



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT DIVISION

FEB 29 1982

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Submitted to Review of Scientific Instruments


A LARGE-AREA, HIGH-SENSITIVITY HEAT-FLOW SENSOR

J.H. Klems and D. DiBartolomeo

February 1982

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 6782*



LBL-13756
-2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

LBL-13756
EEB-W-82-02
W-118

Submitted to Review of Scientific Instruments.

A Large-area, High-Sensitivity Heat-Flow Sensor

J.H. Klems and D. DiBartolomeo

Energy Efficient Buildings Program
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

February 1982

This work was supported by the Assistant Secretary for Conservation and Renewable Energy, Office of Buildings and Community Systems, Buildings Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

A Large-area, high-sensitivity heat-flow sensor

J.H. Klems and D. DiBartolomeo

Energy Efficient Buildings Program
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

ABSTRACT

A heat-flow sensor based on AC resistance thermometry and utilizing synchronous detection is described. The sensor design permits large-area sensors to be constructed economically. Calibration of an initial 0.09 m^2 prototype yielded a linear response with a sensitivity of $(37.6 \pm 0.01) \text{ mV}(\text{W}/\text{m}^2)^{-1}$. The minimum detectable heat flux is no larger than $0.08 \text{ W}/\text{m}^2$.

PACS numbers: 07.20.-n, 89.30.+f.

INTRODUCTION

In studying the performance of building envelopes, either to improve their energy-efficiency or to determine their behavior in passive solar applications, it is sometimes desirable to measure heat flows that are relatively small ($\leq 6 \text{ W/m}^2$) and spatially nonuniform. While it is usually sufficient to determine the area-averaged heat flow, this must be measured with moderate accuracy over large areas. Existing commercial heat-flow sensors are generally too small (5-100 cm^2) to meet this measurement need and, in moderate sizes ($\sim 1 \text{ m}^2$), become very expensive.

In the process of building a room-sized calorimeter for testing windows,¹ we have developed a heat-flow sensor, based on AC resistance thermometry, that will accurately measure average heat flows over large areas. We have built several moderate-sized (0.09 m^2) prototypes, and are planning larger units (0.7 m^2). Here we present test results on one of the moderate-sized prototypes for heat flows between 1 and 100 W/m^2 . Large-signal tests of the concept were reported earlier² but without a description of the method. An approach somewhat similar to ours, but designed to measure much larger heat flows and using a DC rather than an AC measurement technique, has been described by others.³

DESCRIPTION OF THE METHOD

A schematic description of the method we used is shown in Fig. 1. Fine resistance wires are laid on either side of a sheet of thermal insulator and connected to opposite sides of a Wheatstone bridge. If the wires and the thermal resistance are initially at some temperature, T , without heat flow, a thermal flux, J , across the thermal resistance

will induce a temperature difference of $\Delta T = R_{th} \alpha J$ between the two layers of wires, where R_{th} [$m^2 K/W$] is the thermal resistance of the insulating material. This, in turn, will cause the electrical resistances of each of the two layers of wire to change by a magnitude of $\frac{1}{2} \alpha \Delta T$, with opposite signs, where α is the resistance temperature coefficient of the wire. Because the bridge output is proportional to the difference in resistance between the two sides, it will change by an amount proportional to $\Delta R_{el} = R_{th} \alpha J$. This difference in resistance is then very accurately measured by driving the bridge with an AC signal and synchronously detecting the output after suitable amplification. We are able to measure electrical resistance changes of $10^{-6} \Omega$ by this method. For the nickel wires that we use in the sensors, which have $\alpha \sim 5 \times 10^{-3} K^{-1}$, resistance changes of this magnitude correspond to temperature differences across the thermal insulator in the mK range.

Compared with the usual method of measuring the temperature difference with a thermopile, this method has many advantages: economy, convenience of construction, noise immunity, and insensitivity to contact EMFs.

SENSOR CONSTRUCTION

Construction details of the prototype are shown in Fig. 2. Approximately 0.37 m of alloy #270 0.1mm-diameter Ni wire was laid in a back-and-forth pattern on a sheet of epoxy-fiberglass laminate 0.3 m x 0.3 m in size and 1.6 mm thick. The wire was fastened with epoxy. Laminate spacers 0.8 mm thick and 6 mm wide were fastened down between the wire strands. Copper lead wires were soldered to the ends of the Ni wires and secured with epoxy. A sheet of 0.1-mm Mylar insulation followed by

a 1.6-mm aluminum plate, both 0.3 m x 0.3 m, were then cemented to the spacers. Two identical units of this construction were fastened with contact cement to opposite sides of a 0.3 m x 0.3 m x 19 mm piece of phenolic honeycomb having a 6-mm cell size filled with fiberglass batting.

The wire sensors are mounted on epoxy-fiberglass, which has about the same coefficient of thermal expansion as Ni, to avoid thermally-induced strains on the wire over the temperature range of interest (0-40°C). Strains on the wire induce resistance changes because of the sizable strain-gauge coefficient of Ni.⁴ This effect was observed in the conceptual prototype tested in Ref. 2, in which the wires (in that case, Cu) were mounted on plexiglass. Other aspects of the wire mounting were also designed to prevent strains. Honeycomb was chosen as a thermal insulator because it combined high thermal resistance with strength and dimensional stability.

SENSOR PERFORMANCE AND CALIBRATION

The ideal performance of the heat-flow sensor is given by

$$V = \frac{V_0}{4} \alpha R_{th} J = \beta J \quad (1)$$

where β is the effective calibration constant of the sensor, J is the heat flux flowing across the sensor, R_{th} is the effective thermal resistance of the honeycomb and the epoxy-fiberglass laminates, α is the resistance temperature coefficient of the wire, and V_0 is the product of the amplifier gain, the efficiency of the synchronous detector, and the peak-to-peak voltage of the signal driving the Wheatstone bridge. This

equation assumes that the two sides of the heat-flow sensor have equal resistance and that the bridge is balanced at some temperature, T_0 , under conditions of no heat flow.

For the prototype, the thermal resistance of the honeycomb is $0.366 \pm 0.001 \text{ m}^2\text{K/W}$, the calibration of the bridge, amplifier, and synchronous detector system gave $V_0 = 82.4 \text{ V}$, and α was measured to be $(5.38 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3} \text{ K}^{-1}$ for the Ni wire. These yield a predicted value of $34.6 \pm 0.8 \text{ mV(W/m}^2\text{)}^{-1}$ for β .

The actual performance of a heat-flow sensor may depart from the ideal because of nonlinearity of the output, dependence of β on the average sensor temperature, or dependence of the bridge balance point on the temperature, T_0 , under no-heat-flow conditions.

To measure the value of β , we constructed a guarded hot plate, shown schematically in Fig. 3. The sensor was placed between a copper plate, maintained at a fixed temperature by flowing coolant, and a heated metering plate of the same size as the sensor ($0.305 \text{ m} \times 0.305 \text{ m}$). The metering plate was surrounded by a heated thermal guard which was maintained at the same temperature as the metering plate. A thermal blank of the same construction as the sensor was placed between the guard and the cold plate. A 2-mm gap between the sensor and the thermal blank prevented transverse heat conduction. The amount of electric power necessary to maintain the metering plate at a constant temperature warmer than the cold plate yielded the rate at which heat flows through the sensor, after a small correction had been made for heat leaks to the guard and the surrounding room. A sample of fibrous glass board whose thermal conductivity had been measured at the National Bureau of

Standards was used to calibrate the test apparatus.

Fig. 4 shows the results of the sensor calibration. The output of the sensor and amplifier is linear with heat flux over two decades and has a β value of $(37.6 \pm 0.1) \text{ mV}(\text{W}/\text{m}^2)^{-1}$ at a mean sensor temperature of 25°C . The sensor output has a temperature coefficient of -1.4% per degree Celsius.

The minimum heat flux, about $3 \text{ W}/\text{m}^2$, measured in this calibration represents the practical lower limit of our calibration apparatus. The accuracy with which the sensor can measure smaller heat flows depends primarily on the accuracy and stability of its zero point. To establish the small-signal accuracy, we needed to verify that three conditions were satisfied: (1) The initial trimming of the Wheatstone bridge to give zero output was done under true conditions of zero heat flow; (2) the zero point did not depend on the average temperature of the sensor (such as could occur, for example, from a small mismatch of the temperature coefficients of the two windings or from differential strains induced by thermal expansion); (3) the zero point did not change with time (for example, due to strains in the sensor wires resulting from handling).

We insured a condition of zero heat flow by placing the heat flow sensor in a copper cavity submerged in a thermostatically controlled, well-stirred water bath. This bath was then placed in a refrigerator to prevent variations in ambient room temperature from disturbing the measurement. The sensor was trimmed to give zero output at a bath temperature of 22°C . When the bath temperature was varied between 12°C and 30°C to test the stability of the zero point with temperature, the output

voltage was found to vary by less than 0.1 mV/K. A later repeat of this measurement after the sensor calibration showed a zero shift of 3 mV, independent of temperature. If we take this value as the limiting uncertainty in heat-flow measurement, it would imply an uncertainty of 0.08 W/m^2 , which is sufficiently small for our purposes. In fact, the shift was traced to small temperature-induced variations in the reference resistors and amplifier components during the test rather than to changes in the sensor properties. More careful electronic design could therefore lead to still greater accuracy.

Self-heating in this prototype amounts to 0.5 mW in each resistance winding and contributes an uncertainty of 0.01 W/m^2 to the heat flux measurement. This is insignificant for the measurements presented here. For our planned full-sized (0.7 m^2) sensor, this uncertainty would become $8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ W/m}^2$ and could be made still smaller by increasing the resistance of the windings.

CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated that using a heat-flow meter based on AC resistance thermometry incorporating an economical synchronous detector permits measurement of average heat flows over an area of 0.09 m^2 with a limiting sensitivity of 0.08 W/m^2 . It is clear that the same techniques can be used to construct larger heat-flow meters, and that average heat flows over large areas may be measured with comparable sensitivity by piecing together heat-flow meters of a convenient size. Our work has exposed no fundamental difficulty that would impede such a measurement scheme. A lower limiting sensitivity could be attained by improving the design of the amplifier.


ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the early phases of this work we benefited from discussions with Paul Condon, whose help we gratefully acknowledge.

This work was supported by the Assistant Secretary for Conservation and Renewable Energy, Office of Buildings and Community Systems, Buildings Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.


REFERENCES

1. J.H. Klems, S. Selkowitz, and S. Horowitz, A Mobile Facility for Measuring Net Energy Performance of Windows and Skylights, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory report, LBL-12765, Berkeley, CA (1981).
2. J.H. Klems and S. Selkowitz, in Proceedings of the ASHRAE/DOE-ORNL Conf. on Thermal Performance of the Exterior Envelopes of Buildings, Orlando, FL, p. 930, American Society of Heating, Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Engineers (1979).
3. A. Andretta, B. Bartoli, B. Coluzzi, V. Cuomo, and S. DeStefano, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 52(2), 233 (1981).
4. G.C. Kuczynaki, Phys. Rev. 94, 61 (1954).




XBL 8011-2627

Figure 1. Schematic description of the heat-flow meter.




XBL 821-7

Figure 2. Heat-flow sensor construction: (a) cross-section of a "unit cell" of the heat-flow sensor; (b) plan view of the sensor wires.



XBL 821-8



XBL 821-6

Figure 4. Calibration of the heat-flow meter: (a) complete calibration; (b) expanded view of the small-signal region of the curve. Points are measurements made in the guarded hot-plate and corrected to a 25°C mean sensor temperature. The line is a least-squares fit to the data and has a slope of $37.6 \text{ mV}(\text{W}/\text{m}^2)^{-1}$.

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720