 12.0 – 16.0 g/dL).

Initial management included fluid resuscitation with one liter of normal saline (NS), analgesia with ketoprofen and tramadol intravenously, and oxygen facemask at six liters per minute. His blood pressure improved, and he did not require blood products or intubation in the ED. The eviscerated lung portion was left in place and covered with saline-saturated sterile towels. A portable radiograph machine was not immediately available, and CT was not performed because of concerns for clinical instability occurring while in CT. Instead the patient was assessed by the trauma team, which recommended immediate surgical intervention.

The patient was taken to the operating room (OR), and under general anesthesia the chest was explored using a left anterolateral thoracotomy at the fifth ICS. Injury to the heart, great vessels, and diaphragm was ruled out; surgical exploration revealed only a small amount of hemothorax. The left lung had no signs of laceration, necrosis, or thrombosis. The eviscerated portion of the lung was determined to be the lingula. After confirming the integrity of the vasculature, the lingula of the lung was retrieved back into the left hemithorax, the left hemithorax was irrigated with two liters of NS, and the patient was ventilated by the anesthesia team to assess the anatomic integrity of the parenchyma. Once the lung showed no signs of air leakage during the insufflation test, the NS irrigation and secretions were suctioned, and closure of the left thoracotomy incision was performed. A thoracostomy tube was placed in the left hemithorax and attached to underwater seal drainage. After 48 hours, a chest radiograph was obtained for evaluation of re-expansion of the lung (Image 3).

The patient was kept under observation for 12 hours in the postoperative care unit and spent the rest of his hospital stay in the general surgery ward, not requiring intermediate or intensive care unit admission. He received tramadol for analgesia, prophylactic antibiotics with ampicillin-sulbactam three grams intravenously every six hours for seven days as an in-patient, and then continued with amoxicillin-clavulanic acid 875/125 milligrams orally every 12 hours for three days upon hospital discharge. He had an uneventful postoperative course, with a fully expanded lung on postoperative radiograph and steady functional and cosmetic improvement at 15- and 30-day follow-up outpatient visits.

DISCUSSION

Evisceration of the lung is a rare and life-threatening injury. Patients presenting with injuries this uncommon may encounter inappropriate treatment because clinicians have little to no experience with this injury; so increasing awareness of the presentation and treatment of lung evisceration is imperative. The mechanism of injury can be penetrating or blunt. Blunt trauma mechanisms were reported in three cases with high-energy (motorcycle accident) mechanisms and resulting penetrating injuries to the thorax, possibly from open rib fractures.2,3,5 Our patient, like four others in published case reports, had stab wounds. All these patients were in stable condition (Table), with presenting complaints of chest pain and shortness of breath.

Although having a large chest wall defect may lead to open pneumothorax and respiratory distress, the presence of eviscerated lung can mechanically close the defect, preventing the passage of air and allowing the maintenance of normal ventilatory mechanics. A hemopneumothorax may be present, but the lung can still expand inside the hemithorax with each breath. Our patient’s presentation is concordant with the four previous reported cases that share...
the same mechanism of injury.\textsuperscript{1,4,6}

Due to the limited number of cases, there are no universal guidelines regarding initial management of these patients. Therefore, the initial management upon patient presentation follows the standard trauma protocols with attention to oxygenation, perfusion, and hemorrhage control. In the majority of the cases where the patients were reported in stable condition, including this case, basic airway management was enough to maintain proper levels of oxygenation. However, if respiratory distress is suspected from a hemopneumothorax, tube thoracostomy should be performed. Furthermore, endotracheal intubation should be considered if relief of a tension pneumothorax does not improve the patient’s respiratory status, or if multi-system trauma or depressed mental status are present. Some patients, despite having large eviscerations, were in stable condition and definitive airway management occurred in the operating room.\textsuperscript{1,3,4,6} However, when respiratory distress was present, endotracheal intubation was performed in the ED.\textsuperscript{2}

An important point of discussion is the appropriate time for endotracheal intubation, specifically whether it should be performed in the ED or OR. For example, a stable patient not requiring intubation for other reasons and going directly from the ED to OR may be managed safely by delaying intubation until OR arrival. However, if the patient needs to go to CT or elsewhere prior to the OR, or if there are delays in OR availability, then intubation in the ED may be appropriate since these patients can deteriorate at any time. In addition, positive pressure ventilation of an injured lung may affect the seal created by the eviscerated lung parenchyma and potentially create a tension pneumothorax or hemothorax; so endotracheal intubation may be considered in conjunction with tube thoracostomy of the affected side. These factors have to be considered especially if the patient requires transport to another facility, such as a trauma center, or from a battlefield.

Table. Reported cases of lung evisceration in the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case report</th>
<th>Mechanism of trauma</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Airway management</th>
<th>Site of injury</th>
<th>Vessel injury</th>
<th>Surgical access</th>
<th>Hospital stay (days)</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bowely\textsuperscript{1}</td>
<td>Penetrating stab wound</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Intubation in the OR</td>
<td>Left fourth, fifth and sixth intercostal joints</td>
<td>ITA</td>
<td>Anterior lateral thoracotomy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lost to follow up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lang- Lazdunsi\textsuperscript{2}</td>
<td>Blunt/penetrating (MCA)</td>
<td>Respiratory distress</td>
<td>Intubation in the ED</td>
<td>Left clavicle, first, second and third ribs</td>
<td>Intercostal vessels</td>
<td>Transcostal vertical thoracotomy</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>One year good cosmetic result</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Leo\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>Blunt/penetrating (MCA)</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Intubation in the OR</td>
<td>Sternum, right clavicle and first to fourth ribs</td>
<td>ITA</td>
<td>Anterior thoracotomy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Two year good cosmetic result</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia Toral\textsuperscript{4}</td>
<td>Penetrating stab wound</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Intubation in the OR</td>
<td>Left second to seventh costal cartilages</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Via stab</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Six month good cosmetic result</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David\textsuperscript{5}</td>
<td>Blunt/penetrating (MCA)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Right clavicle, right second and third rib fracture</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Via defect</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Two month good cosmetic result</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suman Mewa Kinoo\textsuperscript{6}</td>
<td>Penetrating stab wound</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Intubation in the OR</td>
<td>Left second, third and fourth costal cartilages</td>
<td>Intercostral vessels</td>
<td>Via stab</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>One month good cosmetic result</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suman Mewa Kinoo\textsuperscript{6}</td>
<td>Penetrating stab wound</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Intubation in the OR</td>
<td>Left second and third costal cartilages</td>
<td>Intercostral vessels</td>
<td>Via stab</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Lost to follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our report</td>
<td>Penetrating stab wound</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Intubation in the OR</td>
<td>Left hemithorax, ninth ICS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Anterior lateral thoracotomy</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>One month, good functional – cosmetic result</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{MCA}, motorcycle accident; \textit{OR}, operating room; \textit{ED}, emergency department; \textit{ICS}, intercostal space; \textit{ITA}, internal thoracic artery.
In addition to recommendations on airway management, we also support an existing practice style to maintain the eviscerated lung in its position, without disturbance, if respiratory function is adequate and no air leak through the thoracic wall is detected. The eviscerated lung portion can block the passage of air through the thoracic wall defect, protecting the patient from massive pneumothorax and respiratory distress.5 Furthermore, the eviscerated lung may tamponade hemorrhage from a lacerated internal thoracic artery.1,3 Therefore, in a stable patient, do not attempt to replace the eviscerated lung back into the thorax but instead just cover it with saline-dampened sterile gauze or towels to keep the tissue viable. Leaving the eviscerated lung in place can be lifesaving, until it can be safely reinserted into the chest in the OR under sterile and controlled conditions.

Some of the studies to consider before transport to the OR include a radiograph to evaluate for hemopneumothorax and FAST exam to evaluate for concurrent cardiac or intra-abdominal injuries. An E-FAST protocol (“E” stands for extended), which incorporates two views of the anterior thorax and allows the screening for pneumothorax with high sensitivity, may be preferred to FAST. In this case, the ultrasound operator was not trained in thoracic views, and so they were not performed to evaluate for pneumothorax. When the stability of the patient allows, a CT may be preferred to provide information about the size of the chest wall defect and associated injuries in the thorax and abdomen.1,6 However, these patients are at high risk of serious internal injuries and decompensation, and delay of definitive operative treatment can be detrimental.

Patients with penetrating thoracic injuries are at high risk of death due to injury of vital organs and great vessels. Furthermore, when lower chest (below the level of the nipples) stab wounds occur there is an increased risk of diaphragmatic and intra-abdominal injury. Additionally, hemorrhage may be significant if the internal thoracic or intercostal arteries are injured, which has been associated with lung evisceration and has a mortality rate of 40%.15 In this case the patient sustained a penetrating injury to the chest at the ninth ICS, which is not only concerning for intrathoracic injuries but also intra-abdominal injuries. During the operative exploration, lung, cardiac, vessels, and diaphragmatic injuries were ruled out. Only a small amount of hemothorax was found, which was attributed to intercostal muscle lacerations that were tamponaded by the lingula evisceration. After the integrity of organs and vessels was confirmed, the trauma team proceeded with the evisceration repair and sutured the superficial wound on the right hemithorax. The patient recovered uneventfully.

Most of the patients reported in the literature had good functional and aesthetical outcomes, as did our patient. The complications these patients may have include chronic chest pain and possible lung hernias.10 Herniation occurs as a result of injured intercostal muscles and sudden or sustained increases in intrathoracic pressure. Anterior herniation is more common than lateral herniation due to lateral reinforcement of the thoracic wall by the serratus muscle.9,10 Therefore, these patients must seek medical care in the event of new chest pain, shortness of breath, or chest wall deformities.

**CONCLUSION**

The proper initial management of patients with lung evisceration is critical, including standard trauma evaluation and resuscitation, appropriate airway management, and not reducing the eviscerated lung. Prompt surgical exploration is important to retrieve and replace the lung back into the hemithorax, evaluate for associated injuries, and repair the chest wall defect.

Patient consent has been obtained and filed for the publication of this case report. The authors attest that their institution does not require Institutional Review Board approval. Documentation on file.
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Introduction: Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leaks are often the result of trauma or recent surgical procedures; however, a subset can develop from non-traumatic etiologies. Cerebrospinal fluid leaks from congenital and spontaneous encephaloceles can be clinically occult and have devastating consequences if undetected for prolonged periods of time. This report highlights a unique case of meningitis after CSF leak caused by ruptured congenital meningocele during a routine nasopharyngeal swab.

Case Report: A 54-year-old female with diagnosed CSF leak presented to the emergency department (ED) with acute onset of severe headache, and neck and back pain. Prior to this presentation, the patient had experienced two months of persistent headache and rhinorrhea since her coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) nasopharyngeal swab. As part of her outpatient workup, an otolaryngology consultation with subsequent beta-2 transferrin testing and magnetic resonance imaging was performed and she was diagnosed with a CSF leak from ruptured congenital meningocele. On ED presentation, she was afebrile, but with mild tachycardia, leukocytosis, and meningismus. Lumbar puncture revealed acute streptococcal meningitis. This patient’s meningitis developed due to prolonged occult CSF leak after her COVID-19 nasopharyngeal swab ruptured a pre-existing congenital meningocele.

Conclusion: Nasopharyngeal swabs are being performed much more frequently due to the COVID-19 pandemic. All front-line providers should be aware of the potential presence and rupture of congenital meningoceles in patients who have undergone recent nasopharyngeal swab when risk-stratifying for potential CSF leak and meningitis. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 345;5(3):341–344.]

Keywords: nasopharyngeal swab; meningitis; cerebrospinal fluid leak; meningocele; encephalocele.

INTRODUCTION

Cerebrospinal fluid leaks (CSF-L) are rare but can occur in patients of all ages with potentially devastating consequences if not diagnosed and treated expeditiously. Most notably, the abnormal communication between the subarachnoid space and the extracranial space poses significant risk for meningitis. Meningitis, which often occurs within a year from onset of the leak, has been found to complicate almost 20% of patients with persistent leak with 10% mortality.¹ Most CSF-Ls encountered in the emergency department (ED) are in the setting of trauma or recent surgical procedures; however, it is important to recognize that leaks can also occur secondary to nontraumatic causes, including radiation, tumors, infections, or from either congenital or spontaneous encephaloceles.²

Basal encephaloceles are lesions consisting of herniation of intracranial contents – meninges alone (meningoceles); brain tissue (meningoencephalocele); or a ventricle (hydroencephalomeningocele) – through a defect in the skull base. Congenital basal encephaloceles have an incidence of 1 in 35,000 live births (higher in Southeast Asia), but can also occur spontaneously.³ Encephaloceles almost invariably
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What do we already know about this clinical entity? Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leaks are relatively rare but do carry the complicating risk of secondary bacterial meningitis with a high associated mortality. Though rare, presence of encephalocele may increase risk of CSF leak as complication to nasopharyngeal (NP) swab performance.

What makes this presentation of disease reportable? Incidence of basal encephaloceles is low at 1 in 35K live births. However, due to the widespread use of NP swabbing in the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic, the potential exposure of these lesions to potential harm is great.

What is the major learning point? Nasopharyngeal swab performance is not without risk, so care should be used educate performers on the appropriate technique. Onset of severe pain with persistent rhinorrhea after performance should prompt evaluation for CSF leak.

How might this improve emergency medicine practice? Increased caution when performing NP swab may lead to decrease in complications, or earlier intervention for complications if recognized early.

On ED presentation, the patient was afebrile, although mildly tachycardic. With the exception of meningismus, her exam, including neurologic exam, was normal. Her white blood cell count was 19 x 10^3 cells/microliters (uL) (reference range: 4.1-10.7 x 10^3 cells/uL) with a neutrophilic predominance. She was given intravenous (IV) dexamethasone, cefepime and vancomycin, and lumbar puncture was performed. The laboratory analysis revealed cloudy fluid, a glucose level of less than 10 milligrams per deciliter (mg/dL) (reference range: 40-70 mg/dL), protein level of 732 mg/dL (reference range: 15-45 mg/dL), and nucleated cell count of 2764/uL (reference range: 0-5 cells/mL ) with 87% segmented neutrophils. The CSF Gram stain revealed Gram-positive cocci, and CSF culture grew Streptococcus salivarius. While awaiting admission, the patient developed a mild encephalopathy, which resolved over the subsequent 24 hours with continued antibiotic therapy.

During her hospitalization, the patient underwent bilateral endoscopic ethmoid repair with lumbar drain placement by otolaryngology and neurosurgery. Her hospital course was complicated by post-repair re-leak and development of ventriculitis. She had a ventriculostomy placed for treatment

CASE REPORT

A 54-year-old obese female with a history of antiphospholipid syndrome on apixaban and recently diagnosed with CSF-L presented with acutely worsened headache and new-onset neck and back pain for one hour. She had photophobia, chills, and worsening of pain with any flexion of the spine. She denied a history of trauma, drug use, ill contacts, or recent travel. Two months prior, she had a NP swab of her left nare for COVID-19 during which she described a “popping sensation and intense pain.” Since the NP swab performance, the patient had experienced waxing and waning headache, left-sided rhinorrhea, post-nasal drip, cough, and intermittent fever. After failed therapies for pneumonia and allergies, she was referred to an otolaryngologist who performed a beta-2 transferrin test on her nasal discharge, confirming a diagnosis of CSF-L. After rigid nasal endoscopy was unrevealing for a source, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed small bilateral olfactory recess meningoceles (Image). She was scheduled for surgical repair and given appropriate return precautions that led her to present when she developed acutely worsened symptoms.

Image. Magnetic resonance imaging of the brain without intravenous contrast, coronal slice. Small bilateral protrusions of cerebrospinal fluid below the level of the cribiform plate in the olfactory recess area of the nasal cavities bilaterally just ventral to the superior turbinates, left (arrow) slightly larger than right.
with a short course of intrathecal antibiotics in addition to a prolonged course of IV antibiotics. Once her CSF studies cleared, she underwent ventriculo-peritoneal shunt placement. Her clinical status improved, and she was ultimately discharged home with minimal neurologic sequelae.

**DISCUSSION**

This patient had pre-existing, undiagnosed congenital meningoceles, the larger of which was iatrogenically ruptured during her NP swab for COVID-19, resulting in a CSF-L that remained undetected for two months until diagnosed by otolaryngology. While awaiting surgical repair, the patient ultimately developed meningitis requiring a lengthy hospital stay and prolonged antibiotic administration.

To our knowledge, this is the first reported case of a serious complication of a NP swab, despite performance instructions mentioning potential risk of injury. Nasopharyngeal swabs are routinely used for the collection of specimens from the surface of the respiratory mucosa to aid in diagnosis of infection, most often for influenza, respiratory syncytial virus, parainfluenza, and now COVID-19. There are no specific contraindications for performing a NP swab, other than exercising caution if the patient has undergone recent nasal trauma or surgery. During the COVID-19 pandemic, as many as one million COVID-19 NP swabs per day were performed in the United States. Relative to the one-in-35,000 incidence of congenital basal encephaloceles, plus additional spontaneous cases, providers must be aware of CSF-L as a potential consequence of such common testing, as the implications if undetected could be devastating.

This case also highlights congenital and spontaneous encephaloceles as potential risk factors for CSF-L and meningitis. Most emergency providers are aware of traumatic skull fracture and encephalocele, subsequent CSF-L, and risk for meningitis. This case argues that it is prudent for emergency providers to also consider the presence of often clinically occult congenital and spontaneous encephalocele(s) when risk-stratifying a patient for CSF-Land meningitis.

Congenital encephaloceles are due to a defect in the skull base usually resulting from defective ossification during development. Because of this, they are usually associated with other congenital anomalies. Providers should consider undiagnosed encephalocele in patients with a history of midline facial dysmorphism, such as hypertelorism, broad nasal root, or cleft lip or palate, as well as optic disc anomalies. Spontaneous encephaloceles are thought to occur primarily as a result of intracranial hypertension and exertion of hydrostatic pressure at anatomically weakened sites within the skull base, which act as release valves for the high pressure. The presence of spontaneous encephaloceles should thus be considered in those patients at risk for increased intracranial hypertension, most commonly middle-aged, obese, hypertensive, multiparous women.

An additional takeaway from this case is the importance of recognizing the signs and symptoms of CSF-L to facilitate early diagnosis. The most common clinical symptoms of leak are headache, clear rhinorrhea or otorrhea, and salty post-nasal drip. Patients are often mis-diagnosed with viral illness, the common cold, sinusitis, or allergic rhinitis. Rhinorrhea and otorrhea in CSF-L often show positional dependency and are worse with valsalva maneuvers. Providers can look for the classic target or halo sign on gross assessment, which usually occurs when the CSF is mixed with blood or nasal discharge. A glucose oxidase test can also be performed on a sample of discharge; however, the test can often have false positive results when CSF is mixed with blood, and false negative results if meningitis has already developed. The most sensitive and specific test for detection is the beta-2-transferrin, which is only present in CSF, perilymph, and vitreous humor. Only a 0.5-milliliter sample of discharge is required to detect the presence of beta-2-transferrin and confirm leak.

Once a leak is confirmed, the imaging modality of choice to evaluate for dural or osseous defect is most often high-resolution computed tomography (CT), which has a reported sensitivity of 89%. That said, CT is less valuable in localizing cases of non-traumatic CSF-L, such as those associated with encephalocele, in which case magnetic resonance imaging may be more useful. Cerebrospinal fluid leak can be managed conservatively with strict bed rest, head elevation to 30 degrees, and avoidance of blowing the nose, coughing, yawning, or straining; however, we know that longer duration of leakage and delayed repair have higher risk for meningitis. Early surgery is indicated in cases of penetrating injury, intracranial hematoma, meningitis, large intracranial aerocele, encephalocele, and low probability of success with natural repair. There is controversy surrounding antibiotic prophylaxis while awaiting definitive management; however, to date there is little evidence to suggest that this provides any benefit.

**CONCLUSION**

This case demonstrates the presence of an uncommon congenital anomaly that suffered injury during testing for COVID-19, complicated further by a secondary infection resulting in bacterial meningitis. Although such anomalies are rare, the drastic increase in the performance of nasopharyngeal swabs during the COVID-19 pandemic created an increased risk of iatrogenic injury and associated complication. Emergency physicians and other front-line providers should be aware of symptoms concerning for a cerebrospinal fluid leak following NP swab, as early identification and surgical intervention may help avoid serious complications.

Patient consent has been obtained and filed for the publication of this case report.
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Introduction: Cryptococcus gattii (C. gatti) is a rare cause of meningitis in the United States. Outbreaks in new geographic distributions in the past few decades raise concern that climate change may be contributing to a broader distribution of this pathogen. We review a case of C. gatti in a 23-year-old woman in Northern California who was diagnosed via lumbar puncture after six weeks of headache, blurred vision, and tinnitus.

Case Report: A 23-year-old previously healthy young woman presented to the emergency department (ED) after multiple visits to primary care, other EDs, and neurologists, for several weeks of headache, nausea, tinnitus, and blurred vision. On examination the patient was found to have a cranial nerve VI palsy (impaired abduction of the left eye) and bilateral papilledema on exam. Lumbar puncture had a significantly elevated opening pressure. Cerebrospinal fluid studies were positive for C. gattii. The patient was treated with serial lumbar punctures, followed by lumbar drain, as well as amphotericin and flucytosine. The patient had improvement in headache and neurologic symptoms and was discharged to another facility that specializes in management of this disease to undergo further treatment with immunomodulators and steroids.

Conclusion: Fungal meningitis is uncommon in the US, particularly among immunocompetent patients. Due to climate change, C. gattii may be a new pathogen to consider. This finding raises important questions to the medical community about the way global climate change affects day to day medical care now, and how it may change in the future.  

Keywords: Climate change; meningitis; Cryptococcus gattii; headache; emergency medicine.

INTRODUCTION

Climate change is expanding the landscape of infectious disease and may impact the daily practice of emergency medicine. Cryptococcus gattii (C gattii) is an encapsulated yeast whose global distribution has begun changing, possibly due to climate change. This pathogen lives in the soil and in association with certain trees. It was first isolated from eucalyptus but has also been isolated in other tree species in tropical and subtropical geographic distributions, especially Australia and Papua, New Guinea, and to a lesser degree Africa, Europe, Mexico, and South America. The host is usually infected via the respiratory system from the environment, causing a pneumonia-like illness. This can disseminate to skin and other organs, including the central nervous system. Symptoms of neurologic involvement include headache, fever, neck pain, nausea, vomiting, photophobia, and altered mental status. The incubation period for C gattii is not well documented but average symptom onset may be six to seven months from exposure. It is not contagious between people.
In the early 2000s, an outbreak was documented in the Pacific Northwest in primarily human immune-deficiency virus (HIV)-negative patients. From 1999 to 2007, British Columbia saw an increase in incidence of cases from five per year to 38 per year. Then from 2010-2012, cases were documented in states outside the Pacific Northwest. Cases were reported in the following states: Alabama (1 case); California (13); Florida (1); Georgia (5); Hawaii (1); Michigan (1); Montana (1); and New Mexico (2). These cases raised concern that C. gattii has either adapted to a new climate niche or that climate change has opened new areas that C. gattii can inhabit.

CASE REPORT
A 23-year-old previously healthy woman presented to the emergency department (ED) with nausea and vomiting, weight loss, headache, tinnitus, diplopia, photophobia, dizziness, and paresthesia. Six weeks prior to presentation, the patient started having light-headedness, tinnitus and zigzags in her vision. She then developed nausea, vomiting, and headaches, and over several weeks had multiple visits with her primary care provider, a neurologist, and an outside hospital ED. Outpatient labs and a non-contrast computed tomography (CT) of the head were reportedly negative. She was prescribed a succession of antibiotics for presumed sinusitis, migraine prophylaxis and abortive medications, and received botulinum toxin injections to her forehead, temples, and neck.

On arrival to our ED, the patient reported progressive headache and emotional lability, as well as new numbness and tingling in her left fourth and fifth fingers and right foot. She denied alcohol, smoking, or drug use. Her only reported travel was to Singapore and Taiwan approximately three months prior to symptoms onset. She denied any preceding illnesses. Intake vital signs were as follows: heart rate of 84 beats per minute, blood pressure 107/83 millimeters of mercury, respiratory rate of 20 breaths per minute, temperature of 36.8° Celsius, and pulse oximetry 99% on room air. Physical exam was notable for a supple neck with a full range of motion without pain. Her neurologic exam was notable for a sixth (VI) cranial nerve palsy of the left eye, and subjective decrease in sensation in her left fourth and fifth digits and in her right ankle and foot. While the patient was alert and oriented, her affect fluctuated between animated and flat. On fundoscopic exam, she had pale optic discs bilaterally and papilledema.

Blood tests, including a complete blood count and comprehensive metabolic panel, were notable only for a mildly elevated ammonia (39 micromoles per liter [umol/L] [reference range 11-32 umol/L]). A non-contrast CT of the head was obtained, which was negative for any acute intracranial process (Image 1). A lumbar puncture demonstrated elevated opening pressures of greater than 55 centimeters of water (cm H₂O) (normal range 5-25 cm H₂O). Cerebrospinal fluid studies showed 70 white blood cells (normal range 0-5 microliters [uL]); 75% lymphocytes (40-80%); protein 62 milligrams per deciliter (mg/dL) (15-45 mg/dL); glucose 34 mg/dL (40-70 mg/dL), and Gram stain with evidence of fungal elements consistent with C. gattii (Image 2). Cultures later also grew C. gattii. The patient was admitted to the neurology service.
Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed a 5.6 mm ring-enhancing lesion in the left anterior frontal lobe consistent with a cryptococcom (Image 3). Additional tiny punctate enhancing lesions in the left caudate head and basal ganglia were also felt to represent cryptococcal disease.

The patient’s symptoms of headache, diplopia, nausea, vomiting and tinnitus gradually improved after induction therapy with amphotericin and flucytosine and multiple lumbar punctures per week, followed by a lumbar drain. After a one-month admission she was discharged to another facility that specializes in immune modulation and steroids. After treatment at the specialty facility, her headache, nausea, and vomiting resolved. Her exam upon discharge was significant only for mild bilateral cranial nerve VI palsies, and her cerebrospinal fluid cultures remained negative for over two weeks. The patient was ultimately discharged from the specialty facility on indefinite fluconazole prophylaxis.

**DISCUSSION**

*Cryptococcus gattii* is an encapsulated yeast that has traditionally been found in tropical and subtropical geographic distributions. In more recent years it has also been cultured from eucalyptus trees exported from Australia to California and the Pacific Northwest. Fungal spores released from the soil and into the air can come in contact with human nasal passageways as a route of inoculation. Globally, it is a leading cause of meningitis in immunocompetent hosts and maintains a high mortality rate. One study cited 20% of patients with *C gattii* infection dying directly because of the infection, and 13% dying from complicating factors.

Symptoms may occur months to years before clinical diagnosis, as the onset can be quite insidious. Patients may present with a nonspecific assortment of constitutional, respiratory, gastrointestinal, and central nervous system symptoms over several weeks or months. In addition, a non-contrast CT can be negative in as many as 50% of cases, requiring the clinician to maintain a high level of suspicion to continue pursuing a central nervous system etiology. Computed tomography can show hydrocephalus, hypodense nodules, or diffuse atrophy, although these findings are non-specific. Our patient’s course fits with
this presentation, as she had six weeks of headache with a negative CT as seen above.

This patient had traveled to Singapore and Taiwan three months prior to the onset of symptoms, and both countries have rare, reported cases of C gattii. One study in Singapore identified 62 patients with Cryptococcus over eight years at a 1400-bed hospital, and only three of those (4.8%) were infected with C gattii, the majority being Cryptococcus neoformans (C neoformans).\(^1\) Similar prevalence rates have been seen in Taiwan, with 95.9% of Cryptococcus being caused by C neoformans and 4.1% by C gattii. This is compared to Australia and New Zealand, where 85% of cryptococcal infection are caused by C neoformans and 15% by C gattii.\(^1\) These data raise the question of whether this patient was exposed to C gattii during her travels to one of these countries or at home in northern California. This is especially concerning given that since the late 1990s there has been an increase in the incidence of this pathogen in the Pacific Northwest and subsequently in other states as well, including California.

Cases of C gattii infection in the northwestern United States have occurred in Washington and Oregon, and it has been identified in environmental samples in San Francisco, California.\(^1\) The climates in these areas are characterized by warm, dry summers and mild, wet winters. This climate is different from the traditional tropical climates in which C gattii has previously been found. Some hypotheses propose that C gattii has existed in the environment of the Pacific Northwest for the past 35 years but that recent climate changes have allowed for higher concentrations to flourish.\(^1\) Another theory is that this pathogen has adapted to new environmental niches.

**CONCLUSION**

Cryptococcus gattii is a yeast previously found only in specific geographic and climate distributions. Cryptococcal infections are thought to be the most common cause of fungal meningitis in immunocompetent hosts, although most research has focused on HIV-positive patients.\(^1\) The onset of symptoms is insidious, the symptoms themselves are often vague and nonspecific, and they may involve multiple systems such as constitutional, respiratory, gastrointestinal, and the central nervous system. This nonspecific presentation, in addition to the pathogen’s rarity, requires a high level of suspicion by the emergency clinician to determine the diagnosis (usually by MRI or lumbar puncture).

Although still rare, this pathogen is becoming more common in new parts of the world such as the Pacific Northwest and California, which may be related to effects of climate change on broadening C gattii’s potential habitats. It is becoming increasingly crucial that emergency clinicians consider the implications of the planet’s changing climate on the distribution and manifestation of disease, and as a result consider additional neurologic and infectious workup in young, healthy, and immunocompetent persons with intractable headache and no other objective signs of infection.

The authors attest that their institution requires neither Institutional Review Board approval, nor patient consent for publication of this case report. Documentation on file.
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Acute Hemiballismus as the Initial Manifestation of Ischemic Stroke: A Case Report

Huiling Huang, MBBS
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INTRODUCTION

Hemichorea-hemiballismus (HCHB) is a relatively rare hyperkinetic movement disorder characterized by involuntary, coarse, and wide-amplitude movements involving the unilateral arm and leg. It is a rare manifestation of stroke and is reported to be most commonly due to contralateral lesions in the subthalamic nucleus and basal ganglia. In recent years, however, there have been reports of cortical strokes presenting with HCHB. Based on previous literature, the incidence of HCHB in acute ischemic stroke ranges between 0.4%-0.54%.

We report a case of acute onset hemiballismus as the initial manifestation of acute infarct in the centrum semiovale, which improved after treatment with antithrombotic therapy.

CASE REPORT

A 62-year-old Chinese male, chronic smoker of 40 pack-years with nil past medical history, presented to our emergency department (ED) with intermittent episodes of abnormal involuntary movement of his left arm and leg for three days. He described them as swinging movements of the left arm at the wrist and elbow joint that could be suppressed with the other hand. There was also associated numbness of the left hand and unsteady gait with gait deviation to the left on ambulation. He had no involuntary movement of the face and no complaint of slurred speech. He also denied any use of long-term medications including illicit substances or traditional medications. There was no family history of any neurological disease.

General examination revealed no abnormal finding. On neurological examination, he was noted to have hemiballismus mixed with chorea-like movements over the left upper and lower limbs. There was no pronator drift, and power and sensation was full over bilateral upper and lower limbs. Cranial nerve exam, cerebellar examination was normal. He was noted to be normoglycemic as well. An urgent computed tomography of the brain done in the ED was reported by the radiologist to have no evidence of acute intracranial haemorrhage, acute territorial infarct, or mass effect. The electrocardiogram done in the ED revealed sinus rhythm.

The patient was admitted to the medical general ward for further workup for his hemiballismus-chorea. Initial tests...
performed included iron studies, ceruloplasmin levels, an infective screen (hepatitis B/C/human immunodeficiency virus/syphilis), calcium, magnesium, and phosphate. All were reported normal. The following day the patient was seen by a neurologist who arranged for a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) brain stroke protocol. The MRI of the brain showed a small focus (3 millimeters) of restricted diffusion in the right centrum semiovale (Image). No haemorrhagic conversion or significant perifocal oedema, no midline shift, or hydrocephalus was noted. Magnetic resonance angiogram showed no evidence of flow-limiting stenosis of the arteries of the anterior and posterior circulation. No aneurysm was noted.

He was started on dual anti-platelet therapy for the stroke and atorvastatin for newly diagnosed hyperlipidaemia. Further tests included a two-dimensional echocardiography; 24-hour Holter and hemoglobin A1c were also done for this patient, which were all noted to be normal. Carotid Doppler investigations revealed minor plaques. Prior to discharge, the patient was reviewed by the physiotherapist and occupational therapist and was noted to have improvement in symptoms with very minimal occasional hemiballismus over his left upper limb that did not affect his gait and basic activities of daily living. The patient was discharged after three days of inpatient management.

**Outcome and Follow-up**

The patient was reviewed at the neurology clinic three months post discharge. He was noted to have resolution of symptoms and was able to return to work as a bartender. He was then discharged from neurology.

**DISCUSSION**

Chorea is a hyperkinetic movement disorder characterized by rapid and unpredictable contractions affecting mostly distal limbs, but also the face and trunk. The movements are involuntary and non-patterned with variable speed, timing, and direction, flowing from one body part to another. Ballism refers to involuntary movements that are proximal and large in amplitude with a flinging or kicking character. Ballism is most often unilateral (hemiballismus), and although present at rest it becomes more prominent with action. Often hemichorea and hemiballismus coexist.

Vascular and structural pathologies within the contralateral subthalamic nucleus and basal ganglia are the most common causes of hemiballismus, although lesions in the striatum, thalamus, cerebral cortex, subcortical area, and midbrain are also reported to cause hemiballismus. In a recent case series, four patients with cortical stroke presented with hemichorea as the primary presentation. Although vascular infarcts resulting in HCHB may vary in anatomical location, they are localizable to a common functional network. Both ischemic and haemorrhagic stroke account for the most common etiology of HCHB. In one study 11 of 21 patients had a stroke etiology, and in another series 18 of 25 patients had either ischemic or haemorrhagic stroke. Although stroke is a common etiology of HCHB, the incidence of this movement disorder compared to other manifestations of stroke (weakness) is extremely rare, with a range of 0.4% to 0.54%. Other causes of HCHB include infection, neoplasm, traumatic brain injury, nonketotic hyperglycemia, autoimmune disorders, and use of dopaminergic drugs. Our patient’s hemiballismus was caused by an infarction in the right centrum semiovale (Image).

The centrum semiovale is the central area of white matter found beneath the cerebral cortex. The white matter, located in each hemisphere between the cerebral cortex and nuclei, consists of cortical projection fibres, association fibres, and cortical fibres. Two cases of centrum semiovale stroke causing hemiballismus were reported in recent literature. The first case involved a concurrent occurrence of non-ketotic hyperglycaemia and a stroke. The second was a case of repeating acute-onset hemiballismus in a single patient who had hemiballismus after an initial acute infarct in the centrum semiovale, complete resolution of symptoms, and re-presentation to the hospital five months later with hemiballismus over the same limbs, but with multifocal patchy, subcortical-restricted diffusion focus on MRI instead.

**CPC-EM Capsule**

**What do we already know about this clinical entity?**

**Strokes are the most common etiology of hemichorea-hemiballismus (HCHB). However, incidence of HCHB as the initial manifestation of acute ischemic stroke is rare.**

**What makes this presentation of disease reportable?**

**Ischemic strokes present with “negative” symptoms such as weakness or sensory loss. Rarely do “positive” symptoms such as abnormal movements manifest in acute stroke.**

**What is the major learning point?**

**Clinicians should recognize that an acute onset of HCHB can be a symptom of stroke and should be treated and worked up accordingly.**

**How might this improve emergency medicine practice?**

**Recognition and awareness of stroke presenting as movement disorders can prevent delays in diagnosis and management.**
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the onset of hemiballismus. He was also younger than the mean age of patients with post-stroke hemiballismus noted in current literature.

Hemiballismus patients require treatment both for the underlying etiology of the movement and for the movements themselves. A majority of vascular hemiballismus have a good prognosis, and most resolve spontaneously. A 2010 observational study of 15 patients with post-stroke hemiballismus reported eight patients (53%) not needing any pharmacological treatment due to rapid resolution, while the remaining seven required pharmacological therapy for the control of hemichorea. However, most patients had symptom resolution within two months. In cases where ballistic movement is persistent, or affecting function, medical therapy with antidopaminergic drugs (haloperidol) can be considered. In the case of our patient, it was determined that he had mild hemiballismus which improved prior to the discharge from the hospital and resolution of symptoms by the time of the outpatient neurology follow-up. Hence, dopamine receptor blocker agents were not considered.

CONCLUSION

We report a rare case of ischemic stroke presenting with acute onset hemichorea-hemiballismus where there was infarction at the right centrum semiovale. Recognition and awareness of stroke presenting as movement disorders in the emergency department can help prevent delays in diagnosis and treatment.

Patient consent has been obtained and filed for the publication of this case report. The authors attest that their institution does not require Institutional Review Board approval. Documentation on file.
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Introduction: The erector spinae plane block (ESPB) has been described as an effective analgesic modality in the emergency department (ED) for thoracic pain. It has not previously been described to treat ED patients with pain in the upper extremity.

Case Report: We present a case of a 52-year-old female who presented to the ED with an acute exacerbation of her chronic radicular left arm pain originating after a fall she sustained one year prior. After a variety of analgesic modalities failed to control her pain, an ESPB was used to successfully treat her pain and facilitate discharge from the ED.

Conclusion: A significant portion of patients who present to the ED have underlying chronic pain; however, opioids are a potentially dangerous and ineffective modality to treat chronic pain. In addition to avoiding opiates, the ESPB has the advantage of preserving motor function, thus avoiding the complications associated with brachial plexus blockade. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(3):353–356.]

Keywords: erector spinae plane block; regional anesthesia; upper extremity; case report.

INTRODUCTION

In the United States up to 20% of adults are estimated to be experiencing chronic pain at any given time, and up to 40% of emergency department (ED) patients have underlying chronic pain conditions.1 A variety of analgesic modalities are required to better aid these patients, as opioids are not indicated for treatment of chronic pain in the ED (excluding cancer patients).2 The potential risk of opioid misuse and abuse is increased in patients with chronic pain.3,4 The erector spinae plane block (ESPB) has been described to treat pain from acute conditions such as fractures, burns, herpes zoster, renal colic, and acute pancreatitis.5 The use of ESPB has the potential to be expanded to patients with upper extremity pain, as it has the distinct advantage over brachial plexus blockade of preserving motor function. We present a case report of a patient in the ED with chronic upper extremity pain who experienced significant improvement after undergoing an ESPB.

CASE REPORT

A 52-year-old female with a history of fibromyalgia, left shoulder osteoarthritis, and chronic pain in her left arm, neck and back presented to the ED with an exacerbation of her chronic pain for two days. She had suffered a fall while in the shower one year prior to presentation and attributed her chronic neck, back, and radicular left arm pain to this injury. She had tried chiropractic manipulation, acupuncture, intraarticular glucocorticoid injections, physical therapy, and topical creams and patches, as well as a variety of over-the-counter medications. Despite these interventions, her pain persisted. Her vital signs on presentation to the ED were all within normal limits, and on physical examination her pain was rated as 10/10 on the left side including her lateral neck, back, circumferential upper arm, and lateral aspect of her elbow.

She exhibited allodynia in these regions and had pain-limited range of motion at the shoulder and elbow. There were no areas of skin erythema, induration, or fluctuance.
Plain radiographs of her shoulder and elbow revealed no acute findings. She had received 10 milligrams of oxycodone while waiting in the triage area, but this gave her minimal symptom relief, likely due to the severity of her pain.

After explaining the risks and benefits to the patient, an ultrasound-guided ESPB was performed with 60 milliliters of 0.25% ropivacaine (Images 1-3).

The block was performed at the level of the second thoracic (T2) vertebrae with the patient in prone position and the ultrasound probe oriented parasagitally. An in-plane approach was used with the needle tip oriented cephalad. During the instillation of the local anesthetic, manual compression caudal to the site of injection was applied to influence spread of the injectate cephalad toward the vertebral levels where the patient was experiencing pain (Figure).

The procedure was performed without complication. After 30 minutes, the patient reported complete relief of her neck, shoulder, thorax, and back symptoms and had complete restoration of range of motion. She did not experience any motor blockade and had full strength in her extremity. She rated her pain at a 0/10 and expressed satisfaction at the quality of her pain control. Only minimal elbow pain persisted and after a period of monitoring in the ED, the patient was discharged home. On follow up, she reported that she had complete relief of pain for the next five days, after which her symptoms gradually returned at a more tolerable level.

CPC-EM Capsule

What do we already know about this clinical entity?

Patients with chronic pain frequently present to the emergency department (ED). Chronic upper extremity pain can be treated with brachial plexus blockade, but this results in motor paralysis.

What makes this presentation of disease reportable?

The erector spinae plane block (ESPB) has not been previously used in the ED to treat upper extremity pain and may be an effective analgesic modality for these patients.

What is the major learning point?

The ESPB can treat chronic upper extremity pain without causing motor blockade. It is a safe procedure that can be performed by emergency physicians.

How might this improve emergency medicine practice?

The expanded indications for ESPB will allow emergency physicians to use regional anesthesia for chronic pain while avoiding unnecessary complications and opiates.

Plain radiographs of her shoulder and elbow revealed no acute findings. She had received 10 milligrams of oxycodone while waiting in the triage area, but this gave her minimal symptom relief, likely due to the severity of her pain.

After explaining the risks and benefits to the patient, an ultrasound-guided ESPB was performed with 60 milliliters of 0.25% ropivacaine (Images 1-3).

Plain radiographs of her shoulder and elbow revealed no acute findings. She had received 10 milligrams of oxycodone while waiting in the triage area, but this gave her minimal symptom relief, likely due to the severity of her pain.

After explaining the risks and benefits to the patient, an ultrasound-guided ESPB was performed with 60 milliliters of 0.25% ropivacaine (Images 1-3).

The block was performed at the level of the second thoracic (T2) vertebrae with the patient in prone position and the ultrasound probe oriented parasagitally. An in-plane approach was used with the needle tip oriented cephalad. During the instillation of the local anesthetic, manual compression caudal to the site of injection was applied to influence spread of the injectate cephalad toward the vertebral levels where the patient was experiencing pain (Figure).

The procedure was performed without complication. After 30 minutes, the patient reported complete relief of her neck, shoulder, thorax, and back symptoms and had complete restoration of range of motion. She did not experience any motor blockade and had full strength in her extremity. She rated her pain at a 0/10 and expressed satisfaction at the quality of her pain control. Only minimal elbow pain persisted and after a period of monitoring in the ED, the patient was discharged home. On follow up, she reported that she had complete relief of pain for the next five days, after which her symptoms gradually returned at a more tolerable level.
DISCUSSION

Regional anesthesia blocks can be an effective analgesic modality in patients with chronic pain. Patients with upper extremity pain are usually limited to brachial plexus blockade, which carries the risk of neural injury from inadvertent intraneural injection or needling. Furthermore, brachial plexus blocks affect both myelinated A motor fibers and unmyelinated C nociceptive fibers, causing patients to temporarily lose motor function in the affected limb. This does not allow for safe disposition of most patients from the ED. Brachial plexus blockade can also cause diaphragmatic paralysis, Horner’s syndrome, and central neural blockade, all of which are undesirable and potentially dangerous.

The ESPB may provide a better alternative in these scenarios, where significant analgesia can be provided in the upper extremity without the disadvantage of blocking motor function and complications associated with brachial plexus blockade. The absence of motor blockade is thought to occur due to the low volume of anesthetic reaching the actual nerve roots and preferential blockade of nociceptive fibers. One study showed that computed tomography reconstruction of the ESPB performed at T2 demonstrated the spread of injectate superiorly into the cervical levels from the second through sixth cervical vertebrae (C2-C6), as well as anteriorly past the levator scapulae muscle. Cadaveric studies where the ESPB is performed using methylene blue have also consistently demonstrated extensive spread of injectate to the ventral and dorsal rami across multiple vertebral levels. This explains the ability of an ESPB performed at the level of T2 to spread cephalad through fascial planes and block cervical nerves.

For rib fractures, the ESPB is typically performed at the T3-T5 level with the injection needle oriented in a cephalad to caudal direction to facilitate downward spread of the local anesthetic. In this case, in order to have the injectate spread cephalad, the needle was directed from a caudal to cephalad direction at T2. This process allowed more cephalad spread of injectate toward the cervical spine in an attempt to alleviate the patient’s chronic upper extremity and neck pain without motor blockade.

Ropivacaine is expected to have a duration of 12-24 hours, which can be extended up to six days if administered with appropriate doses of dexamethasone and epinephrine. The patient in this case received 0.25% ropivacaine without additives but experienced several days of complete pain relief. This suggests that the ESPB interrupted a pain cycle, as the anesthetic effect alone does not explain the duration of her analgesia.

In contrast to trigger point injections that use needling to disrupt hyperirritable intramuscular nodules in patients with myofascial pain syndrome, the ESPB is thought to anesthetize peripheral nerves and can be applied to any patient with acute or chronic pain. The mechanism of trigger point injections has been questioned for decades, and it is postulated that some trigger point injections provide analgesia by inadvertently blocking peripheral nerves when local anesthetic is injected. Thus, the mechanisms of trigger point injections and the ESPB may overlap to some degree; however, no comparison studies between the two are available.
The exact mechanism for preservation of motor function is not understood. Only case reports have been published about this method. It is possible that a large-scale study would uncover patients who inadvertently received motor blockade with this technique. Although needle direction and manual compression were used in this case to influence anesthetic spread, it is unknown whether these maneuvers improve efficacy of the ESPB, and this technique is currently without high-quality evidence.

CONCLUSION
The erector spinae pain block may be a safe and effective modality to treat chronic upper extremity pain in the ED, without significant blockade of motor function. Performing the ESPB in the high thoracic region, aiming the needle cephalad and applying compression below the site of injection, may help the anesthetic spread upward into the region of the cervical nerve roots. Research is needed to determine the utility and efficacy of this new technique.
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Acute Thromboembolism from Trauma in a Patient with Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm

Solomon Sebt, MD
Chris Kim, DO
Wirachin Hoonponsimanont, MD
Eric Leroux, MD, MBA

Eisenhower Medical Center, Department of Emergency Medicine, Rancho Mirage, California

Case Presentation: A 64-year-old man with a history of a 5.5-centimeter (cm) abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) presented to the emergency department (ED) complaining of severe back pain after climbing over a fence and falling a distance of eight feet. Prior to arrival, the prehospital paramedics reported that the patient did not have palpable pulses in either lower extremity. The initial physical examination in the ED was significant for absent dorsalis pedis pulses bilaterally as well as absent posterior tibialis pulses bilaterally and cold, insensate lower extremities. Point-of-care ultrasound identified an approximate 7-cm infrarenal AAA with a mural thrombus present. After receiving several computed tomography (CT) studies including CT head without contrast and CT angiography of the chest, abdomen and pelvis, the patient was diagnosed with acute thrombosis of AAA and associated thromboembolic occlusion of both his right and left distal iliac vessels causing bilateral acute limb ischemia. He immediately received unfractionated heparin and was admitted to the hospital for embolectomy and intra-arterial tissue plasminogen activator.

Discussion: Acute thrombosis of AAA and subsequent thromboembolic events are a rare but significant complication that can occur in patients with a history of AAA. Thromboembolic events may occur spontaneously or in the setting of blunt abdominal trauma. Common presenting signs and symptoms include distal limb ischemia and absent femoral pulses. Timely management and recognition of this rare complication is vital as this condition can ultimately result in limb loss or death if not treated in a timely manner. Heparinization after confirmation of non-ruptured AAA as well as vascular surgery, and therapeutic and vascular interventional radiology consultations are key steps that should be taken to decrease patient morbidity and mortality. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(3):357–359.]

Keywords: Abdominal aortic aneurysm; acute thrombosis; embolectomy; acute limb ischemia.
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What do we already know about this clinical entity?
Acute thrombosis of abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAA) and subsequent thromboembolic events are rare complications, particularly in the setting of blunt abdominal trauma.

What is the major impact of the image(s)?
Rapid diagnosis aided by point-of-care ultrasound and angiography can identify acute aortic thrombosis and help minimize severe morbidity secondary to limb ischemia.

How might this improve emergency medicine practice?
Recognizing acute thrombosis of an AAA in the setting of trauma and intervening promptly has significant implications on patient morbidity and mortality.

and radial pulses bilaterally, and absent dorsalis pedis and popliteal pulses bilaterally. Point-of-care ultrasound identified a large infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) of approximately 7 centimeters (cm) in size with contained thrombus within the lumen. (Images 1 and 2).

Computed tomography angiography of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis reaffirmed the presence of an acutely ulcerated thrombus contained within the lumen of the aorta and no evidence of extraluminal contrast extravasation. This information, combined with vascular duplex ultrasound of the lower extremity confirming extensive clot burden down to the level of the dorsalis pedis, was presented to our vascular surgeon and therapeutic interventional radiologist on call. In shared decision-making with the patient, this multidisciplinary team initiated anticoagulation with unfractionated heparin and transferred the patient to the operating room for emergent embolectomy and intra-arterial tissue plasminogen activator.

DISCUSSION

Our patient was ultimately diagnosed with acute thromboembolism of AAA. The incidence of this type of mechanistic complication is rare, occurring in approximately 0.7-2.8% of surgically managed cases. The most common signs and symptoms of acutely thrombosed AAA include acute lower limb ischemia and absent femoral pulses, which are reported in approximately 45.7% and 68.6% of the time in reported cases, respectively. Timely management of these patients is paramount as the negative outcomes in these scenarios can often include limb loss or even death. Acute thrombosis of AAA has been reported to occur at various aneurysm sizes ranging from 3.5-10.5 cm. It is proposed that systemic heparinization immediately after diagnosis and prompt surgical revascularization can reduce the mortality rate.

Various mechanisms behind acute thrombosis of AAA have been discussed in the literature, including acute low-flow state due to occlusion, hypercoagulation disorder or hypercoagulability secondary to neoplastic disease, cardioaortic embolization due to cardiac arrhythmias, dislocation of a fragment of the mural thrombus within the aneurysm sac secondary to trauma or spontaneously, and hypotension and low-flow state secondary to other causes. Our patient likely had a dislocation/rupture of his mural thrombus within the aneurysmal sac secondary to traumatic abdominal impact leading to distal occlusion. Moreover, he was treated with heparin after verifying absence of aortic rupture and admitted to the hospital for further treatment with embolectomy. Unfortunately, due to the patient’s extensive clot burden, he ultimately required bilateral below knee amputations despite aggressive attempts at pharmacological and interventional management.

Comprehensive care of patients with AAA complication in the ED is facilitated through a combination of a thorough physical examination, appropriate radiographic studies, and consultations with specialists. Recognizing these thromboembolic events and ensuring that both surgical

Image 1. Point-of-care ultrasound of abdominal aortic aneurysm using 3.5 megahertz probe in transverse view above the level of bifurcation of iliac vessels, showing aneurysmal dilatation of 7.1 centimeters (71 mm). Associated mural thrombus within the lumen of the aorta can be visualized.
and interventional candidates are promptly identified has significant implication on long-term morbidity and mortality.

The authors attest that their institution requires neither Institutional Review Board approval, nor patient consent for publication of this case report. Documentation on file.
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Staghorn Calculus: A Stone out of Proportion to Pain
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Case Presentation: A 25-year-old woman presented to the emergency department with two weeks of crampy right-flank pain, and urinary urgency and frequency. She was found to have a staghorn calculus filling her entire right renal pelvis on computed tomography imaging.

Discussion: In contrast to ureteral calculi, staghorn calculi are more commonly observed in female patients and typically present with an indolent clinical course. A low threshold for imaging should be maintained, as prompt referral to urology for stone removal or treatment is necessary. Staghorn calculi have a high likelihood of leading to renal failure or urosepsis without treatment. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(3):360–361.]

Key Words: Staghorn; infection stone; struvite; nephrolithiasis.

CASE PRESENTATION
A 25-year-old Hispanic female presented to the emergency department (ED) with two weeks of waxing and waning right-sided flank pain. She described the pain as a cramping discomfort that worsened over the two weeks and was only mildly relieved by acetaminophen. She also reported urinary frequency and urgency without dysuria or hematuria. Physical examination was notable for right upper quadrant and mild right costovertebral angle tenderness. Urinalysis showed nitrite negative, leukocyte esterase positive urine with 685 white blood cells per high power field, 53 red blood cells per high power field, and appreciable bacteria. A computed tomography (CT) from the ED revealed a right staghorn calculus with hydronephrosis along with left nephrolithiasis (Images 1, 2).

DISCUSSION
Staghorn calculi are the only type of renal stones more commonly observed in female patients as a result of their association with urinary tract infections.1 Other patient characteristics associated with struvite stones include gross hematuria, lower urinary tract symptoms, fever on presentation, a past medical history of hypertension, and multiple stones on imaging.1 In contrast to nephroliths in the ureters, staghorn calculi often have an insidious course with mild or no pain;
CPC-EM Capsule

What do we already know about this clinical entity?
Struvite calculi are composed of magnesium ammonium phosphate (struvite) and calcium carbonate-apatite and are caused by urinary tract pathogens.

What is the major impact of the image(s)?
This image depicts struvite calculi within the renal pelvis and calyces giving the characteristic “staghorn” formation for which these stones are also named.

How might this improve emergency medicine practice?
As these stones are notably more insidious in presentation, earlier recognition and urgent referral will hopefully result in better outcomes for patients.

therefore, we suggest a low threshold for imaging (computed tomography or ultrasound) to accelerate definitive treatment. The goal of treatment is complete removal of the stone, as any remaining fragments may harbor bacteria that are difficult to sterilize with antibiotics. Without treatment, a staghorn calculus is likely to cause renal failure, urosepsis, or both. Early recognition and referral to urology is crucial to reduce the risk of morbidity and mortality in these patients.

The authors attest that their institution requires neither Institutional Review Board approval, nor patient consent for publication of this case report. Documentation on file.

REFERENCES
Gastric Perforation During MRI After Ingestion of Ferromagnetic Foreign Bodies

Nicholas M. Glover, DO
Ryan Roten, DO

Desert Regional Medical Center, Department of Emergency Medicine, Palm Springs, California

Section Editor: Christopher Sampson, MD
Submission history: Submitted March 1, 2021; Revision received April 22, 2021; Accepted April 23, 2021
Electronically published July 27, 2021
Full text available through open access at http://escholarship.org/uc/uciem_cpcem
DOI: 10.5811/cpcem.2021.4.52307

Case Presentation: A 65-year-old male with schizophrenia and intellectual disability ingested what was reported to be two AA batteries, prior to a scheduled magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) study. He developed severe abdominal pain and presented to the emergency department the following day with hypovolemic/septic shock. General surgery retrieved two metal sockets and a clevis pin from the stomach prior to surgical repair of a gastric perforation. This case highlights a rare yet critical outcome of ingesting ferromagnetic foreign bodies prior to an MRI study.

Discussion: Medical literature on this subject is scarce as indwelling metal foreign bodies are a contraindication to obtaining an MRI. Yet some patients with indwelling metallic foreign bodies proceed with MRI studies due to either challenges in communication such as age, psychiatric/mental debility, or unknowingly having an indwelling metal foreign body. In this case, the patient surreptitiously ingested metal objects prior to obtaining an MRI. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(3):362–364.]

Keywords: Metallic foreign body, magnetic resonance imaging, gastric perforation.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 65-year-old, Spanish-speaking male with a history of schizophrenia presented to the emergency department hypotensive and diaphoretic complaining of severe abdominal pain. The patient was an exquisitely poor historian; however, we were able to ascertain that he recently had a routine outpatient magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) performed the day before, which was apparently halted due to the patient complaining of severe abdominal pain, and he was subsequently sent home. On further questioning, the patient admitted to ingesting two AA batteries prior to the MRI study because he “thought it would make him smarter.”

Initial workup included plain films of the abdomen, which demonstrated two radiopaque foreign bodies in the stomach possibly resembling AA batteries, per reported patient history, with associated pneumoperitoneum (Image 1).

After resuscitation and general surgery consultation, computed tomography of the abdomen and pelvis was performed, which demonstrated presumed perforated hollow viscus (Image 1).
Gastric Perforation After Ingestion of Ferromagnetic Foreign Bodies

What do we already know about this clinical entity? It is well known that indwelling ferromagnetic materials are a contraindication to magnetic resonance imaging and reported complications due to this are rare.

What is the major impact of the image(s)? The images in this case demonstrate the dangers of indwelling ferromagnetic materials while undergoing magnetic resonance imaging scan.

How might this improve emergency medicine practice? This case suggests obtaining screening plain films in unreliable historians complaining of pain after magnetic resonance imaging.

The patient was immediately taken to surgery for exploratory laparotomy where the foreign bodies were removed, and a three-centimeter (cm) defect in the body of the distal stomach and a small serosal stomach defect were repaired. The foreign bodies were then more clearly identified as two approximately 3.5-cm long metal sockets as well as a clevis pin, which was nested within one of the sockets (Image 3).

DISCUSSION

Ferromagnetic and conductive metal fragments are subject to translational attraction and torque when under strong magnetic forces, which may ultimately lead to dislodgement or excessive heating. One case report discusses a three-year-old child obtaining an MRI of the head prior to sinus surgery where there was found to be extinction of the face on the first MRI image, but not appreciated on initial scout imaging. A button battery lodged within the nostril was identified on further physical inspection and removed. Another case report discusses a 65-year-old metal grinder complaining of acute severe left eye pain during MRI of the brain, which was immediately terminated. The patient unknowingly had a metal fragment in his eye, which was ultimately removed by an ophthalmologist. In these cases, MRI screening questioning failed due to the inability to obtain history from a child and unknowingly having an indwelling metal foreign body lodged in an eye, respectively.

In the presented case, the patient surreptitiously ingested metal foreign bodies prior to obtaining a scheduled MRI of another body part, supposedly of the head. It is unclear why...
the patient was sent home after complaining of severe abdominal pain after an MRI, yet a scout image for the MRI head study likely would not have revealed the metal foreign bodies within the stomach. In such situations with unreliable historians, full body plain films may be beneficial to evaluate for foreign bodies as well as prompt ED referral in instances of pain during an MRI. Regardless, the patient presented in extremis, the diagnosis was promptly established, definitively corrected surgically, and he was discharged from the hospital tolerating oral intake two weeks later.

The authors attest that their institution requires neither Institutional Review Board approval, nor patient consent for publication of this case report. Documentation on file.
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Serotonin Syndrome Triggered by Increasing the Dose of Quetiapine
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Case presentation: An 85-year-old woman with a history of depression treated with polypharmacy including selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor presented to the emergency department with head, and upper and lower limb tremors four hours after increasing the dose of quetiapine from 12.5 milligrams (mg) per day to 25 mg/day. She was diagnosed with serotonin syndrome (SS), and all medications except clotiazepam were discontinued. The symptoms subsided within 48 hours.

Discussion: The use of atypical antipsychotics alone seldom increases the risk of SS. However, combining atypical antipsychotics with serotonergic agents increases the risk of SS because the activity of serotonin receptor subtype 1A is relatively enhanced. This report suggests that physicians should be aware that even a small increase in quetiapine could pose a risk of developing SS. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(3):365–366.]

Keywords: serotonin syndrome; quetiapine; tremor; neuroleptic malignant syndrome.

CASE PRESENTATION
An 85-year-old woman presented to the emergency department with acute head and upper and lower limb tremors and agitation. She had a long history of major depressive disorder and insomnia, which were treated with escitalopram 20 milligrams (mg) per day, mirtazapine 30 mg/day, sulpiride 20 mg/day, olanzapine 2.5 mg/day, quetiapine 12.5 mg/day, and clotiazepam 5 mg/day. Her symptoms occurred four hours after the dose of quetiapine was increased from 12.5 to 25 mg/day by her psychiatrist to improve her insomnia. All doses had remained unchanged except for that of quetiapine. Physical examination revealed fever of 39.1°C, tachycardia, agitation, mydriasis, deep tendon hyperreflexia, and symmetrical tremor of the head, and upper and lower limbs that lasted for 15 seconds and repeated at five-second intervals (video).

There was no muscular rigidity. Laboratory findings showed normal white blood cells, C-reactive protein, and creatinine phosphokinase levels. A computed tomography of the head was performed and did not reveal any significant abnormalities. Serotonin syndrome (SS) was then diagnosed based on the Hunter Serotonin Toxicity Criteria, and she was admitted to our hospital. All medications except clotiazepam were discontinued, and the symptoms subsided within 48 hours.

DISCUSSION
Serotonin syndrome is related to overstimulation of a serotonin receptor subtype 1A (5-HT$_{1A}$), commonly caused by the use of serotonergic agents. Quetiapine is an atypical antipsychotic agent that exhibits serotonergic receptor 5-HT$_{2A}$ antagonism, which has a short half-life and is often used to manage agitation and psychotic symptoms in hyperactive delirium. The use of atypical antipsychotics alone seldom increases the risk of SS; specifically, there is a lower risk of SS on treatment with quetiapine because it is a significantly weaker 5-HT$_{2A}$ antagonist than other atypical antipsychotics. However, combining atypical antipsychotics and serotonergic agents increases the risk of SS because the activity of 5-HT$_{1A}$ is relatively enhanced.

Furthermore, because quetiapine also exhibits a dopaminergic D2 receptor antagonism, which may cause
neuroleptic malignant syndrome (NMS), it was necessary to differentiate between SS and NMS in this patient. In general, agitation, diarrhea, mydriasis, myoclonus, and hyperreflexia are more frequent in SS, whereas dysphagia, hypersalivation, incontinence, hyperthermia, akinesia, lead pipe rigidity, and rhabdomyolysis are characteristics of NMS. Thus, it is not challenging to differentiate between SS and NMS in a patient with typical symptoms. However, it should be noted that atypical NMS, which lacks typical NMS symptoms, cannot be excluded based on the symptoms alone. The significant difference between SS and NMS is time to onset. Neuroleptic malignant syndrome generally appears within seven days following the introduction of a neuroleptic agent, whereas SS develops rapidly within 24 hours following the introduction of a serotonergic agent. In this patient, the diagnosis of SS was made because of rapid onset four hours after increasing the dose of quetiapine, in addition to her symptoms being consistent with the Hunter criteria.

Management of SS mainly involves supportive care, the discontinuation of serotonergic drugs, and treatment with benzodiazepines. Immediate sedation, neuromuscular paralysis, and orotracheal intubation are performed in severe cases. In this patient, all medications except clotiazepam were discontinued, and the symptoms resolved within 48 hours. Although quetiapine has a lower risk of SS than other atypical antipsychotics, physicians should be aware that even a small increase in quetiapine could pose a risk of developing SS.

**Video.** An agitated patient, repeatedly calling the unidentified name “Etsuko.” and demonstrating intermittent tremor of her head, and upper and lower limbs after escalation of her quetiapine dose

Patient consent has been obtained and filed for the publication of this case report. The authors attest that their institution does not require Institutional Review Board approval for publication of this case report. Documentation on file.
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Uveal Melanoma Identified as Ocular Mass on 
Point-of-care Ultrasound
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Case Presentation: A 41-year-old man presented to the emergency department with five months of progressive monocular vision loss in his right eye, which he described as a gradually descending and enlarging black spot. He had no light perception in his right eye with elevated intraocular pressure and an afferent pupillary defect, while his left eye visual acuity and pupillary exam was normal. Point-of-care ultrasound demonstrated a hyperechoic, pedunculated mass in the posterior chamber of his right eye, consistent with a diagnosis of ocular melanoma. Ophthalmology scheduled the patient for an elective, right eye enucleation the following week, after which a diagnosis of uveal melanoma (UM) was confirmed on histopathology.

Discussion: Uveal melanoma is an uncommon diagnosis that requires prompt intervention and surveillance due to the possibility of distant metastases arising in up to 50% of patients. Emergency department diagnosis of UM may be confounded by features of other intraocular pathology, such as increased ocular pressure or the finding of retinal detachment on fundoscopy. When emergency providers encounter glaucoma or retinal detachment on physical exam, point-of-care ultrasonography represents a key adjunct in the timely diagnosis and referral of this potentially vision- and life-threatening malignancy. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(3):367–368.]

Keywords: Vision loss; uveal melanoma; point-of-care ultrasound.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 41-year-old male with no known medical history presented to the emergency department (ED) with five months of progressive vision loss in his right eye. He initially noticed a black area over the superior hemifield of his right eye that progressed downward in a curtain-like fashion over the succeeding months. There was no associated eye pain or headache. On physical exam, he was noted to have no light perception in his right eye and 20/20 visual acuity in the left. Confrontational visual field testing in the right eye showed a complete visual field cut. His right pupil was 6 millimeters (mm) and sluggish with an afferent pupillary defect, while the left pupil was 5 mm and briskly reactive. Intraocular pressures were 40 millimeters mercury (mm Hg) and 14 mm Hg in the right and left eyes, respectively (reference range 10-20 mm Hg). His cranial nerve exam was unremarkable except for the ocular components described above, and strength and sensory testing was normal in the bilateral upper and lower extremities.

A point-of-care ocular ultrasound revealed a pedunculated, hyperechoic mass in the posterior chamber (Image).
Ophthalmology was consulted in the ED and scheduled the patient for right eye enucleation the following week, at which time histopathology confirmed the diagnosis of uveal melanoma (UM). Surveillance imaging for primary metastases was recommended at the time of postoperative discharge.

**DISCUSSION**

Intraocular masses such as UM are traditionally diagnosed using fundoscopy. Uveal melanoma has a stereotypical appearance on ultrasound described as a mushroom or pedunculated shape with regular internal structure and internal vascularity.\(^1\) Patients may present with visual disturbances or vision loss, although approximately 30% are discovered incidentally.\(^2\) Increased intraocular pressures and angle-closure glaucoma have also been reported in UM from mass effect-related compressive or rotational angle closure.\(^3\) Prompt diagnosis of UM is clinically important because up to 50% of cases go on to develop distant metastases,\(^4\) by which time mean survival drops to 6-12 months. In patients with unilateral features such as ocular pain, vision loss, or elevated intraocular pressure, bedside ultrasonography plays a vital role in the diagnosis of intraocular masses.

The authors attest that their institution requires neither Institutional Review Board approval, nor patient consent for publication of this case report. Documentation on file.
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