

# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

## Recent Work

### Title

A THERMODYNAMIC MODEL FOR COMPOSITE PARTICLE EMISSION IN RELATIVISTIC HEAVY ION COLLISIONS

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0828z3nt>

### Author

Mekjian, Aram.

### Publication Date

1976-12-01

00057034770357121233

Submitted to Physical Review Letters

LBL-5819  
Preprint c.1

A THERMODYNAMIC MODEL FOR COMPOSITE PARTICLE  
EMISSION IN RELATIVISTIC HEAVY ION COLLISIONS


Aram Mekjian

December 1976

Prepared for the U. S. Energy Research and  
Development Administration under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

**For Reference**

Not to be taken from this room



LBL-5819  
c.1

## **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

A THERMODYNAMIC MODEL FOR COMPOSITE PARTICLE EMISSION IN  
RELATIVISTIC HEAVY ION COLLISIONS\*

Aram Mekjian

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

and

Rutgers University, Department of Physics  
New Brunswick, N. J. 08904

Abstract:

A thermodynamic model, constructed in the framework of the big bang equilibrium model, is proposed and used to study the spectra of high energy composite particles that can be seen in relativistic heavy ion collisions. Properties of the spectra are related to properties of the thermodynamic equilibrium region.

In this letter properties of the spectra of high energy composite particles emitted in relativistic heavy ion collision<sup>1,2</sup> are studied in the framework of a thermodynamic picture, whose foundation is based on the big bang equilibrium model.<sup>3</sup> The basis of the model to be discussed is the nuclear fireball picture used to describe proton inclusive spectra.<sup>2</sup> In this picture, nucleons, mutually swept out from the combined system of target and projectile form an equilibrated fireball which

---

\* This work was done with support from the U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration and the National Science Foundation.

then expands freely. The results of this model<sup>2</sup> fit the gross features of the proton inclusive spectra for 400 MeV/nucleon Ne<sup>20</sup> and He<sup>4</sup> on uranium for proton energies above 80 MeV.

On the other hand the composite particle spectra seen in the same experiments have been interpreted in terms of a model in which nucleons with small relative momenta coalesce.<sup>1,4</sup> Specifically, this model imposes a momentum space restriction for formation of composite particles, and this restriction, in turn, leads to correlations in energy and angle between double differential cross sections of composite particles and powers of the corresponding proton cross sections. These features are borne out in the experimental results as shown in the figures of Ref. (1). Now, within the framework of the coalescence model,<sup>1</sup> no explicit reference has been made to the spatial evolution of the cascade nucleons and to the possible equilibration properties they may have. It is this aspect and its consequences that will be investigated in this paper.

Since a detailed description of an expanding collection of strongly interacting nucleons raised to a high temperature (the fireball) is impossible, simplifying assumptions or idealizations have to be made. Here, the idealization is based on the three phases this collection goes through in its expansion. First, when densities and temperatures are high, mean free paths are short -  $\ell_0 \approx 1$  fm; collisions are then frequent in the bulk of the material causing scattering to all possible states, continuum and bound, with composite particle formation and break-up having its equal place in the system. In this phase, a temporal thermodynamic equilibrium could perhaps be established. Secondly, as the collection of these nucleons and nuclei expands, nonequilibrium few collision processes

dominate until the density is so low that a third phase is reached in which all collisions cease and the gas expands freely.

Now, as a working idealization, we replace this complicated evolution with a much simpler one in which the fireball expands through a set of equilibrium states until a volume  $V_0$  or density  $\tilde{\rho}$  is reached, after which all collisions cease instantaneously. The system then expands freely. This idealization leads to a framework for the model that is analogous to that of the big bang equilibrium model.<sup>3</sup> Here, no attempt is made to justify the model, but only to explore its consequences and its possible relevance to relativistic heavy ion collisions.

Assuming thermodynamic equilibrium is established in a volume  $V_0$  and at a temperature  $T_0$ , then the number of nuclei  $N_0[Z,N]$  in equilibrium is determined by statistical factors alone and is:<sup>3</sup>

$$N_0[Z,N] = \left[ \frac{\lambda^3(T_0)}{V_0} \right]^{A-1} \frac{f(Z,N)}{2^A} (N_0[1,0])^Z (N_0[0,1])^N, \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda(T) = hc/(2\pi m_p c^2 kT)^{1/2}$ ,  $A = Z+N$ , and

$$f(Z,N) = A \frac{3}{2} e^{E_0(Z,N)/kT_0} \sum_j (2S_j+1) e^{-E_j/kT_0}. \quad (2)$$

The above equations apply in a high temperature and low density limit.

The sum  $\Sigma$  is over the ground and excited states of the nucleus  $(Z,N)$ , with  $s_j$  being the spin of these states and  $E_j$  being their excitation energy measured from the ground state energy  $E_0(Z,N)$ . The  $N_0(1,0)$  and  $N_0(0,1)$  are the number of protons and neutrons in  $V_0$  at equilibrium. Defining  $\tilde{Z}$ ,  $\tilde{N}$  to be the initial number of protons, neutrons in the fireball, the

following auxiliary conditions must be satisfied;

$$\sum_{Z,N} Z N_o [Z,N] = Z; \quad \sum_{Z,N} N N_o [Z,N] = \tilde{N}. \quad (3)$$

It is worthwhile to point out that the high temperatures involved ( $kT_o = 50$  MeV from inclusive proton spectra<sup>2</sup>) greatly weakens the dependence of the abundance on the traditional Boltzman factor. An example of the abundance ratios is shown in Fig. 1 and discussed in the figure caption.

Next, the momentum distribution of the individual species of this composite gas is given by the Maxwell-Boltzman distribution in the rest frame of the fireball; thus

$$\frac{d^3 N_o [Z,N; \vec{p}]}{d^3 \vec{p}} = N_o [Z,N] \frac{e^{-E_k/kT_o}}{(2\pi A m_p kT_o)^{3/2}}, \quad (4)$$

where  $E_k = P^2/2M_A$  is the total kinetic energy of the nucleus  $[Z,N]$  whose abundance  $N_o [Z,N]$  is determined by Eq. (1).

At this point a formal correspondence with the results of the coalescence model can be made. In this model, the momentum phase space restriction that composite particles be constructed from nucleons whose momenta lie within a sphere of radius  $p_o$  of each other, leads to<sup>1</sup>

$$\frac{d^2 n [Z,N]}{P_n^2 dP_n d\Omega_n} = \frac{2S_A+1}{2^A} \frac{1}{Z!N!} R_{p,t}^N \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} \gamma P_o^3 \right)^{A-1} \left( \frac{d^2 n [1,0]}{P_n^2 dP_n d\Omega_n} \right)^A. \quad (5)$$

The  $P_n$  is the momentum per nucleon,  $d^2 n [Z,N]/P_n^2 d\Omega_n$  is the number of nuclei  $[Z,N]$ , or protons  $[1,0]$ , per event per element of phase space; the

-5-

$\gamma = \sqrt{1 + (P_n/m_p)^2}$  and  $R_{p,t} = (N_p + N_t)/(Z_p + Z_t)$ , where the target is  $[Z_t, N_t]$  and projectile is  $[Z_p, N_p]$ . In Eq. (5) we have explicitly included the spin alignment factor  $(2S_A + 1)/2^A$  between the individual nucleons and the composite  $[Z, N]$  (implicit in Ref. (1)). Now, it is interesting to note that the result of Eq. (5), relating the momentum space density of the composite to powers of the proton density, is also a feature of the equilibrium model. Specifically, Eq. (4) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d^2 N_o [Z, N; \vec{p}]}{A^3 P_n^2 dP_n d\Omega} = \frac{f(Z, N)}{A^{3/2} 2^A} R_o^N \left[ \frac{h^3}{V_o} \right]^{A-1} \left( \frac{d^2 N_o [1, 0; P_n]}{P_n^2 dP_n d\Omega} \right)^A, \quad (6)$$

where  $P_n^2/2m_p = E_k/A$  and where  $R_o = N_o [0, 1]/N_o [1, 0]$ . In obtaining Eq. (6), we have used the result  $A^3 P_n^2 dP_n = P^2 dP$ , where  $P$  is the total momentum. Thus, the equilibrium model has all the essential features of the coalescence model since Eq. (6) is formally similar to Eq. (5). However, a difference exists, apart from combinatorial and phase space factors, which is that the proton density in momentum space in the thermodynamic model is fixed by Eq. (4) to be the equilibrium thermal distribution.

Next, the double differential cross sections for protons and composite particles can be obtained as follows. For each impact parameter  $b$  (suppressed until now), the number of nucleons in the fireball, generated by the geometrical sweeping of the projectile over the target, the velocity  $\beta$  of the fireball, and the energy available per nucleon  $\epsilon$  in the center of mass system of the fireball can be calculated as outlined in Ref. (2). This procedure defines a set of quantities  $\tilde{Z}(b)$ ,  $\tilde{N}(b)$ ,  $\beta(b)$  and  $\epsilon(b) = \frac{3}{2} k T_o(b)$ . Then from Eq. (1), Eq. (2) and Eq. (3), the values of  $N_o [Z, N, b]$



can be evaluated as a function of  $V_0(b)$ . The  $V_0(b)$  should satisfy  $(\tilde{Z}(b) + \tilde{N}(b))/V_0(b) = \tilde{\rho}$ , the thermodynamic density, which is to be taken as independent of  $b$ . The momentum space density in the rest system of the fireball then follows from Eq. (4). This result can then be transformed to the laboratory system and from  $p^2 dp$  to  $dE$  space. The double differential cross sections follow when the resulting expression is integrated over impact parameters. The above scheme outlines a well defined operational procedure for calculating double differential cross section of composite particles in a specific model.

An interesting feature of the model arises when the weak impact parameter dependences of  $T_0(b)$ ,  $\beta(b)$  and  $N_0[0,1;b]/N_0[1,0;b] \equiv R(b)$  are neglected. In this approximation the composite particle cross section can be written as:

$$\frac{d^2\sigma[Z,N]}{dt d\Omega} = \left[ \frac{3}{A^2} \frac{f(Z,N)}{2^A} \bar{R}_0 N \left( \frac{h^3 \tilde{\rho}_p}{G_p} \right)^{A-1} \right] (f(t,\theta))^{A-1} \left( \frac{d^2\sigma[1,0]}{dt d\Omega} \right)^A. \quad (7)$$

Here,  $f(t,\theta)^{-1} \equiv \sqrt{t(t+2m_p)} \bar{\gamma} [t+m_p - \bar{\beta} \sqrt{(t+2m_p)t} \cos\theta]$  with  $t$  the kinetic energy per particle, the  $G_p \equiv \int 2\pi b N_0[1,0;b] db$ , and  $\tilde{\rho}_p = N_0[1,0;b]/V_0(b)$ . The  $\bar{T}_0$ ,  $\bar{\beta}$ ,  $\bar{\gamma} = (1-\bar{\beta}^2)^{-1/2}$ , and  $\bar{R}_0$  are the constant values of these quantities. The result of Eq. (7) is of the form of the coalescence result, (Eq. (2) of Ref. (1)). It thus contains the same correlation in energy and angle between composite particle cross sections and powers of the proton cross sections. However, some departure in correlation is introduced when the impact parameter dependence of  $T_0$ , and  $R_0$  are considered. Moreover, the result allows a formal identification

$$\left(\frac{4\pi P_o}{3\sigma_o}\right)^{3A-1} R_{t,p}^N \frac{1}{Z!N!} \leftrightarrow A^3 R_o^N e^{E_o/kT_o} \left(\frac{h^3 \tilde{\rho}_p}{G_p}\right)^{A-1} \quad (8)$$

where  $\sigma_o$  is the total reaction cross section.

In Eq. (7), the proton cross section is not to be taken from experiment, but is to be calculated using the procedure just outlined. Thus, in energy regions where the calculated proton cross sections differ from the experimental results,<sup>2</sup> we can expect, in general, that the calculated composite particle cross sections will also differ from experiment, since they are correlated to powers of the proton cross sections. Consequently, at this time a detailed comparison of the predictions of this model with experiment will not be made since the composite particle cross section data<sup>1</sup> are in energy regions (less than 100 MeV/nucleon) where large departures from a clean high temperature thermal spectrum (fireball spectrum) for protons are present. These departures are believed to be due to the remnants of the target contributing to the spectra.<sup>5</sup> Moreover, the use of the identification of Eq. (8) to obtain properties of the fireball density at "freeze out" through the extracted  $P_o$ 's of Ref. (1), can also be misleading since the  $P_o$ 's of Ref. (1) refer to the composite particle spectra for energies less than 100 MeV/nucleon. Nevertheless, it is interesting to pursue this correspondence further. Using the extracted  $P_o$ 's of Ref. (1) for the 400 MeV/nucleon Ne<sup>20</sup> and U data, values of  $\tilde{\rho}_z$  follow when use is made of the approximate scaling  $\rho_z/G_z \approx \tilde{\rho}_p/G_p$ ; the  $\tilde{\rho}_z = \tilde{Z}(b)/V_o(b)$  and  $G_z = \int 2\pi b \tilde{Z}(b) db = 4800 \text{ fm}^2$ . The resulting values depend on the composite particle and are  $\tilde{\rho}_z/\rho_1 \cong \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{5}$  for  $\alpha, t, d$ , respectively;

the  $\rho_1 = 0.075$  protons/fm<sup>3</sup> is the nuclear matter density of protons. If the above values of  $P_0$  were appropriate in the energy range above 100 MeV/nucleon, then the conclusion could be drawn that there does not exist a single set of equilibrium conditions that would consistently explain all the cross section. It is interesting to note that these "freeze out" densities are slightly less than the central density of the corresponding composite system. Thus, if higher energy composite particle data result in the same values of  $P_0$  given above, a model could perhaps be developed which incorporates the correlation between the "freeze out" density and the central density of the composite.

In summary, a thermodynamic model, whose foundation is based on the equilibrium big bang model, is investigated to see if it has any relevance to the composite particle spectra that can be seen in relativistic heavy ion collisions. The model, if valid, would apply to the energy region in the spectrum of a composite particle above 100 MeV/nucleon. Unfortunately, at present, no data exists in the appropriate range for the composite particles. It therefore would be interesting to have such data to see if a high energy thermal component is present, and if so, to use it to extract the thermal properties of the fireball.


The author would like to thank N. Glendenning, M. Gyulassy, W. Myers, B. Price, M. Redlich, and W. Swiatecki for many helpful discussions, and the experimentalists of Ref. (1), especially J. Gosset and G. Westfall for helpful comments and suggestions.

References

1. H. Gutbrod, A. Sandoval, P. Johansen, A. Poskanzer, J. Gosset, W. Meyer, G. Westfall, and R. Stock, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 667 (1976).
2. G. D. Westfall, J. Gosset, P. Johansen, A. Poskanzer, W. Meyer, H. Gutbrod, A. Sandoval, and R. Stock, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 1201 (1976).
3. E. Burbidge, G. Burbidge, W. Fowler, and F. Hoyle, RMP 29, 547 (1957).
4. A. Schwarzschild and C. Zupancic, Phys. Rev. 129, 854 (1963).
5. Private communications with authors of Ref. (1).

Figure Caption

Fig. 1. The ratio of d,t,  $\alpha$  to p as a function of the thermodynamic volume  $V_0$  or density  $\tilde{\rho}$ . The evaluation is for  $kT_0 = 50$  MeV and for  $\tilde{Z} = 30$ ,  $\tilde{N} = 30$ , which are typical values in Ref. (2). For 60 nucleons, normal nuclear matter, with density  $\rho_0 = 0.15$  nucleon/fm<sup>3</sup>, occupies a volume 400 fm<sup>3</sup>. From the figure, we note the persistence of a large d/p ratio even in diffuse regions. A small observed d/p ratio could indicate a diffuse  $\tilde{\rho}$ . A large  $\alpha/p$  ratio could indicate a dense  $\tilde{\rho}$ .



XBL 7612-11104

Fig. 1

This report was done with support from the United States Energy Research and Development Administration. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the United States Energy Research and Development Administration.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION  
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720