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Purpose of review
Transgender persons suffer significant health disparities and may require medical intervention as part of their care. The purpose of this manuscript is to briefly review the literature characterizing barriers to healthcare for transgender individuals and to propose research priorities to understand mechanisms of those barriers and interventions to overcome them.

Recent findings
Current research emphasizes sexual minorities’ self-report of barriers, rather than using direct methods. The biggest barrier to healthcare reported by transgender individuals is lack of access because of lack of providers who are sufficiently knowledgeable on the topic. Other barriers include: financial barriers, discrimination, lack of cultural competence by providers, health systems barriers, and socioeconomic barriers.

Summary
National research priorities should include rigorous determination of the capacity of the US healthcare system to provide adequate care for transgender individuals. Studies should determine knowledge and biases of the medical workforce across the spectrum of medical training with regard to transgender medical care; adequacy of sufficient providers for the care required, larger social structural barriers, and status of a framework to pay for appropriate care. As well, studies should propose and validate potential solutions to address identified gaps.
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KEY POINTS

- There are numerous barriers to healthcare for transgender individuals with the largest barrier reported by transgender individuals being the paucity of knowledgeable providers.
- Barriers to healthcare include those that are direct like lack of insurance coverage along with those that are indirect like unfriendly office environments and perceived stigma for both the patients themselves and the providers of transgender healthcare.
- The priorities for research on barriers to transgender healthcare must include determination of the gaps in knowledge among the provider workforce across the range of training, potential interventions for those gaps, determination of indirect barriers like environment and stigma, and potential solutions to overcome those barriers.

literature characterizing barriers to high-quality healthcare for transgender individuals and to propose research priorities to understand both the mechanisms of those barriers and potential interventions to overcome them.

The biggest barrier both to safe hormonal therapy and to appropriate general medical care for transgender patients is the lack of access to care. Despite both guidelines and data supporting the current transgender medicine treatment paradigm [8–13], transgender patients report that lack of providers with expertise in transgender medicine represents the single largest component inhibiting access [14]. Transgender treatment is not taught in conventional medical curricula and too few physicians have the requisite knowledge and comfort level [15,16,17–19].

Other reported barriers include: financial barriers (lack of insurance and lack of income), discrimination, lack of cultural competence by healthcare providers, health systems barriers (inappropriate electronic records, forms, lab references, and clinic facilities), and socioeconomic barriers (transportation, housing, and mental health). Although some of these healthcare barriers are faced by other minority groups, many are unique, and many are significantly magnified for transgender persons.

REVIEW OF RESEARCH TO DATE: CHALLENGES, GAPS, OPPORTUNITIES

Research on barriers to care for transgender individuals consists almost exclusively of data collected through self-report by transgender individuals themselves, rather than more direct techniques. The largest study is the National Transgender Discrimination Survey, with data collected between September 2008 and March 2009 [7]. Other published research consists primarily of local or regional quantitative or qualitative studies.

Factors that interfere with physicians’ delivery of quality care are largely unknown. To understand the disparities, most research has evaluated patients’ perceptions of care [14,20–23], whereas the perspective of physicians has been mostly overlooked.

There is one study of medical students and one study of medical residents where patients in both cases reported substantially less predicted comfort with providing hormone care for transgender individuals than providing the same hormone care to other patients [24,25*].

In addition, some qualitative formative work has focused on understanding physicians’ need for transgender medicine education [26,27] without a thorough understanding of physicians’ barriers to providing care.

Studies of physician knowledge, attitudes, and barriers that do exist at all reflect lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender healthcare broadly without transgender healthcare specific data [28,29].

Further, there has been no meaningful attempt either to determine the specific workforce needs to provide care nor any attempt to determine the current status of that care. Similarly, a comprehensive analysis of third-party financial support for care is lacking.

Finally, reports regarding other barriers are only speculative and based on perceptions rather than validated assessment.

CONCLUSION

Although it is clear that transgender patients suffer from a dearth of competent providers for their healthcare, the specific explanations for that gap remain to be studied.

Therefore, an early research priority must be to establish a rigorous determination of the ability of the US healthcare system to provide adequate care for transgender individuals along with a careful assessment of causes for deficits (Table 1).

Such studies should include determination of the knowledge and biases of the existing medical workforce – medical students, physician trainees, physicians in practice, and other healthcare workers across the spectrum of training; the adequacy of sufficient providers for the care required, and the status of a framework to pay for appropriate care. There is a specific need to determine if providers receive adequate training in
transgender medicine and if not, to determine the gap. There is also a specific need to determine the current status of antitransgender discrimination in the healthcare system. As well, studies should determine potential solutions to address the gaps (including training for knowledge gaps and policy shifts for financial gaps) along with mechanisms to validate such solutions.

In addition to provider gaps, research should investigate systems gaps, including biases in the structure of clinics, forms, and electronic medical record systems. Further, gaps in knowledge and biases among support staff must be determined and then validated tools developed to close those gaps.

Finally, studies are needed to determine and then overcome barriers to care outside of provider and clinic competence. Such studies would include societal stigma for both patients and providers, mental health of patients, and socioeconomic concerns of patients.
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