

UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Commentary: No-test medication abortion: A sample protocol for increasing access during a pandemic and beyond

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0qj71155>

Journal

Contraception, 101(6)

ISSN

0010-7824

Authors

Raymond, Elizabeth G
Grossman, Daniel
Mark, Alice
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2020-06-01

DOI

10.1016/j.contraception.2020.04.005

Peer reviewed

1 COMMENTARY

2 No-Test Medication Abortion: A Sample Protocol for Increasing Access During
3 a Pandemic and Beyond

4 Elizabeth G. Raymond, MD, MPH^a; Daniel Grossman, MD^b; Alice Mark, MD,
5 MSc^c; Ushma D. Upadhyay, PhD, MPH^b; Gillian Dean, MD, MPH^d; Mitchell D.
6 Creinin, MD^e; Leah Coplon, MPH, RN^f; Jamila Perritt, MD, MPH^g; Jessica M.
7 Atrio, MD, MSc^h; DeShawn Taylor, MD, MScⁱ; Marji Gold, MD^j

8 ^a. Gynuity Health Projects, New York, NY, eraymond@gynuity.org

9 ^b. Advancing New Standards in Reproductive Health (ANSIRH), Bixby Center
10 for Global Reproductive Health, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and
11 Reproductive Sciences, University of California San Francisco, Oakland, CA,
12 Daniel.Grossman@ucsf.edu, Ushma.Upadhyay@ucsf.edu

13 ^c. National Abortion Federation, Washington DC, amark@prochoice.org

14 ^d. Planned Parenthood Federation of America, New York, NY,
15 gillian.dean@ppfa.org

16 ^e. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of California, Davis;
17 Sacramento, CA, mdcreinin@ucdavis.edu

18 ^f. Maine Family Planning, Augusta, ME, lcoplon@mainefamilyplanning.org

19 ^g. Reproductive Health and Family Planning Specialist, Washington DC,
20 jamila.perritt@gmail.com

21 ^h. Society of Family Planning, Clinical Affairs Subcommittee and Department
22 of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Montefiore Hospital and Albert Einstein
23 College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, jatrio@montefiore.org

24 ⁱ. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Arizona College of
25 Medicine Phoenix, Arizona, d.taylor@desertstarfp.org

26 ^j. Department of Family and Social Medicine, Albert Einstein College of
27 Medicine, Bronx, NY, mgold@montefiore.org

28

29 Corresponding Author: Elizabeth Raymond, MD, MPH, Gynuity Health
30 Projects, 220 E 42nd St #710, New York, NY 10017, 201-448-1230,
31 eraymond@gynuity.org

32 Financial support: This work was supported by an anonymous donor and
33 BaSe Family Fund.

34 Word count: 2256

35 The findings and conclusions in this article are those of the authors and do
36 not necessarily represent the views of Planned Parenthood Federation of
37 America, Inc.

38 Declarations of interest: MDC is a consultant for Danco Laboratories.

39

40 Keywords: abortion, mifepristone, misoprostol, ultrasound, COVID-19,
41 pandemic, telehealth

42 1. INTRODUCTION

43 The COVID-19 pandemic is acutely threatening access to essential health
44 services, including abortion.[1] Across all fields of medicine, changes in
45 practice models are occurring rapidly. For patients seeking abortion, urgent
46 modifications of current protocols are needed to ensure that patients can
47 continue to obtain this time-sensitive treatment while limiting transmission
48 of infection by maintaining distance between and among patients and
49 providers. Remote delivery of care, which has recently been endorsed by
50 local, state, and federal authorities as a key epidemic control measure,[2]
51 will be indispensable to accommodate patients and staff who are navigating
52 quarantines, stay-at-home directives, lack of transportation, new family or
53 work obligations, or other unavoidable circumstances that impede their
54 ability to go in person to a health facility.

55 Fortunately, medication abortion (MA) using mifepristone and misoprostol
56 can address many of these challenges. At present, MA typically entails a visit
57 to a clinician or facility that provides abortion where an ultrasound or pelvic
58 examination and often blood tests are performed to evaluate eligibility
59 before pills are dispensed. Many abortion providers require a follow-up
60 ultrasound or blood test after treatment to confirm abortion completion.
61 However, research and experience have demonstrated that these tests,
62 which inherently involve physical contact between patient and health care
63 worker, are usually unnecessary for safe and effective MA.[3-7] Indeed, over
64 the past 15 years, international organizations have provided mifepristone
65 and misoprostol by mail to tens of thousands of patients screened only by
66 history.[8-11] A prospective study conducted in 2015-2016 in the United
67 States, Mexico, and Moldova provided 406 MAs without screening ultrasound
68 or pelvic examination.[12] No serious adverse events were reported that
69 resulted from the omission of the tests, and participants were highly
70 satisfied.

71 To assist abortion providers with the current crisis, we present a sample
72 protocol (Figure 1) for providing a "no-test" MA that includes
73 recommendations for patient selection, Rh status evaluation and
74 management, the treatment regimen, and follow-up. Although FDA-imposed
75 restrictions on mifepristone dispensing may require patients to present to
76 the abortion provider or facility to obtain the drug,[13] this protocol would
77 enable every other part of the MA process to be implemented without any in-
78 person encounter. The protocol is intended to serve as a guidance; abortion
79 providers should use clinical judgment when adapting it for their practice
80 settings and patient populations. Below we summarize the data that we
81 considered in developing this protocol and our rationales for and comments
82 on selected provisions.

83 2. PATIENT SELECTION

84 The three key goals of clinical evaluation before MA are (1) to confirm that
85 the gestational age (GA) is within accepted limits for effective and safe
86 outpatient treatment, (2) to exclude ectopic pregnancy, and (3) to establish
87 that the patient has no other contraindications to MA.

88 The sample no-test MA protocol specifies an upper GA limit of 77 days as
89 estimated from the first day of the last menstrual period (LMP). The LMP-
90 based GA should be ≤ 77 days on the day of mifepristone ingestion, which
91 may be later than the day the drug is dispensed if the patient plans to take
92 the pills home for later use or if the medication is mailed or dispensed to a
93 patient intermediary. The patient should be certain within one week of the
94 LMP onset date.

95 We chose a 77-day limit because recent data have indicated that outpatient
96 MA is safe and effective through that GA[14,15] and because this limit is
97 consistent with current guidelines of the National Abortion Federation[16]
98 and Planned Parenthood Federation of America (personal communication,
99 Gillian Dean, MD, MPH, Planned Parenthood Federation of America). We note,
100 though, that 2014 guidelines issued by the American College of Obstetricians

101 and Gynecologists (ACOG) and the Society for Family Planning[17] as well as
102 the mifepristone label approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in
103 2016 specify a 70-day limit. In response to the pandemic, ACOG has recently
104 issued a statement acknowledging that LMP-based gestational dating without
105 ultrasound is acceptable, although no specific GA limit was specified.[18]

106 Regardless of the precise GA limit selected, use of the no-test approach will
107 inevitably result in treatment of some fraction of patients whose true GAs
108 exceed 77 days. Data from studies that compared LMP-based GA estimates
109 to ultrasound-based estimates suggest that this fraction tends to be higher in
110 patient populations that include more patients with advanced GA[19,20] and
111 that it may be reduced by decreasing the LMP-based GA cutoff.[19]

112 Reassuringly, the largest study, which was conducted in the United States in
113 2005-2007,[21] found that only 31 (1%) of 3,012 MA patients who were
114 certain that their LMPs had started ≤ 77 days prior had GAs > 77 days by
115 ultrasound examination. Furthermore, international studies that included
116 nearly two thousand patients treated with mifepristone and one or more
117 misoprostol doses at 13-24 weeks of gestation reported efficacy and safety
118 similar to that expected in earlier gestation: $> 93\%$ of patients aborted
119 without further intervention, 0.7-4% required transfusion, and no patient
120 required hysterectomy or died.[22] Therefore, we expect that serious
121 adverse health consequences of GA underestimation based on LMP will be
122 rare. Nevertheless, clinicians using the no-test approach to MA should have a
123 plan for managing or referring patients who may need a second trimester
124 procedure to complete the abortion.

125 When assessing GA, providers may incorporate other historical information
126 reported by the patient that, for simplicity, we do not mention in the sample
127 protocol but that may indicate that the GA is greater than the proposed limit.
128 For example, a patient who reports a positive pregnancy test > 7 weeks
129 before presentation is unlikely to have a GA of ≤ 77 days. The sample
130 protocol does not exclude patients who report menstrual irregularity or

131 recent use of hormonal contraceptives. Although these conditions may signal
132 ovulatory dysfunction, we expect that they would more likely lead to
133 overestimation of GA than to underestimation, which is the primary concern
134 for MA eligibility, and excluding patients with these conditions may therefore
135 unnecessarily limit access by eligible patients.

136 MA with mifepristone and misoprostol is contraindicated in patients with
137 ectopic pregnancy not because the drugs are dangerous for such patients
138 but because the regimen is not a proven treatment for this condition. The
139 sample no-test protocol excludes patients with significant symptoms of or
140 risk factors for ectopic pregnancy; recent vaginal bleeding or pelvic pain,
141 prior permanent contraception, prior ectopic pregnancy, or intrauterine
142 device in place at conception.[23,24] We do not exclude patients who report
143 prior pelvic inflammatory disease because unconfirmed diagnoses of this
144 condition are associated with only a mildly increased risk.[24] We recognize
145 that the listed criteria will not identify every patient with ectopic pregnancy;
146 an estimated half of all patients with this condition have no risk factors.[25]
147 However, published and emerging data suggest that the incidence of ectopic
148 pregnancy among patients seeking MA is very low, <1%.[26,27] Moreover,
149 substantial data[28-32] and current clinical MA guidelines[16,33] support
150 treatment of patients in whom ectopic pregnancy has not been definitively
151 excluded because the condition can be detected and managed afterwards.
152 Thus, this aspect of the protocol is consistent with the standard of care.

153 The medical contraindications in the sample protocol are those listed in the
154 FDA-approved mifepristone label. Patient history is sufficient for assessing
155 these conditions.

156 3. RH TYPING AND OTHER PRE-TREATMENT LABORATORY TESTING

157 Recent research has suggested that the risk of Rh sensitization after early
158 abortion is negligible.[34-36] Consequently, the National Abortion Federation
159 has concluded that forgoing Rh typing and administration of anti-D
160 immunoglobulin is reasonable for Rh-negative patients having aspiration

161 abortion before 56 days of gestation and may be considered for all patients
162 having MA at less than 70 days.[16,37] The sample protocol is consistent
163 with this conclusion. In addition, it specifies that testing is unnecessary for
164 patients who can report a Rh-positive blood type or who are certain that they
165 want no future children after the planned abortion. Any patient may opt out
166 of Rh typing; the recent statement from ACOG notes that Rh testing and RhD
167 immunoglobulin administration should not be a barrier to the provision of
168 medication abortion.[18]

169 Hemoglobin/hematocrit and other laboratory tests are not routinely needed
170 before first-trimester abortion but may be performed as indicated by medical
171 history and patient symptoms.[16]

172 4. TREATMENT REGIMEN

173 The sample protocol specifies that patients should receive a standard
174 regimen of mifepristone 200 mg orally and misoprostol 800 mcg vaginally or
175 buccally.[16] In addition, each patient should be provided with an extra dose
176 of misoprostol 800 mcg. Those with estimated GA >63 days should be
177 instructed to take this second misoprostol dose 4 hours after the first to
178 improve effectiveness.[16,38] Patients with estimated GA <63 days may be
179 instructed to take the second dose if no bleeding occurs within the first 24
180 hours after the first dose or to retain it for use if recommended by the
181 provider. Alternatively, all patients may be told to take two misoprostol
182 doses 4 hours apart. Although this specific regimen has not been studied,
183 trials of repeated doses of misoprostol in the first and second trimester
184 suggest that it will be safe.[39-43]

185 5. SCHEDULED FOLLOW-UP

186 The primary goals of follow-up are to confirm absence of continuing
187 pregnancy, to detect ectopic pregnancies not diagnosed before treatment,
188 and to identify complications that need evaluation and treatment. To
189 accomplish these goals, the sample protocol relies on patient symptoms and

190 high sensitivity urine pregnancy tests (HSPTs) that the patient performs at
191 home. This strategy has been validated in several studies,[44,45] is
192 consistent with current MA guidelines for follow-up of patients who have
193 documented intrauterine pregnancies,[16,17] and is increasingly used by MA
194 providers.

195 The sample instruction sheet (Figure 2), which includes a list of symptoms
196 that may need in-person evaluation, is derived from studies of symptoms
197 used to assess outcomes in MA patients with intrauterine pregnancies
198 documented by ultrasound[44-47] and from experience in managing patients
199 with ectopic pregnancies. The instruction sheet directs patients to contact
200 the abortion provider if specified symptoms occur or the HSPT result is
201 positive. Research has shown that patients can safely use these tools on
202 their own to recognize when follow-up is needed,[48,49] and indeed patient-
203 controlled follow-up is widely used for MA follow-up by provider organizations
204 in multiple European countries.[50-52] However, the sample no-test protocol
205 recommends a planned follow-up contact with the provider one week after
206 dispensing the abortifacient medications to confirm absence of symptoms of
207 ongoing or undiagnosed ectopic pregnancy or other potential complications.
208 This contact may be conducted by videoconference, telephone, patient
209 portal, email, text, or other telehealth modalities.[53,54]

210 MA failures are often detectable based on symptoms alone.[6,44,47-49]
211 Nevertheless, the sample no-test protocol recommends a HSPT 4 weeks after
212 misoprostol use to confirm pregnancy termination. Available data indicate
213 that 5-25% of HSPTs performed about a month after MA treatment produce
214 positive results, nearly all of which are "false positives" in patients who no
215 longer have viable pregnancies.[44,45] Therefore, the sample protocol
216 recommends that two HSPTs be provided initially to each patient. The
217 patient should be instructed to call the provider if the result of the initial 4-
218 week test is positive. If the patient is asymptomatic, a repeat test one week
219 later may be appropriate. If the patient has symptoms of ongoing or ectopic

220 pregnancy or the second HSPT result is positive, further evaluation is
221 indicated. The specific procedures for this evaluation should address the
222 patient's individual clinical situation and may include ultrasound, serial
223 serum HCG levels, additional urine pregnancy testing, or aspiration and
224 tissue examination.

225 Patients receiving a no-test MA may remain at risk for having ectopic
226 pregnancy until a negative HSPT result is obtained. Therefore, vigilant
227 attention on the part of both provider and patients to symptoms such as
228 increased pelvic or abdominal pain, continued vaginal bleeding, or dizziness
229 is imperative.

230 6. COUNSELING

231 Patients requesting a no-test MA should receive standard pre-abortion
232 counseling about pregnancy options, the risks and benefits of MA, expected
233 results, side effects, and warning signs. In addition, each patient should be
234 explicitly informed that LMP-based dating may underestimate GA, in which
235 case efficacy may be lower than expected, bleeding and cramping may be
236 heavier, and, rarely, fetal tissue may be visible. Moreover, patients should
237 understand that without ultrasound, ectopic pregnancy will not be
238 definitively excluded before treatment. To increase the chance of abortion
239 success and reduce the time to diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy or MA
240 complications, patients should be advised to diligently follow all instructions
241 provided. However, patients should also be advised that serious adverse
242 events of no-test abortion are expected to be rare and that side effects of MA
243 can often be managed remotely. To avoid unnecessary infectious exposure
244 during a pandemic as well as excess cost and inconvenience, patients should
245 contact the abortion provider before seeking in-person care.

246 7. CONCLUSION

247 Although the COVID-19 crisis prompted the development of this sample
248 protocol, we recognize that the pandemic is only one of many longstanding,

249 serious impediments to abortion access in the United States. Omitting
250 unnecessary use of ultrasound, examination, and laboratory tests before MA
251 can reduce barriers to this essential service by decreasing cost and
252 enhancing convenience and comfort. The no-test approach can enable
253 provision of abortion in new venues and by new categories of providers, and
254 it can facilitate new service delivery models, such as synchronous or
255 asynchronous telehealth, stationary or mobile "mini-clinics", pill pick-up
256 arrangements, or dispensing via lockboxes or, potentially, by mail.[7,54] If
257 the no-test strategy results in earlier treatment, it may increase MA success
258 rates.[14,43,55] Details of the no-test MA protocol will certainly need to be
259 revised as new evidence emerges, but we anticipate that this approach to
260 providing the service will continue to be beneficial for both patients and
261 abortion providers even after the current epidemic resolves.

262 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

263 We are grateful to Patricia Lohr, MD, MPH, British Pregnancy Advisory
264 Service, and Alisa Goldberg, MD, MPH, Planned Parenthood League of
265 Massachusetts, for invaluable advice and information.

266 REFERENCES

- 267 [1] American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Joint Statement
268 on Abortion Access During the COVID-19 Outbreak. 2020 [cited 2020
269 March 18]; Available from:
270 [https://www.acog.org/news/news-releases/2020/03/joint-statement-on-](https://www.acog.org/news/news-releases/2020/03/joint-statement-on-abortion-access-during-the-covid-19-outbreak)
271 [abortion-access-during-the-covid-19-outbreak](https://www.acog.org/news/news-releases/2020/03/joint-statement-on-abortion-access-during-the-covid-19-outbreak).
- 272 [2] Robeznieks A. Key changes made to telehealth guidelines to boost
273 COVID-19 care. 2020 [cited 2020 29 March]; Available from:
274 [https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/public-health/key-changes-](https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/public-health/key-changes-made-telehealth-guidelines-boost-covid-19-care)
275 [made-telehealth-guidelines-boost-covid-19-care](https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/public-health/key-changes-made-telehealth-guidelines-boost-covid-19-care).
- 276 [3] Raymond EG, Grossman D, Wiebe E, Winikoff B. Reaching women
277 where they are: eliminating the initial in-person medical abortion visit.
278 *Contraception* 2015;92:190-3.

- 279 [4] Kapp N, Grossman D, Jackson E, Castleman L, Brahmi D. A research
280 agenda for moving early medical pregnancy termination over the
281 counter. *BJOG* 2017;124:1646-52.
- 282 [5] Ellertson C, Elul B, Winikoff B. Can women use medical abortion
283 without medical supervision? *Reprod Health Matters* 1997;9:149-61.
- 284 [6] Harper C, Ellertson C, Winikoff B. Could American women use
285 mifepristone-misoprostol pills safely with less medical supervision?
286 *Contraception* 2002;65:133-42.
- 287 [7] Raymond E, Chong E, Winikoff B, et al. TelAbortion: evaluation of a
288 direct to patient telemedicine abortion service in the United States.
289 *Contraception* 2019;100:173-7.
- 290 [8] Aiken ARA, Digol I, Trussell J, Gomperts R. Self reported outcomes and
291 adverse events after medical abortion through online telemedicine:
292 population based study in the Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland.
293 *Bmj* 2017;357:j2011.
- 294 [9] Gomperts RJ, Jelinska K, Davies S, Gemzell-Danielsson K, Kleiverda G.
295 Using telemedicine for termination of pregnancy with mifepristone and
296 misoprostol in settings where there is no access to safe services. *BJOG*
297 2008;115:1171-5; discussion 5-8.
- 298 [10] Gomperts R, Petow SA, Jelinska K, Steen L, Gemzell-Danielsson K,
299 Kleiverda G. Regional differences in surgical intervention following
300 medical termination of pregnancy provided by telemedicine. *Acta*
301 *Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2012;91:226-31.
- 302 [11] Endler M, Beets L, Gemzell Danielsson K, Gomperts R. Safety and
303 acceptability of medical abortion through telemedicine after 9 weeks of
304 gestation: a population-based cohort study. *BJOG* 2019;126:609-18.
- 305 [12] Raymond EG, Tan YL, Comendant R, et al. Simplified medical abortion
306 screening: a demonstration project. *Contraception* 2018;97:292-6.
- 307 [13] Raymond EG, Blanchard K, Blumenthal PD, et al. Sixteen Years of
308 Overregulation: Time to Unburden Mifeprex. *N Engl J Med*
309 2017;376:790-4.

- 310 [14] Dzuba IG, Chong E, Hannum C, et al. A non-inferiority study of
311 outpatient mifepristone-misoprostol medical abortion at 64-70 days
312 and 71-77 days of gestation. *Contraception* 2020.
- 313 [15] Kapp N, Eckersberger E, Lavelanet A, Rodriguez MI. Medical abortion in
314 the late first trimester: a systematic review. *Contraception* 2019;99:77-
315 86.
- 316 [16] National Abortion Federation. Clinical Policy Guidelines. Washington
317 DC: National Abortion Federation 2020.
- 318 [17] American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Practice Bulletin
319 No. 143: Medical management of first-trimester abortion. *Obstet*
320 *Gynecol* 2014;123:676-92.
- 321 [18] American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. COVID-19 FAQs
322 for Obstetrician-Gynecologists, *Gynecology*. [cited 2020 30 March];
323 Available from: [https://www.acog.org/en/clinical-information/physician-
324 faqs/COVID19-FAQs-for-Ob-Gyns-Gynecology](https://www.acog.org/en/clinical-information/physician-faqs/COVID19-FAQs-for-Ob-Gyns-Gynecology).
- 325 [19] Raymond EG, Bracken H. Early medical abortion without prior
326 ultrasound. *Contraception* 2015;92:212-4.
- 327 [20] Constant D, Harries J, Moodley J, Myer L. Accuracy of gestational age
328 estimation from last menstrual period among women seeking abortion
329 in South Africa, with a view to task sharing: a mixed methods study.
330 *Reprod Health* 2017;14:100.
- 331 [21] Bracken H, Clark W, Lichtenberg ES, et al. Alternatives to routine
332 ultrasound for eligibility assessment prior to early termination of
333 pregnancy with mifepristone-misoprostol. *BJOG : an international*
334 *journal of obstetrics and gynaecology* 2011;118:17-23.
- 335 [22] Harris LH, Grossman D. Complications of Unsafe and Self-Managed
336 Abortion. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382:1029-40.
- 337 [23] Barnhart KT. Clinical practice. Ectopic pregnancy. *N Engl J Med*
338 2009;361:379-87.
- 339 [24] Ankum WM, Mol BW, Van der Veen F, Bossuyt PM. Risk factors for
340 ectopic pregnancy: a meta-analysis. *Fertil Steril* 1996;65:1093-9.

- 341 [25] Marion LL, Meeks GR. Ectopic pregnancy: History, incidence,
342 epidemiology, and risk factors. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 2012;55:376-86.
- 343 [26] Foster DG, Higgins JA, Karasek D, Ma S, Grossman D. Attitudes toward
344 unprotected intercourse and risk of pregnancy among women seeking
345 abortion. *Womens Health Issues* 2012;22:e149-55.
- 346 [27] Ulmann A, Silvestre L, Chemama L, et al. Medical termination of early
347 pregnancy with mifepristone (RU 486) followed by a prostaglandin
348 analogue. Study in 16,369 women. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*
349 1992;71:278-83.
- 350 [28] Goldstone P, Michelson J, Williamson E. Effectiveness of early medical
351 abortion using low-dose mifepristone and buccal misoprostol in women
352 with no defined intrauterine gestational sac. *Contraception*
353 2013;87:855-8.
- 354 [29] Schaff EA, Fielding SL, Eisinger S, Stadalius L. Mifepristone and
355 misoprostol for early abortion when no gestational sac is present.
356 *Contraception* 2001;63:251-4.
- 357 [30] Heller R, Cameron S. Termination of pregnancy at very early gestation
358 without visible yolk sac on ultrasound. *J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care*
359 2015;41:90-5.
- 360 [31] Bizjak I, Fiala C, Berggren L, et al. Efficacy and safety of very early
361 medical termination of pregnancy: a cohort study. *BJOG : an*
362 *international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology* 2017;124:1993-9.
- 363 [32] Li CL, Chen DJ, Song LP, et al. Effectiveness and Safety of Lower Doses
364 of Mifepristone Combined With Misoprostol for the Termination of Ultra-
365 Early Pregnancy: A Dose-Ranging Randomized Controlled Trial.
366 *Reproductive sciences* 2015;22:706-11.
- 367 [33] Planned Parenthood Federation of America. *Medical Standards and*
368 *Guidelines*. New York: Planned Parenthood Federation of America;
369 2018.
- 370 [34] Horvath S, Tsao P, Huang ZY, et al. The concentration of fetal red blood
371 cells in first-trimester pregnant women undergoing uterine aspiration is

- 372 below the calculated threshold for Rh sensitization. Contraception
373 2020.
- 374 [35] Hollenbach SJ, Cochran M, Harrington A. "Provoked" fetomaternal
375 hemorrhage may represent insensible cell exchange in pregnancies
376 from 6 to 22 weeks gestational age. Contraception 2019;100:142-6.
- 377 [36] Wiebe ER, Campbell M, Aiken ARA, Albert A. Can we safely stop testing
378 for Rh status and immunizing Rh-negative women having early
379 abortions? A comparison of Rh alloimmunization in Canada and the
380 Netherlands. Contraception: X 2019;1.
- 381 [37] Mark A, Foster AM, Grossman D, et al. Foregoing Rh testing and anti-D
382 immunoglobulin for women presenting for early abortion: a
383 recommendation from the National Abortion Federation's Clinical
384 Policies Committee. Contraception 2019;99:265-6.
- 385 [38] Castillo P SP, Lugo Hernández EM, Castañeda Vivar JJ, Bousiéguéz M,
386 Dzuba IG. . Does a repeat dose of 800 mcg misoprostol following
387 mifepristone improve outcomes in the later first trimester? A
388 retrospective chart review in Mexico City. . Annual Meeting of the
389 National Abortion Federation; Montreal, Canada2017.
- 390 [39] Raymond EG, Shannon C, Weaver MA, Winikoff B. First-trimester
391 medical abortion with mifepristone 200 mg and misoprostol: a
392 systematic review. Contraception 2013;87:26-37.
- 393 [40] Abbas DF, Blum J, Ngoc NT, et al. Simultaneous Administration
394 Compared With a 24-Hour Mifepristone-Misoprostol Interval in Second-
395 Trimester Abortion: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Obstet Gynecol
396 2016;128:1077-83.
- 397 [41] Ashok PW, Templeton A, Wagaarachchi PT, Flett GM. Midtrimester
398 medical termination of pregnancy: a review of 1002 consecutive cases.
399 Contraception 2004;69:51-8.
- 400 [42] Hyland P, Raymond EG, Chong E. A direct-to-patient telemedicine
401 abortion service in Australia: Retrospective analysis of the first 18
402 months. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol 2018;58:335-40.

- 403 [43] Chen MJ, Creinin MD. Mifepristone with buccal misoprostol for medical
404 abortion: a systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol* 2015;126:12-21.
- 405 [44] Perriera LK, Reeves MF, Chen BA, Hohmann HL, Hayes J, Creinin MD.
406 Feasibility of telephone follow-up after medical abortion. *Contraception*
407 2010;81:143-9.
- 408 [45] Chen MJ, Rounds KM, Creinin MD, Cansino C, Hou MY. Comparing office
409 and telephone follow-up after medical abortion. *Contraception* 2016.
- 410 [46] Clark W, Bracken H, Tanenhaus J, Schweikert S, Lichtenberg ES,
411 Winikoff B. Alternatives to a routine follow-up visit for early medical
412 abortion. *Obstet Gynecol* 2010;115:264-72.
- 413 [47] Jackson AV, Dayananda I, Fortin JM, Fitzmaurice G, Goldberg AB. Can
414 women accurately assess the outcome of medical abortion based on
415 symptoms alone? *Contraception* 2012;85:192-7.
- 416 [48] Raymond EG, Tan YL, Grant M, et al. Self-assessment of medical
417 abortion outcome using symptoms and home pregnancy testing.
418 *Contraception* 2018;97:324-8.
- 419 [49] Raymond EG, Shochet T, Bracken H. Low Sensitivity Urine Pregnancy
420 Testing to Assess Medical Abortion Outcome: A Systematic Review.
421 *Contraception* 2018.
- 422 [50] Millar SL, Cameron ST. Comparison of two low-sensitivity urine
423 pregnancy tests for confirming the success of early medical abortion.
424 *The journal of family planning and reproductive health care* 2017.
- 425 [51] Cameron ST, Glasier A, Johnstone A, Dewart H, Campbell A. Can
426 women determine the success of early medical termination of
427 pregnancy themselves? *Contraception* 2015;91:6-11.
- 428 [52] Hsia JK, Lohr PA, Taylor J, Creinin MD. Medical abortion with
429 mifepristone and vaginal misoprostol between 64 and 70days'
430 gestation. *Contraception* 2019;100:178-81.
- 431 [53] Endler M, Lavelanet A, Cleeve A, Ganatra B, Gomperts R, Gemzell-
432 Danielsson K. Telemedicine for medical abortion: a systematic review.
433 *BJOG* 2019.

- 434 [54] Upadhyay UD, Grossman D. Telemedicine for medication abortion.
435 Contraception 2019;100:351-3.
- 436 [55] Abbas D, Chong E, Raymond EG. Outpatient medical abortion is safe
437 and effective through 70 days gestation. Contraception 2015;92:197-9.
438
439

440 **Figure 1. Sample Protocol for No-Test Medication Abortion**

441

442 **PURPOSE**

443 To enable safe and effective provision of medication abortion without a mandatory pre-
444 treatment ultrasound, pelvic examination or laboratory tests when medically appropriate,
445 given that these tests may be significant barriers to access and, in the setting of a pandemic,
446 may increase transmission of infection to patients and health care workers.

447

448 **CRITERIA**

- 449 • Pregnancy confirmed by patient report of urine or serum test or prior ultrasound
- 450 • Last menstrual period started ≤ 77 days before anticipated date of mifepristone ingestion
- 451 • Certain of last menstrual period onset date ± 1 week
- 452 • None of the following symptoms or risk factors for ectopic pregnancy:
- 453 o Vaginal bleeding or spotting within the past week
- 454 o Unilateral pelvic pain or significant bilateral pelvic pain within the past week
- 455 o Prior ectopic pregnancy
- 456 o Prior permanent contraception or other tubal surgery
- 457 o IUD in uterus at conception or currently
- 458 • None of the following contraindications to medication abortion, assessed by history:
- 459 o Hemorrhagic disorder or concurrent anticoagulant therapy
- 460 o Chronic adrenal failure
- 461 o Concurrent long-term systemic corticosteroid therapy
- 462 o Inherited porphyria
- 463 o Allergy to mifepristone, misoprostol, or other prostaglandin
- 464 • No strong preference for pre-treatment ultrasound, pelvic examination or laboratory tests

465

466 **RH TYPING AND ADMINISTRATION OF ANTI-D IMMUNOGLOBULIN**

- 467 • Not needed if the gestational age on the anticipated mifepristone ingestion date will be
468 < 70 days or if the patient reports positive Rh type, wants no future children, or declines
469 anti-D immunoglobulin.
- 470 • Should be considered for women not meeting above criteria

471

472 **TREATMENT**

473 Provide the following:

- 474 • Mifepristone 200 mg orally
- 475 • Misoprostol 800 mcg x 2
- 476 • Analgesics, antiemetics per health facility protocol
- 477 • Patient instruction sheet and health facility emergency contact information
- 478 • Two high sensitivity pregnancy tests (HSPTs)

479 The patient should take mifepristone 200 mg orally followed by misoprostol 800 mcg buccally
480 or vaginally 24-48 hours later. Patients with estimated GA > 63 days should take a second dose
481 of misoprostol 800 mcg 4 hours after the first. Patients with estimated GA ≤ 63 days should
482 take the second dose if no bleeding occurs within the first 24 hours after the first misoprostol
483 dose or if instructed to take it by a clinician. Review the instruction sheet with the patient.

484

485 **FOLLOW-UP**

- 486 1. Plan a follow-up contact with the patient one week after dispensing treatment.
- 487 2. If the patient reports indicators of continuing or ectopic pregnancy (e.g., any of the
488 symptoms on the instruction sheet), evaluate with ultrasound or serum HCGs.
- 489 3. Otherwise, instruct the patient to perform the first HSPT 4 weeks after taking misoprostol
490 (not earlier) and to contact the abortion provider if the result is positive.
- 491 4. If the patient has indicators of continuing or ectopic pregnancy, evaluate with ultrasound or
492 serum HCGs
- 493 5. If the first HSPT result is positive but the patient has no such indicators, instruct the patient
494 to perform the second HSPT in 1 week.

- 495 6. If second HSPT result is also positive, evaluate with ultrasound, serum HCGs, additional
496 urine testing, or uterine aspiration.

497 **Figure 2. Sample Instructions for Patients Receiving No-Test**
498 **Abortion**

499 **1. Call your abortion provider if:**

- 500 You vomit within the first 30 minutes after taking mifepristone.
- 501 You have a fever of 100.4°F or higher more than 24 hours after you
502 take the misoprostol.
- 503 One week after taking misoprostol, you have any of the following:
- 504 o You have not had cramping and bleeding heavier than a period.
- 505 o Your bleeding is not getting lighter.
- 506 o You do not feel that you passed the pregnancy.
- 507 o Your pregnancy symptoms (such as nausea and breast
508 tenderness) are not resolving.
- 509 At any time, you have any of the following:
- 510 o An increase in pain/cramps or bleeding more than 24 hours after
511 taking misoprostol.
- 512 o Severe pain or cramps that don't get better with pain medicine,
513 rest, or heating pads.
- 514 o Enough bleeding to soak 2 maxi pads an hour for more than 2
515 hours.
- 516 o Dizziness or vomiting lasting more than 2 hours.
- 517 o Weakness, nausea, or diarrhea lasting more than 24 hours.
- 518 **2. Perform one urine pregnancy test 4 weeks after taking misoprostol (not**
519 **earlier). **Call your abortion provider if the result is positive or****
520 **invalid.** Use the second test if instructed to do so by your abortion
521 provider.
- 522