## **UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works**

## **Title**

Seasonal changes in fish assemblage structure at a shallow seamount in the Gulf of California

**Permalink** <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0fk4n51z>

**Journal** PeerJ, 4(9)

**ISSN** 2167-8359

## **Authors**

Jorgensen, Salvador J Klimley, A Peter Muhlia-Melo, Arturo [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0fk4n51z#author)

**Publication Date** 2016

## **DOI**

10.7717/peerj.2357

Peer reviewed

# Peer I

Seasonal changes in fish assemblage structure at a shallow seamount in the Gulf of California

Salvador J. Jorgensen<sup>1,2</sup>, A. Peter Klimley<sup>2</sup>, Arturo Muhlia-Melo<sup>3</sup> and Steven G. Morgan<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Conservation Research Department, Monterey Bay Aquarium, Monterey, California, United States

 $2$  Department of Wildlife, Fish, & Conservation Biology, University of California, Davis, California, United States

<sup>3</sup> Fisheries Ecology Program, Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste S. C., La Paz, Baja California Sur, Mexico

<sup>4</sup> Bodega Marine Lab, University of California, Davis, Bodega Bay, California, United States

## ABSTRACT

Seamounts have generally been identified as locations that can promote elevated productivity, biomass and predator biodiversity. These properties attract seamountassociated fisheries where elevated harvests can be obtained relative to surrounding areas. There exists large variation in the geological and oceanographic environment among the thousands of locations that fall within the broad definition of seamount. Global seamount surveys have revealed that not all seamounts are hotspots of biodiversity, and there remains a strong need to understand the mechanisms that underlie variation in species richness observed. We examined the process of fish species assembly at El Bajo Espiritu Santo (EBES) seamount in the Gulf of California over a five-year study period. To effectively quantify the relative abundance of fastmoving and schooling fishes in a 'blue water' habitat, we developed a simplified underwater visual census (UVC) methodology and analysis framework suitable for this setting and applicable to future studies in similar environments. We found correlations between seasonally changing community structure and variability in oceanographic conditions. Individual species responses to thermal habitat at EBES revealed three distinct assemblages, a 'fall assemblage' tracking warmer overall temperature, a 'spring assemblage' correlated with cooler temperature, and a 'yearround assemblage' with no significant response to temperature. Species richness was greatest in spring, when cool and warm water masses stratified the water column and a greater number of species from all three assemblages co-occurred. We discuss our findings in the context of potential mechanisms that could account for predator biodiversity at shallow seamounts.

**Subjects** Biodiversity, Ecology, Marine Biology

Keywords Seamount, Marine ecology, Biodiversity, Underwater visual census, Marine biology, Community ecology, Oceanography, Fish assemblage, Gulf of California, Sea of Cortez

#### INTRODUCTION

Seamounts have long been identified as important ocean habitats with elevated predator diversity ([Hubbs, 1959](#page-20-0); [Morato et al., 2010a](#page-21-0)). Multi-species aggregations of fishes are

Submitted 5 April 2016 Accepted 22 July 2016 Published 6 September 2016

Corresponding author Salvador J. Jorgensen, [sjorgensen@mbayaq.org](mailto:sjorgensen@�mbayaq.�org)

[Academic editor](https://peerj.com/academic-boards/editors/) [Robert Toonen](https://peerj.com/academic-boards/editors/)

[Additional Information and](#page-18-0) [Declarations can be found on](#page-18-0) [page 18](#page-18-0)

DOI [10.7717/peerj.2357](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2357)

Copyright

2016 Jorgensen et al. [Distributed under](http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0](http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

commonly reported at shallow seamounts ([Klimley & Butler, 1988](#page-20-0); [Rogers, 1994](#page-21-0); [Morato &](#page-21-0) [Clark, 2007](#page-21-0)). These aggregations are targeted by numerous fisheries and data suggest that catch rates for many fish species are higher near some seamounts relative to surrounding habitats ([Rogers, 1994](#page-21-0); [Genin, 2004](#page-19-0); [Morato et al., 2008](#page-20-0)). Shallow seamounts consist of relatively shallow benthic habitat, within the euphotic zone, surrounded by adjacent deep-ocean ([Lueck & Mudge, 1997](#page-20-0); Trasviña-Castro et al., 2003; [Clark et al., 2010](#page-19-0); [Staudigel et al., 2010](#page-21-0)). Thus, fishes aggregating at these sites are demersal and reefassociated, as well as pelagic species common in epipelagic environments (Holland  $\phi$ [Grubbs, 2007](#page-19-0); [Litvinov, 2007](#page-20-0); [Morato & Clark, 2007](#page-21-0); [Morato et al., 2008](#page-20-0)). However, seamounts are highly variable in their geologic and oceanographic characteristics, and not all seamounts have fish aggregating properties ([Kvile et al., 2014](#page-20-0)). Among the potential mechanisms and drivers, examining the oceanographic conditions promoting seamount productivity, predator aggregation, and species richness remains an important research gap ([Clark et al., 2012](#page-19-0); [McClain & Lundsten, 2015](#page-20-0); [Morato et al., 2015](#page-21-0)). Tracking seamount community assembly over time in relation to natural oceanographic variability can provide unique insights into seamount ecology.

The mechanisms for why fishes sometimes occur in higher densities at shallow seamounts fall into two general categories. First, some seamounts may provide elevated foraging opportunities. Trophic subsidies likely result as seamounts generate conditions such as increased vertical nutrient fluxes and plankton retention that increase productivity and fuel higher trophic levels ([Lueck & Mudge, 1997](#page-20-0); [Genin, 2004](#page-19-0)). Second, seamounts may provide spatial reference points or refugia for migratory species ([Klimley, 1993](#page-20-0); Fréon & Dagorn, 2000). However, the processes that determine the composition of fish species and elevated predator richness have received comparatively little attention, and remain uncertain ([McClain, 2007](#page-20-0); [Morato et al., 2010a](#page-21-0)).

One hypothesis for increased fish species richness at seamounts is the enhanced availability of limiting trophic resources (*[Worm, Lotze & Myers, 2003](#page-21-0); [McClain, 2007](#page-20-0)*; [Morato et al., 2010a](#page-21-0)). This idea is essentially an extension of the 'species-energy' hypothesis ([Wright, 1983](#page-21-0)), which predicts that the diversity of one trophic level is determined by the amount of energy available from the level below. If elevated forage availability at seamounts supports a greater number of individuals and, in turn, species richness, then food should be the primary resource of interest for fishes that visit seamounts, and more visitors (species) should occur when food supply is elevated.

An alternative hypothesis is that seamounts comprise diverse and heterogeneous habitats, which provide a variety of resources and environmental conditions suitable for a range of fish species and life history functions. The 'habitat heterogeneity' hypothesis is a long-standing tenet of terrestrial ecology (e.g. MacArthur  $\&$  Wilson, 1967; [Tews et al.,](#page-21-0) [2004](#page-21-0)) whereby structurally complex habitats may provide more ways of exploiting environmental resources and thereby increase species diversity. Under this hypothesis, more species are predicted to co-occur when habitat is more complex, or when the breadth of resources exploited increases.

To explore processes of seamount community assembly and variation in fish species richness we tracked the relative abundance of conspicuous shallow seamount-associated fish species at El Bajo Espiritu Santo (EBES) seamount over a five-year period. To overcome the difficulty in quantifying relative abundance for fast-moving and schooling fishes in a 'blue water' habitat, we developed a simplified underwater visual census (UVC) methodology and analysis framework suitable for this setting and applicable to future studies in similar environments. We compared the results of UVCs and experimental fishing surveys over time with oceanographic parameters to determine how changes in seamount community structure correlated with natural environmental variability. We discuss the results in the context of two hypotheses, 'species-energy' and 'habitat heterogeneity' as they relate to seamounts as predator diversity hotspots.

## **METHODS**

#### Study area

EBES is located in the lower Gulf of California (24°42'N,  $110^{\circ}18'W$ ) 56 km north of La Paz [\(Fig. 1A](#page-4-0)). The summit of the seamount reaches a minimum depth of 18 m and drops off to between approximately 500 and 1,000 m on all sides (for detailed bathymetric view see Fig. 1 in [Klimley, 1993](#page-20-0)). The upper part of the seamount, within 30 m of the surface, forms a broad ridge that is approximately 500 m long and 100 m wide. Numerous demersal, reef-associated and pelagic fish species inhabited the water column between this ridge and the water surface, and this seamount-associated community was the focus our study.

#### Underwater visual censuses

We conducted UVCs at EBES from 1999 to 2004 by measuring encounter rates for individuals of 27 conspicuous predator and prey fish species [\(Table 1](#page-5-0)) along transects using SCUBA. Divers recorded the identity and number of individuals of each target species encountered on a waterproof slate. Data were consistently collected by the same few divers throughout the study. Transects were initiated at random starting points near one end of the seamount ridge and proceeded on a compass bearing along its length in either a northwesterly or southeasterly direction. If currents were too strong to swim against, the census was initiated at a random starting point near the up-current end of the ridge, allowing divers to drift with the current. Censuses proceeded for 40 min while divers swam toward a constant compass bearing at a relatively constant speed of approximately 0.2  $\mathrm{ms}^{-1}.$  In order to sample across all depths between 0 and 30 m while maintaining safe SCUBA protocols, divers began sampling immediately upon leaving the surface while gradually descending. Once the maximum depth was reached (25–30 m), divers began a very gradual ascent with a goal of reaching the surface at the 40 min mark. Fish were only counted if they appeared within 5 m of the observer in any direction forward of a plane perpendicular to the swimming direction. Visibility was estimated by divers from the difference between the depth of the seamount substrate and the depth at which this substrate first became visible as the diver descended toward it. Visibility was measured only when a light patch of sand near the seamount summit (20 m) was not visible from the boat at the surface. During censuses, visibility ranged from  $7$  to  $> 20$  m.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

AVHRR sea surface temperature (SST). Images from (A) February 4, 2004, and (B) August 2, 2003 recorded, respectively during seasonal high and low peaks of the annual temperature cycle. (C) Sea surface temperature (gray line) and subsurface temperature (black line), measured at a depth of 30 m (T30), at EBES seamount (EBES; see filled circle in panel A).

Among species, considerable variability occurred in the number of individuals encountered per observation. For example, green jacks (C. caballus) often occurred in large schools ( $n > 128$ ) when present at the seamount, while yellowtail (S. *lalandi*) counts seldom exceeded 12 individuals per transect. Additionally, several species were generally either absent from the seamount or present in large numbers. To minimize bias and sampling error, we organized our counts into classes or 'bins' with central values expressed on a  $\log^2$  scale, i.e. centers 0 and  $2^i$  where  $i = 0-7$ . For example,  $2^0$  denotes a count of one individual,  $2^1$  represents a class of between 1.4 and 2.8 individuals  $(2^{0.5}-2^{1.5})$  with a central value of 2, and  $2^2$  refers to a class of 2.9–5.6 individuals  $(2^{1.5}-2^{2.5})$  with a central value of four, and so forth ([Table 2\)](#page-6-0). Thus, bin width expanded exponentially with the number of individuals counted assuring that even large numbers of rapidly moving fish could be accurately estimated to be within one of the range categories. When the number of individuals was too large or difficult to count accurately during censuses, the number was estimated to the nearest central value and corresponding ordinal score. This procedure provided an objective criterion for quickly sorting raw counts into a number of ordinal categories. Additionally this procedure resulted in a logtransformation of the data, thereby increasing the 'spread' and resolution for species with lower counts (see [Tables 2](#page-6-0) and [3](#page-7-0)).



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Table 1 List of fish species observed during underwater visual censuses at Espiritu Santo Seamount (EBES).

Note: \* Environment classification from FishBase ([http://www.fishbase.org\)](http://www.fishbase.org).

#### Fishing surveys

After each census, we conducted standardized fishing surveys to detect species that were less frequently encountered while using SCUBA. We recorded captures (presence or absence) of Thunnus albacares, Corphaena hippurus, and Acanthicybium solanrdi during 60-min fishing periods. Our tackle consisted of monofilament line that was attached directly to a hook, and baited with a single herring, Harengula thrissina. Three of these 20-m long rigs were deployed simultaneously while the boat drifted over the seamount. Ethical review was not required for sampling of marine fishes in fishing surveys.

#### Environmental records

At EBES, we recorded daily water temperature at a depth of 30 m using an in situ temperature logger (Onset Corporation, Tidbit Stowaway, and Water Temp Pro).



<span id="page-6-0"></span>Table 2 The bin centers and ranges corresponding to ordinal scores for underwater visual census counts. Repeated censuses were averaged and then assigned ordinal scores according to the following log<sub>2</sub> classification scheme.

Sea surface temperature (SST14NA) was downloaded from the National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service (NESDIS) online database ([http://www.class.](http://www.class.noaa.gov) [noaa.gov](http://www.class.noaa.gov)). This SST14NA product was generated every 48 h and referenced to in situ measurements at 1 m depth. Temperature profile were obtained opportunistically from casts taken at points along SW-NE transects that bisected EBES (*Trasviña-Castro et al.*, [2003](#page-21-0)) on November 24, 1997, September 10, 1998, and June 22, 1999. Data collected  $\leq$  5 km from the seamount (n = 4–7) were partitioned into depth bins and averaged for each date. A fourth temperature/depth profile was acquired from a data archiving tag (PAT; Wildlife Computers Inc.), which was attached to a shark (Sphyrna lewini) at EBES. Minimum and maximum temperatures were recorded from seven depths (4, 16, 100, 156, 260, 316, and 368 m) as the shark swam through the water column shortly after tagging on February 2, 2004. These data were later transmitted from the tag via satellite after the tag released from the shark ([Jorgensen, Klimley & Muhlia-Melo, 2009](#page-20-0)).

#### Analysis

Censuses were conducted at irregular intervals over the duration of the study period. Each independent observation was recorded as the mean value of replicate censuses ( $n = 1-4$ ) that were completed within a calendar month. The mean number of encounters per transect for each species was then given an ordinal score following the  $log<sub>2</sub>$  classification scheme (see Table 2). To examine the influence of seasonal oceanographic processes on the relative abundance of pelagic species at EBES, we used multiple logistic regression analysis (SAS Institute Inc., JMP). Of 27 species observed at the seamount, we considered only those present in  $> 10\%$  of census observations (n = 17 species) for analysis. Ordinal score was regressed over monthly mean SST and monthly mean water temperature at 30 m depth (T30). We used the same technique for analyzing our fishing survey data, except the dependent variable was binary (presence and absence) rather than ordinal. To verify the assumption of temporal independence among observations, we performed time series analysis on the regression residuals. There was no significant autocorrelation at any lag time for any species ( $\alpha = \pm 2$  SE). T30 measurements were not collected

<span id="page-7-0"></span>PeerJ



nd, indicates no data.

during three months, October 2003, May 2004, and June 2004, and a value was estimated for these months by averaging temperatures of the respective months collected during all other years ( $n = 5-6$ ).

To further understand temporal patterns in the co-occurrence of seamount species, we plotted species abundance curves over time. This qualitative gradient analysis facilitated visualizing the seasonal separation and overlap of species at the seamount. We summarized census data from all five years into a single seasonal cycle (12 months) by taking the mean of all the census scores for each species by month, then normalizing by the highest value, so that relative abundance could be compared among species. We then fit a curve though the monthly values for each species using locally weighted smoothing via least squares quadratic polynomial fitting (Loess fit; Matlab<sup>TM</sup>, Mathworks).

To compare the number of species present at the seamount with sea temperature, the presence or absence of the 17 species was summarized by month. A species was scored as present if it was observed during that month in any year during the study. An index of species richness for each month was determined as the sum of the number of target species that were present. The temperature gradient near the surface (SST–T30) during each month was calculated as the mean difference between SST and T30 over all years. We used standard linear regression analysis to determine whether there was a significant correlation between the number of species observed and SST, T30, or near-surface temperature gradient.

## RESULTS

#### Oceanographic environment

The seamount environment was characterized by substantial variation in temperature both temporally and in terms of vertical thermal gradient. However, the annual cyclic pattern was relatively predictable. Between 1999 and 2005 the mean two-day Sea Surface Temperature at EBES was 24.4 °C and ranged from 18.3–32.5 °C ([Fig. 1B\)](#page-4-0). Sea surface temperature generally peaked near  $32 \degree C$  in August and September then decreased rapidly from October through January to a low near 19  $^{\circ}$ C in February and March. Throughout summer, STT increased steadily but gradually. Mean daily T30 was  $21.8 \degree C$  and ranged from 16.1–29.8 °C with maxima during September and October and minima from January to June. Colder deep water persisted during the spring and early summer as surface temperatures warmed, resulting in a strong and shallow thermocline that lasted through July. T30 then typically rose sharply to its yearly high peak. By November both SST and T30 typically fell rapidly as mixing deepened.

Periodic temperature profile measurements at EBES revealed the extent of seasonal changes throughout the water column. Most annual variability occurred above 200 m ([Fig. 2\)](#page-9-0). Temperature was relatively constant (∼13 °C) at 200 m depth but there were considerable differences in the depth of the mixed layer and the degree of stratification in the upper near-surface layer. Although SSTs were similar in June and November (∼27 °C) the temperature cast from June 1999 revealed strong stratification with a gradient of  $> 8$  °C in the top 30 m. This observation is consistent with the average observed difference between mean SST and T30 in June (mean = 8.4), as shown by the difference between the

<span id="page-9-0"></span>



gray line and dark line each June in [Fig. 1C.](#page-4-0) The cast from November revealed a deep mixed layer extending to ∼70 m. This deep mixing generally persisted through December.

#### **Censuses**

A total of 53 individual census dives were conducted at irregular intervals over 62 months from May 1999 to August 2004. These were averaged by calendar month resulting in 26 independent observations over the study period ([Table 3\)](#page-7-0). The relative abundance of species encountered during censuses at EBES at different times of the year revealed changes in the community structure. For many species, a clear seasonal signal was evident when relative abundance was plotted over time against SST and T30. For example, *Seriola* lalandi was generally absent from the seamount during warm seasons, but generally peaked in abundance from January to April, when temperatures were low (∼20 °C; [Fig. 3A](#page-10-0)). In contrast, peaks in Lutjanus novemfasciatus abundance coincided with positive peaks in SST and T30 between August and November when temperatures were high (∼27 and 32 °C, respectively; [Fig. 3B](#page-10-0)).

Sea surface temperature and T30 were both significant predictors (multiple logistic regression,  $P < 0.05$ ) of seasonal relative abundance for eight species ([Table S1](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2357/supp-1)). There was also a significant nominal (presence or absence) response ( $P < 0.05$ ) to STT and T30 for C. hippurus, based on fishing surveys ([Table S1](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2357/supp-1)). To better understand the differing responses to oceanographic cycles by community members, we used the coefficients of the two regressors ( $\beta_{\text{SST}}$  and  $\beta_{\text{T30}}$ ) as ordination axes and plotted each species in relation to these environmental gradients [\(Fig. 4](#page-11-0); [Table S1](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2357/supp-1)). For negative log-likelihood, a negative  $\beta$  values along either axis denotes a positive effect, and a positive  $\beta$  value indicates the

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Figure 3 Relative abundance of representative species. Illustrative examples from the spring (A) Seriola lalandi and fall (B) Lutjanus novemfasciatus assemblages of fishes relative to mean monthly SST (gray line) and T30 (dark line) at EBES seamount from 1999–2005. Note that broken line portions in the T30 series represent estimated values for missing data.

opposite. Therefore, higher positive values for a combination of  $\beta_{\text{SST}}$  and  $\beta_{\text{T30}}$  values indicated a greater affinity with colder sea temperatures, and vice versa. The species fell into one of two groups divided by a line separating warm and cold affinity with slope  $= -1$ , and intercepts  $(0, 0)$ , and we categorized individuals as cold or warm associated community members accordingly.

The cold associated group consisted of L. peru, S. lalandi, S. revoliana, and M. jordani. The warm associated group consisted of C. caballus, C. hippurus, L. argentriventris, L. novemfasciatus and S. lewini. A third group was represented year-round, as indicated by a non-significant negative log likelihood ( $P > 0.05$ ). These species consisted of A. solandri, D. macarellus, E. lineatus, H.guntherii, L. colorado) M. rosacea, P. colonus, and T. albacares. The composition of species at EBES clearly differed seasonally, and we refer to three distinctive groups as the 'spring assemblage' (cold), 'fall assemblage' (warm), and 'yearround assemblage' (no significant response to temperature). We refer collectively to all three groups as the seamount-associated community.

#### Species curves

To better visualize the seasonal process of species turnover we plotted species seasonal distribution curves [\(Fig. 5\)](#page-12-0). The resulting curves indicate strong temporal partitioning among the fall and spring assemblages, with some overlap near the distribution tails at

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Figure 4 Ordination analysis of seamount fish species assemblages. Negative log likelihood parameter estimates of the two regressors, sea surface temperature (SST) and temperature at 30 m (T30), from logistic regression plotted on the plane  $\gamma = \rho + \beta + SST + \beta T30$  revealed a spring (upper right) and fall (lower left) assemblage. Error bars represent standard error. Dark circles resulted from ordinal regression, and open circle resulted from nominal regression.

the boundaries that occurred during December through February and May through July [\(Figs. 5A](#page-12-0) and [5B](#page-12-0)). Within assemblages the curves were somewhat offset, but more similar within the spring assemblage than the fall. Abundance was near zero during warm months for all four spring species (also see [Table 4\)](#page-13-0), and the seasonal peaks where more aligned except that S. lalandi was shifted slightly earlier than the others. Among fall assemblage members, abundance curves did not overlap as clearly. Some members were absent altogether from the seamount during the colder months, while others were still present in low numbers. For example, C. caballus and C. hippurus were never observed in colder months between January through April and May respectively, while L. argentriventris was observed during every month of the year, but peaked in abundance during the warmer periods (see [Table 4](#page-13-0)). Within the year-round assemblage abundance curves were generally less defined in amplitude and peaked at different times ([Fig. 5C](#page-12-0)). Some notable exceptions include L. Colorado and A. solandri whose curves resemble those of the fall assemblage, and M. rosacea, which resembled the spring assemblage.

#### Variation in species richness

The pattern of seasonal species turnover lead to variation in the cumulative number species observed during each month ( $min = 8$  and  $max = 16$  species). There was a positive linear relationship ( $P = 0.004$ ) between the number of species and the surface to 30 m

<span id="page-12-0"></span>



thermal gradient [\(Fig. 6](#page-14-0)). There was no significant relationship found between number of species and SST ( $P = 0.139$ ) or T30 ( $P = 0.921$ ). More species were observed when members of all assemblages overlapped from June through August, a season when greater thermal heterogeneity, warm surface and cool deep water ( $\geq$  30 m), characterized the seamount environment (see [Fig. 1C\)](#page-4-0).

## **DISCUSSION**

UVCs are particularly challenging in open water where large schools of rapidly swimming individuals need to be quantified and recorded. The method of log scale ordinal bins presented here provides a simple and accurate way to estimate relative abundance where precision is scaled inversely with sampling difficulty to minimize observer error. The resulting ordinal binning is appropriate for logistic regression; a robust multivariate approach relevant to zero-inflated datasets. The resulting patterns of variation in the composition and number of species provided insights relevant to the processes of shallow seamount fish assemblage. Although the study was confined to a single seamount,

<span id="page-13-0"></span>



the patterns observed over a five-year period were clear and can be evaluated in the context of potential hypotheses explaining elevated predator richness at seamounts.

#### Functional similarities in distinct fish assemblages

For species that were present year-round, the seamount environment likely fulfills multiple vital life-history functions, including feeding, refuge, and reproduction. However, what specific function does the seamount provide for the spring and fall assemblages? The arrival of certain community members at EBES coincided with reported months of spawning aggregations in the Gulf of California. These included members of both the fall and spring groups. L. novemfasciatus generally peaked at EBES near September (see [Fig. 3B\)](#page-10-0), which is the reported spawning season for this species in the Gulf of California ([Sala et al., 2003](#page-21-0)). Apart from these peaks in abundance, L. novemfasciatus generally was absent from EBES. [Sala et al. \(2003\)](#page-21-0) also reported S. lalandi aggregations spawning at reefs and seamounts in April, citing observations of high densities and hydrated eggs in gonads. Peak abundance of S. lalandi at EBES occurred between January and April (see [Fig. 3A\)](#page-10-0). All three female S. *lalandi* sacrificially sampled in the fishing

<span id="page-14-0"></span>



surveys at EBES during a large aggregation on February 3, 2003 had large gonads with hydrated eggs. A single male captured during this event released milt as it was brought aboard. Thus the arrival of L. novemfasciatus and S. lalandi at EBES, although during opposite seasons, were both linked to yearly spawning ([Sala et al., 2003](#page-21-0)). Migrations to site and season-specific spawning aggregations, can be on the order of hundreds of kilometers ([Bolden, 2000](#page-19-0)), could potentially be to find conspecifics or to select environmental conditions favoring larval survival (*Johannes*, 1978).

Like many seamounts, EBES is a distinct geophysical feature, and is likely to function as a navigational (reference) or meeting (destination) point where wide-ranging organisms come together for mating events or otherwise. Sphyrna lewini, a member of the fall assemblage, aggregates in large groups at EBES and other seamounts (Klimley  $\&$ [Nelson, 1984](#page-20-0)). Previous studies have illustrated their emigration, alone or in small groups, away from EBES to surrounding open waters at night, presumably to forage, and return to the seamount the following dawn ([Klimley & Nelson, 1984](#page-20-0); [Klimley, 1993](#page-20-0)). While foraging and mating apparently do not occur at EBES, during the day, the dense schools of hammerhead sharks remained closely associated with EBES slowly swimming back and forth along the ridge. Thus seamounts appear to provide a refuge and spatial reference.

Enriched foraging potential at shallow seamounts is another potential attraction for visitors and may increase their residence time. [Fonteneau \(1991\)](#page-19-0) suggested that certain seamounts may enhance foraging by T. albacares, and some, but not all seamounts are associated with increased catch per unit effort of T. albacares and other tuna species ([Morato et al., 2010b](#page-21-0)). At EBES schools of T. albacares were frequently observed feeding (S. Jorgensen, 2005, personal observation). Individual tunas tagged with acoustic tags remained resident near EBES at all times of the year for periods ranging from a few days to greater than a year ([Klimley et al., 2003](#page-20-0)). During prolonged residence, the intervals between detections were brief and indicated the tunas could rarely have moved more than 900 m from EBES. While not all tagged *T. albacares* resided at the seamount, those that did likely foraged in close association with EBES over extended periods. In addition to foraging, seamounts also may play a role in navigation for T. albacares (Holland, Kleiber  $\&$ [Kajiura, 1999](#page-20-0)), which could explain why some tuna remained resident while others did not ([Klimley et al., 2003](#page-20-0)).

From these observations of just some of the assemblage members, it seems clear that pelagic and reef-associated fishes (see [Table 1\)](#page-5-0) co-occur at EBES to fulfill a variety of functions including foraging, spawning and navigation. These and possibly other drivers attracted seamount visitors during a range of seasonally varying environmental conditions. There was a large range in the overall temperature and water column thermal structure ([Figs. 1](#page-4-0) and [2\)](#page-9-0). Yet spawning, foraging, and navigation occurred at various times throughout the year (e.g. spawning by fall and spring assemblage members) despite the contrasting environmental conditions.

#### Assemblage cohesion

Our data reveal how local seamount communities are ephemeral and structurally dependent on seasonal and regional oceanographic conditions. At EBES distinct assemblages were associated with pronounced seasonal changes in oceanography. Many pelagic fish species, such as tunas, track thermal fronts and other oceanographic features ([Laurs, Yuen & Johnson, 1977](#page-20-0); [Kitagawa et al., 2007](#page-20-0); [Schaefer, Fuller & Block, 2007](#page-21-0)) and reside for extended periods around seamounts ([Holland, Kleiber & Kajiura, 1999](#page-20-0); [Klimley et al., 2003](#page-20-0)). Thus, the movement of oceanographic features over seamounts may determine the pool of pelagic species available at a given time and the composition of seamount-associated communities.

Klimley  $\&$  Butler (1988) hypothesized that some fish species groups may occur as 'mobile communities' in the open ocean arriving and departing from local seamounts as a single unit. We were unable to track the precise arrival and departure timing of assemblage members; however, a number of results suggest that members converged at EBES under slightly different conditions, and for different purposes. Ordination analysis clearly grouped overall warm associated species distinctly from the cold associated group (see [Fig. 4](#page-11-0)). Within these groups, however, further structure was evident. For example, in the fall assemblage, C. caballus abundance was highly correlated with warmer SST, but also negatively correlated with T30 ([Fig. 4](#page-11-0); [Table S1](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2357/supp-1)); conditions that prevail in summer. In contrast S. lewini abundance was highly positively correlated with warmer T30, but negatively correlated with warmer SST ([Fig. 4](#page-11-0); [Table S1](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2357/supp-1)); typical fall conditions ([Figs. 1](#page-4-0) and [2\)](#page-9-0). This structure was further evident in the offset of abundance curve peaks between *C. caballus* in August, and *S. lewini* in November ([Fig. 5A\)](#page-12-0). The remaining fall assemblage members peaked in abundance somewhere between these two [\(Figs. 4](#page-11-0) and [5](#page-12-0)). Similar structure, although more subtle, occurred for the spring assemblage.

This general offset in peak relative abundance suggests that members likely did not arrive together. Furthermore, within the fall assemblage, some species were present at EBES in low abundance year-round during the off-peak seasons (e.g. L. argentiventris) while others were completely absent when not in peak abundance (e.g. C. *caballus*).

Finally, within-assemblage differences in the nature of each species association with the seamount (e.g L. novemfasciatus gathered to spawn, while S. lewini aggregated at EBES during daylight and foraged away at night) suggest that at least some members co-occurred at the seamount for dissimilar reasons. We conclude that assembly of seamount community members at EBES was largely the result of 'species-individualistic' processes. The associations between aggregating species were seasonally ephemeral, and likely confined to this place and time. Once aggregated at the seamount, however, it seems possible that sudden movements of water masses, on short time scales, might influence an entire assemblage, away from or back to a seamount ([Klimley & Butler, 1988](#page-20-0)).

#### Species richness

Water temperature is a strong predictor for species richness among broad marine taxa; for open ocean fishes and foraminifera this convex function peaks near 25  $\degree$ C (*Rutherford*, [D'Hondt & Prell, 1999](#page-21-0); [Worm et al., 2005](#page-21-0); [Whitehead, McGill & Worm, 2008](#page-21-0)) and at corresponding intermediate latitudes ([Morato et al., 2010a](#page-21-0)). The mean SST at EBES was 25.4 °C and ranged seasonally from 18–30 °C. Given the movement potential of the seamount-associated species and the prevalence of seasonally advancing and retreating thermal fronts ([Klimley & Butler, 1988](#page-20-0); Trasviña-Castro et al., 2003; [Douglas et al., 2007](#page-19-0); [Fig. 1](#page-4-0)), one might predict that the greatest number of species would occur at EBES when temperature were near 25 °C. A mean SST of 25 °C occurred twice each year at EBES; during summer (May) and late fall (November) transitions, respectively [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-4-0). However, increased predator richness at the seamount was not predictable by surface temperature alone. Instead, it was correlated with greater vertical thermal gradient. Interestingly, the strongest gradients occurred in summer and the weakest in late fall. In essence increased species richness did occur when surface temperature was near  $25^{\circ}$ C, but only during summer when additional processes occurring below the surface where the likely drivers.

The early summer peak in total species numbers at EBES was largely a transition period after the average peak in abundance of the spring assemblage (March) and before that of the fall assemblage (September); apparently not the prime 'target' period for either group. That species co-occurred for a number of different functions also suggests that it was not linked to a single prevalent environmental state. In fact the highest variability in temperature, both temporally and spatially (i.e. in the water column), occurred then, suggesting increased habitat heterogeneity itself is likely to be an important factor. Summer is a warming transition period at EBES when warm and cold water occurs simultaneously providing a thermally heterogeneous habitat. This range of temperatures, accessible across a narrow range of depths, may accommodate the thermal optima ([Blank](#page-19-0) [et al., 2002](#page-19-0); [Boyce, Tittensor & Worm, 2008](#page-19-0)) of a wider range of species thereby enabling the co-occurrence of both fall and spring assemblages. Globally, over broad spatial and temporal scales, pelagic tuna and billfish diversity positively correlates with spatial temperature (SST) gradient, and where data are available, tuna and billfish diversity correlates in turn with total predator diversity ([Worm et al., 2005](#page-21-0)).

Our results suggest that increased habitat heterogeneity through vertical and temporal thermal gradients may be an important mechanism for increased species richness at the local scale and may also shape patterns of diversity of vagile ocean fishes at larger scales. The turnover of species at EBES, or 'beta diversity,' was clearly reflected through time with changes in temperature. In turn these species co-occurred (overlapped) when a wide range of thermal habitat was available.

In addition to habitat heterogeneity, a potential alternative explanation is that enhanced foraging opportunities may increase species richness via the 'species-energy' hypothesis ([Worm, Lotze & Myers, 2003](#page-21-0); [McClain, 2007](#page-20-0); [Morato et al., 2010a](#page-21-0)). What can seasonal variation at EBES tell us about a trophic link to observed changes in species richness? Local food supply could be augmented, either through vertical mixing and elevated primary productivity, or through concentration of macroplankton (*Wolanski*  $\&$ [Hamner, 1988](#page-21-0); [Genin, 2004](#page-19-0)). It is unlikely that the residence time of upwelled water around seamounts is sufficiently long for primary production enrichment to propagate up the food web to the level of predatory fishes (*[Genin, 2004](#page-19-0)*). However, a match between enhanced primary productivity and early life-history survival ([Cushing, 1990](#page-19-0)) could increase larvae fitness for fishes spawning at seamounts whether water masses (including nascent larvae) were advected or retained. That at least two species aggregated at EBES to spawn suggests enhanced primary production at seamounts could be one mechanism for increasing predator fish richness that is not directly related to the 'speciesenergy' model.

Primary productivity in the Gulf of California is typically highest during fall/winter, when strong winds drive regional upwelling, and lowest in spring/summer (*[Douglas](#page-19-0)* [et al., 2007](#page-19-0)). However, another important mechanism for primary productivity in the Gulf is tidally driven vertical mixing (Trasviña-Castro et al., 2003; [Douglas et al., 2007](#page-19-0)). At EBES dynamic instability and mixing due to vertical current sheer occur over the top of the seamount (*Trasviña-Castro et al.*, 2003; *Douglas et al.*, 2007). Vertical mixing at this depth would likely be drowned out in fall/winter when the mixed layer typically extends well below 50 m [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-9-0) but may become locally important during spring/summer when the thermocline is shallow and wind driven production is regionally limiting.

The most widely accepted mechanism for enhanced foraging at seamounts involves a biophysical match between currents and animal behavior ([Genin, 2004](#page-19-0); [McClain, 2007](#page-20-0)). However, quantifying prey (zooplankton) availability was beyond the scope of this study. While this mechanism cannot therefore be directly addressed as a contributing factor for increased richness, two observations suggest it may not be the primary driver at EBES. First, many species arrived at the seamount for reasons other than foraging. Second, the summer period, when the greatest number of species was observed, appeared to be a 'transition' period rather than a 'target' period for either fall or spring assemblages. If foraging were the primary common driver explaining increased richness during summer, then one might expect more species to coincide in their peak abundance.

Ultimately these observations are also more consistent with the 'habitat heterogeneity' hypothesis since fish species occurred at EBES for a variety of functions including

<span id="page-18-0"></span>foraging, spatial reference and reproduction during different seasons. The intra-annual turnover of species, or temporal beta-diversity resulted in seasonal variation in fish species numbers at EBES that was positively correlated with thermal heterogeneity in the water column. Although this study was limited to a single seamount location, the UVC methods and clear patterns observed enable comparisons to other locations, and in this case provide support for the idea that oceanographically heterogeneous and dynamic seamounts may support greater predator richness.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Considerable logistical support was provided by H. Fastenau, L. Inman, F. McLeese, M. Cota, J. Richert, J. Downs, I. Nevius, M. Silva, A. Trasviña-Castro, and numerous staff of Centro de Invesigaciones Biologicas del Baja Norte of La Paz, and the Cortez Club. We also thank C. Logan for helpful review and comments on the manuscript.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

## Funding

This work was funded by the Biological Oceanography Program of the National Science Foundation (grant: OCE-9802058), UC MEXUS, the Fulbright Association, and CONACyT of Mexico (grant: PN-9509-1995 and PN-1297-1998). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

## Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Biological Oceanography Program of the National Science Foundation: OCE-9802058. UC MEXUS, the Fulbright Association, and CONACyT of Mexico: PN-9509-1995 and PN-1297-1998.

## Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Author Contributions

- Salvador J. Jorgensen conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- A. Peter Klimley conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Arturo Muhlia-Melo conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Steven G. Morgan contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, reviewed drafts of the paper.

#### <span id="page-19-0"></span>Animal Ethics

The following information was supplied relating to ethical approvals (i.e., approving body and any reference numbers):

Ethical review was not required for sampling of marine fishes in fishing surveys.

#### Data Deposition

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw data has been supplied as [Supplemental Dataset Files](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2357/supplemental-information).

#### Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at [http://dx.doi.org/](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2357#supplemental-information) [10.7717/peerj.2357#supplemental-information.](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2357#supplemental-information)

#### **REFERENCES**

- Blank JM, Morrissette JM, Davie PS, Block BA. 2002. Effects of temperature, epinephrine and  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  on the hearts of yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*). Journal of Experimental Biology 205(13):1881–1888.
- Bolden SK. 2000. Long-distance movement of a Nassau grouper (Epinephelus striatus) to a spawning aggregation in the central Bahamas. Fishery Bulletin 98:642–645.
- Boyce DG, Tittensor DP, Worm B. 2008. Effects of temperature on global patterns of tuna and billfish richness. Marine Ecology Progress Series 355:267–276 [DOI 10.3354/meps07237](http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps07237).
- Clark MR, Rowden AA, Schlacher T, Williams A, Consalvey M, Stocks KI, Rogers AD, O'Hara TD, White M, Shank TM, Hall-Spencer JM. 2010. The ecology of seamounts: structure, function, and human impacts. Annual Review of Marine Science 2(1):253–278 [DOI 10.1146/annurev-marine-120308-081109.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-120308-081109)
- Clark MR, Schlacher TA, Rowden AA, Stocks KI, Consalvey M. 2012. Science priorities for seamounts: research links to conservation and management. PLoS ONE 7(1):e29232 [DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0029232.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0029232)
- Cushing DH. 1990. Plankton production and year-class strength in fish populations: an update of the match/mismatch hypothesis. Advances in Marine Biology 26:249–293 [DOI 10.1016/S0065-2881\(08\)60202-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2881(08)60202-3).
- Douglas R, Gonzalez-Yajimovich O, Ledesma-Vazquez J, Staines-Urias F. 2007. Climate forcing, primary production and the distribution of Holocene biogenic sediments in the Gulf of California. Quartenary Science Reviews 26(1–2):115–129 [DOI 10.1016/j.quascirev.2006.05.003.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2006.05.003)
- Fonteneau A. 1991. Seamounts and tuna in the tropical Atlantic. Aquatic Living Resources 4:13–25.
- Fréon P, Dagorn L. 2000. Review of fish associative behaviour: toward a generalisation of the meeting point hypothesis. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fishes 10(2):183–207 [DOI 10.1023/A:1016666108540](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1016666108540).
- Genin A. 2004. Bio-physical coupling in the formation of zooplankton and fish aggregations over abrupt topographies. Journal of Marine Systems 50(1–2):3–20 [DOI 10.1016/j.marsys.2003.10.008](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marsys.2003.10.008).
- Holland KN, Grubbs RD. 2007. Fish visitors to seamounts: tunas and bill fish at seamounts. In: Pitcher TJ, Morato T, Hart PJB, Clark MR, Haggan N, Santos RS, eds. Seamounts: Ecology, Fisheries & Conservation. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Ltd., 189–201.
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>Holland KN, Kleiber P, Kajiura SM. 1999. Different residence times of yellowfin tuna, Thunnus albacares, and bigeye tuna, T. obesus, found in mixed aggregations over a seamount. Fishery Bulletin 97:392–395.
- Hubbs CL. 1959. Initial discoveries of fish faunas on seamounts and offshore banks in the eastern Pacific. Pacific Science 13(4):311–316.
- Jorgensen SJ, Klimley AP, Muhlia-Melo AF. 2009. Scalloped hammerhead shark Sphyrna lewini, utilizes deep-water, hypoxic zone in the Gulf of California. Journal of Fish Biology 74(7):1682–1687 [DOI 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2009.02230.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2009.02230.x).
- Johannes RE. 1978. Reproductive strategies of coastal marine fishes in the tropics. Environmental Biology of Fishes 3(1):65–84 [DOI 10.1007/BF00006309.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00006309)
- Kitagawa T, Boustany AM, Farwell CJ, Williams TD, Castleton MR, Block BA. 2007. Horizontal and vertical movements of juvenile bluefin tuna (Thunnus orientalis) in relation to seasons and oceanographic conditions in the eastern Pacific Ocean. Fisheries Oceanography 16(5):409–421 [DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2419.2007.00441.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2419.2007.00441.x)
- Klimley AP. 1993. Highly directional swimming by scalloped hammerhead sharks, Sphyrna lewini, and subsurface irradiance, temperature, bathymetry, and geomagnetic-field. Marine Biology 117(1):1–22 [DOI 10.1007/BF00346421.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00346421)
- Klimley AP, Jorgensen SJ, Muhlia-Melo A, Beavers SC. 2003. The occurrence of yellowfin tuna (Thunnus albacares) at Espiritu Santo Seamount in the Gulf of California. Fishery Bulletin 101:684–692.
- Klimley AP, Butler SB. 1988. Immigration and emigration of a pelagic fish assemblage to seamounts in the Gulf of California related to water mass movements using satellite imagery. Marine Ecology Progress Series 49(1–2):11–20 [DOI 10.3354/meps049011.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps049011)
- Klimley AP, Nelson DR. 1984. Diel movement patterns of the scalloped hammerhead shark (Sphyrna lewini) in relation to El Bajo Espiritu Santo: a refuging central-position social system. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 15(1):45–54 [DOI 10.1007/BF00310214](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00310214).
- Kvile KØ, Taranto GH, Pitcher TJ, Morato T. 2014. A global assessment of seamount ecosystems knowledge using an ecosystem evaluation framework. Biological Conservation 173:108–120 [DOI 10.1016/j.biocon.2013.10.002.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2013.10.002)
- Laurs RM, Yuen HSH, Johnson JH. 1977. Small-scale movements of albacore, Thunnus alalunga, in relation to ocean features as indicated by ultrasonic tracking and oceanographic sampling. Fishery Bulletin 75(2):347–355.
- Litvinov F. 2007. Fish visitors to seamounts: aggregations of large pelagic sharks above seamounts. In: Pitcher TJ, Morato T, Hart PJB, Clark MR, Haggan N, Santos RS, eds. Seamounts: Ecology, Fisheries & Conservation. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Ltd., 202–206.
- Lueck RG, Mudge TD. 1997. Topographically induced mixing around a shallow seamount. Science 276(5320):1831–1833 [DOI 10.1126/science.276.5320.1831](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.276.5320.1831).
- MacArthur RH, Wilson EO. 1967. The Theory of Island Biogeography. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- McClain C. 2007. Seamounts: identity crisis or split personality? Journal of Biogeography 34(12):2001–2008 [DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2699.2007.01783.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2007.01783.x).
- McClain CR, Lundsten L. 2015. Assemblage structure is related to slope and depth on a deep offshore Pacific seamount chain. Marine Ecology 36(2):210–220 [DOI 10.1111/maec.12136.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/maec.12136)
- Morato T, Varkey D, Damaso C, Machete M, Santos M, Prieto R, Santos R, Pitcher TJ. 2008. Evidence of a seamount effect on aggregating visitors. Marine Ecology Progress Series 357:23–32 [DOI 10.3354/meps07269](http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps07269).
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>Morato T, Hoyle SD, Allain V, Nicol SJ. 2010a. Seamounts are hotspots of pelagic biodiversity in the open ocean. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107(21):9707–9711 [DOI 10.1073/pnas.0910290107](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0910290107).
- Morato T, Hoyle SD, Allain V, Nicol SJ. 2010b. Tuna longline fishing around west and central Pacific seamounts. PLoS ONE 5(12):e14453 [DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0014453](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014453).
- Morato T, Miller PI, Dunn DC, Nicol SJ, Bowcott J, Halpin PN. 2015. A perspective on the importance of oceanic fronts in promoting aggregation of visitors to seamounts. Epub ahead of print 7 September 2015. Fish and Fisheries [DOI 10.1111/faf.12126](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/faf.12126).
- Morato T, Clark MR. 2007. Seamount fishes: ecology and life histories. In: Pitcher TJ, Morato T, Hart PJB, Clark MR, Haggan N, Santos RS, eds. Seamounts: Ecology, Fisheries & Conservation. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Ltd., 170–188.
- Rogers AD. 1994. The biology of seamounts. Advances in Marine Biology 30:305–305 [DOI 10.1016/S0065-2881\(08\)60065-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2881(08)60065-6).
- Rutherford S, D'Hondt S, Prell W. 1999. Environmental controls on the geographic distribution of zooplankton diversity. Nature 400(6746):749–753 [DOI 10.1038/23449.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/23449)
- Sala E, Aburto-Oropeza O, Paredes G, Thompson G. 2003. Spawning aggregations and reproductive behavior of reef fishes in the Gulf of California. Bulletin of Marine Science 72(1):103–121.
- Schaefer KM, Fuller DW, Block BA. 2007. Movements, behavior, and habitat utilization of yellowfin tuna (Thunnus albacares) in the northeastern Pacific Ocean, ascertained through archival tag data. Marine Biology 152(3):503–525 [DOI 10.1007/s00227-007-0689-x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-007-0689-x)
- Staudigel H, Koppers AAP, Lavelle JW, Pitcher TJ, Shank TM. 2010. Defining the word "Seamount". Oceanography 23(1):20–21 [DOI 10.5670/oceanog.2010.85.](http://dx.doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2010.85)
- Tews J, Brose U, Grimm V, Tielbörger K, Wichmann MC, Schwager M, Jeltsch F. 2004. Animal species diversity driven by habitat heterogeneity/diversity: the importance of keystone structures. Journal of Biogeography 31(1):79–92 [DOI 10.1046/j.0305-0270.2003.00994.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.0305-0270.2003.00994.x)
- Trasviña-Castro A, de Velasco GG, Valle-Levinson A, González-Armas R, Muhlia A, Cosio MA. 2003. Hydrographic observations of the flow in the vicinity of a shallow seamount top in the Gulf of California. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 57:149–162.
- Whitehead H, McGill B, Worm B. 2008. Diversity of deep-water cetaceans in relation to temperature: implications for ocean warming. Ecology Letters 11(11):1198–1207 [DOI 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01234.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01234.x)
- Wolanski E, Hamner WM. 1988. Topographically controlled fronts in the ocean and their biological influence. Science 241(4862):177–181 [DOI 10.1126/science.241.4862.177.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.241.4862.177)
- Worm B, Sandow M, Oschlies A, Lotze HK, Myers RA. 2005. Global patterns of predator diversity in the open oceans. Science 309(5739):1365–1369 [DOI 10.1126/science.1113399.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1113399)
- Worm B, Lotze H, Myers R. 2003. Predator diversity hotspots in the blue ocean. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 100(17):9884–9888 [DOI 10.1073/pnas.1333941100](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1333941100).
- Wright DH. 1983. Species-energy theory: an extension of species-area theory. Oikos 41(3):496–506 [DOI 10.2307/3544109.](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3544109)