UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Self-rated health and substance use among individuals in HIV care in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: a cross-sectional study

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/07m105w5

Journal International Journal of STD & AIDS, 28(12)

ISSN 0956-4624

Authors

Machado, Iona K Luz, Paula M Lake, Jordan E <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2017-10-01

DOI

10.1177/0956462417692278

Peer reviewed

1Self-rated health and substance use among individuals in HIV care in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: a cross-2sectional study

Machado IK, Luz PM, **Lake** JE, Castro R, Velasque L, **Clark** JL, Veloso VG, Grinsztejn B, De Boni 5RB.

6Objective: Self-rated health (SRH) is associated with morbidity and mortality in HIV-uninfected 7populations but is understudied in HIV. Substance use may affect SRH in addition to its deleterious effect 8 on HIV disease. This analysis aimed to estimate SRH and substance use prevalence and evaluate factors 9associated with poor SRH among individuals in HIV care in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

10Methods: A convenience sample of HIV-infected adults completed one item of SRH, the Alcohol, 11Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST), and the Patient Health Questionnaire-2 12(PHQ-2). Logistic regression models identified factors associated with poor SRH.

13**Results:** Participants' (n= 1029) median age was 42.9 years, 64.2% were male, and 54.5% were non-14white. Poor SRH was reported by 19.5% and the use of alcohol, tobacco, marijuana, and crack/cocaine by 1530.1%, 19.5%, 3.9%, and 3.5%, respectively. Less than high-school education (adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 161.54, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.08-2.20), lack of sexual activity in previous 12 months (aOR 1.53, 1795% CI: 1.01-2.30), crack/cocaine use (aOR 3.82, 95% CI: 1.80-8.09), positive PHQ-2 screen (aOR 3.43, 1895% CI: 2.09-5.62), and HIV-1 RNA [40 c/mL (aOR 2.51, 95% CI: 1.57-4.02) were significantly associated 19 with poor SRH. as identified by multivariable logistic regression analyses. Alcohol, marijuana, and 20sedative use was not significantly associated with poor SRH.

21Conclusions: These results emphasize the need for substance use and mental health screening and 22treatment in this population. Further research may elucidate the consequences of poor SRH on 23treatment adherence, morbidity, and mortality in HIV-infected individuals.

24**Key-words:** self-rated health, substance use, HIV/AIDS, self-assessment

25Introduction

26 Self-rated health (SRH) assessments refer to questions that assess the respondent's perception 27of his/her own health status. Different methods are used to evaluate SRH including validated 28questionnaires, like the Short Form Health Survey (SF-36), and the question, "How would you rate your 29health in general?"¹. This question is particularly useful for its ease of implementation and is frequently 30used to assess national population health¹. The single-item of SRH became commonly used in the 1980s 31when an association between the single-item of SRH and mortality was demonstrated ¹⁻³. In prior 32literature, associations with mortality persisted even when accounting for depression and physical 33function, but weakened when controlling for objective health measures ¹. Poor SRH could therefore be 34associated with worse long-term health outcomes.

35 Accordingly, the number of studies searching for factors that predict good or poor SRH is 36 increasing. Poor SRH is predicted by older age ⁴, race ⁵⁻⁷, low education ^{5,7-9}, low income ^{5,8,9}, 37socioeconomic disparity ¹⁰, low physical activity ^{5,8,9,11}, comorbid disease and other objective health 38markers ^{1,3,8,12}, and depressive symptoms ^{4,13}. Studies from developed countries addressing sex 39differences have reported mixed results ^{3,7,9,14}.

40 Some of the aforementioned variables have been implicated in the syndemic theory of HIV, in 41which, a co-occurring disease such as depression or an adverse psychosocial health condition, such as 42low socioeconomic status or substance use, may nurture or worsen the disease and related health 43outcomes, such as SRH, in a given community ¹⁵. Though poor SRH in general populations has been 44consistently linked to syndemic conditions such as low education and income, the effects of substance 45use on SRH are less understood, likely due to variations in the studied populations, substance use 46definitions, and research methodologies. While the literature on the effects of illicit drug use on SRH is 47scarce, more research is published on tobacco and alcohol use. Results from a Canadian national health

48survey administered to nearly 14,000 adults reported that ever smoking was associated with a 74% 49increased chance of reporting poor SRH⁹. The cumulative results of several studies on the association 50between alcohol and SRH are mixed ^{3,8,16-19} with variations in study populations and methodologies.

51 Despite the relevance of syndemics in SRH and other poor long-term health outcomes in HIV, 52SRH is understudied in persons living with HIV/AIDS. One U.S. study of over 1700 HIV-infected adults 53 found an association between poor SRH and death ²⁰. Other international literature has shown that the 54absence of symptoms or medication side effects ²¹, high socioeconomic status, having a community-55based network ²², and the absence of anxiety and depressive feelings ²³ were associated with good SRH, 56but HIV-related markers like CD4⁺ T lymphocyte counts were not included in adjusted analyses. With 57respect to the effect of substance use on SRH in HIV-infected persons, Mrus et al., using a 2-item 58 measure of SRH for a sample of 1649 adults, found that injection drug use history was associated with 59poor SRH²⁴. In another U.S. study of 184 adults, HIV-infected persons with alcohol use disorders that 60completed a 21-item variant of the Medical Outcome Survey: Health-Related Quality of Life, another 61measurement of SRH, reported lower health-related quality of life than those with either HIV or alcohol 62use disorder ²⁵, thereby supporting the synergistic relationship between substance use and long-term 63health outcome.

64 In the 2013 Brazilian National Health Survey, 33.9% rated their health as fair, bad, or very bad ²⁶, 65similar to the proportion found (35%) in one cohort of Brazilian HIV-infected persons on antiretroviral 66therapy (ART)²¹. Moreover, 24% of adults reported consuming at least one alcoholic beverage per week 67and 15% used tobacco products daily or occasionally, but no information is available regarding current 68marijuana or crack/cocaine use. Apart from the aforementioned study of Brazilian HIV-infected 69 individuals, there is no data on SRH or substance use prevalence in this population. Considering the 70 relevance of SRH and substance use to long-term health outcomes, the aim of this paper was to estimate

7 8

71the prevalence of poor SRH and substance use and identify potential groups at risk for poor SRH among 72HIV-infected adults in care in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

73Methods

74Study Design

The STD/AIDS Clinical Research Laboratory at Instituto Nacional de Infectologia Evandro Chagas 76at Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (INI/FIOCRUZ), in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, is a reference center for HIV 77treatment and research. As recommended by Brazil's HIV treatment guidelines, patients have at least 78biannual appointments for follow-up care at INI ²⁷. A cross-sectional study of a convenience sample of 791050 HIV-infected adults ([]18 years of age) who attended a routine appointment at INI between August 802013 and December 2015 was performed. The sole exclusion criterion was inability to provide informed 81consent. Trained nurses administered a short, structured interview that assessed SRH, depression, 82substance use, and sexual activity. This data was linked to INI's HIV cohort database, a longitudinal 83database maintained since 1998 that includes demographic and clinical information, as previously 84described ²⁸. Ethical approval was granted by the INI Institutional Review Board to the cross-sectional 85study (CAAE 17844113.2.0000.5262) as well as the parent cohort study (CAAE 0032.0.009.000-10).

86Outcome

SRH was measured by the question "How is your health?" with possible answer choices of "Very 88bad," "Bad," and "Neither good nor bad" categorized as "Poor SRH" and "Good, "Very good" categorized 89as "Good SRH," as previously dichotomized ²⁶.

90Demographic, Clinical and Behavioral Variables

91 Socio-demographic factors were self-reported on the participant's first clinic visit. Sex was 92defined as sex at birth (male/female). Age at interview was defined as the difference in years between 93the questionnaire administration date and birth date, and *a priori* dichotomized so as to explore the 94effect of "older age" for participants []50 years old, as suggested by Blanco et al. ²⁹. Educational level was 95dichotomized as no high school education vs. []high school education. Race was categorized as "white," 96"black," or "mixed." Years with HIV diagnosis and years in HIV care were calculated as the difference in 97years between the interview date and the dates of the first positive HIV test and of the first clinic visit, 98respectively. The study instrument ascertained marital status, dichotomized as "single" vs. "married or 99living with partner," and sexual orientation, with response choices of "homosexual/gay," "heterosexual," 100and "bisexual" dichotomized as "heterosexual" vs. "other."

101 CD4⁺ T-lymphocyte counts and HIV-1 RNA levels closest to the study administration date and 102within the prior 12 months were selected for analysis. Hepatitis B or C virus co-infection was defined as 103any record of a positive hepatitis B antigen test or hepatitis C antibody test. Metabolic disease was 104defined as meeting [] 1 of the following criteria by medical history: hypercholesterolemia (total 105cholesterol >239 mg/dL), hypertriglyceridemia (triglycerides >199 mg/dL), dyslipidemia (LDL >159 mg/dL 106or HDL <40 mg/dL), hypertension (diastolic blood pressure >100 mmHg), and diabetes (fasting blood 107glucose \geq 126 mg/dL, random blood glucose \geq 200 mg/dL, or hemoglobin A1c \geq 6.5%). Lifetime history of 108an AIDS-defining illness was defined using the CDC 1993 criteria ³⁰.

109 Current tobacco, alcohol, marijuana, crack/cocaine, and non-prescription sedative use were 110assessed using the Portuguese validated version of the WHO's Alcohol, Smoking and Substance 111Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST) ³¹ questions that asked "In the last 3 months, with what frequency 112did you use...". Possible answers were "Never," "1-2 times," "1-3 times/month," "1-4 times/week," and 113"5-7 days/week," dichotomized into "never" and "any" use. Binge drinking was assessed by the question 114"Have you ingested 5 or more alcoholic drinks in one occasion? One drink is one can of beer (300 mL) OR 115a glass of wine (120 mL) OR a shot of liquor (cachaça, vodka, whisky – 30 mL)" with responses of "no, 116never," "yes, but not in the last 3 months," and "yes, in the last three months." This was dichotomized as 117"yes in the last three months" or "no, not in the last 3 months."

Depression screening used the Patient Health Questionnaire-2 (PHQ-2), validated in Brazilian Depression screen as a PHQ-2 value value 20[3. The study instrument's one item of sexual history asked participants to "mark all" sexual partners 121that the participant had in the last 12 months: men, women, transsexuals, transvestites, and none. This 122was dichotomized into "any" and "none."

123Statistical Analyses

124 Categorical variables are described by their absolute and relative frequencies. Unadjusted 125logistic regression evaluated associations between demographic, clinical, and behavioral variables and 126poor SRH. Stepwise backward logistic regression modeling was performed with all variables with p-127values <0.10 in univariate modeling, removing terms of greatest non-significance until a final model was 128reached where all included variables presented a p-value ≤0.05. To account for a large number of 129participants with missing CD4⁺ T lymphocyte counts (n=442) and HIV-1 RNA levels (n=429), a sensitivity 130analysis was conducted using the aforementioned statistical methods for participants with both CD4⁺T 131lymphocyte counts and HIV-1 RNA levels (n=576). Guided by previous findings ³², co-linearity between 13290-day crack/cocaine use and 90-day tobacco use was tested. When it was found, tobacco was excluded 133 from regression models. Since co-linearity between 90-day sedative and crack/cocaine use was found 134only in subset data, sedative use was also excluded from the regression model for the subset analysis. 135Age⁴, sex at birth ^{3,7,9,14}, and race ⁵⁻⁷ were kept *a priori* in the final adjusted model because these 136variables were previously associated to SRH. Current CD4⁺ T lymphocyte count was kept in the final 137model despite borderline significance because it significantly changed the effect of HIV-1 RNA viral load. 138All statistical analyses were performed with R Statistical Software version 3.2.2.

13

139Results

Of the 1050 study participants, 1029 were included for data completeness. Table 1 characterizes 141the overall study population. The participants were 64.2% male and 45.6% white, with a median age of 14242.9 years (interquartile range (IQR) 34.7, 50.6). About half of the population had some high school 143education or more and two thirds identified as heterosexual. The median time since HIV diagnosis was 1448.2 years, and the median time from initiation of HIV care was 6.1 years. Of the 587 participants with a 145CD4⁺ T lymphocyte count measured in the year prior to study administration, the median count was 599 146cells/mm³.

In this population, 19.5% (n=201) reported poor SRH and 80.5% (n=828) reported good SRH with 148a distribution of: very good 36% (n=368), good 45% (n=460), neither good nor bad 15% (n=155), bad 3% 149(n=36), and very bad 1% (n=10). 30.1% and 19.5% of study participants reported 90-day alcohol and 150tobacco use, respectively while less than 5% reported 90-day marijuana, crack/cocaine use, or sedative 151use. Overall, 8.4% of participants were identified as having depressive symptoms per the PHQ-2 152depression screen. Unadjusted analysis showed that age \geq 50 years, female sex, less than high school 153education, heterosexual self-identification, absence of 12-month sexual activity, a lifetime diagnosis of an 154AIDS-defining illness, CD4⁺ T-lymphocyte count <500 cells/mm³, detectable HIV-1 RNA level, and reported 155tobacco, crack/cocaine, or sedative use in the last 90 days were significantly associated (p<0.05) with 156poor SRH (Table 1).

157 In adjusted analyses, those with poor SRH were less likely than those with good SRH to attend 158 high school (p=0.016) and have engaged in sexual activity in the last 12 months (p=0.043). Persons with 159 poor SRH were more likely to have a recent detectable HIV-1 RNA level (p<0.001), report crack/cocaine 160 use in the last 90 days (p<0.001), and have a positive depression screen on the PHQ-2 (p<0.001) (Figure 1611A). The effect sizes of recent crack/cocaine use (adjusted Odds Ratio - aOR=3.82) and positive PHQ-2

15

162screen (aOR=3.43) were at least a third larger than the effect size of detectable HIV-1 RNA level 163(aOR=2.51). Age []50 years (p=0.057), female sex (p=0.057), and a current CD4⁺ T lymphocyte count <500 164cells/mm³ (p=0.067) approached significance in the adjusted analysis (Figure 1A). The results of the 165sensitivity analysis of participants with complete data for both a recent CD4⁺ T lymphocyte count and a 166recent HIV-1 RNA level (n=576) were not significantly different from those of the overall analysis (Figure 1671B, see Supplementary Material Table S1).

168Discussion

Of individuals in HIV care at INI, 19.5% reported poor SRH. SRH was associated with lower 170schooling, no reported sexual activity in the last 12 months, positive 90-day recall of crack/cocaine use, a 171positive PHQ-2 screen, and HIV-1 RNA levels [40 c/mL. The lower prevalence of poor SRH compared to 172that found in the Brazilian general population (33.9%) ²⁶ is intriguing. It is possible that because our 173population was recruited at a multidisciplinary care center, our sample likely has better access to care 174than the general population. In addition, participants may be primed to respond to SRH questions from 175the perspective of an HIV-infected individual, using other HIV-infected peers or their own prior health 176experiences as a frame of reference, and, consequently, may find themselves to be in comparatively 177good health ¹. Our prevalence of poor SRH was also lower than that of a multicenter Brazilian cohort of 178HIV-infected persons (35%) by 15% ²¹, possibly because there are unmeasured factors related to health 179services at play and because current ART regimens are better tolerated than those available in 2008 180when the study was conducted.

181 The prevalence of 90-day alcohol use (30.1%), marijuana use (3.9%), and crack/cocaine use 182(3.1%) was similar to a 1-week prevalence found in the same cohort ³³, although 90-day tobacco use 183(19.5%) was smaller than that of 12-month use (29%)³², as expected. All estimates, however, were lower 184than that of U.S. HIV-infected cohorts, where 50-70% report smoking ³⁴, 53% report drinking in the past

185month ³⁵, and 24% and 9% report 90-day marijuana and crack/cocaine use, respectively ³⁶. These lower 186prevalences may reflect the difficulty in reaching and linking substance users with HIV care ^{37,38}.

Both a positive depression screen and current crack/cocaine use showed the largest effect size 188on poor SRH in our analysis, roughly a third larger than the most strongly associated clinical variable, a 189detectable HIV-1 RNA level. Depression is a significant contributor to SRH, not only because of its effects 190on objective health measures ³⁹, but also because it distorts self-perception ^{1.3}. Hence, it is important to 191screen for depression in HIV-infected persons. The association between crack/cocaine and poor SRH 192adds to a small, conflicting body of literature in which one study found that crack/cocaine smokers were 193more likely to report poor SRH ¹⁶, while another U.S. survey of roughly 19,000 adults aged 50 or older did 194not find an association (though the analysis was limited by small sample of crack/cocaine users) ¹⁷. In our 195analysis, this association presented a large effect size even after controlling for standard measures of HIV 196disease severity implying that crack/cocaine use may affect other non-HIV related clinical variables or the 197process of self-evaluation of health. For example, crack users may see crack addiction as worse for their 198health than alcohol or tobacco addiction ¹⁶ and consequently evaluate their SRH as poor. Adding to the 199conflicting body of literature, there was no association between alcohol use and SRH. In sum, the data 200suggests that substance use screening should be a part of routine HIV care.

201 The association between poor SRH and low levels of education may reflect limited access to 202resources, like information about health-promoting behaviors and social support networks, or a 203conception of health rooted in a weaker base of clinical information ^{40,41}. Not only was the proportion of 204study participants reporting sexual activity in the last year (80.5%) similar to that of Brazilians ages 15-64 205(77.3%), but the breakdown by sex was also similar: 81% of men and 73.7% of women in the national 206population, and 85.2% of men and 73% of women in our study population reported 12-month sexual 207activity ⁴². Those with no recent sexual activity could be mentally distressed or too physically ill ⁴³, or may

208suffer from decreased libido from chronic illness ⁴⁴, all of which may thereby affect SRH. This adds 209another dimension to the importance of asking HIV-infected persons about their sex lives or lack thereof, 210as it may have a negative impact on self-rated health. HIV-related measures, CD4⁺ T lymphocyte count 211and HIV-RNA level, affected SRH as previously described ^{1,24}. However, a limitation of this study was the 212 large number of missing laboratory information. This could have excluded a population that are poorly 213 linked to care and therefore may be sicker with poorer SRH, however the sensitivity analyses did not 214 yield major differences in the demographics (see Supplementary Material for details, Table S1) nor the 215 multivariable analyses (Figure 1) between those with complete laboratory information and those 216 without. One notable difference was that the effect size of a positive PHQ-2 screen decreased when 217 participants with missing information were removed from the analysis, suggesting that PHQ-2 is a 218 weaker correlate with SRH in the presence of clinical information (Figure 1B). Another limitation of the 219study was the inclusion only of participants who were attending scheduled outpatient appointments, 220who were more likely to be female, non-white, and have less education than the eligible population that 221did not complete the study (Table S2). Though patients linked to care may be expected to have higher 222CD4⁺ T lymphocyte counts and lower HIV-1 RNA levels, and therefore report better SRH, there were no 223differences between these two populations on these measures (Table S2). However, HIV-infected 224 individuals that did not attend their outpatient appointments may be missing their appointments due to 225other social and behavioral variables that may negatively influence their self-rated health, such as drug 226and problematic alcohol use. In fact, the prevalence of drug and alcohol use was too small to stratify into 227 occasional and severe users. It is possible that severe users would be more likely to report poor SRH than 228occasional users. While a nurse-administered questionnaire circumvents the exclusion of illiterate 229 participants, participants may not have been comfortable responding to questions about alcohol, drugs, 230and sex. Therefore, there may be underreporting of these behaviors. However, the prevalence of sexual 231activity in the last 12 months in our sample (80.5%) was nearly identical to that of Brazilian population

232(77.3%), which was assessed via _____. Additionally, this study did not address other chronic health 233diseases that may adversely affect SRH, such as cancer and heart disease. Finally, although results 234relating to SRH are similar to other Brazilian estimates, given the non-probabilistic nature of the sample, 235results may not be generalizable to all individuals in care for HIV in the country.

This study has identified several potential groups of HIV-infected individuals that are at risk for 237reporting poor SRH, and therefore may be at increased risk for mortality. Some of these groups, such as 238persons who reported no recent sexual activity and crack/cocaine users, may not be routinely identified 239in HIV care (Machado, et al. *under review*). Though research is substantially limited, identification and 240subsequent treatment of these behaviors may reduce mortality risk. Given the mortality implications for 241poor SRH and its correlation with not only clinical information but psychological and behavioral variables, 242we urge providers to assess psychosocial variables and drug and alcohol use in routine HIV care and 243manage them appropriately.

244Conclusions

The proportion of HIV-infected adults in care that report poor SRH was lower in our sample than 246in other studies of HIV-infected Brazilians and the Brazilian general population, a result that deserves 247further investigation. Since participants presenting a positive screen for depression and use 248crack/cocaine were more likely to report poor SRH, it is important to incorporate mental health and 249substance use screening and treatment into the care of HIV-infected persons. Additional research is 250needed to elucidate the effect SRH may have on treatment adherence, morbidity, and mortality.

251Authors' Statement of Conflict of Interest and Compliance with Ethical Standards:

252 This work was funded by the National Institutes of Health grants R25 MH087222 (South 253American Program in HIV Research) and K23 Al110532 in addition to the NIH-funded Caribbean, Central

254and South America network for HIV epidemiology (CCASAnet), a member cohort of the International 255Epidemiologic Databases to Evaluate AIDS (IeDEA, U01AI069923), and the Conselho Nacional de 256Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnologico (CNPq, 476024/2013-7).

257 All procedures performed in this study, including informed consent obtained from all individual 258participants included in the study, were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and 259national research committees and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments.

260References

- Jylhä M. What is self-rated health and why does it predict mortality? Towards a unified
 conceptual model. *Soc Sci Med* 2009; 69: 307–16.
- 2632. DeSalvo KB, Bloser N, Reynolds K, et al. Mortality prediction with a single general self-rated health 264 question: A meta-analysis. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 2006; 21: 267–275.
- Idler EL, Benyamini Y. Self-rated health and mortality: a review of twenty-seven community
 studies. J Health Soc Behav 1997; 38: 21–37.
- 2674. Pinquart M. Correlates of Subjective Health in Older Adults: A Meta-Analysis. *Psychol Aging* 2001;
 268 16: 414-426.
- Lorraine PJ, Hammock RL, Blanton JM. Predictors of self-rated health status among Texas
 residents. *Prev Chronic Dis* 2005; 2: A12.
- 2716. Cagney KA, Browning CR, Wen M. Racial Disparities in Self-Rated Health at Older Ages: What
 272 Difference Does the Neighborhood Make? *Journals Gerontol Ser B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2005; 60:
 273 \$181-\$190.
- Franks P, Gold MR, Fiscella K. Sociodemographics, self-rated health, and mortality in the US. Soc
 Sci Med 2003; 56: 2505–2514.
- Wu S, Wang R, Zhao Y, et al. The relationship between self-rated health and objective health
 status: a population-based study. *BMC Public Health* 2013; 13: 9.
- 2789. Cott CA, Gignac MA, Badley EM. Determinants of self rated health for Canadians with chronic
 279 disease and disability. *J Epidemiol Community Heal* 1999; 53: 731–736.
- 28010. Kondo N, Sembajwe G, Kawachi I, et al. Income inequality, mortality, and self rated health: meta-281 analysis of multilevel studies. *BMJ* 2009; 339: b4471-b4471.
- 28211. Benyamini Y. Why does self-rated health predict mortality? An update on current knowledge and 283 a research agenda for psychologists. *Psychol Health* 2011; 26: 1407–1413.
- 28412. Jylhä M, Volpato S, Guralnik JM. Self-rated health showed a graded association with frequently
 used biomarkers in a large population sample. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2006; 59: 465–471.
- 28613. Chang-Quan H, Xue-Mei Z, Bi-Rong D, et al. Health status and risk for depression among the 287 elderly: a meta-analysis of published literature. *Age Ageing* 2010; 39: 23–30.
- 28814. Szwarcwald CL, Souza-Júnior PRB de, Esteves MAP, et al. Socio-demographic determinants of self-289 rated health in Brazil. *Cad Saude Publica* 2005; 21: S54–S64.
- Singer M, Clair S. Syndemics and Public Health: Reconceptualizing Disease in Bio-Social Context.
 Med Anthropol Q 2003; 17: 423–441.
- Falck RS, Wang J, Carlson RG, et al. *Crack-cocaine use and health status as defined by the SF-36*.
 2000. Epub ahead of print 2000. DOI: 10.1016/S0306-4603(99)00040-4.
- 29417. Blazer DG, Wu L-T. The Epidemiology of Substance Use and Disorders Among Middle Aged and

- 295 Elderly Community Adults: National Survey on Drug Use and Health. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 296 2009; 17: 237-245.
- 29718. Frisher M, Mendonça M, Shelton N, et al. Is alcohol consumption in older adults associated with 298 poor self-rated health? Cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses from the English Longitudinal 299 Study of Ageing. BMC Public Health 2015; 15: 703.
- 30019. Valencia-Martín JL, Galán I, Rodríguez-Artalejo F. Alcohol and self-rated health in a Mediterranean 301 country: the role of average volume, drinking pattern, and alcohol dependence. Alcohol Clin Exp 302 Res 2009; 33: 240-6.
- 30320. Fleishman JA, Crystal S. Functional Status Transitions and Survival in HIV Disease: Evidence from 304 the AIDS Costs and Service Utilization Survey. Source Med Care 1998; 36: 533–543.
- 30521. Souza Junior PRB, Borges De Souza Junior PR, Szwarcwald CL, et al. Self-rated health by HIV-306 infected individuals undergoing antiretroviral therapy in Brazil. Sup 2011; 27: 56-66.
- 30722. Dageid W, Grønlie AA. The associations between resilience, social capital and self-rated health 308 among HIV-positive South Africans. J Health Psychol 2015; 20: 1463–73.
- 30923. Sun W, Wu M, Qu P, et al. Psychological well-being of people living with HIV/AIDS under the new 310 epidemic characteristics in China and the risk factors: a population-based study. Int J Infect Dis 311 2014; 28: 147-52.
- 31224. Mrus JM, Schackman BR, Wu AW, et al. Variations in self-rated health among patients with HIV 313 infection. Qual Life Res 2006; 15: 503-14.
- 31425. Rosenbloom MJ, Sullivan E V, Sassoon SA, et al. Alcoholism, HIV infection, and their comorbidity: 315 factors affecting self-rated health-related quality of life. J Stud Alcohol Drugs 2007; 68: 115–25.
- 31626. IBGE IB de G e, Estatística. Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde 2013: percepção do estado de saúde, 317 estilos de vida e doenças crônicashttp://biblioteca.ibge.gov.br/visualizacao/livros/liv91110.pdf 318 (2014).
- 31927. Brasil MDS. PROTOCOLO CLÍNICO E DIRETRIZES TERAPÊUTICAS PARA MANEJO DA INFECÇÃO PELO 320 HIV EM ADULTOS.
- 32128. Grinsztejn B, Veloso VG, Friedman RK, et al. Early mortality and cause of deaths in patients using HAART in Brazil and the United States. AIDS 2009; 23: 2107-14. 322
- Blanco JR, Jarrín I, Vallejo M, et al. Definition of advanced age in HIV infection: looking for an age 32329. 324 cut-off. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2012; 28: 1000-6.
- 32530. 1993 revised classification system for HIV infection and expanded surveillance case definition for 326 AIDS among adolescents and adults. MMWR Recomm Rep 1992; 41: 1-19.
- 32731. Henrique IFS, De Micheli D, De Lacerda RB, et al. Validacao da Versao Brasileira do Teste de 328 Triagem do Envolvimento com Alcool, Cigarro e Outras Substancias (ASSIST). Rev Assoc Med Bras 329 2004; 50: 199-206.
- 33032. Torres TS, Luz PM, Derrico M, et al. Factors associated with tobacco smoking and cessation among HIV-Infected individuals under care in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. PLoS One 2014; 9: 1-15. 331
- 29

33233. De Boni RB, Shepherd BE, Grinsztejn B, et al. Substance Use and Adherence Among People Living
with HIV/AIDS Receiving cART in Latin America. *AIDS Behav*. Epub ahead of print 18 April 2016.
DOI: 10.1007/s10461-016-1398-6.

Nahvi S, Cooperman NA. Review: The Need for Smoking Cessation Among HIV-Positive Smokers.
 AIDS Educ Prev 2009; 21: 14–27.

Galvan FH, Bing EG, Fleishman JA, et al. The prevalence of alcohol consumption and heavy
drinking among people with HIV in the United States: results from the HIV Cost and Services
Utilization Study. *J Stud Alcohol* 2002; 63: 179–86.

Mimiaga MJ, Reisner SL, Grasso C, et al. Substance use among HIV-infected patients engaged in
primary care in the United States: findings from the Centers for AIDS Research Network of
Integrated Clinical Systems cohort. Am J Public Health 2013; 103: 1457–67.

Bell C, Metsch LR, Vogenthaler N, et al. Never in care: characteristics of HIV-infected crack cocaine
users in 2 US cities who have never been to outpatient HIV care. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr
2010; 54: 376-80.

34638.Tobias CR, Cunningham W, Cabral HD, et al. Living with HIV but without medical care: barriers to347engagement. AIDS Patient Care STDS 2007; 21: 426–34.

34839. Leserman J. Role of depression, stress, and trauma in HIV disease progression. *Psychosom Med*2008; 70: 539-45.

35040. Kawachi I, Kennedy BP, Glass R. Social capital and self-rated health: a contextual analysis. Am J
 351 Public Health 1999; 89: 1187–93.

35241. Dowd JB, Zajacova A. Does the predictive power of self-rated health for subsequent mortality risk 353 vary by socioeconomic status in the US? *Int J Epidemiol* 2007; 36: 1214–21.

35442. Brasil MDS. Pesquisa de Conhecimentos, Atitudes e Práticas na População Brasileira. 2011.

Maticka-Tyndale E, Adam BD, Cohen J. Sexual desire and practice among people living with HIV
 and using combination anti-retroviral therapies. *Can J Hum Sex* 2002; 11: 33–41.

35744. Basson R, Rees P, Wang R, et al. Sexual Function in Chronic Illness. J Sex Med 2010; 7: 374–388.

Total 1029 828 201 Age (years) median (IQR) 42.9 (34.7,50.6) 42.6 (34.7,50.2) 44.3 (34.8,52.3) 1.01 (1, 1.02) 0.128 <50 751 (73) 617 (74.5) 134 (66.7) REF ≥50 278 (27) 211 (25.5) 67 (33.3) 1.46 (1.05, 2.04) 0.025 Sex at Birth Male 661 (64.2) 551 (66.5) 110 (54.7) REF Female 368 (35.8) 277 (33.5) 91 (45.3) 1.65 (1.2, 2.25) 0.002 Race* White 466 (45.6) 389 (47.3) 77 (38.3) REF Black 196 (19.2) 151 (18.4) 45 (22.4) 1.51 (1, 2.28) 0.052 Mixed 361 (35.3) 282 (34.3) 79 (39.3) 1.42 (1, 2.01) 0.051
Age (years)median (IQR) $42.9 (34.7,50.6)$ $42.6 (34.7,50.2)$ $44.3 (34.8,52.3)$ $1.01 (1, 1.02)$ 0.128 <50 $751 (73)$ $617 (74.5)$ $134 (66.7)$ REF ≥50 $278 (27)$ $211 (25.5)$ $67 (33.3)$ $1.46 (1.05, 2.04)$ 0.025 Sex # BirthMale $661 (64.2)$ $551 (66.5)$ $110 (54.7)$ REFFemale $368 (35.8)$ $277 (33.5)$ $91 (45.3)$ $1.65 (1.2, 2.25)$ 0.002 Rece*White $466 (45.6)$ $389 (47.3)$ $77 (38.3)$ REFBlack $196 (19.2)$ $151 (18.4)$ $45 (22.4)$ $1.51 (1, 2.28)$ 0.052 Mixed $361 (35.3)$ $282 (34.3)$ $79 (39.3)$ $1.42 (1, 2.01)$ 0.051
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
≥50278 (27)211 (25.5) 67 (33.3) 1.46 (1.05, 2.04) 0.025 Sex at BirthMale 661 (64.2) 551 (66.5) 110 (54.7)REFFemale 368 (35.8) 277 (33.5) 91 (45.3) 1.65 ($1.2, 2.25$) 0.002 Race*VWhite 466 (45.6) 389 (47.3) 77 (38.3)REFBlack196 (19.2)151 (18.4) 45 (22.4) 1.51 ($1, 2.28$) 0.052 Mixed 361 (35.3) 282 (34.3) 79 (39.3) 1.42 ($1, 2.01$) 0.051
Sex at Birth Male 661 (64.2) 551 (66.5) 110 (54.7) REF Female 368 (35.8) 277 (33.5) 91 (45.3) 1.65 (1.2, 2.25) 0.002 Race* 466 (45.6) 389 (47.3) 77 (38.3) REF Black 196 (19.2) 151 (18.4) 45 (22.4) 1.51 (1, 2.28) 0.052 Mixed 361 (35.3) 282 (34.3) 79 (39.3) 1.42 (1, 2.01) 0.051
Male661 (64.2)551 (66.5)110 (54.7)REFFemale368 (35.8)277 (33.5)91 (45.3)1.65 (1.2, 2.25)0.002Race*White466 (45.6)389 (47.3)77 (38.3)REFBlack196 (19.2)151 (18.4)45 (22.4)1.51 (1, 2.28)0.052Mixed361 (35.3)282 (34.3)79 (39.3)1.42 (1, 2.01)0.051
Female368 (35.8)277 (33.5)91 (45.3)1.65 (1.2, 2.25)0.002Race*VWhite466 (45.6)389 (47.3)77 (38.3)REFBlack196 (19.2)151 (18.4)45 (22.4)1.51 (1, 2.28)0.052Mixed361 (35.3)282 (34.3)79 (39.3)1.42 (1, 2.01)0.051
Race* White 466 (45.6) 389 (47.3) 77 (38.3) REF Black 196 (19.2) 151 (18.4) 45 (22.4) 1.51 (1, 2.28) 0.052 Mixed 361 (35.3) 282 (34.3) 79 (39.3) 1.42 (1, 2.01) 0.051
White466 (45.6)389 (47.3)77 (38.3)REFBlack196 (19.2)151 (18.4)45 (22.4)1.51 (1, 2.28)0.052Mixed361 (35.3)282 (34.3)79 (39.3)1.42 (1, 2.01)0.051
Black196 (19.2)151 (18.4)45 (22.4)1.51 (1, 2.28)0.052Mixed361 (35.3)282 (34.3)79 (39.3)1.42 (1, 2.01)0.051
Mixed 361 (35.3) 282 (34.3) 79 (39.3) 1.42 (1, 2.01) 0.051
Education*
<high (34.2)="" (46.8)="" (49.9)="" 411="" 479="" 68="" ref<="" school="" td=""></high>
≥High School 544 (53.2) 413 (50.1) 131 (65.8) 1.92 (1.39, 2.65) <0.001
Sexual Orientation*
Homosexual/Gay 309 (30.5) 269 (33) 40 (20) REF
Heterosexual 652 (64.3) 502 (61.7) 150 (75) 2.01 (1.38, 2.94) <0.001
Bisexual 53 (5.2) 43 (5.3) 10 (5) 1.56 (0.73, 3.36) 0.251
Civil Status Married or living with
partner 363 (35.3) 296 (35.7) 67 (33.3) REF
Single 666 (64.7) 532 (64.3) 134 (66.7) 1.11 (0.8, 1.54) 0.52
12-month Sexual Activity*
Yes 830 (80.8) 683 (82.7) 147 (73.1) REF
None 197 (19.2) 143 (17.3) 54 (26.9) 1.75 (1.22, 2.52) 0.002
Years with HIV Diagnosis
median(IQR) 8.2 (4.1,14.1) 8.1 (4.1,14.2) 8.9 (4.4,13.9) 1 (0.98, 1.03) 0.761
Years in HIV Care
median(IQR) 6.1 (3.2,10) 6 (3.2,10) 6.4 (2.8,9.9) 0.99 (0.96, 1.02) 0.562
CD4 T-lymphocyte count (cells/mm ³)
468.5 median(IOR) 599 (386 828 5) 610 (414 852) (249 8 713 5) 1 (1 1) 0.003
>500 358 (34.8) 307 (37.1) 51 (25.4) REF
<200 60 (5.8) 38 (4.6) 22 (10.9) 3 49 (1.91.6.37) <0.001
200-500 169 (16 4) 132 (15 9) 37 (18 4) 169 (105 2 7) 0.029
Missing 442 (43) 351 (42 4) 91 (45 3) 1 56 (1 07 2 27) 0 02
HIV-1 RNA Level
Undetectable 423 (41.1) 363 (43.8) 60 (29.9) RFF
Detectable 177 (17.2) 125 (15.1) 52 (25.9) 2.52 (1.65. 3.84) <0.001

Table 1. Characteristics of study participants by self-rated health (SRH) status (good versus poor) and unadjusted odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI), INI-Fiocruz from 2013-2015

Missing	429 (41.7)	340 (41.1)	89 (44.3)	1.58 (1.11, 2.27)	0.012
Time on ART (days)					
median (IQR)	6.1 (2.7,12.8)	6 (2.7,13)	7 (2.9,12.4)	1 (1, 1)	0.948
Time on ART					
≥90 days	945 (91.8)	762 (92)	183 (91)	REF	
<90 days	84 (8.2)	66 (8)	18 (9)	1.14 (0.66,1.96)	0.648
Lifetime AIDS Related Disease					
None	610 (59.3)	508 (61.4)	102 (50.7)	REF	
1+	419 (40.7)	320 (38.6)	99 (49.3)	1.54 (1.13, 2.1)	0.006
Hepatitis B Infection					
No	982 (95.4)	789 (95.3)	193 (96)	REF	
Yes	47 (4.6)	39 (4.7)	8 (4)	0.84 (0.39, 1.82)	0.657
Hepatitis C Infection					
No	953 (92.6)	769 (92.9)	184 (91.5)	REF	
Yes	76 (7.4)	59 (7.1)	17 (8.5)	1.2 (0.69, 2.11)	0.518
Metabolic Variable					
No	435 (42.3)	354 (42.8)	81 (40.3)	REF	
Yes	594 (57.7)	474 (57.2)	120 (59.7)	1.11 (0.81, 1.51)	0.527
PHQ-2*					
Negative	938 (91.6)	775 (94.1)	163 (81.5)	REF	
Positive	86 (8.4)	49 (5.9)	37 (18.5)	3.59 (2.27, 5.68)	<0.001
90-day Tobacco Use*					
No	826 (80.5)	676 (81.8)	150 (75)	REF	
Yes	200 (19.5)	150 (18.2)	50 (25)	1.5 (1.04, 2.17)	0.029
90-day Alcohol Use*					
No	716 (69.9)	568 (68.8)	148 (74)	REF	
Yes	309 (30.1)	257 (31.2)	52 (26)	0.78 (0.55, 1.1)	0.155
90-day Marijuana Use					
No	989 (96.1)	800 (96.6)	189 (94)	REF	
Yes	40 (3.9)	28 (3.4)	12 (6)	1.81 (0.91, 3.63)	0.093
90-day Crack/Cocaine Use					
No	993 (96.5)	810 (97.8)	183 (91)	REF	
Yes	36 (3.5)	18 (2.2)	18 (9)	4.43 (2.26, 8.67)	<0.001
90-day Sedative Use					
No	1008 (98)	815 (98.4)	193 (96)	REF	
Yes	21 (2)	13 (1.6)	8 (4)	2.6 (1.06, 6.36)	0.036
90-day Binge Drinking*					
No	840 (82.6)	677 (82.8)	163 (81.9)	REF	
Yes	177 (17.4)	141 (17.2)	36 (18.1)	1.06 (0.71, 1.59)	0.776

362Figure 1. Adjusted odds ratios (aOR) with 95% confidence intervals derived from multivariable regression analyses
 using statistically significant variables (p<0.1) associated with poor SRH from unadjusted logistic regression
 analysis. A) all study participants (N=1029), B) subset of study participants with recent CD4 count and HIV-1
 RNA level (N=576).

