Title

Peatland microbial community responses to plant functional group and drought are depth-dependent

Journal

Molecular Ecology, 30(20)

ISSN

0962-1083

Authors

Lamit, Louis J

Romanowicz, Karl J

Potvin, Lynette R

et al.

Publication Date

2021-10-01

DOI

10.1111/mec.16125

Peer reviewed

Peatland microbial community responses to plant functional group and drought are depth-dependent

Running title: Peat microbial communities and global change

Louis J. Lamit[1,*], Karl J. Romanowicz[1,*], Lynette R. Potvin[2,*], Jay T. Lennon[3], Susannah G. Tringe[4], Rodney A. Chimner[1], Randall K. Kolka[5], Evan S. Kane[1,2], Erik A. Lilleskov[2]

[1]College of Forest Resources and Environmental Science, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI 49931, USA.

[2]USDA Forest Service Northern Research Station, Houghton, MI 49931, USA.

[3]Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA.

[4]DOE Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.

[5]USDA Forest Service Northern Research Station, Grand Rapids, MN 55744, USA

[*] Current Addresses. Louis J. Lamit: Department of Biology, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244, USA, and Department of Environmental and Forest Biology, State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse, New York 13210, USA. Karl J. Romanowicz: Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA. Lynette R. Potvin: Isle Royale National Park, Houghton, MI 49931, USA.

Corresponding author: LJ Lamit. 315-446-4368, ljlamit@syr.edu, ORCID Identifier 0000-0002-0385-6010

Abstract - Peatlands store one-third of Earth's soil carbon, the stability of which is uncertain due to climate change-driven shifts in hydrology and vegetation, and consequent impacts on microbial communities that mediate decomposition. Peatland carbon cycling varies over steep physicochemical gradients characterizing vertical peat profiles. However, it is unclear how drought-mediated changes in plant functional groups (PFGs) and water table (WT) levels affect microbial communities at different depths. We combined a multi-year mesocosm experiment with community sequencing across a 70 cm depth gradient, to test the hypotheses that vascular PFGs (Ericaceae vs. sedges) and WT (high vs. low) structure peatland microbial communities in depth-dependent ways. Several key results emerged. 1) Both fungal and prokaryote (bacteria and archaea) community structure shifted with WT and PFG manipulation, but fungi were much more sensitive to PFG whereas prokaryotes were much more sensitive to WT. 2) PFG effects were largely driven by Ericaceae, although sedge effects were evident in specific cases (e.g., methanotrophs). 3) Treatment effects varied with depth: the influence of PFG was strongest in shallow peat (0-10, 10-20 cm), whereas WT effects were strongest at the surface and middle depths (0-10, 30-40 cm), and all treatment effects waned in the deepest peat (60-70 cm). Our results underscore the depth-dependent and taxon-specific ways that plant communities and hydrologic variability shape peatland microbial communities, pointing to the importance of understanding how these factors integrate across soil profiles when examining peatland responses to climate change.

Keywords - Ericaceae, microbial community, peatlands, plant functional group, soil depth, water table

Introduction

Hydrology is the main driver of wetland ecosystem structure and function (Mitsch & Gosselink, 2015), and climate change-driven alterations to hydrology are having extensive impacts on earth's wetlands (Junk et al., 2013; Moomaw et al., 2018). Because wetlands are globally important carbon storage reservoirs and methane sources, their responses to climate change will likely feed back to further modulate climate (Bardgett, Freeman, & Ostle, 2008; Davidson & Janssens, 2005; Zhang et al., 2017). This is a particularly important issue for carbon-accumulating wetlands (peatlands) which contain approximately one-third of Earth's soil carbon, more than twice the carbon stored aboveground in Earth's tropical rain forests (Joosten & Couwenberg, 2008). Drier conditions in peatlands can alter carbon cycles and expose carbon formerly sequestered below the water table (WT) to aerobic microbial oxidation (Bragazza, Parisod, Buttler, & Bardgett, 2013; Bridgham, Pastor, Dewey, Weltzin, & Updegraff, 2008; Davidson & Janssens, 2005; Freeman, Ostle, & Kang, 2001; Kane et al., 2019).

In addition to direct effects on hydrology, climate change can also alter peatland plant communities, which has extended consequences for carbon cycling (Bragazza et al., 2013; Dieleman, Branfireun, McLaughlin, & Lindo, 2016; Jassey et al., 2018; Potvin, Kane, Chimner, Kolka, & Lileskov, 2015). Bogs and poor fens of the northern hemisphere are dominated by *Sphagnum* mosses whose highly recalcitrant tissues form the bulk of peat-building organic matter (van Breeman, 1995; Rydin & Jeglum, 2013). Growing in the *Sphagnum* matrix are dwarf shrubs in the Ericaceae and graminoids in the Cyperaceae (hereafter Sedges), two vascular plant functional groups (PFGs) with distinctive chemical, morphological and carbon allocation traits that influence ecosystem processes (Crow & Wieder, 2005; Dorrepaal, Cornelissen, Aerts, Wallén, & van Logtestijn, 2005; Rydin & Jeglum, 2013; Ward et al. 2015). Ericaceae lack

aerenchyma but form adventitious roots as their stems are buried in accumulating peat (Rydin & Jeglum, 2013). This allows Ericaceae to proliferate in the acrotelm, the frequently oxic, upper part of peat soil profiles (Moore, Bubier, Frolking, Lafleur, & Roulet, 2002; Wallén, 1987). In contrast, aerenchyma allows sedges to dominate wetter sites, and to extend active roots into the catotelm, the deeper anoxic peat below the WT (Moore et al., 2002; Rydin & Jeglum, 2013). Strong evidence supports a shift towards dominance by Ericaceae when peatlands become drier (Bragazza et al., 2013; Breeuwer et al., 2009; Chimner, Pypker, Hribljan, Moore, & Waddington, 2017; Malhotra et al., 2020; Potvin et al., 2015; Weltzin, Bridgham, Pastor, Chen, & Harth, 2003), a pattern driven in part by the divergent root traits of Ericaceae and sedges.

The roots of PFGs interact with peatland microbial communities to further modulate ecosystem processes. Ericaceae roots form mutualistic symbioses with ericoid mycorrhizal fungi (ErMF) which have the enzymatic capacity to degrade some forms of complex organic matter to access immobilized nutrients (Cairney & Burke, 1998; Martino et al., 2018; Read, Leake, & Perez-Moreno, 2004). Ready access to host photosynthate should make ErMF taxa strong competitors with free-living saprotrophs (Verbruggen, Pena, Fernandez, & Soong, 2017). The differential abilities of ErMF vs saprotrophic fungi to degrade organic matter, coupled with the high phenolic content of ericaceous litter (Bragazza et al., 2013; Dorrepaal et al., 2005), have consequences for decomposition rates and ecosystem carbon storage (Bragazza et al., 2013; Orwin, Kirschbaum, St John, & Dickie, 2011; Ward et al., 2015; Verbruggen et al., 2017) that potentially carryover to affect microbial taxa that utilize byproducts of decomposition. Although both PFGs are colonized by endophytic fungi with poorly known functions, peatland sedges do not typically host mycorrhizal fungi (Thormann, Currah, & Bayley, 1999; Weishampel & Bedford, 2006). Therefore, some of the most important effects of sedges on microbial

communities are likely mediated through their influence on free-living taxa. Sedge roots can be sources of labile carbon that fuel processes such as fermentation and methanogenesis, while aerenchyma-enabled rhizosphere oxygenation may allow aerobic microorganisms to be active around roots in water saturated conditions and promote unique biogeochemical processes at the sharp oxygen concentration gradients associated with rhizospheres (Chanton et al., 2008; Lamers et al., 2012; Rupp, Kane, Dieleman, Keller, & Turetsky, 2019). A number of studies provide important experimental evidence that PFGs uniquely influence aspects of peatland microbial communities or associated carbon cycling processes (e.g., Robroek et al., 2015; Rupp et al., 2019; Ward et al., 2015). However, there remains a large gap in our understanding of who in the microbial communities is affected, because tools that provide the resolution necessary to fully characterize the composition of diverse fungal, bacterial and archaeal communities (e.g., high throughput amplicon sequencing) have not been extensively applied.

Several key points highlight the importance of accounting for WT and sampling depth when understanding PFG effects on peatland microbial communities. First, there is clear evidence that microbial communities shift with changes in WT (Emsens et al., 2020; Jassey et al., 2018; Urbanová & Barta, 2016), however concomitant shifts in vegetation can sometimes make it difficult to fully decouple WT from PFG effects. Second, microbial communities can change dramatically with increasing depth in peat profiles, in part a direct result of WTs excluding obligate aerobes from living in anoxic conditions deep in peat profiles (Artz et al., 2007; Asemaninejad, Thorn, & Lindo, 2017; Asemaninejad et al., 2019; Andersen, Chapman, & Artz, 2013; Emsens et al., 2020; Kotiaho et al., 2013; Lamit et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2014). This is one reason why the abundance of fungi, most of which prefer oxic conditions (Kavanagh, 2011), drops dramatically with depth in peatlands (Golovchenko, Dobrovol'skaya, & Inisheva, 2002;

Lamit et al. 2017; Lin et al. 2014). Third, microbial community depth stratification should also be a product of the unique effects of plant roots along peat profiles, with different PFGs having distinct depth effects based on their differences in rooting depth. Taken together, these points emphasize the need for experimental decoupling of WT and PFG effects on peatland microbial communities, within the context of depth.

We conducted a multi-year mesocosm experiment to examine how microbial communities are shaped by seasonal drought and contrasting PFGs. We hypothesized, **H1)** manipulation of PFGs will shift microbial community structure, with these effects being unique to each PFG and strongly depth-dependent. We specifically predicted that Ericaceae removal will have its strongest effect in the upper peat profile, while sedge removal should influence communities along a greater length of the peat profile. Next, we hypothesized, **H2)** WT manipulation will shift microbial community structure, with these effects also being strongly depth-dependent. Although WT impacts are likely broad, the most distinct effects of WT should occur at depths where the WT is the most dynamic and distinct between the treatments, and is known to manifest a strong influence on peat and porewater chemistry (Kane et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2014). Given that the depth-dependent effects of each PFG are in part a consequence of the different ways that sedges and Ericaceae interact with oxic vs anoxic conditions, we further hypothesized, **H3)** the responses of microbial communities to manipulation of one factor (PFG or WT) will be dependent on the level of the other factor and these interactive effects will in turn be dependent on depth in the peat profile. Understanding how climate change mediated changes in WT and PFGs impact microorganisms along peat depth gradients is important because these are some of the earth's most taxonomically and functionally diverse groups of organisms and because their activities influence the most carbon-rich ecosystems on earth, peatlands.

Materials and Methods

Experimental study system

PEATcosm was a mesocosm experiment designed to test the influence of seasonal drought and PFG on peatland ecosystems. Detailed descriptions of the experimental design, peat characteristics, porewater chemistry and vegetation can be found in Kane et al. (2019), Lamit et al. (2017), and Potvin et al. (2015). The experiment contained twenty-four ~1 m^3 peat monoliths excavated from an oligotrophic acidic (pH = ~ 4) *Sphagnum* peatland in Minnesota, USA (N47.07278°, W92.73167°), in May 2010. The monoliths were installed in the Houghton Mesocosm Facility, USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station, Forestry Sciences Laboratory in Houghton, Michigan (N47.11469°, W88.54787°). Monoliths were naturally vegetated by a continuous layer of *Sphagnum* mosses (primarily *Sphagnum rubellum*, but some *S. magellanicum and S. fuscum*), with *Polytrichum strictum* also present, and a vascular community of Ericaceae (primarily *Chamaedaphne calyculata*, *Kalmia polifolia*, *Vaccinium oxycoccus*) and sedges (*Carex oligosperma*, *Eriophorum vaginatum*). PFG manipulation was initiated in June 2011 and included Ericaceae removal, sedge removal and unmanipulated vegetation treatments (n = 8 per PFG treatment). PFG treatments were carried out by a combination of gentle removal of target species' stems plus roots when avoidance of moss damage was possible, followed by clipping all remaining aboveground tissues. PFG treatments were subsequently maintained by clipping growth of excluded PFGs on a weekly basis, as needed. WT manipulations were imposed by maintaining 12 mesocosms at average (high WT) and 12 at summer drought (low WT) conditions (n = 4 replicates per WT x PFG treatment). WT manipulation was carried out with rain-out shelters, artificial rainwater addition and controlled

drainage in the spring and after heavy rains at the acrotelm-catotelm boundary (~25 cm depth). The depth separation of WT treatments was small in year one (2011), intermediate in year two (2012), and the greatest in years three and four (2013, 2014) to simulate strong summer drought (Fig S1).

Peat sampling and molecular methods

Peat for the focal dataset presented here was collected from four depth increments below the peat surface (0-10, 10-20, 30-40, 60-70 cm) in late August/early September in year three of the experiment, using a 5.08 cm diameter circular corer fitted to an electric drill. Additional samples for complementary datasets were collected in late August/early September of year one and in late July of year four using a 2.54 cm (year one) or 7.62 cm (year four) diameter corer from two depth increments (10-20, 30-40 cm). Different diameter corers and sampling dates were necessary to accommodate a variety of intended uses for the peat, which varied depending on the year, and coring multiple times a season with a smaller corer would have created excessive disturbance in the mesocosms. However, in all years each 10 cm depth increment was not homogenized but instead a vertical split representative of approximately 25 ml of peat was subsampled from each for DNA work, ensuring the volume represented by the sampled material remained comparable among years. Upon collection, samples were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 ºC.

Samples were pulverized using a mortar and pestle under liquid nitrogen, followed by a coffee grinder. DNA was extracted from 0.5 g of ground peat from each sample using a PowerSoil DNA Isolation kit, cleaned with a PowerClean DNA Clean-Up kit (MoBio Laboratories; now Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA), and quantified with a Qubit Fluorometer

(Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). DNA amplicon sequencing was conducted at the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute (JGI, Walnut Creek, California, USA; now Berkeley, California) following Caporaso et al. (2012), with small modifications (see Coleman-Derr et al., 2016; Tremblay et al., 2015). PCR amplification utilized the primers 515F and 806R (Caporaso et al., 2012) targeting the bacterial and archaeal 16S V4 region, and fITS9 (Ihrmark et al., 2012) and ITS4 (White, Bruns, Lee, & Taylor, 1990) targeting the fungal ITS2 region. Primers were fitted with Illumina adaptors and the reverse primer contained an 11bp barcode. Samples were pooled into equimolar portions and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA) using 2 x 250 bp (year one) or 2 x 300 bp (years three, four) chemistry.

Bioinformatics

Processing of DNA sequence reads proceeded as follows. Illumina adapters and PhiX 174 were removed with BBDuk (sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/), and 3' and 5' PCR primers were trimmed with Cutadapt 1.18 (Martin, 2011). Paired reads were merged with BBmerg (Bushnell, Rood, & Singer, 2017), and those with expected error rate >1 and/or ambiguous bases were removed with VSEARCH 2.5.1 (Rognes, Flouri, Nichols, Quince, & Mahé, 2016). The 5.8S (94 bases) and 28S (35 bases) flanks were trimmed from ITS2 reads with Cutadapt, and resulting amplicons < 95 bases long were filtered. 16S V4 reads were not trimmed, but those exceeding ± 8 bases from the median length (253 bases) were excluded. Chimera detection and removal was implemented with the VSEARCH plug-in for QIIME 2 (Bolyen et al., 2019) using the UNITE UCHIME ITS2 reference dataset (v. 7.2; Nilsson et al., 2015), and the SILVA 16S dataset (128 QIIME release; Quast et al., 2013). De novo operational taxonomic units (OTUs)

were created with the QIIME 2 VSEARCH plug-in by first clustering at 98.5% similarity, then clustering the resulting OTU reference sequences at 97% similarity. 97% OTUs were curated with LULU (min match = 90, min relative cooccurrence = 0.95; Frøslev et al., 2017), followed by the removal of OTUs with < 10 reads in the dataset (Lamit et al., 2017).

The OTU matrices were further filtered and annotated. The QIIME 2 naive Bayes feature-classifier plug-in (Bokulich et al., 2018; Pedregosa et al., 2011) was used to assign taxonomy with the UNITE all eukaryote dynamic species hypothesis dataset for fungi (v. 8.0, released 02.02.2019; Kõljalg et al., 2013) and the SILVA 16S +18S dataset for prokaryotes (128 QIIME release; Quast et al., 2013). OTUs not assigned the taxonomy of target lineages were excluded. Next, ITS2 OTU representative sequences were aligned to the UNITE species hypothesis dynamic fungal dataset (v. 8.0, released 02.02.2019) using BLAST in QIIME 2, and reads that did not match at least ≥ 70% of their length to fungi with a similarity of ≥ 75% were filtered (Tedersoo et al., 2015). To further remove potential non-target sequences, the above 16S V4 pipeline was run with 28 marine samples originally sequenced on the same plate as the year one peat samples. Although rare in the dataset, OTUs that occurred in year one peat samples and the marine samples, and had BLAST matches to salt-tolerant taxa reported from marine/saline systems in NCBI DNA sequence database (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) were removed as potential contaminants. The datasets were then rarified to 5000 reads per sample prior to all statistical analyses. Tentative functional groups were assigned with FAPROTAX (Louca, Parfrey, & Doebeli, 2016) and FUNGuild (Nguyen et al., 2016), with further refinement based on literature searches.

Statistical analyses

Our first set of analyses examined overall patterns of OTU composition over the course of the experiment, with the aim of identifying when treatment effects began to manifest most distinctly and if the focal dataset (year three) was representative of the overall treatment effects of the experiment. PerMANOVA (Anderson, 2001) was used to separately examine the two peat depths sampled in all years (10-20 cm, 30-40 cm), with each analysis including the fixed effects of WT (high, low), presence/absence of Ericaceae, presence/absence of sedges, year, and all possible interactions among these effects. Each model also included block as a fixed effect, and individual mesocosm as a random effect to account for non-independence of samples from the same mesocosm. Modeling treatments in this way allowed for assessment of the presence of each vascular PFG (as opposed to the removal of the other PFG) because each PFG was present in mesocosms with and without the other PFG, but a direct Ericaceae by sedge interaction could not be tested. For all PerMANOVAs, we also report the square root of the estimated component of variation for each factor; these are in Bray-Curtis units (scaled between 0 and 100), and can be used for comparing the relative importance of terms in a model for explaining the overall variation in community composition (Andersen, Gorley & Clarke, 2008). Removal or pooling of model terms with negative estimates for components of variation (Andersen, Gorley & Clarke, 2008) had little effect on the significance tests or estimates of components of variation for the remaining terms in any of the PerMANOVA we ran, therefore all terms were always retained for simplicity. These analyses were complemented with canonical analysis of principal coordinates (CAP; Andersen & Willis 2003) to visualize communities. PerMANOVA and CAP were run using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. Prior to analyses, OTU matrices were relativized as proportions of sample read totals followed by 4^{th} root transformation to down-weight dominant OTUs. PerMANOVAs were conducted with Type III sums of squares, using permutation of residuals

from partial models. PerMANOVA was run in Primer 6.1.15 with PERMANOVA+ 1.0.5 (PRIMER-E, Plymouth, UK), and other analyses utilized *Vegan* (Oksanen et al., 2019) in R 3.6.3 (R core team, 2020).

To gain deeper insight into the depth-specific microbial community responses to PFG and WT manipulations, we focused our more detailed analyses on microbial communities along the 70 cm depth gradient sampled in year three. First, PerMANOVAs were run using the equivalent model structure as those for the multi-year analysis described above, substituting a depth effect for the year effect. Second, PerMANOVAs were also run individually for each depth using the fixed effects of WT, presence/absence of Ericaceae, presence/absence of sedges, block and two-way interactions between WT and the presence/absence of each PFG. Third, CAP ordinations were used to visualize OTU composition. Fourth, indicator species analysis was used to identify the 25 strongest indicator OTUs associated with each depth and treatment using the R package *indicspecies* (De Cáceres & Legendre, 2009). Fifth, linear mixed models were used to examine the responses of OTU richness and total archaea, and the relative abundances of a limited set of select functional groups with known relevance to carbon cycling (ErMF, lignocellulose-degrading fungi, methanotrophic bacteria, methanogenic archaea). These models included the fixed effects of depth, WT, presence/absence of sedges and Ericaceae, and all possible interactions among these fixed effects, plus the fixed effect of block and the random effect of mesocosm. Linear mixed models were fit in R with *lmerTest* (Kuznetsova, Brockhoff, & Christensen, 2017) using the Kenward-Roger approximation for *F*-tests. The *emmeans* package (Russell, 2020) was used to generate marginal means from linear mixed models, and the *effectsize* package (Ben-Shachar, Makowski & Lüdecke, 2020) was used to obtain partial-ω^2 values to use as effects sizes for comparison between model terms.

The final set of analyses utilized structural equation modeling with the depth gradient data from year three (Fig. S2). Our specific goals were to: 1) test if the effect of PFG manipulation was primarily due to the alteration of plant species composition as opposed to unmeasured variables affected by PFG manipulation, 2) examine the potential for WT to modulate PFG effects through modification of the plant community, and 3) measure how the strength of PFG and WT effects changed with depth. Separate models were created for each microbial community, at each depth. Variables used in the models included a vector for WT treatment (0 = high WT, 1 = low WT), a matrix coding for PFG treatment, matrices representing Ericaceae and sedge species composition, and the fungal or prokaryote matrices utilized in all previous analyses. The PFG treatment matrix had three columns, each representing a different treatment group, that were coded as 1 or 0 denoting the treatment applied to the mesocosm a sample originated from. The sedge and Ericaceae matrices were point-intercept data from Potvin et al., (2015) measured in year three, and expressed as the % of intercepts represented by each species to emphasize shifts in absolute abundances. A small constant was added as a dummy species to each plant community matrix to account for some mesocosms lacking members of sedges or Ericaceae. Model sub-components were tested with multiple regression on distance matrices (Lichstein, 2007) with ranked data using *ecodist* (Goslee & Urban, 2007) in R, and model fit was assessed with directional separation tests (Shipley, 2000) calculated manually.

Results

Diverse communities were recovered through amplicon sequencing. The rarefied fungal ITS2 dataset contained 189 samples (sample size: year one = 48, year three =96, year four = 45; 945,000 sequences), and in all years was dominated by Helotiales (Ascomycota), followed by

Agaricales, Sebacinales and Polyporales (Basidiomycota) (Fig. S3A). In total, there were 1,193 fungal OTUs, with an average of 64.1 OTUs per sample (stdev = 17.8, range = 25-117 OTUs). The rarefied prokaryote dataset contained 191 samples (sample size: year one = 48, year three = 96, year four = 47; 955,000 sequences). In all years, bacteria were dominated by Acidobacteria and Proteobacteria, while Archaea were dominated by Euryarchaeota, followed by Thaumarchaeota and Bathyarchaeota (Fig. S3B). There was a total of 7,353 prokaryote OTUs, with an average of 606.0 OTUs per sample (stdev = 158.1, range = 235-897 OTUs).

Response of community composition across four years of WT and PFG manipulation

Changes in OTU composition over time supported the hypotheses that PFG and WT manipulation alter microbial communities (H1, H2) but provided no evidence for their interaction (H3). At the 10-20 cm depth, fungal and prokaryote composition were influenced by the presence of Ericaceae and WT manipulation (Table 1; Fig. 1A, 1B). The components of variation from the PerMANOVA models indicate that the main effect of Ericaceae on fungal composition was ~50% greater than that of WT at 10-20 cm, while the main effect of Ericaceae on prokaryotes was 25% less than for WT (Table 1). At the 30-40 cm depth, WT was a slightly stronger influence on fungi than was the presence of Ericaceae, while the influence of WT on prokaryote composition was more than twice the strength of the marginally significant influence of Ericaceae (Table 1; Fig. 1C, 1D). At both depths, many of the treatment effects manifested most strongly in years three and four (significant treatment by year interactions; Table 1), which is visually apparent in CAP ordinations (Fig. 1). When integrating over the course of the experiment there was no evidence for a sedge effect on OTU composition at either depth (Table 1). Importantly, patterns in OTU composition across years confirmed that our focal depth

gradient dataset (year three) was representative of the broader PFG and WT effects over the course of the experiment (Fig. 1).

Community responses over the year three 70 cm peat depth gradient

Community depth stratification — Depth had the largest influence on OTU composition of any factor included in the full depth gradient PerMANOVA models (Table 1), and all depths showed uniqueness in fungal and prokaryote communities relative to other depths (Fig 2, S3). All depths had indicator OTUs that were members of the Helotiales, while 0-10 and 60-70 cm depths also had many indicator OTUs representing a broader set of additional fungal lineages (Table S1). Fungal indicators of the 0-10 and 10-20 cm depths included ErMF, plant pathogens, general saprotrophs and lignocellulose degraders, while indicators of the 30-40 and 60-70 cm depths included non-mycorrhizal root associates, general saprotrophs and lignocellulose degraders (Table S1). Many prokaryote indicators, especially in the 0-10, 10-20 and 30-40 cm depths, were from acid tolerant groups (e.g., Acidobacteriaceae, Acetobacteraceae). In the deepest depth (60-70 cm) there was an increase in Deltaproteobacteria and archaeal indicator OTUs, many of which are adapted to reduced conditions (e.g., methanogens, sulfate reducers; Table S1). Prokaryote and fungal OTU richness decreased with depth, while total archaea relative abundance increased (Table 2; Fig. S4).

Community composition responses to PFG and WT across the 70 cm depth gradient — In support of H1, fungal and prokaryote OTU composition exhibited depth-specific responses to PFG manipulation, although responses were only driven by Ericaceae (Table 1, 3). The influence of Ericaceae on fungi and prokaryotes was distinctive in the upper depths (0-10, 10-20 cm) and disappeared in the deeper depths (30-40, 60-70 cm; Table 1, 3; Fig. 2). Microbial communities in

346 mesocosms with and without Ericaceae exhibited depth-specific differences in their top indicator
347 OTUs, but there were also general patterns. ErMF OTUs were some of the top fungal indicators
348 of mesocosms with Ericaceae, while root endophytes and lignocellulose degraders were top
349 indicators of mesocosms lacking Ericaceae (Table S1). Of particular note is the lignocellulose-
350 degrading genus *Galerina* whose members are top indicators of the absence of Ericaceae at 0-10
351 and 10-20 cm (Table S1), and have high relative abundances in these depths (Fig. S5).
352 Mesocosms lacking Ericaceae included indicators from 10 different bacteria phyla and indicators
353 of mesocosms containing Ericaceae were primarily Proteobacteria, but both treatments included
354 some Acidobacteria indicators (Table S1).
355 Fungal and prokaryote OTU composition also exhibited depth-dependent responses to
356 WT manipulation, in support of H2, but there was no evidence for WT x PFG interactions (H3).
357 The WT effect in the upper depths was stronger in the surface (0-10 cm) than subsurface (10-20
358 cm) peat for both communities. Despite this, at the upper two depths the strength of the WT
359 effect on fungal OTU composition was subordinate to the Ericaceae effect, whereas this pattern
360 was reversed for prokaryotes (Table 3, Fig. 2). Prokaryote composition was the most divergent
361 between WT groups at the 30-40 cm peat depth, while the influence of WT on fungi at this depth
362 was clearly far weaker than for prokaryotes (Table 3; Fig. 2). Neither fungal nor prokaryote
363 composition responded to WT at 60-70 cm (Table 3). The responses of composition were driven
364 by large depth-specific shifts in indicator OTUs for both fungi and prokaryotes (Table S1). For
365 example, fungal indicators of the high WT treatment in the surface peat (0-10 cm) were primarily
366 non-ErMF taxa likely associated with living or recently dead plant tissues, and indicators of the
367 low WT treatment included a higher proportion of ErMF (Table S1). In contrast, indicators of the
368 high WT treatment at 30-40 cm represented a very broad range of functions whereas the 30-40

cm low WT indicators were primarily non-mycorrhizal root-associates (Table S1). Interestingly, an OTU assigned to the Methanomicrobia (hydrogenotrophic methanogens) was an indicator of the high WT treatment in the three upper depths (Table S1), and three known methanotrophs were top indicators of the 10-20 cm depth high WT treatment.

Microbial functional group and OTU richness responses to PFG and WT across the 70 cm depth gradient —Microbial functional groups and OTU richness exhibited complex responses to PFG, WT and/or PFG x WT interactions, lending support to all hypotheses (Table 2; Fig. 3). Total ErMF relative abundance was strongly suppressed by Ericaceae removal and the high WT treatment in the upper two depths (0-10, 10-20 cm; Table 2, Fig. 3A). Total lignocellulose degrading fungi increased in relative abundance in the absence of Ericaceae, especially in the upper depths (Table 2; Fig. 3B). The response of lignocellulose degraders remained significant after including total ErMF relative abundance as a covariate in the mixed model, indicating that the response was not solely driven by the removal of ErMF from the DNA pool (Ericaceae effect: $F_{(1,21.3)} = 4.87$, $P = 0.038$; marginal means ± 1 SE : Ericaceae present = 0.08 ±0.01, Ericaceae absent = 0.17 ± 0.05). Methanogens and total archaea had elevated relative abundances in high WT, except at 60-70 cm, with methanogen relative abundance peaking in the 30-40 cm high WT treatment (Table 2; Fig. 3C, S4C). Ericaceae removal tended to increase methanotroph relative abundance with high WT and decrease it in low WT at most depths (especially prominent at 10-20 and 30-40 cm) whereas sedge removal generally decreased methanotroph relative abundance (Table 2; Fig. 3D). The major fungal and prokaryote taxa within these functional groups often but not always followed the overall patterns of the group as a whole (Fig. S5).

OTU richness results partially supported H1, H2 and H3. High WTs clearly depressed

fungal richness in the surface peat (0-10 cm), although WT was not a significant overall effect in the model (Table 2; Fig S4A). Prokaryote richness was influenced by interactions among Ericaceae, WT, depth and sedges, with results only marginally significant in some cases (Table 2; Fig. S4B). For example, in the 0-10 cm depth the highest richness occurred in mesocosms lacking Ericaceae, and at the 30-40 cm depth prokaryote OTU richness was elevated in low WT treatments but this pattern reversed at 60-70 cm (Fig. S4B). Sedge removal tended to elevate prokaryote OTU richness in the high WT treatment at the 0-10 cm depth, whereas a similar effect was evident in the low WT treatment at the 10-20 cm depth (Fig. S4B).

Structural equation modeling — SEM supported the hypotheses concerning depth-dependent effects of PFG and WT (H1, H2), with several key results. First, the variation in fungal composition explained by the models was greatest in the 0-10 and 10-20 depths, the greatest variation in prokaryote composition was explained by models for the 0-10 and 30-40 cm depths, and the models for the 60-70 cm depth explained almost no variation in either community (Fig. 4A, 4B). Second, PFG treatment effects were stronger on fungi than prokaryotes and were most pronounced at 0-10 and 10-20 cm, while WT effects were stronger on prokaryotes than fungi and were more pronounced in the 0-10 and 30-40 cm depths (Fig. 4). Third, at the 0-10 cm depth the effect of PFG manipulation primarily occurred through changes in Ericaceae composition. In contrast, initial model fit tests at 10-20 cm ($P < 0.1$) indicated the need for a direct path from PFG treatment to microbial communities. This direct path to OTU composition (Fig. 4A, 4B) was equivalent or greater than the compound effect of PFGs acting through Ericaceae composition at 10-20 cm (compound effect: fungi partial *rho* = 0.24, prokaryotes partial *rho* = 0.04; Table S2.). Fourth, WT influenced Ericaceae composition, but the effect of WT on microbial communities through Ericaceae was small (Table S2).

Discussion

Our results reveal the strength and depth-dependence of WT and PFG effects on microbial communities. The strikingly greater impact of PFG on fungi near the surface contrasted with the stronger impact of WT on prokaryotes across a broader range of depths. These patterns can be explained by abiotic and biotic factors: the intolerance of most fungi to anoxic conditions (Kavanagh, 2011) constraining most taxa to shallow peat, and the colocation of the dominant ErMF with their shallowly-rooted Ericaceae hosts (Moore et al., 2002; Wallén, 1987). In contrast, the broad range of moisture niches, metabolic pathways, and redox tolerance among soil prokaryotes (e.g., Bodelier & Dedysh, 2013; Lennon, Aanderud, Lehmkuhl, & Schoolmaster, 2012) and the strong sensitivity of prokaryote communities to changes in soil moisture (e.g., Bapiri, Bååth, & Rousk, 2010; Barnard, Osborne, & Firestone, 2013), explain their shift with WT treatments in both drier surface peat as well as at acrotelm/catotelm boundary where redox conditions are most dynamic (Kane et al., 2019; Tfaily et al., 2018). These depth-dependent effects indicate that WT and PFG are among the key shapers of the vertical physicochemical gradients that structure peatland microbial communities (Andersen, Chapman & Artz 2013; Artz et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2014), the activities of which then feed back to modulate carbon cycling along the peat profile (Chanton et al., 2009; Kane et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2014; Tfaily et al., 2018). Although discussions on wetland carbon cycling usually emphasize the role of anoxic reducing conditions (e.g., Schlesenger & Bernhart, 2013), most carbon inputs from primary production in bogs and poor fens derive from senesced *Sphagnum* in the largely oxic acrotelm (van Breeman, 1995; Rydin & Jeglum, 2013), making aerobic organisms instrumental as the initial transformers of peatland organic matter. As this partially degraded

organic matter transitions into the catotelm, anaerobic metabolism becomes paramount, which is reflected in the OTU composition in the deeper depths of PEATcosm and other studies (e.g., Lin et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2019). Hence, the microbial community present at a peat depth sets bounds on how the community can change with drought and changes in dominant PFGs, and the integrated responses of fungi and prokaryotes along the profile may influence the magnitude of CO_2 and CH_4 released from peatlands under different climate change scenarios.

Responses to PFG manipulation

As predicted, the influence of Ericaceae was greatest in the upper peat, which suggests a restructuring of the community involved in aerobic carbon cycling with changes in dominant PFGs. The presence of Ericaceae can have a strong impact on fungal communities in surface peat (Ward et al., 2015; Kennedy, Mielke, & Nguyen, 2018), and observational studies indicate that links between microbial communities and vegetation composition decline with depth in the peat profile (e.g., Artz et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2014). ErMF showed a marked decrease in abundance when Ericaceae were removed, indicating a preference for host photosynthate despite the free-living saprotrophic capabilities of some ErMF (Martino et al., 2018). Of particular interest is that relative abundance of the dominant ErMF Ascomycota, *Hyaloscypha ericae* (deprecated synonyms are *Pezoloma ericae* and *Rhizoscyphus ericae*), was depressed less by Ericaceae removal than the dominant ErMF Basidiomycota, *Serindipita* spp., possibly indicating a greater degree of host dependency in the latter or more dormant propagules in the former. Ericaceae also influenced a variety of non-ErMF fungi and prokaryotes, which may be driven by several mechanisms. ErMF may competitively suppress saprotrophs (Verbruggen et al., 2017). In particular, one of the most abundant saprotroph genera in surface depths, *Galerina*, responded

very positively to removal of Ericaceae. These *Galerina* species are *Sphagnum* peatland specialists (Gulden, Stensrud, Shalchian-Tabrizi, & Kauserud. 2005, Castellano 2003), and the lignocellulose degrading capability of fungi in the genus (Nagendran, Hallen-Adams, Paper, Aslam, & Walton. 2009; Riley et al., 2014) suggest that these species are adapted to *Sphagnum* as a substrate. If their activity is suppressed by ErMF it could also lead to greater accumulation of partially-degraded *Sphagnum* litter, because ErMF do not possess the complete suite of lignin-degrading enzymes, most notably class II peroxidases. Although *Sphagnum* does not technically produce lignin, it does have analogous chemical components that resist hydrolytic decomposition (Bengtsson, Rydin, & Hájek. 2018). Some taxa may also utilize the byproducts from ErMF decomposition of organic matter. Ericaceae tissues also represent a direct input of carbon into surface peat through exudates, senescence, and leaching phenolics that may act as unique microbial substrates and/or inhibitors (Weigang, Artz, & Johnson, 2008).

Two unexpected findings about the PFG effects are worth noting. First, SEM suggested the effect of Ericaceae in the 10-20 cm peat was partially due to factors influenced by PFG manipulation aside from direct changes in Ericaceae composition. These may include subsidence, peat accumulation rates and other physicochemical parameters influenced by PFG (Kane et al., 2019; Potvin et al., 2015). Additionally, aboveground plant community data may not fully reflect the density of some or all Ericaceae species roots (even non-relativized, as we used in the SEM), especially in the 10-20 cm depth where root density is high; this may reduce the explanatory power of the Ericaceae community matrix thus elevating the strength of the direct path from PFG manipulation to the microbial community. Second, inconsistent with our hypothesis, sedges had a limited effect on microbial communities. Oxygenation and substrates from sedge roots are important shapers of microbial-driven processes in sedge dominated

minerotrophic fens (Chanton et al., 2008; Rupp et al., 2019), and of diverse microbial communities associate with sedges rhizospheres (Hough et al., 2020). The modest sedge effect in PEATcosm might be due to their relatively low biomass in the ombrotrophic habitat we focused on, which became more variable through the course of the experiment (Potvin et al., 2015). Our findings contrast with a study, also in an ombrotrophic peatland, where microbial phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) composition responded more to sedge removal than Ericaceae removal (Robroek et al., 2015). However, it is difficult to directly compare PLFA and amplicon sequencing results, and results from Ward et al. (2015) suggest no sedge influence on fungal composition. It is also possible that the *Eriophorum*-dominated sedge communities in Robroek et al. (2015) have a greater effect on microbial communities than our *Carex*-dominated sedge communities.

Responses to WT manipulation

As predicted, the microbial response to WT manipulation was depth-dependent. In both WT treatments, the upper 20 cm of peat was above the WT for a considerable time period prior to sampling, with the 0-10 cm depth being above the WT surface for most of the growing season. Distance above the WT interacts with peat density, porosity, and capillarity to drive differences in moisture availability that may have directly affected the response of microbial communities in the unsaturated upper peat to WT manipulation. Importantly, changes in taxa associated with methane cycling (methanogens, methanotrophs) and the processing of complex organic matter (lignocellulose degraders, ErMF) highlight the potential extended effects of WT on carbon cycling even in non-saturated peat. Our results contrast a recent mesocosm study by Asemaninejad et al. (2018) who did not detect an effect of WT manipulation on fungal

communities at any depth in the profile. However, the discrepancy in results may be due to the more limited WT depth differential between treatment levels in Asemaninejad et al. (2018), which were maintained at a stable level for the course of the experiment. Moss species composition and productivity were also influenced by WT treatment in PEATcosm (Potvin et al. 2015), and we suspect that the stronger WT effect on fungi and prokaryotes in the 0-10 cm depth than the 10-20 cm depth is in part due to the role of *Sphagnum* mosses in structuring their microbiomes (Kostka et al., 2016). The potential foundational influence of moss in acid *Sphagnum* peatlands is one factor making these systems distinct from minerotrophic fens, where moss cover has a less clear influence on microbial communities in surface peat (Emsens et al., 2020).

Microbial community responses in the deeper peat were likely driven by WT inundation and oscillation. WT manipulation elicited some of its strongest responses (especially on prokaryotes) at the 30-40 cm depth, where peat was perennially underwater in the high WT treatment but seasonally above the WT in the low WT treatment. This should promote communities capable of aerobic decomposition during the driest part of the season and anaerobic decomposition on the shoulders of the growing season in the low WT treatment, and communities associated with slower carbon transformations typical of anoxic reduced conditions in the high WT treatment, a contention supported by our indicator species analyses. Interestingly, methanogens reached their greatest relative abundance in the high WT treatment at the 30-40 cm depth. This might reflect a preference for anoxic conditions combined with inputs of fresh, labile substrates for fermenters and syntrophs to generate H_2 and CO_2 used in hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis (Conrad, 1999). Oscillation between oxic and anoxic conditions at the interface between the acrotelm and catotelm (i.e., the mesotelm) is associated with rapid organic matter

transformations (Kane et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2014; Tfaily et al., 2018), and shifts in microbial composition in the low WT treatment at 30-40 cm indicate a downward extension of this biogeochemical "hotspot" during drought. In contrast, the community at the 60-70 cm depth was continuously submerged far below the WT surface, buffered from changes in the WT level and less affected by roots, suggesting that deep peat microbial communities and their influences on carbon cycling may not be strongly affected by seasonal drought, at least in the short term.

Interactions between PFG and WT

The response of some components of the microbial community highlighted the potential for WT and PFG interactions. The negative effect that Ericaceae tended to have on aerobic methanotrophs in the high WT treatment might be explained by dense Ericaceae roots depleting rhizosphere O_2 through respiration, although other mechanisms of direct interference are possible (e.g., via antibiosis; Adeoyo, Pletschke, & Dames, 2019). Additionally, at the 10-20 cm depth, the more complete decline in ErMF after Ericaceae removal in high WT mesocosms suggests that the negative effects of host removal are compounded by anoxia when this depth is flooded during the shoulders of the growing season. Given the importance of ErMF and methanotrophs to peatland carbon cycling, these results indicate that the responses of subcomponents of the community to PFG x WT interactions may cause shifts in the functioning of microbial communities even when overall OTU composition responds more slowly.

Climate change-driven shifts in peatland soil moisture can influence microbial communities indirectly by shifting the composition of plant communities (e.g., Bragazza et al., 2013; Jassey et al., 2018). Evidence for this in PEATcosm was not strong; although the low WT treatment promoted Ericaceae cover and productivity, with some species responding more than

553 others (Potvin et al., 2015), the compound path effects from WT through Ericaceae composition
554 to the microbial communities were very small. Our SEMs may have underestimated the path
555 coefficient between WT and Ericaceae composition because mesocosms lacking Ericaceae did
556 not have a community to respond to WT treatment. However, we suspect that the strong effect of
557 simply having or not having Ericaceae overshadowed the impact of WT on microbial
558 communities acting through modification of the plant community. Over a longer time scale the
559 influence of WT on microbial communities acting through vegetation change should become
560 stronger, which would represent an indirect pathway in natural systems for climate change-
561 related droughts to influence microbial communities.
562
563 ***Conclusions***
564 Our results demonstrate the importance of WT and PFG in structuring peatland microbial
565 communities along peat depth profiles. Peatlands in many regions are experiencing increased
566 temperatures, changes in long-term precipitation patterns, and other anthropogenic disturbances
567 that influence WT dynamics and cause shifts in plant communities; the influence of these factors
568 on carbon cycling will be contingent on how they influence microbial communities. Importantly,
569 microbial lineages and functional groups do not all respond equivalently to WT and PFG
570 manipulations, and their responses are depth-dependent. The strong mutualistic interactions of
571 fungi and Ericaceae appear to be the driver of the greater PFG effect on fungal than prokaryote
572 communities. The dominance of ErMF in shallow oxic peat indicates a large potential for
573 Ericaceae to shape microbial community structure and function near the surface, where most new
574 organic matter enters the peat profile. The fact that when Ericaceae are removed lignocellulose
575 degraders respond very positively in relative abundance begs the question of whether this

represents a functional release of those taxa in the absence of mycorrhizal competition. Similarly, the significant prokaryote response to PFG manipulations indicates changing resources and conditions driven by plant traits can also structure these communities, especially methanotrophs; but the weaker prokaryote (vs. fungal) response to PFG vs. WT manipulation suggests redox conditions predominate in structuring these communities. The vertical complexity in responses, likely driven by declining PFG influence and increasing influence of declines in both redox potential and organic matter quality with depth, highlights the necessity of accounting for depth stratification when understanding the responses of peatland microbial communities to global change.

Acknowledgments

We thank K. Griffith, E. Matthys, A. Bales, R. Schwartz, L. Theobold, J. Hribljan, T. Ontl and M. Wiedermann. This work was supported by the USDA Forest Service Northern Research Station Climate Change Program, the US National Science Foundation (DEB-1 146 149), and the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute Community Science Program (Proposal ID 1445). The work conducted by the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, a DOE Office of Science User Facility, is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

Data Accessibility

- These sequence data have been submitted to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive under accession number PRJNA650129.

-Vegetation data is available through Pangea: doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.902313.

Author Contributions

E.A. Lilleskov, E.S. Kane, R.K. Kolka, J.T. Lennon, R.A. Chimner and S.G. Tringe conceived the study and obtained funding. L.R. Potvin, E.A. Lilleskov, E.S. Kane, K.J. Romanowicz, S.G. Tringe and L.J. Lamit performed the research. L.J. Lamit analyzed the data. L.J. Lamit and E.A. Lilleskov wrote the paper, with all coauthors contributing to revisions.

References

Adeoyo, O. R., Pletschke, B. I., & Dames, J.F. (2019). Molecular identification and antibacterial properties of an ericoid associated mycorrhizal fungus. *BMC microbiology, 19*, 178. doi.org/10.1186/s12866-019-1555-y

Asemaninejad, A., Thorn, R.G. & Lindo, Z., 2017. Vertical distribution of fungi in hollows and hummocks of boreal peatlands. *Fungal Ecology*, *27*, 59-68.

Asemaninejad, A., Thorn, R.G., Branfireuna, B.A., & Lindo, Z. (2018). Climate change favours specific fungal communities in boreal peatlands. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *120*, 28-36.

Asemaninejad, A., Thorn, R.G., Branfireun, B.A. & Lindo, Z. (2019). Vertical stratification of peatland microbial communities follows a gradient of functional types across hummock–hollow microtopographies. *Ecoscience*, *26*, 249-258.

Andersen, R., Chapman, S. J., & Artz, R. R. E. (2013). Microbial communities in natural and disturbed peatlands: A review. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 57*, 979-94.

Anderson, M.J., Gorley, R.N, & Clarke KR. (2008). PERMANOVA for PRIMER: Guide to Software and Statistica Methods. Plymouth, UK: PRIMER-E.

Anderson, M. J. (2001). A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. *Austral Ecology*, *26*, 32–46.

Anderson, M. J., & Willis, T. J. (2003). Canonical analysis of principal coordinates: a useful method of constrained ordination for ecology. *Ecology, 84*, 511-525.

Artz, R. R. E., Anderson, I. C., Chapman, S. J. Hagn, A., Schloter, M., Potts, J.M. & Colin D. Campbell. (2007). Changes in fungal com- munity composition in response to vegetational succession during the natural regeneration of cutover peatlands. *Microbial Ecology, 54,* 508–22.

Bapiri, A., Bååth, E., & Rousk J. (2010). Drying-rewetting cycles affect fungal and bacterial growth differently in an arable soil. *Microbial Ecology, 60,* 419–428. doi: 10.1007/s00248-010-9723-5

Barnard, R. L., Osborne, C. A., & Firestone, M. K. (2013). Responses of soil bacterial and fungal communities to extreme desiccation and rewetting. *ISME Journal, 7,* 2229–2241. doi:10.1038/ismej.2013.104

Bardgett, R. D, Freeman, C., & Ostle N. J. (2008). Microbial contributions to climate change through carbon cycle feedbacks. *ISME Journal, 2,* 805–814.

Bengtsson, F., Rydin, H., Hájek, T. (2018). Biochemical determinants of litter quality in 15

638 species of *Sphagnum*. *Plant and Soil, 425,* 161-76.
639 Bodelier, P. L. E., & Dedysh, S.N. (2013). Microbiology of wetlands. *Frontiers in Microbiolo*gy,
640 *4,* doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00079
641 Bolyen, E., Rideout, J. R., Dillon, M. R., Bokulich, N. A., Abnet, C. C., Al-Ghalith, G. A., …
642 Caporaso, J. G. (2019). Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data
643 science using QIIME 2. *Nature Biotechnology, 37,* 852–857. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0209-
644 9
645 Bokulich, N. A, Kaehler, B. D., Rideout, J. R., Dillon, M., Bolyen, E., Knight, R., … Caporaso,
646 J. G. (2018). Optimizing taxonomic classification of marker-gene amplicon sequences with
647 qiime 2's q2-feature-classifier plugin. *Microbiome, 6,* 90. doi: 10.1186/s40168-018-0470-z
648 Bragazza, L., Parisod, J., Buttler, A., & Bardgett, R. D. (2013). Biogeochemical plant–soil
649 microbe feedback in response to climate warming in peatlands. *Nature Climate Change, 3,*
650 273–277.
651 Breeuwer, A., Robreck, B. J. M., Limpens, J., Heijmans, M. P. D., Schouten, M. G. C., &
652 Berendse, F. (2009). Decreased summer water table depth affects peatland vegetation. *Basic*
653 *and Applied Ecology, 10,* 330–339.
654 Bridgham, S. D., Pastor, J., Dewey, B., Weltzin, J. F., & Updegraff, K. (2008). Rapid carbon
655 response of peatlands to climate change. *Ecology, 89,* 3041-3048.
656 Bushnell, B., Rood, J., & Singer, E. (2017). BBMerge – Accurate paired shotgun read merging
657 via overlap. *PLOS.* doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0185056
658 Cairney, J. W. G., & Burke, R. M. (1998). Extracellular enzyme activities of the ericoid
659 mycorrhizal endophyte *Hymenoscyphus ericae* (Read) Korf & Kernan: their likely roles in
660 decomposition of dead plant tissue in soil. *Plant and Soil, 205,* 181–192.
661 Caporaso, J. G., Lauber, C. L., Walters, W. A., Berg-Lyons, D., Huntley, J., Fierer, N., …
662 Knight, R. (2012). Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina
663 HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. *ISME Journal.* doi: 10.1038/nmeth.f.303
664 Carini, P., Marsden, P. J., Leff, J. W., Morgan, E. E., Strickland, M. S., & Fierer, N. (2016).
665 Relic DNA is abundant in soil and obscures estimates of soil microbial diversity. *Nature*
666 *Microbiology, 2,* 16242.
667 Castellano, M. A. (2003). Handbook to additional fungal species of special concern in the
668 Northwest Forest Plan. US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest
669 Research Station.
670 Chanton, J. P., Glaser, P. H., Chasar, L. S., Burdige, D. J., Hines, M. E., Siegel, D. I., … Cooper,
671 W. T. (2008). Radiocarbon evidence for the importance of surface vegetation on
672 fermentation and methanogenesis in contrasting types of boreal peatlands. *Global*
673 *Biogeochemical Cycles, 22.* doi: 10.1029/2008GB003274
674 Chimner, R. A., Pypker, T. G., Hribljan, J. A., Moore, P. A., & Waddington, J. M. (2017). Multi-
675 decadal changes in water table levels alter peatland carbon cycling. *Ecosystems, 20,* 1042–
676 1057. doi: 10.1007/s10021-016-0092-x
677 Coleman-Derr, D., Desgarennes, D., Fonseca-Garcia, C., Gross, S., Clingenpeel, S., Woyke, T.,
678 … Tringe, S. G. (2016). Plant compartment and biogeography affect microbiome
679 composition in cultivated and native Agave species. *New Phytologist, 209,* 798–811. doi:
680 10.1111/nph.13697
681 Conrad, R. (1999). Contribution of hydrogen to methane production and control of hydrogen
682 concentrations in methanogenic soils and sediments. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 28,* 193-
683 202.

Crow, S. E. & Wieder, R. K. (2005). Sources of CO2 emission from a northern peatland: root respiration, exudation and deposition. *Ecology, 86,* 1825-1834.
Davidson, E. A., & Janssens, I. A. (2006). Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change. *Nature, 440,* 165-173.
De Cáceres, M., & Legendre, P. (2009). Associations between species and groups of sites: indicesand statistical inference. *Ecology, 90,* 3566-3574.
Dieleman, C. M., Branfireun, B. A., McLaughlin, J. W., & Lindo, Z. (2016). Enhanced carbon release under future climate conditions in a peatland mesocosm experiment: the role of phenolic compounds. *Plant and Soil, 400,* 81–91.
Dorrepaal, E. E. Cornelissen, J. H. C, Aerts, R., Wallén, B., & van Logtestijn, R. S. P. (2005). Are growth forms consistent predictors of leaf litter quality and decomposability across peatlands along a latitudinal gradient? *Journal of Ecology, 93,* 817-828.
Emsens, W-J., Diggelen, R., Aggenbach, C., Cajthaml, T., Frouz, J., Klimkowska, A., … Verbruggen, E. (2020). Recovery of fen peatland microbiomes and predicted functional profiles after rewetting. *The ISME Journal.* 10.1038/s41396-020-0639-x.
Freeman, C., Ostle, N., & Kang, H. (2001). An enzymic 'latch' on a global carbon store. *Nature, 409,* 149. doi.org/10.1038/35051650
Frøslev, T. G., Kjøller, R., Bruun, H. H., Ejrnæs, R., Brunbjerg, A. K., Pietroni, C., & Hansen, A. J. (2017). Algorithm for post-clustering curation of DNA amplicon data yields reliable biodiversity estimates. *Nature communications, 8,* 1188. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01312-x.
Golovchenko, A. V., Dobrovol'skaya, N. G., Inisheva, L. I. (2002). Structure and stocks of microbial biomass in oligotrophic peat bogs of the southern Taiga in western Siberia. *Eurasian Soil Science, 35,* 1296–1301.
Goslee, S. C., & Urban, D. L. (2007). The ecodist package for dissimilarity-based analysis of ecological data. *Journal of Statistical Software, 22,* 1-19.
Gulden, G., Stensrud, Ø., Shalchian-Tabrizi, K., Kauserud, H. (2005). *Galerina* Earle: a polyphyletic genus in the consortium of dark-spored agarics. *Mycologia, 97,* 823-37.
Hough, M., McClure, A., Bolduc, B., Dorrepaal, E., Saleska, S., Klepac-Ceraj, V., & Rich, V. (2020). Biotic and environmental drivers of plant microbiomes across a permafrost thaw gradient. *Frontiers in Microbiology, 11,* 796. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00796
Ihrmark, K., Bödeker, I. T. M., Cruz-Martinez, K, Friberg, H., Kubartova, A., Schenck, J., & Lindahl, B. D. (2012). New primers to amplify the fungal ITS2 region – evaluation by 454-sequencing of artificial and natural communities. *FEMS Microbiology Ecolog, 82,* 666–677.
Jassey, V. E. J, Reczuga, M. K., Zielińska, M., Słowińska, S., Robroek, B. J. M., Mariotte, P., … Buttler, A. (2018). Tipping point in plant–fungal interactions under severe drought causes abrupt rise in peatland ecosystem respiration. *Global Change Biolog, 24,* 972-986. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13928
Joosten, H., & Couwenberg, J. (2008). Peatlands and carbon. In F. Parish, A. Sirin, D. Charman, H. Joosten, T. Minayeva, M. Silvius, & L. Stringer (Eds.), *Assessment on Peatlands, Biodiversity and Climate Change: Main Report* (pp. 99-117). Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Wetlands International.
Junk, W. J., An, S., Finlayson, C.M., Gopal, B., Květ, J., Mitchell, S.A., … Robarts, R.D. (2013). Current state of knowledge regarding the world's wetlands and their future under global climate change: a synthesis. *Aquatic Sciences, 75,* 151–167. doi: 10.1007/s00027-012-0278-z
Kane, E. S., Veverica, T. J., Tfaily, M. M., Lilleskov, E. A., Meingast, K. M., Kolka, R. K., …

730 Chimner, R.A. (2019). Reduction-oxidation potential and dissolved organic matter
731 composition in northern peat soil: interactive controls of water table position and plant
732 functional groups. *Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 124,* 3600–3617. doi: 10.1029/
733 2019JG005339
734 Kavanagh, K. (2011). *Fungi: Biology and Applications.* Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons.
735 Kennedy, P.G., Mielke, L.A., & Nguyen, N.H. (2018). Ecological responses to forest age,
736 habitat, and host vary by mycorrhizal type in boreal peatlands. *Mycorrhiza, 28*, 315-328.
737 Kõljalg, U., Nilsson, R. H., & Abarenkov, K. (2013). Towards a unified paradigm for sequence-
738 based identification of fungi. *Molecular Ecology*, *22,* 5271–5277.
739 Kostka, J. E., Weston, D. J., Glass, J. B., Lilleskov, E. A., Shaw, A. J., & Turetsky, M. R.
740 (2016). The *Sphagnum* microbiome: new insights from an ancient plant lineage. *New*
741 *Phytologist*, *211*, 57–64
742 Kotiaho, M., Fritze, H., Merilä, P., Tuomivirta, T., Väliranta, M., Korhola, A., … Tuittila, E-S.
743 (2013). Actinobacteria community structure in the peat profile of boreal bogs follows a
744 variation in the microtopographical gradient similar to vegetation. *Plant and Soil, 369,* 103-
745 114.
746 Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B., & Christensen, R. H. B. (2017). lmerTest Package: Tests in
747 Linear Mixed Effects Models. Journal of Statistical Software. 82: 1–26.
748 doi:10.18637/jss.v082.i13.
749 Lamers, L. P. M, van Diggelen, J. M. H., Op den Camp, H. J. M., Visser, E. J. W., Lucassen, E.
750 C. H. E. T., Vile, M. A., … Roelofs, J. G. M. (2012). Microbial transformations of nitrogen,
751 sulfur, and iron dictate vegetation composition in wetlands: a review. *Frontiers in*
752 *Microbiology, 3*, 156. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2012.00156.
753 Lamit, L. J., Romanowicz, K. J., Potvin, L. R., Rivers A. R., Singh, K., Lennon, J. T., …
754 Lilleskov, E. A. (2017). Patterns and drivers of fungal community depth stratification in
755 *Sphagnum* peat. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 93:* doi: 10.1093/femsec/fix082
756 Legendre, P., & Anderson, M. J. (1999). Distance-based redundancy analysis: testing
757 multispecies responses in multifactorial ecological experiments. *Ecological Monographs, 69,*
758 1–24.
759 Lennon J. T., Aanderud Z. T., Lehmkuhl, B. K., & Schoolmaster, D. R. (2012). Mapping the
760 niche space of soil microorganisms using taxonomy and traits. *Ecology, 93,* 1867–1879. doi:
761 10.1890/11-1745.1
762 Lichstein, J. W. (2007). Multiple regression on distance matrices: A multivariate spatial analysis
763 tool. *Plant Ecology, 188,* 117–131.
764 Lin, X., Tfaily, M. M., Steinweg, J. M., Chanton. P., Esson. K., Yang, Z.K., … Kostka, J. E.
765 (2014). Microbial community stratification linked to utilization of carbohydrates and
766 phosphorus limitation in a boreal peatland at Marcell Experimental Forest, Minnesota, USA.
767 *Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 80*, 3518–3530.
768 Louca, S., Parfrey, L. W., & Doebeli, M. (2016). Decoupling function and taxonomy in the
769 global ocean microbiome. *Science, 353,* 1272–1277. doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf4507
770 Malhotra, A., Brice, D.J., Childs, J., Graham, J.D., Hobbie, E.A., Vander Stel, H., Feron, S.C.,
771 Hanson, P.J. & Iversen, C.M. (2020). Peatland warming strongly increases fine-root growth.
772 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117,* 17627-17634.
773 Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
774 *EMBnet.journal. 17*, 10-12.
775 Martino, E., Morin, E., Grelet, G-A., Kuo, A., Kohler, A., Daghino, A., … Perotto, S. (2018).

776 Comparative genomics and transcriptomics depict ericoid mycorrhizal fungi as versatile
777 saprotrophs and plant mutualists. *New Phytologist, 217,* 1213–1229.
778 Mitsch, W. J., & Gosselink, J. G. (2015). *Wetlands* (5th ed). Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley.
779 Moomaw, W. R., Chmura, G. L., Davies, G. T. Finlayson, C. M., Middleton, B. A., Natali, S.
780 M., … Sutton-Grier, A. E. (2018). Wetlands in a changing climate: science, policy and
781 management. *Wetlands, 38*, 183-205.
782 Moore, T. R., Bubier, J. L., Frolking, S. E., Lafleur, P. M., & Roulet, N. T. (2002). Plant biomass
783 and production and CO2 exchange in an ombrotrophic bog. *Journal of Ecology, 90,* 25–36.
784 Nagendran, S., Hallen-Adams, H. E., Paper, J. M., Aslam, N., Walton, J. D. (2009). Reduced
785 genomic potential for secreted plant cell-wall-degrading enzymes in the ectomycorrhizal
786 fungus *Amanita bisporigera*, based on the secretome of *Trichoderma reesei. Fungal Genetics*
787 *and Biology, 46,* 427-35.
788 Nguyen, N. H., Song, Z., Bates, S. T., Branco, S., Tedersoo, L., Menke, J., … Kennedy, P.G.
789 (2016). FUNGuild: an open annotation tool for parsing fungal community datasets by
790 ecological guild. *Fungal Ecology, 20,* 241–248. doi: 10.1016/j.funeco.2015.06.006
791 Nilsson, R. H., Tedersoo, L., Ryberg, M., Kristiansson, E., Hartmann, M., Unterseher, M., …
792 Abarenkov, K. (2015). A comprehensive, automatically updated fungal ITS sequence dataset
793 for reference-based chimera control in environmental sequencing efforts. *Microbes and*
794 *Environments, 30,* 145-150.
795 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., … Wagner, H.
796 (2019). vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-6. https://CRAN.R-
797 project.org/package=vegan
798 Orwin, K. H., Kirschbaum, M. U. F., St John, M. G., & Dickie, I.A. (2011). Organic nutrient
799 uptake by mycorrhizal fungi enhances ecosystem carbon storage: a model- based assessment.
800 *Ecology Letters, 14*, 493–502.
801 Potvin, L., Kane. E. S., Chimner. R. A., Kolka, R. K., & Lileskov, E. A. (2015). Effects of water
802 table position and plant functional group on plant community, aboveground production, and
803 peat properties in a peat- land mesocosm experiment (PEATcosm). *Plant and Soil, 387*, 277–
804 294.
805 Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Grisel, O., … Duchesnay,
806 E. (2011). Scikit-learn: machine learning in python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research,*
807 *12,* 2825–2830.
808 Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer ,T., Yarza, P., … Glöckner, F. O. (2013).
809 The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based
810 tools. *Nucleic Acids Research, 41,* D590-D596.
811 R Core Team. (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
812 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL. https://www.R-project.org/.
813 Read, D. J., Leake, J. R., & Perez-Moreno, J. (2004). Mycorrhizal fungi as drivers of ecosystem
814 processes in heathland and boreal forest biomes. *Canadian Journal of Botany, 82,* 1243–63.
815 Riley, R., Salamov, A. A., Brown, D. W., Nagy, L. G., Floudas, D., Held, B. W., … Lindquist
816 EA. (2013). Extensive sampling of basidiomycete genomes demonstrates inadequacy of the
817 white-rot/brown-rot paradigm for wood decay fungi. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
818 *Sciences, 111,* 9923-9928.
819 Robroek, B.J.M., Jassey V.E., Kox M.A.R., Berendsen R.L., Mills R.T.E., Cécillon L., …
820 Bodelier P.L.E. (2015). Peatland vascular plant functional types affect methane dynamics by
821 altering microbial community structure. *Journal of Ecology, 103,* 925-934.

Rognes, T., Flouri, T,. Nichols, B., Quince, C., & Mahé, F. (2016). VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ, 4, e2584.* doi: 10.7717/peerj.2584

Rupp, D., Kane, E. S., Dieleman, C., Keller, J. K., & Turetsky, M. (2019). Plant functional group effects on peat carbon cycling in a boreal rich fen. *Biogeochemistry, 144,* 305-327.

Russell, L. (2020). emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. R package version 1.4.5. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=emmeans

Rydin, H. & Jeglum, J. K. (2013). The Biology of Peatlands, 2nd edition.

Ben-Shachar, M.S., Makowski, D., & Lüdecke, D. (2020). Compute and interpret indices of effect size. CRAN. Available from https://github.com/easystats/effectsize.

Shipley, B. (2000). *Cause and Correlation in Biology: A User's Guide to Path Analysis, Structural Equations and Causal Inference* (1st Edition). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Schlesenger, W. H., & Bernhart, E. S. (2013). *Biogeochemistry: An Analysis of Global Change.* Cambridge, USA: Academic Press.

Tfaily, M. M., Wilson, R. M., Cooper, W. T., Kostka, J. E., Hanson, P., & Chanton, J. P. (2018). Vertical stratification of peat pore water dissolved organic matter composition in a peat bog in northern Minnesota. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences,* 123, 479–494. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JG004007

Tedersoo, L., Anslan, S., Bahram, M., Põlme, S., Riit, T., Liiv, I., … Abarenkov, K. (2015). Shotgun metagenomes and multiple primer pair-barcode combinations of amplicons reveal biases in metabarcoding analyses of fungi. *MycoKeys. 10,* 1-43.

Thormann, M. N., Currah, R. S., & Bayley, S. E. (1999). The mycorrhizal status of the dominant vegetation along a peatland gradient in southern boreal Alberta, Canada. *Wetlands, 19,* 438–450.

Tremblay, J., Singh, K., Fern, A., Kirton, E. S., He, S. M., Woyke, T., … Tringe, S. G. (2015). Primer and platform effects on 16S rRNA tag sequencing. *Frontiers in Microbiology, 6,* 771. doi: 10.3389 /fmicb.2015.00771

Urbanová, Z., & Barta, J. (2016). Effects of long-term drainage on microbial community composition vary between peatland types. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 92,* 16-26. 10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.09.017.

van Breemen, N. (1995). How *Sphagnum* bogs down other plants. *Trends in ecology & evolution, 10,* 270-275.

Verbruggen, E., Pena, R., Fernandez, C.W., & Soong, J.L. (2017). Mycorrhizal interactions with saprotrophs and impact on soil carbon storage. In N.C. Johnson, C. Gehring & J. Jansa (Eds.), *Mycorrhizal mediation of soil: Fertility, Structure, and Carbon Storage* (pp. 441-460). Elsevier Press. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-804312-7.00024-3

Wallén, B. (1987). Living roots in hummocks go down to water table, living roots in lawns go down ~15 below table, to the lowest H_2O table point. *Holarctic Ecology, 1987,* 10:73–79.

Waddington, J. M., Morris, P. J., Kettridge, N., Granath, G., Thompson, D. K., Moore, P. A. (2015). Hydrological feedbacks in northern peatlands. *Ecohydrology, 8,* 113-127.

Wang, M., Tian, J., Bua, Z., Lamit, L.J., Chenc, H., Zhud, Q., & Peng, C. (2019). Structural and functional differentiation of the microbial community in the surface and subsurface peat of two minerotrophic fens in China. *Plant and Soil, 437,* 21-40.

Ward, S. E., Orwin, K. H., Ostle, N. J., Briones, M. J. I., Thomson, B. C., Griffiths, R. I., ... Bardgett, R. D. (2015). Vegetation exerts a greater control on litter decomposition than climate warming in peatlands. *Ecology, 96,* 113–123.

Weigang, Y., Artz, R. R. E., & Johnson, D. (2008). Species-specific effects of plants colonising cutover peatlands on patterns of carbon source utilisation by soil microorganism. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 40,* 544-549.

Weishampel, P. S., & Bedford, B. L. (2006). Wetland dicots and monocots differ in colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and dark septate endophytes. *Mycorrhiza, 16,* 495–502. doi: 10.1007/s00572-006-0064-7

Weltzin. J. F., Bridgham, S. D., Pastor, J., Chen, J., & Harth, C. (2003). Potential effects of warm- ing and drying on peatland plant community composition. *Global Change Biolog, 9,* 141–51.

White, T. J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., & Taylor, J. W. (1990). Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In M. Innis, D. Gelfand, J. Sninsky & T. White (Eds.). *PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications* (pp. 315–322). Orlando, Florida: Academic Press.

Zhang, Z., Zimmermann, N. E., Stenke, A., Lin, X., Hodson, E. L., Zhu, G., … Poulter, B. (2017). Wetland methane emissions in future climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114,* 9647-9652. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1618765114

884 **Table 1.** PerMANOVA full model results of OTU composition responses treatment factors across years or depths.[†‡ §]

Multi-year models	**Ericaceae** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**Sedge** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**WT** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**Year** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**Ericaceae x WT** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**Sedge x WT** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**Ericaceae x Year** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**Sedge x Year** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**WT x Year** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**Ericacae x WT x Year** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**Sedge x WT x Year** *F (df)* *P*, √Var
Fungi 10-20 cm	4.05 (1, 15) <**0.001**, 15.0	1.06 (1, 15) 0.382, 2.09	2.20 (1, 15) <**0.001**, 9.4	4.62 (2, 54) <**0.001**, 17.1	0.96 (1, 15) 0.545, 0.0	1.08 (1, 15) 0.355, 3.4	1.39 (2, 36) **0.045**, 8.0	0.99 (2, 36) 0.485, 0.0	1.65 (2, 36) **0.007**, 10.2	0.98 (2, 36) 0.498, 0.0	0.93 (2, 36) 0.616, 0.0
Fungi 30-40 cm	1.8 (1, 15) **0.016**, 8.3	0.76 (1, 16.1) 0.805, 0.0	2.47 (1, 15.4) **0.002**, 11.3	4.49 (2, 33) <**0.001**, 17.0	0.78 (1, 15) 0.780, 0.0	0.77 (1, 16.1) 0.791, 0.0	1.06 (2, 33) 0.344, 3.2	0.96 (2, 33) 0.526, 0.0	1.19 (2, 33) 0.200, 5.5	0.93 (2, 33) 0.605, 0.0	0.89 (2, 33) 0.666, 0.0
Prokaryotes 10-20 cm	2.07 (1, 15.6) **0.002**, 7.4	0.96 (1, 15) 0.575, 0.0	3.28 (1, 15.6) <**0.001**, 10.8	4.2 (2, 35) <**0.001**, 15.1	0.99 (1, 15.6) 0.693, 0.0	1.05 (1, 15) 0.379, 2.2	1.13 (2, 35) 0.188, 4.3	0.89 (2, 35) 0.729, 0.0	1.39 (2, 35) **0.019**, 7.5	0.93 (2, 35) 0.646, 0.0	0.89 (2, 35) 0.736, 0.0
Prokaryotes 30-40 cm	1.74 (2, 15) 0.059, 6.5	0.75 (1, 15) 0.781, 0.0	4.79 (1, 15) <**0.001**, 14.7	4.49 (2, 36) <**0.001**, 14.8	0.81 (1, 15) 0.674, 0.0	1.23 (1, 15) 0.200, 5.2	0.87 (6, 36) 0.763, 0.0	0.83 (2, 36) 0.851, 0.0	2.06 (2, 36) <**0.001**, 11.5	0.99 (2, 36) 0.471, 0.0	0.93 (2, 36) 0.6245, 0.0

70cm depth gradient models	**Ericaceae** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**Sedge** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**WT** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**Depth** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**Ericaceae x WT** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**Sedge x WT** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**Ericaceae x Depth** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**Sedge x Depth** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**WT x Depth** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**Ericacae x WT x Depth** *F (df)* *P*, √Var	**Sedge x WT x Depth** *F (df)* *P*, √Var
Fungi	3.02 (1, 15) <**0.001**, 13.2	1.22 (1, 15) 0.173, 4.4	1.15 (1, 15) 0.080, 5.5	12.89 (3, 54) <**0.001**, 30.2	0.74 (1, 15) 0.876, 0.0	0.76 (1, 15) 0.840, 0.0	1.44 (3, 54) **0.009**, 8.2	0.916 (3, 54) 0.684, 0.0	2.12 (3, 54) <**0.001**, 13.1	0.80 (3, 54) 0.910, 0.0	0.88 (3, 54) 0.764, 0.0
Prokaryotes	1.89 (1, 15) **0.002**, 5.8	0.96 (1, 15) 0.570, 0.0	3.37 (1, 15) <**0.001**, 9.5	18.39 (3, 54) <**0.001**, 33.4	0.93 (1, 15) 0.636, 0.0	0.80 (1, 15) 0.856, 0.0	1.30 (3, 54) **0.015**, 6.2	0.89 (3, 54) 0.831, 0.0	2.37 (3, 54) <**0.001**, 13.2	0.86 (3, 54) 0.913, 0.0	0.89 (3, 54) 0.852, 0.0

885
886 [†] *Ericaceae* = presence/absence Ericaceae, *Sedge* = presence/absence sedges, *WT* = water table manipulation, *Year* = year sampled,
887 *Depth* = peat sampling depth.
888 [‡] Models also included individual *mesocosm* as a random effect and *Block* as a fixed effect. No hypothesis test was applied to these
889 factors.
890 [§] √Var = the square root of the estimated component of variation for each factor. Negative estimates are reported as zero for
891 simplicity.
892

Table 2. Linear mixed model results for the relative abundances of functional groups, total archaea and OTU richness in the 70 cm depth gradient sampled in year three.[†‡ §]

Ericaceae $F_{(1,15)}$ P ω_p^2	**Sedge** $F_{(1,15)}$ P ω_p^2	**WT** $F_{(1,15)}$ P ω_p^2	**Depth** $F_{(3,54)}$ P ω_p^2	**Ericaceae x WT** $F_{(1,15)}$ P ω_p^2	**Sedge x WT** $F_{(1,15)}$ P ω_p^2	**Ericaceae x Depth** $F_{(3,54)}$ P ω_p^2	**Sedge x Depth** $F_{(3,54)}$ P ω_p^2	**WT x Depth** $F_{(3,54)}$ P ω_p^2	**Ericaceae x WT x Depth** $F_{(3,54)}$ P ω_p^2	**Sedge x WT x Depth** $F_{(3,54)}$ P ω_p^2
Fungi										
OTU richness										
0.02, 0.890	0.22, 0.647	2.71, 0.120	7.42, <**0.001**	0.01, 0.910	0.00, 0.975	0.19, 0.901	0.39, 0.763	1.94, 0.134	0.22, 0.879	0.30, 0.822
0.00	0.05	0.09	0.25	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00
Ericoid mycorrhizal fungi										
18.60, <**0.001**	2.24, 0.155	4.72, **0.046**	47.90, <**0.001**	3.95, 0.065	0.22, 0.646	2.00, 0.124	0.04, 0.989	3.80, **0.015**	0.64, 0.592	0.37, 0.778
0.51	0.07	0.18	0.71	0.15	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00
Lignin degraders										
11.68, **0.004**	0.15, 0.708	0.06, 0.813	4.11, **0.011**	0.07, 0.798	0.11, 0.743	1.13, 0.347	1.25, 0.301	1.70, 0.177	0.34, 0.794	0.23, 0.873
0.39	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.00
Prokaryotes										
OTU richness										
4.13, 0.060	0.04, 0.851	0.16, 0.693	98.88, <**0.001**	0.85, 0.372	0.04, 0.853	4.2, **0.009**	0.49, 0.694	2.73, **0.053**	0.56, 0.647	2.50, 0.069
0.16	0.00	0.00	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.07
Methanotrophs										
0.01, 0.942	4.98, **0.041**	0.10, 0.756	7.74, <**0.001**	9.79, **0.007**	0.89, 0.361	0.80, 0.502	1.30, 0.284	4.86, **0.005**	2.63, 0.059	1.12, 0.348
0.00	0.19	0.00	0.26	0.34	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.17	0.08	0.01
Methanogens										
0.41, 0.534	1.88, 0.191	25.26, <**0.001**	39.20, <**0.001**	1.58, 0.228	0.94, 0.347	1.28, 0.290	0.62, 0.604	8.17 <**0.001**	0.60, 0.615	1.17, 0.329
0.00	0.05	0.59	0.66	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.27	0.00	0.01
Total Archaea										
2.35, 0.146	1.86, 0.193	1.95, 0.183	73.32, <**0.001**	0.19, 0.669	0.83, 0.378	1.75, 0.168	0.22, 0.881	5.04, **0.004**	0.76, 0.521	2.08, 0.113
0.07	0.05	0.05	0.79	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.17	0.00	0.05

[†]All response variables were log10 transformed prior to analysis, except fungal and prokaryote OTU richness.

[‡] Models also included individual *mesocosm* bin as a random effect and *Block* as a fixed effect. No hypothesis test was applied to these factors.

[§] ω^2_p = partial-ω^2 values. Negative estimates are reported as zero for simplicity.

Table 3. PerMANOVA results for community composition responses to treatments within individual depths of the 70 cm peat depth gradient sampled in year three.[†‡]

Taxa and depth of model	Ericaceae *F (df)* *P*, √Var	Sedge *F (df)* *P*, √Var	WT *F (df)* *P*, √Var	Ericaceae x WT *F (df)* *P*, √Var	Sedge x WT *F (df)* *P*, √Var
Fungi					
00-10cm	3.25 (1, 15) <**0.001**, 20.03	1.27 (1, 15) 0.163, 6.89	2.31 (1, 15) **0.001**, 15.27	1.08 (1, 15) 0.367, 5.45	1.04 (1, 15) 0.433, 3.74
10-20cm	3.20 (1, 15) **0.002**, 20.67	1.32 (1, 15) 0.141, 7.86	1.55 (1, 15) **0.051**, 10.36	0.73 (1, 15) 0.822, 0.00	0.87 (1, 15) 0.647, 0.00
30-40cm	1.45 (1, 15) 0.105, 9.81	0.84 (1, 15) 0.675, 0.00	1.67 (1, 15) **0.051**, 12.13	0.78 (1, 15) 0.740, 0.00	0.687 (1, 15) 0.848, 0.00
60-70cm	0.92 (1, 15) 0.5.2, 0.00	0.85 (1, 15) 0.580, 0.00	1.69 (1, 15) 0.094, 12.30	0.56 (1, 15) 0.898, 0.00	0.78 (1, 15) 0.672, 0.00
Prokaryotes					
00-10cm	1.79 (1, 15) **0.005**, 10.19	1.00 (1, 15) 0.513, 0.00	2.73 (1, 15) <**0.001**, 15.09	0.96 (1, 15) 0.579, 0.00	0.99 (1, 15) 0.536, 0.00
10-20cm	2.01 (1, 15) **0.002**, 11.72	1.01 (1, 15) 0.474, 1.05	2.11 (1, 15) **0.001**, 12.27	0.96 (1, 15) 0.554, 0.00	1.02 (1, 15) 0.462, 2.25
30-40cm	1.11 (1, 15) 0.292, 4.00	0.88 (1, 15) 0.598, 0.00	4.16 (1, 15) <**0.001**, 21.28	0.92 (1, 15) 0.529, 0.00	0.86 (1, 15) 0.631, 0.00
60-70cm	0.96 (1, 15) 0.429, 0.00	0.78 (1, 15) 0.687, 0.00	1.47 (1, 15) 0.135, 7.52	0.67 (1, 15) 0.832, 0.00	0.58 (1, 15) 0.913, 0.00

[†]*Ericaceae* = presence/absence Ericaceae, *Sedge* = presence/absence sedges, *WT* = water table manipulation, *Depth* = peat sampling depth.

[‡] √Var = the square root of the estimated component of variation for each factor. Negative estimates are reported as zero for simplicity.

Figure 1. Canonical analysis of principal coordinates (CAP) ordinations with fungal (A, C) and prokaryote (B, D) operational taxonomic unit (OTU) composition at the 10-20 cm (A ,B), and 30-40 cm (C, D) depths sampled in years one, three and four. Ordinations were constrained by year, plant functional group treatment (E = Ericaceae only, S = Sedge only, U = Unmanipulated), and water table treatment (H = high, L = low). Ellipses represent 95% confidence intervals of the ordination points. Dashed lines are provided to show distinction between the strongest effects observed in the latter two years (years three + four).



Figure 2. Canonical analysis of principal coordinates (CAP) ordinations with fungal (A, C) and prokaryote (B, D) operational taxonomic unit composition (OTU) across the 70 cm depth gradient sampled in year three. Ordinations were first (A, C) conducted by constraining by sampling depth, plant functional group treatment (E = Ericaceae only, S = Sedge only, U = Unmanipulated) and water table treatment (H = high, L = low), and only by water table and plant functional group treatments for datasets within each depth (B, D). Ellipses represent 95% confidence intervals of the ordination points.



Figure 3. Marginal means (± 1SE) for relative abundances (Rel. Abund.) of ericoid mycorrhizal fungi (A), lignocellulose degrading fungi (B), methanogenic archaea (C) and methanotrophic bacteria (D) for the presence/absence (solid lines = presence, dashed lines = absence) of Ericaceae (left panel) or Sedges (right panel) by water table treatment (green = low water table, blue = high water table) along the 70 cm peat depth gradient. Marginal means are estimated from linear mixed models (see Table 2).



Figure 4. Structural equation model results for fungi (A) and prokaryotes (B), and associated model fit statistics and total effects (C), linking microbial responses to water table (WT treatment), plant functional group manipulation (PFG treatment) and the vegetation community (Ericaceae composition, Sedge composition). Path widths are scaled proportional to their path coefficients (*Rho* or partial-*Rho* values) and are dashed when not significant at an alpha-level of 0.05. Each variable is represented by a dissimilarity/distance matrix. Separate models were run for each depth and each taxonomic group, using samples from year three of the experiment, and A and B both represent the combined results of four different models. The core of the models (black arrows) were equivalent for all models because the same plant community data was used in each; paths and estimates specific to each depth's model are color coded. Models for the 10-20 cm depth required the addition of a direct path from PFG treatment to fungal or prokaryote composition to obtain good model fit, but models at other depth did not require this additional path.



C

Sampling depth (cm)	Fungi Model fit (*C*, *df*, *P*)	Fungi PFG net effect	Fungi WT net effect	Prokaryotes Model fit (*C*, *df*, *P*)	Prokaryotes PFG net effect	Prokaryotes WT net effect
0-10	2.44, 2, 0.294	0.50	0.27	0.08, 2, 0.986	0.17	0.64
10-20	-	0.48	0.12	-	0.17	0.34
30-40	1.07, 2, 0.587	-0.02	0.25	1.64, 2, 0.440	0.03	0.69
60-70	0.96, 2, 0.619	0.00	0.12	0.75, 2, 0.688	-0.04	0.10