## UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

## Title

Using Costal Chondrocytes to Engineer Articular Cartilage with Applications of Passive Axial Compression and Bioactive Stimuli

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0fc8156s

**Journal** Tissue Engineering Part A, 24(5-6)

**ISSN** 1937-3341

## **Authors**

Huwe, Le W Sullan, Gurdeep K Hu, Jerry C <u>et al.</u>

**Publication Date** 

2018-03-01

## DOI

10.1089/ten.tea.2017.0136

## **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>

Peer reviewed

Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli

Authors: Le W. Huwe<sup>a</sup>, Gurdeep K. Sullan<sup>a</sup>, Jerry C. Hu<sup>a</sup>, Kyriacos A. Athanasiou<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of California, Davis, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA, 95616, USA

<sup>b</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of California, Davis, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA, 95616, USA

emails:

LWH: <u>lyee@ucdavis.edu</u>

GKS: gksullan@ucdavis.edu

JCH: jcyhu@ucdavis.edu

Correspondence and reprint requests should be addressed to:

K. A. Athanasiou

Tel.: (530) 754-6645

Fax: (530) 754-5739

athanasiou@ucdavis.edu

Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of California, Davis, One Shields Ave, Davis, CA 95616, USA

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli (DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

Tissue Engineering

#### Abstract

2

Generating neocartilage with suitable mechanical integrity from a cell source that can circumvent chondrocyte scarcity is indispensable for articular cartilage regeneration strategies. Costal chondrocytes of the rib eliminate donor site morbidity in the articular joint, but it remains unclear how neocartilage formed from these cells responds to mechanical loading, especially if the intent is to use it in a load-bearing joint. In a series of three experiments, this study sought to determine efficacious parameters of passive axial compressive stimulation that would enable costal chondrocytes to synthesize mechanically robust cartilage. Experiment 1 determined a suitable time window for stimulation by its application during either the matrix synthesis phase, the maturation phase, or during both phases of the self-assembling process. The results showed that compressive stimulation at either time was effective in increasing instantaneous moduli by 92% and 87% in the synthesis and maturation phases, respectively. Compressive stimulation during both phases did not further improve properties beyond a one-time stimulation. The magnitude of passive axial compression was examined in Experiment 2 by applying 0, 3.3, 5.0, or 6.7 kPa stresses to the neocartilage. Unlike 6.7 kPa, both 3.3 kPa and 5.0 kPa significantly increased neocartilage compressive properties by 42% and 48% over untreated controls, respectively. Experiment 3 examined how the passive axial compression regimen developed from the previous phases interacted with a bioactive regimen (TGF-β1, chondroitinase ABC, and lysyl oxidase-like 2). Passive axial compression significantly improved the relaxation modulus compared to bioactive treatment alone. Further, a combined treatment of compressive and bioactive stimulation improved the tensile properties of neocartilage 2.6-fold compared to untreated control. The ability to create robust articular cartilage from passaged costal chondrocytes through appropriate mechanical and bioactive stimuli will greatly extend the clinical applicability of tissue engineered products to a wider patient population.

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

Tissue Engineering

Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli

(DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

#### Introduction

Engineering cartilage implants from a suitable alternative cell source of autologous or allogeneic origin is a critical aspect in articular cartilage tissue engineering strategies. Current clinical methods involve harvesting tissue from the articular cartilage of damaged joints to obtain chondrocytes for engineering tissue implants (Huang et al. 2016). This procurement procedure creates donor site morbidity in joints, which can exacerbate joint degeneration. Donor site morbidity and the dearth of healthy articular cartilage suitable for harvest both limit the usefulness of existing clinical procedures. To overcome these limitations, alternative cell sources, such as mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) of various origins, have been widely explored (Fellows et al. 2016). Progress in using MSCs has been hindered by the low efficiency of differentiating these cells into a chondrogenic phenotype (Somoza et al. 2014; Cruz et al. 2017). Identification of a non-articular cartilage cell source consisting of a differentiated, chondrogenic phenotype would be significant in advancing cartilage tissue engineering.

Recently, costal cartilage has emerged as a promising, differentiated cell source that allows autologous chondrocyte harvest from a non-articular hyaline cartilage source. The procedures for harvesting and using costal cartilage as graft material for jaw and craniofacial reconstructions are well-established (Karagoz et al. 2012; Wee et al. 2015), causing minimal donor site morbidity and few complications (Wee et al. 2015). Although costal cartilage is non-articular cartilage in the native form, costochondral cells can be induced to produce superficial zone protein (SZP) *in vitro* (Murphy, DuRaine, et al. 2013), which serves to lubricate the articular surface to reduce friction and wear (Tanimoto et al. 2011; Neu et al. 2010). This property is highly desirable for applications in articulating joint environments. Costal chondrocytes appear to be attractive due to their chondrogenic phenotype, high cellularity (Lee et al. 2007), ease of harvest, and ability to secrete SZP. Furthermore, these cells expand *in vitro* quickly and continue to maintain a chondrogenic phenotype in passage through aggregate redifferentiation (Murphy, Masters, et al. 2013). Despite these advantages, costal chondrocytes have not been widely employed for tissue engineering articular cartilage.

Achieving appropriate neocartilage mechanical properties is critical for survival and functionality of neocartilage implants. A plethora of mechanical and chemical stimuli to improve neocartilage properties have been applied on various cell sources to engineer cartilage. Mechanical stimuli such as compression and shear can improve neocartilage properties and potentially assist in neocartilage development (Hunter et al. 2004; Ng et al. 2009; Nicodemus et al. 2010; Connelly et al. 2004; Vanderploeg et al. 2004). Compressive stimulation has been shown to be effective in

This artic

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli (DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

**Tissue Engineering** 

Page 4 of 29

4

enhancing neocartilage properties by stimulating extracellular matrix (ECM) synthesis and by improving tissue mechanical properties. For example, compression stimulation applied on chondrocyte-seeded hydrogels increased glycosaminoglycan (GAG) content by almost 3-fold and collagen content by almost 9-fold (Appelman et al. 2011). The increased ECM is associated with improved mechanical properties (Waldman et al. 2006; Ng et al. 2009). These prior studies using compressive stimulation have focused on constructs produced from primary or passaged articular chondrocytes. Compressive stimulation has not been applied to costal chondrocytes for engineering neocartilage. It is not known whether costal chondrocytes would respond to mechanical stimuli, or, if they do, whether these cells would respond in a similar fashion as articular chondrocytes. The field of mechanical stimulation for costal cartilage derived neocartilage is unexplored but can potentially benefit neocartilage quality to achieve clinically relevant properties.

Previously, passive axial compression applied to fibrocartilage neotissue has been shown to enhance mechanical and biochemical properties (MacBarb et al. 2014). It is also effective and simple to apply, making it a good first choice for examining costal chondrocyte responses to mechanical stimulation. The timing of stimulation with respect to neocartilage development can have a tremendous influence on neocartilage response. In self-assembled neocartilage, the developmental stages are generally divided into four phases. The first two phases are associated with cell-cell adhesion and neocartilage formation; in the third phase, the neocartilage actively synthesizes extracellular matrix (ECM), and, in the fourth phase, the neocartilage undergoes maturation (Athanasiou et al. 2013). For the present work, the third and fourth phases are referred to as the ECM synthesis (S) phase and maturation (M) phase, respectively. The S phase has been shown to be receptive to mechanical stimulation; stimulation at 10-14 days after seeding showed increases in aggregate modulus and GAG content (Elder et al. 2009). Stimulation during the M phase has not been examined before, but this additional time window may allow flexibility in when the stimuli can be applied.

In addition to the timing of stimulation, the magnitude of stress is a critical factor in mechanical stimulation. Many studies attempt to recapitulate the *in vivo* loading environment (Sauerland et al. 2003). While moderate stresses induce regeneration, excessive stresses on cartilage have been associated with cartilage degeneration (Buschmann et al. 1995; Grodzinsky et al. 2000). With different magnitudes, some studies have reported increased ECM synthesis while others observed no change (Nicodemus et al. 2010; Ng et al. 2009; Hunter et al. 2004). The lack of a consensus is likely due to differences in stimulation magnitude and also due to differences in *in* 

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

**Tissue Engineering** 

chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and

Using costal

(DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

bioactive stimuli

Page 5 of 29

*vitro* culture systems (Waldman et al. 2006). Therefore, while the existing literature may serve as a guide, it is essential to examine stimulation magnitudes specifically for each culture system of interest. Since low magnitude passive axial compression has been shown to be effective in self-assembled constructs, a range of low magnitudes was chosen for this study.

Equally important as mechanical stimulation, soluble bioactive stimuli are essential in modulating neocartilage biochemical and mechanical properties. The bioactive stimuli regimen consisting of TGF- $\beta$ 1, chondroitinase ABC (cABC), and lysyl oxidase like protein 2 (LOXL2) has been shown as highly effective in modulating neocartilage ECM (Makris et al. 2014). TGF- $\beta$ 1 stimulates synthesis of GAG and collagen. Treatment with cABC temporarily depletes GAG, bringing the collagen fibers closer together as LOXL2 enzymatically crosslinks the collagen fibers, strengthening the tensile integrity of neocartilage. This bioactive regimen has been applied to engineered fibrocartilage of bovine cell source as well as to porcine costochondral neocartilage to result in improved neocartilage tensile properties (Makris et al. 2014; Murphy et al. 2015). While promising, this bioactive regimen has yet to be used in conjunction with compressive stimulation; it is unclear whether compressive stimulation would work in synergy or antagonism with biochemical stimuli.

The current study aimed to develop mechanically robust engineered neocartilage from ovine costal chondrocytes through mechanical and bioactive stimulation. We expected that a low magnitude, passive axial compression (MacBarb et al. 2014) would be efficacious in promoting neocartilage tissue integrity. The study was conducted in three experiments: Experiment 1 focused on identifying a stimulation time window during neocartilage development to maximize neocartilage mechanical properties. The hypothesis was that both the matrix synthesis phase and maturation phase would be amenable to mechanical stimulation, resulting in improved neocartilage properties. Experiment 2 examined the magnitude of passive axial compression. It was hypothesized that neocartilage properties would increase with stimulation magnitude up to a specific threshold, after which continued increases in stimulation magnitude would no longer be effective. Experiment 3 focused on enhancing the compressive stimulation with bioactive stimuli (TGF- $\beta$ 1, cABC, and LOXL2). The hypothesis was that compressive stimulation would increase compressive properties, while bioactive stimuli would increase tensile properties. Through the examination of mechanical and bioactive stimuli, the objective was to achieve mechanically robust neocartilage from costal chondrocytes toward offering an attractive alternative cell source for the treatment of articular cartilage diseases.

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

Tissue Engineering

Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli

(DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

#### Materials and methods

Cell isolation, expansion, and redifferentiation

Costal cartilage was obtained from one-year-old Suffolk-Dorset crossbred sheep (Animal Sciences Facility, University of California, Davis) within 2 days of slaughter. Muscle, fat, connective tissues, and perichondrium were removed from floating ribs, leaving only costal cartilage, which was then minced into ~1 mm<sup>3</sup> pieces. The cartilage pieces were digested for 18 hrs in 0.2% type II collagenase (Worthington, Lakewood, NJ) in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 1% penicillin/streptomycin/fungizone (PSF) (BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA) and 3% fetal bovine serum (Atlanta Biologicals, Lawrenceville, GA) on an orbital shaker.

After cell isolation, chondrocytes were seeded in tissue culture treated flasks at the density of 1.3 x10<sup>4</sup> cells per cm<sup>2</sup>. They were expanded to passage 2 (P2) in DMEM supplemented with 1%/vol PSF, 1%/vol ITS+ premix, 1%/vol non-essential amino acids, 10 nM dexamethasone, 40 µg/ml L-proline, 50 µg/mL ascorbate-2-phosphate, 100 µg/mL sodium pyruvate, 1 ng/ml TGF- $\beta$ 1, 10 ng/mL platelet-derived growth factor, 5 ng/mL basic fibroblast growth factor. This growth factor combination has been shown to enhance the chondrogenic and proliferative potentials of costal chondrocytes during expansion (Murphy, Huey, et al. 2013). After expansion, P2 cells were redifferentiated into chondrocytic phenotype in 3D aggregate culture supplied with 10 ng/ml TGF- $\beta$ 1 and chondrogenic medium (CHG) which contains DMEM, 1%/vol PSF, 1%/vol ITS+ premix, 1%/vol non-essential amino acids, 100 nM dexamethasone, 40 µg/ml L-proline, 50 µg/mL ascorbate-2-phosphate, 100 µg/mL sodium pyruvate for 11 days (Murphy, Masters, et al. 2013).

Aggregates were then digested in collagenase solution as described above to dissociate into single cells, which were seeded into agarose cast wells at 7M cells per construct to begin the self-assembling process. Two days after construct formation, they were unconfined from agarose wells and were cultured in 6 well plates until mechanical stimulation was applied. CHG was supplied to constructs and medium exchange was performed every other day. The constructs were cultured for a total of 28 days, then were assessed for tissue properties. It is important to note that 4 holes were created into the neocartilage during the self-assembling process. These holes would allow tensile loading of neocartilage in future studies. In this study, only compressive stimulation was examined, and therefore, these holes did not serve any purpose; however, to be consistent with future studies, constructs of this shape were created.

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli

10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

(DOI: 1

#### Compressive stimulation setup

At the time of stimulation, constructs were placed in the compressive stimulation chambers (Figure 1), which were custom-fabricated in the TEAM facility (UC Davis). These chambers were designed such that they could house and protect constructs in 6-well plates and stabilize compressive loads placed on individual constructs. To apply passive axial compression, tissue culture treated 6-well plates were coated with agarose at the bottom, constructs were placed in the center of the well, and a thin layer of agarose was placed on top of constructs (Figure 1). Stainless steel weights corresponding to the compressive stresses listed above were applied on top of constructs. The agarose layers allowed medium diffusion to construct. The chambers restricted the lateral movement of the stainless steel weights to ensure uniform stress across the surface of the constructs. After stimulation period, constructs were returned to regular tissue culture well plates.

#### Experiment 1: Stimulation time

To determine an appropriate stimulation time during neocartilage development in selfassembling process, constructs were stimulated during ECM synthesis phase, maturation phase, or both phases of the self-assembling process. Previously, compressive magnitude of up to 5 g, which is calculated to be equivalent to approximately 3.3 kPa, was examined in engineered fibrocartilage and shown to have positive effects on neocartilage properties (MacBarb et al. 2014). Therefore, 3.3 kPa and higher magnitudes were examined in the current study. Six treatment groups were included examining two different stimulation times. One group received 3.3 kPa compressive stress stimulation only during the synthesis phase on days 10-14 continuously (denoted as "S(3.3)"). One group that received 3.3 kPa compressive stress stimulation only during the maturation phase on days 18-22 continuously (denoted as "M(3.3)"). One group received 3.3 kPa compressive stress stimulation both times (denoted as "S(3.3)M(3.3)"). The last two groups were included to examine if higher stress is necessary in the maturation phase. Therefore, these groups received 3.3 kPa during the synthesis phase and 5.0 kPa or 6.7 kPa during the maturation phase (denoted as "S(3.3)M(5)" and "S(3.3)M(6.7)", respectively). The control group did not receive any stimulation (denoted "ctrl"). From these six treatments, the treatment that led to the highest improvements in neocartilage mechanical and biochemical properties was determined as the best treatment to be carried forward to Phase 2.

#### Experiment 2: Stimulation magnitude

Low magnitude compressive stress up to 3.3 kPa was examined in engineered fibrocartilage (MacBarb et al. 2014), and this phase utilized 3.3 kPa as a starting point and

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli

Using costal

This artic

**Tissue Engineering** 

(DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

examined if 3.3 kPa or higher magnitudes positively affect neocartilage. Thus, compressive stresses of 3.3, 5.0, and 6.7 kPa were examined and compared to the untreated control. The magnitude that was the most beneficial to neocartilage in this phase was determined based on the highest increases in neocartilage properties, as in Phase 1. The best stimulation time and magnitude were carried forward to Phase 3.

Experiment 3: bioactive and compressive stimulations

In Experiment 3 of the study, the effects of compressive stimulation and bioactive treatment were examined. Three groups were studied – untreated control, bioactive stimulation alone (BA), and bioactive and compressive stimulation together (BA+S(5)). Bioactive treatment was a combination of TGF- $\beta$ 1, cABC, and LOXL2. TGF- $\beta$ 1 was supplied at 10 ng/ml in medium during days 1-28. cABC treatment was given on day 7 for 4 hours at 1.5 units/ml. LOXL2 along with copper sulfate (1.6 µg/mL) and hydroxylysine (0.146 µg/mL) were given on days 14-28 at 0.15 µg/ml. To the neocartilage group that received both compressive and bioactive stimulation, compressive stress and stimulation time determined in previous phases were also applied.

#### Neocartilage assessment

After 4 weeks of neocartilage culture, constructs were assessed by their gross morphology, and mechanical, biochemical, and histological properties. Only the center portion of the neocartilage that received passive axial compression was tested. The regions with 4 holes were not included in testing. Compressive properties were measured by viscoelastic stress-relaxation test, as previously described. (Allen et al. 2006) Briefly, a cylindrical punch was taken from the center of neocartilage and was compressed at 10% and 20% strains after pre-conditioning at 5% strain for 15 cycles using an Instron tester (Instron Inc.). The resulting data was analyzed and fit to the viscoelastic linear solid model, as described previously. (Allen et al. 2006) Instantaneous moduli and relaxation moduli were reported from the model.

Tensile properties were measured by uniaxial tensile tests. Briefly, dog-bone shaped specimens were cut out from the neocartilage samples and was stained until failure at 1% strain rate per second by gripping in a TestResources mechanical tester (TestResources Inc.). Tensile modulus and ultimate tensile strength (UTS) were calculated and reported from the stress-strain curves.

Biochemical samples taken from a portion of neocartilage were weighed and lyophilized, and the water content of each sample was calculated by analyzing the wet weight and the dry weight of the samples. The samples were digested in 125  $\mu$ g/ml papain solution (Sigma) for 18

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli

chondrocytes

Using costal

Tissue Engineering

(DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

8

10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

(DOI: 1

hours. The amount of GAG in the sample was measured by Blyscan Glycosaminoglycan assay (Biocolor). The amount of collagen was quantified by a modified colorimetric chloramine-T hydroxyproline assay using a Sircol collagen standard (Biocolor). The amount of DNA was measured by Picogreen assay (Quant-iT Picogreen dsDNA assay kit). The measured GAG and collagen amounts in mg were normalized by the tissue wet weight in mg, and the resulting ratios in percentages were reported.

#### Statistics

Data analyses were performed using JMP 12 statistical software. All data were reported as mean  $\pm$  SD. One-way ANOVAs, followed by Tukey's post hoc tests, were performed to compare all groups, using p < 0.05 as statistical significance. Different statistical groups are indicated by different alphabetical letters.

#### Results

Experiment 1: Stimulation time window.

At 4-week assessment, constructs had slightly curved morphology, and the curvature was most pronounced in the control group. Constructs achieved flatter and more uniform morphology with passive uniaxial compression (Figure 2). Neocartilage thicknesses were 0.67±0.07 mm, 0.65±0.05 mm, 0.62±0.07 mm, 0.63±0.04 mm, 0.63±0.06 mm for S(3.3), M(3.3), S(3.3)M(3.3), S(3.3)M(5), S(3.3)M(6.7), respectively.

Examining timing of compressive stimulation showed that stimulation once either during the synthesis or maturation phases increased compressive properties compared to control. Stimulation during both phases did not provide additional benefits. All groups treated with passive axial compression increased both instantaneous and relaxation moduli significantly (Figure 3). Tensile properties trended higher in stimulated groups, however, there was no statistical significance. No statistical difference was found in GAG, collagen, and DNA contents among groups (Figure 3). It was noted, however, that GAG content in the stimulated group during synthesis trended the highest among groups. DNA contents were also similar among groups, and the number of cells per construct at 4 weeks was similar to the number of cells seeded originally, confirming that cells were retained in neocartilage and viability was maintained. Histological assessment showed that neocartilage of all groups was homogeneous with healthy cells distributed throughout the tissue. All groups stained strongly for GAG and faintly for collagen (Figure 2).

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

Tissue Engineering

Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli

Page 10 of 29

Experiment 2: Stimulation magnitude

Constructs were slightly curved, similar to Phase 1 constructs, and compressive stimulation promoted flatter morphology. Neocartilage thicknesses were 0.83±0.08 mm, 0.80±0.07 mm, 0.78±0.05 mm, and 0.75±0.06 mm for control, 3.3 kPa, 5.0 kPa, and 6.7 kPa stimulated groups respectively (Figure 4). Neocartilage was thinner with higher compressive loads; in particular, the 6.7 kPa group was significantly thinner than control.

Compressive stimulation with 3.3 kPa and 5.0 kPa significantly increased the compressive properties of neocartilage. Instantaneous and relaxation moduli of these two groups significantly increased over control. Stimulation with the 6.7 kPa magnitude did not significantly increase neocartilage compressive properties over control but increased UTS. Compressive stimulation slightly improved the GAG content of neocartilage treated with the 3.3 kPa stress, although it was not statistically significant compared to control. GAG contents of the 5.0 kPa and 6.7 kPa groups were similar to that of control. Collagen and DNA contents were similar across all groups (Figure 5). Histological assessment showed that neocartilage of all groups was homogeneous and had similar morphology. All groups stained strongly for GAG and weakly for collagen (Figure 4).

Experiment 3: Stimulation in combination with bioactive stimuli

Neocartilage thicknesses were 0.59±0.02 mm, 0.55±0.05 mm, and 0.52±0.05 mm for control, BA, and BA+S(5), respectively. Bioactive treatment significantly increased the tensile stiffness and UTS of neocartilage compared to control, while it did not significantly change the compressive properties (Figure 7). Neocartilage that received compressive stimulation and BA treatment (BA+S(5)) also significantly increased in tensile properties compared to control; it also increased in compressive relaxation modulus compared to BA treatment alone. The instantaneous modulus also trended higher with this combination treatment but did not significantly increase over control.

Collagen contents increased significantly in the BA and BA+S(5) groups compared to control (Figure 7). Histological assessment also showed that these two groups stained more strongly for collagen than control (Figure 6). GAG and DNA contents did not change with treatment. Staining with Saf-O showed that BA group stained more weakly than control and BA+S(5) groups.

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli

Using costal

10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

(DOI: 1

Discussion

Developing engineered neocartilage with robust mechanical properties is imperative for the survival of the implant in vivo and for immediate load bearing upon implantation. With the goal of creating neocartilage from costal chondrocytes to offer flexibility in cell source, as well as to generate neocartilage with appropriate mechanical properties, this study examined the effects of passive axial compression and bioactive stimulation on neocartilage in three experiments. In experiment 1, a suitable stimulation time window for passive axial compression was determined in self-assembled neocartilage during two different neocartilage development phases. The hypothesis that compressive stimulation during the ECM synthesis or maturation phase would yield enhanced mechanical properties was supported by increases in instantaneous modulus by 92% and 87% in the synthesis and maturation phases, respectively, compared to control. Experiment 2 was performed to determine a compressive stress magnitude that led to the greatest improvement in mechanical properties. The hypothesis that neocartilage properties would increase with increasing stimulation magnitude up to a specific threshold was supported by the identification of a peak at 5.0 kPa in the stimulation magnitude; properties diminished above 5.0 kPa of compressive stress. Experiment 3 examined the use of compressive stimulation and bioactive stimuli together to enhance neocartilage compressive and tensile properties. The hypothesis that compressive stimulation would improve neocartilage compressive properties, while bioactive stimulation would improve tensile properties simultaneously was supported by a 92% increase in compressive properties and a 262% increase in UTS. Through these experiments, robust neocartilage with enhanced compressive and tensile properties was created from costal chondrocytes. This study represents one of the first studies to examine mechanical stimulation on neocartilage engineered from a costal cartilage source. For the first time, costal chondrocytes have been shown to respond to passive axial compression by synthesizing mechanically robust tissue, paving the way for this abundant, less invasive cell source to be used in articular cartilage repair.

The ECM synthesis phase and maturation phase were both effective stimulation periods; however, stimulation in both phases did not yield added benefits. Instantaneous modulus values increased by 92% and 87%, and relaxation moduli increased by 92% and 68%, due to passive axial compression during only the S or M phases, respectively, compared to untreated control (Figure 3). When neocartilage was stimulated in both phases, the instantaneous and relaxation modulus values increased by 92% and 63%, over untreated control, and, therefore, treatment during both phases was not better than a one-time treatment in either phase. A reason why stimulation during both phases did not lead to further improvements may be that the neocartilage became

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli

ğ

chondrocytes

Using costal

**Tissue Engineering** 

10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

(DOI: 1

12

accustomed to the mechanical stimulus upon its first round of application, i.e., the cells became saturated by the stimulus during the S phase. If this was the case, it was thought that increasing the magnitude of stimulus during the M phase would elicit a measurable effect. However, the S(3.3)M(5) and S(3.3)M(6.7) groups, both of which received higher magnitudes in the M phase, did not yield added benefits. The lack of further improvement, therefore, was unlikely due to insufficient applied stress magnitude. While it is possible that a compressive magnitude beyond the 6.7 kPa examined here during the M phase might elicit a positive response, this, too, is unlikely. Groups that underwent treatment twice showed a decreasing trend in relaxation modulus and Young's modulus, compared to one-time treatment. Collectively, these data indicate that passive axial compression is an effective method to improve compressive properties of scaffold-free neocartilage derived from costal chondrocytes, and that one-time stimulation is sufficient to yield significant improvements in properties.

Both synthesis and maturation phases were suitable stimulation time windows; however, improvements in neocartilage properties trended higher when the stimulus was applied during the S rather than the M phase. Specifically, the relaxation modulus, GAG/WW, Young's modulus, and UTS all trended higher when neocartilage was treated in the S phase than in the M phase. This finding is similar to the results found in the study performed previously (Elder et al. 2009) because both studies showed that stimulation during days 10-14 was better than stimulation at a later time. Of the three time windows selected between day 6 and day 18, treatment during days 10-14 was better than treatment during days 6-10 or during days 14-18. Thus, both of these studies point to the same time window to be the most suitable, despite the differences in the study design such as cell source used (primary articular chondrocytes vs. passaged costal chondrocytes) and mode of mechanical stimulation (hydrostatic pressure vs. compression). A study in another scaffold-free cartilage engineering system also showed that compressive stimulation was more effective when applied as early as day 1 (Waldman et al. 2006). Constructs became unresponsive to stimulation when a similar stimulus was applied later in the culture (Waldman et al. 2006). When chondrocytes undergo the self-assembling process, ECM synthesis is most prevalent during days 10-14, whereas maturation is more prevalent during days 18-22 (Ofek et al. 2008). It is likely that the newly laid ECM in the synthesis phase was better able to adapt to the mechanical environment than the more mature ECM, thus stimulation was more effective in the S phase than in the M phase. Due to the trend that treatment in the S phase was better than in the M phase, one-time compressive stimulation during the S phase was selected to be carried forward to subsequent experiments in this study.

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli

Using costal

(DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

Page 13 of 29

13

The magnitude of stress applied in compressive stimulation was also a key parameter to achieve beneficial effects. In examining low magnitudes between 3.3 kPa and 6.7 kPa, it was found that 3.3 and 5.0 kPa were the most effective compressive stresses for costal chondrocyte-derived neocartilage, exhibiting 60% and 75% improvement, respectively, in the instantaneous modulus, compared to control. Similar trends were observed in the relaxation modulus (Figure 5). Interestingly, tensile properties of neocartilage were observed to have an upward trend with increasing compressive stimulation magnitude. Young's moduli increased by 21%, 21%, and 30%, whereas UTS increased by 19%, 25%, and 27% respectively with 3.3, 5.0, and 6.7 kPa stimulation magnitudes. The ECM biochemical content did not change significantly in concordance with the mechanical properties although a 20% increase in GAG/WW was observed in the group stimulated with 3.3 kPa. It is speculated that the ECM organization may have been altered by the compression leading to changes in the mechanical properties. A peak in beneficial magnitude was observed at 5.0 kPa, as increasing to 6.7 kPa was no longer beneficial to neocartilage. High compressive stresses have been shown to cause detrimental effects on cartilage (Loening et al. 2000; Kim et al. 1994; Davisson et al. 2002). Most studies commonly apply stress magnitudes from 0.5 MPa to 10 MPa (Natenstedt et al. 2015), which is substantially higher than the magnitude used in this study. In the self-assembling system, compressive magnitude much higher than 6.7 kPa may cause detrimental effects to neocartilage. It is crucial that the magnitude of applied stress is examined in tissue culture systems employing mechanical stimulation to improve neocartilage properties.

The current study revealed that neocartilage derived from passaged costal chondrocytes responds differentially to the various magnitudes of mechanical stimulus. Previously, fibrocartilage engineered from primary articular chondrocytes and fibrochondrocytes was shown to respond differently based on magnitude of passive axial compression (MacBarb et al. 2013). These fibrocartilage constructs displayed up to a 96% increase in compressive properties, and, in the current study, neocartilage from passaged costal chondrocytes displayed up to a 92% increase in compressive properties. The similarity indicates that that passaged costal chondrocytes respond to mechanical stimulus to the same extent as primary articular chondrocytes. Although *in vitro* expansion of chondrocytes typically leads to dedifferentiation, causing changes in gene expression and chondrocytes' response to mechanical environment (Das et al. 2008), the aggregate culture process employed in the current study sufficiently redifferentiated expanded cells to chondrocytes. Therefore, it is an exciting finding to note that costal chondrocytes, even after expansion, still displayed beneficial responses to mechanical stimulus. It opens new doors for manipulating

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli

Using costal

Tissue Engineering

10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

(DOI: 1

14

costochondral neocartilage properties via other types of mechanical stimuli, such as tension and perfusion.

Treatment with bioactive stimuli, containing TGF-B1, cABC, and LOXL2, significantly enhanced neocartilage tensile properties, whereas the addition of compressive stimulation significantly increased neocartilage relaxation modulus. The BA treatment increased neocartilage Young's modulus by 152% and UTS increased by 262%, compared to untreated control. When both compressive stimulation and bioactive stimuli were applied to neocartilage, the Young's modulus increased by 165% and UTS by 326% compared to untreated control. Associated with these changes in tensile properties, collagen/WW increased by 61% compared to untreated control. These mechanical and biochemical improvements tremendously contribute toward the robustness of neocartilage, which is critical for implant survival and load bearing in vivo. The bioactive treatment has been shown to have similar improvements in engineered fibrocartilage (Makris et al. 2014) and porcine costal neocartilage (Murphy et al. 2015). This is the first time the treatment was tested and reported in ovine costal derived neocartilage, and the results further demonstrate the versatility and success of the treatment in neocartilage derived from different cell sources. The bioactive stimulation was not beneficial for compressive properties, however, as also seen previously (Makris et al. 2014). When compression stimulation was applied in combination with the BA treatment, compressive properties improved as the relaxation modulus significantly increased 39% above that with bioactive stimulation alone (Figure 7). Therefore, bioactive stimuli were required to improve neocartilage tensile properties and compressive stimulation was required to improve the compressive properties; thus, mechanical and bioactive stimuli complemented each other, ensuing in robust mechanical integrity of costal chondrocyte-derived neocartilage.

Using costal cartilage presents advantages over using articular cartilage by eliminating the need to harvest cells from joints, thus sparing the joints from further damage. For treating larger defects in more severely injured joints, it is even more crucial to preserve as much healthy tissue as possible. Thus, being able to tissue engineer mechanically robust neocartilage implants from alternative autologous cell sources would inarguably extend the clinical applicability of cartilage tissue engineering technologies. This study is one of the first studies to examine mechanical stimulation on scaffold-free neocartilage derived from passaged costal chondrocytes. It demonstrated that neocartilage derived from costal chondrocytes is responsive to mechanical stimulation and that neocartilage properties can be improved by the use of passive axial compression. Through this stimulation, neocartilage matrix was modified to result in enhanced

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli

Using costal

Tissue Engineering

10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

(DOI: 1

mechanical properties. Identifying stimulation magnitude and critical time windows are key in benefiting from a simple system of passive axial compression. By determining when neocartilage is most amenable to these mechanical stimuli, such as when ECM synthesis is active, the effectiveness of the treatment can also be maximized. Other types of mechanical stimuli, such as tension, shear, and perfusion, should be examined on costal chondrocyte derived neocartilage in the future. These findings and techniques should also be translated to human costal chondrocytederived neocartilage to realize these cells' true potential in clinical applications. This study contributes to the progression of tissue engineering technology to create mechanically robust neocartilage from an alternative autologous cell source, with the goal of widening treatment options for articular cartilage defects.

Acknowledgement:

This work was supported by NIH R01AR067821.

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli (DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

Tissue Engineering

#### **Uncategorized References**

- Allen, K. D., and K. A. Athanasiou. 2006. 'Viscoelastic characterization of the porcine temporomandibular joint disc under unconfined compression', J Biomech, 39: 312-22.
- Appelman, T. P., J. Mizrahi, J. H. Elisseeff, and D. Seliktar. 2011. 'The influence of biological motifs and dynamic mechanical stimulation in hydrogel scaffold systems on the phenotype of chondrocytes', Biomaterials, 32: 1508-16.
- Athanasiou, K. A., R. Eswaramoorthy, P. Hadidi, and J. C. Hu. 2013. 'Self-organization and the self-assembling process in tissue engineering', Annu Rev Biomed Eng, 15: 115-36.
- Buschmann, M. D., Y. A. Gluzband, A. J. Grodzinsky, and E. B. Hunziker. 1995. 'Mechanical compression modulates matrix biosynthesis in chondrocyte/agarose culture', J Cell Sci, 108 (Pt 4): 1497-508.
- Connelly, J. T., E. J. Vanderploeg, and M. E. Levenston. 2004. 'The influence of cyclic tension amplitude on chondrocyte matrix synthesis: experimental and finite element analyses', Biorheology, 41: 377-87.
- Cruz, I. B., A. L. Severo, V. F. Azzolin, L. F. Garcia, A. Kuhn, and O. Lech. 2017. 'Regenerative potential of the cartilaginous tissue in mesenchymal stem cells: update, limitations, and challenges', Rev Bras Ortop, 52: 2-10.
- Das, R. H., H. Jahr, J. A. Verhaar, J. C. van der Linden, G. J. van Osch, and H. Weinans. 2008. 'In vitro expansion affects the response of chondrocytes to mechanical stimulation', Osteoarthritis Cartilage, 16: 385-91.

Davisson, T., S. Kunig, A. Chen, R. Sah, and A. Ratcliffe. 2002. 'Static and dynamic compression modulate matrix metabolism in tissue engineered cartilage', J Orthop Res, 20: 842-8.

Elder, B. D., and K. A. Athanasiou. 2009. 'Effects of temporal hydrostatic pressure on tissueengineered bovine articular cartilage constructs', Tissue Eng Part A, 15: 1151-8.

Fellows, C. R., C. Matta, R. Zakany, I. M. Khan, and A. Mobasheri. 2016. 'Adipose, Bone Marrow and Synovial Joint-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells for Cartilage Repair', Front Genet, 7: 213.

Grodzinsky, A. J., M. E. Levenston, M. Jin, and E. H. Frank. 2000. 'Cartilage tissue

stimuli

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and

Using costal

This artic

(DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

bioactive stimuli

The final published version may differ from this proof.

10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

(DOI: 1

bioactive stimuli

Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioact This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. remodeling in response to mechanical forces', Annu Rev Biomed Eng, 2: 691-713.

Huang, B. J., J. C. Hu, and K. A. Athanasiou. 2016. 'Cell-based tissue engineering strategies used in the clinical repair of articular cartilage', *Biomaterials*, 98: 1-22.

- Hunter, C. J., J. K. Mouw, and M. E. Levenston. 2004. 'Dynamic compression of chondrocyte-seeded fibrin gels: effects on matrix accumulation and mechanical stiffness', *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*, 12: 117-30.
- Karagoz, H., F. Eren, C. Sever, E. Ulkur, C. Acikel, B. Celikoz, and B. K. Aysal. 2012.
  'Mandibular reconstruction after hemimandibulectomy', *J Craniofac Surg*, 23: 1373-4.
- Kim, Y. J., R. L. Sah, A. J. Grodzinsky, A. H. Plaas, and J. D. Sandy. 1994. 'Mechanical regulation of cartilage biosynthetic behavior: physical stimuli', Arch Biochem Biophys, 311: 1-12.
- Lee, J., E. Lee, H. Y. Kim, and Y. Son. 2007. 'Comparison of articular cartilage with costal cartilage in initial cell yield, degree of dedifferentiation during expansion and redifferentiation capacity', *Biotechnol Appl Biochem*, 48: 149-58.
- Loening, A. M., I. E. James, M. E. Levenston, A. M. Badger, E. H. Frank, B. Kurz, M. E. Nuttall,
   H. H. Hung, S. M. Blake, A. J. Grodzinsky, and M. W. Lark. 2000. 'Injurious mechanical compression of bovine articular cartilage induces chondrocyte apoptosis', *Arch Biochem Biophys*, 381: 205-12.
- MacBarb, R. F., A. L. Chen, J. C. Hu, and K. A. Athanasiou. 2013. 'Engineering functional anisotropy in fibrocartilage neotissues', *Biomaterials*, 34: 9980-9.
- MacBarb, R. F., N. K. Paschos, R. Abeug, E. A. Makris, J. C. Hu, and K. A. Athanasiou. 2014. 'Passive Strain-Induced Matrix Synthesis and Organization in Shape-Specific, Cartilaginous Neotissues', *Tissue Eng Part A*.
- Makris, E. A., R. F. MacBarb, N. K. Paschos, J. C. Hu, and K. A. Athanasiou. 2014. 'Combined use of chondroitinase-ABC, TGF-beta1, and collagen crosslinking agent lysyl oxidase to engineer functional neotissues for fibrocartilage repair', *Biomaterials*, 35: 6787-96.
- Murphy, M. K., B. Arzi, S. M. Prouty, J. C. Hu, and K. A. Athanasiou. 2015. 'Neocartilage integration in temporomandibular joint discs: physical and enzymatic methods', *J R Soc Interface*, 12.

Tissue Engineering

- 18
- Murphy, M. K., G. D. DuRaine, A. Reddi, J. C. Hu, and K. A. Athanasiou. 2013. 'Inducing articular cartilage phenotype in costochondral cells', *Arthritis Res Ther*, 15: R214.
- Murphy, M. K., D. J. Huey, A. J. Reimer, J. C. Hu, and K. A. Athanasiou. 2013. 'Enhancing post-expansion chondrogenic potential of costochondral cells in self-assembled neocartilage', *PLoS One*, 8: e56983.
- Murphy, M. K., T. E. Masters, J. C. Hu, and K. A. Athanasiou. 2013. 'Engineering a Fibrocartilage Spectrum Through Modulation of Aggregate Redifferentiation', *Cell Transplant*.
- Natenstedt, J., A. C. Kok, J. Dankelman, and G. J. Tuijthof. 2015. 'What quantitative mechanical loading stimulates in vitro cultivation best?', *J Exp Orthop*, 2: 15.
- Neu, C. P., A. H. Reddi, K. Komvopoulos, T. M. Schmid, and P. E. Di Cesare. 2010. 'Increased friction coefficient and superficial zone protein expression in patients with advanced osteoarthritis', *Arthritis Rheum*, 62: 2680-7.
- Ng, K. W., R. L. Mauck, C. C. Wang, T. A. Kelly, M. M. Ho, F. H. Chen, G. A. Ateshian, and C. T. Hung. 2009. 'Duty Cycle of Deformational Loading Influences the Growth of Engineered Articular Cartilage', *Cell Mol Bioeng*, 2: 386-94.
- Nicodemus, G. D., and S. J. Bryant. 2010. 'Mechanical loading regimes affect the anabolic and catabolic activities by chondrocytes encapsulated in PEG hydrogels', *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*, 18: 126-37.
- Ofek, G., C. M. Revell, J. C. Hu, D. D. Allison, K. J. Grande-Allen, and K. A. Athanasiou. 2008. 'Matrix development in self-assembly of articular cartilage', *PLoS One*, 3: e2795.
- Sauerland, K., R. X. Raiss, and J. Steinmeyer. 2003. 'Proteoglycan metabolism and viability of articular cartilage explants as modulated by the frequency of intermittent loading', *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*, 11: 343-50.
- Somoza, R. A., J. F. Welter, D. Correa, and A. I. Caplan. 2014. 'Chondrogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells: challenges and unfulfilled expectations', *Tissue Eng Part B Rev*, 20: 596-608.
- Tanimoto, K., T. Kamiya, Y. Tanne, R. Kunimatsu, T. Mitsuyoshi, E. Tanaka, and K. Tanne. 2011. 'Superficial zone protein affects boundary lubrication on the surface of mandibular condylar cartilage', *Cell Tissue Res*, 344: 333-40.

Vanderploeg, E. J., S. M. Imler, K. R. Brodkin, A. J. Garcia, and M. E. Levenston. 2004.

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and

Using costal

10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

(DOI: 1

bioactive stimuli

'Oscillatory tension differentially modulates matrix metabolism and cytoskeletal organization in chondrocytes and fibrochondrocytes', J Biomech, 37: 1941-52. Waldman, S.D., D.C. Couto, M.D. Grynpas, R.M. Pilliar, and R. Kandel. 2006. 'A single application of cyclic loading can accelerate matrix deposition and enhance the properties of tissue engineered cartilage', Osteoarthritis and Cartilage, 14. Wee, J. H., M. H. Park, S. Oh, and H. R. Jin. 2015. 'Complications associated with autologous rib cartilage use in rhinoplasty: a meta-analysis', JAMA Facial Plast Surg, 17: 49-55. Correspondence and reprint requests should be addressed to: K. A. Athanasiou Tel.: (530) 754-6645

Fax: (530) 754-5739

athanasiou@ucdavis.edu

Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of California, Davis, One Shields Ave, Davis, CA 95616, USA

Tissue Engineering

Tissue Engineering Part A Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli (doi: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136) This article has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof. Downloaded by Uc Irvine Libraries University of California Irvine from online.liebertpub.com at 07/31/17. For personal use only.

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof. (DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136) Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli

19

# Tissue Engineering

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof. Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli (DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

**Figure captions** 

well tissue culture plates.



for cell seeding. B) Neocartilage seen from the bottom of the well plate. The dotted rectangle

stimulation chambers hold the weights directly on top of neocartilage, which were placed in a 6-

indicates the area of neocartilage that received passive axial compression. C) Compressive



Figure 2: Representative gross morphology and histology of neocartilage engineered to examine the effects of the compressive stimulation time window. Morphology of neocartilage was generally similar among groups; however, the untreated control displayed wavy or curved morphology, whereas all neocartilage treated with passive axial compressive stimulation attained flat and uniform morphology. H&E staining showed highly cellular but homogeneous tissue in all groups. Safranin-O staining indicated that neocartilage was rich in GAG, and picrosirius staining showed that neocartilage was low in collagen. The scale bars represent 0.5 mm.

0



Tissue Engineering Part A Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli (doi: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136) This article has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof. Downloaded by Uc Irvine Libraries University of California Irvine from online.liebertpub.com at 07/31/17. For personal use only.

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli (DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

Tissue Engineering

Figure 3: Mechanical and biochemical properties of neocartilage engineered to examine the effects of the compressive stimulation time window. The groups examined are indicated by S and M for synthesis phase and maturation phase, respectively, and the magnitudes of stress in kPa are shown in parentheses. One-way ANOVAs were performed using p < 0.05 as statistical significance; letters above the bars show statistical significance among groups. All groups treated with passive axial compressive stimulation significantly increased in instantaneous modulus and relaxation modulus (A, B) . S(3.3) trended higher than all other groups in the relaxation modulus (B). Tensile properties (C, D) and biochemical content were not affected significantly by stimulation (E, F, G, H).

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli (DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

23



Figure 4: Representative gross morphology and histology of neocartilage engineered to examine the effects of compressive magnitude. Untreated control neocartilage displayed wavy or curved morphology, similar to that seen in experiment 1, whereas all neocartilage constructs treated with passive axial compressive stimulation were flat. H&E staining showed highly cellular, homogeneous tissue, and no differences were found among groups. Neocartilage stained strongly for GAG and weakly for collagen. The scale bars represent 0.5 mm.

24



This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof. Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli (DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

Page 25 of 29



Figure 5: Mechanical and biochemical properties of neocartilage engineered to examine the effects of compressive magnitude. Neocartilage was stimulated in the synthesis (S) phase. The applied magnitudes of stress in kPa are shown in parentheses. One-way ANOVAs were performed using p < 0.05 as statistical significance; letters above the bars show statistical significance among groups. Both groups treated with 3.3 kPa and 5 kPa were significantly higher in the instantaneous and relaxation moduli, compared to control (A, B). On the other hand, the group treated with 6.7 kPa

Tissue Engineering Part A Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli (doi: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136) This article has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof. Downloaded by Uc Irvine Libraries University of California Irvine from online.liebertpub.com at 07/31/17. For personal use only.

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof.

**Tissue Engineering** 

Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli

(DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

Tissue Engineering Part A Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli (doi: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136) This article has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof. Downloaded by Uc Irvine Libraries University of California Irvine from online.liebertpub.com at 07/31/17. For personal use only.

Tissue Engineering

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof. Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli (DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

26

did not significantly improve in these properties compared to control (A, B). Tensile properties trended higher in the stimulated groups, compared to control, and UTS of S(6.7) was significantly higher than control (C, D). Biochemical properties did not change with stimulation (E, F, G, H).

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof. Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli (DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136) Tissue Engineering



Figure 6: Representative gross morphology and histology of neocartilage engineered to examine the interaction of bioactive (BA) and compressive (S(5)) stimulation. Flat morphology was observed with compressive stimulation. H&E staining showed that cellularity decreased in groups treated with BA, reaching a density similar to native cartilage. Staining for collagen was stronger in groups treated with BA. Staining for GAG was weak in the BA group, whereas the staining was stronger for the BA+S(5) and control groups. The scale bars represent 0.5 mm.

28



Tissue Engineering

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof. Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli (DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)



Figure 7: Mechanical and biochemical properties of neocartilage engineered to examine the interaction of bioactive (BA) and compressive (S(5)) stimulation. One-way ANOVAs were performed using p < 0.05 as statistical significance; letters above the bars show statistical significance among groups. The instantaneous modulus trended higher in the BA and BA+S(5) groups, compared to control (A). The relaxation modulus trended lower in the BA group, but when compressive stimulation was added, the modulus significantly increased (B). Both the Young's modulus and UTS increased significantly in the BA and BA+S(5) groups, compared to control (C, D). GAG content did

Tissue Engineering Part A Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli (doi: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136) This article has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof. Downloaded by Uc Irvine Libraries University of California Irvine from online.liebertpub.com at 07/31/17. For personal use only.

This paper has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication, but has yet to undergo copyediting and proof correction. The final published version may differ from this proof. Tissue Engineering Using costal chondrocytes to engineer articular cartilage with applications of passive axial compression and bioactive stimuli (DOI: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2017.0136)

not change with stimulation (F, H); however, collagen content increased significantly in the BA and BA+S(5) groups (E, G).