

UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Association of hospital volume with conversion to open from minimally invasive colectomy in patients with diverticulitis: A national analysis

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/08z9w7xc>

Journal

PLOS ONE, 18(4)

ISSN

1932-6203

Authors

Ebrahimian, Shayan
Verma, Arjun
Sakowitz, Sara
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2023

DOI

10.1371/journal.pone.0284729

Peer reviewed

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Association of hospital volume with conversion to open from minimally invasive colectomy in patients with diverticulitis: A national analysis

Shayan Ebrahimian¹, Arjun Verma¹, Sara Sakowitz¹, Manuel Orellana Olmedo^{1,2}, Nikhil Chervu¹, Aimal Khan³, Alexander Hawkins³, Peyman Benharash¹, Hanjoo Lee^{2*}

1 Division of Cardiac Surgery, Cardiovascular Outcomes Research Laboratories, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, United States of America, **2** Department of Surgery, Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA, United States of America, **3** Department of Surgery, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, United States of America

* Hleea16bc@dhs.lacounty.gov



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Ebrahimian S, Verma A, Sakowitz S, Olmedo MO, Chervu N, Khan A, et al. (2023) Association of hospital volume with conversion to open from minimally invasive colectomy in patients with diverticulitis: A national analysis. PLoS ONE 18(4): e0284729. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0284729>

Editor: Rittal Mehta, Children's National Hospital, UNITED STATES

Received: January 14, 2023

Accepted: April 5, 2023

Published: April 28, 2023

Copyright: © 2023 Ebrahimian et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data can be accessed at the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (<https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/>) upon completion of Data Use Agreement for researchers who meet the criteria for access to confidential data. Data cannot be provided directly by the authors due to specific approval required by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. The authors had no special access privileges to the data others would not have.

Abstract

Background

Despite the known advantages of minimally invasive surgery (MIS) for diverticular disease, the impact of conversions to open (CtO) colectomy remains understudied. The present study used a nationally representative database to characterize risk factors and outcomes associated with CtO in patients with diverticular disease.

Methods

All elective adult hospitalizations entailing colectomy for diverticulitis were identified in the 2017–2019 Nationwide Readmissions Database. Annual institutional caseloads of MIS and open colectomy were independently tabulated. Restricted cubic splines were utilized to non-linearly estimate the risk-adjusted association between hospital volumes and CtO. Additional regression models were developed to evaluate the association of CtO with outcomes of interest.

Results

Of an estimated 110,281 patients with diverticulitis who met study criteria, 39.3% underwent planned open colectomy, 53.3% completed MIS, and 7.4% had a CtO. Following adjustment, an inverse relationship between hospital MIS volume and risk of CtO was observed. In contrast, increasing hospital open volume was positively associated with greater risk of CtO. On multivariable analysis, CtO was associated with lower odds of mortality (AOR 0.3, $p = 0.001$) when compared to open approach, and similar risk of mortality when compared to completed MIS (AOR 0.7, $p = 0.436$).

Funding: The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: Dr. Peyman Benharash received proctor fees from Atricure as a surgical proctor. This manuscript does not discuss any Atricure products or services. Dr. Peyman Benharash and other authors have declared that no competing interests exist. This does not alter our adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

Conclusion

In the present study, institutional MIS volume exhibited inverse correlation with adjusted rates of CtO, independent of open colectomy volume. CtO was associated with decreased rates of mortality compared to planned open approach but equivalence risk relative to completed MIS. Our findings highlight the importance of MIS experience and suggest that MIS may be safely pursued as the initial surgical approach among diverticulitis patients.

Introduction

Three decades of experience has shown the safety and efficacy of minimally invasive approaches to a multitude of abdominal operations [1–4]. For diverticular disease, in particular, minimally invasive surgery (MIS) has demonstrated substantial reductions in perioperative complications, mortality, and costs, compared to open colectomy [5–10]. Nonetheless, questions remain regarding the impact of unplanned conversions from elective MIS to open colectomy, which is reported to occur in up to 42% of cases [7, 11, 12]. Several studies have reported conversion to open (CtO) to be independently associated with decreased overall survival among patients undergoing elective colectomy for colon cancer [4, 13, 14]. Yet, others have not noted such a survival decrement [15, 16].

A number of patient factors including male sex, older age, obesity, and smoking, have been associated with increased risk of CtO in colectomy [17, 18]. Additionally, institutional case volume has been shown to be a significant risk factor for CtO across several operations [18–22]. Surgeon experience and streamlined care pathways are hypothesized to contribute to the observed volume-outcome relationship [18, 21]. Such factors are particularly relevant in the setting of diverticulitis where inherent inflammation and associated phlegmon, abscesses, or fistulas can increase operative complexity [23]. The relationship between institutional volume and CtO has not been evaluated at a national level among diverticulitis patients.

We used a nationally representative sample to characterize patient and hospital factors associated with the risk of CtO in colectomy for diverticulitis. We hypothesized that after risk adjustment, higher institutional MIS colectomy caseload would be associated with reduced risk of CtO. We further hypothesized CtO would be associated with increased mortality, perioperative complications, hospitalization costs, and unplanned 30-day readmission.

Materials and methods

Data source and study population

This was a retrospective cohort study of the 2017–2019 Nationwide Readmission Database (NRD) [24]. The largest all-payer readmissions database, the NRD is maintained by Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) and provides accurate estimates for ~60% of all US hospitalizations [24]. The NRD contains unique linkage identifiers which allow patients to be tracked across hospitals within a calendar year.

All elective adult (≥ 18 years) hospitalizations entailing colectomy for diverticulitis were tabulated using previously published International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) diagnosis codes [25–27]. Patients were classified into three cohorts of completed minimally invasive colectomy (MIS), laparoscopic or robotic colectomy converted to open (CtO), and planned open colectomy (Open) using relevant ICD-10 codes. Records missing key information (age, sex, in-hospital mortality, and hospitalization costs) were excluded ($< 1\%$; Fig 1).




Fig 1. Flow chart of the patient population selection process.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0284729.g001>

Variable definitions and study outcomes

Demographic and clinical characteristics were defined according to the NRD data dictionary and were compared between the three cohorts. Demographic characteristics included age, sex, income quartile, and insurance payer. Clinical characteristics included the van Walraven modification of the Elixhauser Comorbidity Index, a validated composite of 30 comorbidities that provides a numeric estimate of chronic conditions [28]. Other comorbidities, such as congestive heart failure, history of tobacco use, obesity, and cancer, as well as the anatomic extent of resection were tabulated [29]. Perioperative adverse events, such as cardiac, gastrointestinal, and infectious complications as well as ileostomy and colostomy formation were ascertained using ICD-10 codes [29]. Intraoperative accidental puncture of organ and intraoperative hemorrhage were also ascertained. Acute venous thromboembolism (VTE) was tabulated using codes for acute pulmonary embolism and deep venous thrombosis [30]. Hospitalization costs were calculated utilizing the hospital specific cost-to-charge ratios provided by HCUP and inflation adjusted to 2019 Personal Health Care Price Index [24].

Institutional MIS volume was calculated as the laparoscopic and robotic colectomy caseload during each calendar year. Hospital open colectomy caseload (open volume) was derived from the number of open colectomy performed each year, while CtO cases were not considered in

either the MIS or open volume calculations. MIS and open volume estimates were computed independently for each year as the NRD does not track hospitals across calendar years.

The primary endpoints of this study were risk-adjusted rates of CtO based on annual hospital MIS and open colectomy volume. The secondary endpoints were hospital mortality, perioperative complications, length of stay (LOS), hospitalization costs, non-home discharge, and 30-day unplanned readmissions.

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables are reported as proportion and compared using the Pearson's χ^2 test. Continuous variables with approximately normal distribution are summarized as means with standard deviation (SD) and compared using the Adjusted Wald test. Continuous variables with skewed distributions are reported as medians with interquartile range (IQR) and compared using Mann-Whitney U test. A multivariable logistic regression was developed to assess the association of patient and operative factors with CtO. Hospital colectomy volume was modeled as a restricted cubic spline to allow for the presence of nonlinearity. Variable selection regression models were guided by elastic net regularization, an automated method that reduced collinearity and increases out-of-sample validity [31]. The area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve (C-statistic) were utilized to evaluate final models. Variance inflation factor (VIF) was utilized to assess collinearity between annual MIS and open volume. A VIF less than 10 was yielded, and thus MIS and open hospital volume were retained as covariates in all regressions [32]. To account for potential intergroup differences, we used entropy balancing as sensitivity analysis. Entropy balancing retains the entire cohort for analysis while addressing covariate balance between groups [33]. Additionally, hospitals were classified into low-, medium- and high-volume tertiles (LVH, MVH and HVH, respectively) and a sensitivity analysis of our risk-adjusted results was performed within LVH and HVH. Regression outputs are reported as beta coefficients (β) or adjusted odds ratios (AOR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Statistical significance was defined as $p < 0.05$, and all analyses were performed using Stata software version 16.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX). This study was deemed exempt from full review by the Institutional Review Board at the University of California, Los Angeles (IRB# 17-001112). Patient consent was also waived due to the de-identified nature of the NRD.

Results

Demographic comparison

Of an estimated 110,281 patients with diverticulitis who met study criteria, 39.3% underwent planned open colectomy (*Open*), 53.3% completed laparoscopic or robotic colectomy (*MIS*), and 7.4% had a CtO. The conversion rate among all intended minimally invasive colectomy was 12.3%. Compared to *MIS* and *Open*, those in the *CtO* cohort were older, more commonly insured by Medicaid, and had a higher prevalence of hypertension, obesity, and tobacco use (Table 1). Compared to others, *MIS* patients had the lowest estimated burden of comorbidities as measured by the Elixhauser Index (Table 1).

Association of patient factors and hospital volume with CtO

A multivariable logistic regression model (C-statistic: 0.67) was developed to predict patient factors associated with CtO. After adjustment for intergroup differences, advancing age (AOR 1.03/year, 95%CI 1.02, 1.03), Medicaid insurance (AOR 1.41, 95%CI 1.23, 1.61), and increasing in Elixhauser index (AOR/point 1.08, 95%CI 1.03, 1.13) were associated with greater likelihood of CtO. Additionally, patients with obesity (AOR 1.22, 95%CI 1.10, 1.36), fluid and

Table 1. Comparison of baseline patient characteristics of patients with diverticulitis undergoing elective colectomy, stratified by operative approach.

Parameter	MIS	CtO	Open	P value
	n = 58,747	n = 8,232	n = 43,302	
Age, median [IQR], year	58 [50–67]	62 [53–70]	61 [52–70]	<0.001
Female, n (%)	33,172 (56.5)	4,699 (57.1)	24,925 (57.6)	0.053
Income level percentile, n (%)				<0.001
76 th -100 th	16,508 (28.1)	1,877 (22.8)	9,267 (21.4)	
51 st -75 th	17,154 (29.2)	2,297 (27.9)	11,302 (26.1)	
26 th -50 th	14,804 (25.2)	2,511 (30.5)	12,428 (28.7)	
0-25 th	10,281 (17.5)	1,539 (18.7)	10,306 (23.8)	
Insurance type, n (%)				<0.001
Private	34,073 (58.0)	3,893 (47.3)	20,742 (47.9)	
Medicare	19,092 (32.5)	3,440 (41.8)	17,884 (41.3)	
Medicaid	3,525 (6.0)	600 (7.3)	2,988 (6.9)	
Other Payer	2,056 (3.5)	304 (3.7)	1,646 (3.8)	
Location of resection, n (%)				<0.001
Right hemicolectomy	484 (0.8)	79 (0.9)	443 (1.0)	
Transverse colectomy	168 (0.3)	23 (0.2)	213 (4.9)	
Left hemicolectomy	2,685 (4.6)	565 (6.9)	3,025 (7.0)	
Sigmoidectomy	54,893 (93.4)	7,458 (90.6)	38,943 (89.9)	
Total/subtotal colectomy	517 (0.9)	106 (1.3)	679 (1.6)	
Intraoperative hemorrhage, n (%)	42 (0.1)	41 (0.5)	54 (0.1)	<0.001
Intraoperative accidental puncture, n (%)	392 (0.7)	270 (3.3)	566 (1.3)	<0.001
Elixhauser Comorbidity Index, median [IQR]	1 [0–2]	2 [1–3]	2 [1–3]	<0.001
Comorbidities, n (%)				
Congestive heart failure	1,345 (2.3)	320 (3.9)	1,824 (4.2)	<0.001
Coronary artery disease	4,214 (7.2)	678 (8.2)	4,105 (9.5)	0.01
Hypertension	26,711 (45.5)	4,328 (52.6)	22,379 (51.7)	<0.001
Tobacco use	7,706 (13.1)	1,301 (15.8)	6,410 (14.8)	<0.001
Pulmonary circulatory disorders	296 (0.5)	93 (1.1)	510 (1.2)	<0.001
Chronic pulmonary disease	8,774 (14.9)	1,430 (17.4)	7,466 (17.2)	<0.001
Peripheral vascular disorder	1,399 (2.4)	278 (3.4)	1,487 (3.4)	<0.001
Diabetes	7,081 (12.0)	1,201 (14.6)	6,376 (14.7)	<0.001
Liver disease	1,418 (2.4)	244 (3.0)	1,145 (2.6)	0.06
Coagulopathy	851 (1.4)	143 (1.7)	919 (2.1)	0.17
Anemia	758 (1.3)	145 (1.8)	959 (2.2)	0.017
Cancer	1,087 (1.8)	266 (3.2)	1,620 (3.7)	<0.001
Obesity	11,905 (20.3)	1,972 (23.9)	8,965 (20.7)	<0.001
Fluid and electrolyte disorders	5,051 (8.6)	1,346 (16.3)	6,702 (15.5)	<0.001
Renal failure	2,168 (3.7)	485 (5.9)	2,567 (5.9)	<0.001

MIS: completed minimally invasive colectomy; CtO: minimally invasive colectomy converted to open; Open: planned open colectomy; SD: Standard Deviation; IQR: interquartile range.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0284729.t001>

electrolyte disorders (AOR 1.62, 95%CI 1.44, 1.82), and history of tobacco use (AOR 1.31, 95% CI 1.19, 1.45) had greater odds of CtO (Fig 2). Intraoperative accidental puncture (AOR 4.63, 95% CI 3.64, 5.89) and hemorrhage (AOR 6.70, 95% CI 3.70, 12.15) were also significantly associated with increase in likelihood of conversion.

The association of hospital volume and the risk of CtO was analyzed using a continuous approach. Following adjustment, restricted cubic spline estimation demonstrated that a




Fig 2. Patient characteristics associated with risk of conversion to open following intended minimally invasive colectomy.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0284729.g002>

significant and inverse relationship between hospital MIS volume and risk of CtO (Fig 3A). In contrast, increasing hospital open volume was positively associated with greater risk of CtO (Fig 3B). Fig 4 demonstrates that independent of institutional open volume, increasing hospital MIS volume is associated with reduced rates of CtO.

Unadjusted outcomes following colectomy

Compared to MIS and CtO patients, the Open cohort demonstrated the greatest rates of in-hospital mortality (MIS: 0.1%, CtO: 0.2%, Open: 0.6%, $p < 0.001$) and acute VTE as well as cardiac and respiratory complications (Table 2). In contrast, those requiring CtO exhibited the highest rates of ileostomy formation as well as gastrointestinal and infectious complications compared to the MIS and Open cohorts (Table 2). Compared to others, the CtO cohort accumulated the greatest hospitalization costs and had increased rates of 30-day, unplanned readmissions (Table 2).

Adjusted outcomes of CtO compared to MIS

With MIS as reference, the CtO cohort did not yield a statistically different risk of in-hospital mortality (AOR 0.7, 95%CI 0.3, 1.7, $p = 0.436$). However, the CtO cohort exhibited increased likelihood of acute VTE, ostomy formation as well as respiratory, gastrointestinal, and infectious complications, compared to MIS patients (Table 3). Notably, CtO was associated with 1.8-day increment in LOS and +\$4,200 in hospitalization costs. The CtO cohort also demonstrated increased odds of non-home discharge and 30-day, unplanned readmission when compared to MIS patients (Table 3).




Fig 3. Relationship between annual hospital colectomy volume modeled using cubic splines (A: minimally invasive surgery (MIS); B: Open) and risk-adjusted rates of conversion to open (CtO).

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0284729.g003>

Adjusted outcomes of CtO compared to planned open colectomy

The CtO cohort was associated with lower risk of in-hospital mortality (AOR 0.3, 95%CI 0.1, 0.6, $p = 0.001$) when compared to patients who underwent planned open surgery. The CtO cohort exhibited similar odds of acute VTE, colostomy formation, as well as respiratory and




Fig 4. Contour plot of relationship between conversion to open (CtO) and annual hospital volume of minimally invasive surgery (MIS) and open colectomy.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0284729.g004>

cardiac complications (Table 3). However, CtO demonstrated a significant, positive association with the occurrence of gastrointestinal complications and ileostomy formation when compared to *Open* patients (Table 3). The *CtO* cohort was also associated with 0.3-day increment in LOS and +\$3,000 in hospitalization costs as well as greater odds of 30-day, unplanned readmission (Table 3).

Table 2. Crude outcomes for patients with diverticulitis undergoing elective colectomy stratified by operative approach.

	MIS n = 58,747	CtO n = 8,232	Open n = 43,302	P Value
Clinical Outcomes (%)				
In-Hospital Mortality	0.1	0.2	0.6	<0.001
Cardiac Complications	0.3	0.5	0.7	<0.001
Acute VTE	0.2	0.8	0.9	<0.001
Respiratory Complications	1.3	3.5	3.9	<0.001
Gastrointestinal Complications	0.3	1.1	0.7	<0.001
Infectious Complications	2.2	4.8	4.5	<0.001
Ileostomy formation	3.3	12.5	6.4	<0.001
Colostomy formation	1.2	4.7	4.8	0.006
Resource Utilization (median, IQR)				
Length of Stay (days)	3 [2–4]	5 [4–7]	5 [3–7]	<0.001
Hospitalization Costs (\$1,000s)	16.6 [12.8–22.3]	20.6 [15.4–29.3]	17.0 [12.8–23.5]	<0.001
Non-home Discharge (%)	1.8	5.3	6.2	<0.001
30-day, Unplanned Readmissions (%)	5.7	9.0	7.3	<0.001

MIS: completed minimally invasive colectomy; CtO: minimally invasive colectomy converted to open; Open: planned open colectomy; IQR: interquartile range.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0284729.t002>

Table 3. Risk-adjusted outcomes for patients with diverticulitis undergoing elective colectomy stratified by operative approach.

	MIS	CtO	^a P value	Open	CtO	^b P value
Clinical Outcomes, AOR (95% CI)						
In-Hospital Mortality	Ref	0.7 [0.3, 1.7]	0.4	Ref	0.3 [0.1, 0.6]	0.001
Cardiac Complications	Ref	1.0 [0.6, 1.8]	0.9	Ref	0.7 [0.5, 1.1]	0.2
Acute VTE	Ref	1.9 [1.1, 3.3]	0.02	Ref	0.8 [0.5, 1.3]	0.4
Respiratory Complications	Ref	1.8 [1.4, 2.2]	<0.001	Ref	0.9 [0.8, 1.1]	0.5
Gastrointestinal Complications	Ref	2.7 [1.8, 4.0]	<0.001	Ref	1.4 [1.0, 2.0]	0.04
Infectious Complications	Ref	1.8 [1.5, 2.2]	<0.001	Ref	1.1 [0.9, 1.2]	0.5
Ileostomy Formation	Ref	3.7 [3.2, 4.2]	<0.001	Ref	2.0 [1.8, 2.3]	<0.001
Colostomy Formation	Ref	3.2 [2.6, 4.0]	<0.001	Ref	1.0 [0.8, 1.2]	0.9
Resource Utilization, AOR/ β -Coef [95%CI]						
Length of Stay (days)	Ref	1.8 [1.7, 2.0]	<0.001	Ref	0.3 [0.2, 0.5]	<0.001
Hospitalization Costs (\$1,000s)	Ref	4.2 [3.7, 4.7]	<0.001	Ref	3.0 [2.4, 3.6]	<0.001
Non-home Discharge	Ref	1.9 [1.6, 2.3]	<0.001	Ref	0.8 [0.7, 1.0]	0.06
30-day, Unplanned Readmissions	Ref	1.4 [1.3, 1.6]	<0.001	Ref	1.2 [1.1, 1.4]	<0.001

MIS: completed minimally invasive colectomy; CtO: minimally invasive colectomy converted to open; Open: planned open colectomy. Risk-adjusted estimates are reported as adjusted odds ratio (AOR) or β -coefficients with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for binary and continuous variables, respectively.

^aCtO vs. MIS

^bCtO vs. Open

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0284729.t003>

Sensitivity analysis

Standard mean differences reporting covariate balance before and after entropy balancing are shown in [S1](#) and [S2](#) Figs. Sensitivity analysis after entropy balancing exhibited similar outcomes of CtO ([S1 Table](#)). Sensitivity analysis within LVH and HVH also exhibited similar conclusions as original analysis ([S2](#) and [S3](#) Tables).

Discussion

Despite technical advances of MIS in the past three decades, CtO remains a significant concern. In line with prior studies, nearly 12.3% of patients who underwent intended laparoscopic or robotic colectomy in our nationally representative sample required CtO [[34–36](#)]. Upon multivariable adjustment, advanced age, obesity, tobacco use, and electrolyte disorders were associated with increased odds of CtO. Notably, increasing hospital MIS volume was associated with reduced risk-adjusted rates of CtO, independent of institutional open volume. The CtO cohort demonstrated similar likelihood of in-hospital mortality when compared to MIS. Interestingly, patients who required CtO faced lower odds of in-hospital mortality when compared to patients who underwent intended open surgery. Several of our findings merit further discussion.

There exists significant debate regarding the outcomes of patients who require CtO compared to those who receive open colectomy [[37](#)]. In congruence with a prior national inpatient report, our study of patients with diverticulitis found those who required conversion to face lower odds of in-hospital mortality compared to those who underwent intended open colectomy [[35](#)]. In contrast, a prospective study of ~500 colon resections for malignancy at two teaching hospitals demonstrated equivalent outcomes between *Open* and *CtO* patients [[17](#)]. This variation in literature may, in part, be attributable to differences in scope of initial indication for surgery and limited sample size. In addition, the present study and the report by Moghadamyeghaneh and colleagues [[35](#)] include nationwide centers with lower MIS

experience, where surgeons may maintain a lower threshold for CtO when challenged by unplanned complications. In line with prior studies, we also observed that CtO did not alter the odds of in-hospital mortality when compared to the MIS patients [15, 16]. This finding suggests that MIS may be safely pursued among patients with diverticulitis as the benefits of MIS may outweigh the clinical burden of CtO. Future studies should examine the clinical implication of broadening patient candidacy criteria for MIS.

In congruence with several prior reports, we found CtO to be associated with increased likelihood of gastrointestinal complications, acute VTE, unplanned readmissions, as well as increment in hospitalization costs [14, 34–36]. The increased complications after conversion were most likely due to increased intraoperative blood loss, longer operative times, as well as the more invasive nature of an open surgery [17, 38]. Our findings also highlight the clinical and financial burden that CtO presents in the setting of colectomy for diverticulitis. As such, the identification of risk factors of CtO and development of targeted mitigation strategies is imperative.

In line with goals of reducing the incidence of CtO, several prior studies have reported risk factors for conversion. Similar to our findings, other investigators have shown male sex, obesity (body mass index of above 30), and smoking status to be associated with increased risk of CtO [36, 39]. Male sex is a well-known risk factor for CtO in pelvic surgery [35]. The narrower male pelvis can significantly increase the complexity of the surgery due to fulcrum effect on the laparoscopic instruments [35]. Similarly, obesity has been highlighted as a risk factor for CtO given the associated technical difficulties with dissection and visualization [38]. Although the mechanism through which smoking status confers increased risk of CtO is unclear, surgeons may maintain lower threshold for CtO in smokers due to awareness of the increased risk of perioperative morbidity in these patients. Providers should remain cognizant of above-mentioned factors and counsel patients accordingly in the preoperative period, such as encouraging smoking cessation and weight loss.

Patient factors aside, the present study also identified institutional MIS volume to be associated with significantly reduced risk-adjusted rates of CtO. We demonstrated that even at centers with significant open colectomy volume, low MIS volume is associated with greater likelihood of risk-adjusted CtO. Although not directly measured in the present study, this finding is most likely attributable to surgeon MIS expertise. Prior investigations have demonstrated CtO as an effective proxy for surgical experience, with some defining the end of the learning curve at 55 laparoscopic right-sided colonic resections and 62 cases for left hemicolectomy [17, 18, 22, 40]. However, it is also possible that institutional protocols play a role in reducing rates of CtO at centers with high MIS volume. In particular, these centers may have more refined patient selection criteria to exclude patients at high risk for MIS. Thorough preoperative evaluation for risks of establishing pneumoperitoneum particularly in patients with diminished cardiopulmonary function, hemodynamic instability, or obesity may also optimize MIS outcomes. Nonetheless, our findings demonstrate the need for identification and dissemination of best practices at high MIS volume centers in a concerted effort to reduce the incidence of CtO on a national scale.

The current study has several important limitations inherent to its retrospective design and the use of an administrative database. Causal inferences cannot be drawn due to the observational nature of our study design. Although the NRD is the largest all-payer readmission database, it is susceptible to coding errors and lack granular data such as preoperative laboratory values, imaging, the extent of resection, and operative complexity. We were unable to stratify our analysis by laparoscopy and robotic colectomy. We were also unable to determine surgeon's experience and preference of initial operative approach as well as the primary indication for CtO. The NRD database also does not contain data regarding history of multiple

abdominal surgery and intra-abdominal adhesive disease which may have influenced complexity of the surgical operation and surgeon's preference of initial operative approach and decision to convert. We could not examine variation in hospital/surgeon expertise over time as the NRD does not track either hospitals or surgeons across years. The increased rates of ileostomy formation observed in the CtO cohort may potentially reflect the operator's concern with regard to the integrity of anastomosis in the setting of the unplanned CtO. However, we were unable to ascertain the presence of anastomosis and evaluate the complications associated with creation and closure of ostomy. Despite these limitations, we utilized robust statistical methods and adhered to appropriate data practices as recommended by HCUP to report nationally representative outcomes.

Conclusion

The present study used a national cohort of colectomy for diverticulitis to comprehensively evaluate the incidence of, risk factors for and outcomes following CtO. Patient risk factors for CtO included male sex, obesity, fluid and electrolyte disorders and history of tobacco use. Notably, institutional MIS volume exhibited a strong, inverse correlation with risk-adjusted rates of CtO, independent of open colectomy volume. Our findings suggest that MIS may be safely pursued among diverticulitis patients as the benefits of MIS may outweigh risks of unplanned CtO. Nonetheless, given that CtO was associated with significant gastrointestinal complications and financial burden, national efforts to improve minimally invasive training may reduce conversion rates.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Pre- and post-covariate balance among MIS and CTO cohorts after entropy balancing.

(TIF)

S2 Fig. Pre- and post-covariate balance among CTO and open cohorts after entropy balancing.

(TIF)

S1 Table. Sensitivity analysis after entropy balancing. Risk-adjusted outcomes for patients with diverticulitis undergoing elective colectomy stratified by operative approach. *MIS: completed minimally invasive colectomy; CtO: minimally invasive colectomy converted to open; Open: planned open colectomy.* Risk-adjusted estimates are reported as adjusted odds ratio (AOR) or β -coefficients with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for binary and continuous variables, respectively.

(DOCX)

S2 Table. Sensitivity analysis: Risk-adjusted outcomes for patients with diverticulitis undergoing elective colectomy at high volume hospitals stratified by operative approach.

MIS: completed minimally invasive colectomy; CtO: minimally invasive colectomy converted to open; Open: planned open colectomy. Risk-adjusted estimates are reported as adjusted odds ratio (AOR) or β -coefficients with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for binary and continuous variables, respectively.

(DOCX)

S3 Table. Sensitivity analysis: Risk-adjusted outcomes for patients with diverticulitis undergoing colectomy at low volume hospitals stratified by operative approach. *MIS: completed minimally invasive colectomy; CtO: minimally invasive colectomy converted to open;*

Open: planned open colectomy. Risk-adjusted estimates are reported as adjusted odds ratio (AOR) or β -coefficients with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for binary and continuous variables, respectively.

(DOCX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Shayan Ebrahimian, Arjun Verma, Nikhil Chervu, Peyman Benharash, Hanjoo Lee.

Data curation: Shayan Ebrahimian, Peyman Benharash.

Formal analysis: Shayan Ebrahimian, Arjun Verma, Nikhil Chervu, Peyman Benharash.

Investigation: Peyman Benharash, Hanjoo Lee.

Methodology: Shayan Ebrahimian, Arjun Verma, Sara Sakowitz, Aimal Khan, Alexander Hawkins, Peyman Benharash, Hanjoo Lee.

Project administration: Shayan Ebrahimian, Peyman Benharash, Hanjoo Lee.

Software: Shayan Ebrahimian, Arjun Verma, Peyman Benharash.

Supervision: Nikhil Chervu, Aimal Khan, Alexander Hawkins, Peyman Benharash, Hanjoo Lee.

Validation: Shayan Ebrahimian, Peyman Benharash.

Visualization: Shayan Ebrahimian, Arjun Verma, Sara Sakowitz.

Writing – original draft: Shayan Ebrahimian, Arjun Verma, Sara Sakowitz, Manuel Orellana Olmedo, Nikhil Chervu, Aimal Khan, Alexander Hawkins, Peyman Benharash, Hanjoo Lee.

Writing – review & editing: Shayan Ebrahimian, Arjun Verma, Sara Sakowitz, Manuel Orellana Olmedo, Nikhil Chervu, Aimal Khan, Alexander Hawkins, Peyman Benharash, Hanjoo Lee.

References

1. Kiviluoto T, Sirén J, Luukkonen P, Kivilaakso E. Randomised trial of laparoscopic versus open cholecystectomy for acute and gangrenous cholecystitis. *Lancet*. 1998; 351(9099):321–5. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(97\)08447-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(97)08447-X) PMID: 9652612
2. Kouhia ST, Heiskanen JT, Huttunen R, Ahtola HI, Kiviniemi VV, Hakala T. Long-term follow-up of a randomized clinical trial of open versus laparoscopic appendectomy. *Br J Surg*. 2010; 97(9):1395–400. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.7114> PMID: 20632312
3. Le Moine MC, Fabre JM, Vacher C, Navarro F, Picot MC, Domergue J. Factors and consequences of conversion in laparoscopic sigmoidectomy for diverticular disease. *Br J Surg*. 2003; 90(2):232–6. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.4035> PMID: 12555302
4. Nelson H, Sargent DJ, Wieand HS, Fleshman J, Anvari M, Stryker SJ, et al. A comparison of laparoscopically assisted and open colectomy for colon cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2004; 350(20):2050–9. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa032651> PMID: 15141043
5. Madieto A, Hall J. Minimally Invasive Management of Diverticular Disease. *Clin Colon Rectal Surg*. 2021; 34(2):113–20. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1716703> PMID: 33642951
6. Köhler L, Rixen D, Troidl H. Laparoscopic colorectal resection for diverticulitis. *Int J Colorectal Dis*. 1998; 13(1):43–7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s003840050130> PMID: 9548100
7. Hoffman GC, Baker JW, Fitchett CW, Vansant JH. Laparoscopic-assisted colectomy. Initial experience. *Ann Surg*. 1994; 219(6):732–40; discussion 40–3. <https://doi.org/10.1097/0000658-199406000-00018> PMID: 8203984

8. Cirocchi R, Fearnhead N, Vettoretto N, Cassini D, Popivanov G, Henry BM, et al. The role of emergency laparoscopic colectomy for complicated sigmoid diverticulitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surgeon*. 2019; 17(6):360–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surge.2018.08.010> PMID: 30314956
9. Cassini D, Miccini M, Manoochehri F, Gregori M, Baldazzi G. Emergency Hartmann's Procedure and Its Reversal: A Totally Laparoscopic 2-Step Surgery for the Treatment of Hinchey III and IV Diverticulitis. *Surg Innov*. 2017; 24(6):557–65. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1553350617722226> PMID: 28748737
10. Blake MF, Dwivedi A, Tootla A, Tootla F, Silva YJ. Laparoscopic sigmoid colectomy for chronic diverticular disease. *Jsls*. 2005; 9(4):382–5. PMID: 16381349
11. Thorpe H, Jayne DG, Guillou PJ, Quirke P, Copeland J, Brown JM. Patient factors influencing conversion from laparoscopically assisted to open surgery for colorectal cancer. *Br J Surg*. 2008; 95(2):199–205. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.5907> PMID: 17696215
12. Veldkamp R, Gholghesaei M, Bonjer HJ, Meijer DW, Buunen M, Jeekel J, et al. Laparoscopic resection of colon Cancer: consensus of the European Association of Endoscopic Surgery (EAES). *Surg Endosc*. 2004; 18(8):1163–85. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-003-8253-3> PMID: 15457376
13. Bonjer HJ, Hop WC, Nelson H, Sargent DJ, Lacy AM, Castells A, et al. Laparoscopically assisted vs open colectomy for colon cancer: a meta-analysis. *Arch Surg*. 2007; 142(3):298–303. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archsurg.142.3.298> PMID: 17372057
14. Duraes LC, Steele SR, Camargo MGM, Gorgun E, Kalady MF, Valente M, et al. Conversion to open from laparoscopic colon resection is a marker for worse oncologic outcomes in colon cancer. *Am J Surg*. 2019; 217(3):491–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjsurg.2018.10.042> PMID: 30392676
15. Franko J, Fassler SA, Rezvani M, O'Connell BG, Harper SG, Nejman JH, et al. Conversion of laparoscopic colon resection does not affect survival in colon cancer. *Surg Endosc*. 2008; 22(12):2631–4. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-008-9812-4> PMID: 18297347
16. Petrucciani N, Memeo R, Genova P, Roy BL, Courtot L, Voron T, et al. Impact of Conversion from Laparoscopy to Open Surgery in Patients with Right Colon Cancer. *The American Surgeon*. 2019; 85(2):177–82. PMID: 30819295
17. Li JC, Lee JF, Ng SS, Yiu RY, Hon SS, Leung WW, et al. Conversion in laparoscopic-assisted colectomy for right colon cancer: risk factors and clinical outcomes. *Int J Colorectal Dis*. 2010; 25(8):983–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00384-010-0972-z> PMID: 20532531
18. Tekkis PP, Senagore AJ, Delaney CP, Fazio VW. Evaluation of the learning curve in laparoscopic colorectal surgery: comparison of right-sided and left-sided resections. *Ann Surg*. 2005; 242(1):83–91. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.sla.0000167857.14690.68> PMID: 15973105
19. Bhakta A, Tafen M, Glotzer O, Canete J, Chismark AD, Valerian BT, et al. Laparoscopic sigmoid colectomy for complicated diverticulitis is safe: review of 576 consecutive colectomies. *Surg Endosc*. 2016; 30(4):1629–34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-015-4393-5> PMID: 26275534
20. Marusch F, Gastinger I, Schneider C, Scheidbach H, Konradt J, Bruch HP, et al. Importance of conversion for results obtained with laparoscopic colorectal surgery. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2001; 44(2):207–14; discussion 14–6. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02234294> PMID: 11227937
21. Verma A, Sanaiha Y, Ebrahimian S, Jaman R, Lee C, Revels S, et al. Impact of center volume on conversion to thoracotomy during minimally invasive pulmonary lobectomy. *Surgery*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2022.07.006> PMID: 36031450
22. Schlachta CM, Mamazza J, Seshadri PA, Cadeddu M, Gregoire R, Poulin EC. Defining a learning curve for laparoscopic colorectal resections. *Diseases of the Colon & Rectum*. 2001; 44(2):217–22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02234296> PMID: 11227938
23. Onur MR, Akpınar E, Karaosmanoglu AD, Isayev C, Karcaaltincaba M. Diverticulitis: a comprehensive review with usual and unusual complications. *Insights Imaging*. 2017; 8(1):19–27. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13244-016-0532-3> PMID: 27878550
24. Quality AfHRa. The HCUP Nationwide Readmissions Database (NRD), 2010–2018.
25. Cirocchi R, Popivanov G, Corsi A, Amato A, Nascimbeni R, Cuomo R, et al. The Trends of Complicated Acute Colonic Diverticulitis—A Systematic Review of the National Administrative Databases. *Medicina*. 2019; 55(11):744. <https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina55110744> PMID: 31744067
26. Hupfeld L, Pommergaard HC, Burcharth J, Rosenberg J. Emergency admissions for complicated colonic diverticulitis are increasing: a nationwide register-based cohort study. *Int J Colorectal Dis*. 2018; 33(7):879–86. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00384-018-3078-7> PMID: 29789871
27. Ebrahimian S, Lee C, Tran Z, Sakowitz S, Bakhtiyar SS, Verma A, et al. Association of frailty with outcomes of resection for colonic volvulus: A national analysis. *PLoS One*. 2022; 17(11):e0276917. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0276917> PMID: 36346811

28. van Walraven C, Austin PC, Jennings A, Quan H, Forster AJ. A modification of the Elixhauser comorbidity measures into a point system for hospital death using administrative data. *Med Care*. 2009; 47(6):626–33. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0b013e31819432e5> PMID: 19433995
29. Madrigal J, Mukdad L, Han AY, Tran Z, Benharash P, St John MA, et al. Impact of Hospital Volume on Outcomes Following Head and Neck Cancer Surgery and Flap Reconstruction. *Laryngoscope*. 2022; 132(7):1381–7. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.29903> PMID: 34636433
30. Mallick S, Aiken T, Varley P, Abbott D, Tzeng C-W, Weber S, et al. Readmissions From Venous Thromboembolism After Complex Cancer Surgery. *JAMA Surgery*. 2022; 157(4):312–20. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2021.7126> PMID: 35080619
31. Zou H, Hastie T. Regularization and variable selection via the elastic net. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*. 2005; 67(2):301–20.
32. Akinwande MO, Dikko HG, Samson A. Variance Inflation Factor: As a Condition for the Inclusion of Suppressor Variable(s) in Regression Analysis. *Open Journal of Statistics*. 2015; Vol. 05No.07:14.
33. Hainmueller J. Entropy Balancing for Causal Effects: A Multivariate Reweighting Method to Produce Balanced Samples in Observational Studies. *Political Analysis*. 2012; 20(1):25–46.
34. Sahyoun R, Lo BD, Zhang GQ, Stem M, Atallah C, Najjar PA, et al. Converting laparoscopic colectomies to open is associated with similar outcomes as a planned open approach among Crohn's disease patients. *Int J Colorectal Dis*. 2022; 37(1):171–8.
35. Moghadamyeghaneh Z, Masoomi H, Mills SD, Carmichael JC, Pigazzi A, Nguyen NT, et al. Outcomes of conversion of laparoscopic colorectal surgery to open surgery. *Jsls*. 2014; 18(4). <https://doi.org/10.4293/JSLs.2014.00230> PMID: 25587213
36. Masoomi H, Moghadamyeghaneh Z, Mills S, Carmichael JC, Pigazzi A, Stamos MJ. Risk Factors for Conversion of Laparoscopic Colorectal Surgery to Open Surgery: Does Conversion Worsen Outcome? *World Journal of Surgery*. 2015; 39(5):1240–7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-015-2958-z> PMID: 25631940
37. Allaix ME, Furnée EJ, Mistrangelo M, Arezzo A, Morino M. Conversion of laparoscopic colorectal resection for cancer: What is the impact on short-term outcomes and survival? *World J Gastroenterol*. 2016; 22(37):8304–13. <https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v22.i37.8304> PMID: 27729737
38. Pikarsky AJ, Saida Y, Yamaguchi T, Martinez S, Chen W, Weiss EG, et al. Is obesity a high-risk factor for laparoscopic colorectal surgery? *Surg Endosc*. 2002; 16(5):855–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004640080069> PMID: 11997837
39. Bhamra AR, Charlton ME, Schmitt MB, Cromwell JW, Byrn JC. Factors associated with conversion from laparoscopic to open colectomy using the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP) database. *Colorectal Dis*. 2015; 17(3):257–64. <https://doi.org/10.1111/codi.12800> PMID: 25311007
40. Tsai K-Y, Kiu K-T, Huang M-T, Wu C-H, Chang T-C. The learning curve for laparoscopic colectomy in colorectal cancer at a new regional hospital. *Asian Journal of Surgery*. 2016; 39(1):34–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asjsur.2015.03.008> PMID: 25959025