UC Santa Cruz UC Santa Cruz Previously Published Works

Title

Defective Fe3O4-x Few-Atom Clusters Anchored on Nitrogen-Doped Carbon as Efficient Oxygen Reduction Electrocatalysts for High-Performance Zinc—Air Batteries

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/07f0d04j

Journal Small Methods, 6(7)

ISSN 2366-9608

Authors

Chu, Panpan Zhang, Yingmeng He, Jiajie <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2022-07-01

DOI

10.1002/smtd.202200207

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

Defective Fe₃O_{4-x} Few-Atom Clusters Anchored on Nitrogen-Doped Carbon as Efficient Oxygen Reduction Electrocatalysts for High-Performance Zinc-Air Batteries

Panpan Chu, Yingmeng Zhang, Jiajie He, Jinhong Chen, Jingjun Zhuang, Yongliang Li, Xiangzhong Ren, Peixin Zhang, Lingna Sun,* Bingzhe Yu, and Shaowei Chen*

It remains a challenge to develop cost-effective, high-performance oxygen electrocatalysts for rechargeable metal-air batteries. Herein, zinc-mediated zeolitic imidazolate frameworks are exploited as the template and nitrogen and carbon sources, onto which is deposited a Fe₃O₄ layer by plasmaenhanced atomic layer deposition. Controlled pyrolysis at 1000 °C leads to the formation of high density of Fe_3O_{4-x} few-atom clusters with abundant oxygen vacancies deposited on an N-doped graphitic carbon framework. The resulting nanocomposite (Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000) exhibits a markedly enhanced electrocatalytic performance toward oxygen reduction reaction in alkaline media, with a remarkable half-wave potential of +0.930 V versus reversible hydrogen electrode, long-term stability, and strong tolerance against methanol poisoning, in comparison to samples prepared at other temperatures and even commercial Pt/C. Notably, with Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC -1000 as the cathode catalyst, a zinc-air battery delivers a high power density of 158 mW cm⁻² and excellent durability at 5 mA cm⁻² with stable 2000 charge-discharge cycles over 600 h. This is ascribed to the ready accessibility of the Fe_3O_{4-r} catalytic active sites, and enhanced electrical conductivity, oxygen adsorption, and electron-transfer kinetics by surface oxygen vacancies. Further contributions may arise from the highly conductive and stable N-doped graphitic carbon frameworks.

1. Introduction

Development of clean and renewable energy technologies is a critical step toward a sustainable future.^[1] Among these, metal– air batteries represent a viable option. In particular, zinc–air battery (ZAB) has emerged as a next-generation green energy

P. Chu, Y. Zhang, J. He, J. Chen, J. Zhuang, Y. Li, X. Ren, P. Zhang, L. Sun College of Chemistry and Environmental Engineering Shenzhen University
Shenzhen, Guangdong 518060, P. R. China
E-mail: sunln@szu.edu.cn
B. Yu, S. Chen
Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA
E-mail: shaowei@ucsc.edu

The ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under https://doi.org/10.1002/smtd.202200207.

DOI: 10.1002/smtd.202200207

technology, due to the abundant zinc source, low cost, high safety, and a superb theoretical energy density (1086 Wh kg⁻¹).^[2] However, the practical applications of ZAB have been impeded by the sluggish kinetics and high overpotentials of the oxygen evolution/reduction reactions (OER/ORR) at the cathode.^[3] Noble metal-based nanoparticles (e.g., Pt, Pd, Ru, and Ir) have been the electrocatalysts of choice for ORR/OER.^[4] but their natural scarcity, high cost, and low stability significantly limit the widespread application of the technology.

Thus far, extensive research has demonstrated that carbon-based composites with non-precious metals (such as Fe, Co, and Ni) and their oxides/sulfides/nitrides/ carbides can serve as effective alternatives.^[5] Among these, iron-based oxides have attracted much attention due to their excellent ORR performance in both acidic and alkaline media,^[6] which can be readily prepared via pyrolysis of select iron salts at high temperatures.^[7] However, agglomeration typically occurs without a sup-

porting template, leading to a loss of active species. Therefore, anchoring iron-containing precursors into carbon framework substrates is critical in the preparation of highly active and durable electrocatalysts.^[8]

In recent years, metal-organic framework (MOF) materials have been used extensively as structural templates and carbon precursors.^[9] In particular, zeolite imidazole framework (ZIF), with a high nitrogen and carbon content, has been attracting unique attention due to the structural tunability, morphological diversity, and porosity.^[10] In fact, a range of iron-containing composite catalysts have been prepared based on ZIF precursors by, for instance, solution precipitation^[11] and ion exchange methods.^[12] However, in such syntheses, as aggregation of the iron-containing compounds inevitably occurs within ZIF, the uneven distribution can significantly impact the morphology of the ZIF and eventual carbon composites, and part of the metal species becomes buried within the carbon matrix and inaccessible, both of which may compromise the proton and electron transfer in the electrocatalytic process and hence the electrocatalytic performance.^[13]

Such an issue can be mitigated by atomic layer deposition (ALD), which can accurately control the uniform dispersion of functional components on substrate surfaces, and effectively reduce agglomeration.^[14] In addition, the active materials are deposited onto the substrate surface and can be readily accessible for electrocatalysis.^[15] Generally, ALD involves a sequential vacuum evaporation procedure with saturated and self-limiting reactions, and can not only be used to deposit metal oxides, nitrides, sulfides, and pure elements on substrates but also control the thickness of the deposition layer at the atomic level.^[16] In catalyst preparation, the deposition can be precisely optimized by tailoring the ALD parameters and modifying the matrix substrate for a controllable structure and uniform nanoparticle dispersion, such that the catalyst loading can be minimized and the stability maximized. Indeed, ALD has been an effective synthesis method in the field of electrocatalysis,[16b] where precious/non-precious metals as well as their oxides, sulfides, and phosphides have been deposited onto a variety of substrates (e.g., nickel foam and carbon cloth), and used as effective catalysts toward a range of important reactions in electrochemical energy technologies.[16-17]

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS _____

In the present study, a series of novel nanocomposites (Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC) were synthesized where defective Fe₃O_{4-x} fewatom clusters were anchored onto a nitrogen-doped graphitic carbon substrate by controlled pyrolysis of Fe₃O₄-coated ZIF that was obtained via plasma-enhanced ALD (PE-ALD). Among the series, the sample prepared at 1000 °C (Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000) exhibited an outstanding ORR activity in both alkaline and acidic media with long-term stability and excellent methanol tolerance. Such a performance was markedly better than those in the sample series prepared at other temperatures and even commercial Pt/C. Remarkably, a zinc-air battery with $Fe_3O_{4-r}/NC-1000$ as the air cathode catalyst showed a high specific capacity of 691 mAh g⁻¹, power density of 158 mW cm⁻², and excellent durability. The remarkable ORR and zinc-air battery performance of the Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 composite was ascribed to the uniform dispersion of Fe₃O_{4-x} on the N-doped graphitic carbon framework whereby highdensity active sites were fully exposed and readily accessible, enhanced electrical conductivity and electron-transfer kinetics by abundant oxygen vacancies, and highly conductive proton and electron transfer networks by the N-doped graphitic carbon framework.

2-mlm

Stirring 4h

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Catalyst Synthesis and Structural Characterizations

The procedure of sample preparation is depicted in Figure 1, which entails three major steps: Chemical synthesis of ZIF precursor, PE-ALD deposition of Fe₃O₄ on ZIF, and finally pyrolysis of ZIF@Fe₃ O_4 to yield Fe₃ O_{4-x} /NC. The experimental details are included in the Supporting Information. First, the ZIF precursor was prepared by a simple coprecipitation method, which exhibited a smooth blade shape (Figure S1, Supporting Information). Then, a layer of Fe₃O₄ at a controlled thickness was deposited onto the ZIF surface by using the PE-ALD method with ferrocene as the iron source. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) studies show that after 200 cycles of deposition the resulting ZIF@Fe₃O₄ surface became markedly roughened, with Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles homogeneously distributed on the ZIF surface (Figure S2, Supporting Information). The microstructure of ZIF@Fe₃O₄ was further examined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements, where the nanoparticles can be seen to exhibit well-defined lattice fringes with an interplanar spacing of 0.253 nm, corresponding to the (311) crystal planes of Fe₃O₄ (Figure S3, Supporting Information).^[17a] Yet, the X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of $ZIF@Fe_3O_4$ were consistent with those of the ZIF precursor (Figure S4, Supporting Information), with no additional peaks for Fe₃O₄ likely due to the small size and low content of Fe₃O₄ on the ZIF surface.^[18] Finally, pyrolysis at an elevated temperature in an argon atmosphere effectively transformed ZIF@Fe₃O₄ into Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC (denoted as Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-T with T being the pyrolysis temperature).

As shown in the SEM images in **Figure 2a** and Figure S5, Supporting Information, the $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$ sample retained the 2D leaf-like morphology of the ZIF@ Fe_3O_4 precursor. Yet in high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) studies, no lattice fringes can be identified in $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$ (Figure 2b,c), suggesting a largely amorphous structure and the formation of quasi-atomic Fe_3O_{4-x} structures. In fact, the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns of $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$ exhibited only the (002) and (100) electron diffraction rings of the graphitic carbon (Figure 2c inset). Consistent results were obtained in XRD measurements (Figure 2d), where $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$ and Fe-free NC-1000 (prepared in the same fashion except for the PE-ALD deposition of Fe_3O_4) both showed only two broad diffraction

Pyrolysis in Ar



ALD



Figure 2. a) SEM and b,c) HRTEM images of $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$. Inset in panel (c) is the corresponding selected area electron diffraction patterns. d) XRD patterns of $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$ and NC-1000. e) HAADF-STEM image of $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$ and f) the corresponding EDS-based elemental maps of $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$.

peaks at $2\theta = 26.0^{\circ}$ and 43.2° , corresponding to the (002) and (100) lattice planes of graphitic carbons, respectively.^[13] Similar XRD patterns were obtained with samples prepared at other temperatures (i.e., 800, 900, and 1100 °C) (Figure S6, Supporting Information), again, confirming the low content of Fe compounds in the composites, which was quantitatively assessed to be approximately 0.25 wt% by inductively coupled plasmamass spectrometry (ICP-MS) measurements. In high-angle annular dark field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) (Figure 2e), the Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 sample can indeed be seen to consist of a number of few-atom clusters, as highlighted by the yellow circles. Moreover, elemental mapping analysis based on energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) shows that the Fe, C, N, and O elements were all uniformly dispersed across the carbon scaffold (Figure 2f).

In Raman spectroscopy measurements (Figure S7, Supporting Information), the Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 and Fe-free NC-1000 samples both featured two prominent vibrational bands at ~1590 cm⁻¹ and 1330 cm⁻¹, due to the G band (graphitic sp² carbon) and D band (disordered or defect carbon),^[2c,19] respectively, with a close peak intensity ratio (I_D/I_G), 2.96 for Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 and 2.71 for NC-1000.^[20] Similar I_D/I_G ratios were obtained for other samples, 3.36 for Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-800, 2.98 for Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-900, and 2.92 for Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1100. These suggest successful graphitization of the ZIF precursor by pyrolysis within the temperature range of 800 to 1100 °C.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was then used to further analyze the chemical compositions and valence states of the samples. From the survey spectrum in Figure S8a, Supporting Information, the elements of C, N, O, and Fe can be readily identified in Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 at \approx 284, 401, 532, and 714 eV, with the contents of 90.53, 4.33, 4.84 and 0.30 wt% (Table S1, Supporting Information), respectively, consistent with results from elemental mapping analysis (Figure 1e). Note that the metal content is also in good agreement with that (0.25 wt%) from ICP-MS measurements. The corresponding high-resolution C 1s spectrum is depicted in Figure S8b, Supporting Information, which can be deconvoluted into three species, C=C (284.8 eV), C-N (285.7 eV), and C-O (286.9 eV), confirming the pyrolytic transformation of ZIF to graphitic carbon and successful doping of N into the carbon framework.^[21] Consistent results were obtained in the deconvolution of the N 1s spectrum (Figure S8c, Supporting Information) which yielded four peaks, pyridine-N (398.6 eV), pyrrolic-N/metal-N (400.0 eV), graphene-N (401.0 eV), and oxidation-N (402.3 eV).^[15,22] Similar behaviors were observed with Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-800, Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-900, and Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1100 (Figure S9a-c, Supporting Information). Notably, the N content exhibited a clear decline with increasing pyrolysis temperature, $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-800$ (13.61 wt%) > $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-900$ (10.72 wt%) > $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$ (2.88 wt%) $\ge Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1100$ (2.86 wt%), and graphitic N became the dominant species in the high-temperature samples of Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 and Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1100 (Figure S9d, Supporting Information). The O 1s spectrum of Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 is shown in Figure 3a, which can be deconvoluted into four peaks, adsorbed hydroxyl (Fe–O–H bonds) at 531.9 eV,^[23] carboxyl at 532.6 eV, adsorbed water at 533.8 eV,^[24] and Fe-O bonds at 530.8 eV (Figure S9e-h, Supporting Information).^[24] The Fe 2p spectrum is shown in Figure 3b, where deconvolution yields two doublets. The first pair at 710.1/722.8 eV can be attributed to the



www.small-methods.com



Figure 3. High-resolution XPS spectra of the a) O 1s and b) Fe 2p electrons of $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$. c) Electron paramagnetic resonance spectra of ZIF@Fe_3O_4, Fe_3O_4_x/NC-800, Fe_3O_4_x/NC-900, Fe_3O_4_x/NC-1000, and Fe_3O_4_x/NC-1100. d) Fe K-edge XANES spectra of $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$, Fe foil, Fe_3O_4 , and Fe_2O_3 with a zoomed-in view of the pre-edge regions in the inset. e) Fourier-transformed (FT) EXAFS of $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$, Fe foil, Fe_3O_4 , and Fe_2O_3 . f) FT-EXAFS fitting curves in *R* space of $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$.

 $2p_{2/3}/2p_{1/2}$ electrons of Fe^{2+,[25]} whereas the other at 713.9/725.8 eV to those of Fe³⁺ (the peaks near 717.0 eV and 728.5 eV are the corresponding Fe satellite peaks).^[26] This suggests the formation of iron oxide compounds within the carbon nanocomposites.

Consistent results were obtained in electron-paramagnetic resonance (EPR) measurements (Figure 3c), where the series of samples can be seen to exhibit a clearly defined signal within the range of 3152 and 3156 G, with g = 2.01, in good agreement with electrons trapped in oxygen vacancies.^[24a,27] Yet, the peak-to-peak amplitude increases in the order of $ZIF@Fe_{3}O_{4} < Fe_{3}O_{4-x}/NC-800 < Fe_{3}O_{4-x}/NC-900 < Fe$ NC-1100 < Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC -1000, suggesting that the oxygen vacancy concentration was the highest in Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 among the series. The structural and electronic characteristics of the Fe centers were further examined by X-ray absorption spectroscopy measurements. The Fe K-edge X-ray absorption near-edge structures (XANES) are depicted in Figure 3d and inset, where the Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 sample can be seen to exhibit an absorption edge and pre-edge peak (at \approx 7114 eV due to the 1s \rightarrow 3d transition arising from the 3d orbital splitting of Fe atoms and the local coordination between Fe and O)^[28] very close to that of Fe₃O₄ and between those of Fe foil and Fe₂O₃.^[28] This suggests that the Fe valence state in $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$ is between Fe^0 and Fe³⁺,^[29] consistent with the XPS results (Figure 3b).

Figure 3e shows the corresponding Fourier-transform Fe K-edge extended X-ray absorption fine structures (EXAFS) spectra. The Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC -1000 sample can be observed to display a profile that is consistent with those of Fe_2O_3 and Fe_3O_4 but markedly different from that of Fe foil, featuring a

predominant peak at 1.47 Å and a broad peak between 2.0 and 3.5 Å. The former can be ascribed to the Fe-O/N coordination bond, whereas the latter is likely due to the Fe-Fe shell in the oxides. Notably, the fact that $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$ possessed a drastically weakened Fe-Fe shell, in comparison to Fe₃O₄ and Fe₂O₃, is consistent with the formation of Fe few-atom clusters dispersed in the N-doped graphitic carbon matrix (Figure 2e). Figure 3f shows the fitting of the EXAFS curves in R space, and the corresponding k-space fitting is included in Figure S10, Supporting Information, (note that the latter is very similar to that of Fe₃O₄). Notably, from Table S2, Supporting Information, one can see that the number of O atoms coordinated to Fe is estimated to be 4.6 with a Fe-O bond length of 1.99 Å, which is close to that of Fe_3O_4 with oxygen vacancy (4.5), but lower than that of pristine Fe_3O_4 (4.9), and the coordination numbers of the Fe–O–Fe path (3.1, 1.5) are also close to those of defective Fe₃O₄ (4.0, 1.3), while lower than those of pristine Fe_3O_4 (5.0, 3.8).^[23c]

Taken together, results from these microscopic and spectroscopic measurements suggest the formation of defective Fe_3O_{4-x} few-atom clusters within the nanocomposites, and the Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC -1000 sample contained the highest concentration of oxygen vacancies among the series. This corresponds to the optimal ORR activity, as manifested below.

2.2. Electrocatalytic Activity

The electrocatalytic activity of the produced nanocomposites was then assessed and compared in 0.1 KOH using a rotating



b^{1.00} E_{1/2} j_k@0.85 0.98 E^{1/2} / Vs.RHE 0.794 RHE. 0.96 / V vs. 0.94 ш ш _{0.92} Pt/C 0.2 NC-1000 0.9 Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 0.1 M KOH 0.0 NC-1000 -0.6 -1.0 -0.8 -0.2 -04 log (jk / mA cm⁻²) Fe-O, /NC-800 E . f g j_k@0.85_{0.882} Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-900 1.00 Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 0.80 vs.RHE 9.0 VS. 0.92 Fe₃O_{4.}/NC-1100 د الم الم الم الم الم الم الم 2 0.90 0.85 0.0 .6 -0.4 -0.2 log (j_k / mA cm⁻²) Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC 0.0 -0.8 -800 -000 Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 After 5000 cycles 40 Pt/C cm⁻² cm⁻² After 5000 cycles 30_%02 ٩W A 201 0 10 ml CH₂OH 10 -8+ 0 **1**0 0.9 1.0 0.6 400 600 800 Time / s 0.2 0.4 0.8 200 E / V vs.RHE

Figure 4. ORR performance of Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000, commercial Pt/C, and NC-1000 in O₂-saturated 0.1 м КОН at a rotation rate of 1600 rpm and potential scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹: a) Polarization curves, b) corresponding Tafel plots, and c) kinetic current density (j_k, at +0.85 V) and half-wave potential $(E_{1/2})$. d) Double-layer capacitance (C_{dl}) of Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000, commercial Pt/C, and NC-1000 calculated from CV measurements at scan rates from 10 to 60 mV s⁻¹. Comparative ORR performance of Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-800, Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-900, Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000, and Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1100: e) polarization curves, f) corresponding Tafel plots, g) kinetic current density (j_{kr} at +0.85 V) and half-wave potential ($E_{1/2}$), and h) double-layer capacitance (C_{dl}). i) Number of electron transfer (n) and the percentage of peroxide (H₂O₂%) produced on Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 and commercial Pt/C. j) ORR polarization curves of $Fe_3 O_{4-v}/NC-1000$ and commercial Pt/C before and after 5000 cycles between +0.6 and +1.0 V at a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹. k) Chronoamperometric profiles at +0.75 V after the introduction of 10 mL methanol into 160 mL of a 0.1 M KOH solution for Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 and commercial Pt/C. I) Linear sweep voltammogram curves of Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 and commercial Pt/C with and without 10 mmol SCN⁻ at a rotation rate of 1600 rpm with a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹.

C 1.0

ring-disk electrode (RRDE). In cyclic voltammetric (CV, Figure S11, Supporting Information) measurements, both Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC and commercial Pt/C can be seen to exhibit an obvious reduction peak when the electrolyte solution was saturated with O_2 , whereas only a featureless profile was observed with a N2-purged solution, indicating electrocatalytic activity toward ORR.^[30] In RRDE measurements (Figure 4a), Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 displays an excellent ORR activity with an onset potential (E_{onset}) of \approx +1.010 V versus reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) and halfwave potential $(E_{1/2})$ of +0.930 V, which is much higher than those of commercial Pt/C ($E_{\text{onset}} = +0.989$ V, $E_{1/2} = +0.852$ V), Fe-free NC-1000 ($E_{\text{onset}} = +0.867$ V, $E_{1/2} = +0.794$ V), and the ZIF@Fe₃O₄ precursor (Figure S12, Supporting Information). These results suggest that the vacancy-rich Fe₃O_{4-x} played a vital role in ORR electrocatalysis. Samples prepared at other calcination temperatures also exhibited an apparent ORR activity (Figure 4e), with $E_{\text{onset}} = +0.897$ V and $E_{1/2} = +0.800$ V for Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-800, +0.995 and +0.883 V for Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-900, and +0.992 and +0.899 V for Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1100, suggesting that 1000 °C was the optimal pyrolysis temperature within the present experimental context.

From the Tafel plots in Figure 4b,f, one can see that $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$ possessed the lowest Tafel slope (50.06 mV dec⁻¹), in comparison to $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1100$ (56.58 mV dec⁻¹), Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-900 (62.81 mV dec⁻¹), Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-800 (57.92 mV dec⁻¹), commercial Pt/C (81.70 mV dec⁻¹), and Fe-free NC-1000 (83.13 mV dec⁻¹). This again confirmed that $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$ stood out as the best ORR catalyst among the sample series. In fact, as shown in Figure 4c,g, Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 achieved a kinetic current density (j_k) of 101.85 mA cm⁻² at +0.85 V, much better than Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1100 (40.18 mA cm⁻²), Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-900 (18.28 mA cm⁻²), Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-800 (0.35 mA cm⁻²), commercial Pt/C (5 mA cm⁻²), and Fe-free NC-1000 (2 mA cm⁻²).

100 **d**₄

or cm⁻² 08

40 A M

100 h

80

ې 60 ٿ

40 E

×

cm⁻²

Ā

0.2

mA cm⁻²

10 20 30 40

Pt/C

- Pt/C +SCN

+SCN

0.4

Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000

Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000

20

Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000

Pt/C

0.93

-1000

Pt/C

-1100

1000 1200

Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000

\, , i

Pt/C

NC-1000

e₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000

30 40

20

Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-800

Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-900

Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000

Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1100

Notably, the double-layer capacitance (C_{dl}) of Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 was evaluated to be 29.14 mF cm⁻², markedly larger than those of commercial Pt/C (11.36 mF cm⁻²), NC-1000 (19.06 mF cm⁻²) (Figure 4d and Figure S13a–c, Supporting Information), Fe₃O_{4–x}/ NC-800 (12.71 mF cm⁻²), Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-900 (25.57 mF cm⁻²), and Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1100 (27.67 mF cm⁻²) (Figure 4h and Figure S13d-f, Supporting Information). This suggests a maximum electrochemical surface area in ${\rm Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC\text{-}1000}$ among the sample series, a unique feature conducive to the accessibility of electrocatalytic active sites.

In addition, based on the disk and ring currents, the average number (n) of electron transfer of Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 was estimated to be 3.82-3.99 within the wide potential range

4DVANCED CIENCE NEWS www.advancedsciencenews.com

Pt/C

0.4

0.4

NC-1000

Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000

0.6

Fe₂O₂ /NC-800

Fe₂O₄ /NC-900

Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000

Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1100

0 6

E / V vs.RHE

Pt/C

0.6 0.7 /vs.RHE

F/V

07 0.8

E / V vs.RHE

1.0

1.0

0.8

0.8

Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000

а

cm⁻²

j/mAo

-2

-5

-6

0

-2

, mA o 1 mA o

-6+ 0.2

4.0 i

3.

3.6

3.

3.2

3.0

0.4

⊆

е

cm⁻²

0.2

of +0.3 to +0.9 V (Figure 4i), close to that of commercial Pt/C (3.90–3.95). Similar results were obtained from the slopes of the Koutecky–Levich (K-L) plots (Figure S14, Supporting Information). In addition, the corresponding hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) yield is found to be under 9% with the Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 sample, which was rather comparable to that of Pt/C (Figure 4i).

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS _____

Apart from catalytic activity, durability is also an important factor in practical applications. As shown in Figure S15, Supporting Information, chronoamperometric (i-t) tests were carried out for 10 h under a constant potential of +0.75 V versus RHE, and Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 can be seen to retain 88.16% of the initial current, far better than commercial Pt/C (76.87%). The catalyst stability was also evaluated by potential cycling at the high sweep rate of 100 mV s⁻¹ in O₂-saturated 0.1 m KOH within the potential range of +0.4 to +1.0 V (Figure 4j). After 5000 CV cycles, a small negative shift of 8 mV is observed for the $E_{1/2}$ of Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 (Figure 4j), in comparison to 12 mV for commercial Pt/C, further confirming the superb durability of Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000.

Fe₃O_{4-*x*}/NC-1000 also demonstrated remarkable tolerance against methanol. In the chronoamperometric test (Figure 4k), after the injection of 10 mL methanol into a saturated-O₂ 0.1 M KOH solution, the current density of commercial Pt/C decreased rapidly, while Fe₃O_{4-*x*}/NC-1000 maintained a relatively stable current density. The Fe₃O_{4-*x*}/NC-1000 also showed only a slightly reduced i-t response upon the addition of poisoning KSCN (10 mmol) into O₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH (Figure 4l), whereas the activity of commercial Pt/C was significantly diminished.

The ORR performance of Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 was also studied by RRDE in acidic media (Figures S16 and S17, Supporting Information). Whereas the performance was slightly subpar as compared to that of Pt/C, it remained markedly better than those of Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1100, Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-900, and Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-800. Note that the Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 sample was prepared with 200 cycles of PE-ALD deposition of Fe₃O₄, and the ORR performance in both 0.1 \mbox{M} KOH and 0.5 \mbox{M} H₂SO₄ was markedly better than those of the comparative samples that were prepared with less or more Fe₃O₄ deposition (Figure S18, Supporting Information). This suggests that 200 cycles of Fe₃O₄ deposition represented the optimal loading.

The fact that $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$ exhibits the best electrocatalytic performance among the series can be ascribed to the highest concentration of oxygen vacancy, as attested in the above EPR measurements (Figure 3c). Oxygen vacancies have been known to increase the electron density and the formation of electron holes around the transitional metal centers, which can impact the electrical conductivity in the bulk and molecular adsorption on the surface.^[23c,31] Mechanistically, the delocalized electrons can be readily excited to the conduction band, which effectively diminishes the band gap and enhance the electrical conductivity.^[31a,d,e] The enhanced electron density can also facilitate the adsorption of oxygen intermediates onto the catalyst surface and hence the OER/ORR electrolysis,^[23c,31e] as oxygen vacancies can promote electron transfer between the adsorbates and surface active sites, an important step for ORR/OER.^[31d]

Remarkably, $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$ outperformed relevant catalysts toward alkaline ORR reported recently in the literature

(Table S3, Supporting Information),^[24,32] and displayed its superiority even in the acidic media, which is rarely seen for iron oxide-carbon nanocomposites. Such an excellent ORR performance in both acidic and alkaline media may be accounted for by the combined contributions of the ready accessibility of the Fe₃O_{4-x} catalytic active sites and the surface oxygen vacancies, with additional contributions from the N-doped graphitic carbon frameworks. First, the PE-ALD deposition of Fe₃O₄ onto the 2D planar surface of the leaf-shaped ZIF precursors allows surface-enrichment of defective Fe₃O_{4-x} on the carbon scaffold and hence ready accessibility of the electrocatalytic active centers in the final nanocomposites. Second, as mentioned above, the oxygen vacancies can enhance the electrical conductivity, oxygen adsorption and electron-transfer kinetics. Finally, the N-doped graphitic carbon framework with charge redistribution can provide a favorable transfer medium for the protons and electrons, and further be used as a matrix to anchor Fe₃O_{4-x} few-atom clusters with high electronegative N heteroatoms.

2.3. Zinc-Air Battery

With the outstanding ORR performance, Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 was tested as the oxygen catalyst for ZAB. Figure 5a shows the schematic diagram of a ZAB, which was assembled by using the Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC -1000 sample as the air cathode catalyst, a 6.0 м KOH and 0.2 м zinc acetate aqueous solution as the electrolyte, and a polished zinc foil as the anode. As shown in Figure S19, Supporting Information, the resulting Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 based ZAB displayed a stable open circuit voltage of 1.430 V, somewhat higher than that based on a commercial Pt/C electrode (1.400 V), and it remained stable for 20 h. A typical discharge test displayed a maximum power density of 158 mW cm⁻² with the former, better than that with the latter (145 mW cm^{-2}) (Figure 5b). As shown in Figure 5c, when the discharge was carried out at a constant current density of 10 mA cm⁻², the Fe₃O_{4-x}/ NC-1000-based ZAB showed a specific capacity of 691 mA h g⁻¹, comparable to that with commercial Pt/C (682 mA h g⁻¹). The discharge curves under a larger current density of 50 and 100 mA cm⁻² were acquired and are shown in Figure S20, Supporting Information. The rate performance was evaluated at the current densities of 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 50, and 100 mA cm⁻², and the Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 based ZAB again showed a clearly higher and more stable voltage than that with commercial Pt/C (Figure 5d). The cycling durability was then tested at the constant current density of 5 mA cm⁻² for 2000 charge and discharge cycles over 600 h, and the former was much more stable than the latter (Figure 5e). Remarkably, the former ZAB also showed no obvious potential attenuation even at the high current density of 10 mA cm⁻² during charge and discharge cycles for 750 h, as compared to the latter (Figure S21, Supporting Information). In fact, the Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 based ZAB clearly outperformed a number of relevant catalysts reported recently in the literature (Table S4, Supporting Information). Notably, two Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 based ZABs connected in series can light up a group of LED lights (Figure S22, Supporting Information). Taken together, these results suggest that Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000 can be used as a high-performance air cathode catalyst for ZAB.

www.small-methods.com





Figure 5. a) Schematic illustration of a Zn-air battery. Electrochemical performances of ZABs with $Fe_3O_{4-x}/NC-1000$ and commercial Pt/C as the air cathode catalysts: b) Polarization curves and corresponding power density plots (scan rate, 10 mV s⁻¹), c) discharge curves at 10 mA cm⁻², d) rate performance, and e) cycle stability at 5 mA cm⁻².

3. Conclusion

In summary, atomic layer deposition was employed to deposit a layer of Fe₃O₄ onto the surface of a ZIF precursor, and controlled pyrolysis at elevated temperatures led to the successful formation of carbon nanocomposites decorated with defective few-atom Fe₃O_{4-x} clusters. Electrochemical studies showed that the samples exhibited apparent electrocatalytic activity toward ORR in both acidic and alkaline media, and the one prepared at 1000 °C (Fe₃O_{4-x}/NC-1000) represented the optimal catalyst, with a performance comparable or even superior to that of commercial Pt/C, due to the high density of Fe_3O_{4-x} active sites and defect structures generated by abundant oxygen vacancies. When $Fe_3O_{4-r}/NC-1000$ was used as the cathode catalysts of a zinc-air battery, the device displayed a high open circuit voltage of 1.43 V, a high specific capacity of 691 mA h g⁻¹, a power density of 158 mW cm⁻², and stable cycle performance (600 h for 2000 cycles at 5 mA cm⁻²). Results from this work highlight the unique advantages of atomic layer deposition in the preparation of high-performance catalysts for zinc-air battery.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

Acknowledgements

P.C. and Y.Z. contributed equally to this work. This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21471100, 22005199), the Shenzhen Natural Science Fund (the Stable Support Plan Program 20200813081943001), and the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2021A1515010241, 2021A1515010142). The authors also acknowledge the technical assistance in STEM measurements from the Electron Microscopy Center of the Shenzhen University. S.C. acknowledges partial support from the US National Science Foundation (CHE-1900235 and CHE-2003685).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS _____

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Keywords

 ${\rm Fe_3O_{4-x}}$ clusters, oxygen reduction reaction, oxygen vacancies, plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposition, zinc–air batteries

Received: February 18, 2022 Revised: May 1, 2022 Published online: June 3, 2022

- a) Z. Zhu, H. Yin, Y. Wang, C. H. Chuang, L. Xing, M. Dong, Y. R. Lu,
 G. Casillas-Garcia, Y. Zheng, S. Chen, Y. Dou, P. Liu, Q. Cheng,
 H. Zhao, Adv. Mater. 2020, 32, 2004670; b) N. Xu, J. A. Wilson,
 Y.-D. Wang, T. Su, Y. Wei, J. Qiao, X.-D. Zhou, Y. Zhang, S. Sun,
 Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 2020, 272, 118953; c) Y. M. Zhang, S. J. Li,
 L. L. Cheng, Y. L. Li, X. Z. Ren, P. X. Zhang, L. N. Sun, H. Y. Yang,
 J. Mater. Chem. A 2021, 9, 3388.
- [2] a) D. C. Nguyen, D. T. Tran, T. L. L. Doan, D. H. Kim, N. H. Kim, J. H. Lee, Adv. Energy Mater. 2020, 10, 1903289; b) Y. M. Zhang, H. N. Li, S. Z. Huang, S. Fan, L. N. Sun, B. B. Tian, F. M. Chen, Y. Wang, Y. M. Shi, H. Y. Yang, Nano-Micro Lett. 2020, 12, 60; c) T. T. Wang, Z. K. Kou, S. C. Mu, J. P. Liu, D. P. He, I. S. Amiinu, W. Meng, K. Zhou, Z. X. Luo, S. Chaemchuen, F. Verpoort, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2018, 28, 1705048.
- [3] a) J. Zhu, M. Xiao, G. Li, S. Li, J. Zhang, G. Liu, L. Ma, T. Wu, J. Lu, A. Yu, D. Su, H. Jin, S. Wang, Z. Chen, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2019, *10*, 1903003; b) L. Ye, M. Liao, H. Sun, Y. F. Yang, C. Q. Tang, Y. Zhao, L. Wang, Y. F. Xu, L. J. Zhang, B. J. Wang, F. Xu, X. M. Sun, Y. Zhang, H. J. Dai, P. G. Bruce, H. S. Peng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2019, *58*, 2437.
- [4] a) S. J. Guo, S. Zhang, S. H. Sun, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8526; b) V. Petrykin, K. Macounova, O. A. Shlyakhtin, P. Krtil, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 4813; c) L. Zu, X. Qian, S. Zhao, Q. Liang, Y. E. Chen, M. Liu, B. J. Su, K. H. Wu, L. Qu, L. Duan, H. Zhan, J. Y. Zhang, C. Li, W. Li, J. Y. Juang, J. Zhu, D. Li, A. Yu, D. Zhao, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2022, 144, 2208.
- [5] a) Y. Wang, Q. Cao, C. Guan, C. Cheng, Small 2020, 16, 2002902;
 b) Y. Lei, F. Yang, H. Xie, Y. Lei, X. Liu, Y. Si, H. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A 2020, 8, 20629; c) K. Zeng, X. Zheng, C. Li, J. Yan, J.-H. Tian, C. Jin, P. Strasser, R. Yang, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2020, 30, 2000503.
- [6] L. Huo, B. Liu, G. Zhang, R. Si, J. Liu, J. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. A 2017, 5, 4868.
- [7] R. Hao, J.-T. Ren, X.-W. Lv, W. Li, Y.-P. Liu, Z.-Y. Yuan, J. Energ. Chem. 2020, 49, 14.
- [8] H.-x. Zhong, J. Wang, Y.-w. Zhang, W.-l. Xu, W. Xing, D. Xu, Y.-f. Zhang, X.-b. Zhang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 14235.
- [9] a) S. Yuan, J. Peng, B. Cai, Z. Huang, A. T. Garcia-Esparza, D. Sokaras, Y. Zhang, L. Giordano, K. Akkiraju, Y. G. Zhu, R. Hubner, X. Zou, Y. Roman-Leshkov, Y. Shao-Horn, *Nat. Mater.* 2022, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-022-01199-0; b) L. Jiao, J. Zhu, Y. Zhang, W. Yang, S. Zhou, A. Li, C. Xie, X. Zheng, W. Zhou, S. H. Yu, H. L. Jiang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2021, 143, 19417.
- [10] a) Y. Jiang, Y. P. Deng, R. L. Liang, N. Chen, G. King, A. P. Yu,
 Z. W. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2022, 144, 4783; b) H. Park, S. Oh,
 S. Lee, S. Choi, M. Oh, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 2019, 246, 322.
- [11] J. Wang, G. Han, L. Wang, L. Du, G. Chen, Y. Gao, Y. Ma, C. Du, X. Cheng, P. Zuo, G. Yin, *Small* **2018**, *14*, 1704282.

- [12] G. Li, J. Zhang, W. Li, K. Fan, C. Xu, Nanoscale 2018, 10, 9252.
- [13] M. H. Zhang, E. H. Zhang, C. Y. Hu, Y. Zhao, H. M. Zhang, Y. J. Zhang, M. W. Ji, J. L. Yu, G. T. Cong, H. C. Liu, J. T. Zhang, C. Z. Zhu, J. Xu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **2020**, *12*, 11693.

www.small-methods.com

- [14] Y. Zhao, L. Zhang, J. Liu, K. Adair, F. P. Zhao, Y. P. Sun, T. P. Wu, X. X. Bi, K. Amine, J. Lu, X. L. Sun, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2021, 50, 3889.
- [15] Q. M. Liu, Y. Peng, Q. X. Li, T. He, D. Morris, F. Nichols, R. Mercado, P. Zhang, S. W. Chen, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 17641.
- [16] a) H. Li, Z. Guo, X. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A 2017, 5, 21353;
 b) K. L. Pickrahn, S. W. Park, Y. Gorlin, H.-B.-R. Lee, T. F. Jaramillo, S. F. Bent, Adv. Energy Mater. 2012, 2, 1269.
- [17] a) B. T. Qiao, A. Q. Wang, X. F. Yang, L. F. Allard, Z. Jiang, Y. T. Cui, J. Y. Liu, J. Li, T. Zhang, *Nat. Chem.* **2011**, *3*, 634; b) K. L. Nardi, N. Yang, C. F. Dickens, A. L. Strickler, S. F. Bent, *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2015**, *5*, 1500412; c) X. Zhou, R. Liu, K. Sun, K. M. Papadantonakis, B. S. Brunschwigad, N. S. Lewis, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *9*, 892.
- [18] X. Yang, X. Sun, L.-Y. Gan, L. Sun, H. Mi, P. Zhang, X. Ren, Y. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A 2020, 8, 15140.
- [19] Y. M. Zhang, Y. V. Lim, S. Z. Huang, M. E. Pam, Y. Wang, L. K. Ang, Y. M. Shi, H. Y. Yang, Small 2018, 14, 1800898.
- [20] a) J. Han, X. Meng, L. Lu, J. Bian, Z. Li, C. Sun, Adv. Funct. Mater. **2019**, 29, 1808872; b) Q. Hu, Z. Han, X. D. Wang, G. M. Li,
 Z. Y. Wang, X. W. Huang, H. P. Yang, X. Z. Ren, Q. L. Zhang,
 J. H. Liu, C. X. He, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2020**, 59, 19054.
- [21] Z. Wang, J. Ang, B. Zhang, Y. Zhang, X. Y. D. Ma, J. L. Tao Yanb, B. Che, Y. Huang, X. Lua, *Appl. Catal., B.* **2019**, *254*, 26.
- [22] B. Z. Lu, Q. M. Liu, F. Nichols, R. Mercado, D. Morris, N. Li, P. Zhang, P. Gao, Y. Ping, S. W. Chen, *Research* **2020**, *2020*, 9167829.
- [23] a) P. Krishnan, M. Liu, P. A. Itty, Z. Liu, V. Rheinheimer, M.-H. Zhang, P. J. M. Monteiro, L. E. Yu, *Sci. Rep.* 2017, *7*, 43298;
 b) H. Idriss, *Surf. Sci.* 2021, *712*, 121894;
 b) L. Gao, C. Tang, J. Liu, L. He, H. Wang, Z. Ke, W. Li, C. Jiang, D. He, L. Cheng, X. Xiao, *Energy Environ. Mater.* 2021, *4*, 392.
- [24] a) Y. J. Deng, X. L. Tian, G. H. Shen, Y. Gao, C. X. Lin, L. M. Ling, F. L. Cheng, S. J. Liao, S. G. Zhang, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2020, 567, 410; b) Y. P. Liu, B. Qiao, N. Jia, S. F. Shi, X. B. Chen, Z. W. An, P. Chen, ChemCatChem 2022, 14, e202101523.
- [25] Y. Chen, Z. Li, Y. Zhu, D. Sun, X. Liu, L. Xu, Y. Tang, Adv. Mater. 2019, 31, 1806312.
- [26] S. Zeng, F. Lyu, H. Nie, Y. Zhan, H. Bian, Y. Tian, Z. Li, A. Wang, J. Lu, Y. Y. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A 2017, 5, 13189.
- [27] B. Lei, D. D. Xu, B. Wei, T. F. Xie, C. Y. Xiao, W. L. Jin, L. L. Xu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2021, 13, 4785.
- [28] F. Xiao, G.-L. Xu, C.-J. Sun, M. Xu, W. Wen, Q. Wang, M. Gu, S. Zhu, Y. Li, Z. Wei, X. Pan, J. Wang, K. Amine, M. Shao, *Nano Energy* **2019**, *61*, 60.
- [29] Y. Li, J. W. Li, J. H. Huang, J. X. Chen, Y. Kong, B. Yang, Z. J. Li, L. C. Lei, G. L. Chai, Z. H. Wen, L. M. Dai, Y. Hou, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 9078.
- [30] J. Han, H. Bao, J.-Q. Wang, L. Zheng, S. Sun, Z. L. Wang, C. Sun, Appl. Catal., B. 2021, 280, 119411.
- [31] a) Y. M. Zhang, L. X. Feng, W. T. Zhan, S. J. Li, Y. L. Li, X. Z. Ren, P. X. Zhang, L. N. Sun, ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 2020, 3, 4014;
 b) W. Jian, S.-P. Wang, H.-X. Zhang, F.-Q. Bai, Inorg. Chem. Front. 2019, 6, 2660; c) H. Jin, X. Tian, Y. Nie, Z. Zhou, C. Yang, Y. Li, L. Lu, Environ. Sci. Technol. 2017, 51, 12699; d) Q. Ji, L. Bi, J. Zhang, H. Cao, X. S. Zhao, Energy Environ. Sci. 2020, 13, 1408; e) K. Y. Zhu, F. Shi, X. F. Zhu, W. S. Yang, Nano Energy 2020, 73, 1408.
- [32] a) Z. H. Yao, Y. T. Li, D. S. Chen, Y. W. Zhang, X. H. Bao, J. Wang, Q. Zhong, *Chem. Eng. J.* **2021**, *415*, 129033; b) R. H. Gan, Y. L. Wang,
 W. J. Ma, M. Dirican, S. Zhao, Y. Song, X. W. Zhang, C. Ma, J. L. Shi, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2022**, *47*, 2103.