# **UC Irvine**

# **UC Irvine Previously Published Works**

## **Title**

INSPIRE Neuro-Spinal Scaffold  $^{\text{TM}}$ : An Implantable Alternative to Stem-Cell Therapy for Endogenous Repair in Spinal Cord Injury

#### **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0f907705

## **Journal**

Journal of Korean Neurosurgical Society, 63(5)

### **ISSN**

2005-3711

#### **Author**

Brown, Nolan

#### **Publication Date**

2020-09-01

## DOI

10.3340/jkns.2020.0003

# **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>

Peer reviewed

# Letter to the Editor

**J Korean Neurosurg Soc 63 (5) : 671-672, 2020** https://doi.org/10.3340/jkns.2020.0003

pISSN 2005-3711 eISSN 1598-7876



# INSPIRE Neuro-Spinal Scaffold<sup>™</sup>: An Implantable Alternative to Stem-Cell Therapy for Endogenous Repair in Spinal Cord Injury

#### Nolan Brown

Department of Neurosurgery, University of California, Irvine School of Medicine, Orange, CA, USA

#### To the Editor.

We have read with great interest the article by Jeong et al.<sup>3</sup>. The depth with which the current state of stem cell therapy for spinal cord injury (SCI) was explored was vastly appreciated, given that implications of SCI include significant impairment of patients' overall quality of life and well-being. Astoundingly, first year costs after injury associated with SCI are estimated at an average of \$1044197 for individuals with C1-C4 tetraplegia and \$754524 for those with C5-C8 tetraplegia (after all medical costs and personal needs associated with SCI are considered). Over the course of their lifetime, an individual who develops High tetraplegia before the age of 25 will incur, on average, almost \$5000000 in direct costs due to SCI<sup>5)</sup>. Clearly, the stakes associated with SCI are high, and adult stem cells as well as mesenchymal stem cells must continue to be explored for their potential to yield therapeutic outcomes in those suffering from SCI<sup>2)</sup>.

As noted by Jeong et al.<sup>3)</sup>, various regenerative strategies involving scaffolds, cytokines, and other modulatory factors are coming through the pipeline that may augment the effects of stem cell therapies. However, combinations of stem cells with

various scaffolds (poly lactic-co-glycolic acid, collagen, chitosan, gelatin), trophic factors (neurotrophin 3, brain-derived neurotrophic factor, cyclic AMP, fibroblast growth factor), and lithium, as denoted by Jeong et al.<sup>3)</sup>, have failed to demonstrate clear synergistic effects.

Despite these disappointing results, several clinical trials are in the works involving translational therapeutic strategies that are stem-cell independent. Of particular note (as Jeong et al.<sup>3)</sup> briefly describe combined stem cell-poly lactic-co-glycolic acid scaffold techniques) is the INSPIRE (Neuro-Spinal Scaffold<sup>TM</sup>) technology, an implantable poly lactic-co-glycolic acid polymer scaffold that is thought to promote endogenous repair in SCI<sup>2)</sup>. Developed by InVivo Therapeutics Corp., this porous bioresorbable scaffold conjugated to poly-L-lysine is thought to promote healing while minimizing cyst formation and stabilizing intraparenchymal pressure<sup>1)</sup>. In animal hemisection models, this scaffold has been shown to promote recovery. A current study evaluating the safety and effectiveness of the Neuro-Spinal Scaffold for recovery in SCI between vertebral level T2-T12 (InVivo Study of Probable Benefit of the Neuro-Spinal Scaffold<sup>TM</sup> for Safety and Neurologic Recovery in Subjects with Complete Thoracic AIS A Spinal Cord Inju-

Department of Neurosurgery, University of California, Irvine School of Medicine, 200 South Manchester Ave, Suite 210, Orange, CA 92868, USA Tel: +1-6618775115, Fax: +1-7144568212, E-mail: nolanb@hs.uci.edu, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6025-346X

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>•</sup> Received : January 4, 2020 • Revised : February 14, 2020 • Accepted : February 14, 2020

<sup>•</sup> Address for reprints : Nolan Brown

ry) has already reported a positive case study. When implanted into the spinal cord (via a dorsal root entry zone myelotomy) of the first patient (a 25-year-old male who had sustained a T11 American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale (AIS) grade A traumatic spinal cord injury), there were no procedural complications or safety issues to report. Additionally, at 3 months postoperative follow-up, his neurological examination had improved to L1 AIS grade C incomplete injury<sup>4,6)</sup>. A later study begun in May 2019 by InVivo Therapeutics is comparing Probable Benefit of the Neuro-Spinal Scaffold<sup>TM</sup> in Subjects With Complete Thoracic AIS A Spinal Cord Injury as Compared to Standard of Care (INSPIRE 2). Ultimately, if stem cells continue to yield marginal results, as noted by Jeong et al.3) in the recent installment of the Journal of Korean Neurosurgical Society, scaffold technology could provide the answers we are seeking in SCI therapeutics. The Neuro-Spinal Scaffold<sup>TM</sup> appears to be safe and has already demonstrated in primate models that it can promote appositional healing, spare white matter, decrease post-traumatic cyst formation, and normalize intraparenchymal tissue pressure<sup>1)</sup>.

### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

#### INFORMED CONSENT

This type of study does not require informed consent.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Conceptualization: NB
Data curation: NB
Formal analysis: NB
Funding acquisition: NB

Methodology: NB

Project administration: NB

Visualization: NB

Writing - original draft: NB Writing - review & editing: NB

#### **ORCID**

Nolan Brown https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6025-346X

#### References

- Badhiwala JH, Ahuja CS, Fehlings MG: Time is spine: a review of translational advances in spinal cord injury. J Neurosurg Spine 30: 1-18, 2018
- InVivo: Pipeline: Neuro-Spinal Scaffold<sup>TM</sup>. Available at: https:// www.invivotherapeutics.com/research-clinical-development/pipeline/ neuro-spinal-scaffold/
- Jeong SK, Choi I, Jeon SR: Current status and future strategies to treat spinal cord injury with adult stem cells. J Korean Neurosurg Soc 63: 153-162, 2020
- Teng YD, Lavik EB, Qu X, Park KI, Ourednik J, Zurakowski D, et al.: Functional recovery following traumatic spinal cord injury mediated by a unique polymer scaffold seeded with neural stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99: 3024-3029, 2002
- The National Spinal Cord Injury Statistical Center: Spinal Cord Injury
  Facts and Figures at a Glance. Available at: https://www.nscisc.
  uab.edu/PublicDocuments/fact\_figures\_docs/Facts%202013.pdf
- Theodore N, Hlubek R, Danielson J, Neff K, Vaickus L, Ulich TR, et al.:
   First human implantation of a bioresorbable polymer scaffold for acute
   traumatic spinal cord injury: a clinical pilot study for safety and feasibility. Neurosurgery 79: E305-E312, 2016