UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Research on Youth and Young Adult Tobacco Use, 2013–2018, From the Food and Drug Administration–National Institutes of Health Tobacco Centers of Regulatory Science

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/07q990h3

Journal Nicotine & Tobacco Research, 22(7)

ISSN 1462-2203

Authors

Perry, Cheryl L Creamer, MeLisa R Chaffee, Benjamin W <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2020-06-12

DOI

10.1093/ntr/ntz059

Peer reviewed



Review

Research on Youth and Young Adult Tobacco Use, 2013–2018, From the Food and Drug Administration–National Institutes of Health Tobacco Centers of Regulatory Science

Cheryl L. Perry PhD¹, MeLisa R. Creamer PhD¹, Benjamin W. Chaffee DDS, PhD², Jennifer B. Unger PhD³, Erin L. Sutfin PhD⁴, Grace Kong PhD⁵, Ce Shang PhD^{6,7}, Stephanie L. Clendennen, DrPH^{1,0}, Suchitra Krishnan-Sarin PhD⁵, Mary Ann Pentz PhD³

¹School of Public Health at Austin, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Austin, TX; ²University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA; ³Keck School of Medicine,University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA; ⁴Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC; ⁵Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT; ⁶Oklahoma Tobacco Research Center, Stephenson Cancer Center, ⁷Department of Pediatrics, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK

Corresponding Author: Cheryl L. Perry PhD, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, School of Public Health at Austin, 1616 Guadalupe Street, Suite 6.300, Austin, TX 78701, USA. E-mail: Cheryl.L.Perry@uth.tmc.edu

Abstract

The Tobacco Regulatory Science Program is a collaborative research effort between the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). In 2013, the NIH funded 14 Tobacco Centers of Regulatory Science (TCORS), which serve as partners in establishing research, training, and professional development programs to guide FDA. Each of the fourteen TCORS, and two other NIH-funded research programs, the Center for the Evaluation of Nicotine in Cigarettes (CENIC) and the Consortium on Methods Evaluating Tobacco (COMET), pursued specific research themes relevant to FDA's priorities. A key mandate for FDA is to reduce tobacco use among young people. This article is a review of the peer-reviewed research, including published and in-press manuscripts, from the TCORS, CENIC, and COMET, which provides specific data or other findings on youth (ages 10-18 years) and/or young adults (ages 18-34 years), from 2013 to 2018. Citations of all TCORS, CENIC, and COMET articles from September 2013 to December 2017 were collected by the TCORS coordinating center, the Center for Evaluation and Coordination of Training and Research. Additional citations up to April 30, 2018 were requested from the principal investigators. A scoring rubric was developed and implemented to assess study type, primary theme, and FDA priority area addressed by each article. The major subareas and findings from each priority area are presented. There were 766 articles in total, with 258 (34%) focusing on youth and/or young adults. Findings relevant to FDA from this review concern impact analysis, toxicity, health effects, addiction, marketing influences, communications, and behavior.

Implications: The Tobacco Centers of Regulatory Science, CENIC, and COMET have had a high output of scientific articles since 2013. These Centers are unique in that the FDA supports science specifically to guide future regulatory actions. The 258 articles that have focused on youth and/or young adults are providing data for regulatory actions by the FDA related to the key priority areas

such as the addictiveness of non-cigarette products, the effects of exposure to electronic cigarette marketing on initiation and cessation, and the impact of flavored products on youth and young adult tobacco use. Future regulations to reduce tobacco use will be guided by the cumulative evidence. These Centers are one innovative mechanism to promote important outcomes to advance tobacco regulatory science.

Introduction

There is strong evidence that preventing the onset of tobacco use is the most effective approach to reducing the long-term population-wide prevalence of use. The 1994 and 2012 Surgeon General's Reports both noted that nearly all cigarette smoking (99%) begins by age 25 years. Thus, if youth and young adults can remain non-users, they are not likely to initiate use and become addicted to smoking. These conclusions come from ongoing national epidemiological data.^{1,2} However, the same conclusions were found in the tobacco industry's own documents: "Today's teenager is tomorrow's potential regular customer, and the overwhelming majority of smokers first begin to smoke while in their teens."^{3,4}

A key mandate of the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act (TCA) of 2009 is to reduce youth tobacco use.⁵ The TCA gave the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) the authority to regulate the manufacturing, distribution, and marketing of tobacco products. These products initially included cigarettes, smokeless tobacco products, and roll-your-own tobacco, but the FDA's authority was expanded to all tobacco products, including cigars, hookah/water pipe tobacco, and electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes), via deeming in 2016.

The Tobacco Regulatory Science Program was established as a collaborative research effort between the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and the FDA. The intent of Tobacco Regulatory Science Program is to foster cutting-edge research through the Institutes and Centers at NIH; this research is intended to be timely and relevant to support the FDA's regulatory authority and mandate over time.⁶ In 2012, the NIH issued a call for proposals for Tobacco Centers of Regulatory Science (TCORS), which would serve as partners in establishing research, training, and professional development programs that would provide important data and trained professionals to help guide FDA. In 2016, the original FDA priorities were subsumed under seven primary priority research areas: impact analysis, toxicity, health effects, addiction, marketing influences, communications, and behavior. In 2013, 14 TCORS were funded via the NIH-FDA collaboration to establish centers primarily focusing on FDA research priorities. These TCORS were funded from 2013 to 2018 (TCORS 1.0).

Each of the fourteen TCORS, and two other NIH-funded research programs, the Center for the Evaluation of Nicotine in Cigarettes (CENIC) and the Consortium on Methods Evaluating Tobacco (COMET), pursued specific research themes relevant to the FDA. Despite differences in overall themes of each Center, all produced some research important to the understanding of youth and young adult tobacco use and the seven key priority areas noted earlier. This article is a review of that research, including published and in-press manuscripts, which provides specific data or other findings on youth (ages 10–18 years) and/or young adults (ages 18–34 years), from 2013 to 2018. This review provides substantive insights into the current research originating from the TCORS program, CENIC, and COMET, relevant to these age groups that should be of importance to the FDA's regulation of tobacco products that may affect young people.

Methods

Citations of all TCORS, CENIC, and COMET (referred to as "Centers") peer-reviewed (accepted or in-press) articles from September 1, 2013 to December 31, 2017 were collected by the TCORS coordinating center, the Center for Evaluation and Coordination of Training and Research. These articles were organized on a spreadsheet by Center. However, some articles included authors from two or more Centers, so these overlapping articles were counted as one article in the review. We then wrote to the principal investigators of each of the 16 Centers in May 2018 to obtain any additional articles from their Center that were published or in press up to April 30, 2018. We asked for articles relevant to youth and/ or young adult tobacco use for these additional articles. We allowed the principal investigators until July 2018 to respond to this request and received responses from the Centers. A total of 766 articles were collected after duplicates were removed. Abstracts and copies of all articles were obtained for review and were made available to the writing team.

A scoring rubric was developed to determine eligibility and study attributes for the current review article, and each coauthor coded articles from at least two of the sixteen Centers. The rubric considered whether each article was peer-reviewed, focused on youth and/or young adults, data source, type of study, primary theme, and FDA priority area addressed. Note that the articles in this review needed to focus on data specifically relevant to youth (10–18 years) or young adults (18–34 years). If a larger age range, such as adulthood, was considered, then data had to be presented separately for one/both of these age groups. In addition, if the article focused on young adults, the age range had to include those <25, as our preference was to consider the younger side of the young adult spectrum.

The rubric that was developed included providing yes/no answers to each of the following questions for each article. The first four questions determined eligibility for our review. Eligible articles were required to be peer-reviewed and focus on, or be relevant to, youth and/or young adult tobacco use. The other questions provided information on study attributes.

- 1. Is the article peer-reviewed?
- 2. Does the article focus on/or separately analyze data on youth (eg, ages 10–18)?
- 3. Does the article focus on and/or separately analyze data on young adults (eg, ages 18–34)?
- 4. Is the article specifically relevant to youth or young adult tobacco use (eg, marketing and communication)?
- Is the data source partially attributed to a Center generated study (eg, main study and pilot study)?
- 6. Is the data source from a national data set (eg, on-going youth surveillance, such as Monitoring the Future)?
- Is the study type (yes/no for each): (1) qualitative, (2) cross-sectional, (3) longitudinal, (4) experimental, (5) observational, (6) systematic review or meta-analyses, (7) other?
- Does the primary theme include (yes/no for each): (1) prevalence of use, (2) trajectories of use, (3) transitions in use, (4) specific

tobacco product use, (5) dual/poly use, (6) psychosocial risk factors, (7) marketing-related factors, (8) social media factors, (9) communication or communicating risks, (10) sociodemographic factors, (11) toxicity, (12) health effects, (13) addiction, (14) other?

9. Is FDA priority research area addressed directly (yes/no for each):
(1) impact analysis, (2) toxicity, (3) health effects, (4) addiction,
(5) marketing influences, (6) communications, (7) behavior?

After initial coding, the articles were recoded by another investigator or graduate student to ensure reliable coding. There were 258 youth and young adult peer-reviewed articles (after removing duplicates). Of all 258 articles, 141 primarily focused on youth, 128 primarily focused on young adults, and 156 additional articles were relevant to youth or young adult tobacco use. Articles within each priority research area were further reviewed for subareas (as discussed next within each area), as this division of the articles by priority areas was considered by the group to be most important for the FDA's current regulatory needs. Each member of the writing team reviewed the articles from one of the seven research priority areas. The primary foci and outcomes of these articles by priority research area are summarized next. Articles for each priority area are not mutually exclusive-some articles could be in more than one priority area. Group review of each priority area, subareas, and potential overlap of priority areas was done via group conference calls and e-mail.

Results

Of the 258 articles relevant to youth and/or young adults, 132 articles came from Center-generated data and 45 from national datasets; additional articles included reviews or analyses of data from other datasets. As seen in Table 1, the majority of articles were cross-sectional (n = 146) or observational (n = 141). Further, most articles examined prevalence of tobacco use (n = 144) or specific tobacco product use (n = 177). There were at least 18 articles addressing each of the FDA priority areas: impact analysis (n = 18),⁷⁻²⁴ toxicity (n = 22), ^{17,25-45} health effects (n = 33), ^{25-27,32-40,43,45-64} addiction (n = 45), 10,15,22,25,27,32-34,36-39,41,45,48,50,54-56,58,60,64-87 marketing influences (n = 53), $^{8,9,15,17,20,21,25,29,36,39,45,48,53,55,63,64,71-73,86,88-120}$ communications (n = 62), 7-9, 14, 18, 19, 25, 29-34, 36-38, 49-51, 54, 55, 64, 69, 71-73, 92, 95, 97, 99, 102-107, 115, 116, 120-143 and behavior (n = 206).^{7-12,14-22,24,25,27-40,43,45,48-51,53-65,68-81,85,87,91,93-} 99,101–108,110,111,113,114,117–121,123,124,127,130,131,133–135,138–140,143–171,173–243 These are further discussed next. Each section describes articles within predominant subareas, with two or more articles supporting that subarea, within each priority area. The major findings from each priority area are shown in Table 2.

Impact Analysis

Eighteen articles focused on impact analyses.⁷⁻²⁴ Several studies examined the impacts of anti-smoking campaigns, including the FDA's "The Real Cost" campaign, on smoking-related beliefs and how to improve campaign effectiveness. For adolescents aged 13–17 years, one article demonstrated that those who recalled specific ads in the campaign subsequently endorsed the beliefs presented in those specific ads. The beliefs included smoking's impact on teeth, wrinkles, and loss of control, suggesting how the "The Real Cost" campaign was effective.¹⁴ Another study tested the ability of health messages to reduce the social acceptability of peer smoking on YouTube and shows that exposure to such videos with a message about the mortality risk to those smokers increased beliefs about
 Table 1. Primary Classification of Youth and Young Adult Studies

 From TCORS 1.0, CENIC and COMET (September 2013–April 2018),

 by Study Type, Primary Theme, and FDA Priority^a

Classification	No. of studies $(n = 258)$
Study type	
Systematic Review or Meta-Analysis	15
Longitudinal	46
Experimental	46
Qualitative	79
Observational	141
Primary themes included	
Social media	18
Toxicity	22
Health effects	34
Other	34
Addiction	39
Transitions in use	42
Marketing	59
Trajectories of use	60
Dual/poly use	63
Communications	73
Psychosocial risk factors	89
Sociodemographic factors	124
Prevalence of tobacco use	144
Specific tobacco product use	177
FDA priorities directly addressed	
Impact analysis	18
Toxicity	22
Health effects	33
Addiction	45
Marketing influences	53
Communications	62
Behavior	206

CENIC = Center for the Evaluation of Nicotine in Cigarettes; COMET = Consortium on Methods Evaluating Tobacco; FDA = Food and Drug Administration; TCORS = Tobacco Centers of Regulatory Science. ^aClassifications of articles in each category are not mutually exclusive.

smoking's adverse health outcomes and negative attitudes toward smoking by adolescents.¹⁸

Six studies analyzed the effects of existing and potential regulations on youth tobacco use.^{12,13,16,19-21} For example, one study¹² examined the consequences of the 2009 flavored cigarette ban among youth, showing reductions in smoking prevalence and cigarette consumption among youth. However, the ban was also positively associated with increased use of menthol cigarettes, cigars, and pipes among adolescents. Two additional studies^{20,21} assessed the associations between point-of-sale ad bans and youth smoking and found that those bans were associated with lower odds of ever smoking, smoking prevalence, and daily smoking among youth.

National Youth Tobacco Survey¹³ analyses found that e-cigarette minimum legal sale age laws were associated with lowered cigarette smoking among adolescents, but this association became nonsignificant after adjusting for covariates. In addition, state e-cigarette minimum legal sale age laws did not affect youth cigarette smoking. With regard to school policies, students attending schools that had an e-cigarette policy, compared to those who attended schools that did not, have lower odds of ever e-cigarette use, susceptibility to use e-cigarettes, and perceived peer use of e-cigarettes.¹⁶ A discrete choice experiment¹⁹ examined the effects of e-cigarette attributes, including warning messages on youth preference on

Table 2. Main Findings on Youth and Young Adults from TCORS 1.0, CENIC, and COMET (September 2013–April 2018) by FDA Priority Area

Impact analysis

- Anti-smoking campaigns are associated with the endorsement of campaign-targeted beliefs, reduced social acceptability of peer smoking, and negative attitudes toward smoking.
- · Bans on flavored cigarettes (other than menthol) and point-of-sale cigarette advertising are associated with decreased cigarette smoking.
- Electronic cigarette (e-cigarette) policies regulating characterizing flavors, warning messages, minimum age sales laws, and school-level e-cigarette
 policies are associated with decreased e-cigarette use.

Toxicity

- Common user behaviors can alter toxicant exposures (eg, product modification and using in groups).
- Youth and young adults are often uncertain or hold misperceptions regarding tobacco product constituents—a knowledge gap communication campaigns should address.
- Most tobacco constituents are perceived unfavorably; communication about specific toxicants could discourage tobacco use.

Health effects

- · Long-term health effects of new and emerging products are relatively unknown for adolescent and young adult users.
- Mental health disorders and chronic bronchitis symptoms were more prevalent among e-cigarette users.
- Adolescents desire to understand what the constituents are in products and the health effects of individual constituents; yet most adolescents believe tobacco products, including e-cigarettes, to be harmful.

Addiction

- · Product characteristics play an important role in initiation of, and addiction to, combustible and non-combustible tobacco products.
- Flavored tobacco products increase appeal and decrease perceptions of harm and addictiveness.
- Use of non-cigarette tobacco products is associated with nicotine dependence.

Marketing influences

- · Adolescents' recall of e-cigarette and cigar ads, at the point of sale or online, is associated with increased risk of subsequent tobacco product use.
- Young adults who recall e-cigarette marketing displays at the point-of-sale report lower odds of cigarette smoking cessation six months later.
- Youth/young adults who view e-cigarette ads have positive beliefs about e-cigarettes, and lower perceived risk of combustible cigarettes.

Communication

- Tobacco product warnings that are graphic (vs. text), elicit greater negative emotion, and are a larger size, are more likely to be effective with youth. These findings can be used to revise mandated cigarette warnings and strengthen warnings for non-cigarette tobacco products.
- Promising themes for health communications directed at youth and young adults include those focused on expression of independence, acute and cosmetic health effects, social stigma, industry practices, and constituent-based messages.

Behavior

- E-cigarette use leads to future cigarette use.
- Youth and young adults disproportionately use flavored products and find flavors appealing; these data support a ban on all characterizing flavors in tobacco products.
- Online and retail environments are key points of access for new and emerging products for youth; these studies support the Food and Drug Administration's Youth Prevention Plan to target retailers illegally selling products to minors.

e-cigarettes and found that warning messages reduce the probability of choosing e-cigarettes among youth never users of e-cigarettes.

Further, although these articles, published by the Centers, evaluate the associations of existing or potential regulatory policies with youth tobacco use behaviors, none of the articles address the cost-benefit analysis or the regulatory impact analysis requested by the executive orders.²⁴⁴ In summary, the literature on the policy impacts on tobacco use behaviors among youth and young adults is sparse and how tobacco use behaviors among this population should be factored into the regulatory impact analysis needs further investigation.

Tobacco Product Toxicity

Of the 22 identified articles,^{17,25-45} 17 specifically discussed toxicity concerns regarding rising youth and young adult use of new and emerging tobacco products, including e-cigarettes⁴⁵ and hookah/water pipe.⁴³ The primary subareas that are summarized for this review were exposure to harmful substances (n = 3),^{41,42,44} cross-sectional surveys on toxicity perceptions (n = 3),²⁹⁻³¹ and focus groups on tobacco constituents (n = 2).^{32,38}

Few studies (n = 3) assessed biomarkers of exposure to harmful or potentially harmful constituents in samples restricted to young adults, although two studies connected the manner of use to toxicant exposures. Blank *et al.*⁴¹ assessed the impact of "hyping" a Black & Mild cigarillo (removing the inner tobacco binder) among 20 predominantly male, non-Hispanic black young adults. The authors reported no difference in nicotine intake, topography, or subjective effects but found lower CO exposure under the hyping condition.⁴¹ Ramôa *et al.*⁴² observed young adults under assigned sessions of hookah/water pipe smoking alone or in pairs, finding that as a dyad, individuals took more puffs but had lower expired CO concentrations, whereas the smoke produced contained higher concentrations of butyraldehyde, anthracene, tar, and other toxicants. Elsewhere, plasma menthol glucuronide showed promise as a biomarker of acute inhaled menthol exposure.⁴⁴

In addition to toxicant exposures, Center investigators measured toxicity-related perceptions, aiming to inform communication about tobacco constituents. In focus groups, adolescents and young adults expressed lack of awareness but desire to know more about constituents in novel tobacco products, as well as health concerns regarding both familiar (eg, arsenic) and less familiar (eg, *N*-nitrosonornicotine) chemicals.³⁸ For novel products, such as hookah/water pipe tobacco, perceived lower health risks were related to being "pure" and with fewer additives relative to cigarettes.³² In a national telephone survey of adolescents, nicotine was the most familiar chemical in cigarette smoke, but formaldehyde, ammonia, and lead were the constituents viewed as most likely to discourage smoking.³¹ Nearly as many adolescents believed manufacturers add most constituents in cigarette smoke as correctly believed that most constituents derive from

combustion.³¹ In another national telephone survey, young adults were more likely than older adults to seek information about cigarette or cigarette smoke constituents, although only 37% reported that they had.³⁰ Pooling adolescents and young adults from both surveys, constituents in cigarillo and hookah/water pipe tobacco smoke were found to be more "worrisome" than cosmetic effects (eg, wrinkles), particularly among tobacco users.²⁹

In summary, few publications have focused on tobacco product toxicity specifically among youth or young adults, representing a research gap for future investigations. Youth and young adults generally perceive specific tobacco product and smoke constituents unfavorably, which could serve as a point of emphasis in tobacco prevention communication.

Health Effects

Ten articles directly discussed health effects in the context of youth and young adults.^{32,35,38,40,49,53,55,56,59,60} Given the young age range of participants in the reviewed studies, no studies examined long-term health and disease consequences of tobacco product use. In addition, none of the studies compared health effects between adolescents and young adults. Subsequently, the Centers' research on health effects of tobacco product use included studies on adolescents and/or young adults, focused on one or more of the following: (1) immediate and short-term physiological and mental health consequences of use $(n = 3)^{40,59,60}$; (2) perceptions and beliefs about health effects of use $(n = 5)^{32,38,33,55,56}$; and/or (3) discussion about implications of study findings for health effects $(n = 2)^{.35,49}$

Studies examining physiological or psychological consequences were all in adolescent populations. Mental health problems of depression, panic disorders, and internalizing behavior were more prevalent among e-cigarette users compared to conventional cigarette users.^{59,60} One article revealed that adolescent e-cigarette users had increased rates of chronic bronchitis symptoms, but more investigation is needed to determine long-term effects.⁴⁰ These studies provide important information on potential short-term health effects, particularly focusing on adolescents.

Among the five articles specifically examining perceptions or beliefs about health effects, all included an adolescent sample and three included young adults. The research methods regarding perceptions were not consistent between studies. Three of the articles used qualitative data. Wiseman et al.38 focused on perceptions and knowledge of constituents in e-cigarettes among youth and young adults; participants wanted to know more about health effects of individual constituents. Wagoner et al.55 examined e-cigarette use and perceptions in youth and young adults. E-cigarettes were perceived as having fewer risks than cigarettes, and specifically that vapor is not at all harmful. Not knowing what is in e-cigarettes was concerning to participants. Cornacchione et al.32 examined perceptions of cigar products and hookah/water pipe tobacco in youth and young adults. All participants brought up health effects regarding hookah/water pipe tobacco and cigar products. Because these products are used infrequently, long-term health effects were not a big concern for participants. The remaining articles used quantitative data, one in an adolescent sample in California⁵⁶ and one in an adolescent North Carolina sample.53 Barrington-Trimis et al.56 found that most students believed both cigarettes and e-cigarettes are harmful to one's health. Among e-cigarette users, nearly 50% believed they were not harmful. In Kowitt et al.53 90% of all students believed breathing someone else's smoke was harmful and 86% agreed or strongly agreed that all tobacco products were harmful. Greater use by a participant was associated with disagreeing that tobacco products are harmful, including secondhand smoke.

The remaining two articles included adult participants.^{35,49} Mendel *et al.*³⁵ examined if adults switch brands to reduce health risks. Older adults were more likely to have switched brands to reduce health risks than young adults. Participants reported switching after learning about specific constituents in one brand. Francis *et al.*⁴⁹ used an experimental design to determine believability of messages in new diseases linked to cigarette smoking in the 2014 Surgeon General's Report. Approximately 56% of young adults found messages about new diseases associated with smoking to be very believable. There were no differences between older and young adults in believability of messages.

Addiction

The Centers' research on addiction included 45 articles, with specific foci including perceptions and correlates of nicotine addiction/ dependence, and the potential to become addicted as a theme in tobacco prevention. Other related topics are also discussed next.

Four qualitative studies^{11,32,38,55} and two quantitative studies^{77,79} examined perceptions of nicotine addiction in cigarettes, e-cigarettes, hookah/water pipe tobacco, and little cigars/cigarillos. Study findings suggested that tobacco users and nonusers were aware that nicotine was addictive.^{11,32,38} Nonusers, relative to users, were more likely to report addiction as a negative attribute.^{32,38,55,77,79} Youth also perceived that non-cigarette tobacco products were less addictive than cigarettes.^{10,32,79} Studies that specifically focused on perceptions of addiction in e-cigarettes found that (1) perceptions of low nicotine addiction were positively associated with e-cigarette initiation and current use⁷⁷; (2) different e-cigarette devices were associated with different perceived levels of nicotine dependence⁵⁵; and (3) perceptions of low addiction of flavored e-cigarettes were associated with e-cigarette use.⁷⁷

Five quantitative studies,^{76,80,81,245,246} one ecological momentary assessment study,⁷⁵ and one qualitative study⁸⁴ examined correlates of nicotine dependence. Nicotine dependence was measured for cigarettes, e-cigarettes, and hookah/water pipe using modified versions or select items of various nicotine dependence measures (eg, Hooked on Nicotine Checklist).²⁴⁷ The findings showed that greater nicotine dependence was associated with greater tobacco use,¹⁴⁴ difficulties in quitting,⁷⁶ multiple tobacco products use^{76,80} including use of marijuana,²⁴⁶ and greater likelihood of belittling health warning labels.⁵⁴

Two studies using telephone surveys examined reactions to addiction themes used in tobacco prevention. One study found that adolescents were more likely to recall ads in "The Real Cost" campaigns that emphasized themes of physical appearance than loss of control because of addiction.⁷² Another study that examined believability of various themes used in cigarette health warning showed that adolescents believed that cigarettes were addictive and that nicotine was an addictive chemical.³⁴ However, adolescents did not find believable the warnings that menthol cigarettes were more addictive than non-menthol cigarettes.

Three additional themes related to addiction included (1) nicotine dependence being a reason for tobacco use,¹⁵ (2) young adults having reduced smoking satisfaction and psychological reward from low-nicotine-content cigarettes,⁶⁵ and (3) activation of neural cue reactivity in response to sweet/fruit-flavored e-cigarette advertisements.⁸⁶ One study on cessation observed that e-cigarette use was associated with poor smoking cessation outcomes.¹⁷³

Marketing Influences

The Centers' research publications on tobacco product marketing included 53 articles that primarily focused on: (1) descriptions of

marketing techniques that attract youth and young adults, (2) associations between tobacco marketing exposure and tobacco use, and (3) associations between tobacco marketing bans and tobacco use.

Content analyses of tobacco product marketing messages have identified features that could appeal to youth/young adults. These include cartoons, animation, references to video games, product placement in music videos, and themes of happiness, friendship, sex, and success.^{100,103,248} Youth/young adults have self-reported that they prefer descriptions of flavors, price promotions, branding, and marketing claims such as "natural," for cigars¹²⁰; attractive packaging, time-limited promotional offers, and social acceptance and entertainment themes for smokeless tobacco products^{88,91}; and sex appeal themes for e-cigarettes.⁸⁸ An experimental *functional magnetic resonance imaging* study⁸⁶ found that sweet/fruit-flavored e-cigarette ads produced more nucleus accumbens activity, a brain biomarker of product preference, among youth/young adults than tobacco-flavored e-cigarette ads.

Center studies examined associations between tobacco marketing exposure and tobacco use among youth/young adults, using cross-sectional, longitudinal, and experimental designs. In cross-sectional analyses of the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health Wave 1 survey,¹¹³ the 2014 National Youth Tobacco Survey,⁶³ a sample of North Carolina high school students,⁹⁷ and a sample of college students,¹¹⁹ self-reported recall of tobacco marketing exposure was associated with tobacco product use. Longitudinal studies of adolescents^{114,118} found that self-reported recall of e-cigarette and cigar ads at the point of sale or online was associated with increased risk of tobacco product use 6 months later. Young adults who recalled e-cigarette marketing displays at the point of sale reported lower odds of cigarette smoking cessation 6 months later.¹¹¹

To prevent recall bias inherent in retrospective self-reports of tobacco marketing exposure, studies have used ecological momentary assessment methods to measure participants' exposure to tobacco marketing several times per day. An ecological momentary assessment study of young adults¹⁰⁹ found that exposure to e-cigarette or hookah/water pipe marketing messages produced favorable attitudes toward the products and intentions to use the products. Another ecological momentary assessment study⁸⁹ found that participants who used tobacco products more frequently reported more frequent exposure to tobacco ads and reported more positive attitudes toward tobacco ads, relative to less frequent users.

Experimental laboratory studies have examined associations between tobacco product marketing exposure and tobacco-related attitudes and beliefs. Youth/young adults who were randomly assigned to view e-cigarette ads exhibited more positive beliefs about e-cigarettes and tendency to choose e-cigarettes in a product choice task,¹⁷ as well as lower perceived risk of combustible cigarettes,⁹² compared with youth/young adults who viewed control ads.

The Centers' studies have examined associations between tobacco marketing bans and tobacco product use. Analyses of adolescent survey data from 130 countries in the Global Youth Tobacco Survey found that adolescent cigarette smoking was less prevalent in countries with point-of-sale tobacco advertising bans.²⁰ This association was similar among boys and girls and persisted after controlling for numerous individual-level covariates.²¹

Communication

The 62 Center research articles on communication about tobacco products have largely focused on three areas: (1) tobacco product

warnings, (2) campaign evaluation, and (3) promising themes for health communication.

The Centers' researchers published ten studies^{19,33,34,37,54,110,126,132,141,249} on the impact of tobacco product warnings on adolescents and young adults, including eight experiments, one qualitative study, onez in-person survey, and one longitudinal study. Results from these studies identified several features that can increase warning effective-ness among adolescents and young adults, including graphic versus text,^{37,126,249} warnings that elicit more negative emotion,^{37,126,249} and larger warning size.^{126,132}

Several studies investigated the impact of warning content for various products. For example, one study of adolescents found that a cigar warning focused on lung cancer and heart disease was more believable than those focused on mouth and throat cancer or cigars not being a safe alternative to cigarettes.³³ Similarly, adolescents found cigarette warnings focused on addiction and nicotine to be more believable than a warning focused on the relative risk of menthol cigarettes (compared to non-menthol cigarettes).³⁴ In neither of these studies, did source of the warning (FDA, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Surgeon General) have an impact on believability. A discrete choice experiment found that the inclusion of a text warning decreased the likelihood that a never user would choose an e-cigarette product, suggesting warnings could deter uptake.¹⁵ Finally, a qualitative study of text warnings for hookah/water pipe found that factual warnings about health consequences were preferred over warnings that used sensationalistic wording ("Smoking hookah can kill you.").¹¹⁰ In a longitudinal study conducted in four countries, disparagement, in the form of mocking, was positively associated with quit attempts and younger adults were more likely to disparage warnings than older adults.⁵⁴

One study also assessed other features of tobacco product warnings, including format. Mays *et al.*¹⁴¹ conducted an online experiment of the FDA's nicotine warning for e-cigarettes on advertisements testing the impact of warning background and warning size. Exposure to warnings on a red background (vs. white) resulted in greater attention and those who reported greater attention had greater recall but lower perceived relative addictiveness (compared to cigarettes). In this study, warning attention and recall did not differ by warning size. These studies highlight the importance of considering several features when designing warnings including format (text vs. pictorial), size, content, and important design features.

Two studies evaluated the impact of the FDA's *The Real Cost* campaign on adolescents.^{14,72} Exposure to the campaign was high (88% reported seeing or hearing one of the four tested campaign ads). Ad recall was associated with greater perceptions of serious cigarette smoking health consequences.⁷² A second study found that ad recall was associated with ad-targeted beliefs. In addition, adolescents who endorsed campaign beliefs were less likely to intend to smoke.¹⁴

Twelve studies addressed promising themes for health communication about tobacco product use.^{7,8,18,29,31,32,38,128,129,139,143} Several studies noted that there is a significant gap in awareness among youth and young adults of the health harms associated with the use of and the constituents present in the smoke or aerosol of non-cigarette tobacco products.^{8,32,38,139} In particular, studies noted that long-term health consequences were seen as unlikely because of infrequent use of products such as little cigars/cigarillos and hookah/water pipe tobacco.³² Other studies found that youth and young adults had generally positive attitudes towards non-cigarette tobacco products.^{8,32}

Across the studies that tested promising themes, several consistent themes emerged. Promising themes included those focused on expression of independence,⁷ acute and cosmetic health effects,^{32,139} social stigma,^{7,8} industry targeting practices,¹²⁹ and constituent-based messages.^{29,31,38,128} One study compared loss versus gain-framed messages and generally found loss-framed messages were pre-ferred for health risk themes, addiction, and smoker labeling; how-ever, gain-framed messages were preferred for financial themes.¹⁴³ Although not directly assessing a specific theme, one study found that a health message about smoking risks paired with YouTube videos of youth smoking could be an effective way to counteract peer modeling of smoking.¹⁸

Behavior

Youth and young adult research primarily related to the priority topic of tobacco use behavior included more articles than the other priority areas (n = 206), and thus was a key focus of the Centers' research. The articles varied by tobacco product, study design, and population.

However. articles could be grouped under five main subareas: prevalence and trajectories of use (n = 41), 10,53,58,61,75,97,108,130,138,148,149,158,162,169,170,173,181-183,186,188,196,200,202,200 and/or young adults (n = 6), ^{12,20-22,123,175} intrapersonal factors (n = 53), 8,11,15,28,31,32,36,38,55,56,59,60,62,74,77-79,94,98,102,146,151,155,157,159,163,164-, 174, 177, 178, 180, 187, 192-195, 197, 199, 201, 204, 209, 211, 214, 217, 218, 223, 226, 229, 230, 232, 235, 254, 255interpersonal factors (n = 14), ^{19,87,91,132,147,152,161,176,185,189,191,198,205,239} and social–environmental factors (n = 10).^{93,119,121,133,134,150,156,206,212,236}

Articles related to prevalence and trajectories of use included subcategories that examined multiple products (n = 9), ^{53,108,158,169,188}, ^{202,203,216,241} e-cigarettes (n = 16), ^{10,58,61,75,148,162,181-183,186,210,221,231,238,242,251} cigarettes (n = 5), ^{138,149,170,173,250} and correlates of use (n = 11).^{97,130,19} ^{6,200,208,220,224,225,243,252,253} Two of the articles^{108,241} examining multiple products used latent class analyses extending prior literature by including new products, such as e-cigarettes and hookah/water pipe, in addition to other substances. These latent class analyses studies are important in identifying groups of people with similar patterns of behavior. Other articles in this subcategory examined trends in poly-use, associations of tobacco with other substances, and patterns of poly-use and progression to other products. Articles primarily researching cigarettes described trajectories of use, patterns of use, and secondhand smoke exposure.

E-cigarette articles included modeling/trajectory articles and descriptive articles. Eight articles^{58,61,182,183,186,210,231,251} used longitudinal data to determine if e-cigarette use predicted cigarette or other tobacco product use, including one article¹⁸⁶ that showed higher e-cigarette nicotine levels were associated with increased cigarette smoking frequency and intensity. All articles indicated that e-cigarette use predicated future cigarette or combustible product use.

Two articles^{162,183} showed that e-cigarette use occurred in youth who would not have initiated the use of other tobacco products. Many articles described e-cigarette use, including device type, nicotine levels, and specific behaviors (eg, dripping). Eleven articles assessed correlates of use, many of which have been researched thoroughly for cigarettes, yet most of this literature extended the scientific base to include new and emerging products.^{97,130,196,200,208,220,224,225,243,252,253} These articles have been particularly important for regulatory efforts as they clearly demonstrate the co-occurrence of all tobacco products, their use with other substances, and the progression of e-cigarette use to combustible tobacco product use, particularly cigarettes.

Among the six articles that were related to policies, two examined raising legal purchasing age to 21,^{123,175} two used global data to

assess potential advertising bans and point of sale;^{20,21} one examined how the flavored cigarette ban influenced adolescent tobacco use,¹² and one used national data to determine how medical marijuana legalization could affect cigarette use.²² All articles examined effects of these policies among youth, not young adults. Of these policies, the FDA has direct authority to ban flavors and to implement regulations around marketing. These articles provide evidence about how these regulations may influence behavior, particularly the positive impact on tobacco use by raising the legal purchasing age to 21 and reducing point-of-sale access through monitoring and enforcement.

Fifty-three articles were categorized as examining intrapersonal factors related to youth and young adult tobacco use. Overall, 23 articles focused on adolescents, 22 focused on young adults, and 8 had both youth and young adult samples in their studies. Articles were further subcategorized: weight control (n = 2), ^{155,204} susceptibility (n = 3),^{74,157,229} reasons to use (n = 4),^{15,209,230,235} mental health (n = 7),⁵⁹ $_{,60,187,174,192,193,223}$ sensation seeking and impulsivity (n = 3), 194,195,232 subjective experiences (n = 3), ^{177,211,217} life transition (n = 2), ^{178,180} attitudes/ beliefs (n = 5), ^{146,163,199,226,254} and perceptions (n = 21).^{8,11,28,32,36,38,55,62,77-} 79,94,98,151,159,164,197,201,214,218,255 Of the 21 perception articles, 12 articles focused on harm perceptions or perceptions of risk. 11,32,77,78,94,98,159,164,19 ^{7,201,218} The majority of the articles found that new and emerging products were perceived as less harmful and were associated with fewer risks. Only one article used longitudinal data to examine changes in harm perceptions and the association with future use.78 The remaining nine were product specific: hookah/water pipe (n = 2), ^{151,255} e-cigarettes (n = 4), 8,28,55,62 cigarettes (n = 2), 36,214 new and emerging products (n = 1), examining benefits and harm perceptions.³⁸ Targeted and tailored interventions, including communication campaigns, have been shown to be key in reducing tobacco product use and initiation among youth and young adults.²⁵⁶ These studies provide specific factors on which these interventions can be built; for example, understanding the reasons that young people use specific products and perceptions of these products can inform FDA how to tailor their communication campaigns to youth and young adult populations.

Of the fourteen articles related to interpersonal factors, eight focused on flavors, ^{19,87,91,147,176,185,205,239} five on social networks, ^{152,161,189,191,198} and one on cigarette warning labels. ¹³² Articles related to flavors mostly focused on e-cigarettes (n = 7). ^{19,87,147,176,185,205,239} The articles on flavors indicate that youth and young adults find flavors of tobacco products appealing, including e-cigarettes and smokeless tobacco. Further, youth and young adults disproportionally use flavored e-cigarettes. Together, these articles add to the scientific base to support the FDA's proposed regulations to ban flavors in all tobacco products. Regarding social networks, all of the articles found that peer use is associated with tobacco product use in adolescence and young adulthood.

The remaining articles (n = 10) related to social–environmental factors including access (n = 4),^{93,150,212,236} specific opinions/views (n = 3),^{121,133,156} and social media (n = 3).^{119,134,206} Only one article looked at these factors in young adults.¹¹⁹ It is within the purview of the FDA to limit where tobacco products are sold and how they are advertised. These articles indicate that youth are likely to obtain tobacco products, particularly new and emerging products, from online and retail environments and that social media provides unique exposure to tobacco advertising and promotional activities for young people.

Discussion

Given the short time period of this review (Fall 2013–Spring 2018), the TCORS, CENIC, and COMET investigators published a notable number of peer-reviewed publications relevant to the priority areas of the FDA, with 258 articles relevant to youth and young adult tobacco use, the cornerstone of the FDA's mandate from the TCA. These articles will provide and have provided important guidance for the regulatory efforts of the FDA.

The TCORS mechanism is unique in that it represents the firstever attempt of the FDA on this scale to support external scientists to inform its regulatory decisions. When the FDA was granted authority to regulate tobacco products in the United States in 2009, the agency was forced to set aside its traditional "safe and effective" standard, used for the regulation of food and medicine, and create an entirely new paradigm for tobacco regulation.²⁵⁷ Tobacco regulatory science and the TCORS Centers were developed under an ambitious and innovative strategy to carry out this new charge for the FDA. Thus, although ultimately the Centers' work will be considered with other research, it is instructive to review what this unique mechanism has been able to accomplish.

Table 2 summarizes the main findings from each of the FDA's priority areas. Each of these findings are derived from two or more publications. Together, the Centers accelerated research that can guide the FDA in its efforts to reduce youth and young adult tobacco use. Several themes emerge from this review. The first involves the importance of perceptions and attitudes about tobacco products. Youth and young adults may have misperceptions or are uncertain about the constituents of tobacco products, and the short- and long-term consequences of use, including addiction. Changing these perceptions and attitudes-through marketing or communication campaignscan increase or decrease the attractiveness of tobacco products for youth and young adults. For example, promising themes for health communication directed at youth and young adults include those focused on the expression of independence, acute and cosmetic health effects, social stigma, and industry targeting practices. Yet, many of these themes also are used in marketing tobacco products, making the products more attractive to young people. Importantly, the use of different tobacco products co-vary, and multiple studies reviewed show that e-cigarette use leads to cigarette use in this population. Fortunately, anti-smoking campaigns have been successful in changing perceptions and attitudes and reducing social acceptability of smoking. It is important that these strategies now be used with other new and emerging tobacco products, particularly e-cigarettes.

The FDA has proposed specific actions to reduce tobacco use, including e-cigarette use, among youth. In November 2018, the FDA Commissioner proposed new limits to flavored tobacco products, including having flavored tobacco products in age-restricted locations, banning cigar product flavors, and restricting marketing aimed at youth.²⁵⁸ In addition, in December 2018, the US Surgeon General issued a public health advisory concerning e-cigarette use as an epidemic among youth.²⁵⁹ These actions were likely bolstered by the scientific evidence not only from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention^{260,261} but also from the publications from the 16 Centers that were cited in this review.

As examples, results from articles reviewed provide support for the proposed banning of flavors based on the findings that youth and young adults find flavors particularly appealing^{91,176,185} and disproportionately use flavored products compared to adults.^{147,239} Further, the FDA Youth Tobacco Prevention Plan²⁶² focuses on preventing access to products, curbing marketing of the products, and educating youth of the dangers of using any tobacco product. Findings from the studies in this review provide key insights into the targets for educational opportunities, for example, correcting misperceptions about specific products or constituents of the products.^{30,31,36,38} In addition, results from articles on marketing and health communications provide important evidence for the FDA to continue with their plan to curb the tobacco industry's marketing aimed at youth.^{14,17,29,32} Finally, articles included in this review all add to the cumulative scientific evidence for future regulatory efforts that may reduce and prevent youth and young adult tobacco use.

This review was limited by the short time frame of TCORS 1.0, the reliance on the principal investigators to report all publications from their Centers, and the overlap within the FDA priorities so that many articles were categorized under more than one priority area. Also, as noted earlier, the Centers' research will be part of the cumulative evidence that is considered by the FDA and does not represent the entirety of articles published on these themes over these 5 years. Still, the importance of these articles at a time of significant growth in e-cigarette use among youth and young adults is noteworthy, as data are already available from these studies to guide the current efforts to reduce e-cigarette use among youth. The dynamics of the tobacco company marketplace suggests that ongoing surveillance and research relevant to these young populations must continue so that the FDA's mandate to reduce tobacco use is expedited and successful.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), and the Food and Drug Administration Center for Tobacco Products (Grant numbers: P50 CA180906 to CP, MC, and SC; P50 CA180905 to MAP and JU; P50DDA036128 to CS; P50 CA0180890 to BC; P50DA036151 to GK and KS, P50CA180907 to ELS. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH or the Food and Drug Administration.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the following individuals for their contribution to this manuscript: Hannah Wright Matthews (University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston), Olivia Mahlmann (University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston), Kiana Rowshan (University of Southern California), Joanna Hill (University of California San Francisco), Janelle Urata (University of California San Francisco), and Elizabeth N. Orlan (University of North Carolina).Declaration of InterestsThe authors have no financial relationships or conflicts of interest relevant to this article to disclose.

References

- US Department of Health and Human Services. Preventing Tobacco Use Among Youth and Young Adults: A Report of the Sugeon General, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, Office on Smoking and Health; 2012.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. Preventing Tobacco Use Among Young People: A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, Office on Smoking and Health; 1994.
- Perry CL. The tobacco industry and underage youth smoking: tobacco industry documents from the Minnesota litigation. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 1999;153(9):935–941.
- Philip Morris (PM) Bates No 1000390803 JMYSP, Trends, Implications and Related Demographic Trends March 31 1981.
- Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act: An Overview. US Food and Drug Administration Web site. https://www.fda.gov/ tobaccoproducts/guidancecomplianceregulatoryinformation/ucm246129. htm. Updated January 17, 2018. Accessed October 8, 2018.

- 6. About the Tobacco Regulatory Science Program (TRSP). National Institutes of Health Web site. https://www.prevention.nih.gov/tobaccoregulatory-science-program/about-tobacco-regulatory-science-programtrsp. Accessed October 8, 2018.
- Brennan E, Gibson LA, Kybert-Momjian A, Liu J, Hornik RC. Promising themes for antismoking campaigns targeting youth and young adults. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2017;3(1):29–46.
- Case K, Crook B, Lazard A, Mackert M. Formative research to identify perceptions of e-cigarettes in college students: implications for future health communication campaigns. J Am Coll Health. 2016;64(5):380–389.
- Case KR, Lazard AJ, Mackert MS, Perry CL. Source credibility and e-cigarette attitudes: implications for tobacco communication. *Health Commun.* 2018;33(9):1059–1067.
- Cooper M, Harrell MB, Perry CL. A qualitative approach to understanding real-world electronic cigarette use: implications for measurement and regulation. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2016;13:E07.
- Cooper M, Harrell MB, Perry CL. Comparing young adults to older adults in e-cigarette perceptions and motivations for use: implications for health communication. *Health Educ Res.* 2016;31(4):429–438.
- 12. Courtemanche CJ, Palmer MK, Pesko MF. Influence of the flavored cigarette ban on adolescent tobacco use. *Am J Prev Med*. 2017;52(5):e139–e146.
- Dutra LM, Glantz SA, Arrazola RA, King BA. Impact of e-cigarette minimum legal sale age laws on current cigarette smoking. J Adolesc Health. 2018;62(5):532–538.
- Kranzler EC, Gibson LA, Hornik RC. Recall of "The Real Cost" antismoking campaign is specifically associated with endorsement of campaign-targeted beliefs. J Health Commun. 2017;22(10):818–828.
- Mead EL, Johnson SL, Siddiqui J, Butler J III, Kirchner T, Feldman RH. Beyond blunts: reasons for cigarette and cigar use among African American young adult dual users. *Addict Res Theory*. 2018;26(5):349–360.
- Nicksic NE, Harrell MB, Pérez A, Pasch KE, Perry CL. School policy, administrator perceptions, and student e-cigarette use. *Health Behav Policy Rev.* 2018;5(4):72–82.
- Padon AA, Lochbuehler K, Maloney EK, Cappella JN. A randomized trial of the effect of youth appealing e-cigarette advertising on susceptibility to use E-cigarettes among youth. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2018;20(8):954–961.
- Romer D, Jamieson PE, Jamieson KH, Jones C, Sherr S. Counteracting the influence of peer smoking on YouTube. J Health Commun. 2017;22(4):337–345.
- Shang C, Huang J, Chaloupka FJ, Emery SL. The impact of flavour, device type and warning messages on youth preferences for electronic nicotine delivery systems: evidence from an online discrete choice experiment. *Tob Control.* 2018;27(e2):e152–e159.
- Shang C, Huang J, Cheng KW, Li Q, Chaloupka FJ. Global evidence on the association between POS advertising bans and youth smoking participation. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2016;13(3):306.
- Shang C, Huang J, Li Q, Chaloupka FJ. The association between pointof-sale advertising bans and youth experimental smoking: findings from the Global Youth Tobacco Survey (GYTS). AIMS Public Health. 2015;2(4):832–844.
- Wang JB, Ramo DE, Lisha NE, Cataldo JK. Medical marijuana legalization and cigarette and marijuana co-use in adolescents and adults. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2016;166:32–38.
- Weaver SR, Kim H, Glasser AM, et al. Establishing consensus on survey measures for electronic nicotine and non-nicotine delivery system use: current challenges and considerations for researchers. *Addict Behav.* 2018;79:203–212.
- 24. Zhao T, Luo X, Chu H, Le CT, Epstein LH, Thomas JL. A two-part mixed effects model for cigarette purchase task data. J Exp Anal Behav. 2016;106(3):242–253.
- 25. Bhatnagar A, Whitsel LP, Ribisl KM, et al.; American Heart Association Advocacy Coordinating Committee, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Clinical Cardiology, and Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. Electronic cigarettes: a policy statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2014;130(16):1418–1436.
- Stein JS, Koffarnus MN, Snider SE, Quisenberry AJ, Bickel WK. Identification and management of nonsystematic purchase task data: toward best practice. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2015;23(5):377–386.

- Branstetter SA, Mercincavage M, Muscat JE. Time to first cigarette predicts 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanol (NNAL) in adolescent regular and intermittent smokers, National Health and Nutrition and Examination Survey (NHANES) 2007-10. Addiction. 2014;109(6):1005–1012.
- Kim H, Davis AH, Dohack JL, Clark PI. E-cigarettes use behavior and experience of adults: qualitative research findings to inform E-cigarette use measure development. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2017;19(2):190–196.
- Sutfin EL, Cornacchione Ross J, Lazard AJ, et al. Developing a point-ofsale health communication campaign for cigarillos and waterpipe tobacco. *Health Commun.* 2019;34(3):343–351.
- 30. Boynton MH, Agans RP, Bowling JM, et al. Understanding how perceptions of tobacco constituents and the FDA relate to effective and credible tobacco risk messaging: a national phone survey of U.S. adults, 2014-2015. BMC Public Health. 2016;16:516.
- Brewer NT, Morgan JC, Baig SA, et al. Public understanding of cigarette smoke constituents: three US surveys. *Tob Control*. 2016;26(5):592–599.
- Cornacchione J, Wagoner KG, Wiseman KD, et al. Adolescent and young adult perceptions of hookah and little cigars/cigarillos: Implications for risk messages. J Health Commun. 2016;21(7):818–825.
- Kowitt SD, Jarman K, Ranney LM, Goldstein AO. Believability of cigar warning labels among adolescents. J Adolesc Health. 2017;60(3):299–305.
- 34. Lazard AJ, Kowitt SD, Huang LL, Noar SM, Jarman KL, Goldstein AO. Believability of cigarette warnings about addiction: national experiments of adolescents and adults. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2018;20(7):867–875.
- Mendel JR, Baig SA, Hall MG, et al. Brand switching and toxic chemicals in cigarette smoke: a national study. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(1):e0189928.
- 36. Moracco KE, Morgan JC, Mendel J, et al. "My First Thought was Croutons": perceptions of cigarettes and cigarette smoke constituents among adult smokers and nonsmokers. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2016;18(7):1566–1574.
- Peebles K, Hall MG, Pepper JK, Byron MJ, Noar SM, Brewer NT. Adolescents' responses to pictorial warnings on their parents' cigarette packs. J Adolesc Health. 2016;59(6):635–641.
- Wiseman KD, Cornacchione J, Wagoner KG, et al. Adolescents' and young adults' knowledge and beliefs about constituents in novel tobacco products. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2016;18(7):1581–1587.
- Garcia R, Allem JP, Baezconde-Garbanati L, Unger JB, Sussman S. Employee and customer handling of nicotine-containing e-liquids in vape shops. *Tob Prev Cessation*. 2016;2(suppl):1–10.
- McConnell R, Barrington-Trimis JL, Wang K, et al. Electronic cigarette use and respiratory symptoms in adolescents. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2017;195(8):1043–1049.
- Blank MD, Cobb CO, Eissenberg T, Nasim A. Acute effects of "Hyping" a Black&Mild Cigarillo. Nicotine Tob Res. 2016;18(4):460–469.
- Ramôa CP, Shihadeh A, Salman R, Eissenberg T. Group waterpipe tobacco smoking increases smoke toxicant concentration. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2016;18(5):770–776.
- 43. Soule EK, Lipato T, Eissenberg T. Waterpipe tobacco smoking: a new smoking epidemic among the young? *Curr Pulmonol Rep.* 2015;4(4):163–172.
- 44. Jatlow P, Valentine G, Gueorguieva R, et al. Plasma menthol glucuronide as a biomarker of acute menthol inhalation. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2018;4(1):586–591.
- 45. Kong G, Krishnan-Sarin S. A call to end the epidemic of adolescent E-cigarette use. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2017;174:215–221.
- 46. Joel DL, Denlinger RL, Dermody SS, Hatsukami DK, Benowitz NL, Donny EC. Very low nicotine content cigarettes and potential consequences on cardiovascular disease. *Curr Cardiovasc Risk Rep.* 2012;6(6):534–541.
- 47. Foulds J. Use of electronic cigarettes by adolescents. J Adolesc Health. 2015;57(6):569–570.
- Steinberg MB, Zimmermann MH, Delnevo CD, et al. E-cigarette versus nicotine inhaler: Comparing the perceptions and experiences of inhaled nicotine devices. J Gen Intern Med. 2014;29(11):1444–1450.
- 49. Francis DB, Noar SM, Kowitt SD, Jarman KL, Goldstein AO. Believability of new diseases reported in the 2014 Surgeon General's Report on

smoking: experimental results from a national survey of US adults. *Prev Med*. 2017;99:94–98.

- 50. Hall MG, Peebles K, Bach LE, Noar SM, Ribisl KM, Brewer NT. Social interactions sparked by pictorial warnings on cigarette packs. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2015;12(10):13195–13208.
- Hall MG, Sheeran P, Noar SM, Ribisl KM, Bach LE, Brewer NT. Reactance to health warnings scale: development and validation. *Ann Behav Med.* 2016;50(5):736–750.
- Huang LL, Thrasher JF, Abad EN, et al. The U.S. national tips from former smokers antismoking campaign: promoting awareness of smoking-related risks, cessation resources, and cessation behaviors. *Health Educ Behav.* 2015;42(4):480–486.
- Kowitt SD, Patel T, Ranney LM, Huang LL, Sutfin EL, Goldstein AO. Polytobacco use among high school students. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2015;12(11):14477–14489.
- 54. Osman A, Thrasher JF, Yong HH, Arillo-Santillán E, Hammond D. Disparagement of health warning labels on cigarette packages and cessation attempts: results from four countries. *Health Educ Res.* 2017;32(6):524–536.
- 55. Wagoner KG, Cornacchione J, Wiseman KD, Teal R, Moracco KE, Sutfin EL. E-cigarettes, hookah pens and vapes: adolescent and young adult perceptions of electronic nicotine delivery systems. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2016;18(10):2006–2012.
- Barrington-Trimis JL, Berhane K, Unger JB, et al. Psychosocial factors associated with adolescent electronic cigarette and cigarette use. *Pediatrics*. 2015;136(2):308–317.
- Lechner WV, Janssen T, Kahler CW, Audrain-McGovern J, Leventhal AM. Bi-directional associations of electronic and combustible cigarette use onset patterns with depressive symptoms in adolescents. *Prev Med.* 2017;96:73–78.
- Leventhal AM, Strong DR, Kirkpatrick MG, et al. Association of electronic cigarette use with initiation of combustible tobacco product smoking in early adolescence. JAMA. 2015;314(7):700–707.
- Leventhal AM, Strong DR, Sussman S, et al. Psychiatric comorbidity in adolescent electronic and conventional cigarette use. J Psychiatr Res. 2016;73:71–78.
- Leventhal AM, Urman R, Barrington-Trimis JL, et al. Perceived stress and poly-tobacco product use across adolescence: patterns of association and gender differences. J Psychiatr Res. 2017;94:172–179.
- Unger JB, Soto DW, Leventhal A. E-cigarette use and subsequent cigarette and marijuana use among Hispanic young adults. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2016;163:261–264.
- 62. Walter N, Demetriades SZ, Murphy ST. Just a spoonful of sugar helps the messages go down: using stories and vicarious self-affirmation to reduce E-cigarette use. *Health Commun.* 2019;34(3):352–360.
- Mantey DS, Cooper MR, Clendennen SL, Pasch KE, Perry CL. E-cigarette marketing exposure is associated with E-cigarette use among US youth. J Adolesc Health. 2016;58(6):686–690.
- Cornacchione Ross J, Noar SM, Sutfin EL. Systematic review of health communication for non-cigarette tobacco products. *Health Commun.* 2019;34(3):361–369.
- 65. Cassidy RN, Tidey JW, Cao Q, et al. Age moderates smokers' subjective response to very low nicotine content cigarettes: evidence from a randomized controlled trial. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2018.
- 66. Bickel WK, Mellis AM, Snider SE, Moody L, Stein JS, Quisenberry AJ. Novel therapeutics for addiction: behavioral and neuroeconomic approaches. *Curr Treat Options Psychiatry*. 2016;3(3):277–292.
- Bickel WK, Moody L, Higgins ST. Some current dimensions of the behavioral economics of health-related behavior change. *Prev Med.* 2016;92:16–23.
- Curry E, Nemeth JM, Wermert A, et al. A descriptive report of electronic cigarette use after participation in a community-based tobacco cessation trial. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2017;20(1):135–139.
- 69. Foulds J, Veldheer S, Hrabovsky S, et al. The effect of motivational lung age feedback on short-term quit rates in smokers seeking intensive group treatment: a randomized controlled pilot study. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2015;153:271–277.

- Mercincavage M, Saddleson ML, Gup E, Halstead A, Mays D, Strasser AA. Reduced nicotine content cigarette advertising: how false beliefs and subjective ratings affect smoking behavior. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2017;173:99–106.
- Huang LL, Baker HM, Meernik C, Ranney LM, Richardson A, Goldstein AO. Impact of non-menthol flavours in tobacco products on perceptions and use among youth, young adults and adults: a systematic review. *Tob Control*. 2017;26(6):709–719.
- 72. Huang LL, Lazard AJ, Pepper JK, Noar SM, Ranney LM, Goldstein AO. Impact of the real cost campaign on adolescents' recall, attitudes, and risk perceptions about tobacco use: a national study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2017;14(1):42.
- 73. Kowitt SD, Meernik C, Baker HM, Osman A, Huang LL, Goldstein AO. Perceptions and experiences with flavored non-menthol tobacco products: a systematic review of qualitative studies. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2017;14(4):338.
- Barrington-Trimis JL, Leventhal AM, Alonzo TA, et al. Performance of cigarette susceptibility index among e-cigarette and hookah users. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2018;183:43–50.
- Huh J, Leventhal AM. Intraindividual covariation between e-cigarette and combustible cigarette use in Korean American emerging adults. *Psychol Addict Behav.* 2016;30(2):246–251.
- Case KR, Mantey DS, Creamer MR, Harrell MB, Kelder SH, Perry CL. E-cigarette- specific symptoms of nicotine dependence among Texas adolescents. *Addict Behav.* 2018;84:57–61.
- Cooper M, Harrell MB, Pérez A, Delk J, Perry CL. Flavorings and perceived harm and addictiveness of E-cigarettes among youth. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2016;2(3):278–289.
- Cooper M, Loukas A, Case K, Marti CN, Perry CL. A longitudinal study of risk perceptions and E-cigarette initiation among college students: interactions with smoking status. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2018;186:257–263.
- Cooper M, Loukas A, Harrell MB, Perry CL. College students' perceptions of risk and addictiveness of e-cigarettes and cigarettes. J Am Coll Health. 2017;65(2):103–111.
- Loukas A, Chow S, Pasch KE, et al. College students' polytobacco use, cigarette cessation, and dependence. *Am J Health Behav.* 2016;40(4):514–522.
- Mantey DS, Cooper MR, Loukas A, Perry CL. E-cigarette use and cigarette smoking cessation among Texas college students. *Am J Health Behav.* 2017;41(6):750–759.
- Redner R, White TJ, Bunn JY, Higgins ST. Use of high-nicotine/taryield (full-flavor) cigarettes and risk for nicotine dependence in nationally representative samples of US smokers. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2016;18(6):1424–1430.
- Villanti AC, Collins LK, Niaura RS, Gagosian SY, Abrams DB. Menthol cigarettes and the public health standard: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2017;17(1):983.
- Bahelah R, DiFranza JR, Fouad FM, Ward KD, Eissenberg T, Maziak W. Early symptoms of nicotine dependence among adolescent waterpipe smokers. *Tob Control.* 2016;25(e2):e127–e134.
- 85. Bahelah R, DiFranza JR, Ward KD, et al. Waterpipe smoking patterns and symptoms of nicotine dependence: the waterpipe dependence in Lebanese Youth Study. Addict Behav. 2017;74:127–133.
- Garrison KA, O'Malley SS, Gueorguieva R, Krishnan-Sarin S. A fMRI study on the impact of advertising for flavored e-cigarettes on susceptible young adults. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2018;186:233–241.
- 87. Krishnan-Sarin S, Green BG, Kong G, et al. Studying the interactive effects of menthol and nicotine among youth: an examination using e-cigarettes. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2017;180:193–199.
- Friedman KL, Roberts ME, Keller-Hamilton B, et al. Attitudes toward tobacco, alcohol, and non-alcoholic beverage advertisement themes among adolescent boys. *Subst Use Misuse*. 2018;53(10):1706–1714.
- Roberts ME, Lu B, Browning CR, Ferketich AK. Tracking young adults' attitudes toward tobacco marketing using Ecological Momentary Assessment (EMA). Subst Use Misuse. 2017;52(9):1219–1224.
- Burbank AD, Thrul J, Ling PM. A pilot study of retail 'vape shops' in the San Francisco bay area. *Tob Prev Cessat*. 2016;2(suppl):6.

- 91.Couch ET, Darius EF, Walsh MM, Chaffee BW. ST product characteristics and relationships with perceptions and behaviors among rural adolescent males: a qualitative study. *Health Educ Res.* 2017;32(6):537–545.
- Kim M, Popova L, Halpern-Felsher B, Ling PM. Effects of e-cigarette advertisements on adolescents' perceptions of cigarettes. *Health Commun.* 2019;34(3):290–297.
- Meyers MJ, Delucchi K, Halpern-Felsher B. Access to tobacco among California high school students: the role of family members, peers, and retail venues. J Adolesc Health. 2017;61(3):385–388.
- Roditis M, Delucchi K, Cash D, Halpern-Felsher B. Adolescents' perceptions of health risks, social risks, and benefits differ across tobacco products. J Adolesc Health. 2016;58(5):558–566.
- Byron MJ, Baig SA, Moracco KE, Brewer NT. Adolescents' and adults' perceptions of 'natural', 'organic' and 'additive-free' cigarettes, and the required disclaimers. *Tob Control.* 2016;25(5):517–520.
- Golden SD, Smith MH, Feighery EC, Roeseler A, Rogers T, Ribisl KM. Beyond excise taxes: a systematic review of literature on non-tax policy approaches to raising tobacco product prices. *Tob Control.* 2016;25(4):377–385.
- Huang LL, Sutfin EL, Kowitt S, Patel T, Ranney L, Goldstein AO. Trends and correlates of hookah use among high school students in North Carolina. N C Med J. 2017;78(3):149–155.
- Kowitt SD, Osman A, Ranney LM, Heck C, Goldstein AO. E-cigarette use among adolescents not susceptible to using cigarettes. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2018;15:E18.
- Bae RE, Maloney EK, Albarracín D, Cappella JN. Does interest in smoking affect youth selection of pro-smoking videos? A selective exposure experiment. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2019;21(4):539–546.
- 100. Padon AA, Maloney EK, Cappella JN. Youth-Targeted E-cigarette marketing in the US. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2017;3(1):95–101.
- Romer D, Moreno M. Digital media and risks for adolescent substance abuse and problematic gambling. *Pediatrics*. 2017;140 (suppl 2):S102–S106.
- 102. Yang Q, Liu J, Lochbuehler K, Hornik R. Does seeking e-cigarette information lead to vaping? Evidence from a national longitudinal survey of youth and young adults. *Health Commun.* 2019;34(3):298–305.
- 103. Allem JP, Escobedo P, Cruz TB, Unger JB. Vape pen product placement in popular music videos. *Addict Behav.* 2017;93:263–264.
- 104. Allem JP, Unger JB, Garcia R, Baezconde-Garbanati L, Sussman S. Tobacco attitudes and behaviors of vape shop retailers in Los Angeles. *Am J Health Behav.* 2015;39(6):794–798.
- Chu KH, Allem JP, Cruz TB, Unger JB. Vaping on Instagram: cloud chasing, hand checks and product placement. *Tob Control*. 2016;26(5):575–578.
- 106. Chu KH, Unger JB, Allem JP, et al. Diffusion of messages from an electronic cigarette brand to potential users through twitter. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(12):e0145387.
- 107. Chu KH, Unger JB, Cruz TB, Soto DW. Electronic cigarettes on Twitter spreading the appeal of flavors. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2015;1(1):36–41.
- Gilreath TD, Leventhal A, Barrington-Trimis JL, et al. Patterns of alternative tobacco product use: emergence of hookah and E-cigarettes as preferred products amongst youth. J Adolesc Health. 2016;58(2):181–185.
- 109. Hébert ET, Vandewater EA, Businelle MS, Harrell MB, Kelder SH, Perry CL. Real time assessment of young adults' attitudes toward tobacco messages. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2018;4(1):644–655.
- 110. Ly C, Nicksic NE, Loukas A, Prokhorov AV, Perry CL. Receptivity of young adult hookah users to health warning labels. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2018;4(1):536–547.
- 111. Mantey DS, Pasch KE, Loukas A, Perry CL. Exposure to point-of-sale marketing of cigarettes and E-cigarettes as predictors of smoking cessation behaviors. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2019;21(2):212–219.
- 112. Miller Lo EJ, Giovenco DP, Wackowski OA, Harrell MB, Perry CL, Delnevo CD. The cigarette and smokeless tobacco markets in Texas relative to the United States. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2017;3(2):183–191.
- 113. Nicksic NE, Harrell MB, Pérez A, Pasch KE, Perry CL. Recall of E-cigarette advertisements and adolescent E-cigarette use. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2017;3(2):210–221.

- 114. Pasch KE, Nicksic NE, Opara SC, Jackson C, Harrell MB, Perry CL. Recall of point-of-sale marketing predicts cigar and E-cigarette use among Texas youth. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2018;20(8):962–969.
- 115. Vandewater EA, Clendennen SL, Hébert ET, et al. Whose post is it? Predicting E-cigarette brand from social media posts. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2018;4(2):30–43.
- 116. Collins L, Glasser AM, Abudayyeh H, Pearson JL, Villanti AC. E-cigarette marketing and communication: how E-cigarette companies market E-cigarettes and the public engages with E-cigarette information. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2019;21(1):14–24.
- 117. Nicksic NE, Snell LM, Barnes AJ. Does exposure and receptivity to e-cigarette advertisements relate to e-cigarette and conventional cigarette use behaviors among youth? Results from Wave 1 of the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health study. J Appl Res Child. 2017;8(2):3.
- 118. Nicksic NE, Snell LM, Rudy AK, Cobb CO, Barnes AJ. Tobacco marketing, E-cigarette susceptibility, and perceptions among adults. Am J Health Behav. 2017;41(5):579–590.
- 119. Sawdey MD, Hancock L, Messner M, Prom-Wormley EC. Assessing the association between E-cigarette use and exposure to social media in college students: a cross-sectional study. *Subst Use Misuse*. 2017;52(14):1910–1917.
- 120. Kong G, Cavallo DA, Bold KW, LaVallee H, Krishnan-Sarin S. Adolescent and young adult perceptions on cigar packaging: a qualitative study. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2017;3(3):333–346.
- 121. Hart JL, Walker KL, Sears CG, et al. The 'state' of tobacco: perceptions of tobacco among Appalachian youth in Kentucky. *Tob Prevent Cessat.* 2018;4:3.
- 122. Sears CG, Hart JL, Walker KL, Robertson RM. Generally recognized as safe: uncertainty surrounding E-cigarette flavoring safety. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2017;14(10):1274.
- 123. Tompkins LK, Sears CG, Hart JL, Walker KL, Lee AS, Bhatnagar A. "If you are old enough to die for your country, you should be able to get a pinch of snuff": views of tobacco 21 among Appalachian youth. J Appl Res Child. 2017;8(2):2.
- 124. Pepper JK, Nonnemaker J, DeFrank JT, et al. Communicating about cigarette smoke constituents: a national U.S. survey. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2017;3(4):388–407.
- 125. Evans AT, Peters E, Shoben AB, et al. Cigarette graphic warning labels increase both risk perceptions and smoking myth endorsement. *Psychol Health.* 2018;33(2):213–234.
- 126. Evans AT, Peters E, Shoben AB, et al. Cigarette graphic warning labels are not created equal: they can increase or decrease smokers' quit intentions relative to text-only warnings. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2017;19(10):1155–1162.
- 127. Roberts ME, Peters E, Ferketich AK, Klein EG. The age-related positivity effect and tobacco warning labels. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2016;2(2):176–185.
- 128. Baig SA, Byron MJ, Boynton MH, Brewer NT, Ribisl KM. Communicating about cigarette smoke constituents: an experimental comparison of two messaging strategies. J Behav Med. 2017;40(2):352–359.
- 129. Baig SA, Pepper JK, Morgan JC, Brewer NT. Social identity and support for counteracting tobacco company marketing that targets vulnerable populations. *Soc Sci Med.* 2017;182:136–141.
- Ross JC, Suerken CK, King JL, et al. Adolescents' first tobacco product: Results from a nationally representative survey. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2018;4(3):38–46.
- 131. Francis DB, Hall MG, Noar SM, Ribisl KM, Brewer NT. Systematic review of measures used in pictorial cigarette pack warning experiments. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2017;19(10):1127–1137.
- 132. Kowitt SD, Noar SM, Ranney LM, Goldstein AO. Public attitudes toward larger cigarette pack warnings: results from a nationally representative U.S. sample. *PLoS One.* 2017;12(3):e0171496.
- 133. Kowitt SD, Schmidt AM, Hannan A, Goldstein AO. Awareness and trust of the FDA and CDC: results from a national sample of US adults and adolescents. *PLoS One.* 2017;12(5):e0177546.
- 134. Huang GC, Unger JB, Soto D, et al. Peer influences: the impact of online and offline friendship networks on adolescent smoking and alcohol use. *J Adolesc Health*. 2014;54(5):508–514.

- 135. Delk J, Harrell MB, Fakhouri THI, Muir KA, Perry CL. Implementation of a computerized tablet-survey in an adolescent large-scale, schoolbased study. J Sch Health. 2017;87(7):506–512.
- 136. Hébert ET, Vandewater EA, Businelle MS, Harrell MB, Kelder SH, Perry CL. Feasibility and reliability of a mobile tool to evaluate exposure to tobacco product marketing and messages using ecological momentary assessment. *Addict Behav.* 2017;73:105–110.
- 137. Khalil GE, Calabro KS, Crook B, Machado TC, Perry CL, Prokhorov AV. Validation of mobile phone text messages for tobacco risk communication among college students: a content analysis. *Tob Prev Cessation*. 2018;4(7):1–12.
- Li X, Loukas A, Perry CL. Very light smoking and alternative tobacco use among college students. *Addict Behav.* 2018;81:22–25.
- 139. Prokhorov AV, Machado TC, Calabro KS, et al. Developing mobile phone text messages for tobacco risk communication among college students: a mixed methods study. BMC Public Health. 2017;17(1):137.
- 140. Johnson AL, Villanti AC, Glasser AM, Pearson JL, Delnevo CD. Impact of question type and question order on tobacco prevalence estimates in U.S. young adults: a randomized experiment. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2018.
- 141. Mays D, Villanti A, Niaura RS, Lindblom EN, Strasser AA. The effects of varying electronic cigarette warning label design features on attention, recall, and product perceptions among young adults. *Health Commun.* 2019;34(3):317–324.
- 142. Rodu B, Plurphanswat N, Hughes JR, Fagerström K. Associations of proposed relative-risk warning labels for Snus with perceptions and behavioral intentions among tobacco users and nonusers. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2016;18(5):809–816.
- 143. Kong G, Cavallo DA, Camenga DR, Morean ME, Krishnan-Sarin S. Preference for gain- or loss-framed electronic cigarette prevention messages. *Addict Behav.* 2016;62:108–113.
- 144. Bahelah R, DiFranza JR, Ward KD, et al. Correlates of nicotine dependence among adolescent waterpipe smokers. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2016;168:230–238.
- 145. Higgins ST, Kurti AN, Redner R, et al. A literature review on prevalence of gender differences and intersections with other vulnerabilities to tobacco use in the United States, 2004-2014. Prev Med. 2015;80:89–100.
- 146. Adkison SE, Bansal-Travers M, Rees VW, Hatsukami DK, Cummings KM, O'Connor RJ. Application of the smokeless tobacco expectancies questionnaire to snus. *Am J Health Behav.* 2016;40(5):652–658.
- 147. Harrell MB, Weaver SR, Loukas A, et al. Flavored e-cigarette use: characterizing youth, young adult, and adult users. *Prev Med Rep.* 2017;5:33–40.
- 148. Barrington-Trimis JL, Gibson LA, Halpern-Felsher B, et al. Type of E-cigarette device used among adolescents and young adults: findings from a pooled analysis of eight studies of 2166 vapers. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2018;20(2):271–274.
- 149. Roberts ME, Bidwell LC, Colby SM, Gwaltney CJ. With others or alone? Adolescent individual differences in the context of smoking lapses. *Health Psychol.* 2015;34(11):1066–1075.
- Roberts ME, Colby SM, Jackson KM. What predicts early smoking milestones? J Stud Alcohol Drugs. 2015;76(2):256–266.
- 151. Roberts ME, Klein EG, Berman ML, Berhane B, Ferketich AK. Young adult perceptions surrounding hookah use. *Health Behav Policy Rev.* 2017;4(6):593–600.
- 152. Roberts ME, Nargiso JE, Gaitonde LB, Stanton CA, Colby SM. Adolescent social networks: general and smoking-specific characteristics associated with smoking. J Stud Alcohol Drugs. 2015;76(2):247–255.
- 153. Higgins ST, Kurti AN, Redner R, et al. Co-occurring risk factors for current cigarette smoking in a U.S. nationally representative sample. *Prev Med.* 2016;92:110–117.
- 154. Allen SI, Foulds J, Wasserman E, et al. Tobacco use among middle and high school students in Pennsylvania. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2018;15:E19.
- 155. Sutter ME, Nasim A, Veldheer S, Cobb CO. Associations between unhealthy dieting behaviors and tobacco use among adolescents. J Eat Disord. 2016;4:39.
- 156. Chaffee BW, Couch ET, Gansky SA. Adolescents' smokeless tobacco susceptibility by perceived professional baseball players' use. J Public Health Dent. 2018;78(1):5–8.

- 157. Chaffee BW, Urata J, Couch ET, Gansky SA. Perceived flavored smokeless tobacco ease-of-use and youth susceptibility. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2017;3(3):367–373.
- 158. Chaffee BW, Couch ET, Gansky SA. Trends in characteristics and multiproduct use among adolescents who use electronic cigarettes, United States 2011-2015. PLoS One. 2017;12(5):e0177073.
- 159. Chaffee BW, Gansky SA, Halpern-Felsher B, Couch ET, Essex G, Walsh MM. Conditional risk assessment of adolescents' electronic cigarette perceptions. Am J Health Behav. 2015;39(3):421–432.
- 160. Chaffee BW, Watkins SL, Glantz SA. Electronic cigarette use and progression from experimentation to established smoking. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(4):e20173594.
- 161. Couch ET, Darius E, Walsh MM, Chaffee BW. Smokeless tobacco decision-making among rural adolescent males in California. J Community Health. 2017;42(3):544–550.
- Dutra LM, Glantz SA. E-cigarettes and national adolescent cigarette use: 2004–2014. *Pediatrics*. 2017;139(2):e20162450.
- 163. Gorukanti A, Delucchi K, Ling P, Fisher-Travis R, Halpern-Felsher B. Adolescents' attitudes towards e-cigarette ingredients, safety, addictive properties, social norms, and regulation. *Prev Med.* 2017;94:65–71.
- 164. McKelvey K, Halpern-Felsher B. Adolescent cigarette smoking perceptions and behavior: tobacco control gains and gaps amidst the rapidly expanding tobacco products market from 2001 to 2015. J Adolesc Health. 2017;60(2):226–228.
- 165. Roditis ML, Delucchi K, Chang A, Halpern-Felsher B. Perceptions of social norms and exposure to pro-marijuana messages are associated with adolescent marijuana use. *Prev Med.* 2016;93:171–176.
- 166. Sung HY, Wang Y, Yao T, Lightwood J, Max W. Polytobacco use of cigarettes, cigars, chewing tobacco, and snuff among US adults. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2016;18(5):817–826.
- 167. Wang Y, Sung HY, Yao T, Lightwood J, Max W. Factors associated with short-term transitions of non-daily smokers: socio-demographic characteristics and other tobacco product use. *Addiction*. 2017;112(5):864–872.
- 168. Wang Y, Sung HY, Yao T, Lightwood J, Max W. Infrequent and frequent nondaily smokers and daily smokers: their characteristics and other tobacco use patterns. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2018;20(6):741–748.
- 169. Watkins SL, Glantz SA, Chaffee BW. Association of noncigarette tobacco product use with future cigarette smoking among youth in the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) study, 2013-2015. JAMA Pediatr. 2018;172(2):181–187.
- 170. Yao T, Sung HY, Wang Y, Lightwood J, Max W. Sociodemographic differences among U.S. children and adults exposed to secondhand smoke at home: National Health Interview Surveys 2000 and 2010. *Public Health Rep.* 2016;131(2):357–366.
- 171. Baker HM, Lee JG, Ranney LM, Goldstein AO. Single cigarette sales: State differences in FDA advertising and labeling violations, 2014, United States. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2016;18(2):221–226.
- 172. Ross JC, Suerken CK, King JL, et al. Adolescents' first tobacco product: Results from a nationally representative survey. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2018;4(3):38–46.
- 173. Huang LL, Kowitt SD, Sutfin EL, Patel T, Ranney LM, Goldstein AO. Electronic cigarette use among high school students and its association with cigarette use and smoking cessation, North Carolina youth tobacco surveys, 2011 and 2013. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2016;13:E103.
- 174. King JL, Reboussin BA, Spangler J, Cornacchione Ross J, Sutfin EL. Tobacco product use and mental health status among young adults. *Addict Behav.* 2018;77:67–72.
- 175. Kowitt SD, Schmidt AM, Myers AE, Goldstein AO. Should the legal age for tobacco be raised? Results from a national sample of adolescents. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2017;14:E112.
- 176. Pepper JK, Ribisl KM, Brewer NT. Adolescents' interest in trying flavoured e-cigarettes. *Tob Control*. 2016;25 (suppl 2):ii62–ii66.
- 177. Audrain-McGovern J, Strasser AA, Wileyto EP. The impact of flavoring on the rewarding and reinforcing value of E-cigarettes with nicotine among young adult smokers. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2016;166:263–267.
- Allem JP, Forster M, Neiberger A, Unger JB. Characteristics of emerging adulthood and e-cigarette use: findings from a pilot study. *Addict Behav.* 2015;50:40–44.

- 179. Allem JP, Sussman S, Unger JB. The revised inventory of the dimensions of emerging adulthood (IDEA-R) and substance use among college students. *Eval Health Prof.* 2017;40(4):401–408.
- Allem JP, Unger JB. Emerging adulthood themes and hookah use among college students in Southern California. Addict Behav. 2016;61:16–19.
- 181. Barrington-Trimis JL, Berhane K, Unger JB, et al. The E-cigarette social environment, E-cigarette use, and susceptibility to cigarette smoking. J Adolesc Health. 2016;59(1):75–80.
- Barrington-Trimis JL, Urman R, Berhane K, et al. E-cigarettes and future cigarette use. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(1):e20160379.
- 183. Barrington-Trimis JL, Urman R, Leventhal AM, et al. E-cigarettes, cigarettes, and the prevalence of adolescent tobacco use. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(2):e20153983.
- 184. Cho J, Goldenson NI, Stone MD, et al. Characterizing polytobacco use trajectories and their associations with substance use and mental health across mid-adolescence. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2018;20 (suppl_1):S31–S38.
- 185. Goldenson NI, Kirkpatrick MG, Barrington-Trimis JL, et al. Effects of sweet flavorings and nicotine on the appeal and sensory properties of e-cigarettes among young adult vapers: application of a novel methodology. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2016;168:176–180.
- 186. Goldenson NI, Leventhal AM, Stone MD, McConnell RS, Barrington-Trimis JL. Associations of electronic cigarette nicotine concentration with subsequent cigarette smoking and vaping levels in adolescents. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2017;171(12):1192–1199.
- 187. Goldenson NI, Khoddam R, Stone MD, Leventhal AM. Associations of ADHD symptoms with smoking and alternative tobacco product use initiation during adolescence. J Pediatr Psychol. 2018;43(6):613–624.
- Huh J, Leventhal AM. Progression of poly-tobacco product use patterns in adolescents. *Am J Prev Med.* 2016;51(4):513–517.
- 189. Pentz MA, Shin H, Riggs N, Unger JB, Collison KL, Chou CP. Parent, peer, and executive function relationships to early adolescent e-cigarette use: a substance use pathway? *Addict Behav.* 2015;42:73–78.
- 190. Soneji S, Barrington-Trimis JL, Wills TA, et al. Association between initial use of E-cigarettes and subsequent cigarette smoking among adolescents and young adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2017;171(8):788–797.
- 191. Agarwal D, Loukas A, Perry CL. Examining college students' social environment, normative beliefs, and attitudes in subsequent initiation of electronic nicotine delivery systems. *Health Educ Behav.* 2018;45(4):532–539.
- 192. Bandiera FC, Loukas A, Li X, Wilkinson AV, Perry CL. Depressive symptoms predict current E-cigarette use among college students in Texas. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2017;19(9):1102–1106.
- 193. Bandiera FC, Loukas A, Wilkinson AV, Perry CL. Associations between tobacco and nicotine product use and depressive symptoms among college students in Texas. Addict Behav. 2016;63:19–22.
- 194. Case KR, Harrell MB, Pérez A, et al. The relationships between sensation seeking and a spectrum of e-cigarette use behaviors: cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses specific to Texas adolescents. *Addict Behav.* 2017;73:151–157.
- 195. Case KR, Loukas A PhD, Harrell MB, et al. The association between sensation seeking and e-cigarette use in Texas young adults: a cross-sectional study. J Am Coll Health. 2017;65(4):277–285.
- 196. Cooper M, Case KR, Loukas A. E-cigarette use among Texas youth: results from the 2014 Texas youth tobacco survey. Addict Behav. 2015;50:173–177.
- 197. Cooper M, Case KR, Loukas A, Creamer MR, Perry CL. E-cigarette dual users, exclusive users and perceptions of tobacco products. *Am J Health Behav.* 2016;40(1):108–116.
- 198. Cooper M, Creamer MR, Ly C, Crook B, Harrell MB, Perry CL. Social norms, perceptions and dual/poly tobacco use among Texas youth. Am J Health Behav. 2016;40(6):761–770.
- 199. Creamer MR, Delk J, Case K, Perry CL, Harrell MB. Positive outcome expectations and tobacco product use behaviors in youth. *Subst Use Misuse.* 2018;53(8):1399–1402.

- 200. Creamer MR, Loukas A, Clendennen S, et al. Longitudinal predictors of cigarette use among students from 24 Texas colleges. J Am College Health. 2018;66(7):617–624.
- Creamer MR, Loukas A, Li X, et al. College students' perceptions and knowledge of hookah use. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2016;168:191–195.
- 202. Creamer MR, Perry CL, Harrell MB, Diamond PM. Trends in multiple tobacco product use, among high school students. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2015;1(3):204–214.
- 203. Creamer MR, Portillo GV, Clendennen SL, Perry CL. Is adolescent polytobacco use associated with alcohol and other drug use? *Am J Health Behav.* 2016;40(1):117–122.
- 204. Delk J, Creamer MR, Perry CL, Harrell MB. Weight status and cigarette and electronic cigarette use in adolescents. Am J Prev Med. 2018;54(1):e31–e35.
- 205. Harrell MB, Loukas A, Jackson CD, Marti CN, Perry CL. Flavored tobacco product use among youth and young adults: what if flavors didn't exist? *Tob Regul Sci.* 2017;3(2):168–173.
- 206. Hébert ET, Case KR, Kelder SH, Delk J, Perry CL, Harrell MB. Exposure and engagement with tobacco- and E-cigarette-related social media. J Adolesc Health. 2017;61(3):371–377.
- 207. Hinds JT III, Loukas A, Chow S, et al. Using cognitive interviewing to better assess young adult E-cigarette use. Nicotine Tob Res. 2016;18(10):1998–2005.
- 208. Hinds JT, Loukas A, Perry CL. Sexual and gender minority college students and tobacco use in Texas. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2018;20(3):383–387.
- 209. Hinds JT III, Li X, Loukas A, Pasch KE, Perry CL. Flavored cigars appeal to younger, female, and racial/ethnic minority college students. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2017;20(3):347–354.
- 210. Loukas A, Marti CN, Cooper M, Pasch KE, Perry CL. Exclusive E-cigarette use predicts cigarette initiation among college students. *Addict Behav.* 2018;76:343–347.
- 211. Mantey DS, Harrell MB, Case K, Crook B, Kelder SH, Perry CL. Subjective experiences at first use of cigarette, E-cigarettes, hookah, and cigar products among Texas adolescents. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2017;173:10–16.
- 212. Pérez A, Chien LC, Harrell MB, Pasch KE, Obinwa UC, Perry CL. Geospatial associations between tobacco retail outlets and current use of cigarettes and E-cigarettes among youths in Texas. *J Biom Biostat*. 2017;8(5):6.
- 213. Pérez A, Harrell MB, Malkani RI, et al. Texas adolescent tobacco and marketing surveillance system's design. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2017;3(2):151–167.
- 214. Wackowski OA, Evans KR, Harrell MB, et al. In their own words: young adults' menthol cigarette initiation, perceptions, experiences and regulation perspectives. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2017;20(9):1076–1084.
- 215. Abudayyeh HS, Glasser AM, Johnson AL, et al. Social and substance use correlates of adult hookah use, 2016. Addict Behav. 2018;79:39–44.
- 216. Collins LK, Villanti AC, Pearson JL, et al. Frequency of youth E-cigarette, tobacco, and poly-use in the United States, 2015: update to Villanti et al., "Frequency of youth E-cigarette and tobacco use patterns in the United States: Measurement precision is critical to inform public health". Nicotine Tob Res. 2017;19(10):1253–1254.
- 217. D'Silva J, Cohn AM, Johnson AL, Villanti AC. Differences in subjective experiences to first use of menthol and non-menthol cigarettes in a national sample of young adult cigarette smokers. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2017;20(9):1062–1068.
- 218. Ganz O, Johnson AL, Cohn AM, et al. Tobacco harm perceptions and use among sexual and gender minorities: findings from a national sample of young adults in the United States. *Addict Behav.* 2018;81:104–108.
- 219. Higgins ST, Redner R, Priest JS, Bunn JY. Socioeconomic disadvantage and other risk factors for using higher-nicotine/tar-yield (Regular fullflavor) cigarettes. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2017;19(12):1425–1433.
- 220. Kurti AN, Klemperer EM, Zvorsky I, Redner R, Priest JS, Higgins ST. Some context for understanding the place of the general educational development degree in the relationship between educational attainment and smoking prevalence. *Prev Med.* 2016;92:141–147.

- 221. Pericot-Valverde I, Gaalema DE, Priest JS, Higgins ST. E-cigarette awareness, perceived harmfulness, and ever use among U.S. adults. *Prev Med*. 2017;104:92–99.
- 222. Redner R, White TJ, Harder VS, Higgins ST. Vulnerability to smokeless tobacco use among those dependent on alcohol or illicit drugs. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2014;16(2):216–223.
- 223. Redner R, White TJ, Harder VS, Higgins ST. Examining vulnerability to smokeless tobacco use among adolescents and adults meeting diagnostic criteria for major depressive disorder. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol.* 2014;22(4):316–322.
- 224. Villanti AC, Johnson AL, Rath JM. Beyond education and income: identifying novel socioeconomic correlates of cigarette use in U.S. young adults. *Prev Med.* 2017;104:63–70.
- 225. White TJ, Redner R, Bunn JY, Higgins ST. Do socioeconomic risk factors for cigarette smoking extend to smokeless tobacco use? *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2016;18(5):869–873.
- 226. El-Shahawy O, Sun P, Tsai JY, Rohrbach LA, Sussman S. Sense of coherence and tobacco use myths among adolescents as predictors of at-risk youth cigarette use. Subst Use Misuse. 2015;50(1):8–14.
- 227. Spindle TR, Hiler MM, Cooke ME, Eissenberg T, Kendler KS, Dick DM. Electronic cigarette use and uptake of cigarette smoking: a longitudinal examination of U.S. college students. *Addict Behav.* 2017;67:66–72.
- 228. Sutfin EL, Soule EK, McKelvey K, Jenson D. Implications and challenges for implementation of the FDA's final deeming rule for waterpipe tobacco. *Tob Control.* 2018;27(3):347–351.
- 229. Bold KW, Kong G, Cavallo DA, Camenga DR, Krishnan-Sarin S. E-cigarette susceptibility as a predictor of youth initiation of E-cigarettes. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2017;20(1):140–144.
- 230. Bold KW, Kong G, Cavallo DA, Camenga DR, Krishnan-Sarin S. Reasons for trying E-cigarettes and risk of continued use. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(3):e20160895.
- 231. Bold KW, Kong G, Camenga DR, et al. Trajectories of E-cigarette and conventional cigarette use among youth. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(1):e20171832.
- 232. Bold KW, Morean ME, Kong G, et al. Early age of e-cigarette use onset mediates the association between impulsivity and E-cigarette use frequency in youth. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2017;181:146–151.
- 233. Camenga DR, Kong G, Cavallo DA, Krishnan-Sarin S. Current and former smokers' use of electronic cigarettes for quitting smoking: an exploratory study of adolescents and young adults. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2017;19(12):1531–1535.
- 234. Camenga DR, Morean M, Kong G, Krishnan-Sarin S, Simon P, Bold K. Appeal and use of customizable E-cigarette product features in adolescents. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2018;4(2):51–60.
- 235. Kong G, Bold KW, Simon P, Camenga DR, Cavallo DA, Krishnan-Sarin S. Reasons for cigarillo initiation and cigarillo manipulation methods among adolescents. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2017;3 (2 suppl 1):S48–S58.
- 236. Kong G, Morean ME, Cavallo DA, Camenga DR, Krishnan-Sarin S. Sources of electronic cigarette acquisition among adolescents in Connecticut. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2017;3(1):10–16.
- 237. Kong G, Kuguru KE, Krishnan-Sarin S. Gender differences in U.S. adolescent e-cigarette use. *Curr Addict Rep.* 2017;4(4):422–430.
- 238. Krishnan-Sarin S, Morean M, Kong G, et al. E-cigarettes and "dripping" among high-school youth. *Pediatrics*. 2017;139(3):e20163224.
- 239. Morean ME, Butler ER, Bold KW, et al. Preferring more E-cigarette flavors is associated with E-cigarette use frequency among adolescents but not adults. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(1):e0189015.
- 240. Morean ME, DeMartini KS, Foster D, et al. The self-report habit index: assessing habitual marijuana, alcohol, E-cigarette, and cigarette use. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2018;186:207–214.
- 241. Morean ME, Kong G, Camenga DR, Cavallo DA, Simon P, Krishnan-Sarin S. Latent class analysis of current E-cigarette and other substance use in high school students. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2016;161:292–297.
- 242. Morean ME, Kong G, Cavallo DA, Camenga DR, Krishnan-Sarin S. Nicotine concentration of e-cigarettes used by adolescents. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2016;167:224–227.

- 243. Simon P, Camenga DR, Kong G, et al. Youth e-cigarette, blunt, and other tobacco use profiles: does SES matter? *Tob Regul Sci.* 2017;3(1):115–127.
- 244. Chaloupka FJ, Warner KE, Acemoğlu D, et al. An evaluation of the FDA's analysis of the costs and benefits of the graphic warning label regulation. *Tob Control.* 2015;24(2):112–119.
- 245. Osman A, Daoud N, Thrasher JF, Bell BA, Walsemann KM. Ethnic discrimination and smoking-related outcomes among former and current Arab male smokers in Israel: the buffering effects of social support. J Immigr Minor Health. 2018;20(5):1094–1102.
- Wang JB, Cataldo JK. Medical marijuana legalization and co-use in adult cigarette smokers. *Am J Health Behav.* 2016;40(2):205–214.
- 247. DiFranza JR, Savageau JA, Fletcher K, et al. Measuring the loss of autonomy over nicotine use in adolescents: the DANDY (Development and Assessment of Nicotine Dependence in Youths) study. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2002;156(4):397–403.
- 248. Kirkpatrick MG, Cruz TB, Goldenson NI, et al. Electronic cigarette retailers use Pokémon go to market products. *Tob Control*. 2017;26(e2):e145–e147.
- 249. Evans AT, Peters E, Keller-Hamilton B, et al. Warning size affects what adolescents recall from tobacco advertisements. *Tob Regul Sci.* 2018;4(3):79–87.
- 250. Dutra LM, Glantz SA, Lisha NE, Song AV. Beyond experimentation: five trajectories of cigarette smoking in a longitudinal sample of youth. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(2):e0171808.
- 251. Leventhal AM, Stone MD, Andrabi N, et al. Association of E-cigarette vaping and progression to heavier patterns of cigarette smoking. *JAMA*. 2016;316(18):1918–1920.
- 252. Perry CL, Pérez A, Bluestein M, et al. Youth or young adults: which group is at highest risk for tobacco use onset? J Adolesc Health. 2019;In press.
- 253. Riggs NR, Pentz MA. Inhibitory control and the onset of combustible cigarette, e-cigarette, and hookah use in early adolescence: the moderating role of socioeconomic status. *Child Neuropsychol.* 2016;22(6):679–691.
- 254. Adkison SE, O'Connor RJ, Bansal-Travers M, Cummings KM, Rees VW, Hatsukami DK. Validation of a measure of normative beliefs about smokeless tobacco use. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2016;18(5):801–808.
- 255. Nicksic NE, Ly C, Loukas A, Perry CL. Hookah use and perceptions among young adult hookah users. J Addict Behav Ther Rehabil. 2018;7(1).
- 256. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Preventing Tobacco Use Among Youth and Young Adults: A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health; 2012.
- 257. A New Standard of Effective Regulation. US Food and Drug Administration Web site. https://www.fda.gov/tobaccoproducts/labeling/ rulesregulationsguidance/ucm283974.htm. Updated October 25, 2018. Accessed March 22, 2019.
- 258. Statement from FDA Commissioner Scott Gottlieb, M.D., on Proposed New Steps to Protect Youth by Preventing Access to Flavored Tobacco Products and Banning Menthol in Cigarettes. November 15, 2018. https://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ ucm625884.htm. Updated November 19, 2018. Accessed January 14, 2019.
- 259. The Facts on E-Cigarette Use Among Youth and Young Adults. 2018. https://e-cigarettes.surgeongeneral.gov/. Accessed January 14, 2019.
- 260. Cullen KA, Ambrose BK, Gentzke AS, Apelberg BJ, Jamal A, King BA. Notes from the Field: use of electronic cigarettes and any tobacco product among middle and high school students—United States, 2011-2018. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2018;67(45):1276–1277
- 261. Gentzke AS, Creamer M, Cullen KA, et al. Vital signs: tobacco product use among middle and high school students—United States, 2011–2018. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2019;68(6):157–164.
- 262. FDA's Youth Tobacco Prevention Plan. US Food and Drug Administration Web site. https://www.fda.gov/TobaccoProducts/PublicHealthEducation/ ProtectingKidsfromTobacco/ucm608433.htm. Updated March 3, 2019. Accessed March 8, 2019.