Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Advanced Light Source

Title

Phylotype Dynamics of Bacterial P Utilization Genes in Microbialites and Bacterioplankton of a Monomictic Endorheic Lake

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0b29w5mr

Journal Microbial Ecology, 73(2)

ISSN 0095-3628

Authors

Valdespino-Castillo, Patricia M Alcántara-Hernández, Rocío J Merino-Ibarra, Martín <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2017-02-01

DOI

10.1007/s00248-016-0862-1

Peer reviewed

Phylotype Dynamics of Bacterial P Utilization Genes in Microbialites and Bacterioplankton of a Monomictic Endorheic Lake

Р			
а			
t			
r			
i			
С			
i			
а			
Μ			
•			
V			
а			

1

d

e s P i n

0

С

а

S

t

i

1

1

0

1

2

8

R

0

С

í

0

J

ara-Herná ndez³ & Martín Merin 0-Ibarra⁴ & Javier Alcoc er⁵ & Mirosl av Macek ^{5,6} & Octavi οA. Moren 0-Guillé n^{1,7} & Luisa I. Falcón

Alcánt

spaceAbstract Microbes can modulate ecosystem function since they harbor a vast genetic potential for biogeochemical cy- cling. The spatial and temporal dynamics of this genetic di- versity should be acknowledged to establish a link between ecosystem function and community structure. In this study, we analyzed the genetic diversity of bacterial phosphorus utiliza- tion genes in two microbial assemblages, microbialites and bacterioplankton of Lake Alchichica, a semiclosed (i.e., endorheic) system with marked seasonality that varies in nu- trient conditions, temperature, dissolved oxygen, and water column stability. We focused on dissolved organic phosphorus (DOP) utilization gene dynamics during contrasting mixing and stratification periods. Bacterial alkaline phosphatases (*phoX* and *phoD*) and alkaline betapropeller phytases (*bpp*) were surveyed. DOP utilization genes showed different dy- namics evidenced by a marked change within an intraannual period and a differential circadian pattern of expres-

spacesion. Although Lake Alchichica is a semiclosed system, this dynamic turnover of phylotypes (from lake circulation to strat- ification) points to a different potential of DOP utilization by the microbial communities within periods. DOP utilization gene dynamics was different among genetic markers and among assemblages (microbialite vs. bacterioplankton). As estimated by the system's P mass balance, P inputs and out- puts were

similar in magnitude (difference was <10 %).	r			
A theoretical estimation of water column P	g	iod		
monoesters was used to calculate the potential	а	per		
P fraction that can be remineralized on an	n	pui		
annual basis. Overall, bacterial groups	i	e (u		
including Proteobacteria (Alpha and Gamma)	C	kto		
and Bacteroidetes seem to be key participants	c	olan		
in DOP utilization responses	n	riop		
in DOT utilization responses.	P b	acte		
Kauwarda Evitracellular anavmaa DOD	11	d ba		
Reywords Extracentular enzymes · DOP	0	ano		
utilization \cdot Phytase \cdot P turnover \cdot	S	ites		
Phylotype seasonality · Microbial	р	bial		
functional diversity	n	icro		
space	0	(B		
	r	dno		
	u	1 gr		
	S	eact		
* Luica I Falcán		for (
falcon@ecologia.unam.mx	(ge 1		
	D	/era		
¹ Laboratorio de Ecología Bactoriana, Instituto de Ecología	0	COV		
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. 04510	Р	as		
Mexico City, Mexico)	wn		
² Secretaría de Ciencia, Tecnología o Innovación del Distrito	*	as v		
Federal-Centro Latino-Americano de Física, Olivo 39. Col.	i	ors; are a		
Florida, 01030 Mexico City, Mexico	S	nato n) a		
³ Instituto de Geología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México		stin atio		
04510 Mexico City, Mexico	а	ity € tific		
space ⁴ Unidad Académica da Ecología y	n	'ersi strat		
Biodiversidad Acuática Instituto de Ciencias del		div nd :		
Mar v Limnología. Universidad Nacional	а	and n a		
Autónoma de México, 04510 Mexico City, Mexico	u h	ess a		
⁵ Provecto de Investigación en Limpología Tropical	0	chne		
FES Iztacala, UNAM, Av. de los Barrios No. 1, Los	u	; ric		
Reyes Iztacala,	п ч	ddq		
54090 Tlalnepantla, State of Mexico, Mexico	a	pu		
⁶ Biology Centre v. v. i., Institute of Hydrobiology,	а	Х, а		
Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic,	n	oho.		
37001 České	t	D, I		
Budejovice, Czech Republic		oyd		
⁷ Posgrado en Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad	р	Jes		
Nacional Autónoma de México, 04510 Mexico	h	ger		
Space	0	ion		
	S	izat		0
Introduction	-	util		e (9
		OP		rag
D	р	Đ		ove
i	ĥ	0 U(0
S	0	atic	8)	(H
S	r	iign	ň ۱	n (l
0	1	ass	eq	ouu
1	u c	ype	tain	Sha
V	5	/lot	qo	0,
e	(Phy	lces	hac
d	(le 1	luer	0
d	Р	abl	[sed	Us
)) dd	OT
U			S O	
			'nce	(%)
			que	age
			(se	ver
			Хон	SCo
			-	

2

f r а С t i 0 n i n а q u а t i С S y S t e m S ,

f

t

h

e

t

0

t a

1

d i

S

S

o l

v

e d

p h

0

S

p h

0

r

u

s

i

n

t h

e

w a

t

e

r

С

o l

u

m n

o f

r e p r e s e n t t i n

g u p

t o 8 0

%

0

t r 0 р h Р

f

r а

С

t i

0

n

[

<u>3</u>]

•

0

r

g

а n

i

С

р

h 0 S

р

h

0

r u

S

t r

а

n S f

0

r m

а

t

i

0 n

S

0

С

С

- i С S
- y S t
- e m S
- [<u>1</u>
- ,
- <u>2</u>] •
- Y e
- t D
- 0 Р
- i S
- t h
- e
- l e S
- S
- k
- n 0
- W n

u	I
r	а
	С
e	е
f	C
İ	W
i	а
_	t
	e
	r
	1
1	
e	r
n	а
t	n
1	Р ;
1	1
У	d
i	Р
n	-
	+
	ι
n	u
a	r
t	n
11	0
r	v
1	v
a	e
1	r
S	r
v	2
y	d
S	t
t	е
e	S
m	
c	Г
5	L D
,	2
	,
a	
S	4
	1
_	1
Г	•
e	
V	W
e	е
a	
u 1	1.
1	К
e	n
d	0
	W
h	
	~
у	S
	0

y s u r

f

а

i n

g

d

i

S

S

o l

v

e d

o r

g

а

n i

С

n u

t

r

i

e n

t

f

r a

С

t

i

o n

S

[

<u>5</u>

<u>6</u>

]

;

t

h

e

r

e f

t h а t m i С r 0 b e S а r e k e у С 0 m р 0 n e n t S i n v 0 1 v е d i n r e u t i 1 i

 $\frac{6}{r}$

z

P Utilization Genes in Microbialites and
0
ſ
e
,
D
0
P
u
t
1
1
i
Z
a
t
i
0
n
b
y
m
1
C
ſ
0
D
e
S
h
11
a
5
c
5
1

a t i o n

S

f 0 r

С а r b 0 n

u p t а k e

a n d

e х р 0 r t а t i 0

n

[<u>7</u>]

.

А

r

e C O

g n i

g			
n			
i			
f			

i С а n t

i m p l

i c

а

С

e 1

l

u

1 а

r

р

h

0

S

р h

а t

а

S

e

S

t

h

а

t

b

e С

0

m e

а

С t i

v

e

t

0

f

а С

e

Р

S t

а

 $\frac{8}{z}$ e d D 0 Р t r а n S f 0 r m а t i 0 n р r 0 С e S s i S t h e s у n t h e S i s 0 f e Х t r

- i
- n
- t m h а i е n
- W а
- t
- e r
- С
- 0 1
- u m
- n
- W i
- t h
- Р
- m 0
- n
- 0 e
- S
- t e
- r
- S
- а n
- d
- d i
- e
- S t

- а S

е

r S

t

e

b

S t

r

а

t

•

n

0

t

h

e

r

i

n

- h
- S u
- e S
- [<u>8</u> _
- 1 1]
- А
- t e
- r e
- S t i
- n g

- $\frac{10}{e}$ Х а m р 1 e f 0 r 0 r g а n i С р h 0 S р h 0 r u s u t i 1 i z а t i 0 n С 0 n S i S t s 0 f
- а d а

р h

y t

а

t

e

(

m

у 0

-

i

n

0

S

i t

0

1

h

e

Х а

k

i

S р h

0

S

р

h

а

t

e

0

r

I

Р

6

)

d

e

g r

t h

e

t

0

t

a l

р

h

0 S

р

h o

r

u s

f

0

u n

d

i

n

n

а

t

u

r e

[

1 2]

.

Т

h i

S

m o

l e

С

u l

- h
- y t
- a
- t e
- r e
- p
- r e
- -
- S
- e n
- t s
- a
- p p
- r r 0
- x i
- m a
- t e
- l y
- 7
- 5
- t o
- 8
- 0
- % 0
- f

b

, u а t b i u S n d а а n t m i i n n 0 r v e С g 0 e m t р а 0 1 n e t n i t S 0 S f u e S m а , r а i n С С e u m S u e 1 d а i t m е е S n t i S n , t i h n d e i s С 0 а i t 1 i

P Utilization Genes in Microbialites and
n
g
i
t
S
e
1
:
n and a second se
11 t
t .
d
e
g
r
a
d
a
t
i
0
n
/
u
t
i
1
i
Z
a
t
i
0
n
1

0 1

u m n

[<u>1</u> <u>3</u>]

•

R e c n t l y

а

b a c t r i a l

a l k l i n e

p h

y t

a s

e

(b

e

-

n			
t			

h e

w a

t e

r c

- o f t а b р i r 0 0 р e 1 1 1 0 g i e r С р а l h у S t а y S S e t e , m b S р , р) r e w v а e S а l i i d n e g n i t i t f S i е v d а S i t n р а 0 t v e n а t r i i а e l t
- у

P h y t a t e

i n t o

l e s s

p h o s -

p h o r y l a t e d

m y i n o s i t o l

r e s i d

u e

z e 15

0

r

e

l e

а

S

e

S

m

e

t

a l

S

c h

e

l

а

t

e

d

b

y

p h

y

t

а

t e

(

e

•

g .

,

F

e +

3

,

Z n +

2

- а n d i n 0 r g а n i С р h 0 S р h а t e s [<u>1</u> <u>6</u>] , а n d t h e i r а С t i v i t у а l
- S

,

	_							
M	Р							
g								
+	u							
2	t							
,	i							
	1							
С	i							
a	Z							
+	а							
2	t							
)	i							
)	0							
ſ	n							
1	11							
± 7	1							
1	1							
]	d							
	ſ							
A	g			30				
1	e	0						
t	-	- 18	(H)					
h		eq	on					
0	1	ain	ann	11				
u	У	obt	Sh	2.1				
g		ces	0E	æ				
h	r	luen	G	0 0				
	e	(sec	s					
i	1	D	DT	c				
t	i	ų	0	7				
	e	off		10				
i	S	Cut		0.0				
S					c		-	
	0			lon	atio	ion	atio	
k	n	р		ılati	ific	ulati	ifica	
n		eric		ircı	itrat	Circo	trat	
0	m	Д		0	0)	0	(A)	
W	i	age						
n	С	ldm				u		
	r	ISSE		es		nkto		
t	0	ial i		alit		pla		
h	b	rob		robi		eric		
a	e	Mic		Alici		3act		
t	S			-				
e	,							
C C	W							
0	e							
5								
v	а							
J S	- r							
t	e							
۲. ۵	~							
m	s							
	t							
1	·							

С

m

S

t h

> a t

h

а

r

b

0

r

Р

t

r

a n

S

f

o r

m

а

t

i o

n

g

e n

e

S

[<u>3</u>

,

<u>1</u> <u>8</u>

]

,

t

h

e

f

a c

t

r t i n g t 0 u n d e r S t а n d t h e i d e n t i t у 0 f t h e m i С r 0 0 r g а n

<u>18</u> a

i s

_			
0			
r			
S			
t			
h			
а			
t			
c			
d			
r			
i			
1			
v			
e			
t			
h			

f

Р

t r a s f o r m a t i o

n

g e

n

e

s /

р

r o

t

e

i

n

S

[

<u>1</u> 0

,

<u>1</u> <u>1</u>

,

<u>1</u> <u>8</u>

,

<u>1</u> 9

]

,

a s

e p

- r e s
- e n C
- e ,
- d
- i v
- e r s
- i t y
- , 0
- r
- e x
- p
- r e
- s s
- i
- o n
- 0

V

e

r

[<u>4</u>

,

<u>2</u> 0]

•

R

e

m i

n

e

r

a l

i

Z

a t

i

0

n

р

а

t

t e

r

n s

а

n d

r

а

t e

S

m

а

у

v

а

<u>20</u> w e 1 1 а S t h e i r S р а t i а l а n d t e m р 0 r а 1 r e р 1 а С е m e n t 0 r t u r n

0

-			
у			
d			
u			
е			
-			
t			
0			
0			
s			
5 h			
1			
t			
t			
S			
i			
n			
b			
а			
С			
t			
e			
r			
i			

a	
1	
а	

S S e m

b l а g

e S [

<u>4</u> ,

<u>2</u> <u>1</u> ,

<u>2</u> <u>2</u>]

,

b u t

t h e

р а t t e r n S

а n d

m e С h а

n i S

S

0 f

f

u

n

С

t

i 0

n

а 1

g

e

t u r

n

m

n e

- <u>22</u> 0 n v S e f r 0 r S m t а i t 1 i l 0 n r e р m 0 а t i e n n t u i n а 1 С 1 i e n а r m • i Η С e r r 0 b e i , а 1 W i e t s e t S u d а i n d e d b t а h С e t e D r 0 i Р 0 р t 1 r а n
- а

k t o n r

S

h

а

v

e

s h

0

w n

t h

а

t

a l

k

a l

i

n

e

р

h

o s

p h

а

t

а

S

e s

(A

Р

)

p h

0

D

а

n

d

- f
- L
- a k
- e A
- l l
- c h
- i c
- h
- i c
- a .
- R
- e
- c e
- n t
- S
- u r
- v e
- y s
- .
- i n
- n
- a t
- u
- r a
- 1
- W
- a t
- e

S

d

а

а

i

e

р

0

S

р

а

t

а

S e S

[

<u>1</u> <u>0</u>

,

•

e

r

e

,

e

S

e

t W

0

- 0 ĥ 0 Χ t u а r у e m 1 k 0 r 1 e а n b u n d h а n t h t h а n р h 0 Α , t h 1 1] e С 1 Η а S S i С а 1 t h m 0 d e l
- t

k a l i n e

b e t a -P r o P e l l e r

p h y t s e s

b p p ,

w e r e

s u r e y e d

i

n

e

_			
b			
а			
u			
С			
t			
-			
e			
r			
1			
а			
1			
1			
h			
a			
I			
k			
n			
а			
1			
1			
n			
0			
e			
n			
Ρ			
h			
0			
0			
S			
D			
r L			
n			
а			
+			
L			
a			
a			
a s			
a s e			
a s e s			
a s e s			
a s e s ,			
a s e s			
a s e s ,			
a s e s , p			
a s e s , p h			
a s e s , p h			
a s e s , p h o			
a s e s , p h o X			
a s e s , p h o X			
a s e s , p h o X			
a s e s , p h o X a			
a ses, phoX an			
a ses, phoX and			
a s e s , p h o X a n d			
a s e s , p h o X a n d			
a s e s , p h o X a n d p			
a s e s , p h o X a n d p			
a ses, phoX and ph			
ases, phoXand pho			
ases, phoX and phoD			
ases, phoXand phoD			
ases, phoX and phoD,			
ases, phoXandphoD,			
ases, phoXandphoD,			
ases, phoX and phoD, a			
ases, phoX and phoD, and			
ases, phoX and phoD, and			

a l

b

а

С

t

e

r

i

a l

a s

S

e

m b l

а

g e

S

s t

r

u

С

t

u

r e

С

o u

l d

a f

f

e

С

t

r

e m

i

n e

r a l

v i r 0 n m e n t а 1 D Ν А а n d R Ν А (Ť а b 1 е 1) • S i n С e s h i f t S i n t h e

i

d

e

р

e

n d

e

n

t

m

e

t

а 1

1

0

e n

Z

y m

e S

W

а

S

f

0

1 1

0

W

e d

d

u r

i

n

g

1

а k

e

С i

r

С

- р а
- t t
- е r
- n S
- [<u>4</u>
- ,
- <u>2</u> <u>1</u>]
- ,
- t h
- e
- d у
- n а
- m i
- С S
- 0 f
- t
- h
- e S
- e
- С а
- l
- С i
- u m

- 28 u t i 1 n а t g i 0 р h n y а S i n d С 0 S С h t e r а m i t С i f а 1 i С С а 0 t i n d 0 n i t i р e 0 r n i S 0 d W i S t , h i w h n i С t h h e 0 f S f у e S t r e С m 0 • n А t r p h а
- s

0

С

e

W

а

S

- S р h 0 r u S m а S S b а 1 а n
- p e r
- f o
- r m
- e d
- t o
- f r
- a m
- e
- Р
- s t
- a t
- u
- S
- а

space

n d

u

t i

1

i

Ζ

а

t i

o n

i

n

L

а

k e

А

1

c h

i c

h

i

С

а

 ${}_{\scriptscriptstyle \text{space}} Methods$

Study Area

the system (Fig. <u>1</u>).

Alchichica is a maar lake located in the easternmost region of the Trans-Volcanic Belt, Puebla, Mexico (19° 24′ N, 97° 24′ W; 2,340 m a.s.l.). It is a saline and alkaline lake (salinity

8.5 g l⁻¹, pH 9.5) that exhibits a particular chemical signature where sodium is abundant [23–25], calcium concentration is particularly low (~0.3 mM), and Mg/Ca ratio is high. Alchichica's water column production seems to be limited mainly by nitrogen, although phosphorus and silica may alter- natively limit phytoplankton productivity [26, 27]. Lake Alchichica is warm-monomictic [28, 29]. Stratification period occurs from April to December and circulation, in the dry winter, from January to March; the water column ($Z_{mean} =$ 40.9 m and $Z_{max} = 62$ m) mixes completely by the end of January or early February. Stratification and circulation pe- riods offer contrasting (intra-annual) physicochemical and nutrimental conditions [19] within Two different microbial assemblages characterize Alchichica's microbiota: bacterioplankton and a littoral ring of microbialites. Macek et al. [30] found that picoplankton dynamics follow a regular pattern, linked to the hydrological cycle of this system. Nutrient availability relates to the tempo- ral pattern and the vertical zonation of the bacterioplankton components [31]. Two microbialite morphotypes can be found in Lake Alchichica (columnar and spongy morpholo- gy); their microbial composition shows high prokaryote diver- sity [32]. Microbialites have shown relevant activity related to the N cycle, such as high N₂ fixation rates [33, 34] as well as potential for DOP utilization through bacterial alkaline phos- phatases [19].

Environmental Characterization

Water samples from the littoral zone, central station of the lake with depths of 5 m (surface mixed layer), 25 m (metalimnion), and 61 m (1 m above the bottom)—detailed description of the thermal structure of Alchichica can be consulted in Macek et al. [30]—and from nearby wells were taken in 2011: during lake circulation (February) and the well-established stratification (August). Physicochemical characterization of the water column and the littoral zone (water surrounding the microbialites) included temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, and conductivity of the water column and of the water surrounding the microbialites, recorded with a YSI 6600 mul- tiparameter probe. Water samples for nutrient analysis includ- ed determinations of soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP), NO⁻, NO⁻, dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN), soluble

spacein polypropylene containers. Samples were analyzed (within 24 to 48 h) with a segmented flow Autoanalyzer (Skalar San-Plus) following the standard methods adapted by Grasshoff et al. [35] and the circuits indicated by Kirkwood [36]. Total P and N were analyzed following the methods proposed by Valderrama [37], and total particulate P (TPP) was calculated as the difference between total P – SRP (this represents the minimum benchmark of organic phosphorus since SRP con- tains some organic species).

Sampling

Microbialites and bacterioplankton for total DNA and RNA surveys were collected in both periods (circulation and strati- fication). The two morphologically different microbialites (spongy and columnar) were sampled in six stations (n = 6 for each morphotype, at a depth of ~0.30 m) along the lakes littoral zone. Subsamples of ~10 g were excised and kept frozen (-20 °C) until DNA extraction. After **N**

extraction, DNA from all six stations was mixed to obtain a single pooled DNA sample for each microbialite morphotype per period.

At the central station of the lake, water column samples of 0.5 l (triplicates) were filtered through polycarbonate membranes (pore size 0.22 μ m, Osmonics; Poretics Corp.) taken at the following water depths: 5 m (surface), 25 m (metalimnion), and 61 m (bottom). Filters were then collected and kept frozen (-20 °C) in DNA-free 2.0 ml tubes, until analysis.

Collection of samples for total RNA extraction was performed in a diel sampling scheme. Microbialite sections from all sampling stations (six microbialite samples) were incubat- ed at on-site conditions (light and temperature). Subsamples were taken (in RNAse-free surfaces) at 0600, 1200, 1800, and 2400 hours; placed in liquid nitrogen; and kept frozen at

-80 °C until analysis.

Nucleic Acid Extraction

DNA extraction was performed using the method of Zhou et al. [38] modified by Centeno et al. [32] in which samples of approximately 5 g of each microbialite were pulverized in liquid nitrogen with a buffer solution (100 mM Tris-HCl, 20 mM NaCl, 100 mM EDTA, pH 8) and 0.06 V of cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB). A total volume of

0.5 l of water was filtered in 0.22- μ m membranes (Durapore, Millipore) to obtain total DNA from bacterioplankton samples. A volume of 3 ml of the extraction buffer was added and the mixtures were then incubated with lysozyme (30 mg ml⁻¹) (Sigma Aldrich,



(summer) and circu- lation (winter). Profiles (*on top*) show water column conditions and a table (*below*) shows littoral zone ambient conditions

spacea. Lake circulation





T (°C) space0 24 **space**sample was carefully separated and extracted twice with a 25:24:1 solution of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol and once more with 24/1 chloroform/isoamyl alcohol. DNA pre- cipitation was conducted at -20 °C, adding 0.1 volume of sodium acetate (3 M), 2 volumes of 2-propanol, and 2 µl of GlycoBlue (Ambion Inc., USA). Precipitated DNA was washed twice with 80 % ethanol and resuspended in molecu- lar grade water. DNA samples were further purified with Mini Spin columns (DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit, Qiagen, Alameda, CA) following the instructions of the manufacturer and precipitated again. Purified DNA was stored at -20 °C until analysis.

spaceTotal RNA was extracted from microbialite (spongy- morphotype) samples that were collected and

32

frozen in liquid nitrogen in a circadian sampling scheme (0600, 1200, 1800, and 2400 hours). Total RNA extraction was performed using the RNA PowerSoil® Total RNA Isolation Kit (Mo Bio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA) following protocol the of the manufacturer. RNA was then purified with the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands) including a step with DNAseI (Qiagen) to avoid DNA contamination. Immediately after RNA purification, primerconducted com- plementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis was performed using the Reverse Transcription System (Promega, WI, USA) with

space

 $_{\rm space}0.5~\mu g$ of each primer (Invitrogen). Reactions of 20 μl were incubated in the following sequence: 10 min at room temper- ature, 15 min at 42 °C, and finally 5 min at 95 °C to inactivate reverse transcriptase. cDNA obtained was kept frozen (at

 $-20\ ^{\circ}\mathrm{C})$ until analysis. Samples were verified for DNA con-

tamination after DNAse incubation with PCR before reverse transcription.

Amplification of DOP Utilization Genes

Partial sequences of alkaline phosphatases (*phoX* and *phoD*) and beta-propeller phytase (*bpp*) were amplified using reported de- generate primers [10, 15, 39]. PCR-specific conditions, primers used, and partial sequence length are shown in Table 2_(Online Resource 1). In a general scheme, PCRs were performed using

 $\sim\!10$ ng of DNA and cDNA in a final reaction mixture of 25 $\mu l,$

containing 2.5 μ l of 10× ViBuffer A (Vivantis, Oceanside, USA), a specific MgCl₂ concentration (Table <u>2</u>, Online Resource 1), 0.2 mM of each deoxynucleotide triphosphate,

0.5 µg µl⁻¹ bovine serum albumin (BSA, Biolabs, New England), and 0.5 U of Taq DNA Polymerase (Vivantis, Oceanside, USA). PCR products obtained from five reactions were pooled and then gel purified using QIAquick spin columns (Qiagen). DNA and cDNA amplicons were used to build clone libraries for each season and sample. Amplified fragments were ligated to pCR[®]2.1 vector following the manufacturer's sugges- tions (Original TA Cloning Kit, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA); *Escherichia coli* TOP-10 chemically competent cells

were transformed and selected with ampicillin (100 μ g ml⁻¹) and X-Gal (80 μ g ml⁻¹); M13 primers were used to screen the fragments (~100 transformant clones were picked for each DNA sample, and transcript libraries had a lower efficiency, with ~50 clones per sample).

Sequence Analyses

To explore diversity and dynamics of the genetic markers surveyed, operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were defined and considered as P-gene phylotypes. Phylotype assignation was done using cutoff values of 0.25 and 0.05 (see Table <u>1</u>), following the similitude cutoff of 0.25 has been defined in

spacesilico for *phoD* [40], and cutoff value of 0.05 suggested for the analysis of functional genes [41]. Although both cutoff values (0.25 and 0.05) were used for OTU assignation (Table 1), a theoretical analysis of *phoD* sequences indicates a specific sequence cutoff of 0.25 [40], and the resulting phy- lotypes were used further to compare phylotypes among groups and periods (Fig. 3). In contrast, while no specific cutoff values have been determined for *phoX* and *bpp* (per- haps due to the complexity for the reconstruction of the evo- lution of these proteins), a cutoff of 0.05, suggested for func- tional genes [41], was used to examine phylotype dynamics of *phoX* and *bpp* (Fig. 3).

Using the predicted amino acid sequences, alignments of each marker (using the Multiple Sequence Alignment soft- ware MUSCLE) and reference sequences of phosphatases (phoX and phoD) and bpp phytases were analyzed and trees were constructed with neighbor-joining and maximum likeli- hood algorithms (PhyML 3.0) [42]. Functions Bdist.seqs[^] and Bcluster[^] (using the furthest neighbor algorithm) of the plat- form for bioinformatic analyses MOTHUR v.1.33.3 [43] were used to group sequences into OTUs and to explore diversity within each marker (nonparametric richness estimator Chao, Shannon diversity index, as well as coverage, are reported in Table 1). The exploration of the sequence sets of each micro- bial consortia and season (stratification or circulation) was used to construct Venn diagrams showing the overlap and turnover of the markers explored.

Lake Alchichica AP (*phoX* and *phoD* partial genenucleotide sequences) from microbialites and bacterioplankton can be consulted in GenBank under the accession numbers KF891484–KF891515, KF891517–KF891828, and

KF891830–KF891882. PhoD-like transcripts (nucleotide and predicted amino acid sequences) were identified using the non- redundant (NR) database of NCBI BLAST. Sequences obtained have been submitted to the GenBank database under accession numbers KT763020–KT763031. Sequences shorter than 200 nucleotides are compiled in fasta archives (Online Resources 2, 3, and 4). Their affiliation and general features were explored through searches that correspond to the particular features of each set of sequences (see transcript sequences analyses in Online Resource 1).

space

P Utilization Genes in Microbialites and

Table 2 conditio	Online R ons for each	esource 1. I marker	Primers and PCR amplification	performed. Data for the estimation of the phosphorus mass balance included primary and secondary data. Phosphorus balance is defined by
Marker	[Primer] (mM)	MgCl ₂ (mM)	PCR conditions	the equation provided below as the difference between P in- puts and outputs in a time basis to estimate the difference in magnitude between
phoX	0.4	1.4	Denaturalization (95 °C for 5 min) 35 c spacefinal extension (72 °C for 10 min)	$\Delta M \delta P^{b} \Delta t 4 \Sigma \delta P$ inputs – P outputs ^b
phoD 35 cycl final	2 es (94 °C for	2 1 min, 57 °	Denaturalization (94 °C for 3 min) °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 2 min);	± P Net Internal Processes ðPNIPÞ ð1Þ
BPP cycles (1 95 °C for 30	2) s, 57 °C fo	extension (72 °C for 7 min) Denaturalization (95 °C for 4 min), 8 or 30 s, diminishing 1 °C each cycle and 72 °C for 30 s); 27 cycles (95 °C for 30 s, 48 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 5 min)	where $\Delta M(P)/\Delta t$ is the mass change of P within the system and was evaluated numerically from the volume-weighted concentrations and volume data of the lake. P inputs were computed and estimated for each water source or outflow (Table <u>6</u>). Some potential P sources were not considered for the P mass
space [<u>39]</u>				balance due to the following reasons: (1) local sewage inflows or water withdrawal was considered negligible (there is no infor- mation or avidance about their avidance) (2) not P internal flow.
space				space(no studies on P capture and release have been

spaceDatabase Searches and Structural Determination of PhoX and BPP transcripts

PhoX transcripts and BPP sequences were explored manually (using and modifying the default search parameters of HMMER, nBLAST, and pBLAST algorithms) [44-46] to confirm the identity of the aforementioned sequences. A de- tailed description of the strategies followed to perform these analyses can be consulted in Online Resource 1. For se- quences less than 18 amino acids in length (some phoX tran- scripts), we decided to analyze the linear predisposition of the amino acids in the sequence to form regular secondary struc- tures [47]. Due to sequence length limitations, we considered the overall hydrophobicity, using the scale of Kyte and Doolittle [48] of a linear string of amino acids [49-51].

System's Water Balance

The water balance of Lake Alchichica was modeled by García Martínez [52] using a continuity algorithm. Alchichica water balance was estimated in an annual basis using primary and secondary data [53]. Primary data were obtained through di- rect measurements (e.g., wells), while secondary data were obtained from reported literature and databases. The water balance considered the following climatic data: temperature, precipitation, evaporation, real (ETR) and potential (ETP) evapotranspiration, as well as the surface area of the lake, altitude, and static level [52].

Phosphorus Mass Balance

A stationary state was assumed ($\Delta P / \Delta T = 0$) because Lake Alchichica's trophic status has exhibited relatively low varia- tion in SRP, TP, or SiRP concentrations in recent decades [54-56], and a whole system P balance was

reported for the lake), and (3) P precipitation in OH-apatite was not considered since this mineral is unsaturated in Alchichica water column (OH-apatite saturation index = -2.97) [25]. After these consid- erations, Lake Alchichica's P balance (Table 6) was constrained to the equation:

P Precipitation b P Atmospheric deposition

b P Basin runoff **b** P groundwater

¹/₄ P Sedimentation ð2Þ

To estimate the ratio of total P mass/P sedimentation flux (Table 6), lake's total P mass was calculated using the concen- tration on TP in each layer of the lake times the volume of each layer.

Results

Genetic Diversity of Bacterial P Utilization Genes

Microbialite and bacterioplankton DOP utilization genes showed affiliation with Actinobacteria (*phoD*), Alphaproteobacteria (phoX, phoD), Betaproteobacteria (phoD), Gammaproteobacteria (phoD and bpp), and Bacteroidetes (bpp).

Partial phytase sequences recovered from environmental DNA of Lake Alchichica's bacterioplankton and microbialites (\sim 200 bp) were similar to *bpp* phytases from other environ- mental studies [15] and affiliated with annotated genomic se- quences (3-Phytase) of Bacteroidetes (Flavobacteriales), Beta, and Gammaproteobacteria (Fig. 2). Phytase (*bpp*) predicted amino acid sequences corresponded to protein domain 3- Phytase BPP (Pfam PF02333, InterPro IPR003431).

Alchichica's *phoX* partial sequences (~650 bp) showed phy-

logenetic affiliation with Alphaproteobacteria and Actinobacteria from aquatic environmental samples of different trophic states [10, 19]. Amino acid predicted sequences corresponded to pro- tein families COG3211: PhoX, Pfam 05787 (residues E273 and E873, Protein Data Bank 3ZWU_A), and to the Domain of Unknown Function (DUF) DUF839. This domain has been di- rectly associated to a large family of proteins that are able to metabolize phosphorus (Interpro Database) [59], while in the Pfam Database [60], DUF839 is considered a featured domain of the proteins which are included as part of the family with the PhoX domain [61].

Lake Alchichica partial *phoD* sequences (~350 bp) affili-

ated with Alpha, Beta, and Gammaproteobacteria from soil studies [39, 40]. Most (96 %) of the *phoD* amino acid predict- ed sequences contained the calcium binding site (residues N215, N216; 2YEQ; of the Protein Data Bank).

space





0.8

SpaceFig. 2 Partial *bpp* sequences maximum likelihood topology. Alchichica Lake sequences (spongy and columnar microbialites and bacterioplankton); environmental studies *bpp* sequences are shown in *black branches* and genomic reference sequences are shown in *cyan*

Space*color*. Branches show bootstrap testing over 1000 replicates (only bootstrap values >50 are shown). *Colored dots over clades* show the affiliation of BPP transcript sequences (*blue* for transcripts of 24 h and *green* for 18 h)

space

space phosphatase phoX Venn Diagram at distance 0.05 space BPP phytase Venn Diagram at distance 0.05 space phosphatase phoD Venn Diagram at distance 0.25 space Stratification. SpaCeStratification. microbialites (7 phylotypes)





spaceFig. 3 Venn diagrams showing the distribution of phylotypes of alkaline phosphatases *phoX* and *phoD*, and beta-propeller phytase *bpp* in microbialites and bacterioplankton of Lake Alchichica. The cutoff value

Spacefor phylotype determination is shown, as well as the total number of phylotypes (*in parenthesis*) for each marker

space

spacePhylotype Distribution Between Microbial Assemblages (Microbialites and Bacterioplankton)

Alkaline phosphatases (phoX) exhibited the largest number of phylotypes (27), followed by phoD (23) and bpp (18). Diversity indexes indicate that overall, the three studied markers were more diverse in microbialites than in bacterioplankton (Table 1). Analysis of alkaline phosphatases *phoX* and *phoD* (cutoffs = 0.05 and 0.25, see Table $\underline{1}$) showed that some phylotypes are shared between microbialites and bacterioplankton and between lake circulation periods (strati- fication and circulation), while other phylotypes are unique (Fig. <u>3</u>). The proportion of unique sequences was higher for *bpp* (72.4 %) followed by phoX (62.5 %) and phoD (52.2 %). Microbialites shared more *phoX* phylotypes within seasons than bacterioplankton. The proportion of *phoD* phylotypes shared between periods was the same in microbialites and bacterioplankton although microbialites exhibited a larger number of shared phoD phylotypes between periods (Table 3). Unlike alkaline

phosphatases (that were present in microbialites and bacterioplankton during lake's circulation and stratification), *bpp* phytases were not found in the bacterioplankton during lake's circulation. Microbialites, on the other hand, contained four times more *bpp* phylotypes in circulation than during stratification. No phylotypes were common to all the *bpp* groups (Fig. 3).

The groups of sequences of *phoX*, *phoD*, and *bpp* showed a distinctive distribution pattern. The type of assemblage (microbialite or bacterioplankton) was more relevant than sea- sonality in the distribution of *phoX*. In contrast, seasonality distinguished *phoD* and *bpp* phylotype distribution. Bacterioplankton in circulation for *phoD* and microbialites in circulation for *bpp* were the groups that showed the highest number of unique phylotypes (Fig. <u>3</u>).

A differential distribution of markers was explored by cal- culating the ratio of unshared phylotypes: shared phylotypes among assemblages (Table <u>4</u>). Ratios indicate that exclusivity of DOP utilization phylotypes is favored in microbialites com- pared to bacterioplankton. A differential pattern was observed where *phoD* showed the lowest values for both assemblages, and *phoX* the highest circulation. microbialites (<u>12shdwdtattibition</u>(<u>Grable: A</u>)_{lotypes})

> Transcription Patterns of phoX, phoD, and bpp Following a Diel • Cycle

¹ Alkaline phosphatases and phytases showed different expres- sion patterns (Fig. <u>4</u>). PhoX transcripts exhibited a diurnal expression (^{3 phytor}pattern, 40 % of the transcripts was recovered at sunrise (06:00 h) and 60 % at noon (1200 hours). PhoD tran- scripts showed a broad pattern of expression throughout the diel cycle, where 42 % of the transcripts corresponded to 0600 hours and 50 % to 1200 hours, although 8 % was recov- ered at midnight (none for 1800 hours). BPP phytase

spacetranscripts showed an afternoon pattern of expression where most of the transcripts were recovered at midnight (70 %) and 30 % at 1800 hours (Table <u>5</u>, Online Resource 1).

Alkaline phosphatase PhoD transcripts were very similar in length (approximately 369 bp) to the ones recovered from total DNA. The closest affiliation of PhoD transcripts corresponded to alkaline phosphatase D (Bacillus subtilis -- type), two of the PhoD transcripts affiliated to precursor se- quences of alkaline phosphatase D of Pseudomonas stutzeri (Gammaproteobacteria) and Bhradirhizobium sp (Alphaproteobacteria); both are bacterial genus known to be involved in denitrification and nitrogen fixation, respectively (Table 5, Online Resource 1). PhoX and BPP phytase tran- scripts were smaller than the genomic sequences recovered from total DNA (transcript sequences can be consulted in Online Resource 1, also an ad hoc scrutiny of each of these sequences to verify their structural features and the presence of protein domains).

PhoX transcript sequences affiliated to three main functional categories: signaling, DNA binding, and phosphorus metabolism (see Online Resource 1); transcripts also associated to the PhoX domain, linker domains (like the SH3 domains) [62-64], and to a domain that is still not functionally characterized, DUF839. By performing the hydrophobicity plot of our sequences [48], we found that some of the PhoX transcript sequences could, theoretically, form alpha-helices and loops, while the rest of them may be forming special arrangements of beta-sheets (see Online Resource 1). The PhoX domain is approximately 120 amino acids long and folds into a very partic- ular three stranded beta-sheet followed by three helices and a proline-rich region that it is located before a membraneinteraction loop and spans approximately eight hydrophobic and polar residues [64, 65].

Transcript of BPP sequences showed affiliation (with sig- nificant scores) to sequences identified in the NR database of NCBI [45]. Transcript sequences of BPP showed domains involved in phosphorous metabolism, some specifically be- longing to phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK), a protein that catalyzes the reversible decarboxylation and phos- phorylation of oxaloacetate to yield phosphoenolpyruvate and carbon dioxide using ATP or GTP for the phosphoryl transfer [66]. Notably, in one of Alchichica's sequences (bppSsMcDNAOh_17), the Domain of Unknown Function DUF4397 (http://pfam.xfam.org/family/PF05787) was detected; DUF4397 has not been yet associated to any specific role [60].

P Mass Balance

Lake Alchichica's P mass balance is summarized in Fig. <u>5</u>. Atmospheric deposition was the highest (annual basis average was 4.94 ton P/year) among P inputs to Alchichica Lake

space

spaceTable 3 Alkaline phosphatases *phoX* and *phoD* and beta- propeller phytase *bpp* phylotype distribution among microbial assemblages
Space

Marker Total phylotypes

space

Phylotypes shared between assemblages space

Phylotypes only in bacterioplankton

Total Present in both seasons

space

Phylotypes only in microbialites

Total Present in both seasons space

phoX	36	2
phoD	30	7
bpp	18	2

space

(Table <u>6</u>). The sum of all inputs (precipitation, basin runoff, groundwater, and atmospheric deposition) reached 5.26 ton P/year, which was almost 10 % higher than P sedimentation (4.79 ton P/year), the main output identified. Since lake TP mass (calculated integrating TP concentrations over the water column) was 4.33 ton, we estimated the P residence time in the water column in the range of 0.82–0.90 y (i.e., 9.8–

10.8 months). P mass balance at Alchichica is further discussed in relation to the lake's physicochemical conditions during stratification and circulation periods, in the BLake Alchichica's P Status[^] section.

Discussion

Potential for DOP Remineralization

The potential for DOP remineralization found in Lake Alchichica's microbialites and bacterioplankton concur with those obtained by Sebastián and Gasol [67], who identified (using microautoradiography combined with in situ hybridi- zation) a stronger response to P stimulation/starvation of Gammaproteobacteria and Bacteroidetes over other microbial groups (in bacterioplankton samples of an environment where P starvation was presumably prevalent). Jorquera et al. [68] also described the relevant abundance and diversity of *bpp* phytases in Gammaproteobacteria and in some Bacteroidetes genus such as *Flavobacterium*. Although Bacteroidetes (Flavobacteriales) has not been recognized as an abundant

space

phylum in Alchichica's microbialites [<u>32</u>], this group may be a key participant in DOP transformation.

PhoD and BPP transcript sequences were associated with DNA sequences from Alchichica assemblages that showed their closest affiliation with Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria (PhoD) and Gammaproteobacteria and Bacteroidetes (BPP). PhoD transcripts of 0600 hours were significantly affiliated to sequences of Gammaproteobacteria (mRNA 6h_14 and mRNA 6h_7 of Table 5; Online Resource 1; E values = e-142 and e-175).

Phylotype Dynamics and Turnover

The type of microbial assemblage defined the abundance of DOP utilization genetic markers including *phoX*, *phoD*, and *bpp* when metabolic potential for DOP utilization of bacterioplankton (of the whole water column) was compared with that of microbialites. Although a functional

parallelism was shown, results indicate that the potential of bacteria (as defined by OTU numbers) to transform DOP through AP *phoX*, *phoD*, and *bpp* phytases was higher in microbialites than in bacterioplankton (Fig. <u>3</u>, Table <u>1</u>). In general, the bacterioplankton of the stratification period shared more phylotypes with microbialites than the bacterioplankton of the circulation period.

Although we studied only three molecular markers associated to DOP utilization, here, we explore some ideas about the mean- ing of these phylotype dynamics-patterns. While microbialites showed a higher potential (higher genetic diversity) than

space

spaceTable 4 Ratios of *phoX*, *phoD*, and *bpp* unshared phylotypes of space

Mierol

Microbialites Normalized by coveraginge:

^{SI} shared phylotypes among

assemblages



Transcripts (PhoD)

Transcripts (PhoX)

Transcripts (BPP)

spacecirculation to stratification. The divergence of the groups phoD-Bacterioplankton and bpp-microbialites (of circulation) stands out overall, suggesting a faster dynamics of these markers, compared to phoX. In contrast, phoX dynamics did not show a marked difference among assemblages or periods. APs and phytase exhibited phylotype overlap (between assem- blages and periods), as seen in the Venn diagrams (Fig. 3). These relationships suggest not only a structural connection between microbialites

SpaceFig. 4 Expression patterns of DOP utilization genes in a diel sampling scheme

bacterioplankton to remineralize DOP through APs, the differ- ence was markedly higher in microbialites to remineralize phy- tate through BPP phytase. Microbial assemblages harboring these markers showed change (phylotype replacement or sea- sonality) in a timespan as short as the seasonal cycle of

spaceever, bacterioplankton showed a more dynamic phylotype turnover (Table <u>4</u>). This result may be coupled to their

contrasting lifestyles: free-living vs. benthic consortia.

PhoD and BPP transcripts were obtained only during

strati- fication, suggesting a more intense P starvation

		condition for t	ha bactorial commu	nition in this pariod (a
	Unshared/shared phylotypes	Normalized by coverage	Unshared/shared phylotypes	
phoX	4.5	4.8	8.0	8.6
phoD	0.3	0.3	2.0	2.1
bpp	0.5	0.6	6.0	7.0

Table 5Online Resource 1. Characteristics of the PhoD, PhoX, and BPPtranscripts recovered and their closest affiliation in the general databases

Transcript	Expression pattern	Season	Length (bp)
PhoX			
alpsSsMcDNA6h_2	0600	S	369
alpsSsMcDNA6h_003	0600	S	369
C_alpsSsMcDNA6h_7	0600	S	369
alpsSsMcDNA6h_10	0600	S	369
alpsSsMcDNA6h_14	0600	S	369
alpsSsMcDNA6h_16	0600	S	369
C_alpsSsMcDNA6h_19	0600	S	363
alpsSsMcDNA12h_5	1200	S	369
alpsSsMcDNA12h_6	1200	S	369
alpsSsMcDNA12h_007	1200	S	369
alpsSsMcDNA12h_11	1200	S	369
alpsSsMcDNA12h_12	2400	S	357
PhoX			
phoXcDNA.S6h.29	0600	S	24
phoXcDNA.S6h.32	0600	S	44
phoXcDNA.S12h.20	1200	S	24
phoXcDNA.S12h.22	1200	S	58
phoXcDNA.S12h.23	1200	S	25
NCBIBPP (3-phytase)			
bppSsMcDNA18h_1	1800	S	93
bppSsMcDNA18h_3	1800	S	93
bppSsMcDNA18h_4	1800	S	93
bppSsMcDNAOh_2	1800	S	114
bppSsMcDNAOh_3	2400	S	118
bppSsMcDNAOh_6	2400	S	63
bppSsMcDNAOh_15	2400	S	604
bppSsMcDNAOh_16	2400	S	57
bppSsMcDNAOh_17	2400	S	114
bppSsMcDNAOh_18	2400	S	54

^a Sequence fragment affiliation corresponds to the results in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) and in the nonredundant database of the National Center for Biotechnology



space

and in an annual basis. *Boxes* enclose enzymatic mechanisms of alkaline phosphatases *phoX* and *phoD*,

space

_{space}Lake Alchichica's P balance shows that allochthonous P total input was 9.8 % higher than the TP exported to the sediments (Fig. <u>5</u>).

This difference (or unaccounted P) could correspond to presently unknown sources or sinks of P, as well as to errors in the present estimates, or due to intra-annual heterogeneity. In any case, this P mass balance revealed that the magnitude of P fluxes is similar (between 8 and 10 % higher) to the lake's total P mass. The average time that each P atom spends in the water column of Lake Alchichica before it sediments (i.e., the P water columnresidence time) ranges between 0.82 and 0.90 year, as estimated by the P mass/P flux ratio calculated using either total inputs or total outputs.

Temporal heterogeneity is a factor that should be consid- ered in this exploration, although at the moment, a dynamic water balance in Lake Alchichica is constrained due to the uncertainty on the lake's groundwater flows and particularly on their variability. During winter, dry and dusty conditions of the Mexican highlands favor higher atmospheric P deposition rates than during the rest of the year (see seasonal atmospheric dust deposition in Oseguera et al. [58]). On an annual basis, the circulation bloom of big-size diatoms [27] is assumed to be the main driver of organic matter sedimentation.

Alchichica Lake's TPP accounted for 3.67 and 3.94 tons of organic P in the water column during circulation and stratifi- cation, respectively. According to novel ³¹P NMR spectrosco- py P fractioning studies, P monoesters would account for 11 to 44 % of TPP (in a productive system) [70]; using this range (in the absence of any similar measurements for Alchichica), the P monoesters fraction of the lake would range from 0.42 to

1.68 tons, a considerable size for a pool that would have to be remineralized by microorganisms [71] fitted with P extracel- lular enzymes (i.e., phoD, phoX, phoA, etc). Nevertheless, understanding the metabolic strategies to utilize this P fraction likely requires multiple approaches, from microscopic to community-scale research strategies. It is also important to assess the exchange of P, organic matter, and other nutrients from the littoral zone (where there are areas with high cover- age of macrophytes, epibenthic diatoms, and living microbialites) to the pelagic zone, as outlined by Ardiles et al. [27], who also reported benthic littoral phytoplankton species (pennate diatoms) in the central and deep areas of the lake. Finally, it is also remarkable that, likely because of the high P output flow associated to sedimentation, there is no experimental evidence of net P release from the sediments.

Final Remarks

Microbialite and bacterioplankton assemblages seem to exhib- it different strategies for DOP utilization (i.e., through alkaline phosphatases and phytases). A Bstock strategy^ favored in microbialites, focused on preserving a 39

spaceproteobacterial participants) and a Bfast-change strategy[^], overall observed in bacterioplankton, in which other (less abundant) phylotypes exhibit a higher turnover. Although microbialites harbor a higher genetic potential for DOP utili- zation (through *phoX*, *phoD*, and *bpp*), the resilience potential of each assemblage is still to be assessed and may be related to the time scale as well as to the magnitude of environmental changes. Under this scenario, we hypothesize that the water column communities may be more effective to face drastic environmental change, although their effective rate of DOP transformation may be lower (due to their lower phylotype diversity) than in their benthic counterpart.

Accounting for the area covered by microbialites in Lake Alchichica, and considering their extensive genetic potential and functional capabilities for DOP utilization, both assem- blages (microbialites and bacterioplankton) may play an im- portant role in contributing to the availability of nutrient and trace metals and in maintaining a relatively high production in a system where allochthonous nutrient input is relatively low. This Befficient[^] remineralization would help explain observations that refer higher production features than expected for an oligotrophic system (with low P inputs). This evidence in- cludes high abundance of total picoplankton relative to low trophic state systems [30] and regular *Nodularia* blooms [33, 55].

DOP utilization genes/transcripts showed different dynam- ics in contrasting hydrological conditions (within microbialites and bacterioplankton). Our findings indicate that microbial groups have different responses to face P stimula- tion/starvation. This provides dynamic capacities (system's metabolic potential) to utilize P (DOP). It is relevant to ob- serve seasonality of microbial phosphorus utilization potential to understand transformations of other major biogeochemical cycles such as carbon and nitrogen.

Acknowledgments We thank Luis Oseguera and the Proyecto de Investigación en Limnología Tropical (FES Iztacala, UNAM) and Bernardo Valdespino for fieldwork support in Lake Alchichica. We ac- knowledge F. Sergio Castillo-Sandoval of the Aquatic Biogeochemistry Laboratory (ICMyL, UNAM) for the accomplishment of chemical anal- yses. Additionally, we thank Osiris Gaona Pineda and Antonio Cruz- Peralta for technical and laboratory assistance. Funding for this project was granted to L.I. Falcón through SEP-CONACyT No. 151796 and PAPIIT-UNAM No. IT100212-3. All sampling was done under collector permit No. PPF/DGOPA.033/2013 (LIF). P Valdespino acknowledges CONACyT for a doctoral fellowship and SECITI-CLAF for a postdoc- toral fellowship.

References

- C. Kolowith LC, Ingall ED, Benner R (2001) Composition and cy- cling of marine organic phosphorus. Limnol Oceanogr 46:309–320
- Björkman KM, Karl DM (2003) Bioavailability of dissolved organ- ic phosphorus in the euphotic zone at Station ALOHA, North Pacific Subtropical Gyre. Limnol Oceanogr 48(3):1049– 1057

space

- 3. _{space}Dyhrman ST, Ammerman JW, Van Mooy BAS (2007) Microbes and the marine phosphorus cycle. Oceanography 20:110–116
- White AE, Watkins-Brandt KS, Engle MA, Burkhardt B, Paytan A (2012) Characterization of the rate and temperature sensitivities of bacterial remineralization of dissolved organic phosphorus com- pounds by natural populations. Front Microbiol 3:276
- 5. Biddanda B, Opsahl S, Benner R (1994) Plankton respiration and carbon flux through bacterioplankton on the Louisiana shelf. Limnol Oceanogr 39:1259–1275
- del Giorgio PA, Cole JJ, Cimbleris A (1997) Respiration rates in bacteria exceed phytoplankton production in unproductive aquatic systems. Nature 385:148–151
- Benitez-Nelson CR, Buesseler KO (1999) Variability of inorganic and organic phosphorus turnover rates in the coastal ocean. Nature 6727:502–505
- 8. Cotner JB, Wetzel RG (1992) Uptake of dissolved inorganic and organic phosphorus compounds by phytoplankton and bacterioplankton. Limnol Oceanogr 37(2):232–43
- Štrojsová A, Vrba J, Nedoma J, Komárková J, Znachor P (2003) Seasonal study of extracellular phosphatase expression in the phy- toplankton of a eutrophic reservoir. Eur J Phycol 38(4):295–306
- 10. Sebastian M, Ammerman JW (2009) The alkaline phosphatase PhoX is more widely distributed in marine bacteria than the classi- cal PhoA. ISME J 3:563–572
- 11. Luo H, Bennera R, Long RA, Hu J (2009) Subcellular localization of marine bacterial alkaline phosphatases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:21219–21223
- Oh BC, Chang BS, Park KH, Ha NC, Kim HK, Oh BH et al (2001) Calcium-dependent catalytic activity of a novel phytase from Bacillus amyloliquefaciens DS11. Biochemistry 40(32):9669–9676
- Suzumura M, Kamatani A (1995) Origin and distribution of inositol hexaphosphate in estuarine and coastal sediments. Limnol Oceanogr 40:1254–1261
- Lim BL, Yeung P, Cheng C, Hill JE (2007) Distribution and diver- sity of phytate-mineralizing bacteria. ISME J 1(4):321– 330
- 15. Huang H, Shi P, Wang Y, Luo H, Shao N, Wang G et al (2009) Diversity of beta-propeller phytase genes in the intestinal contents of grass carp provides insight into the release of major phosphorus from phytate in nature. Appl Environ Microbiol 75(6):1508–1516
- 16. Cheng C, Lim BL (2006) Beta-propeller phytases in the aquatic environment. Arch Microbiol 185(1):1–13
- Jacobsen T, Slotfeldt-Ellingsen D (1983) Phytic acid and metal availability: a study of Ca and Cu binding. Cereal Chem 60(5): 392–395
- White AE (2009) New insights into bacterial acquisition of phos- phorus in the surface ocean. PNAS 106(50):2013–2014
- Valdespino-Castillo PM, Alcántara-Hernández RJ, Alcocer J, Merino-Ibarra M, Macek M, Falcón LI (2014) Alkaline phospha- tases in microbialites and bacterioplankton from Alchichica soda lake, Mexico. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 90(2):504–519
- Giovannoni SJ, Vergin KL (2012) Seasonality in ocean microbial communities. Science 335:671–676

- Martinez J, Smith DC, Steward GF, Azam F (1996) Variability in ectohydrolytic enzyme activities of pelagic marine bacteria and its significance for substrate processing in the sea. Aquat Microb Ecol 10:223– 230. doi:10.3354/ame010223
- 22. Arnosti C, Durkin S, Jeffrey WH (2005) Patterns of extracellular enzyme activities among pelagic marine microbial communities: implications for cycling of dissolved organic carbon. Aquat Microb Ecol 38(2):135–145
- Vilaclara G, Chávez M, Lugo A, González H, Gaytán M (1993) Comparative description basic chemistry of crater-lakes in Puebla State, México. Verh Int Ver Theoret Angew Limnol 25:435–440
- 24. Armienta MA, Vilaclara G, De la Cruz-Reyna S, Ramos S, Ceniceros N, Cruz O, Aguayo A, Arcega-Cabrera F (2008) Water

Spacechemistry of lakes related to active and inactive Mexican volca- noes. J Volcanol Geoth Res 178(2):249–258

- 25. Kazmierczak J, Kempe S, Kremer B, Lopez-Garcia P, Moreira D, Tavera R (2010) Hydrochemistry and microbialites of the alkaline crater lake Alchichica, Mexico. Facies 57:543–570
- Ramírez-Olvera MA, Alcocer J, Merino-Ibarra M, Lugo A (2009) Nutrient limitation in a tropical saline lake: a microcosm experi- ment. Hydrobiologia 626:5–13
- Ardiles V, Alcocer J, Vilaclara G, Oseguera LA, Velasco L (2012) Diatom fluxes in a tropical, oligotrophic lake dominated by large- sized phytoplankton. Hydrobiologia 679(1):77–90
- Lugo A, Alcocer J, Sanchez M, Escobar E (1998) Littoral protozo- an assemblages from two Mexican hyposaline lakes. Hydrobiologia 381:9–13
- 29. Alcocer J, Lugo A, Escobar E, Sánchez MR, Vilaclara G (2000) Water column stratification and its implications in the tropical warm monomictic lake Alchichica, Puebla, Mexico. Verh Int Ver Theoret Angew Limnol 27:3168–3169
- Macek M, Alcocer J, Lugo-Vázquez A, Martínez-Pérez ME, Peralta Soriano L, Vilaclara Fatjó G (2009) Long term picoplankton dynamics in a warm-monomictic, tropical high altitude lake. J Limnol 68(2):183–192
- 31. Hernández-Avilés JS, Macek M, Alcocer J, Lopez-Trejo B, Merino- Ibarra M (2010) Prokaryotic picoplankton dynamics in a warm- monomictic saline lake: temporal and spatial variation in structure and composition. J Plankton Res 32:1301–1314
- Centeno CM, Legendre P, Beltrán Y, Alcántara-Hernández RJ, Lidström UE, Ashby MN, Falcón LI (2012) Microbialite genetic diversity and composition relate to environmental variables. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 82(3):724–735
- Falcón LI, Escobar-Briones E, Romero D (2002) Nitrogen fixation patterns displayed by cyanobacterial consortia in Alchichica crater- lake, Mexico. Hydrobiologia 467(1–3):71–78
- Beltrán Y, Centeno CM, García-Oliva F, Legendre P, Falcón LI (2012) N₂ fixation rates and associated diversity (*nifH*) of microbialite and mat-forming consortia from different aquatic envi- ronments in Mexico. Aquat Microb Ecol 67(1):15–24
- 35. Grasshoff K, Kremling K, Ehrhardt M (1983) Methods of seawater analysis. Verlag Chemie, Weinheim
- 36. Kirkwood DS (1994) Sanplus segmented flow analyzer and its applications. Seawater analysis. Skalar, Amsterdam
- 37. Valderrama JC (1981) The simultaneous analysis of total nitrogen and total phosphorus in natural waters. Mar Chem 10:109–122
- Zhou J, Bruns MA, Tiedje JM (1996) DNA recovery from soils of diverse composition. Appl Environ Microbiol 62:316–322
- Sakurai M, Wasaki J, Tomizawa Y, Shinano T, Osaki M (2008) Analysis of bacterial communities on alkaline phosphatase genes in soil supplied with organic matter. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 54:62–71
- 40. Tan H, Barret M, Mooij MJ, Rice O, Morrissey JP, Dobson A et al (2013) Long-term phosphorus fertilisation increased the diversity of the total bacterial community and the *phoD* phosphorus mineraliser group in pasture soils. Biol Fert Soils 49(6):661–672

P. M. Valdespino-Castillo et

- 41. Iwai S, Chai B, Jesus EDC, Penton CR, Lee TK, Cole JR, Tiedje JM (2011) Gene-targeted metagenomics (GT Metagenomics) to explore the extensive diversity of genes of interest in microbial communities. In: De Bruijn FJ (ed) Handbook of molecular micro- bial ecology I: metagenomics and complementary approaches. Wiley, Hoboken, pp 235–243
- 42. Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O (2010) New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst Biol 59(3):307–321
- Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB et al (2009) Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and

space

space comparing microbial communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 75(23):7537–7541

- 44. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410
- Geer LY, Marchler-Bauer A, Geer RC, Han L, He J, He S, Liu C, Shi W, Bryant SH (2010) The NCBI BioSystems database. Nucleic Acids Res 38(Database issue):D492–D496
- Finn RD, Clements J, Eddy SR (2011) HMMER web server: inter- active sequence similarity searching. Nucleic Acids Res 39:W29–W37
- Chou PY, Fasman GD (1974) Conformational parameters for amino acids in helical, β-sheet, and random coil regions calculated from proteins. Biochemistry 13(2):211–222
- 48. Kyte J, Doolittle RF (1982) A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein. J Mol Biol 157(1):105–132
- Dworkin JE, Rose GD (1987) Hydrophobicity profiles revisited. In: Walsh KA (ed) Methods in protein sequence analysis. Humana, Clinton, pp 573–586
- Baker D, Sali A (2001) Protein structure prediction and structural genomics. Science 294(5540):93–96
- Krystek SR Jr, Metzler WJ, Novotny J (2001) Hydrophobicity pro- files for protein sequence analysis. Curr Protoc Protein Sci 00:2.2: 2.2.1–2.2.13
- 52. García Martínez J (2010) Efectos climáticos sobre el agua subterránea y el lago Alchichica Puebla, México. Dissertation, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
- Custodio E, Llamas MR (1983) Hidrología Subterránea Vol. II. Editorial Omega, México
- Oliva MG, Lugo A, Alcocer J, Peralta L, Sánchez R (2001) Phytoplankton dynamics in a deep, tropical, hyposaline lake. Hydrobiologia 466:299–306
- 55. Oliva MG, Lugo A, Alcocer J, Peralta L, Oseguera LA (2009) Planktonic bloomforming *Nodularia* in the saline Lake Alchichica, Mexico. Nat Resour Env Iss 15(1):22, http://digitalcommons.usu.edu/nrei/vol15/iss1/22
- 56. Alcocer J, López-Anaya DP, Oseguera LA (2007) Dinámica del carbono orgánico particulado en un lago tropical profundo. In: Hernández de la Torre B, Gaxiola Castro G (ed) Carbono en ecosistemas acuáticos de México. INE, México, pp 239–247
- 57. Ramírez-Zierold JA, Merino-Ibarra M, Monroy-Ríos E, Olson M, Castillo FS, Gallegos ME, Vilaclara G (2010) Changing water, phosphorus and nitrogen budgets for Valle de Bravo reservoir, wa- ter supply for Mexico City Metropolitan Area. Lake Reserv Manag 26(1):23–34
- Oseguera LA, Alcocer J, Vilaclara G (2011) Relative importance of dust inputs and aquatic biological production as sources of lake sediments in an oligotrophic lake in a semi-arid area. Earth Surf Processes 36(3):419–426
- 59. spaceMitchell A, Chang HY, Daugherty L, Fraser M, Hunter S, Lopez R et al (2015) The InterPro

protein families database: the classifica- tion resource after years. Nucleic Acids Res 43(Database issue): D213–D221

- 60. Finn RD, Bateman A, Clements J, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Heger A, Hetherington K, Holm L, Mistry J, Sonnhammer ELL, Tate J, Punta M (2014) Pfam: the protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res 42:D222–D230
- Marchler-Bauer A, Derbyshire MK, Gonzales NR, Lu S, Chitsaz F, Geer LY et al (2014) CDD: NCBI's conserved domain database. Nucleic Acids Res 43(Database issue):D222– D226
- Ponting CP (1996) Novel domains in NADPH oxidase subunits, sorting nexins, and PtdIns 3-kinases: binding partners of SH3 do- mains? Protein Sci 5(11):2353–2357
- 63. Hiroaki H, Ago T, Ito T, Sumimoto H, Kohda D (2001) Solution structure of the PX domain, a target of the SH3 domain. Nat Struct Biol 8(6):526–530. doi:10.1038/88591.PMID11373621
- 64. Ago T, Kuribayashi F, Hiroaki H, Takeya R, Ito T, Kohda D, Sumimoto H (2003) Phosphorylation of p47phox directs phox ho- mology domain from SH3 domain toward phosphoinositides, lead- ing to phagocyte NADPH oxidase activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100(8):4474–4479
- 65. Wishart MJ, Taylor GS, Dixon JE (2001) Phoxy lipids: revealing PX domains as phosphoinositide binding modules. Cell 105(7): 817–820. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(01)00414-7.PMID11439176
- 66. Márquez JA, Hasenbein S, Koch B, Fieulaine S, Nessler S, Russell RB et al (2002) Structure of the full-length HPr kinase/phosphatase from Staphylococcus xylosus at 1.95 Å resolution: mimicking the product/substrate of the phospho transfer reactions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99(6):3458–3463
- 67. Sebastián M, Gasol JM (2013) Heterogeneity in the nutrient limi- tation of different bacterioplankton groups in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea. ISME J 7(8):1665–1668
- 68. Jorquera M, Martínez O, Maruyama F, Marschner P, de la Luz Mora M (2008) Current and future biotechnological applications of bac- terial phytases and phytase-producing bacteria. Microbes Environ 23(3):182–191
- Goodacrea NF, Gerloffb DL, Uetzc P (2013) Protein domains of unknown function are essential in bacteria. mBio 5(1):e00744–13
- Read EK, Ivancic M, Hanson P, Cade-Menun BJ, McMahon KD (2014) Phosphorus speciation in a eutrophic lake by 31 P NMR spectroscopy. Water Res 62:229–240
- Cotner J, Wetzel RG (1992) Uptake of dissolved inorganic and organic phosphorus compounds by phytoplankton and bacterioplankton. Limnol Oceanogr 37(2):232–243

ŧ	DhoD (sequence	cs obtained = 180	((phoX(s	eduence	s obtained = 192	-	ppp (se	duences	obtained = 58)	
	OTUS	Chao	Shannon (H')	Coverage (%)	OTUS	Chao	Shannon (H')	Coverage (%)	OTUS	Chao	Shannon (H')	Coverage (
1	23	58	2.51	80	16	30	2.28	88	15	33	2.3527	74
	15	20	2.22	92	14	17.3	2.21	92	10	20	1.95	86
	17	27.5	2.13	16	7	7	1.67	100	3	4	0.7963	71
	13	20.5	1.68	92	9	9	1,46	100	3	4	0.8	11
	5	80	1.56	33	7	7.25	1.15	6	1	1	1	I
	2	80	1.56	33	9	9	1.12	98	1	1	1	1
	6	14	1.56	83	9	16	1.04	72	3	3	0.7356	100
	2	7.33	1.44	93	9	16	1.04	72	3	ŝ	0.74	100
		Stratification	C. Littoral zon	-50 - -60 -	N -40 -	Ē 30	-10	-60 J	-50 -	N -40 -	-10 - -20 - Ē _30 -	0 ¹⁰
		19.8	ne T (ºC)	T (°C)	1	1	20	T (°C)				20
		0.38	SRP (µM)	SRP (µM)		1		SRP (µM)	Î	•	0000	0 2 4
			P or	Por				P _{or}				2

42





Fig. 3 Venn diagrams showing the distribution of phyl phosphatases *phoX* and *phoD*, and beta-propeller microbialites and bacterioplankton of Lake Alchichica

Table 4 Ratios of phoX, phoD, and bpp unshared phylotypes of each microbial assemblage: shared phylotypes among assemblages

	Da
	Un phy
phoX	4.5
phoD	0.3
bpp	0.5

De



Fig. 5 Lake Alchichica phosphorus condition. The diagram show main phosphorus in- and outflows (from atmospheric deposition, runoff, groundwater, and sedimentation), lake total phosphorus mass, total particulate (*TPP*), P monoester fraction, and residence

Table 3 Alkaline phosphatases phoX and phoD and beta-	Marker	Total	Table 6 P mass balance in Lake Alchichica, inputs (posit fluxes) and outputs (negative sign)				
distribution among microbial		1.9.0	Fluxes of P	(P ton/year)	% of P mass	R	
asseniolages			Lake total P mass (tons)	4.33	100	T	
			Precipitation Basin runoff	0.03	0.8	[5	
				0.05	1.1	[5	
	phoX	36	Atmospheric deposition	4.94	114	[5	
	phoD	30	Groundwater	0.24	5.5	[2	
	bpp	18	Sedimentation	-4.79	-111	[2	
	-	2012-201	System P balance	0.47		T	