UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Chemokine and chemokine receptors in autoimmunity: the case of primary biliary cholangitis.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0d59v35x>

Journal

Expert Review of Clinical Immunology, 12(6)

Authors

Choi, Jinjung Selmi, Carlo Leung, Patrick [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0d59v35x#author)

Publication Date

2016-06-01

DOI

10.1586/1744666X.2016.1147956

Peer reviewed

HHS Public Access

Expert Rev Clin Immunol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 June 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Expert Rev Clin Immunol. 2016 June ; 12(6): 661–672. doi:10.1586/1744666X.2016.1147956.

Chemokine and chemokine receptors in autoimmunity: the case of primary biliary cholangitis

Jinjung Choi1,2, **Carlo Selmi**3,4, **Patrick Leung**1, **Thomas P. Kenny**1, **Tania Roskams**5, and **M. Eric Gershwin**¹

¹Division of Rheumatology, Allergy and Clinical Immunology, University of California Davis, CA

²Division of Rheumatology, CHA University Medical Center, Bundang, Korea

³Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Humanitas Research Hospital, Rozzano, Italy

⁴BIOMETRA Department, University of Milan, Italy

⁵Translational Cell and Tissue Research, University of Leuven, Belgium

Summary

Chemokines represent a major mediator of innate immunity and play a key role in the selective recruitment of cells during localized inflammatory responses. Beyond critical extracellular mediators of leukocyte trafficking, chemokines and their cognate receptors are expressed by a variety of resident and infiltrating cells (monocytes, lymphocytes, NK cells, mast cells, and NKT cells). Chemokines represent ideal candidates for mechanistic studies (particularly in murine models) to better understand the pathogenesis of chronic inflammation and possibly become biomarkers of disease. Nonetheless, therapeutic approaches targeting chemokines have led to unsatisfactory results in rheumatoid arthritis, while biologics against pro-inflammatory cytokines are being used worldwide with success. In this comprehensive review we will discuss the evidence supporting the involvement of chemokines and their specific receptors in mediating the effector cell response, utilizing the autoimmune/primary biliary cholangitis setting as a paradigm.

Keywords

innate immunity; chemokine receptor; tolerance breakdown; biologics; monoclonal antibody; autoimmune cholangitis

The chemokine alphabet

Chemokines (chemeia, alchemy, and kinesis, movement) represent a large family of cytokines that control leukocyte recruitment. Based on the common capability to induce

Corresponding author: M. Eric Gershwin, M.D., Division of Rheumatology, Allergy and Clinical Immunology, University of California at Davis School of Medicine, Genome and Biomedical Sciences Facility, 451 Health Sciences Drive, Suite 6510, Davis, CA 95616; Telephone: 530-752-2884; Fax: 530-752-4669; megershwin@ucdavis.edu.

Financial and competing interests disclosure

The authors were supported in part by National Institutes of Health grant DK39588.The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript apart from those disclosed.

migration of various cells (chemotaxis), these small (8–14 kDa) proteins were cumulatively coined 'chemokines', derived from "chemotactic cytokines". Chemokines share structural similarity and possess a pattern of cysteine residues near the amino-terminal (-NH2) domain, responsible for their tridimensional structure [1].

In 1961, the first chemokine, platelet factor-4 (PF-4), was identified by Deutsch and Kain [2]. At the earliest stages of chemokine discovery, names were created arbitrarily based on the producing cell type or the proposed function, as in the cases of platelet factor-4, monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1), stromal derived factor 1 (SDF-1) and mucosal epithelial chemokine (MEC). With the development and progress of EST (expressed sequence tag) databases and bioinformatics in the 1990s, significantly more chemokines were identified by molecular cloning. Interleukin-8 (IL-8/CXCL8) was first discovered in 1987 as a leukocyte chemoattractant characterized by the basic three-dimensional structure showing the conserved monomeric fold [3]. Since then, chemokines have grown to a large family now comprising over 50 members. Chemokine receptors are seven transmembrane spanning G protein-coupled receptors and expressed mainly on immune and inflammatory cells, although they have been found on non-immune cells such as resident cells within the liver [4–7].

In 2000, a systematic chemokine nomenclature was proposed and ligands are now named according to subclass (CC, CXC, CX3C, or C) followed by L for ligand and a unique number. In a complementary fashion, the chemokine receptor nomenclature uses CC, CXC, XC, or CX3C followed by R (for receptor) and then a number [8] (Figure 1). This nomenclature was not applicable to both humans and mice as it was designed primarily for human chemokines based on their genomic localization and was later updated for mice through chemokine genomic organization using the murine genome.

Structural characteristics of Chemokines

Chemokines include over 50 small, prevalently basic, heparin-binding proteins spanning 70– 125 amino acids with molecular weights ranging from 6 to 14 kDa [9]. Based on the number and location of conserved cysteine residues near the N-terminus of the protein, chemokines are grouped into four subfamilies, designated CC, CXC, C, and CX3C (where X is any amino acid residue and C is cysteine) [10]. The biological effects of chemokines on their target cells follow the binding to specific G protein-linked transmembrane receptors called chemokine receptors. The majority of known chemokines belong to the CC and CXC subgroups, particularly with the first two cysteine residues adjacent to each other (CC) in 28 chemokines numbered CCL1 to 28. Although CC chemokines primarily induce monocyte chemotaxis, MCP-1 (CCL2), MIP-1α (CCL3) and RANTES (CCL5) may also exert chemotactic activity towards T cells and NK cells [11,12] and MIP-3α attracts IL-17 producing Th17 cells [13].

In the case of CXC chemokines, the first two conserved cysteine residues are separated by one non-conserved amino acid residue (C-X-C) and this applies to 17 CXC chemokines as chemoattractants for neutrophils. CXC chemokine ligands can be further subdivided based upon the presence or absence of the specific three amino acid sequence, glutamic acid-

leucine-arginine (the 'ELR' motif) preceding the first conserved cysteine residue. These structural differences are important because they determine the biological activity of CXC family members. Most of the CXC chemokines have the ELR sequence near the N terminus, termed the ELR-positive CXC chemokines (ELR⁺), such as GRO-alpha (CXCL1), GRObeta (CXCL2), GRO-gamma (CXCL3), ENA-78 (CXCL5), GCP-2 (CXCL6), NAP-2 (CXCL7), and IL-8 (CXCL8) which are potent chemoattractants for neutrophils and potent promoters for angiogenesis, whereas CXC chemokines that lack the ELR motif (ELR−), such as platelet factor 4 (CXCL4), MIG (CXCL9) and IP-10 (CXCL10), are potent inhibitors of angiogenesis [14].

C chemokines lack the first and third cysteine, containing only disulfide bond with two cysteine residues at their N-terminus, whereas two disulfide bonds are present between the first and third, and the second and fourth cysteine residues, respectively, in CXC and CC chemokines. The C chemokine family includes only two members, i.e. lymphocyte-specific chemotactic peptide XCL1 (lymphotactin-alpha) and XCL2 (lymphotactin-beta) [15].

Finally, CX3C chemokines are characterized by the unique position of cysteine residues in which the two N-terminal cysteine residues are separated by three variable amino acids. To date, the only member of CX3C family is fractalkine (CX3CL1) which is unique among chemokines because it is synthesized as a membrane-bound molecule presented on a mucinlike stalk which functions as an adhesion molecule for capturing leukocytes, while the soluble form functions as a chemoattractant [16].

Functional classes of chemokines

Chemokines may be broadly arrayed into two functional groups, i.e. inflammatory and homeostatic [8] but discrimination is not strict and some overlapping is encountered [8,17]. Inflammatory chemokines are produced under inflammatory conditions by infiltrating and resident cells in response to pro-inflammatory mediators (IL-1 and TNF-α), bacterial products (LPS) and infectious agents (viruses). They are actively involved in the recruitment of monocytes, neutrophils, NK cells and other effector cells into site of inflammation and injury. Typical inflammatory chemokines include CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, CCL5, CXCL1, CXCL2 and CXCL8 [18]. In particular, ELR+ CXC chemokines could promote the early stage of wound healing and granuloma formation, whereas CXC chemokines without the ELR motif might be produced in the late stage to antagonize angiogenesis [19]. On the other hand, homeostatic chemokines are constitutively and differentially expressed at steady levels in the bone marrow, lymphoid and nonlymphoid tissues (skin and mucosa) and act specifically on lymphocytes and dendritic cells, being involved in hematopoiesis, immune surveillance, and adaptive immune responses [20]. Their homeostatic role is to modulate the physiological migration of cells as part of normal tissue development and functional maintenance. Homeostatic chemokines inlcude CCL14, CCL19, CCL20, CCL21, CCL25, CCL27, CXCL12 and CXCL13.

Chemokine receptors

In 1991, the first chemokine receptors were identified with the discovery of two human interleukin-8 receptors on the surface of granulocytes, which were initially referred to as IL-8RA (now CXCR1) and IL-8RB (now CXCR2) [21,22]. Soon after that, the first CC chemokine receptor, i.e. macrophage inflammatory protein 1 alpha/RANTES receptor, was reported [23]. To date, 19 human chemokine receptors have been identified and the biological effects of chemokines are mediated by their binding to cell surface receptors that belong to the family of G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR) containing 7 transmembrane (7TM) domains, which trigger intracellular signals that direct cellular migration and other cellular functions [1]. Chemokine receptors are named according to a systemic nomenclature and they are also grouped into four subfamilies depending on the type of chemokine ligand they recognize. Thus, receptors for CC chemokines are referred to as CCR, receptors for CXC as CXCR, receptors for XC as XCR, and receptors for CX3C as CX3CR. The numbering is based on the date of deposition of the chemokine receptor sequence within the nucleic acid databases [24].

Chemokine receptors are typically activated only by class restricted ligands, except for Duffy antigen receptor complex (DARC), which binds both CC and CXC chemokines with high affinity [25]. A majority of chemokines share the same receptor for their chemotactic function, although several chemokines specifically bind to only one receptor with a one-onone ratio. For instance, CXCR4 selectively binds to CXCL12 but CXCR3 binds to MIG (CXCL9), IP-10 (CXCL10) and I-TAC (CXCL11). Even when multiple ligands interact with a single receptor, diverse effects are produced because the binding affinity and the resulting effects differ across ligands. As an example, the chemokine receptors of inflammatory chemokines show a propensity to have a great number of chemokine ligands.

Most chemokines exert their chemotactic function as agonists, but some may have an ambivalent function with agonist and antagonist capacity depending on the different receptors. For instance, chemokine ligands such as CXCL9, 10, and 11 function as an agonist for CXCR3, while being antagonists for CCR3 [26]. CXCR3 is expressed preferentially on Th1 cells, but CCR3 is typically associated with Th2 cells. Consequently, this observation indicates that chemokines that attract Th1 cells via CXCR3 may concomitantly inhibit the recruitment of Th2 cells in response to CCR3 ligands, thus favoring T cell polarization and differentiation [26]. In contrast, homeostatic chemokine receptors bind only one or two chemokine ligands. Homeostatic receptors (CXCR4, CXCR5 and CCR7) are expressed on B cells, T cells, and mature dendritic cells. Some homeostatic chemokine receptors bind specifically to only one ligand such as CXCR4-CXCL12 (SDF-1) and CXCR5-CXCL13 (BCA-1) whereas others share the binding domain with more than one chemokines, such as CCR7-CCL19 (ELC) or CCR7-CCL21 (SLC) [27,28]. CCR7 controls the migration of naive T cells and antigen-activated dendritic cells to the T cell-rich areas of secondary lymphoid organs [29]. In contrast, CXCR5 and its ligand, CXCL13, play an essential role in B cell migration and thus the organization of B cell follicles in lymph nodes and spleen [30].

The genetics of chemokines and chemokine receptors

Chemokine genes are clustered within specific regions on the mammalian chromosomes. Two major gene clusters are present for CXC and CC genes which encode inflammatory CXC or CC chemokines, called the major-cluster chemokines (Figure 2). They are tightly located mainly on the human chromosomes 4q12-q21 (CXC) and 17q11-q21 (CC), respectively [31,32]. Each major cluster can be additionally divided into two discrete subregions. Therefore, the CXC major cluster is composed of GRO and IP-10 subregions, and the CC gene cluster contains MIP and MCP subregions.

In the **human GRO subregion**, nine functional genes, such as CXCL8, CXCL6, CXCL4L1, CXCL4, CXCL7, CXCL5, CXCL3 and CXCL2, are mapped. These chemokines can have a potent chemotactic activity for neutrophils as they interact with CXCR1 and CXCR2 [33].

In human and mouse **IP-10 subregion**, four functional genes, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11 and CXCL13, are present. CXCL9, CXCL10 and CXCL11, as stated earlier, have been known as dual-function chemokines based on the fact that they are agonists for CXCR3, preferentially and act as antagonists for CCR3 [26]. CXCL13 is known to be a homeostatic chemokine trafficking and homing of B cells to the secondary lymphatic follicles associated with its cognate receptor, CXCR5, which is required for lymphoid follicle formation, follicular helper T cell (Tfh) and T cell-dependent B cell activation [34]. The gene for CXCL13 is located apart from the other members of IP-10 region on human chromosome 4 [35].

In the **MIP subregion of the CC gene cluster**, at least eight genes, such as CCL5, CCL16, CCL14, CCL15, CCL23, CCL18, CCL3 and CCL4, are located [33]. These chemokines, which act via G-protein-coupled cell surface receptors (CCR1, 3, 5) expressed by lymphocytes and monocytes/macrophages, are known for their chemotactic and proinflammatory effects but can also promote homoeostasis. In the human MCP subregion of the CC gene cluster, there are six genes, such as CCL2, CCL7, CCL11, CCL8, CCL13 and CCL1. In the mouse MCP subregion, the gene for CCL12 is additionally located, but the gene for human CCL13 does not exist. Chemokines in the CC cluster act as an inflammatory chemokines with exception of CCL1, which is involved in fibrogenesis [36]. Other group of genes for homeostatic chemokines are located separately or in small clusters on unique chromosomal locations (the non-cluster chemokines) [37].

Eighteen chemokine receptor genes with chemotactic functions have been identified in the human genome, such as 10 CCR, 6 CXCR, 1 XCR, and 1 CX3CR genes. Besides, five atypical chemokine receptor genes encoding DARC, CCBP2, CCRL1, CCRL2, CXCR7 have also been identified [38,39]. One major gene cluster of chemokine receptors is located mainly on the human chromosome 3. Most of the receptors in the major cluster interact with inflammatory cytokines, excluding CCR9, CXCR6 and XCR1 which could bind homeostatic chemokines. The other chemokine receptor genes are found as single genes or in miniclusters on the human chromosome 2 (CXCR4, CXCR2, CXCR1, and CXCR7), 6 (CCR6), 11 (CXCR5), 17 (CCR10 and CCR7) and x (CXCR3) [40,41]. In mouse, the genomic organization of chemokine receptor genes is very similar to that of the human genes. In

The majority of chemokine and chemokine receptor genes rank among the most rapidly evolving genes in phylogeny. Variation in gene sequence is common among individuals for most chemokine and chemokine receptors. However, the degree of polymorphism varies greatly among different genes.

Atypical chemokine receptors

In addition to conventional chemokine receptors which share conserved signaling pathway through G-protein coupled chemokine receptors (GPCRs), a smaller subgroup of chemokine receptors referred to as 'atypical chemokine receptors (ACR)' does not signal through the GPCRs upon ligation of cognate chemokines and lacks chemotactic activity. [43]. Because all seven-transmembrane domain-containing members of the ACR subfamily have modified or missing DRYLAIV motif, a highly conserved determinant of G protein coupling found in conventional GPCRs at the boundary between the third transmembrane domain and the second intracellular loop (ICL), ACR are not able to couple to G-proteins and could not then activate the typical G-protein-mediated signaling and cellular responses [44]. Even though not directly inducing chemotactic activity, ACR have preserved the ability to activate βarrestin-dependent signaling pathways, which is required for biological functions of chemokine internalization and scavenging activity [45,46] leading to generation of chemokine gradients in tissues through the process of binding, sequestration, scavenge, transcytosis, or presentation of their chemokine ligand [45]. To date, the ACR subfamily includes five receptors, D6, Duffy Antigen Receptor for Chemokines (DARC), CXCR7 and CC-Chemokine Receptors like-1 and 2 (CCRL1 and CCRL2).

D6 was cloned in 1997 initially from placenta and hematopoietic stem cells [42,47] but more recent data confirmed that it is expressed in skin, gut, lung, liver, spleen, kidney, heart, muscle, brain, placenta, predominantly on lymphatic endothelial cells [42] and binds to inflammatory CC chemokines (CCR1–5) while failing to bind homeostatic CC chemokines or CXC, CX or CX3C chemokines. The expression of D6 may scavenge chemokines during their lymphatic flow in order to limit leukocyte trafficking and to adhere to the endothelial lining of lymphatics, which thus functions to aid in the resolution of inflammatory reactions. Mice that lack D6 demonstrate markedly increased inflammatory reactions, which might be associated with the exaggerated chemokine response at inflammation site [48].

DARC was initially discovered as the Duffy (Fy) blood group antigen and named after the first hemophiliac patient, Duffy, who was thought to develop antibodies to this antigen [49]. As the Duffy blood group antigen became known as a chemokine receptor that can bind many ligands, it was renamed as Duffy antigen receptor for chemokines (DARC). Human DARC binds a large number of proinflammatory CC and CXC chemokines [50]. DARC was originally identified on red blood cells, but has also been found as an abundant receptor on vascular endothelial cells, which are the primary site of leukocyte transmigration in most tissues [51]. The expression of DARC on erythrocytes functions to bind and remove chemokines from sites of overproduction, such as inflammatory sites [52]. Besides, the

function of DARC on endothelial cells facilitates the migration of chemokine-positive cells from tissues to the vascular lumen [43].

CXCR7 was originally identified as a GPCR isolated from a canine thyroid cDNA library and was considered to be an orphan receptor, named RDC1 [53]. Based on the sequence similarity and genomic localization of RDC1 between species, RDC1 was suggested to be a chemokine receptor. Moreover, RDC1 has been shown to bind to CXCL11/I-TAC, a ligand for CXCR3, and CXCL12/SDF-1, a ligand for CXCR4 [54]. Thus, RDC1 was recently renamed CXCR7, according to the current chemokine receptor nomenclature [55], despite lack of evidence of coupling to G proteins and cell activation. Instead of the canonical DRYLAIV motif present in classical chemokine receptors, CXCR7 has DRYLSIT sequence which could not induce classical signaling responses following ligand binding [54]. CXCR7 expression has been found on subsets of T and B cells, activated endothelial cells, fetal hepatocytes, placenta and vascular endothelium [27,54,56–58]. CXCR7 is also expressed on the surface of many tumor cells as a membrane-associated receptor protein [59]. Recent studies showed that CXCR7 acts exclusively as a decoy receptor, whereas other studies demonstrated that it also mediates the action of CXCL12 or CXCL11 [60,61]. Nevertheless, other research groups have still reported that CXCR7 is closely related to cancer proliferation, adhesion, invasion, metastasis and angiogenesis [58,62,63], or angiogenesis [64,65].

Chemokines/chemokine receptors in PBC

Primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) is a chronic cholestatic autoimmune disease selectively targeting the small and medium-size bile ducts [66,67] with the histological appearance of chronic nonsuppurative destructive cholangitis mediated by mononuclear inflammatory cells such as T cells, B cells, natural killer (NK) cells, macrophages, and eosinophils around the biliary tracts [68] driven by chemokines [69]. The potential contribution of chemokines and inflammation to the progression of PBC in chemokine-chemokine receptor network may provide important clues in biliary epithelial cell (BEC) injury in PBC and will be discussed in further detail in the next chapters (Figure 3).

MCP-1 (CCL2)

Monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP-1/CCL2) is a potent chemoattractant chemokine that regulates the migration and infiltration of monocytes, T lymphocytes, NK cells and dendritic cells to the sites of inflammation and works as a key factor in initiating the various inflammatory responses [7,70]. MCP-1 is expressed predominantly by macrophages when stimulated by proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α , but can also be produced by a variety of other cells and tissues, including fibroblasts, endothelial cells, bronchoalveolar epithelial cells, renal tubules, hepatocytes, kupffer cells, and BEC [4,7,71– 75].

At PBC immunohistochemistry, MCP-1-positive inflammatory cells can be detected mainly in portal tracts and accentuated around the damaged bile ducts, as well as around epitheloid granulomas that characterize the PBC liver [76]. In recent studies of human with biliary

disorders and in animal models of biliary fibrosis, BECs play an active role in expressing profibrogenic proteins and chemokines such as IL-8 and MCP-1. BEC-expressed chemokines cause mononuclear cells to infiltrate into the damaged sites in PBC [69] and BEC senescence contributes to non-suppurative destructive damage in PBC by altering microenvironment in conjunction with the upregulation of senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) such as cytokines (IL-1 and IL-6), chemokines (IL-8 and MCP-1), growth factors, and profibrogenic factors [77,78]. Senescent BECs increase expression of

MCP-1/CCL2 and CX3CL1 which may cause corresponding CCR2 and CX3CR1-

expressing cells to infiltrate and inflame in small bile duct lesions in PBC (Table 1) [77,79].

MIP-1α **(CCL3), MIP-1**β **(CCL4) and RANTES (CCL5)**

Macrophage Inflammatory Proteins-1α (MIP-1α/CCL3) initially described in 1988 as MIP-1, along with the closely related MIP-1 β (CCL4), is a proinflammatory chemokine of the CC subfamily. Both proteins are markedly produced by neutrophils, lymphocytes, dendritic cells, mast cells, NK cells and macrophages, and can be induced by various proinflammatory cytokines (IL-1, TNF- α , IFN- γ) or by exposure to bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) [80]. **RANTES (CCL5)** is an 8 kDa protein classified as a CC chemokine, and identified along with MIP-1α and MIP-1β as the major HIV-suppressive factors produced by $CD8^+$ T cells [81]. Like MIP-1 α and MIP-1 β , RANTES is also secreted by a variety of cells including macrophages, activated NK cells, T cells, and certain types of tumor cells [82,83]. MIP-1α and 1β and RANTES play active roles in recruitment of inflammatory cells to the site of inflammation because their signals are delivered through CCR1 and CCR5 [84]. In particular, CCR5, a seven-transmembrane G-protein-coupled receptor, is used as their common receptor and predominantly expressed on Th1 cells, macrophages, dendritic cells and eosinophils [85]. MIP-1α and RANTES could modulate magnitude and cytokine polarity of the T cell response [86]. MIP-1 α may have a direct effect on T cell differentiation by the finding that addition of MIP-1α to activated T cells promoted development of IFN-γ-producing cells [87].

The pathway via CCL5 and its receptors (**CCR1 and CCR5**) has been demonstrated to be implicated in the onset of liver fibrosis in experimental models using CCR1- and CCR5 deficient mice, confirming the activation of CC chemokines (MIP-1α/1β and RANTES) in human fibrogenesis [88]. Interestingly, it is also evident that the expression of CCR5 is augmented on circulating effector memory T cell (CD45ROhighCD57+ CD8high T cells) in PBC cases and these T cells, which respond specifically to PDC-E2, accumulate around the portal area in PBC [89].

The transmigration of PBC liver-infiltrating mononuclear cells (LMNC) is significantly enhanced when stimulated with MIP-1α, MIP-1β and RANTES. In addition, BECs from PBC cases cocultured with autologous LMNCs produced significantly higher levels of MIP-1 α and MIP-1 β , RANTES as well as IP-10 [69]. Based on these findings, it is likely that BEC-induced chemokines may be active players in PBC pathogenesis and elicit migration and infiltration of mononuclear cells, and further leading to the expansion of autoreactive T cells contributing to liver lesions in PBC.

MIG (CXCL9) and IP-10 (CXCL10)

Gamma interferon (IFN-γ)-inducible protein 10 (IP-10) and monokine induced by IFN-γ (MIG) are members of CXC chemokine family. They were identified as products of genes induced by macrophages following exposure to IFN-γ [90,91]. They have potent chemotactic activities for activated T lymphocytes and NK cells [91]. They are similar in molecular structure and also have a common receptor, CXCR3, which is highly expressed on activated T cells and NK cells [92–95]. Early studies reported that increased expression of MIG and IP-10 is associated with IFN-γ production skewing to Th1-type immune response and found in patients with psoriasis and viral or bacterial infections [96–99]. MIG and IP-10 are preferentially expressed by human hepatic sinusoidal endothelial cells [5] and hepatocytes. Activated Kupffer cells along with sinusoidal endothelial cells are able to secrete MIG and IP-10 in response to IFN-γ [5,100,101]. Notably, activated hepatic myofibroblasts produce CXC (IL-8, MIG and IP-10) and CC (MCP-1, MIP-1α and RANTES) chemokines [7].

MIG and IP-10 mRNA expression is enhanced in inflamed liver [102,103] and their serum levels are increased during flares of chronic hepatitis B, suggesting that MIG and IP-10 are involved in recruitment of proinflammatory leukocytes into the liver [104]. In patients with PBC, the levels of circulating IP-10 and MIG are significantly increased, and expression of CXCR3 in livers is also increased, supporting the view that IFN- γ inducible chemokines (CXCL9, CXCL-10, and CXCL11) and their specific receptor (CXCR3) could contribute to the activation and attraction of Th1 cells to the site of inflammation in the liver.

Fractalkine (CX3CL1)

Fractalkine is the only one member of CX3C chemokine family and signals through CX3CR1 [16,105]. Fractalkine exists in two different forms, one as the membrane-bound form that functions as an adhesion molecule for capturing circulating leukocytes and one soluble form containing the chemokine domain generated through the cleavage of extracellular portion by metalloproteinases such as ADAM10 or ADAM 17 [105,106]. Fractalkine is widely expressed in macrophages, dendritic cells, epithelial cells and endothelial cells [107–109]. The secretion can be greatly upregulated in response to inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1β, TNF-α and IFN-γ or LPS [110,111]. The presence of fractalkine is also found in rheumatoid arthritis synovium [109]. Upregulation of fractalkine and its receptor CX3CR1 in inflammatory cells (monocyte, T cells and NK cells) and target tissue expression may contribute to immune-related inflammatory diseases and promote trafficking and retention of CX3CR1-expressing cells to the site of inflammation [112]. Upregulation of fractalkine/CX3CR1 has been advocated to participate in the development of atherosclerosis [113], rheumatoid arthritis [109], systemic lupus erythematosus [114], and colon cancer [115]. In patients with PBC, the expression of fractalkine is upregulated in biliary epithelial cells (BEC), followed by the CX3CR1-expressing CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, suggesting that recruitment of mononuclear cells to bile ducts via fractalkine/CX3CR1 may contribute to the autoimmune inflammation of bile ducts [69,116,117]. Such a proinflammatory activity of BECs in PBC was demonstrated to be secondary to the intervention of liver-infiltrating mononuclear cells [69].

CXCR3

CXCR3 is a G protein-coupled receptor for CXC chemokines. CXCR3 exists mainly in two forms, A and B. While both bind to the CXC chemokines such as MIG (CXCL9), IP-10 (CXCL10), and I-TAC (CXCL11), CXCR3-B also binds CXCL4 [118]. Binding of chemokines to CXCR3 may lead to the diversity of cellular effects. CXCR3 is expressed

primarily on activated T lymphocytes, NK cells and dendritic cells [94,119]. CXCR3 is activated by three IFN-γ-inducible ligands (MIG, IP-10, I-TAC). At the sites of inflammation, CXCR3-expressing T cells have been abundantly demonstrated and selectively recruited by MIG and IP-10 (CXCR3 ligands) [5,120].

According to the differentiation of CD4⁺ effector subsets and then depending on their different inflammatory cytokine production, CXCR3 is differently upregulated and associated with the migration of effector cells to the sites of inflammation or infection [121– 123]. Th1 cells preferentially express CXCR3 and CCR5, whereas Th2 cells favor the expression of CCR3 and CCR4 [95,124]. Interaction of CXCR3 and its signature ligands directs the migration and accumulation of Th1 cells into sites of Th1-mediated inflammation, which has been shown in inflammatory synovial tissues of rheumatoid arthritis, inflamed renal tissues of lupus nephritis and hepatic inflammation of chronic liver diseases [120,125,126]. These observations were supported by experimental evidence in which CXCR3 deficiency, using CXCR3^{$-/-$} mice backcrossed into the MRL/lpr background, was associated with milder glomerulonephritis through interference with trafficking of Th1 and even Th17 cells into the kidney [127]. These findings suggest that IFN-γ-CXCR3 chemokine interaction play an important role for the recruitment of inflammatory cells into the focus of inflammation and contribute to Th1 and even Th17 immune-mediated diseases, further implying a possible approach to a therapeutic target.

Furthermore, studies in PBC patients demonstrated CXCR3-positive mononuclear cells were densely infiltrated into the damaged bile ducts in early rather than in advanced stages [128]. The frequency of CXCR3-expressing cells in peripheral blood and the inflamed portal areas, along with its chemokine ligands such as MIG and IP-10, significantly increased [129,130]. These data undoubtedly support that CXCR3-chemokine pair interaction may play a role in the generation of PBC.

Recent study identified that CXCR3 can be expressed on a subset of FOXP3+ Tregs which are detected at peripheral sites of chronic inflammation such as chronic hepatitis [126,131– 133]. NKT cells have been also implicated in liver injury of hepatitis [134] as activated liver NKT cells secrete IFN-γ that can induce IFN-γ-inducible chemokines such as IP-10, which then induce the CXCR3+ Treg recruitment into the inflamed portal area via a cytokinechemokine pathway [132]. These observations support the possibility that interaction between NKT and Treg cells may contribute to the pathogenesis of autoimmune hepatitis and PBC. However, it is still unclear if the trafficking Tregs could fulfill their suppressive function of immune responses locally into inflamed liver [135,136].

CX3CR1

Chemokine CX3C motif receptor 1 (CX3CR1) is known as a fractalkine receptor and is a unique member of the GPCR family through which migration and adhesion of cells such as monocytes and lymphocytes are mediated [105,137]. CX3CR1 is mainly expressed on monocytes, T lymphocytes, dendritic cells, NK cells and mast cells [105,117,138,139]. CX3CR1 has been demonstrated to be preferentially expressed in Th1 cells which respond to fractalkine. CX3CR1-expressing cells also show perforin and granzyme B [140,141]. The expression of CX3CR1 is increased on monocytes during chronic inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, inflammatory kidney diseases and renal allograft rejection, coronary artery diseases, and inflammatory bowel diseases [105,109,142–144]. Studies reported that the co-localization and upregulation of fractalkine and CX3CR1 are also predominant in BECs and mononuclear cells, respectively, in PBC as well as chronic hepatitis C-liver injury patients [116,145]. It was reported that the expression of fractalkine and CX3CR1 was upregulated in injured bile ducts of PBC, CX3CR1-expressing mononuclear cells including $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells were densely infiltrated into bile ducts and within the biliary epithelium. These findings suggest that migration and accumulation of CX3CR1-expressing cells around bile ducts, mediated by upregulated fractalkine/CX3CR1 interaction, may play a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of PBC and bile duct injury.

Expert commentary

There is extensive literature on the importance of chemokines and their cognate receptors in multiple autoimmune disorders and in a variety of other human diseases involving different degree of immune dysregulation [146–160]. In this paper we have focused on PBC, but with the understanding that the lessons in PBC are proof of principle on the molecular interactions and the cellular basis of chemokines and their receptors in other autoimmune diseases. Indeed, the interaction of chemokines with their chemokine receptors on inflammatory cells is believed to play a role in the establishment and maintainance of inflammation in PBC regulated by the microenvironmental milieu including cytokines and inflammatory mediators as ligands. Nonetheless, evidence supporting this view is currently limited and the mechanisms of immune activation and inflammatory response via chemokine/chemokine receptors in PBC remain enigmatic.

Over the past decade, a number of studies were directed to examine the contribution of chemokines in PBC, as in other autoimmune or chronic inflammatory conditions and this may be representative of the orchestrated symphony of immune cells and mediator that is expected to be at the bases of tolerance breakdown and autoimmunity development. Interaction between chemokines and chemokine receptors is involved in the pathogenesis of PBC by directing the migration and positioning of diverse inflammatory and immune cells into the small bile ducts. These infiltrating cells are able to produce a vast array of chemokines, develop chronic inflammation and then progressively proceed to fibrosis, which eventually leads to the vanishing of bile ducts. Beyond the recruitment of immune cells, recent data suggest that chemokine receptors can be expressed on non-immune cells such as hepatocytes, stellate cells, sinusoidal endothelial cells, and BEC, and they are able to express chemokine ligands [6,126].

Five-year view

The fundamental role of chemokines is to guide selective cells to specific tissues and the growing understanding of their roles in mediating the immune response raised high hopes towards personalized medicine to treat deficits in a range of biological processes within the immune system, such development, polarization, activation, and differentiation. Under autoimmune conditions, the chemokine-chemokine receptor interactions play important roles in trafficking of autoreactive lymphocytes into the focus of inflammation, and contribute to the determination of infiltrating pathological cell types and their communication with resident cells, leading to cellular and humoral immune responses resulting in autoimmune inflammation. In spite of the rapid progress in our understanding the functions of chemokines and their receptors in the immune system physiologically and pathologically, further elucidation of the molecular mechanisms and their regulation in vivo are awaited. In the meantime, monoclonal antibodies and small molecules are being proposed to treat chronic autoimmune diseases, as well exemplified by the large number of approaches used in rheumatoid and psoriatic arthritis [161], but data are largely inconclusive. A stronger contamination between areas of clinical and basic research may provide answers to the remaining major questions in PBC as in other areas; this may ultimately lead to the fulfillment of the domino prophecy in which finding the key to one autoimmune disease may well lead to a faster understanding of other unrelated conditions.

Abbreviations

References

Reference annotations

* Of interest

- ** Of considerable interest
- 1. Rossi D, Zlotnik A. The biology of chemokines and their receptors. Annual review of immunology. 2000; 18:217–242.
- 2. Deuel TF, Keim PS, Farmer M, Heinrikson RL. Amino acid sequence of human platelet factor 4. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1977; 74(6): 2256–2258. [PubMed: 267922]
- 3. Clark-Lewis I, Kim KS, Rajarathnam K, et al. Structure-activity relationships of chemokines. Journal of leukocyte biology. 1995; 57(5):703–711. [PubMed: 7759949]
- 4. Marra F, DeFranco R, Grappone C, et al. Increased expression of monocyte chemotactic protein-1 during active hepatic fibrogenesis: correlation with monocyte infiltration. The American journal of pathology. 1998; 152(2):423–430. [PubMed: 9466568]
- 5. Shields PL, Morland CM, Salmon M, Qin S, Hubscher SG, Adams DH. Chemokine and chemokine receptor interactions provide a mechanism for selective T cell recruitment to specific liver compartments within hepatitis C-infected liver. Journal of immunology. 1999; 163(11):6236–6243.
- 6**. Zlotnik A, Burkhardt AM, Homey B. Homeostatic chemokine receptors and organ-specific metastasis. Nature reviews. Immunology. 2011; 11(9):597–606. An excellent and comprehensive overview of the mechanisms and classification of chemokines and their receptors in different tissues.
- 7*. Holt AP, Haughton EL, Lalor PF, Filer A, Buckley CD, Adams DH. Liver myofibroblasts regulate infiltration and positioning of lymphocytes in human liver. Gastroenterology. 2009; 136(2):705– 714. The seminal description of one of the first chemokines. [PubMed: 19010327]
- 8. Zlotnik A, Yoshie O. Chemokines: a new classification system and their role in immunity. Immunity. 2000; 12(2):121–127. [PubMed: 10714678]
- 9. Kim CH, Broxmeyer HE. Chemokines: signal lamps for trafficking of T and B cells for development and effector function. Journal of leukocyte biology. 1999; 65(1):6–15. [PubMed: 9886241]
- 10*. Nomenclature IWSoC. Chemokine/chemokine receptor nomenclature. Cytokine. 2003; 21(1):48– 49. a clear summary of the proposed nomenclature for chemokines. [PubMed: 12668160]
- 11. Uguccioni M, D'Apuzzo M, Loetscher M, Dewald B, Baggiolini M. Actions of the chemotactic cytokines MCP-1, MCP-2, MCP-3, RANTES, MIP-1 alpha and MIP-1 beta on human monocytes. European journal of immunology. 1995; 25(1):64–68. [PubMed: 7531149]
- 12. Taub DD, Conlon K, Lloyd AR, Oppenheim JJ, Kelvin DJ. Preferential migration of activated CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in response to MIP-1 alpha and MIP-1 beta. Science. 1993; 260(5106): 355–358. [PubMed: 7682337]
- 13. Hirota K, Yoshitomi H, Hashimoto M, et al. Preferential recruitment of CCR6-expressing Th17 cells to inflamed joints via CCL20 in rheumatoid arthritis and its animal model. The Journal of experimental medicine. 2007; 204(12):2803–2812. [PubMed: 18025126]
- 14. Keeley EC, Mehrad B, Strieter RM. Chemokines as mediators of tumor angiogenesis and neovascularization. Experimental cell research. 2011; 317(5):685–690. [PubMed: 21040721]
- 15. Kennedy J, Kelner GS, Kleyensteuber S, et al. Molecular cloning and functional characterization of human lymphotactin. Journal of immunology. 1995; 155(1):203–209.
- 16. Bazan JF, Bacon KB, Hardiman G, et al. A new class of membrane-bound chemokine with a CX3C motif. Nature. 1997; 385(6617):640–644. [PubMed: 9024663]
- 17. Rot A, von Andrian UH. Chemokines in innate and adaptive host defense: basic chemokinese grammar for immune cells. Annual review of immunology. 2004; 22:891–928.
- 18. Le Y, Zhou Y, Iribarren P, Wang J. Chemokines and chemokine receptors: their manifold roles in homeostasis and disease. Cellular & molecular immunology. 2004; 1(2):95-104. [PubMed: 16212895]

- 19. Strieter RM, Polverini PJ, Kunkel SL, et al. The functional role of the ELR motif in CXC chemokine-mediated angiogenesis. The Journal of biological chemistry. 1995; 270(45):27348– 27357. [PubMed: 7592998]
- 20. Moser B, Wolf M, Walz A, Loetscher P. Chemokines: multiple levels of leukocyte migration control. Trends in immunology. 2004; 25(2):75–84. [PubMed: 15102366]
- 21. Holmes WE, Lee J, Kuang WJ, Rice GC, Wood WI. Structure and functional expression of a human interleukin-8 receptor. Science. 1991; 253(5025):1278–1280. [PubMed: 1840701]
- 22. Murphy PM, Tiffany HL. Cloning of complementary DNA encoding a functional human interleukin-8 receptor. Science. 1991; 253(5025):1280–1283. [PubMed: 1891716]
- 23. Gao JL, Kuhns DB, Tiffany HL, et al. Structure and functional expression of the human macrophage inflammatory protein 1 alpha/RANTES receptor. The Journal of experimental medicine. 1993; 177(5):1421–1427. [PubMed: 7683036]
- 24. Allen SJ, Crown SE, Handel TM. Chemokine: receptor structure, interactions, and antagonism. Annual review of immunology. 2007; 25:787–820.
- 25. Lu ZH, Wang ZX, Horuk R, et al. The promiscuous chemokine binding profile of the Duffy antigen/receptor for chemokines is primarily localized to sequences in the amino-terminal domain. The Journal of biological chemistry. 1995; 270(44):26239–26245. [PubMed: 7592830]
- 26. Loetscher P, Pellegrino A, Gong JH, et al. The ligands of CXC chemokine receptor 3, I-TAC, Mig, and IP10, are natural antagonists for CCR3. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2001; 276(5): 2986–2991. [PubMed: 11110785]
- 27. Burns JM, Summers BC, Wang Y, et al. A novel chemokine receptor for SDF-1 and I-TAC involved in cell survival, cell adhesion, and tumor development. The Journal of experimental medicine. 2006; 203(9):2201–2213. [PubMed: 16940167]
- 28. Stein JV, Nombela-Arrieta C. Chemokine control of lymphocyte trafficking: a general overview. Immunology. 2005; 116(1):1–12. [PubMed: 16108812]
- 29. Forster R, Schubel A, Breitfeld D, et al. CCR7 coordinates the primary immune response by establishing functional microenvironments in secondary lymphoid organs. Cell. 1999; 99(1):23– 33. [PubMed: 10520991]
- 30. Forster R, Mattis AE, Kremmer E, Wolf E, Brem G, Lipp M. A putative chemokine receptor, BLR1, directs B cell migration to defined lymphoid organs and specific anatomic compartments of the spleen. Cell. 1996; 87(6):1037–1047. [PubMed: 8978608]
- 31. Naruse K, Ueno M, Satoh T, et al. A YAC contig of the human CC chemokine genes clustered on chromosome 17q11.2. Genomics. 1996; 34(2):236–240. [PubMed: 8661057]
- 32. Modi WS, Chen ZQ. Localization of the human CXC chemokine subfamily on the long arm of chromosome 4 using radiation hybrids. Genomics. 1998; 47(1):136–139. [PubMed: 9465307]
- 33*. Nomiyama H, Osada N, Yoshie O. The evolution of mammalian chemokine genes. Cytokine & growth factor reviews. 2010; 21(4):253–262. A Phylogenetic view of the chemokine genes. [PubMed: 20434943]
- 34. Hardtke S, Ohl L, Forster R. Balanced expression of CXCR5 and CCR7 on follicular T helper cells determines their transient positioning to lymph node follicles and is essential for efficient B-cell help. Blood. 2005; 106(6):1924–1931. [PubMed: 15899919]
- 35. Gunn MD, Ngo VN, Ansel KM, Ekland EH, Cyster JG, Williams LT. A B-cell-homing chemokine made in lymphoid follicles activates Burkitt's lymphoma receptor-1. Nature. 1998; 391(6669):799– 803. [PubMed: 9486651]
- 36. Zlotnik A, Yoshie O, Nomiyama H. The chemokine and chemokine receptor superfamilies and their molecular evolution. Genome biology. 2006; 7(12):243. [PubMed: 17201934]
- 37. Nomiyama H, Mera A, Ohneda O, Miura R, Suda T, Yoshie O. Organization of the chemokine genes in the human and mouse major clusters of CC and CXC chemokines: diversification between the two species. Genes and immunity. 2001; 2(2):110–113. [PubMed: 11393655]
- 38. Graham GJ. D6 and the atypical chemokine receptor family: novel regulators of immune and inflammatory processes. European journal of immunology. 2009; 39(2):342–351. [PubMed: 19130487]
- 39. Naumann U, Cameroni E, Pruenster M, et al. CXCR7 functions as a scavenger for CXCL12 and CXCL11. PloS one. 2010; 5(2):e9175. [PubMed: 20161793]

- 40. Daugherty BL, Springer MS. The beta-chemokine receptor genes CCR1 (CMKBR1), CCR2 (CMKBR2), and CCR3 (CMKBR3) cluster within 285 kb on human chromosome 3p21. Genomics. 1997; 41(2):294–295. [PubMed: 9143512]
- 41. Nomiyama H, Osada N, Yoshie O. A family tree of vertebrate chemokine receptors for a unified nomenclature. Developmental and comparative immunology. 2011; 35(7):705–715. [PubMed: 21295066]
- 42. Nibbs RJ, Wylie SM, Pragnell IB, Graham GJ. Cloning and characterization of a novel murine beta chemokine receptor, D6. Comparison to three other related macrophage inflammatory protein-1alpha receptors, CCR-1, CCR-3, and CCR-5. The Journal of biological chemistry. 1997; 272(19):12495–12504. [PubMed: 9139699]
- 43. Lee JS, Frevert CW, Wurfel MM, et al. Duffy antigen facilitates movement of chemokine across the endothelium in vitro and promotes neutrophil transmigration in vitro and in vivo. Journal of immunology. 2003; 170(10):5244–5251.
- 44. Nibbs R, Graham G, Rot A. Chemokines on the move: control by the chemokine "interceptors" Duffy blood group antigen and D6. Seminars in immunology. 2003; 15(5):287–294. [PubMed: 15001178]
- 45. Rajagopal S, Kim J, Ahn S, et al. Beta-arrestin- but not G protein-mediated signaling by the "decoy" receptor CXCR7. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2010; 107(2):628–632. [PubMed: 20018651]
- 46. Watts AO, Verkaar F, van der Lee MM, et al. beta-Arrestin recruitment and G protein signaling by the atypical human chemokine decoy receptor CCX-CKR. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2013; 288(10):7169–7181. [PubMed: 23341447]
- 47. Bonini JA, Martin SK, Dralyuk F, Roe MW, Philipson LH, Steiner DF. Cloning, expression, and chromosomal mapping of a novel human CC-chemokine receptor (CCR10) that displays highaffinity binding for MCP-1 and MCP-3. DNA and cell biology. 1997; 16(10):1249–1256. [PubMed: 9364936]
- 48. Liu L, Graham GJ, Damodaran A, et al. Cutting edge: the silent chemokine receptor D6 is required for generating T cell responses that mediate experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Journal of immunology. 2006; 177(1):17–21.
- 49. Cutbush M, Mollison PL. The Duffy blood group system. Heredity. 1950; 4(3):383–389. [PubMed: 14802995]
- 50. Pruenster M, Rot A. Throwing light on DARC. Biochemical Society transactions. 2006; 34(Pt 6): 1005–1008. [PubMed: 17073738]
- 51. Hadley TJ, Peiper SC. From malaria to chemokine receptor: the emerging physiologic role of the Duffy blood group antigen. Blood. 1997; 89(9):3077–3091. [PubMed: 9129009]
- 52. Darbonne WC, Rice GC, Mohler MA, et al. Red blood cells are a sink for interleukin 8, a leukocyte chemotaxin. The Journal of clinical investigation. 1991; 88(4):1362–1369. [PubMed: 1918386]
- 53. Libert F, Parmentier M, Lefort A, et al. Selective amplification and cloning of four new members of the G protein-coupled receptor family. Science. 1989; 244(4904):569–572. [PubMed: 2541503]
- 54. Balabanian K, Lagane B, Infantino S, et al. The chemokine SDF-1/CXCL12 binds to and signals through the orphan receptor RDC1 in T lymphocytes. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2005; 280(42):35760–35766. [PubMed: 16107333]
- 55**. Graham GJ, Locati M, Mantovani A, Rot A, Thelen M. The biochemistry and biology of the atypical chemokine receptors. Immunology letters. 2012; 145(1–2):30–38. A comprehensive overview of the atypical chemokine receptor family. [PubMed: 22698181]
- 56. Raggo C, Ruhl R, McAllister S, et al. Novel cellular genes essential for transformation of endothelial cells by Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus. Cancer research. 2005; 65(12): 5084–5095. [PubMed: 15958552]
- 57. Tripathi V, Verma R, Dinda A, Malhotra N, Kaur J, Luthra K. Differential expression of RDC1/ CXCR7 in the human placenta. Journal of clinical immunology. 2009; 29(3):379–386. [PubMed: 18956235]

- 58. Miao Z, Luker KE, Summers BC, et al. CXCR7 (RDC1) promotes breast and lung tumor growth in vivo and is expressed on tumor-associated vasculature. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2007; 104(40):15735–15740. [PubMed: 17898181]
- 59. Sun X, Cheng G, Hao M, et al. CXCL12 / CXCR4 / CXCR7 chemokine axis and cancer progression. Cancer metastasis reviews. 2010; 29(4):709–722. [PubMed: 20839032]
- 60. Luker KE, Steele JM, Mihalko LA, Ray P, Luker GD. Constitutive and chemokine-dependent internalization and recycling of CXCR7 in breast cancer cells to degrade chemokine ligands. Oncogene. 2010; 29(32):4599–4610. [PubMed: 20531309]
- 61. Grymula K, Tarnowski M, Wysoczynski M, et al. Overlapping and distinct role of CXCR7-SDF-1/ ITAC and CXCR4-SDF-1 axes in regulating metastatic behavior of human rhabdomyosarcomas. International journal of cancer. Journal international du cancer. 2010; 127(11):2554–2568. [PubMed: 20162608]
- 62. Hattermann K, Held-Feindt J, Lucius R, et al. The chemokine receptor CXCR7 is highly expressed in human glioma cells and mediates antiapoptotic effects. Cancer research. 2010; 70(8):3299– 3308. [PubMed: 20388803]
- 63. Singh RK, Lokeshwar BL. The IL-8-regulated chemokine receptor CXCR7 stimulates EGFR signaling to promote prostate cancer growth. Cancer research. 2011; 71(9):3268–3277. [PubMed: 21398406]
- 64. Zheng K, Li HY, Su XL, et al. Chemokine receptor CXCR7 regulates the invasion, angiogenesis and tumor growth of human hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Journal of experimental & clinical cancer research : CR. 2010; 29:31. [PubMed: 20380740]
- 65. Choi YH, Burdick MD, Strieter BA, Mehrad B, Strieter RM. CXCR4, but not CXCR7, discriminates metastatic behavior in non-small cell lung cancer cells. Molecular cancer research : MCR. 2014; 12(1):38–47. [PubMed: 24025971]
- 66. Selmi C, Bowlus CL, Gershwin ME, Coppel RL. Primary biliary cirrhosis. Lancet. 2011; 377(9777):1600–1609. [PubMed: 21529926]
- 67. Folci M, Meda F, Gershwin ME, Selmi C. Cutting-edge issues in primary biliary cirrhosis. Clinical reviews in allergy & immunology. 2012; 42(3):342–354. [PubMed: 21243445]
- 68. Hirschfield GM, Gershwin ME. The immunobiology and pathophysiology of primary biliary cirrhosis. Annual review of pathology. 2013; 8:303–330.
- 69. Shimoda S, Harada K, Niiro H, et al. Biliary epithelial cells and primary biliary cirrhosis: the role of liver-infiltrating mononuclear cells. Hepatology. 2008; 47(3):958–965. [PubMed: 18181218]
- 70. Proost P, Wuyts A, Van Damme J. Human monocyte chemotactic proteins-2 and -3: structural and functional comparison with MCP-1. Journal of leukocyte biology. 1996; 59(1):67–74. [PubMed: 8558070]
- 71. Iyonaga K, Takeya M, Saita N, et al. Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and other interstitial lung diseases. Human pathology. 1994; 25(5):455–463. [PubMed: 8200639]
- 72. Grandaliano G, Gesualdo L, Ranieri E, et al. Monocyte chemotactic peptide-1 expression in acute and chronic human nephritides: a pathogenetic role in interstitial monocytes recruitment. Journal of the American Society of Nephrology : JASN. 1996; 7(6):906–913. [PubMed: 8793800]
- 73. Wada T, Yokoyama H, Su SB, et al. Monitoring urinary levels of monocyte chemotactic and activating factor reflects disease activity of lupus nephritis. Kidney international. 1996; 49(3):761– 767. [PubMed: 8648917]
- 74. Kanda H, Tateya S, Tamori Y, et al. MCP-1 contributes to macrophage infiltration into adipose tissue, insulin resistance, and hepatic steatosis in obesity. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2006; 116(6):1494–1505. [PubMed: 16691291]
- 75. Ramm GA. Chemokine (C-C motif) receptors in fibrogenesis and hepatic regeneration following acute and chronic liver disease. Hepatology. 2009; 50(5):1664–1668. [PubMed: 19877298]
- 76**. Tsuneyama K, Harada K, Yasoshima M, et al. Monocyte chemotactic protein-1, -2, and -3 are distinctively expressed in portal tracts and granulomata in primary biliary cirrhosis: implications for pathogenesis. The Journal of pathology. 2001; 193(1):102–109. A seminal paper to investigate the role of chemokines in the PBC liver. [PubMed: 11169522]

- 77. Kuilman T, Michaloglou C, Vredeveld LC, et al. Oncogene-induced senescence relayed by an interleukin-dependent inflammatory network. Cell. 2008; 133(6):1019–1031. [PubMed: 18555778]
- 78. Coppe JP, Patil CK, Rodier F, et al. Senescence-associated secretory phenotypes reveal cellnonautonomous functions of oncogenic RAS and the p53 tumor suppressor. PLoS biology. 2008; 6(12):2853–2868. [PubMed: 19053174]
- 79. Sasaki M, Miyakoshi M, Sato Y, Nakanuma Y. Chemokine-chemokine receptor CCL2-CCR2 and CX3CL1-CX3CR1 axis may play a role in the aggravated inflammation in primary biliary cirrhosis. Digestive diseases and sciences. 2014; 59(2):358–364. [PubMed: 24185682]
- 80. Sherry B, Tekamp-Olson P, Gallegos C, et al. Resolution of the two components of macrophage inflammatory protein 1, and cloning and characterization of one of those components, macrophage inflammatory protein 1 beta. The Journal of experimental medicine. 1988; 168(6):2251–2259. [PubMed: 3058856]
- 81. Cocchi F, DeVico AL, Garzino-Demo A, Arya SK, Gallo RC, Lusso P. Identification of RANTES, MIP-1 alpha, and MIP-1 beta as the major HIV-suppressive factors produced by CD8+ T cells. Science. 1995; 270(5243):1811–1815. [PubMed: 8525373]
- 82. Baggiolini M, Dewald B, Moser B. Interleukin-8 and related chemotactic cytokines--CXC and CC chemokines. Advances in immunology. 1994; 55:97–179. [PubMed: 8304236]
- 83. Soria G, Ben-Baruch A. The inflammatory chemokines CCL2 and CCL5 in breast cancer. Cancer letters. 2008; 267(2):271–285. [PubMed: 18439751]
- 84. Murphy PM, Baggiolini M, Charo IF, et al. International union of pharmacology. XXII. Nomenclature for chemokine receptors. Pharmacological reviews. 2000; 52(1):145–176. [PubMed: 10699158]
- 85. Oppermann M. Chemokine receptor CCR5: insights into structure, function, and regulation. Cellular signalling. 2004; 16(11):1201–1210. [PubMed: 15337520]
- 86. Luther SA, Cyster JG. Chemokines as regulators of T cell differentiation. Nature immunology. 2001; 2(2):102–107. [PubMed: 11175801]
- 87. Karpus WJ, Lukacs NW, Kennedy KJ, Smith WS, Hurst SD, Barrett TA. Differential CC chemokine-induced enhancement of T helper cell cytokine production. Journal of immunology. 1997; 158(9):4129–4136.
- 88. Seki E, De Minicis S, Gwak GY, et al. CCR1 and CCR5 promote hepatic fibrosis in mice. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2009; 119(7):1858–1870. [PubMed: 19603542]
- 89. Tsuda M, Ambrosini YM, Zhang W, et al. Fine phenotypic and functional characterization of effector cluster of differentiation 8 positive T cells in human patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatology. 2011; 54(4):1293–1302. [PubMed: 21735469]
- 90. Luster AD, Ravetch JV. Biochemical characterization of a gamma interferon-inducible cytokine (IP-10). The Journal of experimental medicine. 1987; 166(4):1084–1097. [PubMed: 2443596]
- 91. Liao F, Rabin RL, Yannelli JR, Koniaris LG, Vanguri P, Farber JM. Human Mig chemokine: biochemical and functional characterization. The Journal of experimental medicine. 1995; 182(5): 1301–1314. [PubMed: 7595201]
- 92. Sallusto F, Lenig D, Mackay CR, Lanzavecchia A. Flexible programs of chemokine receptor expression on human polarized T helper 1 and 2 lymphocytes. The Journal of experimental medicine. 1998; 187(6):875–883. [PubMed: 9500790]
- 93. Loetscher M, Gerber B, Loetscher P, et al. Chemokine receptor specific for IP10 and mig: structure, function, and expression in activated T-lymphocytes. The Journal of experimental medicine. 1996; 184(3):963–969. [PubMed: 9064356]
- 94. Qin S, Rottman JB, Myers P, et al. The chemokine receptors CXCR3 and CCR5 mark subsets of T cells associated with certain inflammatory reactions. The Journal of clinical investigation. 1998; 101(4):746–754. [PubMed: 9466968]
- 95**. Bonecchi R, Bianchi G, Bordignon PP, et al. Differential expression of chemokine receptors and chemotactic responsiveness of type 1 T helper cells (Th1s) and Th2s. The Journal of experimental medicine. 1998; 187(1):129–134. one elegant study to determine the connection between innate and acquired immunity via chemokines. [PubMed: 9419219]

- 96. Goebeler M, Toksoy A, Spandau U, Engelhardt E, Brocker EB, Gillitzer R. The C-X-C chemokine Mig is highly expressed in the papillae of psoriatic lesions. The Journal of pathology. 1998; 184(1):89–95. [PubMed: 9582533]
- 97. Gottlieb AB, Luster AD, Posnett DN, Carter DM. Detection of a gamma interferon-induced protein IP-10 in psoriatic plaques. The Journal of experimental medicine. 1988; 168(3):941–948. [PubMed: 2459293]
- 98. Lauw FN, Simpson AJ, Prins JM, et al. The CXC chemokines gamma interferon (IFN-gamma) inducible protein 10 and monokine induced by IFN-gamma are released during severe melioidosis. Infection and immunity. 2000; 68(7):3888–3893. [PubMed: 10858199]
- 99. Zeremski M, Petrovic LM, Chiriboga L, et al. Intrahepatic levels of CXCR3-associated chemokines correlate with liver inflammation and fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. Hepatology. 2008; 48(5):1440–1450. [PubMed: 18798334]
- 100. Narumi S, Tominaga Y, Tamaru M, et al. Expression of IFN-inducible protein-10 in chronic hepatitis. Journal of immunology. 1997; 158(11):5536–5544.
- 101. Goddard S, Williams A, Morland C, et al. Differential expression of chemokines and chemokine receptors shapes the inflammatory response in rejecting human liver transplants. Transplantation. 2001; 72(12):1957–1967. [PubMed: 11773896]
- 102. Narumi S, Yoneyama H, Inadera H, et al. TNF-alpha is a potent inducer for IFN-inducible protein-10 in hepatocytes and unaffected by GM-CSF in vivo, in contrast to IL-1beta and IFNgamma. Cytokine. 2000; 12(7):1007–1016. [PubMed: 10880246]
- 103. Barnes JL, Ulett GC, Ketheesan N, Clair T, Summers PM, Hirst RG. Induction of multiple chemokine and colony-stimulating factor genes in experimental Burkholderia pseudomallei infection. Immunology and cell biology. 2001; 79(5):490–501. [PubMed: 11564157]
- 104. Tan AT, Koh S, Goh W, et al. A longitudinal analysis of innate and adaptive immune profile during hepatic flares in chronic hepatitis B. Journal of hepatology. 2010; 52(3):330–339. [PubMed: 20137825]
- 105. Imai T, Hieshima K, Haskell C, et al. Identification and molecular characterization of fractalkine receptor CX3CR1, which mediates both leukocyte migration and adhesion. Cell. 1997; 91(4): 521–530. [PubMed: 9390561]
- 106. Garton KJ, Gough PJ, Blobel CP, et al. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha-converting enzyme (ADAM17) mediates the cleavage and shedding of fractalkine (CX3CL1). The Journal of biological chemistry. 2001; 276(41):37993–38001. [PubMed: 11495925]
- 107. Lucas AD, Chadwick N, Warren BF, et al. The transmembrane form of the CX3CL1 chemokine fractalkine is expressed predominantly by epithelial cells in vivo. The American journal of pathology. 2001; 158(3):855–866. [PubMed: 11238035]
- 108. Papadopoulos EJ, Sassetti C, Saeki H, et al. Fractalkine, a CX3C chemokine, is expressed by dendritic cells and is up-regulated upon dendritic cell maturation. European journal of immunology. 1999; 29(8):2551–2559. [PubMed: 10458770]
- 109. Ruth JH, Volin MV, Haines GK 3rd, et al. Fractalkine, a novel chemokine in rheumatoid arthritis and in rat adjuvant-induced arthritis. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2001; 44(7):1568–1581. [PubMed: 11465708]
- 110. Ludwig A, Berkhout T, Moores K, Groot P, Chapman G. Fractalkine is expressed by smooth muscle cells in response to IFN-gamma and TNF-alpha and is modulated by metalloproteinase activity. Journal of immunology. 2002; 168(2):604–612.
- 111. Garcia GE, Xia Y, Chen S, et al. NF-kappaB-dependent fractalkine induction in rat aortic endothelial cells stimulated by IL-1beta, TNF-alpha, and LPS. Journal of leukocyte biology. 2000; 67(4):577–584. [PubMed: 10770292]
- 112. Yoneda O, Imai T, Goda S, et al. Fractalkine-mediated endothelial cell injury by NK cells. Journal of immunology. 2000; 164(8):4055–4062.
- 113. Ikejima H, Imanishi T, Tsujioka H, et al. Upregulation of fractalkine and its receptor, CX3CR1, is associated with coronary plaque rupture in patients with unstable angina pectoris. Circulation journal : official journal of the Japanese Circulation Society. 2010; 74(2):337–345. [PubMed: 20019415]

- 114. Yajima N, Kasama T, Isozaki T, et al. Elevated levels of soluble fractalkine in active systemic lupus erythematosus: potential involvement in neuropsychiatric manifestations. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2005; 52(6):1670–1675. [PubMed: 15934075]
- 115. Marchesi F, Locatelli M, Solinas G, Erreni M, Allavena P, Mantovani A. Role of CX3CR1/ CX3CL1 axis in primary and secondary involvement of the nervous system by cancer. Journal of neuroimmunology. 2010; 224(1–2):39–44. [PubMed: 20630606]
- 116. Isse K, Harada K, Zen Y, et al. Fractalkine and CX3CR1 are involved in the recruitment of intraepithelial lymphocytes of intrahepatic bile ducts. Hepatology. 2005; 41(3):506–516. [PubMed: 15726664]
- 117. Ancuta P, Rao R, Moses A, et al. Fractalkine preferentially mediates arrest and migration of CD16+ monocytes. The Journal of experimental medicine. 2003; 197(12):1701–1707. [PubMed: 12810688]
- 118. Lasagni L, Francalanci M, Annunziato F, et al. An alternatively spliced variant of CXCR3 mediates the inhibition of endothelial cell growth induced by IP-10, Mig, and I-TAC, and acts as functional receptor for platelet factor 4. The Journal of experimental medicine. 2003; 197(11): 1537–1549. [PubMed: 12782716]
- 119. Sallusto F, Schaerli P, Loetscher P, et al. Rapid and coordinated switch in chemokine receptor expression during dendritic cell maturation. European journal of immunology. 1998; 28(9):2760– 2769. [PubMed: 9754563]
- 120. Menke J, Zeller GC, Kikawada E, et al. CXCL9, but not CXCL10, promotes CXCR3-dependent immune-mediated kidney disease. Journal of the American Society of Nephrology : JASN. 2008; 19(6):1177–1189. [PubMed: 18337479]
- 121. Bromley SK, Mempel TR, Luster AD. Orchestrating the orchestrators: chemokines in control of T cell traffic. Nature immunology. 2008; 9(9):970–980. [PubMed: 18711434]
- 122. Loetscher M, Loetscher P, Brass N, Meese E, Moser B. Lymphocyte-specific chemokine receptor CXCR3: regulation, chemokine binding and gene localization. European journal of immunology. 1998; 28(11):3696–3705. [PubMed: 9842912]
- 123. Lu B, Humbles A, Bota D, et al. Structure and function of the murine chemokine receptor CXCR3. European journal of immunology. 1999; 29(11):3804–3812. [PubMed: 10556837]
- 124. Yamamoto J, Adachi Y, Onoue Y, et al. Differential expression of the chemokine receptors by the Th1- and Th2-type effector populations within circulating CD4+ T cells. Journal of leukocyte biology. 2000; 68(4):568–574. [PubMed: 11037980]
- 125. Mohan K, Issekutz TB. Blockade of chemokine receptor CXCR3 inhibits T cell recruitment to inflamed joints and decreases the severity of adjuvant arthritis. Journal of immunology. 2007; 179(12):8463–8469.
- 126. Oo YH, Weston CJ, Lalor PF, et al. Distinct roles for CCR4 and CXCR3 in the recruitment and positioning of regulatory T cells in the inflamed human liver. Journal of immunology. 2010; 184(6):2886–2898.
- 127. Steinmetz OM, Turner JE, Paust HJ, et al. CXCR3 mediates renal Th1 and Th17 immune response in murine lupus nephritis. Journal of immunology. 2009; 183(7):4693–4704.
- 128. Harada K, Tsuneyama K, Yasoshima M, et al. Type1 and type2 memory T cells imbalance shown by expression of intrahepatic chemokine receptors relates to pathogenesis of primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatology research : the official journal of the Japan Society of Hepatology. 2002; 24(3):290. [PubMed: 12393031]
- 129. Chuang YH, Lian ZX, Cheng CM, et al. Increased levels of chemokine receptor CXCR3 and chemokines IP-10 and MIG in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis and their first degree relatives. Journal of autoimmunity. 2005; 25(2):126–132. [PubMed: 16243485]
- 130. Manousou P, Kolios G, Drygiannakis I, et al. CXCR3 axis in patients with primary biliary cirrhosis: a possible novel mechanism of the effect of ursodeoxycholic acid. Clinical and experimental immunology. 2013; 172(1):9–15. [PubMed: 23480180]
- 131. Koch MA, Tucker-Heard G, Perdue NR, Killebrew JR, Urdahl KB, Campbell DJ. The transcription factor T-bet controls regulatory T cell homeostasis and function during type 1 inflammation. Nature immunology. 2009; 10(6):595–602. [PubMed: 19412181]

- 132. Santodomingo-Garzon T, Han J, Le T, Yang Y, Swain MG. Natural killer T cells regulate the homing of chemokine CXC receptor 3-positive regulatory T cells to the liver in mice. Hepatology. 2009; 49(4):1267–1276. [PubMed: 19140218]
- 133. Hoerning A, Koss K, Datta D, et al. Subsets of human CD4(+) regulatory T cells express the peripheral homing receptor CXCR3. European journal of immunology. 2011; 41(8):2291–2302. [PubMed: 21538345]
- 134. Exley MA, Koziel MJ. To be or not to be NKT: natural killer T cells in the liver. Hepatology. 2004; 40(5):1033–1040. [PubMed: 15486982]
- 135. Lan RY, Cheng C, Lian ZX, et al. Liver-targeted and peripheral blood alterations of regulatory T cells in primary biliary cirrhosis. Hepatology. 2006; 43(4):729–737. [PubMed: 16557534]
- 136. Longhi MS, Hussain MJ, Mitry RR, et al. Functional study of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells in health and autoimmune hepatitis. Journal of immunology. 2006; 176(7):4484–4491.
- 137. Combadiere C, Salzwedel K, Smith ED, Tiffany HL, Berger EA, Murphy PM. Identification of CX3CR1. A chemotactic receptor for the human CX3C chemokine fractalkine and a fusion coreceptor for HIV-1. The Journal of biological chemistry. 1998; 273(37):23799–23804. [PubMed: 9726990]
- 138. Foussat A, Coulomb-L'Hermine A, Gosling J, et al. Fractalkine receptor expression by T lymphocyte subpopulations and in vivo production of fractalkine in human. European journal of immunology. 2000; 30(1):87–97. [PubMed: 10602030]
- 139. Robertson MJ. Role of chemokines in the biology of natural killer cells. Journal of leukocyte biology. 2002; 71(2):173–183. [PubMed: 11818437]
- 140. Nishimura M, Umehara H, Nakayama T, et al. Dual functions of fractalkine/CX3C ligand 1 in trafficking of perforin+/granzyme B+ cytotoxic effector lymphocytes that are defined by CX3CR1 expression. Journal of immunology. 2002; 168(12):6173–6180.
- 141. Fraticelli P, Sironi M, Bianchi G, et al. Fractalkine (CX3CL1) as an amplification circuit of polarized Th1 responses. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2001; 107(9):1173–1181. [PubMed: 11342581]
- 142. Segerer S, Hughes E, Hudkins KL, Mack M, Goodpaster T, Alpers CE. Expression of the fractalkine receptor (CX3CR1) in human kidney diseases. Kidney international. 2002; 62(2): 488–495. [PubMed: 12110009]
- 143. Lucas AD, Bursill C, Guzik TJ, Sadowski J, Channon KM, Greaves DR. Smooth muscle cells in human atherosclerotic plaques express the fractalkine receptor CX3CR1 and undergo chemotaxis to the CX3C chemokine fractalkine (CX3CL1). Circulation. 2003; 108(20):2498–2504. [PubMed: 14581400]
- 144. Muehlhoefer A, Saubermann LJ, Gu X, et al. Fractalkine is an epithelial and endothelial cellderived chemoattractant for intraepithelial lymphocytes in the small intestinal mucosa. Journal of immunology. 2000; 164(6):3368–3376.
- 145. Efsen E, Grappone C, DeFranco RM, et al. Up-regulated expression of fractalkine and its receptor CX3CR1 during liver injury in humans. Journal of hepatology. 2002; 37(1):39–47. [PubMed: 12076860]
- 146. Anders HJ, Romagnani P, Mantovani A. Pathomechanisms: homeostatic chemokines in health, tissue regeneration, and progressive diseases. Trends Mol Med. 2014; 20(3):154–165. [PubMed: 24440002]
- 147. Bombardieri M, Pitzalis C. Ectopic lymphoid neogenesis and lymphoid chemokines in Sjogren's syndrome: at the interplay between chronic inflammation, autoimmunity and lymphomagenesis. Curr Pharm Biotechnol. 2012; 13(10):1989–1996. [PubMed: 22208651]
- 148. Clark KL, Reed TJ, Wolf SJ, Lowe L, Hodgin JB, Kahlenberg JM. Epidermal injury promotes nephritis flare in lupus-prone mice. Journal of autoimmunity. 2015
- 149. Clement M, Charles N, Escoubet B, et al. CD4+CXCR3+ T cells and plasmacytoid dendritic cells drive accelerated atherosclerosis associated with systemic lupus erythematosus. Journal of autoimmunity. 2015; 63:59–67. [PubMed: 26183767]
- 150. Comerford I, Kara EE, McKenzie DR, McColl SR. Advances in understanding the pathogenesis of autoimmune disorders: focus on chemokines and lymphocyte trafficking. Br J Haematol. 2014; 164(3):329–341. [PubMed: 24164387]

- 151. Cordiglieri C, Marolda R, Franzi S, et al. Innate immunity in myasthenia gravis thymus: pathogenic effects of Toll-like receptor 4 signaling on autoimmunity. Journal of autoimmunity. 2014; 52:74–89. [PubMed: 24397961]
- 152. Corsiero E, Bombardieri M, Manzo A, Bugatti S, Uguccioni M, Pitzalis C. Role of lymphoid chemokines in the development of functional ectopic lymphoid structures in rheumatic autoimmune diseases. Immunology letters. 2012; 145(1–2):62–67. [PubMed: 22698185]
- 153. Cufi P, Dragin N, Ruhlmann N, et al. Central role of interferon-beta in thymic events leading to myasthenia gravis. Journal of autoimmunity. 2014; 52:44–52. [PubMed: 24393484]
- 154. Hsueh YH, Chang YN, Loh CE, Gershwin ME, Chuang YH. AAV-IL-22 modifies liver chemokine activity and ameliorates portal inflammation in murine autoimmune cholangitis. Journal of autoimmunity. 2015
- 155. Hudspeth K, Donadon M, Cimino M, et al. Human liver-resident CD56/CD16 NK cells are retained within hepatic sinusoids via the engagement of CCR5 and CXCR6 pathways. Journal of autoimmunity. 2015
- 156. Lecendreux M, Libri V, Jaussent I, et al. Impact of cytokine in type 1 narcolepsy: Role of pandemic H1N1 vaccination ? Journal of autoimmunity. 2015; 60:20–31. [PubMed: 25892508]
- 157. Oo YH, Adams DH. The role of chemokines in the recruitment of lymphocytes to the liver. Journal of autoimmunity. 2010; 34(1):45–54. [PubMed: 19744827]
- 158. Patsouras MD, Sikara MP, Grika EP, Moutsopoulos HM, Tzioufas AG, Vlachoyiannopoulos PG. Elevated expression of platelet-derived chemokines in patients with antiphospholipid syndrome. Journal of autoimmunity. 2015
- 159. Pitzalis C, Jones GW, Bombardieri M, Jones SA. Ectopic lymphoid-like structures in infection, cancer and autoimmunity. Nature reviews. Immunology. 2014; 14(7):447–462.
- 160. Storan ER, O'Gorman SM, McDonald ID, Steinhoff M. Role of cytokines and chemokines in itch. Handb Exp Pharmacol. 2015; 226:163–176. [PubMed: 25861779]
- 161. Selmi C, Generali E, Massarotti M, Bianchi G, Scire CA. New treatments for inflammatory rheumatic disease. Immunologic research. 2014; 60(2–3):277–288. [PubMed: 25381481]

Key issues

- **•** The 2000 systematic chemokine nomenclature defines ligands according to subclass (CC, CXC, CX3C, or C) followed by L for ligand and a unique number;
- **•** In a complementary fashion, the chemokine receptor nomenclature uses CC, CXC, XC, or CX3C followed by R (for receptor) and then a number;
- **•** Beyond critical extracellular mediators of leukocyte trafficking, chemokines and their cognate receptors are expressed by a variety of resident and infiltrating cells (monocytes, lymphocytes, NK cells, mast cells, and NKT cells);
- **•** Chemokine interactions have been implicated in a diverse range of biological processes in the immune system, such as immune cell development, polarization, activation, and differentiation;
- **•** The majority of chemokine and chemokine receptor genes rank among the most rapidly evolving genes in phylogeny;
- **•** Eighteen chemokine receptor genes with chemotactic functions have been identified in the human genome, such as 10 CCR, 6 CXCR, 1 XCR, and 1 CX3CR genes;
- **•** In addition to conventional chemokine receptors which share conserved signaling pathway through G-protein coupled chemokine receptors (GPCRs), a smaller subgroup of chemokine receptors referred to as 'atypical chemokine receptors (ACR)' does not signal through the GPCRs upon ligation of cognate chemokines and lacks chemotactic activity;
- **•** At PBC immunohistochemistry, MCP-1-positive inflammatory cells can be detected mainly in portal tracts and accentuated around the damaged bile ducts, as well as around epitheloid granulomas that characterize the PBC liver;
- **•** The transmigration of PBC liver-infiltrating mononuclear cells (LMNC) is significantly enhanced when stimulated with MIP-1α, MIP-1β and RANTES;
- **•** In patients with PBC, the expression of fractalkine is upregulated in biliary epithelial cells (BEC), followed by the CX3CR1-expressing CD4+ and CD8+ T cells.

 $-$ COOH

Figure 1.

Chemokine receptors are classified according to the chemokine family they bind, followed by an R (for receptor) and a number that corresponds to the order of its discovery. Specific chemokine ligand-receptor interaction lead to directional cellular migration, activation, and various biological responses via different intracellular signaling pathways.

Figure 2.

Gene mapping of the human chemokines (CC and CXC chemokine gene clusters) and chemokine receptors on chromosomes 3, 4, 17, and X.

Figure 3.

Chemokines and chemokine receptors in the pathogenesis of primary biliary cirrhosis. Interaction of chemokines infiltrating immune cells, predominantly composed of Th1 cells, Th17 cells, NK cells, CD8+ T cells and monocytes, with their cognate chemokine receptors is found around the portal tract, eventually resulting in the immune-mediated destruction of small bile ducts.

Table 1

Main chemokines and receptors observed in primary biliary cirrhosis.

DC, dendritic cells; NK, natural killer cells, HSCs, hepatic stellate cells; LSECs, liver sinusoidal endothelial cells; BECs, biliary epithelial cells.