Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURES OF SEVERAL ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0g30x6sq

Author Strieter, Frederick J.

Publication Date 1959-09-18

UCRL 8906

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURES OF SEVERAL

ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UCRL-8906 Chemistry General TID-4500 (15th Ed.)

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURES OF SEVERAL ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Frederick J. Strieter

(Thesis)

September 18, 1959

Printed for the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission

 $(+\infty) = (1-\infty) + (1-\alpha_1)$

ı

Printed in USA. Price \$1.50. Available from the Office of Technical Services U. S. Department of Commerce Washington 25, D.C.

THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURES OF SEVERAL ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

CONTENTS

Abstract
The Crystal Structure of Carbon Tetrabromide $\cdot \underline{p}$ -Xylene
Introduction5Experimental procedures5Unit cell and space group6Determination of the structure7Discussion10
The Crystal Structure of Propionic Acid
Introduction
The Crystal Structure of n-Butyric Acid
Introduction30Experimental procedure30Unit cell and space group31Structure determination31Discussion of the structure33
Acknowledgments
References

THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURES OF SEVERAL ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Frederick J. Strieter

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California

September 18, 1959

ABSTRACT

Carbon Tetrabromide.p-Xylene

The structure of the 1-1 addition compound of carbon tetrabromide and para-xylene (CBr₄: CH₃-C₆H₄-CH₃) has been determined by three-dimensional single-crystal x-ray diffraction techniques. The compound crystallizes in an orthorhombic unit cell with the following dimensions: $a = 8.476\pm.03$, $b = 8.894\pm.03$, $c = 17.465\pm.05$ Å. There is very little distortion of the constituent molecules, and the main intermolecular binding force in the crystal seems to be the interaction of the π electron system of the aromatic nucleus with half of the bromine atoms of the carbon tetrabromide. Each aromatic ring has two bromine atoms, one on each side, at a distance of $3.34\pm.02$ Å from the center of the ring. The average distance from the bromine atom to the carbon atoms of the aromatic nucleus is $3.62\pm.02$ Å.

Propionic Acid

According to single crystal three dimensional x-ray data, propionic acid crystals at -95° C have monoclinic symmetry, space group P2₁/c, (C⁵_{2h}), with a=4.042±.040, b = 9.063±.010, c = 10.998±.010Å and $\beta = 91^{\circ}15'\pm8'$. Least-squares methods were used to refine an approximate structure derived from a Patterson synthesis. The results show that propionic acid forms nearly planar dimers in the solid, with an O-H--O bond of 2.643Å, a C=O bond of 1.230Å, a C=O bond of 1.313Å and C=C bonds of 1.499Å and 1.522Å, all with standard deviations of less than ±.010Å.

n-Butyric Acid

According to a single crystal x-ray analysis of three-dimensional. data at -43° C, n-butyric acid crystallizes in a monoclinic space group, C2/m, with the following unit cell dimensions: $a = 8.014 \pm .080 \text{\AA}$. $b = 6.815 \pm .020 \text{\AA}$, $c = 10.145 \pm .030 \text{\AA}$, and $\beta = 111^{\circ}27^{'}\pm15^{'}$. Least-squares methods were used to refine an approximate structure derived from a Patterson synthesis. Within experimental error, two molecules are hydrogen bonded together to form a planar dimer which is perpendicular to the b axis. The structure gives a hydrogen bond 2.63 Å long, a C=O bond of 1.19Å, a C-O bond of 1.34Å, and C-O bonds of 1.48Å, 1.52Å and 1.49Å, all with standard deviations of less than $\pm .02 \text{\AA}$.

THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF CARBON TETRABROMIDE • <u>p</u>-XYLENE

Introduction

Several compounds of halogenated methanes with benzene and various methyl benzenes have been reported in the literature (Kapustinskii, 1947; Egan and Luthy, 1955). Little was known about the crystal structure or the nature of the bonding in these compounds, although various theories had been proposed to account for the bonding in molecular addition compounds (Andrews, 1954, p. 761). It was felt that an investigation of the crystal structure would help in the understanding of the binding forces.

An interesting phenomenon in this series of compounds is the relative stability at room conditions. For example, the addition compounds formed with carbon tetrabromide by both the meta and ortho isomers of xylene are liquids at room temperature. The compound with the para isomer, however, is a solid. Thus, in an attempt to gain further information about the nature of the bonding forces in this type of compound and to learn more about the selectivity of solid compound formation in the series, this structure determination was undertaken.

Experimental Procedures

The carbon tetrabromide \underline{p} -xylene compound $(CBr_4.H_3C - CH_3)$ was selected for this investigation since it is a solid at room temperature $(m.p. 53^{\circ} C)$. The compound was prepared by mixing approximately equimolar amounts of 99.85% pure <u>p</u>-xylene and Eastman carbon tetrabromide. A slight excess of <u>p</u>-xylene was added, and the mixture was warmed slightly to promote the solubility of the carbon tetrabromide. On cooling, the desired compound grew as thin, flat, square platelets. The compound has a high vapor pressure, and sublimes readily if exposed to the air. Therefore the crystals were preserved by being kept in contact with the mother liquor. Since platelets were unsuitable for good x-ray data in the Weissenberg camera, one of the platelets was cut into small rectangular needles. These needles were then sealed in small glass capillaries.

After several attempts, one of them was aligned about a [110] axis. Rotation and zero-through fifth-layer Weissenberg photographs were recorded by multiple-film techniques. Upon analysis of the data it was decided that they were inaccurate because of absorption. The irregular shape of the crystal made it difficult to correct for this absorption effect.

To overcome this problem, one of the large platelet crystals was melted, and the liquid was sealed in a capillary, 0.3 mm in diameter. It crystallized immediately as a powder. The capillary was mounted on a Weissenberg goniometer and placed on the stage of a polarizing microscope. A stream of warm air was passed over the capillary to melt the material at one end. The temperature of the air stream was gradually reduced, and after several attempts a satisfactory single, cylindrical crystal was grown. The b axis of the crystal was within a few degrees of being parallel to the capillary axis. This crystal was mounted on the Weissenberg camera and lined up about the b axis by means of oscillation photographs. A rotation pattern, zero - through fifth-layer uncalibrated, multiple-film Weissenberg exposures, and a zero-layer, single-film quartz-calibrated Weissenberg photograph were taken using Cu Ka radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å).

Unit Cell and Space Group

The first set of pictures (about the 100 orthorhomic axis) at first glance appeared to show monoclinic symmetry, with unit cell dimensions of a = 6.145, b = 17.465, c = 6.145Å and $\beta = 92^{\circ}48^{\circ}$. However, closer inspection of the pictures showed that the a and c axes of the primitive monoclinic cell were the face diagonals of a C-centered orthorhombic cell. The extinctions suggested that the most likely space groups were Cmcm(D_{2h}^{17}), Cmc2₁ (C_{2v}^{12}), and C2cm(C_{2v}^{16}) (this space group is listed with a different orientation of axes as Ama2 in the International Tables). The higher symmetry group, Cmcm, seemed to be the most desirable choice since the symmetry of the constituent molecules was best satisfied in this space group. The subsequent refinements demonstrated that this was the correct choice. The dimensions of the centered orthorhombic unit cell were determined from both sets of quartz calibrated zero-layer Weissenberg photographs, by using the method of angular lag (Buerger, 1942, p.377) to determine the angle between the [110] and $[1\overline{10}]$ axes. The dimensions are a = 8.476±.03, b = 8.894±.03, and c = 17.465±.05Å. By using these cell dimensions and the molecular volumes of liquid <u>p</u>-xylene and solid carbon tetrabromide, it was calculated that there were 3.6 molecules per unit cell. This indicated that there were four molecules per unit cell, since the volume of the solid compound would tend to be smaller than that of the separate constituents. The calculated density for four molecules per unit cell is 2.209 g cm⁻³.

Determination of the Structure

There were 481 observed and 157 unobserved independent reflections in the portion of reciprocal space photographed about the [110]axis. Intensities were estimated visually by comparison with a standard film. The corrections for Lorentz, polarization, and velocity effects were made with the INCOR routine for the IBM 650. Interlayer scale factors were determined from the corrected intensities of reflections that occurred on more than one layer. All structure factor calculations were made by using the atomic scattering factors of Thomas and Umeda (1957) for bromine, Hoerni and Ibers (1954) for carbon, and the Internationale Tabellen (1935) for hydrogen. The least squares refinements were made with the LS II program (Senko, 1957).

In space group Cmcm, the fourfold positions are at centers of symmetry or on intersections of two mirror planes. Since the carbon tetrabromide molecule is tetrahedral, its carbon atom cannot be at a center of symmetry. Therefore these carbon atoms, denoted as C_3 , are placed in position 4 (c) of the International Tables (1952):

$$C_2 \text{ in } \pm (0, y, 1/4) + C_2$$

The bromine atoms can then only be placed on the mirror planes in the two sets of positions,

- 7 -

Br₁ in 8(f): \pm (0, y, z; 0, y, 1/2 - z) + C Br₂ in 8(g): \pm (x, y, 1/4; x, y, 1/4) + C

A three dimensional Patterson synthesis based on the observed reflections was calculated by using the IBM 701 Fourier program of Jones, Dodge, and Templeton (Dodge, 1958). This Patterson map showed large peaks corresponding to bromine atoms at 0, 0.20, 0.34, and 0.19, 0.45, 0.25, and a carbon atom at 0, 0.325, 0.25. The signs of the structure factors calculated with only the carbon tetrabromide molecule as a trial structure were attached to the observed structure factors, and a three dimensional Fourier synthesis was computed. From this, the p-xylene molecule was determined to be perpendicular to the yz plane with its center at the origin (2/m). For this arrangement, the other carbon atoms must be distributed in two more sets of 8(f) (atoms C_5 and C_6) and in one general set,

 C_4 in 16(h): ±(x, y, z; x, y, \overline{z} ; x, y, 1/2 - z; x, \overline{y} , 1/2 + z) + C.

Several cycles of least squares refinement were run based on this structure, but the reliability index,

 $\mathbf{R}_{1} = \left(\sum_{i} \left| \mathbf{F}_{o} \right| - \left| \mathbf{F}_{c} \right| \right) \div \left(\sum_{i} \left| \mathbf{F}_{o} \right| \right),$

did not fall below 0.20. Since no correction had been made for absorption, it was decided to grow a cylindrical crystal and make the necessary absorption correction.

There was a total of 493 reflections in the portion of reciprocal space photographed about the $\begin{bmatrix} 010 \end{bmatrix}$ axis. The 298 observed (195 unobserved) reflections from the cylindrical crystal were gathered and corrected in the same manner as before. In addition, the method outlined by Bond (1959) was used to correct for absorption. For this crystal, μr was 2.28; Bradley's table (Klug and Alexander, 1952, p. 155) was used for the absorption coefficients. By using the parameters previously determined, additional least squares refinements were carried out. After 15 cycles the parameters listed in Table I were obtained.

-8-

Final	param	eters o	obtained	by us:	ing onl	y carbo	n and b	romine aton	ns
	x	<u>y</u>	Z	В	σ _x	σ v	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
rı	0	.2040	.3393	7.23	-	.0005	.0002		
r ₂	.1841	.4497	1/4	7.36	.0006	.0005	-		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	.332	1/4	6.35	· · · -	007	. _		
4	.139	.931	.020	4.98	.002	.003	.001		
5	.0	.864	.048	4.63	-	.004	.002		
6	. 0	. 733	.091	6.20	-	.005	.002		
$R_1 = .$	147	R ₂ = .	140	R ₃ =	.232 h	pased or	n all 49	3 reflection	s
ond dia	stances	3		<u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u></u>		
	C2 - B	r,	1.94Å		C₄ - C	А	1.40 Å		
	С ₃ - В	\mathbf{r}_{2}	1.87Å		C_4 - C	4 5	1.42 Å		
	Br _l B	rı	3.11Å				C		
	Brl B	^r 2.	3.11A	I	$C_{5} - C_{1}$	6	1.40A		
· .	Br _z -B	r ₂ '	3.11 Å						
	2	म–। न	~	ſ	$\sum (k = 1)$		2] 1	/2	
R	$1 = \frac{2}{2}$		<u>c'</u> ,	$\mathbf{R}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & -$		$\left(\frac{1}{F_{o}}\right)^{2}$]		
			$R_3 = $	$\frac{\sum w(}{\sum w}$	$\frac{ \mathbf{F}_{o} }{(\mathbf{F}_{o})^{2}}$	$ \mathbf{F}_{c})^{2}$] 1/2		
						•	•		

ł

-9-

At this point, since the structure appeared to be correct but the agreement was not as good as desired, hydrogen positions were calculated, based on a C-H bond length of 1.08Å and assuming normal placement of the hydrogen atoms in the aromatic ring and methyl group. The hydrogen atoms were placed in two sets of general positions,

H_7 and H_8 in 16(h),

and one set of special positions,

H₉ in 8(f).

The symmetry and bond geometry permit two choices of orientation of the methyl group. This orientation was determined from a difference Fourier synthesis $(|\mathbf{F}_0| - |\mathbf{F}_c|$ synthesis). Seven additional least-squares refinement cycles were run which included the hydrogen atoms but did not allow their parameters to change. The final parameters obtained are listed in Table II together with the standard deviations and bond distances. Table III shows the observed and calculated structure factors for the final structure.

Discussion

The bond distances and angles in the carbon tetrabromide molecule do not show large deviations from the expected values. The deviations from the expected bond angles and lengths in the <u>p</u>-xylene molecule are larger, but within the fairly large standard deviations. The accuracy of the bond lengths and angles is not great, but it is good enough so that the main features of the structure are apparent.

The most interesting feature of the structure is the packing arrangement of the carbon tetrabromide and \underline{p} -xylene molecules. The molecules form a zigzag chain which has the lengthwise direction parallel to the c axis (see Fig. 1). The closest approach of the bromine atoms to the ring is shown in Fig. 2. Since the aromatic ring is planar within experimental error, Figs. 1 and 2 are drawn so that the ring is planar.

-	Ŧ	T	

Ladre II	Т	al	ol	e	II
----------	---	----	----	---	----

	Fi	nal para	meters	for ca	irbon t	etrabro	omide • p-xyl	ene
	<u>, x</u>	_ <u>y_</u>	_ <u>Z</u> _	B	ر م	σy	σ z	
Br,	0	.2034	.1608	7.59	_	.0005	.0002	
Br ₂	.1840	.4506	1/4	7.60	.0005	.0005	-	•
C ₃	0	.331	1/4	5.28	-	.006	-	
C ₄	.139	.932	.0193	5,66	.003	.003	.001	
C ₅	0	.872	.0497	5.16	-	.004	.001	· · ·
C ₆	0	.732	.0920	7.45	-	.005	.002	
H ₇	.248	.880	.041	5.94	aś	sumed	•	
H ₈	.106	.667	.077	5.94	as	sumed		
H ₉	0	.759	.147	5.94	as	sumed	• • •	
R ₁ =	.1 35	R ₂ =	.144	$R_3 =$.196 f	or all 4	193 reflectio	ns
Bond	distanc	ces		· · · · · ·				
	Br ₁	- Br ₁ '	3.11	6±.010	o A			
•	Br ₂	- Br ₂ '	3.11	9±.010	Å			
18 J.K.	Br ₁	- Br ₂	3.11	4±.008	Å	C ₄ - C ₄	0 1.39±.04Å	• .
	С ₃ -	Br ₁	1.93	• ±.03Å	($C_{4} - C_{5}$	0 1.40±03Å	
	С ₃ .	Br ₂	1.89	0 ±.03A	,	C ₅ - C ₆	6 1.45±.06Å	
							•	

Table III. Observed and calculated structure factors for carbon tetrabromide - p-xylene. * indicates minimum observable structure factor.

. . . .

			an a						·····
h	k ê	FolFc	h	k & F _o F _c	h k ł	F	h k ¿	F _o _{Fc}	h k l F _c iF _c
0000000000222222222222444444444444466666666	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8160 1175 1535 167* 143- 1076 1662 1622 153- 1122 1388 443 553- 21 16 190* 74- 154* 137 156* 557 2142 258- 1862 2136 981 155- 320 191- 226* 266 389 374 226* 266 389 374 226* 266 389 38- 152 1350 610 638- 525 360- 227* 16- 411 326 217* 19- 515 502- 325 379 181* 149- 316 325 316 325 316 351 3	555555555555555555777777777777777777777	$ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 4 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	136 130 112* 25 94* 34- 40* 16- 145* 143- 145* 153- 145* 153- 212 112- 236 244- 718 647 503 300 182 155 27* 16 103 300 182 155 27* 16 112* 76- 121* 32 112* 76- 121* 32 112* 76- 121* 32 122* 16- 421 380- 26 208- 324 297 182 136 94 24- 133* 62 127* 46 136 155 151 15 165 137- 162 168 151 15 155 66- 73* 28- 73* 53 85 66 73 73- 73* 53 85 66 73 73- 73* 53 85 66 73 73- 73* 53 85 66 73 73- 73* 53 85 66 73 73- 128 1191 204 129 128 1191 128 1191 129 104- 129 85 73 73- 138 139- 128 1632- 138 331 967 853 770 649 979 344 967 853 770 649 1038 1191 1204 127 138 148- 1957 853 770 649 1968 85 1042- 735 732- 1038 1191 124 363- 124 104 1573 136 538- 125 128 104 735 732- 138 148- 1967 853 770 649 90* 80 74* 41- 190* 80 74* 41- 75* 75- 80 122+ 138 124 1404 1573 180 463- 124* 124- 138 124 124 124 138 129 124 124 125 124 126 127 127 128 128 129 124 124 128 129 124 124 129 124 124 124 124 124 124 124 124	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

-12-



MU-18350

Fig. 1. Projection down the [100] axis of half the unit cell of CBr_4 · CH_3 - C_6H_4 - CH_3 showing the chain arrangement.



MU-18351

Fig. 2. Interatomic distances in CBr_4 $CH_3 - C_6H_4 - CH_3$.

The bromine atoms in only one of the 8 fold special positions of this space group (Br_1 in 8(f))participate in the bonding within the zigzag chain. The distance to the central carbon atom is longer in this set of atoms than in the other set (8(g)). Thus, there is evidence of an interaction between the π electron system of the <u>p</u>-xylene molecule and one set of bromine atoms. This interaction is probably the reason for the existence of a compound. The stability of this compound can be attributed to this attractive force and the manner in which the molecules are packed in the crystal. The greater stability of the para isomer is presumably due to spatial requirements; it probably can pack more compactly than either the orthoor meta isomers.

The bonding in this compound seems to fall into the category of donor-acceptor interactions. Many examples of this general type have been reported in the literature (Andrews, 1954, p. 736). Of those of known crystal structure, the 1:1 benzene-bromine compound (Hassel and Strømme, 1958) is most closely analogous to the carbon tetrabromide $\cdot p$ -xylene compound. In both compounds, each aromatic ring has a bromine atom on each side. The line joining these two neighbors is very nearly perpendicular to the plane of the ring and passes through its center. The distances from a bromine atom to the center of the ring 3.35Å are the same for both compounds within experimental error.

THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF PROPIONIC ACID

Introduction

Little work has been reported on the crystal structures of the low molecular weight monocarboxylic acids. While the few high molecular weight normal fatty acids of known structure are dimers (Vand, 1951; von Sydow, 1955), the lighter members of the series, formic and acetic acids, form long chain polymers in the solid (Holtzberg, Post, and Fankuchen, 1953; Jones and Templeton, 1958). Finding a chain structure for solid acetic acid raised the question of whether the chain structure was common to all the low molecular weight fatty acids.

When the number of carbon atoms per molecule of acid is plotted versus the melting point, the points fall on two rather similar curves, one for odd, the other for even numbers of carbon atoms. The interesting feature is that both curves reach a minimum in the vicinity of five carbon atoms per molecule. Two different melting points have been reported for n-valeric acid, one falling on the curve for the even and the other on the curve for the odd number of carbon atoms per molecule. From this fact, it would almost be expected that valeric acid is the transition point between the dimer fatty acid structure and the chain structure. Such is not the case; this investigation showed that the dimer structure occurs in propionic acid. This result is not unreasonable upon considering how an increase in the length of the carbon chain would affect the packing of the molecules in the unit cell.

Experimental Procedure

The x-ray experiments were made with a modified Weissenberg camera (Olovsson and Templeton, 1959), in which a stream of cold nitrogen gas was directed over the capillary containing the sample. This was accomplished by boiling nitrogen from a 100 liter Dewar flask through a series of glass Dewar tubes connected by semiflexible insulated joints to a Dewar tube coaxial with, and inside of, the layer line screen of the camera (Krueger, 1955). By varying the current in a resistor placed in the Dewar flask of nitrogen, the rate of flow of cold gas was changed so as to produce the desired temperature. The temperature was measured by means of a copper-constantan thermocouple placed in the cooling stream 0.5 cm from the end of the capillary.

A sample of propionic acid (CH_3 - CH_2 -COOH) was cooled to the vicinity of the melting point. The melting point as determined in the experiment $(-20.5^{\circ}C)$, is in good agreement with that reported in the literature, $-20.7^{\circ}C$ (Timmermans, 1952). While the temperature at the thermocouple was kept just below the meltingpoint of the acid, a single crystal was obtained by touching the capillary with the finger until all but one very small single crystal had melted. The seed crystal was then allowed to grow. The growth and decay of the crystal was followed through a polarizing microscope. After several attempts, a satisfactory cylindrical single crystal, 5 mm long and 0.3 mm in diameter, was obtained. The crystal was lined up about the a axis and preliminary oscillation photographs were taken at -67° C. Rotation and zero-through third-level multiple-film Weissenberg photographs were taken at -95±2°C, with CuKa radiation (CuKa₁; λ =1.54050Å). After the complete set of Weissenberg photographs was made, another zero level exposure was taken. This one was calibrated by superimposing on it a zero layer strip of the c-axis rotation pattern of alpha quartz (a = 4.913Å).

Space Group and Unit Cell

The calculated density of propionic acid at -95° C, assuming four molecules per unit cell, is 1.234 g cm⁻³. The reported density of propionic acid at -35° C is 1.1894 g cm⁻³ (Rozental, 1936).

The photographs of propionic acid exhibted Laue symmetry 2/m. The extinctions present (h0l with l = 2n + 1 and 0k0 with k = 2n + 1) indicated space group $P2_1/c$ (C_{2h}^{5}) as the most likely. Since the extinctions present may not be caused by symmetry conditions, but may rather be due to special arrangement of the atoms (Templeton, 1956), space groups P2, P2₁, Pm, P2/m, P2₁/m and especially Pc and P2/c cannot be ruled out immediately. However, the subsequent refinement using the fourfold general positions,

4 (e) : $\pm(x, y, z; x, 1/2-y, 1/2 + z)$, of space group P2₁/c confirmed this choice. Since the crystals always grew with the a axis parallel to the capillary axis, it was impossible to align a crystal about any other axis. The unit cell dimensions were determined from a quartz calibrated Weissenberg photograph about the a axis and an uncalibrated rotation photograph. The monoclinic angle, β , was determined by the method of angular lag (Buerger, 1942, p. 377) using all three higher level Weissenberg photographs. The cell dimensions are a = 4.042±.040, b = 9.063±.010, c = 10.998±.010Å and $\beta = 91^{0}15^{'}\pm8^{'}$.

Determination of the Structure

The atomic scattering factors of the Internationale Tabellen (1935) for hydrogen, and of Hoerni and Ibers (1954) for carbon and oxygen were used in all structure factor calculations. Throughout the least squares refinements a weighting scheme similar to that of Hughes (1941) was used. The weights for the observed reflections were taken as $(F_{obs})^{-2}$ or as $(4F_{min})^{-2}$ if the uncorrected intensity was less than four times the minimum observed intensity. For the reflections too weak to be observed, F_{obs} was set equal to F_{min} , and if $|F_{min}| \gg |F_{calc}|$, the weight was taken as zero and $|F_{min}| - |F_{calc}|$ set equal to zero; otherwise, the weight was taken as $(4F_{min})^{-2}$ and $|F_{min}| - |F_{calc}|$

Most of the least squares refinements were carried out using the diagonal approximation least squares program of Senko and Templeton (Senko, 1957). The last few cycles were run by using a modification of the program similar to that described by Hardgrove (Hardgrove, 1959), which used the complete least squares matrix.

There was a total of 743 independent reflections in the portion of reciprocal space investigated. The intensities of the 603 observed reflections (140 unobserved) were estimated visually by multiple-film techniques. The intensities ranged from 1 to 16,000. They were corrected for the Lorentz, polarization, and velocity effects by an IBM 650 routine, INCOR. No correction was made for absorption since μr for this crystal was 0.15. By using the corrected intensities of the observed reflections and scaling the four levels according to the exposure time, a three dimensional Patterson synthesis was calculated with the IBM 701 Fourier program (Dodge, 1958). The resolution was not good, and it was thought that a sharpened Patterson function might give better resolution of the atomic vectors. Consequently, the coefficients were modified by the factor $\left[\exp(-0.9 \sin^2 \theta) (12f_{\rm C} + 8f_{\rm O})\right]^{-1}$, and these modified coefficients were used to calculate the sharpened Patterson synthesis.

There was some improvement in the degree of resolution, especially in the Harker section at y = 1/2. At other sections the increase in resolution was not as great as was hoped for. An interpretation of the sharpened Patterson synthesis yielded the following coordinates.

· · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>	<u>_y</u>	<u></u>
Oxygen 1	0.975	0.41	0.57
Oxygen 2		-	-
Carbon 3	0.25	0.41	0.75
Carbon 4	0.37	0.32	0.83
Carbon 5	0.15	0.32	0.67

These coordinates showed a preference for the dimer structure although no such assumption was made when the Patterson synthesis was interpreted.

With these approximate coordinates, three dimensional Fourier refinements were attempted but with no success. Various modifications of this structure based on the Fourier results were also used but no improvement in agreement was noted. Throughout all these trials the unreliability factor, R_1 , ranged from 0.50 to 0.55.

Both the chain and dimer structures still remained as possibilities. However, from spatial considerations, the dimer structure seemed more probable. A new trial structure was obtained by assuming the dimer structure, placing it about a center of symmetry, and using the information about the x and z coordinates obtained from the Harker section at y = 1/2.

	<u></u>	<u>y</u>	_ <u>Z</u>
0,	0.97	0.32	0.565
o_2	0.23	0.53	0.63
C	0.25	0.32	0.75
C ₄	0.38	0.40	0.845
C ₅	0.18	0.40	0.65

Structure factors for the observed 0kl data were calculated by using these coordinates and gave an R_1 of .365.

Two cycles of least squares refinement on the zero layer brought R_1 down to 0.190. At this point full three dimensional refinements of the observed reflections were begun. The first cycle of three dimensional refinement gave an R_1 of 0.267. After the fourth cycle R_1 was 0.159, but when the unobserved reflections were added, $R_1 = 0.169$. After three more cycles $R_1 = 0.164$. Since R_1 was decreasing so slowly, it was thought that the addition of the hydrogen coordinates would improve the agreement. Hydrogen positions were calculated by assuming a C-H bond length of 1.08Å, tetrahedral angles about the carbon atoms, and a staggered configuration for the hydrogen atoms of the methyl group relative to the substituents on the a-carbon atom. The addition of the hydrogen atom coordinates to the structure factor calculation decreased R_{T} to 0.151. However, certain obvious discrepancies between observed and calculated structure factors were noted. The data were rechecked to eliminate any mistakes and five very strong reflections (011, 021, 024, 111, 112) which were thought to suffer from extinction were removed from the least squares calculations. The refinements were continued, and after two more cycles of least squares refinement $R_1 = 0.116$. The removal of the five reflections from the calculations thus improved the progress of the refinement considerably. The large discrepancy in $|\mathbf{F}_{c}| - |\mathbf{F}_{c}|$ because of these five reflections controlled the scale factor, and removal of these reflections allowed a normal distribution of positive and negative values of $|\mathbf{F}_{o}| - |\mathbf{F}_{c}|$.

The final diagonal approximation least squares parameters, standard deviations, and bond lengths are listed in Table IV. A drawing of dimeric molecule is shown in Fig. 3.

Final diagonal approximation least squares parameters										
<u>x</u>	<u>y</u>	Z	B	$\frac{\sigma}{\mathbf{x}}$	y	σ z				
02 25	.3196	.5679	2.93	.0009	.0004	.0003				
.22 25	.5289	.6258	2.76	.0009	.0004	.0003				
.1558	.3988	.6452	2.25	.0012	.0005	.0004				
.27 15	.3164	.7559	2.69	.0013	.0005	.0004				
.4126	.4139	.8570	3.22	.0014	.0006	.0005				
105	.379	.488	3.56	assume	d					
.078	.256	.790	3.56	assume	d					
.454	.247	.729	3.56	assume	d					
.499	.356	.937	3.56	assume	d					
.579	.481	.828	3.56	assume	d					
.253	.486	.880	3.56	assume	d					
·1 = .115	$R_3 = .118$	for 738	3 reflection	ıs						
ond lengths	3		,,,,,,,,,							
$O_1 - O_2'$ $O_1 - O_3$ $O_2 - C_3$ $C_3 - C_4$ $C_4 - C_5$	2.64 1.310 1.229 1.492 1.517	1±.005Å 0±.006Å 9±.006Å 2±.006Å 7±.006Å								
	$\frac{x}{1} = .115$	$\frac{x}{-0225} = \frac{y}{-0225} = \frac{y}{-0225} = \frac{y}{-0225} = \frac{y}{-0225} = \frac{y}{-0225} = \frac{y}{-025} = \frac{y}{-025}$	$\frac{x}{2} \qquad \underline{y} \qquad \underline{z}$ 02 25 .3196 .5679 .22 25 .5289 .6258 .1558 .3988 .6452 .27 15 .3164 .7559 .4126 .4139 .8570 105 .379 .488 .078 .256 .790 .454 .247 .729 .49 9 .356 .937 .57 9 .481 .828 .253 .486 .880 $\frac{x}{1} = .115 \qquad \mathbf{R}_2 = .113$ Bond lengths $O_1 - O_2' \qquad 2.641 \pm .005 \text{\AA} \\ O_1 - O_3 \qquad 1.310 \pm .006 \text{\AA} \\ O_2 - C_3 \qquad 1.229 \pm .006 \text{\AA} \\ C_3 - C_4 \qquad 1.492 \pm .006 \text{\AA} \\ C_4 - C_5 \qquad 1.517 \pm .006 \text{\AA}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\frac{x}{4} - \frac{y}{2} - \frac{z}{2} - \frac{B}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{x}$ 02 25 .3196 .5679 2.93 .0009 .22 25 .5289 .6258 2.76 .0009 .1558 .3988 .6452 2.25 .0012 .27 15 .3164 .7559 2.69 .0013 .4126 .4139 .8570 3.22 .0014 105 .379 .488 3.56 assume .078 .256 .790 3.56 assume .454 .247 .729 3.56 assume .454 .247 .729 3.56 assume .499 .356 .937 3.56 assume .579 .481 .828 3.56 assume .253 .486 .880 3.56 assume	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				

Table IV



MU-18352

Fig. 3. Distances and angles in propionic acid.

.

Because of the interest in the difference between diagonalapproximation and full-matrix least-squares calculations, and also in order to get an idea of the magnitude of the cross terms and the correlation coefficients, several cycles of full-matrix refinements were run. The resulting parameters, standard deviations, and bond lengths after the second full-matrix cycle are listed in Table V. The observed and calculated structure factors for these parameters are listed in Table VI. The inverted A matrix is listed in Table VII.

Discussion of the Structure

The structure consists of dimers of propionic acid about the center of symmetry (see Fig. 4). All the heavy atoms of a dimer except the terminal carbon (C_5) are planar within experimental error (.009Å). The methyl carbon is .284Å out of the plane, and forms an angle of 10° 45' with the plane, which is given by the equation -.21702 x +.07039 y +.12438 z = 1, where x, y, and z (in angstroms) are measured along the a, b, and c axes.

The methyl group is trans with respect to the hydroxyl oxygen atom. The two propionic acid molecules are connected by hydrogen bonds 2.645\AA long. The carbon-oxygen bonds of 1.230\AA and 1.313\AA are in accord with those of formic and acetic acid. Although the carbon-carbon bonds are shorter than 1.54\AA , the departure from this length is not unexpected. Some recent determinations of pure hydrogarbon bond lengths have shown the C-C bond to be about .01Å shorter than in diamond (Bartell, 1959; Shearer and Vand, 1956).

The carbon atom bonded to the oxygen atom does not have tetrahedral bonding. Thus, one would expect that there would be some deviation from the sp³ bond length. The distance 1.50Å corresponds to about 10% double bond character according to Pauling (Pauling, 1942). Since this double bondedness of the one C-C bond could also be expected to shorten the other C-C bond the 1.52Å length is very reasonable inasmuch as it corresponds to about 3% double bondedness on the Pauling scale.

matri B 2.96 2.77 2.27 2.72 3.25 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56	ix least so $\frac{\sigma}{x}$.0009 .0009 .0012 .0013 .0014 assume assume assume assume assume	<u>σ</u> <u>y</u> .0004 .0004 .0005 .0005 .0006 ed d d d d	$\frac{\sigma_{z}}{2}$.0003 .0003 .0004 .0004 .0005	σ <u>B</u> .08 .08 .08 .09 .10
<u>B</u> 2.96 2.77 2.27 3.25 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56	$\frac{\sigma_{x}}{0009}$.0009 .0012 .0013 .0014 assume assume assume assume assume	<u>y</u> .0004 .0004 .0005 .0005 .0006 ed d d d d	$\frac{\sigma_z}{2}$.0003 .0003 .0004 .0004 .0005	σ <u>B</u> .08 .08 .09 .10
2.96 2.77 2.27 3.25 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56	.0009 .0009 .0012 .0013 .0014 assume assume assume assume assume	.0004 .0005 .0005 .0006 ed d d d d d	.0003 .0003 .0004 .0004 .0005	.08 .08 .09 .10
2.77 2.27 2.72 3.25 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56	.0009 .0012 .0013 .0014 assume assume assume assume assume	.0004 .0005 .0005 .0006 ed d d d d d	.0003 .0004 .0004 .0005	.08 .08 .09 .10
2.27 2.72 3.25 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56	.0012 .0013 .0014 assume assume assume assume assume	.0005 .0005 .0006 ed d d d d d	.0004 .0004 .0005	.08 .09 .10
2.72 3.25 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56	.0013 .0014 assume assume assume assume assume	.0005 .0006 ed d d d d d	.0004 .0005	.09 .10
3.25 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56	.0014 assume assume assume assume assume assume	.0006 ed d d d d d d	.0005	.10
3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56	assume assüme assüme assüme assüme assume	ed d d d d d		
3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56	assume assume assume assume assume	d d d d		
3.56 3.56 3.56 3.56	assume assume assume assume	d d d		
3.56 3.56 3.56	assume assume assume	d d d		
3.56 3.56	assume assume	d d		
3.56	assume	d		
	$R_3 = .11$	18 for	738 refle	ections
	······			<u></u>
		•		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Q

Table V

Table VI. Observed and calculated structure factors for propionic acid. * indicates Rinhum observable structure factor. ** indicates intensity genaurement very uncertain. These ** reflections were omitted from the least-squares and Fourier calculations.

hk & F _o lF _c i	h k ë F _o F _e	. h k ℓ F _o lF _c Ì	hki F _o F _c	hkt F _o İF _c İ	h k é F _o ÍF _e i
$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 48 & 387 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 622 & 130 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 129 & 130 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 & 138 & 440 \\ 0 & 0 & 11 & 2 & 72 & 68 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 27 & 76 & 83- \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 77 & 186 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 77 & 186 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 77 & 186 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 17 & 186 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 17 & 186 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 17 & 186 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 17 & 186 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 17 & 186 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 17 & 186 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 17 & 186 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 17 & 186 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 17 & 186 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 10 & 27 & 275 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 27 & 127 \\ 1 & 10 & 27 & 27 & 126 \\ 0 & 1 & 11 & 12 & 25 & 39 \\ 0 & 1 & 11 & 12 & 25 & 39 \\ 0 & 1 & 11 & 12 & 25 & 39 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 237 & 36 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 237 & 36 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 127 & 36 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 127 & 36 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 127 & 36 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 136 & 1126 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 127 & 36 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 127 & 36 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 136 & 1126 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 136 & 1126 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 31 & 37 & 36 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 10 & 29 & 103 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 10 & 193 & 1326 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 10 & 193 & 1326 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 10 & 193 & 1326 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 31 & 37 & 36 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 1002 & 103 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 1002 & 103 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 1002 & 103 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 1002 & 103 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 1002 & 103 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 1002 & 103 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 1002 & 103 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 1002 & 103 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 1002 & 103 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 1002 & 103 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 1002 & 103 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 1002 & 103 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 1002 & 103 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 1002 & 103 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 1002 & 103 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 7 & 1002 & 100 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 &$	1 1 0 6 6 206 1 29	$ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 5 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 5 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0$	$ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{$	140- 137 227 2 2 6 6 4 4 5 7 1 1 1 2 2 7 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	$\sum_{j=1}^{j=1} (j=1) = \sum_{j=1}^{j=1} (j=1) $

.

...

a

٠

-						THE REPORT															
K X.	<u>к</u> 214.45	<u>×1</u> 76 2.20	<u>*</u> 2 67 .04	<u>×3</u> -1.15₄ .04	<u>×4</u> -1.15 .10	× <u>5</u> -1.14 13	y	y ₂ 16 0	<u>y</u> .04 .03	<u>y</u> 4 36 0	<u>y₅</u> 22 0	 37 .03	<u>z</u> 30 .01	$\frac{\frac{z}{3}}{.42}$.04	<u>24</u> .19 .01	2 <u>5</u> .26 0	<u>B</u> 1 52 0. 38 - 2 .10	<u>B</u> 497.04 -12.43	$\frac{B_3}{405.74}$	B ₄ 449.65 -1.69	<u>B5</u> 470.55 -2.74
× ₂			2.18	06	.10	07	0	0	. 02	0	01	.01	.03	01	0	01	-1.49	-1.19	-2.72	-1.98	-2.86
х.				4.14	07	03	.03	£0,3	0	.03	0	.05	01	.04	.04	0	-1.94	-1.34	-2.30	-2.67	-4.86
^3 x.					4.70	.09	01	0	03	0	.05	.01	0	.04	.07	.06	-2.87	-2.23	- 3.16	-3.85	-1.47
4 v						5.67	0	.02	.02	.06	0	0 [°]	01	0	.06	.06	-3.56	-4.05	-3.07	-1.20	-4.19
^5 							.39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	· 0	03	.02	11	.18
y 1								.36	.02	.01	0	0	0	0	0	0	55	29	70	14	08
у ₂									.63	.01	01	. 01	0	0	01	0	44	.21	.02	.15	.62
y 3										.74	.01	0	0	0	0	.02	-1.09	89	92	74	60
^y 4											.93	0	0	61	.02	.01	14	66	81	-1.06	47
y ₅												25	0	.01	0	0	96	61	27	90	96
^z 1						·							. 23	02	0	0	54	68	.43	58	77
^z 2														.41	.02	.01	.76	.10	.70	1.14	.18
² 3															.49	.02	.38	.41	.16	22	.27
^z 4																.59	.35	.15	. 32	.31	.60
^z 5																,	3088.82	1205.86	963.96	1077.73	1149.19
в ₁																		2846.45	891.71	1041.78	1070.84
в ₂																			327.95	909.51	847.10
в3																				4120.97	1072, 15
^B 4																					5152.27
в5																					5152.21

Table VII A portion of the A⁻¹matrix of propionic acid (All values are x10)

-26-





Fig. 4. A projection along $\begin{bmatrix} 100 \end{bmatrix}$ of the unit cell of propionic acid.

Varying distances for bonds comparable to those in propionic acid are reported in the literature. Selected values are listed in Table VIII. The bond lengths and angles obtained in this determination are in good agreement with all other determinations and have a smaller standard deviation than the majority of the previous determinations.

			Table V	/ШI							
		Sel	ected bond of all distance	listances s in Å)							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································			······						
Compound	Method	<u>C-0</u>	C=0	0-н0	C-C	C-CB	С _р -Су	<u>сс</u>	C _δ - C _€	Reference	Page
Diamond	x-Rav			•	1.54452					I	M102
Formaldehyde	I-R+U.V.		$1.230 \pm .017$		±.00014					1	M109
Formic acid	x-Rav	1.26	1.23	2.58						1	M109
Formic acid	Microwave	1.312	1.245							1	M109
Formic acid	Electron diffraction	1,36±.01	1.23±.01							-	M109
Oxalic acid	x-Ray	1.29±.01	1.19±.01		1.56±.01					1	M125
Oxalic acid dihydrate	x-Ray	1.29±.01	1.19±.02		1.53±.02					1	M125
Formic acid dimer	Electron diffraction	1.36±.04	1.25±.03	2.73±.05						1	M133
Acetic acid	Electron diffraction	1.43±.03	1.24±.03	2.76±.06	1.54±.04					1	M132
Acetic acid	x-Ray	1.29±.02	1.24±.02	2.61±.02	1.54±.02					2	484
Sodium oxalate	x-Ray	1.23±.03	1.23±.03		1.54±.03					1	M142
Ammonium oxalate	x-Ray	1.25±.02	1.23±.02		1.56±.02					1	M142
β-nitro propionic acid	x-Ray	1.34±.03	1.22±.03	2.66±.03	1.56±.03	1.53±.03				1	M149
Methyl acetate	Electron diffraction	1.36±.04	1.22±.03		1.52±.04					. 1	M151
Maleic acid	x-Ray	1.28, 1.28	1.20,1.21	2.46	1.44	1.43				1	M 163
Succinic acid	x-Ray	1.30	1.25		1.47 1.51	1.50				1	M 166
Dimethyl oxalate	x-Ray	1.31±.03	1.19±.03		1.53±.03					· 1	M167
β-glutaric acid	x-Ray	1.30	1.23	2.69	1.53	1.53				1	`M184
Adipic acid	x-Ray	1.29	1.23		1.52	1.49	1.54			1	M203
Benzoic acid	x-Ray	1.29±.014	1.24±.014	2.64±.02	1.48±.016					1	M212
Pimelic acid	x-Ray	1.38	1.28		1.44	1.49	1.50			1 ·	M214
Sebacic acid	x-Ray	1.27	1.24		1.51	1.48	1.53	1.50	1.54	1	M226
Malonic acid	x-Ray	1.29	1.24	2.71	1.52					3	125
Pimelic acid	x-Ray	1.31 1.34 1.26	1.22 1.20 1.24	2.68 2.67 2.68	1.50	1.52 1.52	1.55 1.56		•	4	289

References

¹Sutton, L. E. (1958) <u>Tables of Interatomic Distances and Configuration Molecules and Ions</u>, Burlington House. London: The Chemical Society,

² Jones, R. E. and Templeton, D. H. (1958). Acta Cryst.11, 484. ³ Kay, M. I. and Katz, L. (1958). Acta Cryst 11, 289.

⁴Goedkoop, J. A. and MacGillawry, C. H. (1957). Acta Cryst. 10, 125.

-29-

THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF n-BUTYRIC ACID

Introduction

In the determination of the structure of propionic acid, carboncarbon bonds less than 1.54\AA long were found. This raised the question of whether this was an isolated case or whether the same feature would occur in the succeeding members of the series. The logical choice for continuing the investigation of bond lengths and crystal structure was n-butyric acid, CH_2-CH_2-COOH .

Experimental Procedure

A small quantity of n-butyric acid was sealed in a glass capillary 0.3 mm in diameter and mounted on the goniometer head of a specially modified low-temperature Weissenberg camera (Olovsson and Templeton, 1959). A single crystal was grown in the usual manner and aligned about the a axis by means of oscillation photographs.

The melting point of this butyric acid sample (about- 7° C) was not entirely in accord with the literature value of -5.5° C (Timmermans, 1950, p. 387). This discrepancy must be partially attributed to the difficulty of measuring the temperature exactly at the crystal. In order to get a temperature of -5° C, a very low rate of flow of cooling gas was used. Thus, there could have been appreciable heating between the point at which the thermocouple was inserted in the gas stream and the crystal itself.

A rotation picture was taken at a temperature of $-43^{\circ}\pm2^{\circ}$ C and also multiple-film zero - through sixth-layer Weissenberg photographs. CuKa radiation ($\lambda=1.5418$ Å) was used. Although preliminary examinations of a butyric acid crystal indicated a phase transition in the vicinity of -55° C, no structure determination was made at lower temperatures since the crystal available seemed to be twinned and fragmented at these lower temperatures.

Unit Cell and Space Group

The Weissenberg pictures exhibited Laue symmetry, 2/m. The systematic absences indicated a C-centered lattice. The probable space groups were $C2/m(C_{2h}^{3})$, $C2(C_{2}^{3})$, and $Cm(C_{3}^{3})$. If this acid were to have a dimer structure similar to propionic acid, the centric space group would be preferred. A satisfactory refinement was carried out by using C2/m, which tends to confirm this choice.

The unit cell dimensions were determined from a calibrated zero-layer Weissenberg photograph, and uncalibrated rotation and higher-layer Weissenberg pictures. The zero-layer Weissenberg picture was not calibrated directly with a quartz pattern, but with the rotation pattern of the butyric acid crystal at -195° C. It had been hoped that information could be gathered about the low temperature form, and it was impossible to preserve the crystal and still make a quartz cali-The low-temperature zero-layer Weissenberg photograph was bration. in turn calibrated with a zero layer strip of the rotation pattern of a-quartz (a = 4.913). The monoclinic angle β was determined by the method of angular lag (Buerger, 1942, p. 377). The unit cell dimensions are a = $8.014 \pm .080$, b = $6.815 \pm .020$, c = $10.145 \pm .030$ Å, and $\beta = 111^{\circ}27^{'} \pm 15^{'}$. The calculated density based on four molecules per unit cell is 1.135 g $\rm cm^{-3}$. This is in agreement with the reported density of 1.0875 g cm⁻³ at -12⁰ C (Rozental, 1936).

Structure Determination

The atomic scattering factors of Hoerni and Ibers (1954) for carbon and oxygen and of the <u>Internationale Tabellen(1935)</u> for hydrogen were used in all structure factor calculations. The diagonal-approximation least-squares program of Senko and Templeton (Senko, 1957) was used for the least-squares refinements. In all the refinements, the weights of the observed reflection were taken as $(F_{obs})^{-2}$ or $(4F_{min})^{-2}$ if the uncorrected intensity was less than four times the minimum observed intensity. When the reflections were too weak to be observed, F_{obs} was set equal to F_{min} . For $|F_{min}| \ge |F_{calc}|$, the weight was taken as zero, and $|F_{obs}| - |F_{calc}|$ was set equal to zero. For $|F_{min}| < |F_{calc}|$, the reflection was treated in the same manner as an observed reflection. The intensities of the various reflections were estimated visually by comparison with a standard film strip. A total of 353 observed and 84 unobserved reflections occurred in the portion of reciprocal space photographed by the seven Weissenberg levels. The routine intensity corrections were made with the INCOR IBM 650 routine. No correction for absorption was deemed necessary since $\mu r < 0.15$.

A three-dimensional Patterson synthesis was calculated with the corrected intensities and also a sharpened Patterson synthesis in which the coefficients were modified by the factor $\left[(8f_{O} + 16f_{C}) \exp(-0.9\sin^{2}\theta)\right]^{-1}$. There was not a great deal of differences between the two functions although the resolution of peaks was somewhat greater in the sharpened Patterson synthesis.

The synthesis did show, however, an almost total concentration of peaks in the sections y = 0 and y = 1/2. This indicated that the molecules lie in a plane perpendicular to the baxis, one-halfa cell apart. A trial structure consistent with the Patterson function was found by assuming a dimer structure about a center of symmetry, and placing the molecules in the mirror plane special positions of space group C2/m,

4 (i): $\pm(x, 0, z) + C$.

The coordinates were the following.

	<u>x</u>	<u>y</u>	Z
0 ₁	0.22	0	0.03
0 ₂	0	0	0.83
C_3^{-}	0.16	0	0.90
c ₄	0.29	0	0.82
C_	0.20	0	0.66
c ₆	0.34	0	0.60

Successive least-squares refinements brought the discrepancy factor, R_1 , down to 0.167. Hydrogen atoms were added to the calculation by assuming a staggered configuration of the hydrogen atoms in the chain. The hydrogen atom in the hydrogen bond was placed on the line between the oxygen atoms, 1.6Å away from the carbonyl oxygen.

This placed them in two sets of general positions,

 $8(j): = \pm(x, y, z, y, x, y, z) + C,$

and two sets of special positions, 4 (i).

Further refinement gave an R_1 of 0.128. However, the positions of the hydrogen atoms were very unreliable, and in the final calculation the hydrogen parameters were left out. The final R_1 of 0.159 is based only on the carbon and oxygen parameters. These are listed in Table IX together with their standard deviations and bond lengths. A drawing of the dimeric molecule is shown in Fig. 5. The observed and calculated structure factors for the final carbon and oxygen parameters are listed in Table X. It is hoped that further refinement can be carried out using anisotropic temperature factors, and that this will improve the accuracy of the structure.

Discussion of the Structure

The structure as reported has all the carbon and oxygen atoms located in a mirror plane, about a center of symmetry. This gives a planar dimer structure. However, a three-dimensional difference synthesis showed that there are fairly large anisotropic thermal vibrations. This anisotropic motion may account for the fairly large value of the discrepancy factor, R_1 , and the short terminal C-C bond.

Except for this short terminal C-C bond, the lengths are in agreement with those observed in propionic acid. It is surprising that the butyric acid molecule is planar when the propionic acid molecule has the terminal carbon atom out of plane. The accuracy of the bond lengths is affected by the anisotropic vibration and is not as great as had been desired. However, it does appear that there is a significant departure from the 1.54Å C-C bond length in the low molecular weight fatty acids.

		F	inal param	eters	for butyr	ic aci	d		
·	<u></u>	<u>y</u>	<u>_Z</u>	B	$\frac{\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}}{\mathbf{x}}$	<u>σ</u> у	σz		
0,	.2365	0	.0370	8.19	.0011	-	.0008		
o_2	0008	0	.8377	7.49	.0010	-	.0007	• .	
C	.1580	0	.8951	6.51	.0014		.0010		
C ₄	.2919	0	.8267	7.54	.0016	-	.0012		
C_5	.2082	0	.6654	8.86	.0018	-	.0013		
C ₆	.3452	0	.5975	8.89	.0018	.	.0013		
J.			•*			•		,	٧
R	R ₁ = .159		R ₂ = 1.154		$R_3 = .154$	fo	r all 437	refle	ctions
E	Sond distance $O_1 - O_2'$ $O_1 - C_3$ $O_2 - C_3$ $C_3 - C_4$	ces.	2.63±.(1.34±.(1.19±.(1.48±.()2A)1Å)2Å)2Å					
	C ₄ - C ₅ C ₅ - C ₆		1.52±.(1.49±.()2Å)2Å					

Table IX



Fig. 5. Distances and angles in n-butyric acid.

h 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 0 1 2 0 1 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 1 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
h h f r_{0} $ r_{c} $ 1 5 2- 12 9- 1 5 3 - 48 47- 1 5 3 - 48 47- 1 5 4 - 11* 4 1 5 5 36 44- 1 5 5 - 19 32 1 5 6 - 12 34 1 5 7 - 10* 5- 1 5 7 - 10* 5- 1 5 7 - 10* 5- 1 5 7 - 10* 15 1 7 0 10* 15 1 7 1 - 10* 6- 1 7 2 10* 1- 1 7 2 10* 1- 1 7 2 10* 1- 1 7 2 10* 1- 1 7 3 - 17 15- 1 7 4 9 12- 2 0 0 304 389-* 2 0 2 126 94- 2 0 3 - 24 210- 2 0 3 - 34 39 222-** 2 0 3 - 34 39 222-** 2 0 5 - 30 27 2 0 4 - 349 222-** 2 0 5 - 30 27 2 0 4 - 349 222-** 2 0 5 - 30 27 2 0 4 - 349 222-** 2 0 5 - 30 27 2 0 4 - 349 222-** 2 0 5 - 30 27 2 0 4 - 349 222-** 2 0 5 - 30 27 2 0 4 - 349 222-** 2 0 5 - 30 27 2 0 4 - 349 222-** 2 0 5 - 30 27 2 0 4 - 349 222-** 2 0 5 - 30 27 2 0 4 - 349 222-** 2 0 5 - 30 27 2 0 4 - 349 222-** 2 0 5 - 30 27 2 0 4 - 349 5 2 0 7 - 49 71 2 0 8 - 102 78 2 0 12- 7* 3- 2 2 6 - 71 75 2 2 7 10 3 2 2 7 - 57 21 2 4 217 19- 2 2 6 - 71 75 2 2 7 10 3 2 7 - 57 21 2 4 217 19- 2 2 6 - 71 75 2 2 7 10 3 2 2 7 - 57 2 4 17 3161- 2 4 2 8 17 19- 2 4 2 10 - 14 1 7- 2 4 0 14 3 - 2 4 2 10 - 14 1 7 2 4 0 14 3 - 2 4 2 10 - 14 1 - 2 4 0 14 3 - 2 4 2 10 - 14 1 - 2 4 0 64- 3 - 2 4 2 10 - 14 1 - 2 4 0 14 3 - 2 4 2 10 - 14 1 - 2 4 0 14 3 - 2 4 2 10 14 1 - 2 4 0 14 3 - 2 4 2 10 14 1 - 2 4 0 14 3 - 2 4 2 10 14 1 - 2 4 0 14 3 - 2 4 2 10 14 1 - 2 4 0 14 3 - 2 4 2 10 14 2 4 - 4 5 0 70- 2 4 5 10* 6- 2 4 5 10* 6- 2 4 5 0 70- 2 4 5 10* 6- 2 4 5 0 70- 2 4 5 0 70-
h k ℓ Γ_{c} 2 6 2 2 7 30- 2 6 3 10° 15- 2 6 3- 17 31- 2 6 4- 16 22 2 6 5- 10° 4 2 6 5- 10° 4 2 6 6- 13 14 2 6 6 13 14 2 6 7- 15 13 2 6 6 13 14 2 6 6 13 14 3 1 16 108 3 1 16 16 16 3 1 3 16 11 19 3 1 3 16 16 14 3 1 7 26 70 3 3 1 5 19 13 14 3 16 <t< td=""></t<>
h k ℓ F ₀ F ₀ 4 0 5 40 34 4 0 6 66 59 4 0 6 103 89 4 0 7 7 21 15 4 0 8 6 ⁺ 5 4 0 8 - 143 104- 4 0 10- 29 22- 4 0 12- 10 8 4 2 0 125 128 4 2 1 - 102 117 4 2 2 2 6 6 6- 4 2 2 - 28 32- 4 2 1 - 102 117 4 2 3 - 30 26- 4 2 4 - 104 114 4 2 5 30 27 4 2 4 - 104 114 4 2 5 - 21 29 4 2 4 - 104 114 4 2 5 - 21 29 4 2 6 - 70 69 4 2 7 1 4 13 5 5 1 1- 4 4 4 1 29 41 4 2 10 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 2 10 - 24 18- 4 4 1 - 35 51 4 4 2 10 - 15- 4 4 3 13 10- 4 4 3 - 12 10- 4 4 4 1 - 35 34 4 4 7 8 7- 4 4 6 - 35 34 4 4 7 8 7- 4 4 6 - 35 34 4 4 7 8 7- 4 4 6 - 35 34 4 4 7 8 7- 4 4 6 - 35 34 4 4 7 8 7- 4 4 6 - 35 34 4 4 7 8 7- 4 4 8 - 47 46- 5 15 15 5 1 3 - 4 4 8 - 47 46- 5 15 15 5 1 3 - 4 6 2 8 5- 5 1 3 38 41- 5 1 1 2 - 8 5- 5 1 0 18- 4 6 1 8 12 5 1 2 - 32 38 5 1 3 - 127 140 5 1 12 - 8 7 5 1 2 - 32 38 5 1 3 - 127 140 5 1 12 - 87 82- 5 1 3 38 41- 5 1 1 - 87 82- 5 1 3 - 82 15- 5 1 7 6 ⁺ 7 5- 5 1 0 18 827- 5 1 0 18 - 28 15- 5 1 0 - 87 64 5 1 7 6 ⁺ 77 5- 5 1 8 - 28 15- 5 1 7 6 ⁺ 77 5- 5 1 8 - 28 15- 5 1 7 6 ⁺ 77 5- 5 1 8 - 28 15- 5 1 7 6 ⁺ 77 5- 5 1 8 - 28 15- 5 1 7 - 15 17- 5 1 8 - 28 15- 5 1 7 - 15 17- 5 1 8 - 28 15- 5 1 7 - 15 17- 5 1 8 - 28 15- 5 1 7 - 57 7 5- 5 1 8 - 28 15- 5 1 7 - 57 17- 5 1 8 - 28 15-
h k ℓ ℓ ℓ 5 3 1- 44 50 5 3 2- 18 25- 5 3 2- 18 25- 5 3 2- 18- 25- 5 3 4- 64 23 18- 5 3 4- 64 23 18- 5 3 4- 64 35 15 12- 5 3 6- 39 36 53 16- 19 5 3 6- 39 36 53 16- 19 5 3 10- 21 11 15 55 1 17 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5

Table X. Observed and calculated structure factors for n-butyric acid. * indicates minimum observable structure factor. ** indicates intensity measurement very uncertain. These ** reflections were omitted from the least-squares and Fourier calculations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful for the constant encouragement and guidance of Professor David H. Templeton throughout the course of this research. Mr. Ivar Olovsson has greatly aided me in many discussions and has instructed me in low temperature techniques. My fellow workers in the crystallographic laboratory have assisted me in many ways; for this I am truly thankful.

I would like to thank Dr. Clark Egan of the California Research Corporation for calling the carbon tetrabromide $\cdot p$ -xylene problem to my attention, for supplying the reagents for the synthesis, and for communicating some preliminary results on cell dimensions obtained by Dr. Robert Barieau.

I am also indebted to the University of California and the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory for generously supporting this work, under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

REFERENCES

Andrews, L. J. (1954). Chemical Reviews 54, 713.

Bartell, L. S. (1959). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 81, 3497.

Bond, W. L. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 375.

Buerger, M. J. (1942). X-Ray Crystallography, p. 377, New York; Wiley.

Dodge, R. P. (1958). Ph. D. Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley.

Egan, C. J., and Luthy, R. V. (1955). Ind. and Engin. Chem. 47,250.

Hardgrove, G. L. (1959). Ph. D. Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley.

Hassel, O. and Strømme, K. O. (1958). <u>Acta Chemica Scandinavica</u> 12, 1146.

Hoerni, J. A., and Ibers, J. A. (1954). <u>Acta Cryst</u>. 7,744.

Holtzberg, F., Post, B., and Fankuchen, I. (1953). <u>Acta Cryst. 6</u>, 127. Hughes, E. W. (1941). J. <u>Am. Chem. Soc. 63</u>, 1737.

Internationale Tabellen zur Bestimmung von Kristallstrukturen, Zweiter

Band (1935). p. 571, Berlin: Gebruder Borntraeger.

International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography, volume 1 (1952).

Birmingham; The Kynoch Press.

Jones, R. E. and Templeton, D. H. (1958). Acta Cryst. 11, 484.

Kapustinskii, A. F. (1947). <u>Bull. Acad. Sci.</u> <u>USSR</u>, <u>Classe Sci.</u> <u>Chim.</u>, 435.
Kapustinskii, A. F. (1947). <u>Izvest. Akad.</u> <u>Nauk SSSR</u>, <u>Odtel Khim Nauk</u>, 233.
Klug, H. P. and Alexander, L. E. (1954). <u>X-ray Diffraction Procedures</u>,

p.155, New York: Wiley.

Krueger, A. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 348.

Olovsson, I. and Templeton, D. H. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12 (in press).

Pauling, L. (1951). Nature of the Chemical Bond, p. 174, Ithaca: Cornell Press.

Rozental, D. (1936). Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 45, 585.

Senko, M. E. (1957). Ph. D. Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley.

Shearer, H. M. M., and Vand, V. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 379.

Templeton, D. H. (1956). <u>Acta Cryst. 9</u>, 199.
Thomas, L. H., and Umeda, K. (1957). <u>J. Chem. Phys. 26</u>, 293.
Timmermans, J. (1950). <u>Physico-Chemical Constants of Pure Organic</u> Compounds, p. 387, New York: Elsevier.

Timmermans, J. (1952). <u>Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 61</u>, 393. Vand, V., Morley, W. M., and Lomer, T. R. (1951).

<u>Acta Cryst.</u> 4, 324.

von Sydow, E. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 810.

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.