UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Acupuncture Therapy as an Evidence-Based Nonpharmacologic Strategy for Comprehensive Acute Pain Care: The Academic Consortium Pain Task Force White Paper Update.

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0gs2x97j

Journal

Pain Medicine, 23(9)

Authors

Nielsen, Arya Dusek, Jeffery Taylor-Swanson, Lisa et al.

Publication Date

2022-08-31

DOI

10.1093/pm/pnac056

Peer reviewed



Acupuncture Therapy as an Evidence-Based Nonpharmacologic Strategy for Comprehensive Acute Pain Care: The Academic Consortium Pain Task Force White Paper Update

Arya Nielsen , PhD,* Jeffery A. Dusek , PhD,†,‡ Lisa Taylor-Swanson, PhD,§ and Heather Tick, MD¶

*Department of Family Medicine & Community Health, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York; [†]University Hospitals, Connor Whole Health, Cleveland Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio; [‡]Department of Family Medicine and Community Health, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio; [§]University of Utah College of Nursing, Salt Lake City, Utah; and [¶]Department of Family Medicine, and Anesthesiology & Pain Medicine, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington, USA

Correspondence to: Arya Nielsen, PhD, Department of Family Medicine & Community Health, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, 1425 Madison Avenue L5-40 New York, NY 10029 212-659-1141 New York, NY, USA. E-mail: Arya.Nielsen@mountsinai.org.

Funding sources: This overview was supported by the Academic Consortium for Integrative Medicine & Health, Pain Task Force Project. Dr. Dusek's work on this publication was partially supported by the National Center of Complementary and Integrative Health (NCCIH) of the National Institutes of Health under award number R01AT010598. The study sponsor had no role in the study design; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; or the decision to submit the report for publication, including whether the sponsor will have ultimate authority over any of these activities. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Conflicts of interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest.

Received on 15 October 2021; revised on 16 March 2022; Accepted on 23 March 2022

Abstract

Background. A crisis in pain management persists, as does the epidemic of opioid overdose deaths, addiction, and diversion. Pain medicine is meeting these challenges by returning to its origins: the Bonica model of multidisciplinary pain care. The 2018 Academic Consortium White Paper detailed the historical context and magnitude of the pain crisis and the evidence base for nonpharmacologic strategies. More than 50% of chronic opioid use begins in the acute pain care setting. Acupuncture may be able to reduce this risk. Objective. This article updates the evidence base for acupuncture therapy for acute pain with a review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses on postsurgical/perioperative pain with opioid sparing and acute nonsurgical/trauma pain, including acute pain in the emergency department. Methods. To update reviews cited in the 2018 White Paper, electronic searches were conducted in PubMed, MEDLINE, CINAHL, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials for "acupuncture" and "acupuncture therapy" and "acute pain," "surgery," "peri-operative," "trauma," "emergency department," "urgent care," "review(s)," "systematic review," "meta-analysis," with additional manual review of titles, links, and reference lists. Results. There are 22 systematic reviews, 17 with meta-analyses of acupuncture in acute pain settings, and a review for acute pain in the intensive care unit. There are additional studies of acupuncture in acute pain settings. Conclusion. The majority of reviews found acupuncture therapy to be an efficacious strategy for acute pain, with potential to avoid or reduce opioid reliance. Future multicenter trials are needed to clarify the dosage and generalizability of acupuncture for acute pain in the emergency department. With an extremely low risk profile, acupuncture therapy is an important strategy in comprehensive acute pain care.

Introduction

Medical pain management is in crisis. Pain is pervasive and has been inadequately addressed by strategies including the escalation of prescription opioids that created a tragic increase in overdose deaths, addiction, and diversion. The rising costs of pain care and managing adverse effects of that care have prompted action from state and federal agencies including the Veterans Health Administration (VHA) [1], the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) and the Department of Defense (DOD) [2], the National Institutes of Health (NIH) [3], the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [4], and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [5]. There has been pressure for pain medicine to shift away from an overreliance on opioids and overutilized procedures and surgeries toward more comprehensive pain care that includes evidence-based nonpharmacologic strategies. Comprehensive pain care programs build on the foundation developed by John Bonica, the father of pain medicine, and are further supported by the intervening decades of research into nonpharmacologic strategies

The evidence base for acupuncture therapy is extensive. Acupuncture is supported or recommended as part of comprehensive pain care by the U.S. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) [7], the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [8], the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) [9], and the Joint Commission TJC [10, 11]. The American Academy of Family Physicians endorsed the American College of Physicians (ACP) Guidelines recommending acupuncture as a first option for acute, subacute, and chronic low back pain (LBP) [12, 13]. A retrospective claims-based study found that initial visits to chiropractors, physical therapists, or acupuncturists for new-onset LBP substantially decreased early and long-term use of opioids [14]. A retrospective analysis of 427,966 patients with new-onset neck pain and back pain found that patients who saw these conservative therapists, compared with those who had primary care visits, were 72-91% less likely to fill an opioid prescription in the first 30 days and 41-87% less likely to continue filling prescriptions for 1 year [15]. Although the claims data do not indicate pain severity or duration, the authors controlled for comorbidities through the use of the Elixhauser Index, which has served as a proxy for complexity in other studies. Conversely, people with acute neck pain who initially saw an emergency department (ED) physician had the highest odds of opioid use during the first 30 days [15].

Perioperative opioid prescribing has been associated with persistent opioid use after surgery [16]. As of 2017, more than 50% of chronic opioid use began in the acute care setting, after surgery, or for treatment of acute injury-related pain [17]. The probability of long-term opioid use increases after as few as 5 days of prescribed opioids as the initial treatment of pain; the probability

that patients will remain on opioids for the long term is the highest when treatment is initiated with long-acting opioids [18]. Although opioid overdose deaths began to decrease slightly in 2018 [19], they rose to an all-time high in 2019 [20]. By the end of 2020, accelerated by the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, opioid overdose deaths increased by more than 35% from 2019 [20–22].

Tapering, which may or may not include cessation of opioids, has been a key strategy to reduce the risks and harms of opioids. However, dose tapering is often a lengthy and time-consuming process, and attempts at rapid tapering of doses are associated with unintended consequences, risks, and patient suffering [23, 24]. Even low-dose opioid use for more than 3 months increases the risk of addiction 15-fold [25]. Sustained opioid dependence is also associated with declining function and exacerbation of pain states (hyperalgesia) secondary to mechanisms of the action of opioids [26, 27]. Reducing reliance on opioids for acute pain in the ED depends not only on avoidance of prescribing opioids [25, 28] but also on supporting access to effective acute pain care strategies. Transforming the system of pain care to a responsive comprehensive model necessitates that options for treatment and collaborative care be evidence based and include effective nonpharmacologic strategies that have the advantage of reduced risks of adverse events and addiction liability. The 2018 Academic Consortium White Paper [6] detailed the historical context and magnitude of the current pain problem, including individual, social, and economic impacts, as well as the challenges of pain care for patients and a health care workforce engaging in prevalent strategies that are not entirely based on current evidence [29].

The present article is the first in a series of articles to update the evidence base for nonpharmacologic therapies that are effective in acute pain [6]. The present article provides a review of reviews and meta-analyses of acupuncture for acute pain, encompassing postsurgical/perioperative pain with opioid sparing and acute nonsurgical/trauma pain, including acute pain in the ED and primary care setting.

Methods

To update and detail the literature cited in the 2018 White Paper [6] on nonpharmacologic strategies for comprehensive pain care, specifically research on acupuncture for acute pain, a search for systematic reviews (SRs), either with meta-analyses (SRMs) or without meta-analyses, was conducted for articles published through December 2020 in PubMed, MEDLINE, CINAHL, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials for "acupuncture" and "acupuncture therapy" and "acute pain," "surgery," "peri-operative," "trauma," "emergency department," "urgent care," "review(s)," "systematic review," "meta-analysis," with additional

manual review of titles, links, and reference lists. We included articles published in peer-reviewed journals for which access to data was possible, and we excluded reviews when data or original articles could not be accessed (e.g., articles published in Chinese). Additionally, we detail research articles published since the publication of the SRs that would help inform researchers, clinicians, and policy makers on the current state of research.

Results

Twenty-two SRs were selected for review, including 17 with meta-analyses of acupuncture in acute pain settings and a review for pain in the intensive care unit (ICU). Details of SRs and SRMs are represented in Tables 1, 2, 3, 6, and 7. Details of additional studies of acupuncture in acute pain settings are included in Tables 4 and 5.

Acupuncture Therapy for Acute Pain

Acupuncture is understood as the insertion and manipulation of fine solid-core needles at specified points or combinations of points on the body. "Acupuncture therapy" derives from the traditional East Asian paradigm recognizing the interrelationship of organs, body points, and channels, as well as associated symptoms, dysfunction, and disease. Depending on the definition of the scope of practice in each state, acupuncture often includes treating by means of mechanical, thermal, or electrical stimulation; by the insertion of needles; or by the application of heat, pressure, or other forms of stimulation. In practice, acupuncture needling is deployed by first assessing a complex intersection of body organs, function, presentation, and interaction with a patient. It also is often done in combination with other therapies, such as palpation, Tui na, Gua sha, cupping, moxibustion, e-stim, auricular treatment, herbal medicine, and recommendations on diet, exercise, self-reflection, and meditative movement like Tai chi. Acupuncture therapy, therefore, includes an evaluation and decision-making process, acupuncture needling, accompanying therapies, and recommendations that engage a patient in self-care.

Significant research has focused on acupuncture in the treatment of pain. An SRM of immediate analgesic effects (13 randomized controlled trials [RCTs], n=1,077) found that acupuncture was associated with greater immediate pain relief 30 minutes or less after the end of a single treatment than the pain relief reported with sham or analgesic injections [30]. A large individual patient data meta-analysis (39 trials) of 20,827 patients with chronic pain found acupuncture to be significantly better than sham treatment or usual care, with 85% retention of treatment benefit 1 year following a course of care [31, 32]. Patients with more severe pain at baseline improved more from acupuncture treatment than did

those with lower levels of pain, compared with sham or non-acupuncture controls [33].

To be clear, the severity of pain is a predictor of response to acupuncture in chronic pain-i.e., the worse the pain, the better the response [33]. The immediate analgesia from a single acupuncture treatment provides better pain relief than does sham or analgesic injection, making acupuncture especially beneficial for acute pain, with opioid-sparing potential in hospital settings [30]. The largest hospital accreditation organization in the United States, The Joint Commission, has revised its pain mandate, which was originally introduced in 2000. Effective January 1, 2018, The Joint Commission requires its accredited hospitals and facilities to provide nonpharmacologic strategies for pain as a scorable Element of Performance [10]. In support of these efforts, the present narrative review includes SRs and SRMs of acupuncture for inpatient postoperative pain, as well as for acute pain not related to surgery, acute pain in an ED setting, and acute pain in primary care [6]. We include the details of the effect sizes and quality of reviews as reported by the authors, but we do not systematize authors' reporting of results.

Acupuncture Therapy for Postoperative Pain

In multiple SRMs, acupuncture was found to be effective in reducing postsurgical pain compared with sham acupuncture, controls, and usual care, with a reduction in opioid need (21% opioid reduction at 8 hours, 23% at 24 hours, and 29% at 72 hours post surgery) and with a lowered incidence of opioid-related side effects such as nausea, dizziness, sedation, pruritus, and urinary retention [34–36]. An SRM found that acupuncture after total knee arthroplasty reduced pain and was associated with delayed opioid use [37]. An SRM of perioperative auricular acupuncture found reduced postoperative pain and need for analgesic use compared with sham or standardof-care controls [38]. Another SRM on perioperative auricular therapies found benefit for pain and intraoperative body mass-adjusted fentanyl amount but did not find prolonged time to first analgesic request in total hip arthroplasty [39]. An SRM of auricular acupuncture for acute and postsurgical pain found that it provided immediate pain relief and benefit at 48 hours, was equivalent to analgesics, and had fewer side effects [40]. These findings indicate the potential to reduce hospital readmission due to uncontrolled pain. (See Table 1.)

A 2015 SRM of acupuncture point stimulation for postoperative pain (59 RCTs; n = 4,578) showed significantly improved visual analog scale (VAS) pain scores, with a further benefit of reduced total morphine consumption [34]. Still, a 2016 clinical practice guideline on postoperative pain management by the American Pain Society, the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, and the American Society of Anesthesiologists' Committee on Regional Anesthesia

Acupuncture for acute postoperative pain: SRs with and without meta-analyses

Quality and Recommendation	Overall SOE not assessed. Moderate reduction in pain intensity that may or may not be clinically relevant. Relative reduction in opioid consumption of 21–29%, which is considered clinically relevant. Recommend acupuncture as adjuvant, and further research is needed.	Overall SOE: moderate. Recommend auriculo- therapy as reasonable adjunct for pain, espe- cially postoperative pain and for patients with intolerance to pain medications.
Reduced Analgesics, Including Opioids	21% decrease at 8 hours, 23% at 24 hours, and 29% at 72 hours. Opioid-sparing effect considered clinically relevant.	Analgesic consumption was lower in tx group: SMD 0.54 (95% CI 0.30 to 0.77); 5 studies.
Results	Pain intensity at 8, 24, and 72 hours: 8 hours: WMD —14.57 mm (95% CI —23.02 to –6.13). 24 hours: WMD —5.59 mm (95% CI —11.97 to 0.78). 72 hours: WMD —9.75 mm (95% CI —13.82 to –5.68). May not be clinically relevant. Opioid side effects as NNT: anausea NNT = 6; vomiting no difference; pruritus NNT = 13; dizziness NNT = 6; sedation NNT = 6; sedation NNT = 1; dizziness NNT = 1; dizziness NNT = 6; sedation NNT = 1; dizziness NNT = 6; sedation NNT = 6; sedation NNT = 6; sedation NNT = 1; dizziness NNT = 6; sedation	Pain reduction: SMD was 1.56 (95% CI 0.85 to 2.26), indicating that on average, the mean decrease in pain score for the auriculother- apy group was 1.56 standard deviations greater than the mean decrease for the con- trol group.
Comparators	Sham and usual care: 10 general anesthesia 4 local anesthesia 1 unreported	Sham and usual care
Setting, Condition, Number	Surgery type: Abdominal (6) Maxillo-facial (2) Knee (2) Hemorrhoid (1) Back (1) Thoracotomy (1) Hip arthroplasty (1) Molar tooth extraction (1) n = 1,166	17 trials n = 1,009; perioperative n = 551
Meta-Analysis	10 trials	8 trials; 5 perioperative acute pain
SR	15 trials	17 RCTs: 8 perioperative; 4 acute pain; 5 chronic pain
Modality	RCTs: 6 e-stim 4 manual acupuncture 3 ear acupuncture 1 Capsicum plaster 1 acupressure	Ear acupuncture
Authors	Sun et al. 2008 [36]	Asher et al. 2010 [38]

	7	7
	3	
	a	٥
	-	Ξ,
	_	ے
	r	-
	,	-
•	-	٠.
	٠.	=
	σ	=
	2	_
	c	J
	i	3
	•	,
		•
•		-
	a	
-	-	5
	4	4
	•	2
	۰	v

Authors	Modality	SR	Meta-Analysis	Setting, Condition, Number	Comparators	Results	Reduced Analgesics, Including Opioids	Quality and Recommendation
Liu et al. 2015 [34]	APS= body acupuncture, e-stim, acupressure, ear seeds, Capsicum plaster therapy	59 trials	39 trials: pooled trial subgroups n = 2,097 acupuncture	Surgery: abdominal, knee, oral, cardiac, hemorrhoid, C-section; n = 4,402	Sham/placebo control (36 trials) and usual care (n = 2,305): standard anesthetic and postoperative analgesia regimens used in all trials.	Improved VAS scores, especially for abdominal, cardiac, and Casection surgery.	APS reduced analgesic requirement in post-operative patients without AEs.	Overall SOE: Level I evidence for body point acupuncture stimulation reducing postoperative pain intensity and patient's analgesic need. Overall SOE: Level II for abdominal surgery, Level III for cardiac and C-section. APS favorable, low risk, low complication rate, economical. Ongoing research needed.
	Ear point stimulation	14 trials	12 trials	Postsurgical (n not stated)	Sham/placebo and usual care	Reduced postoperative pain intensity.	Reduced analgesic requirement without AEs.	Overall SOE: Level I evidence for ear point stimulation reducing postopera- tive pain intensity.
	Ear and body acupuncture	7 trials	7 trials	Postsurgical (n not stated)	Sham/placebo and usual care	Reduced postoperative pain intensity.	Reduced analgesic requirement without AEs.	Overall SOE: Level II evidence for reduction of postoper- ative pain for mixed body and ear acupuncture.
Cho et al. 2015 [48]	E-stim at nonpene- trating acupuncture point; ear acupres- sure; manual acupuncture	2 acupoint e-stim 1 ear acupressure 2 manual acupuncture	5 trials	Postoperative back surgery, n = 410	vs sham (3) vs usual care (2)	Acupuncture reduced acute postoperative pain in first 24 hours.	Reduced opiate demand similar to sham at 24 hours. Reduced opiate dose when compared with usual care.	Overall SOE: moderate. Encouraging, but larger pragmatic trials are needed.
Chou et al. 2016 [41]	(Acupuncture as one reviewed modality)	2 superficial intradermal needles thoracic surgery (abbreviated tx) 1 classical acupuncture lumbar disc surgery	6 trials	Preoperative, intrao- perative, postopera- tive (n not stated)	Active comparators not iner controls, potentially leading to underestimation of the value of acupuncture.	Inclusion of only 6 tri- als, 2 with superfi- cially retained needles considered an abbre- viated tx. Trials dated from 1994 to 2008.	Not reported.	"insufficient evidence." Considered safe. Do not encourage or discourage acupuncture for surgical pain.

ъ
Φ
$\overline{}$
-=
₹
5
స
_
•
_
Φ
_
2
œ.

lable 1. collillaed	ממ							
Authors	Modality	SR	Meta-Analysis	Setting, Condition, Number	Comparators	Results	Reduced Analgesics, Including Opioids	Quality and Recommendation
		1 knee surgery acupuncture vs proximal needling 1 knee surgery post-anesthesia 1 postoperative (1994) active placebo						
Fuentealba et al. 2016 [49] (Chile)	Acupuncture and ear acupuncture	5 trials 2 SRs	No meta-analysis	Postoperative tonsillectomy, knee replacement, dental surgery (n not stated)		Reduced pain by 36% (at 20 minutes) and 22% (at 2 hours) for tonsillectomy. Reduced pain by 2% for TKA. Reduced pain by 24% (at 2 hours) for dental procedures.	42% reduced analgesic consumption (at 2 hours).	Overall SOE: not assessed. No meta-analysis because of study heterogeneity. Acupuncture may be useful to manage postoperative pain.
Wu et al. 2016 [35]	Acupuncture EA TEAS Acupuncture point e- stim	13 RCTs: 4 acupuncture, 4 EA, 5 TEAS	11 RCTs: 2 acupuncture, 4 EA, 5 TEAS	Postoperative, $n = 682$	"Control" arms not detailed	Conventional acu- puncture and TEAS lowered postoperative pain on first postoper- ative day.	TEAS reduced opioid use.	Overall SOE: moderate. Findings support use of acupuncture as adjuvant therapy for postoperative pain.
Tedesco et al. 2017 [37]	Acupuncture	4 of 77 RCTs on acupuncture	3 of 39 RCTs on acupuncture	Post-TKA, n = 230 of 2,391	Sham or nothing as comparator	Significant improvement for acupuncture vs control group with MD-1.14 (95% CI -1.90 to -0.38), P= 0.003 on VAS at 6 months.	Modest but clinically significant evidence that acupuncture is associated with reduced and delayed opioid consumption.	Overall SOE: low for pain relief. Acupuncture studies: less risk of bias. Findings support use of acupuncture after TKA.
Murakami et al. 2017 [40]	Ear acupuncture and electro ear acupuncture.	10 trials	3 trials pain intensity as primary measure, n = 349; 6 trials evaluating analgesic requirement, n = 303	Acute care and postoperative; n = 700	4 analgesics, 5 sham acupuncture, 1 distraction	Ear acupuncture was superior to comparator (MD –0.96 [95% CI –1.82 to –0.11]), but the MD was small.	Reduced analgesic need (fentanyl, piritramide, desflurane, papaveretum, ibuprofen), acupuncture was superior (MD –1.08 [95% CI –1.78 to –0.38]), with a small MD.	Overall SOE: low to moderate. Immediate pain relief equivalent to analgesics and to 48 hours. Promising modality for pain reduction in 48 hours with low side effect profile.
								(continued)

	c	ر
	q	٥
	Ξ	3
	C	=
:	i	3
	ς	
	ξ	3
	C	,
		•
•	•	Π
_	0	ט
1	Ċ	5
ï	d	0

1588

Table 1. continued	pen							
Authors	Modality	SR	Meta-Analysis	Setting, Condition, Number	Comparators	Results	Reduced Analgesics, Including Opioids	Quality and Recommendation
Ye er al. 2019 [39]	Perioperative auricular therapies (includes auricular acupuncture, auricular point buried bean, auricular massage, auricular moxibustion) moxibustion)	9 trials	779	THA; n = 605	Measures: VAS, intra- operative amount fen- tanyl, time to first analgesic request, nausea and vomiting, perioperative brady- cardia, perioperative hypotension. 2/9 tracked NSAIDs; sham acupuncture 4/9.	Perioperative VAS value of the intervention group was significantly lower than that of control group at different time points in patients of 7 days). Observation time points: Postoperative 12 hours: SMD -1.03 (95% CI -1.51 to -0.55), $P < 0.001$. Postoperative 24 hours: SMD -0.95 (95% CI -1.53 to -0.37), $P = 0.001$, $P = 0.08$. Postoperative 48 hours: SMD -0.89 (95% CI -1.48 to -0.30), $P = 0.003$. Postoperative 72 hours: SMD -0.79 (95% CI -0.92 to -0.30), $P = 0.001$. Postoperative 5 days: SMD -0.66), $P < 0.001$. Postoperative 7 days: SMD -0.66 (95% CI -0.94 to 0.26), $P < 0.001$. Postoperative 7 days: SMD -0.68 (95% CI -0.94 to 0.26), $P < 0.001$. Postoperative 7 days: SMD -0.68 (95% CI -0.94 to 0.26), $P < 0.001$.	Acupuncture group had lower values than the control group (SMD –0.73 [95% CI –1.09 to –0.36], P = 0.0001). Evidence of auricular therapies on postoperative pain and intraoperative body massadjusted fentanyl amount for the patients after THA was affirmative but did not show prolonged time to first analgesic request or the incidence of postoperative medicationrelated complications.	Overall SOE: low but affirmative for auricular therapies and post-THA pain. Verification is needed in future multicenter trials.
Zhu et al. 2019 [44]	17 trials: Distal: 9 EA, 1 TEAS, 1 manual acupuncture, 3 acupressure,	35 trials (30 in English, 5 in Chinese)	15/17 distal 11/17 peri- incision	Inpatient. Distal: $n = 959$ Peri-incisional: $n = 805$	Distal trials: 5 sham 7 nonactive tx 5 both	Pain intensity at rest at 4, 12, 24, and 48 hours:	Both reduced postoperative opioid consumption at 24 hours compared with sham.	Overall SOE: moderate. Perioperative distal acupoint or peri-

pe
ž
÷
continued
٥.
_
<u>e</u>
Table
۳

lable I. collillaca	מפת							
Authors	Modality	SR	Meta-Analysis	Setting, Condition, Number	Comparators	Results	Reduced Analgesics, Including Opioids	Quality and Recommendation
	1 auricular, 1 Capsicum plaster 17 trials peri-incision: TENS using surface electrodes. 1 trial distal and local				Peri-incision TENS trials: 11 sham 3 nonactive tx 3 both	4 hours: MD -1.82 mm (95% CI: -15.47 to -8.16), I² 64%, 12 hours: MD -11.92 mm (95%CI: -13.58 to -10.26), I² 84%. 24 hours: MD -7.14 mm (95% CI -8.95 to -5.13), I² 40%. 48 hours: MD -9.45 mm (95% CI -12.41 to -6.50), I² 68%. Peri-incisional stimulation also showed beneficial effects compared with controls: I -15.32 to -6.0), I² 45%. 12 hours: MD -10.70 mm (95% CI -15.32 to -6.0), I² 45%. 12 hours: MD -7.13 mm (95% CI -15.35 to -11.5.55 to -11.2.38 to -11.88), I² 65%. 48 hours: -10.32 mm (95% CI -14.28 to -6.37), I² 47%. Distal acupuncture showed better effects than controls at: 4 hours: MD -26.49 mm (95% CI -26.49 mm (95% CI -35.56 to -17.42), I² 83%. 24 hours: dis-tal: -17.48 mm (95%CI: -23.25 to -11.70), I² 88%.	Peri-incisional stimu-lation was superior in reducing opioid consumption at 24 hours, whereas distal acupoint stimulation reduced opioid-related adverse effects, including nausea and dizziness. The pain intensity on movement at postoperative 4 hours was lower in distal stimulation. Both reduced postoperative opioid consumption at 24 hours.	incisional stimulation is safe and effective for postoperative pain and opioid sparing. They could be alternative or adjunct analgesic intervention. More studies, larger sample size, and direct comparison needed in future.

۱
•
•
•
•
•

Table 1. continued	inued							
Authors	Modality	SR	Meta-Analysis	Setting, Condition, Number	Comparators	Results	Reduced Analgesics, Including Opioids	Quality and Recommendation
						48 hours: distal:		
						-16.61 mm (95% CI		
						-21.95 to -11.62),		
						$I^2 82\%$.		
						Peri-incisional stimu-		
						lation also showed		
						beneficial effects com-		
						pared with their con-		
						trols at:		
						4 hours: MD		
						-4.46 mm (95% CI		
						-13.62 to 4.70),		
						$I^2 0\%$.		
						24 hours: -9.53 mm		
						(95% CI -14.19 to		
						-4.87), I^2 0%.		
						48 hours: -14.02 mm		
						(95% CI -19.06 to		
						-8.98), I^2 0%.		
						Subgroup analysis		
						showed no difference		
						between peri-inci-		
						sional or distal stimu-		
						lation on		
						postoperative pain		
						reduction.		
						Both reduced pain at		
						rest compared with		
						their controls.		
						Distal had better ef-		
						fect for pain on move-		
						ment or cough.		

AE = adverse event; APS = acupuncture point stimulation; CI = confidence interval; EA = electroacupuncture; ear acupuncture = auricular acupuncture; e-stim = electrical stimulation; MD = mean difference; NNT = number needed to treat; NSAIDs = non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; SMD = standard mean difference; SOE = strength of evidence; TEAS = transcutaneous acupoint electric stimulation; TENS = transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation; THA = total hip arthroplasty; TKA = total knee arrhroplasty; tx = treatment; VAS = visual analog scale; WMD = weighted mean difference.

Acupuncture for acute traumatic and ED acute pain: SRs with and without meta-analyses

Quality and Recommendation	rer Internal validity assessed. Internal validity assessed with Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool but no rating provided. Current evidence found in study was in- sufficient to accept or refute the use of acu- puncture in the ED. Future studies should address the process and cost-related bene- fits of acupuncture use in the ED: future research with large RCTs to evalu- atte effectiveness of acupuncture in the ED and future OBS on the safety and ac- ceptability of acu- puncture to ED staff and patients. Current evidence is insufficient to provide any recommendation of acupuncture in the ED setting.	ure Overall SOE not assessed. Ear acupuncture has limited evidence of effectiveness for acute
Results	Studies showed it feasible to provide acupuncture in the ED and suggested further study to test the role of acupuncture in the ED.	auricular acupuncture vs sham: SMD of 1.69 (CI 95%: 0.37–3.01) WMD 2.47 (CI 95% 1,79–3.16)
Outcomes/ Comparators	Pain VAS or NRS Physiological parameters (respiratory rate, heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure) Medication consumption Length of stay in ED Patient satisfaction with the tx Time points: immediate post-tx acupuncture plus UC vs UC alone Safety, effectiveness, and feasibility of acupuncture in the ED	Pain (PS-10) difference in: auricular acupuncture vs sham; AA as an adjunct to other analgesia (AdjA) vs SAC;
Setting, Condition, Number	Acute pain syndromes and nonpenetrating injuries of the extremities (cardiac, including heart attack) ED setting n = 225	Acute pain management n = 458
Meta-Analysis	A X	Meta-analysis 4 RCTs, $n = 286$ auricular therapies vs sham, $n = 127$
SR	2 OBS	SRM 6 RCTs
Modality	Needle insertion including auricular points	Auricular therapies, including auricular acupuncture and auricular pressure
Authors, Year	2013 [50]	Jan et al. 2017 [54]

1592

able 2. collinaed	_						
Authors, Year	Modality	SR	Meta-Analysis	Setting, Condition, Number	Outcomes/ Comparators	Results	Quality and Recommendation
			therapies+SAC vs SAC alone n = 154 auricular therapies alone or +SC vs con- trol as sham alone or +SC, n = 271		auricular acupuncture vs SAC Medication usage Patient satisfaction	2.18) WMD 2.84 (CI: 95% 1.45–4.22) 1 RCT showed reduction in NSAID usage for sore throats with reduced mean number of doses at 6 hours, 24 hours, and 48 hours. In OBS AdjA, 62% of respondents said that they "would have the same treament again," and 71% reported they were either mostly satisfied or very satisfied.	as standalone tx as an adjunct. Future studies needed with comparator group of acupuncture vs SAC. Future studies needed with patient satisfaction as secondary outcome. Future studies needed assessing various techniques of ear acupuncture, and utilization of certified acupuncture, and utilization of certified acupuncturists vs non-acupuncturists.
							Further testing using acupuncture vs SAC with medication usage as secondary outcome.
Jan et al. 2017 [51]	Acupuncture (26), auricular therapy (3), EAS (1)	SRM 19 RCTs and 11 OBS in SR	14 RCTs n = 1,210	Acute pain management in the ED setting n = 3,169 SR n = 1,210 meta- analysis 11 OBS Migraine, hip frac- tures, biliary colic, acute LBP, sore throat. 4 spinal pain, 3 mixed pain, 3 limb fractures, 3 migraine, 3 renal colic, 11 traditional	Pain (PS-10) difference: acupuncture vs sham, acupuncture vs SAC, acupuncture as adjunct to other analgesia (AdjA) vs SAC Pain scored recorded within 240 minutes of tx Analgesia use Patient satisfaction Time and cost of acupuncture	Acupuncture vs sham: SMD 1.08 (95% CI = 0.62–1.54), WMD of 1.60 (95% CI = 0.98–2.23). (both favoring acupuncture vs SAC: acupuncture comparable to SAC) AdjA vs SAC alone: SMD 1.68 (95% CI 1.18–2.18), WMD 2.84 (95% CI 1.45–4.22)	Overall SOE not assessed. Acupuncture appears to provide effective analgesia for some acute pain conditions in the ED, while being noninferior to selected analgesia medications. Low-cost, low-risk, and patient-satisfying therapy. Effectiveness in reducing analgesic medication in analgesic medication in analgesic medication use is uncertain.

Table 2. continued	_						
Authors, Year	Modality	SR	Meta-Analysis	Setting, Condition, Number	Outcomes/ Comparators	Results	Quality and Recommendation
				acupuncture, S ear acupuncture (4 BFA)		Adj.A more effective than SAC (without sham). Patient satisfaction, reported in 5 RCTs, showed improvement compared with sham on 100-point scale. 5 OBS measured patient satisfaction; all reported improvement with AC. 3 RCTs quoted costs of acupuncture consumables less than \$5 per patient; 3 other RCTs stated acupuncture is "low-cost treatment." Acupuncture provided statistically significant, clinically meaningful, and improved levels of patient satisfaction with respect to pain relief in the emergency setting.	Future RCTs might measure the NNT for 30–50% pain reduction or "adequate analgesia," which has better correlation to patient satisfaction. More RCTs where AdjA is compared with SAC. More investigation into other pain conditions with acupuncture, and acupuncture, and acupuncture delivered by ED health providers vs qualified acupuncturists.
Chia et al. 2018 [52]	Acupuncture, auricular acupuncture, EAS	SR 6 RCTs	Y.Y.	Acute clinical conditions in the ED, including acute pain, HTN, and cardiac arrest $n=651$	Pain= most frequently assessed outcome Effective/success rate of tx based on individual study criteria	Acupuncture vs sham for acute pharyngitis: Acupuncture 44.4% vs sham 10.5%, at relieving pain. Acupuncture vs standard ED care for acute pain: Acupuncture was more effective and faster pain control compared with	Overall SOE not assessed. Further studies evaluating clinical efficacy and effectiveness of acupuncture in the ED are needed. Multicenter RCTs are needed.

intravenous morphine, success rate acupuncture 92% vs 78%. EAS vs standard ED care for acute pain significant reduction in mean VAS score seen in both groups (acupuncture group 25.90 ± 17.62; conventional ED care group 22.18 ± 24.09). Acute pain in the ED Acute with VAS or acid pain in the ED Acute with VAS or acid pain in the ED Acute with 2.18 mean difference in NRS pain reduction. Acute pain in the ED Acute pain in the ED Acute with 2.18 mean difference in NRS pain reduction. Acute pain in the ED Acute pain in the ED Acute with 2.18 mean difference in NRS pain reduction. Acute pain in the ED Acute pain in the ED Acute with 2.18 mean difference in NRS pain reduction. Acute pain in the ED Acute pain in the ED Acute with 2.18 mean difference in NRS pain reduction. Acute pain in the ED Acute pain in the ED Acute with 2.18 mean difference in NRS pain reduction. Acute pain in the ED Acute with 2.18 mean difference in NRS pain reduction. Acute pain in the ED Acute with 2.18 mean difference in NRS pain reduction. Acute pain in the ED Acute with 2.18 mean difference in NRS pain reduction. Acute pain in the ED Acute with 2.18 mean difference in NRS pain reduction. Acute pain in the ED Acute with 2.18 mean advisory that 2.18 mean difference in NRS pain reduction. Acute pain in the ED Acute with 2.18 mean advisory that 2.18 mean advisor
ain in the ED Pain= most frequently assessed outcome with VAS or NRS: acupuncture vs no intervention, acupuncture no comparator, acupuncture vs sham, acupuncture vs titated morphine, acupuncture vs intra-
ain in the ED Pain= most frequently assessed outcome with VAS or NRS: acupuncture vs no intervention, acupuncture no comparator, acupuncture vs sham, acupuncture vs titated morphine, acupuncture vs intra-
ain in the ED quently assessed outcome with VAS or NRS: acupuncture vs no intervention, acupuncture no comparator, acupuncture vs sham, acupuncture vs sham, acupuncture vs titated morphine, acupuncture vs intra-
ain in the ED Pain= most frequently assessed outcome with VAS or NRS: acupuncture vs no intervention, acupuncture no comparator, acupuncture vs sham, acupuncture vs sintrated morphine, acupuncture vs tirtated morphine, acupuncture vs intra-
venous ately, 30 minutes, and

0	
Φ	
-	
_	
_	
=	
_	
0	
ĸ	
0	
0	
••	
d)	
_	
æ	
<u> </u>	

Authors, Year	Modality	SR	Meta-Analysis	Setting, Condition, Number	Outcomes/ Comparators	Results	Quality and Recommendation
					acetaminophen vs in- tramuscular diclofenac	24 hours after acupuncture (3 RCTs). 84% of patients reported benefit, 52–82% would use again, nearly all patients reported high satisfaction, with >50% reporting highest satisfaction.	management and patient satisfaction and improve patient ourcomes and quality of life, while reducing overall ED utilization and length of stay.
Liu er al. 2020 [64]	Acupuncture; acupuncture+ Chinese herbs/tinc- ture; acupuncture+ massage; acupuncture + RICE; acupuncture + medications	SRM 17 trials Acute ankle sprain	Acupuncture+ RICE vs RICE n = 143 Acupuncture+ di- methyl sulfoxide vs dimethyl sulfoxide alone n = 87 Acupuncture+ Chinese medicine vs Chinese medicine alone n = 530	Outpatient care Tx 1–21 days, acute ankle sprain n = 1528	Kofoed Ankle Score. VAS, duration of pain, use of analge- sics, ankle circumference "Effective rate," "cure rate" (Chinese studies) Adverse events. Comparators: no tx, placebo, or traditional therapies for acute an- kle sprain involve nonsteroidal anti-in- flammatory drugs, RICE (rest, ice, com- pression, and eleva- tion), functional support, exercise, manual mobilization, etc.	Meta-analysis favored acupuncture vs no tx, vs massage, vs ice/hot pack+ Chinese medicine, vs infrared radiation, and vs RICE but not vs dimethyl sulfoxide. Acupuncture plus dimethyl sulfoxide vs dimethyl sulfoxide alone, acupuncture plus massage vs massage alone, acupuncture plus RICE vs RICE alone.	Overall SOE not assessed. Acupuncture could be beneficial for acute ankle sprain; more large-scale welldesigned RCTs warranted.

AA = auricular acupuncture; AP = auricular pressure (as in ear seeds); AdjA = adjunct acupuncture; EAS = electroacupuncture stimulation (e-stim on needles inserted at acupoints); HTN = hypertension; NA = not applicable; NNT= number needed to treat; NRS= numerical rating scale; OBS = observational study; PS-10 = difference in standardised pain scores out of 10; RICE = rest, ice, compression, elevation; SAC = standard analgesic care; SC = standard care; SOE = strength of evidence; tx = treatment; UC = usual care; VAS = visual analog scale.

Table 3. Nonpharmacologic therapy including acupuncture for acute pain in the ICU

Authors, Year	Modality/ Kind of Study, Number	Setting and Types of Pain	Outcomes/ Comparators	Results	Recommendation
Sandvik et al. 2020 [55]	Review of 12 studies Hypnosis, massage, distraction, relaxation, spiritual care, harp music, music therapy, listening to natural sounds, passive exercise, acupuncture (n = 576), ice packs, and emotional support.	ICU Pain provoking tissue damage, disease, sur- gery/medical and nursing procedures	Measures: VAS (7); NRS (2); Edmonton Symptom Assessment (1); observational pain scale and BPS (2). Various designs: quasi-experiments with control groups (6); a tx with matched controls (1); case-con- trolled study with pre- and post-tests (1); an intervention without control using pre- and post-tests (1); qualita- tive descriptive (2); crossover design with randomization (1)	Reduced pain intensity from hypnosis, acupuncture, and natural sounds	Overall SOE not assessed. Suggest use of comprehensive multimodal interventions to investigate effects of nonpharmacologic tx protocols on pain, intensity, pain proportion, and impact on opioid consumption and sedation requirements.

BPS = Brief Pain Scale; NRS = numerical rating scale; SOE = strength of evidence; tx= treatment; VAS = visual analog scale.

did neither "recommend nor discourage" acupuncture therapy as part of recommended multimodal postoperative pain care. The guideline recommendation was based on only six studies that used active sham arms [41], which are not inert controls and therefore constitute a flawed methodology [42, 43]. A subsequent SRM (2016) supported the use of acupuncture as adjuvant therapy in treating postoperative pain and for reducing opioid use [35]. A 2019 SR found that distal acupuncture needling compared favorably with peri-incisional transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in pain reduction and opioid sparing for patients having open abdominal surgery [44].

Trials published since 2016 include a double-blind placebo-controlled trial in which intraoperative electrical stimulation of acupuncture points reduced intraoperative opioid requirements, postoperative pain, and duration of stay in the post-anesthesia care unit [45]. Another double-blind placebo-controlled trial showed that the addition of transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation (application of electrical stimulation at acupuncture points) to usual care for minimally invasive lung cancer surgery reduced pain, reduced patient-controlled intravenous analgesic attempts, and reduced nausea and vomiting, which supports transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation as a feasible option for sedation and postoperative analgesia in thoracoscopic pulmonary resection [46].

Additionally, acupuncture was effective, safe, and well tolerated for post-tonsillectomy pain in children, with no significant side effects [47].

Acupuncture Therapy for Acute Pain in the ED/ICU

As of 2021, for acute pain in the ED or urgent care setting, there were six SRs of acupuncture [50–53], of which

three had meta-analyses (Table 2) [51, 53, 54]. Of these, one was for ear acupuncture alone [54]. Reviews included trials of acupuncture in the treatment of various acute pain conditions and injuries in adults and children in the ED, including acute LBP, acute neck pain, and acute ankle sprain; other musculoskeletal pain conditions, fractures, and nonpenetrating injuries; acute abdominal pain, appendicitis pain, renal colic, acute headache and migraine, acute dental pain, and acute pharyngitis.

One SRM included 19 RCTs and 11 observational studies and reported that acupuncture provided effective analgesia for most acute pain conditions in the ED while being noninferior to selected analgesia medications and providing statistically significant, clinically meaningful, and improved levels of patient satisfaction with respect to pain relief in the emergency setting [51]. The authors concluded that acupuncture was low cost and low risk with high patient satisfaction. In addition, there was one review of nonpharmacologic approaches to pain in the ICU, including acupuncture (see Table 3) [55].

Among studies not in SRs or SRMs (Table 4), a trial of patients in the ED found acupuncture's benefit to be comparable to pharmacotherapy for acute LBP (n = 270) or ankle sprain (n = 166) and found that acupuncture was safe [56]. In an observational study of 1,008 patients including children in a surgery ward, acupuncture given as first aid immediately after or optimally within 48 hours of a burn injury reduced pain, reddening, pigmentation, scarring, and post-traumatic stress disorder, which commonly follows traumatic burns [57]. Two randomized trials found that acupuncture for acute pain in the ED performed better than titrated or intravenous morphine, with minimal adverse effects [58, 59].

Table 4. Acupuncture RCTs for acute pain: inpatient, surgery, ICU, and ED

Authors/year	Modality/Kind of Study/n	Setting and Types of Pain	Intervention and Comparators	Results
Zheng et al. 2012 [65]	Acupuncture or EAS Exploratory study $n = 45$	ICU Pain of intubated patients (under me- chanical ventilation)	UC $n = 15$ UC plus acupuncture $n = 15$ (v24, Yin tang) de qi and 6 hours UC plus EAS $n = 15$ (GV 24, Yintang); 30 minutes on and off / 6 hours	EAS markedly reduced dosage of sedative drug (midazolam) needed for pain/discomfort of mechanical intubation.
Murugesan et al. 2017 [66]	Acupuncture Double-blind RCT $n=1.57 \label{eq:normalize}$	Outpatient, acute dental pain, irreversible pulpitis, tooth extraction	Acupuncture needles 15–20 minutes Classical acupuncture+ placebo tablet (n = 53) Sham acupuncture+ placebo tablet (n = 52) Sham acupuncture+ ibuprofen (n = 52) VAS before and after tx: 15, 20, 45, and 60 minutes Follow-up: 12, 24, and 48 hours	Acupuncture+ placebo tablet showed statistically significant lower pain values, no difference between either sham arm including with ibuprofen. acupuncture+ placebo tablet higher % no pain on follow-up= statistically significant to comparison groups.
Cohen et al. 2017 [56]	Acupuncture Equivalence, noninferiority RCT n = 528	Multicenter ED Acute LBP n = 270 Migraine n = 92 Ankle sprain n = 166	Prescribed acupuncture protocol per clinical condition Acupuncture alone (n = 177) Acupuncture alone (n = 177) Pharm alone (n = 173) Pharm: Diazepam 5 mg, Hartmann's solution, paracetamol 1 g, paracetamol 500 mg, codeine 30 mg, tramadol 40–100 mg, dextropropoxyphene 32.5 mg + paracetamol 32.5 g, ibuprofen 400 mg, diclofenac 50 mg, indomethacin 100 mg as needed. After 1 hour, second line: morphine 2.5-mg intravenous boluses, chlorpromazine 25 mg in 1,000 mL normal saline. VNRS Scale T0 and at every hour until discharge; functionality by Oswestry Low Back Disability Questionnaire, 24-Hour Migraine Quality of Life Questionnaire, or Patients Global Assessment of Ankle Injury Scale at T48 Acceptability T1, T48; health resource use, length of stay, readmission rate, additional analgesia.	Acupuncture analgesia comparable to pharm for acute back pain and ankle sprain. Three arms similarly effective at reducing pain at T1 but less than 40% of participants had reduction of pain of 2 points or more at T1 where more than 80% had pain of 4 or more. By T48, 61% of acupuncture alone, 57% combined, and 52% of pharm alone were definitely willing to repeat tx. Mild AE in each arm. Safe and acceptable.
AminiSaman et al. 2018 [67]	Double-blind RCT $n = 60$	OR: spinal anesthesia for trans-urethral lith- otripsy surgery	TENS (n = 30) electrodes applied to GV channel at point between lumbar 3–4 and lumbar 5–51 (extra point: M-BW-25: Shiqizhuixia) vs control of no intervention (n = 30)	Intervention reduced pain of spinal anesthesia; duration of spinal anesthesia implementation procedure by physician in the intervention group was significantly shorter than that of the control group.
AminiSaman et al. 2018 [68]	TENS at a cupuncture points	ICU Pain of intubated patients (under me- chanical ventilation)	Li 4 and St 36 bi 30 min, 4×/24 hours vs sham (same device, not activated)	Reduction in pain and analgesic and sedation medication.
Fox et al. 2018 [69]	Ear acupuncture $n = 30$	ED Acute LBP	Ear acupuncture $(n = 15)$ Standard care $(n = 15)$	Acupuncture was feasible and effective in reducing pain intensity; comparable outcomes in "get up and go test"

_	J
đ	٥
=	3
2	=
Ŧ	2
5	=
5	ל
4	Ė
9	b
3	į
.0	5

Authors/year	Modality/Kind of Study/n	Setting and Types of Pain	Intervention and Comparators	Results
Beltaief et al. 2018 [58]	Acupuncture $n = 115$	ED Acute renal colic	Acupuncture (n = 54) vs tirrated morphine (n = 61)	Time to 50% pain reduction: acupuncture (14 minutes) vs morphine (28 minutes).
				Acupuncture associated with much faster and deeper analgesic effect.
				Acupuncture had better tolerance profile than titrated morphine.
Crawford et al. 2019 [70]	Ear acupuncture BFA n = 233	Lower-extremity surgery acute pain	Modified BFA (n = 81) (right ear including cingulate gyrus, thalamus, omega 2, shen-men, and point zero) Sham acupuncture (n = 74) [ASP needles at ear upper limb ear points] Usual care (n = 78)	Overall pain levels unchanged at any time point; modified BFA does not change pain, opioid use, or quality of life in those with lower-extremity surgery.
Liu et al. 2019 [71]	Nonpharmacologic interventions $n=182$	Primarily pediatric and adolescent athletes: Acute sprains Elective surgery Appendectomy or ex- tremity surgery	Acupuncture with e-stim vs no tx $(n = 72)$ Hypnosis vs no hypnosis $(n = 50)$ Imagery relaxation vs no intervention $(n = 60)$ 15- to 30-minute txs	Acupuncture, hypnosis, and relaxation beneficial. Acupuncture with e-stim improved pain relief for athlete sprains.
Schiff et al. 2019 [72]	Nonpharmacologic $n=1127$	Perioperative pain, nausea, anxiety	SOC+ acupuncture or reflexology or guided imagery $(n=916)$ SOC $(n=211)$ (do not give n for each intervention group)	SOC insufficient; acupuncture better than reflexology for nausea; otherwise, all therapies provided equal advantage to SOC for pain and anxiety.
Jan et al. 2020 [73]	BFA n=90	ED acute abdominal, low back pain, or limb trauma.	SAC (n = 30) BFA+ SAC= Adj-BFA (n = 30) Sham+ SAC= Adj Sham (n = 30) Intervention provided by nurses, nurse practitioners, physicians, trainees	No significant differences across groups. BFA cannot be recommended for acute pain in ED. (BFA is an abbreviated form of acupuncture.)
Skonnord et al. 2020 [74]	Abbreviated, short, single tx of "Western medical" acupuncture protocol plus movement: n = 167 Acupuncture = 86	Acute nonspecific LBP; 11 primary care settings	n = 171 2 lumbar (right) hand points strong de qi; then patient mobilization movements 2 minutes, then 6 needles at Huatuojiaji L2–L4 segments to de qi (tx time 8–9 minutes) plus usual care vs SOC: advice regarding activity and medications (paracetamol and/or ibuprofen), and information on sick leave (Norwegian national guidelines).	No difference in pain relief across groups. UC time to recovery = 14 days. Acupuncture care plus UC time to recovery = 9 days. Though an abbreviated tx, meets 3-day threshold of clinical relevance, but authors inexplicably conclude it is not clinically relevant.

Adj = adjunct; AE= adverse event; EAS= electroacupuncture stimulation (e-stim on needles inserted at acupoints; e-stim = electrical stimulation; nonpharm=nonpharmacologic; OR = operating room; pharm=pharmaceutical; SAC= standard of care; TENS= transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation; tx = treatment; UC = usual care; VAS= visual analog scale; VNRS= verbal numerical rating scale.

Table 5. Pilot, retrospective, or qualitative studies: acupuncture for inpatient or ED acute pain

	-	-		
Author/year	Modality/kind of study/n	Setting/type of pain	Intervention/comparator/Outcome measures	Results
Crespin et al. 2015 [75]	Acupuncture/retrospective OBS/ n = 2,500	Postoperative pain care after total hip or total knee replacement	Elective acupuncture as adjunct to physical therapy beginning first day after surgery. All Patient Refined-Diagnostic Related Groups (APR-DRG) severity of illness measures; self-reported preand post-rx pain scores 0–10	Nearly 75% of patients elected to have acupuncture in addition to PT; acupuncture reduced pain by 45% in short term and improved patients' capacity to perform PT during initial postsurgical recovery.
Quinlan-Woodward et al. 2016 [76]	Acupuncture/pilot RCT/n = 30	Inpatient / post–breast cancer surgery	Acupuncture $(n = 15)$ UC $(n = 15)$ NRS for pain, nausea	Pain, nausea, and anxiety were reduced in acupuncture group on the first day, and pain was also reduced on the second day after surgery.
Reinstein et al. 2017 [62]	Acupuncture Retrospective OBS $n = 248$	Pain and anxiety ED Back (n = 57) Head (n = 41) Limb (n = 37) Abdomen (n = 27) Chest (n = 17) Groin (n = 3)	Feasibility outcomes: 248/279 = 89% of patients agreed to acupuncture. 55/75 = 73% of clinical providers referred patients for acupuncture. Acupuncture sessions averaged 23 minutes (SD 8.9) and ranged from 6 to 78 minutes.	Feasibility outcomes: $248/279 = 89\%$ of patients agreed Acupuncture acceptable and effective for pain and anxiety acupuncture. 55/75 = 73% of clinical providers referred patients for Of patients with pre pain (n = 182), 43% reported acupuncture. Acupuncture sessions averaged 23 minutes (SD 8.9) and similar benefits were seen regardless of whether any pain medication also was received in the ED (n = 88) vs
			Acupuncture tx vs OC analgesics. INKS 0-10 for pain and anxiety.	acupuncture alone $(n = 92)$.
Burns et al. 2019 [60]	Acupuncture Retrospective OBS n = 379	ED acute pain: Neck/back/shoulder/hip (n = 133) Abdominal pain including urinary tract and gastric (n = 123) Chest pain, including anxiety/hyper- tension related (n = 35) Head pain (including headache, Bell's palsy, epistaxis, trigeminal neuralgia) (n = 37) Joint/limb pain (n = 31) Substance withdrawal pain (n = 6) Generalized pain (all over or more than one site) (n = 14)	Acupuncture: 53.7% of 706 patients agreed to acupuncture (n = 379). 86% had 8–15 needles. 92.6% had 20- to 30-minute needle time (mean 24.4 minutes) Pre- and post-acupuncture pain, stress, anxiety, and nausea scores.	Acupuncture is feasible and acceptable for acute pain patients in ED. Patient-reported pain, stress, and anxiety scores all significantly improved after acupuncture, with similar benefits seen regardless of whether any pain medication also was received. Receiving only opioids during ED visit was not associated with improved pain scores. AEs not reported.
				(70::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::

Table 5. continued				
Author/year	Modality/kind of study/n	Setting/type of pain	Intervention/comparator/Outcome measures	Results
Aikawa et al. 2020 [77]	Acupuncture OBS $n = 102$	ED, acute musculoskeletal pain $(n=102) \\ LBP, neck pain, knee pain, shoulder pain.$	10-second intense tx at single or 2 acupoints NVS before and after tx. SI 3, BL 62, GB 41.	Almost all reported decrease in pain; only 4% had desire for analgesic medication.
Tsai et al. 2020 [78]	Acupuncture Retrospective study n = 24	Outpatient and inpatient units; pedi- 90 txs/24 patients, atric sickle cell pain Pre/post pain score	90 txs/24 patients, mean tx duration 18.5 \pm 4.8 minutes Pre/post pain scores	No AEs. Pain reduction.
Mahmood et al 2020 [79]	Acupuncture retrospective n = 12	Inpatient and outpatient units, pediatric sickle cell pain	Acupuncture retrospective n = 12 Inpatient and outpatient units, pedi- Adjuvant acupuncture 15–20 minutes atric sickle cell pain	Acceptable, feasible; improved pain.
Tsai et al. 2020 [80]	Acupuncture manual (75%) Electroacupuncture (1%) Combined manual and electro (24%)	Outpatient, migraine	Acupuncture ($n = 477$), mean 8.9 sessions, with medications Medications alone ($n = 1,908$): sumatriptan, rizatriptan, ergotamine, caffeine, acetaminophen, ibuprofen, and other NSAIDs	In migraine patients who underwent acupuncture tx , the medical expenditures on emergency care (P =0.01) and hospitalization (P =0.01) were significantly lower than for patients without acupuncture tx . It is cost-effective to encourage combining acupuncture and Western medicine to treat migraine patients.

 $AE = adverse \ events; \ NRS = numerical \ rating \ scale; \ NSAIDs = non-steroidal \ anti-inflammatory \ drugs; \ NVS = numerical \ visual \ scale; \ OBS = observational \ study; \ PT = physical \ therapy; \ SD = standard \ deviation; \ tx = treatments; \ UC = usual \ care.$

Table 6. Acupuncture for acute LBP: SRs with/without meta-analysis

Quality and Recommendation	Quality mixed and needs consistency. Evidence shows potential for acupuncture, but further study needed to establish whether benefit compared with NSAIDs reflects evidence of equivalence. More research needed to establish optimal dose and frequency of acupuncture.	SOE low to moderate for chronic LBP; SOE low for acute LBP. There is limited evidence that acupuncture is effective for acute LBP in short term (less than 3 months) and on a small to moderate magnitude. More evidence needed for acute LBP, to understand incremental benefits of combining and sequencing interventions.	Quality moderate. Need for research on specific techniques used, including needle placement, stimulation, needle depth, and the experience of the acupuncturists. Recommends standardization of the outcome measures and focus on duration/frequency of acupuncture sessions in future studies.	Quality moderate. Acupuncture significantly benefits acute LBP symptoms, including reduction in analgesic medication. Heterogeneity of trials contributes to cautious recommendation of acupuncture for acute LBP. More research is needed.
Qualit	Qualit rency. for acu study 1 benefit reflects More 1 lish op of acu		Qualit Need f technic placen depth, acupun standar measuu frequei	Qualit signific sympte analge: Heterc utes to of acur More r
Results	Acupuncture may be more effective than NSAIDs for global assessment, but effect is small. Acupuncture more effective than sham in reducing acute pain but not so for function or subacute pain. Acupuncture plus meds more effective for pain relief and overall function than meds alone. Fewer side effects than NSAIDs.	Acupuncture decreased pain intensity more than sham, no clear impact on function. Greater likelihood of improvement compared to NSAIDs at end of tx.	Moderate evidence of efficacy for acupuncture in terms of pain reduction immediately after tx for NSLBP ([sub]acute and chronic) when compared with sham or placebo acupuncture. Only minor AE.	Acupuncture significantly benefits VAS score (pain), ODI score, and NOP. Effect on RMDQ equal to controls.
Outcomes/Comparators	3 acupuncture vs nonpene- trating sham 7 acupuncture vs NSAID medication 2/7 acupuncture, acupuncture+ meds vs meds alone 1 acupuncture + meds vs meds alone 6 "cured, improved, or fail- ed" scale 6 NRS or VAS 4 function, 2 physical exam, 2 analgesic use	Acupuncture vs no acupuncture Acupuncture vs sham Acupuncture vs meds Acupuncture vs acupuncture plus meds vs meds Pain and function measures	Acupuncture vs sham vs pla- cebo vs UC Acupuncture vs sham	Acupuncture (manual acupuncture, EA, ear acupuncture) vs drugs or sham acupuncture
Setting/Condition	Outpatient, acute LBP, n = 1,139	n = 1,163 acute LBP (actually 1,139)	4 acute LBP in outpatient setting (n = 753)	Settings not described. Acute LBP
Meta- Analysis	7 RCTs	N A	9 RCTs	13 RCTs n = 899
SR	11 RCTs	11 RCTs of Lee et al. plus 2 RCTs	14 RCTs n = 2,110 4 trials (sub) acute LBP (<12 weeks)	13 RCTs n = 899
Modality	Acupuncture 8/11 manual, EA, modern, wrist ankle	Nonpharm including acupuncture	Acupuncture 4/14: 1 scalp acupuncture, 3 body acupuncture	Manual acupuncture, EA, AA
Authors, Year	Lee et al. 2013 [82]	Chou et al. 2017 [81]	Xiang et al. 2020 [95]	Su et al. 2021 [96]

EA = electroacupuncture; NA = not applicable; nonpharm = nonpharmacologic; NOP = number of pills; NRS = numerical rating scale; NSLBP = nonspecific low back pain; ODI = Owestry Disability Index; RMDQ = Rowland-Morris Disability Questionnaire; SOE = strength of evidence; tx = treatment; UC = usual care; VAS = visual analog scale.

Studies also reported high acceptability for acupuncture by patients with acute pain in the ED, when measured [56, 60, 61]. A retrospective study of patients with acute pain in the ED found that acupuncture produced a decrease in pain comparable to that produced by analgesics, with the additional benefits of reduction in anxiety as well as high acceptability among both medical providers and patients (Table 5) [62]. Seventy-five percent of providers referred for acupuncture, and 90% of patients agreed to acupuncture. When details were reported in studies, acupuncture sessions for acute pain in the ED averaged 10–30 minutes [58, 63], with mean session durations of 23–24 minutes [60, 62], and they did not disrupt ED course of care [60–62].

Acupuncture for acute pain in the ED is a developing area of research focus, based on single treatments during a narrow window of time. The details in Table 2 include outcome measures in addition to comparators.

Acupuncture for Acute LBP

There is evidence that acupuncture is effective for acute LBP in the short term (for 3 months or less) and on a small to moderate magnitude [81]. The ACP recommends acupuncture as a first-line treatment for acute and subacute LBP on the basis of their 2017 SRM (11 RCTs, n = 1,163; actually n = 1,139) [13, 82]. That review was based primarily on a 2013 SRM by Lee et al. (11 RCTs, n = 1,139) [82] that found acupuncture to be more effective than sham for acute LBP, but not for function, and comparable to nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for pain with reduced risk of side effects. The authors (2013) called for noninferiority research to clarify equivalency with NSAIDs. The ACP SRM considered two RCTs for acute LBP in addition to the 2013 SRM by Lee et al.: Hasegawa et al. (2014) (n = 80) [83] and Vas et al. (2012) (n = 275) [84]. Hasegawa et al. compared a Japanese scalp needling technique with traditional Chinese body acupuncture. The body acupuncture was not detailed but was not an "inert" intervention and so not a true sham control. The trial used one acupuncturist, a specialist in the scalp technique. Although the scalp technique showed benefit relative to body acupuncture in some measures, the results are questionable on the basis of the problematic design [83]. Vas et al. reported real and sham acupuncture to be superior to placebo at 3 months but equal to sham and placebo compared with medication alone at 12 months [85]. However, the study was powered only to detect differences between true acupuncture and the medication control group, where true acupuncture was superior to medication control. It was not powered relative to sham or placebo. Moreover, points used in the sham group are reported in the literature to be effective for LBP, making the sham arm an active acupuncture treatment [86]. Inclusion of Hasegawa et al. and Vas et al. in the ACP review is problematic and potentially misleading given these sham control design limitations.

The benefit of acupuncture for acute LBP is also informed by trials in the ED or urgent care setting. A multicenter equivalence, noninferiority RCT (n = 528) of acupuncture for acute LBP in the ED found acupuncture to be safe, acceptable, and comparable to medications (n = 270) (Table 4) [56]. A 2020 study (n = 167) of a single abbreviated acupuncture treatment (8-9 minutes) for acute LBP that used hand points, movement, and several back points showed that it provided no advantage in pain relief compared with medications but did shorten the time to recovery from 14 to 9 days [74]. Although this recovery time benefit reached the authors' threshold, inexplicably, they reported it as not clinically significant.

The abbreviated auricular treatment known as battlefield acupuncture (BFA) did not provide additional benefit for acute pain, including acute LBP, in the ED [73]. There may be an advantage to more comprehensive acupuncture treatment that is responsive to individual patient presentations [56] over rote abbreviated techniques like BFA [73, 74]. Moreover, BFA has been marketed as a "battlefield" option for acute pain, but it has not been studied in that setting. It is used primarily for chronic pain within the Veterans Health Administration outpatient care. Although BFA has benefited from auriculotherapy research [87], it has not been studied relative to other auricular protocols, nor is there any proven advantage of ASP® needles (ASP: Aiguille SemiPermanent needles Sedatelec, Chemin des Mûriers 69540 Irigny, France) over other intradermal needles or ear seeds for extended auricular therapy, as has been claimed by BFA Seminars [88, 89]. Rather, the "harpoon"-shaped needles [90], which are designed to embed in the chondral layer of the ear, could increase the risk of harms [91]. Auricular acupressure with Vaccaria seeds fixed to ear points has been shown to be as effective as, if not more effective than, any retained intradermal needles, with a greatly reduced risk of harms [87].

Trials of acupuncture for specific kinds of acute back pain also illuminate its potential. An SRM on acute pain in the ED that included three trials of acupuncture for renal colic found acupuncture to be as effective as medication with fewer side effects (n = 38) [92], making it a potent option for immediate renal colic pain while avoiding the risks of NSAIDs or acetaminophen (n = 121) [93]. Acupuncture was as effective as Dolantin (meperidine) but superior to Scopolamine (hyoscine) in another trial on renal colic (n = 240), with onset time to pain relief significantly earlier for acupuncture and with greatly reduced adverse reactions [94]. Since the 2017 SRM, another trial (n = 115) found acupuncture to be superior to titrated morphine for renal colic in the ED, with significantly sooner onset of pain relief (50% reduction), deeper pain relief, and greatly reduced adverse effects [58].

Acupuncture Therapy for Acute Headache and Migraine Acupuncture has been studied for acute migraine [97], migraine prophylaxis and prevention, and impact on

Table 7. Acupuncture for acute migraine: SRs with and without meta-analyses

Authors, Year	Modality	SR	Meta- Analysis	Setting/Condition, n	Outcomes/Comparators	Results	Quality and Recommendations, Next Steps
Pu et al. 2016 [102] (Chinese language)	Acupuncture	NA	5 trials	Acute migraine n = 618	At 2 hours and 4 hours in acute migraine.	Acupuncture could effectively relieve the intensity of pain in acute migraine.	Quality unclear. Analgesic effect of acupuncture is significantly superior to sham acupuncture.
Coeytaux et al. 2016 [103]	Acupuncture	Overview of SRs	Overview of meta-analyses	Migraine, HA prevention: Cochrane SR (n = 22 studies, n = 4,985 participants) Tension-type HA: Cochrane SR (n = 12 trials, 2,349 participants) Chronic HA	HA frequency and response; compared with routine care (n = 5 studies); sham acupuncture control (n = 15); prophylactic drug tx (n = 5) HA response and number of HA days; compared to routine care (n = 2); sham acupuncture (n = 7); physiotherapy, massage or relaxation (n = 4) Specific outcome is unclear; compared with sham acupuncture (n = material control of the material compared with sham acupuncture (n = muclear)	Significant improvement in HA frequency compared with routine care and with prophylactic drug tx at 2 months. Acupuncture was significantly superior to routine care and sham acupuncture for response and reduction in HA days at 2, 3–4, and 5–6 months. Significantly larger effect size compared with sham acupuncture.	Quality not assessed. Acupuncture should be tx option to prevent migraine. Acupuncture should be a tx option for frequent episodic or chronic tension HA. None stated.
Zhang et al. 2019 [104]	Acupuncture	Overview of 15 SRs	Overview of 15 meta-analyses	Acute and preventive tx of migraine (n = 13 migraine; n = 1 included episodic migraine; n = 1 menstrual migraine included)	n=15 VAS, clinical outcome, frequency Controls= no acupunc- ture, sham acupuncture, drug tx	n = 6 acupuncture superior to drugs; n = 4 acupuncture superior to sham acupuncture, drugs; n = 3 acupuncture superior to sham acupuncture; n = 1 acupuncture superior to drugs, other TCM txs; n = 1 acupuncture superior to tx migraine but did not mention control group in conclusions.	Methodological quality low. Acupuncture has advantage in pain improvement of VAS score, HA days/frequency, analgesic use, and efficacy of response rate. Poor quality of studies indicates better-quality research needed.
Li et al. 2020 [105] Overview of SRs	Acupuncture (body acupuncture, EA, ear acupuncture, warm acupuncture, scalp acupuncture)	Overview of 15 SRs	NA	n = 15 SRs	Sham acupuncture, placebo, medicine, other nonpharmacologic therapy, wait list. Primary outcome: effective rate.	AMSTAR 2 rating: 14/15 critically low-quality rating and 1 low quality. PRISMA-A: 11/15 adequately reporting over 70%. GRADE: high-quality evidence of acupuncture being	High-quality evidence using GRADE tool. Acupuncture could be an effective and safe therapy for migraine, but quality of SRs need to be improved.

Ф
⊐
\subseteq
Ή.
\subseteq
0
ပ
۲.
_
<u>•</u>
5
æ
Ë

Authors, Year	Modality	SR	Meta- Analysis	Setting/Condition, n	Outcomes/Comparators	Results	Quality and Recommendations, Next Steps
					Secondary outcomes: intensity, frequency, duration of HA; use of painkiller, quality of life, recurrence, AEs.	superior to Western medicine (fewer HA days and pain-killer uses, reduced frequency and HA degree compared with Western medicine or sham acupuncture).	
Yang et al. 2020 [106]	Acupuncture or acupoint stimulation with needle, heat, electricity, pressure, laser	13 trials n = 826	9 trials	Menstrual migraine	Sham devices; routine care; medications; acupuncture with medications. Primary outcome: number of migraines per month at completion of acupuncture tx. Secondary outcomes: days with migraine per month; mean HA intensity by VAS; medication use; frequency of migraines per months follow-up; AEs.	Acupuncture was not superior to sham acupuncture to reduce monthly migraine frequency and duration, intensity, or analgesic use. Pooled data: significant improvement in mean HA intensity in acupuncture group compared with drugs. Studies were underpowered, moderate to high risk of bias. No AEs.	Quality moderate. No strong evidence to support acupuncture in tx of menstrual migraine.
Natbony and Zhang 2020 [98]	4 ear acupuncture methods, 1 body acupuncture	Nonsystematic review	₹ Z	Acute migraine (5) ED setting, episodic migraine prevention outpatient (1 SRM, 2 trials); chronic migraine prevention outpatient (3).	Pain reduction for acute migraine; reduction in migraine days in episodic and chronic migraine. Compared with various medications.	Acupuncture has potential for acute migraine in ED; acupuncture appears more effective than no tx or sham for prevention of episodic migraine. More study is needed for chronic migraines and to address barriers to access for acute migraines. Effective dosage and frequency of tx overall needs to be addressed in trials and the duration of benefit.	Quality not assessed. Acupuncture is a valid option for prevention of episodic migraines and has potential in ED for acute migraines.
Halker et al. 2020 [29]	Overview acute tx for episodic migraine (including acupuncture)	Included 4 acu- puncture trials	NA	Outpatient, acute migraine, $n = 475$	3 trials compared with placebo; acupuncture superior to placebo on pain scale at 1 day.	Acupuncture could improve acute migraine pain compared with sham.	SOE low for acupuncture. More research is needed. SOE low or insufficient for opioids for acute migraine.

AE = adverse event; AMSTAR 2 = Measurement Tool to Assess Systematic Reviews; GRADE = Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation; HA = headache; NA = not applicable; PRISMA A = Preferred Reporting Item for Systematic Review and Meta-analysis-Acupuncture; SOE = strength of evidence; TCM = traditional Chinese medicine; tx = treatment.

medical expenditure [80]. Table 7 details the SRs and SRMs that evaluated acupuncture for acute headache/migraine. Although existing research indicates that acupuncture could be a potential strategy for acute migraine, where its benefit exceeds that of sham acupuncture [29, 98], comparing acupuncture with protocol medications in the ED setting presents unique challenges. Acupuncture is not readily available in ED settings in the United States to conduct research, but more importantly, standard medical protocols preclude engaging acupuncture as a strategy for acute migraine in the ED [99]. For example, opioids are one standard option in the ED that precludes an acupuncture intervention, even though the evidence base for opioids' effectiveness and safety for acute migraine remains limited [29].

Where migraine and headache carry a significant global economic burden [100], real-world data from a 10-year national cohort study in Taiwan showed that in patients with migraine who received acupuncture treatment, medical expenditures on emergency care and hospitalization were significantly lower than those of the group without acupuncture treatment [80]. A review of 10 years of RCTs of acupuncture vs various controls in the treatment of migraine (49 trials) found that acupuncture reduces symptoms of migraine and improves quality of life [101]. The meta-analysis showed that acupuncture reduces headache frequency more than no treatment or medications, but the quality of evidence was low. For acute migraine (three trials), real acupuncture had a greater effect on reducing headache-related pain as measured by visual analog scale (VAS) score, earlier onset and longer duration of headache relief, and greater clinical effectiveness immediately after treatment compared with the sham acupuncture control. To reduce migraine symptoms and frequency as well as medical expenditures for migraine-related emergency care and hospitalizations, authors recommend combining acupuncture with usual care [80].

Discussion

The need for evidence-based comprehensive pain care strategies is based on insufficient management of acute pain, continued reliance on opioids for acute pain, the risk associated with opioids, and the ongoing rise in opioid deaths. This review of reviews and meta-analyses supports acupuncture therapy as a viable strategy for acute pain care.

Acute Perioperative, ICU, and ED Pain

Reviews of acupuncture for acute perioperative pain related to various surgeries, including major surgeries, are listed in Table 1. Eleven SRs, 10 of which have meta-analyses, detail the feasibility and benefits of acupuncture. Nine reviews also tracked a reduced need for analgesic medication, including opioids. This is a substantial

finding, given the risk of even short-term opioid use for acute pain [18].

For acute pain in the ED, six SRs, three of which have meta-analyses, demonstrate increasing interest in and feasibility and acceptability of acupuncture in the ED (Table 2) and ICU (Table 3). Table 5 details pilot, observational, retrospective, or qualitative studies on acupuncture's reduction of acute pain, high level of acceptance, and low risk of adverse events [60, 62, 75–80].

Additional trials that evaluated acupuncture for acute pain in the ICU for surgical patients, inpatients, or patients in the ED are detailed in Table 4. Two studies evaluated acupuncture for pain in intubated ICU patients: one using electrical stimulation on needles inserted into acupuncture points [65] and the other using transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on acupuncture points [68]. The former found a marked reduction in the dosage of the sedative drug (midazolam) needed for the pain and discomfort of mechanical intubation [65]. The latter found a reduction in pain and in analgesic and sedation medication [68].

Three studies evaluated a trademarked, abbreviated version of auricular therapy, called BFA, for acute pain, with mixed results. A small study found the addition of BFA for acute LBP to be feasible and effective [69], on par with auricular therapy in general [87]. In other studies, BFA for acute pain in lower-extremity surgery (n = 233) and for acute abdominal, low back, or limb pain in the ED (n = 90) was found to be ineffective [70, 73]. Another trial using a different abbreviated acupuncture protocol for acute LBP also found no benefit [74]. However, a larger multicenter trial using a more robust acupuncture protocol for acute pain in the ED (n = 528) found that acupuncture provided analgesia comparable to that provided by medication for acute LBP and ankle sprain [56].

Two additional studies evaluated acupuncture as a nonpharmacologic pain strategy in the acute or perioperative setting. Acupuncture for pediatric/adolescent patients (n = 182) reduced pain [71]. The other study of perioperative adults (n = 1,127) treated with acupuncture resulted in reduced pain, nausea, and anxiety [72].

Acute LBP and Migraine

Four reviews of acupuncture for acute LBP, three of which had meta-analyses, are detailed in Table 6. The most recent review and meta-analysis (2021) [96] found that acupuncture significantly benefits acute LBP symptoms, including a reduction in analgesic medication.

Finally, Table 7 details seven acupuncture reviews for acute migraine: two with meta-analyses and two with overviews of meta-analyses. Acupuncture had a significantly larger effect than sham [29, 102, 103] and a larger effect than medications [103–105]. Acupuncture appears to be more effective than no treatment or sham in the prevention of acute migraine, with potential as a valid option in the ED

[98]. Although a review of acupuncture for menstrual migraine found a reduction in mean headache intensity from pooled data, it did not find acupuncture to reduce monthly migraine frequency, duration, or analgesic use [106].

Limitations

This narrative review allows for the inclusion of diverse articles with substantial findings on acupuncture for acute pain across various clinical settings, allowing clinicians and policy makers to review the breadth and depth of the literature. Some of the cited SRMs include trials that compared acupuncture with active sham interventions, a problematic methodology wherein controls that are not "inert" can produce physiological changes [31, 42, 43, 107, 108]. When reporting on these studies, we indicate the authors' quality assessments, but in some cases, they are at risk of underestimating the true value of acupuncture because of the active nature of the sham acupuncture used [42]. Details of effect sizes and the quality of reviews are included as reported by the studies' authors, but it is beyond the scope of the present narrative review to systemize authors' reporting of results.

The potential for acupuncture to avoid or reduce opioid use in acute pain is reported in some reviews, and these data should not be undervalued. As few as 5 days of prescribed opioids as the initial treatment of pain increases the probability of long-term opioid use [18]. Even the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommends non-opioid and nonpharmacologic options as a first line of treatment for acute postsurgical pain [16]. Against the background of the ongoing crisis of opioids, addiction, and death due to opioids, metrics on analgesic use, including opioids and NSAIDs, should be encouraged as a clinically meaningful outcome measure in future studies. Future multisite trials to clarify the scope, timing, and generalizability of acupuncture for acute pain the ED are recommended. On the basis of research that previously established the advantage of multidisciplinary pain care, future research must focus on individual as well as combination strategies for comprehensive pain care, which include evidence-based nonpharmacologic strategies in the acute pain care setting.

Acupuncture Therapy Safety

Acupuncture has a low risk of adverse events. More specifically, the associated effects of acupuncture have been categorized as secondary benefits, such as feeling relaxed, elated, or tired or having improved mood and sleep. The National Institutes of Health Consensus Statement on Acupuncture published in 1998 found that "... the incidence of adverse effects is substantially lower than that of many drugs or other accepted procedures for the same conditions" [109]. SRs and surveys have clarified that acupuncture is safe when performed by appropriately trained practitioners [110–117], with infrequent minor side effects, such as feeling relaxed, elated, or tired or

having sensation or itching at the point of insertion [114]. Rare serious complications, such as infection or pneumothorax, are directly related to insufficient training [115, 116, 118]. Safe use of acupuncture has also been established in vulnerable populations, including children [110, 119–121] and pregnant women [122–124]. Active military service members who accessed acupuncture for chronic pain had a reduced risk of long-term adverse outcomes [125].

Research needs to continue to carefully track and report any adverse events associated with acupuncture and compare them with adverse events associated with medications used, such as opioids. Acupuncture's reduced risk is apparent in general, but one article serves as a relevant example. In the management of acute pain in the ED, acupuncture (n = 150) was compared with titrated morphine (n = 150) [59]. Of the 300 participants, adverse effects were experienced by 89 (29.3%) patients: 85 (56.6%) in the morphine group and 4 (2.6%) in the acupuncture group. Primary adverse events in the morphine group were dizziness, nausea, and vomiting. Acupuncture had a better success rate, with faster resolution to 50% reduction of pain than was seen in the morphine group.

Frequency, Dosage, and Timing of Nonpharmacologic Interventions for Inpatient and Acute Pain Care

Inpatient acupuncture therapy is usually delivered by a licensed independent practitioner, such as an acupuncturist, and is generally given as a daily treatment for the term of the inpatient stay, with referral for outpatient care follow-up. ED settings allow for a single treatment only. A session of inpatient or ED acupuncture care can last 20-60 minutes, with 30-45 minutes being typical. A session would likely include an interview assessment, palpation, point location, needling that may or may not include "de gi" response, resting with needles in place, needle removal and disposal, reevaluation, and recommendations. Needle retention times vary and could be 15-25 minutes depending on whether one aspect of the body or more is treated. If the front and back of the body are treated, needle retention might be 10-20 minutes for each side. If only one aspect is treated, needle retention might be longer. Body acupuncture typically involves points both local to and distal from the problem area.

Abbreviated treatments result in less optimal outcomes. For example, the limited auricular protocol BFA was not effective for acute abdominal pain, acute LBP, or limb trauma pain in the ED [73]. Modified BFA was also not effective as an adjunct for pain relief in lower-extremity surgery [70]. Another ED trial used an abbreviated 10-minute acupuncture treatment for acute LBP with usual care vs usual care alone, finding no difference in pain relief [74].

There are evidence-based data on the dosage and frequency of acupuncture for acute pain, and more research

will be beneficial to further define the optimal frequency, dosage, and timing of care: What constitutes an optimal intervention in terms of session time, number of points palpated and needled, and needle retention time, and with what additional hands-on therapies? Parameters of effective acupuncture treatment for chronic pain could inform acute pain care. For example, in a large individual patient data meta-analysis (39 trials, n = 20,827) 95% of trials included local and distal points, 94% aimed to obtain "de qi," 69% used 5-14 acupoints in a session (21% used 15-20 acupoints), 50% had mean session durations of 20-29 minutes, and 47% had mean session durations of 30 minutes or more [31]. The only patient characteristic that predicted outcome was severity of pain at baseline, wherein patients reporting more severe pain at baseline experienced more benefit from acupuncture compared with either sham control or non-acupuncture control [33]. These findings from such a large study may be generalizable to acute pain care.

Multimodal Approach to Acute Pain Care

The era of the promise and promotion of opioids coincided with a retraction of insurance coverage for multidisciplinary pain care strategies, which in turn created a barrier to access to and funded research of nonpharmacologic therapies [126, 127]. Multimodal pain care is now recognized as the optimal, inclusive, and responsive approach to patients experiencing pain: inclusive of all evidence-based therapies, including effective nonpharmacologic strategies, and responsive to patients' diverse and evolving needs [127]. Evidence-based nonpharmacologic therapies are recommended in comprehensive pediatric and adult pain care [10, 128, 129]. Multimodal pain care is recommended by the American Pain Society in their guidelines for postoperative pain management [41]. Effective nonpharmacologic strategies are recommended by the ACP in their guidelines for acute LBP [13]. Comprehensive multimodal pain care must include effective nonpharmacologic strategies such as acupuncture in the inpatient and acute care setting, with a seamless referral system to support ongoing care once a patient is discharged. Nevertheless, as clearly stated in the review of back pain by Cherkin et al, "... Despite improved knowledge about the benefits and harms of treatments for chronic back pain in the past several decades, there is a large and consequential mismatch between treatments found safe and effective and those routinely covered by health insurance ... The barriers to change identified in the IOM (Institute of Medicine) and Lancet reports make it clear that deficiencies in our health care delivery and payment models are centrally involved in the continued failure to improve care for back pain" [130]. This deficit applies equally to all types of pain. There is a pervasive failure to align insurance coverage to ensure access to evidence-based, safe, and effective pain treatments [131].

Acupuncture Biomechanisms

Scientific discoveries over the past several decades have demonstrated complex and robust biological mechanisms for the therapeutic effects of acupuncture. A full review of the biomechanism literature is not within the scope of the present article, and we aim only to highlight some of the most significant contributions of recent science. Research on acupuncture's impact on the musculoskeletal system includes evidence that acupuncture needle insertion stimulation influences connective tissue and fascia, collagen production, and fibroblast alignment and activity [132-134]. Acupuncture has also been shown to reduce inflammation locally, which in turn impacts pain processing by the central nervous system [135–137]. There is robust research showing how acupuncture stimulates neuroplastic changes that are known to interfere with the processes of central sensitization that are well recognized to perpetuate chronic pain. The impact on the central and peripheral nervous systems includes neurochemical alterations of the endogenous opioid [138–140] and endocannabinoid systems [141, 142].

Neuroimmune mechanisms include alterations in the nuclear factor kappa B pathway and in the TRPV1 and TRPV2 channels of mast cells, as well as reduced levels of substance P (SP), neurokinin-1 receptor, interleukin-6, interleukin-1 β , and tumor necrosis factor- α [143], thereby inhibiting the release of excitatory neurotransmitters while promoting the release of inhibitory neurotransmitters from neurons and glial cells. Acupuncture also regulates neuroinflammatory substances that inhibit microglial crosstalk [144, 145].

Central mechanisms of acupuncture include deactivation of the limbic system, which is important for emotion and internal homeostasis, which impact chronic pain [146–148]. Functional magnetic resonance imaging evidence demonstrates beneficial modulation of the maladaptive alterations in somatosensory cortical maps that have been shown to accompany chronic pain states and interfere with optimal motor recruitment patterns [149, 150].

As pain and physiology research have evolved, discoveries have demonstrated the interconnected roles of the immune system, the central and peripheral nervous systems, and all organ systems in the phenomena of nociception and its interpretation by the brain. This level of interconnectedness requires comprehensive strategies to understand pain, assess it, and deliver care. As iterated by John Bonica since the 1940s, pain care requires attention to mind and body and is best delivered through comprehensive strategies that were the cornerstone of his vision for pain treatment [151]. Evidence-based therapies such as acupuncture therapy have a vital role to play in comprehensive pain care.

Summary

Our narrative review includes 22 SRs, of which 17 have meta-analyses, and it supports the feasibility and benefit

of acupuncture therapy as an effective standalone or adjunct intervention in acute perioperative pain and acute pain in the ED or urgent care setting. The evidence supporting effectiveness, safety, reduced need for opioids and NSAIDs, and improved patient satisfaction is a compelling reason for acupuncture therapy to be covered for acute pain by public and private insurance. Policy barriers preventing licensed acupuncturists from being Medicare-billing providers need to be addressed. Health care practitioners and administrators need training in the evidence base for acupuncture therapy, and they need to advocate for policy initiatives that remedy systemic reimbursement barriers to evidence-based comprehensive pain care strategies. The support, promotion, and dissemination of ongoing research into the expanding role of effective nonpharmacologic treatments for pain will need to continue to address both the short- and long-term therapeutic and economic impact of comprehensive pain care practices. Multimodal pain care is now recognized as the optimal, inclusive, and responsive approach to patients experiencing pain: incorporating all evidencebased therapies, including effective nonpharmacologic strategies is responsive to patients' diverse and evolving needs.

Authors' Contributions

All authors participated in searches for and evaluation of reviews and studies. All authors drafted the manuscript; AN and HT responsible for coordination of the finalized manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This overview was supported by the Academic Consortium for Integrative Medicine & Health, Pain Task Force Project. The authors thank Samantha Simmons, MPH, for her careful review of the article as the evidence relates to policy recommendations.

References

- Pain Management, VHA Directive 2009-053. 2009. Available at: https://www.va.gov/PAINMANAGEMENT/docs/VHA09PainDirective.pdf (accessed September 24, 2021).
- VA/DoD Clinical Practice Guideline for Opioid Therapy for Chronic Pain. 2017. Available at: https://www.healthquality. va.gov/guidelines/Pain/cot/VADoDOTCPG022717.pdf (accessed January 11, 2022).
- National Institutes of Health. National Pain Strategy, a Comprehensive Population Health-Level Strategy for Pain. 2016. Available at: https://www.iprcc.nih.gov/sites/default/ files/documents/NationalPainStrategy_508C.pdf (accessed January 11, 2022).
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Introduction to FDA's Opioid Analgesic REMS Education Blueprint for Health Care Providers Involved in the Treatment and Monitoring of Patients with Pain (January 2018). 2018. Available at: https://

www.fda.gov/media/99496/download (accessed January 18, 2022).

- Dowell D, Haegerich TM, Chou R. CDC guideline for prescribing opioids for chronic pain—United States, 2016. JAMA 2016;315(15):1624–45.
- Tick H, Nielsen A, Pelletier KR, et al.; Pain Task Force of the Academic Consortium for Integrative Medicine and Health. Evidence-based Nonpharmacologic Strategies for Comprehensive Pain Care: The Consortium Pain Task Force White Paper. Explore (NY) 2018;14(3):177–211.
- Skelly AC, Chou R, Dettori JR, et al. Noninvasive Nonpharmacological Treatment for Chronic Pain: A Systematic Review Update. Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 227. AHRQ Publication No. 20-EHC009. 2020. Available at: https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/products/noninvasivenonpharm-pain-update/research (accessed March 4, 2022).
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA Education Blueprint for Health Care Providers Involved in the Management or Support of Patients with Pain (May 2017). 2017. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/drugs/news-events-human-drugs/fda-education-blueprint-health-care-providers-involved-managementor-support-patients-pain (accessed January 11, 2022).
- hhs.gov. Pain Management Best Practices Inter-Agency Task Force Report: Updates, Gaps, Inconsistencies, and Recommendations. 2019. Available at: https://www.hhs.gov/ opioids/prevention/pain-management-options/index.html (accessed January 10, 2022).
- The Joint Commission R3 Report: Requirement, Rational, Reference. Pain Assessment and Management Standards for Hospitals. 2017. Available at: https://www.jointcommission. org/-/media/tjc/documents/standards/r3-reports/r3_report_issue_11_2_11_19_rev.pdf (accessed January 10, 2022).
- Quick Safety: Nonpharmacologic and non-opioid solutions for pain management; Issue 44. 2018. Available at: https://www. jointcommission.org/-/media/tjc/documents/resources/painmanagement/qs_nonopioid_pain_mgmt_8_15_18_final1.pdf (accessed January 10, 2022).
- 12. AAFP. Clinical Practice Guideline Low Back Pain. 2017. Available at: https://www.aafp.org/family-physician/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all-clinical-recommendations/back-pain.html (accessed January 10, 2022).
- Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, McLean RM, et al.; Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians. Noninvasive treatments for acute, subacute, and chronic low back pain: A clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med 2017;166(7):514–30.
- Kazis LE, Ameli O, Rothendler J, et al. Observational retrospective study of the association of initial healthcare provider for new-onset low back pain with early and long-term opioid use. BMJ Open 2019;9(9):e028633.
- Louis CJ, Herrera CS, Garrity BM, et al. Association of initial provider type on opioid fills for individuals with neck pain. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2020;101(8):1407–13.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Postsurgical Pain. 2022. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/acute-pain/postsurgical-pain/#ftn10 (accessed February 10, 2022).
- 17. Callinan CE, Neuman MD, Lacy KE, Gabison C, Ashburn MA. The initiation of chronic opioids: A survey of chronic pain patients. J Pain 2017;18(4):360–5.
- Shah A, Hayes CJ, Martin BC. Characteristics of initial prescription episodes and likelihood of long-term opioid use— United States, 2006–2015. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2017;66(10):265–9.
- Hedegaard H, Miniño AM, Warner M. Drug overdose deaths in the United States. NCHS Data Brief 1999-2018;2020(356):1–8.

- Ahmad FB, Rossen LM, Sutton P. Provisional drug overdose death counts. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; National Center for Health Statistics. 2022. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/drug-overdose-data.htm (accessed February 18, 2022).
- Pandemic Unleashes a Spike in Overdose Deaths. 2020. Available at: https://www.politico.com/news/2020/06/29/pandemic-unleashes-a-spike-in-overdose-deaths-345183 (accessed February 18, 2022).
- Increase in Fatal Drug Overdoses across the United States Driven by Synthetic Opioids before and during the COVID-19 Pandemic. 2020. Available at: https://emergency.cdc.gov/han/ 2020/han00438.asp (accessed February 18, 2022).
- 23. Ballantyne JC. Opioids for the treatment of chronic pain: Mistakes made, lessons learned, and future directions. Anesth Analg 2017;125(5):1769–78.
- Covington EC, Argoff CE, Ballantyne JC, et al. Ensuring patient protections when tapering opioids: Consensus panel recommendations. Mayo Clin Proc 2020;95(10):2155–71.
- Encinosa W, Bernard D, Selden TM. Opioid and non-opioid analgesic prescribing before and after the CDC's 2016 opioid guideline. Int J Health Econ Manag 2022;22(1):1–52.
- 26. Manchikanti L. Opioid-induced hyperalgesia method is a clinically relevant issue. Ann Palliat Med 2012;1(1):2–3.
- Ballantyne JC. The brain on opioids. Pain 2018;159(Suppl 1): S24–s30.
- Chua KP, Kimmel L, Brummett CM. Disappointing early results from opioid prescribing limits for acute pain. JAMA Surg 2020;155(5):375–6.
- 29. Halker Singh RB, VanderPluym JH, Morrow AS, et al. Acute Treatments for Episodic Migraine. Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 239. (Prepared by the Mayo Clinic Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. 290-2015-00013-I.) In: 21-EHC009. APN, ed. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2020.
- Xiang A, Cheng K, Shen X, Xu P, Liu S. The immediate analgesic effect of acupuncture for pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2017;2017:3837194.
- 31. Vickers AJ, Vertosick EA, Lewith G, et al.; Acupuncture Trialists' Collaboration. Acupuncture for chronic pain: Update of an individual patient data meta-analysis. J Pain 2018;19 (5):455–74.
- 32. MacPherson H, Vickers A, Bland M, et al. Acupuncture for chronic pain and depression in primary care: A programme of research. Programme Grants for Appl Res 2017;5(3):1–342.
- 33. Witt CM, Vertosick EA, Foster NE, Acupuncture Trialists' Collaboration, et al. The effect of patient characteristics on acupuncture treatment outcomes: An individual patient data meta-analysis of 20,827 chronic pain patients in randomized controlled trials. Clin J Pain 2019;35(5):428–34.
- Liu XL, Tan JY, Molassiotis A, Suen LK, Shi Y. Acupuncturepoint stimulation for postoperative pain control: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2015;2015:657809–28.
- Wu MS, Chen KH, Chen IF, et al. The efficacy of acupuncture in post-operative pain management: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2016;11(3):e0150367.
- Sun Y, Gan TJ, Dubose JW, Habib AS. Acupuncture and related techniques for postoperative pain: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Br J Anaesth 2008;101 (2):151–60.
- Tedesco D, Gori D, Desai KR, et al. Drug-free interventions to reduce pain or opioid consumption after total knee arthroplasty: A systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Surg 2017;152(10):e172872.

- Asher GN, Jonas DE, Coeytaux RR, et al. Auriculotherapy for pain management: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Altern Complement Med 2010; 16(10):1097–108.
- Ye XX, Gao YZ, Xu ZB, Liu QX, Zhan CJ. Effectiveness of perioperative auricular therapy on postoperative pain after total hip arthroplasty: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2019;2019(2979780):2979780.
- Murakami M, Fox L, Dijkers MP. Ear acupuncture for immediate pain relief: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Pain Med 2017;18(3):551–64.
- 41. Chou R, Gordon DB, de Leon-Casasola OA, et al. Management of postoperative pain: A clinical practice guideline from the American Pain Society, the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, and the American Society of Anesthesiologists' Committee on Regional Anesthesia, Executive Committee, and Administrative Council. J Pain 2016;17(2):131–57.
- Birch S, Lee MS, Kim TH, Alraek T. Historical perspectives on using sham acupuncture in acupuncture clinical trials. Integr Med Res 2022;11(1):100725.
- Kim TH, Lee MS, Birch S, Alraek T. Plausible mechanism of sham acupuncture based on biomarkers: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Front Neurosci 2022;16:834112.
- 44. Zhu J, Xu Q, Zou R, et al. Distal acupoint stimulation versus peri-incisional stimulation for postoperative pain in open abdominal surgery: A systematic review and implications for clinical practice. BMC Complement Altern Med 2019;19(1):192.
- 45. Huang S, Peng W, Tian X, et al. Effects of transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation at different frequencies on perioperative anesthetic dosage, recovery, complications, and prognosis in video-assisted thoracic surgical lobectomy: A randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. J Anesth 2017;31 (1):58–65.
- 46. Chen J, Zhang Y, Li X, et al. Efficacy of transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation combined with general anesthesia for sedation and postoperative analgesia in minimally invasive lung cancer surgery: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Thoracic Cancer 2020;11(4):928–34.
- 47. Dingemann J, Plewig B, Baumann I, Plinkert PK, Sertel S. Acupuncture in posttonsillectomy pain: A prospective, double-blinded, randomized, controlled trial. HNO 2017;65(S1):73–9.
- Cho YH, Kim CK, Heo KH, et al. Acupuncture for acute postoperative pain after back surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Pain Pract 2015; 15(3):279–91.
- Fuentealba Cargill F, Biagini Alarcon L. [Acupuncture for postoperative pain, a literature review.]. Rev Med Chil 2016;144 (3):325–32.
- Kim KH, Lee BR, Ryu JH, Choi TY, Yang GY. The role of acupuncture in emergency department settings: A systematic review. Complement Ther Med 2013;21(1):65–72.
- 51. Jan AL, Aldridge ES, Rogers IR, et al. Review article: Does acupuncture have a role in providing analgesia in the emergency setting? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Emerg Med Australas 2017;29(5):490–8.
- Chia KL, Lam RPK, Lam CK, Tsui SH. Acupuncture in the emergency department: A systematic review of randomised controlled trials. Acupunct Med 2018;36(3):183–92.
- 53. Sakamoto JT, Ward HB, Vissoci JRN, Eucker SA. Are non-pharmacologic pain interventions effective at reducing pain in adult patients visiting the emergency department? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Acad Emerg Med 2018;25(8):940–57.

- 54. Jan AL, Aldridge ES, Rogers IR, et al. Does ear acupuncture have a role for pain relief in the emergency setting? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Med Acupunct 2017;29(5):276–89.
- Sandvik RK, Olsen BF, Rygh LJ, Moi AL. Pain relief from nonpharmacological interventions in the intensive care unit: A scoping review. J Clin Nurs 2020;29(9-10):1488–98.
- Cohen MM, Parker SJ, Xue CC, et al. Acupuncture for analgesia in the emergency department: A multicentre, randomised, equivalence and non-inferiority trial. Med J Aust 2017;206(11):494–9.
- Loskotova A, Loskotova J. The use of acupuncture in first aid of burns: Clinical report. Burns 2017;43(8):1782–91.
- Beltaief K, Grissa MH, Msolli MA, et al. Acupuncture versus titrated morphine in acute renal colic: A randomized controlled trial. J Pain Res 2018; Volume 11:335–41.
- Grissa MH, Baccouche H, Boubaker H, et al. Acupuncture vs intravenous morphine in the management of acute pain in the ED. Am J Emerg Med 2016;34(11):2112–6.
- Burns JR, Kram JJF, Xiong V, et al. Utilization of acupuncture services in the emergency department setting: A quality improvement study. J Patient-Cent Res Rev 2019;6(2):172–8.
- Zhang AL, Parker SJ, Smit de V, Taylor DM, Xue CC. Acupuncture and standard emergency department care for pain and/or nausea and its impact on emergency care delivery: A feasibility study. Acupunct Med 2014;32(3):250–6.
- Reinstein AS, Erickson LO, Griffin KH, et al. Acceptability, adaptation, and clinical outcomes of acupuncture provided in the emergency department: A retrospective pilot study. Pain Med 2017;18(1):169–78.
- Smit DV, Taylor DM, Cameron PA. The use of acupuncture as an adjunct to conventional treatment in the emergency department. Ann Emerg Med 2008;51(4):479.
- Liu AF, Gong SW, Chen JX, Zhai JB. Efficacy and safety of acupuncture therapy for patients with acute ankle sprain: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2020;2020:1.
- 65. Zheng X, Meng JB, Fang Q. Electroacupuncture reduces the dose of midazolam monitored by the bispectral index in critically ill patients with mechanical ventilation: An exploratory study. Acupunct Med 2012;30(2):78–84.
- Murugesan H, Venkatappan S, Renganathan SK, Narasimhan S, Sekar M. Comparison of acupuncture with ibuprofen for pain management in patients with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis: A randomized double-blind clinical trial. J Acupunct Meridian Stud 2017;10(6):396–401.
- 67. AminiSaman J, Karimpour HA, Hemmatpour B, et al. Effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on the pain intensity during insertion of needle in patients undergoing spinal anesthesia: A randomized controlled study. J Acupunct Meridian Stud 2020;13(3):83–6.
- 68. AminiSaman J, Mohammadi S, Karimpour H, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation at the acupuncture points to relieve pain of patients under mechanical ventilation: A randomized controlled study. J Acupunct Meridian Stud 2018;11(5):290–5.
- Fox LM, Murakami M, Danesh H, Manini AF. Battlefield acupuncture to treat low back pain in the emergency department. Am J Emerg Med 2018;36(6):1045–1048.
- Crawford P, Moss DA, Crawford AJ, Sharon DJ. Modified battlefield acupuncture does not reduce pain or improve quality of life in patients with lower extremity surgery. Mil Med 2019; 184(Suppl 1):545–9.
- Liu DV, Lin YC. Current evidence for acute pain management of musculoskeletal injuries and postoperative pain in pediatric and adolescent athletes. Clin J Sport Med 2019;29(5):430–8.

- 72. Schiff E, Attias S, Matter I, et al. Complementary and alternative medicine interventions for perioperative symptoms: A comparative effectiveness study. Complement Ther Med 2019;44: 51–5.
- Jan AL, Aldridge ES, Visser EJ, et al. Battlefield acupuncture added no benefit as an adjunct analgesic in emergency department for abdominal, low back or limb trauma pain. Emerg Med Australas Sep 23, 2020;doi:10.1111/1742-6723.13642.
- Skonnord T, Skjeie H, Brekke M, et al. Acupuncture for acute non-specific low back pain: A randomised, controlled, multicentre intervention study in general practice—the Acuback study. BMJ Open 2020;10(8):e034157.
- Crespin DJ, Griffin KH, Johnson JR, et al. Acupuncture provides short-term pain relief for patients in a total joint replacement program. Pain Med 2015;16(6):1195–203.
- Quinlan-Woodward J, Gode A, Dusek JA, et al. Assessing the impact of acupuncture on pain, nausea, anxiety, and coping in women undergoing a mastectomy. Oncol Nurs Forum 2016;43 (6):725–32.
- Aikawa L, Yoshizumi AM, Shirassu MM, Koike MK. Rapid acupuncture for musculoskeletal pain in the emergency room of the Hospital Servidor Publico Estadual, Brazil: A quasiexperimental study. J Integr Med 2020;18(4):313–8.
- Tsai SL, Bombacie M, Licursi M, et al. Acupuncture for pediatric sickle cell pain management: A promising non-opioid therapy. Complement Ther Med 2020;49:102314.
- Mahmood LA, Reece-Stremtan S, Idiokitas R, et al. Acupuncture for pain management in children with sickle cell disease. Complement Ther Med 2020;49:102287.
- Tsai ST, Tseng CH, Lin MC, et al. Acupuncture reduced the medical expenditure in migraine patients: Real-world data of a 10-year national cohort study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2020;99 (32):e21345.
- 81. Chou R, Deyo R, Friedly J, et al. Nonpharmacologic therapies for low back pain: A systematic review for an American College of Physicians clinical practice guideline. Ann Intern Med 2017;166(7):493–505.
- 82. Lee JH, Choi TY, Lee MS, et al. Acupuncture for acute low back pain: A systematic review. Clin J Pain 2013;29(2):172–85.
- Hasegawa TM, Baptista AS, de Souza MC, Yoshizumi AM, Natour J. Acupuncture for acute non-specific low back pain: A randomised, controlled, double-blind, placebo trial. Acupunct Med 2014;32(2):109–15.
- 84. Vas J, Aranda JM, Modesto M, et al. Acupuncture in patients with acute low back pain: A multicentre randomised controlled clinical trial. Pain 2012;153(9):1883–9.
- 85. Wu D, Guo X. Is the sham acupuncture group a real sham control group? Comments on "Vas J et al. Acupuncture in patients with acute low back pain: A multicentre randomised controlled clinical trial [PAIN® 2012;153(9):1883-9]". Pain 2013;154 (11):2575-6.
- 86. Yuan QL, Guo TM, Liu L, Sun F, Zhang YG. Traditional Chinese medicine for neck pain and low back pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2015;10(2):e0117146.
- 87. Yeh CH, Chiang YC, Hoffman SL, et al. Efficacy of auricular therapy for pain management: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2014;2014: 934670.
- Battlefield Acupuncture Seminars. 2022. Available at: https:// www.battlefieldacupuncture.net/home/battlefieldacupuncture/ (accessed January 11, 2022).
- Battlfield Acupuncture Seminars. 2018. Available at: https:// www.battlefieldacupuncture.net/home/battlefieldacupuncture/ (accessed November 5, 2018).

- FDA. Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Information; Sedatelec Brand Acupuncture (ASP) Needles 510(k) Premarket Notification Number K983798. 1999. Available at: http:// www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf/K983798.pdf (accessed November 1, 2018).
- 91. Nielsen A, Gereau S, Tick H. Risks and safety of extended auricular therapy: A review of reviews and case reports of adverse events. Pain Med 2020;21(6):1276–93.
- 92. Lee YH, Lee WC, Chen MT, et al. Acupuncture in the treatment of renal colic. J Urol 1992;147(1):16–8.
- 93. Kaynar M, Koyuncu F, Buldu İ, et al. Comparison of the efficacy of diclofenac, acupuncture, and acetaminophen in the treatment of renal colic. Am J Emerg Med 2015;33(6):749–53.
- 94. Ju BJ, Niu LL. [Analysis of therapeutic effect of acupuncture at neiguan (PC 6) and zusanli (ST 36) on acute renal colic.]. Zhongguo Zhen Jiu 2012;32(11):975–8.
- Xiang Y, He JY, Tian HH, Cao BY, Li R. Evidence of efficacy of acupuncture in the management of low back pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised placebo- or shamcontrolled trials. Acupunct Med 2020;38(1):15–24.
- Su X, Qian H, Chen B, et al. Acupuncture for acute low back pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Palliat Med 2021;10(4):3924–36.
- 97. Li Y, Liang F, Yang X, et al. Acupuncture for treating acute attacks of migraine: A randomized controlled trial. Headache 2009;49(6):805–16.
- Natbony LR, Zhang N. Acupuncture for migraine: A review of the data and clinical insights. Curr Pain Headache Rep 2020;24 (7):32.
- 99. Orr SL, Friedman BW, Christie S, et al. Management of adults with acute migraine in the emergency department: The American Headache Society evidence assessment of parenteral pharmacotherapies. Headache 2016;56(6):911–40.
- 100. Global, regional, and national burden of migraine and tensiontype headache, 1990-2016: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet Neurol 2018;17 (11):954-76.
- 101. Ni X, Dong L, Tian T, et al. Acupuncture versus various control treatments in the treatment of migraine: A review of randomized controlled trials from the past 10 years. J Pain Res 2020; 13:2033–64.
- 102. Pu S, Tan G, Wang D, Chen J, Jiang L. Analgesic effect of acupuncture during migraine acute attack period: A meta analysis. Chongqing Med 2016;(45):10.
- 103. Coeytaux RR, Befus D. Role of acupuncture in the treatment or prevention of migraine, tension-type headache, or chronic headache disorders. Headache 2016;56(7):1238–40.
- 104. Zhang XT, Li XY, Zhao C, et al. An overview of systematic reviews of randomized controlled trials on acupuncture treating migraine. Pain Res Manag 2019;2019:5930627.
- 105. Li YX, Xiao XL, Zhong DL, et al. Effectiveness and safety of acupuncture for migraine: An overview of systematic reviews. Pain Res Manag 2020;2020:3825617. 3825617.
- 106. Yang M, Du T, Long H, et al. Acupuncture for menstrual migraine: A systematic review. BMJ Support Palliat Care 2020;bmjspcare-2019-002024.
- 107. Birch S. A review and analysis of placebo treatments, placebo effects, and placebo controls in trials of medical procedures when sham is not inert. J Altern Complement Med 2006;12 (3):303-10
- 108. Nielsen A, Wieland LS. Cochrane reviews on acupuncture therapy for pain: A snapshot of the current evidence. Explore (NY) 2019;15(6):434–9.
- 109. NIH Consensus Conference. Acupuncture. JAMA 1998;280 (17):1518–24.

- 110. Adams D, Cheng F, Jou H, et al. The safety of pediatric acupuncture: A systematic review. Pediatrics 2011;128(6):e1575–87.
- 111. Bergqvist D. Vascular injuries caused by acupuncture. A systematic review. Int Angiol 2013;32(1):1–8.
- 112. Ernst E, White AR. Prospective studies of the safety of acupuncture: A systematic review. Am J Med 2001;110(6):481–5.
- 113. MacPherson H, Thomas K, Walters S, Fitter M. A prospective survey of adverse events and treatment reactions following 34,000 consultations with professional acupuncturists. Acupunct Med 2001;19(2):93–102.
- 114. MacPherson H, Thomas K. Short term reactions to acupuncture—a cross-sectional survey of patient reports. Acupunct Med 2005;23(3):112–20.
- 115. White A. A cumulative review of the range and incidence of significant adverse events associated with acupuncture. Acupunct Med 2004;22(3):122–33.
- 116. Yamashita H, Tsukayama H, White AR, et al. Systematic review of adverse events following acupuncture: The Japanese literature. Complement Ther Med 2001;9(2):98–104.
- 117. Zhao XF, Du Y, Liu PG, Wang S. Acupuncture for stroke: Evidence of effectiveness, safety, and cost from systematic reviews. Top Stroke Rehabil 2012;19(3):226–33.
- Yamashita H, Tsukayama H. Safety of acupuncture practice in Japan: Patient reactions, therapist negligence and error reduction strategies. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2008;5(4):391–8.
- 119. Jindal V, Ge A, Mansky PJ. Safety and efficacy of acupuncture in children: A review of the evidence. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2008;30(6):431–42.
- Ladas EJ, Rooney D, Taromina K, Ndao DH, Kelly KM. The safety of acupuncture in children and adolescents with cancer therapy-related thrombocytopenia. Support Care Cancer 2010; 18(11):1487–90.
- Wu S, Sapru A, Stewart MA, et al. Using acupuncture for acute pain in hospitalized children. Pediatr Crit Care Med 2009;10 (3):291–6.
- 122. Elden H, Ostgaard HC, Fagevik-Olsen M, Ladfors L, Hagberg H. Treatments of pelvic girdle pain in pregnant women: Adverse effects of standard treatment, acupuncture and stabilising exercises on the pregnancy, mother, delivery and the fetus/neonate. BMC Complement Altern Med 2008;8:34.
- 123. Smith CA, Crowther CA, Grant SJ. Acupuncture for induction of labour. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013;(8):Cd002962.
- 124. van Ravesteyn LM, Lambregtse-van den Berg MP, Hoogendijk WJ, Kamperman AM. Interventions to treat mental disorders during pregnancy: A systematic review and multiple treatment meta-analysis. PLoS One 2017;12(3):e0173397.
- 125. Meerwijk EL, Larson MJ, Schmidt EM, et al. Nonpharmacological treatment of Army service members with chronic pain is associated with fewer adverse outcomes after transition to the Veterans Health Administration. J Gen Intern Med 2020;35(3):775–83.
- 126. Tompkins DA, Hobelmann JG, Compton P. Providing chronic pain management in the "fifth vital sign" era: Historical and treatment perspectives on a modern-day medical dilemma. Drug Alcohol Depend 2017;173 Suppl 1:S11–s21.
- 127. Schatman M. Interdisciplinary chronic pain management: International perspectives. Pain: Clin Updates 2012;20:1–5.
- Dancel R, Liles EA, Fiore D. Acute pain management in hospitalized children. Rev Recent Clin Trials 2017.
- 129. National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine. Consensus Study Report Highlights. Pain Management and the Opioid Epidemic: Balancing Societal and Individual Benefits and Risks of Prescription Opioid Use. 2017. Available at: https://www.nap.edu/resource/24781/Highlights_071317_ Opioids.pdf (accessed September 11, 2022).

 Cherkin DC, Deyo RA, Goldberg H. Time to align coverage with evidence for treatment of back pain. J Gen Intern Med 2019;34(9):1910–2.

- Candon M, Nielsen A, Dusek JA. Trends in insurance coverage for acupuncture, 2010–2019. JAMA Network Open 2022;5 (1):e2142509.
- 132. Langevin HM, Churchill DL, Fox JR, et al. Biomechanical response to acupuncture needling in humans. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2001;91(6):2471–8.
- 133. Langevin HM, Churchill DL, Cipolla MJ. Mechanical signaling through connective tissue: A mechanism for the therapeutic effect of acupuncture. Faseb J 2001;15(12):2275–82.
- 134. Langevin HM, Churchill DL, Wu J, et al. Evidence of connective tissue involvement in acupuncture. Faseb J 2002;16 (8):872–4.
- 135. Huang M, Wang X, Xing B, et al. Critical roles of TRPV2 channels, histamine H1 and adenosine A1 receptors in the initiation of acupoint signals for acupuncture analgesia. Sci Rep 2018;8 (1):6523.
- Zijlstra FJ, van den Berg-de Lange I, Huygen FJPM, Klein J. Anti-inflammatory actions of acupuncture. Mediators Inflamm 2003;12(2):59–69.
- 137. Su TF, Zhao YQ, Zhang LH, et al. Electroacupuncture reduces the expression of proinflammatory cytokines in inflamed skin tissues through activation of cannabinoid CB2 receptors. Eur J Pain 2012;16(5):624–35.
- 138. Pomeranz B, Chiu D. Naloxone blockade of acupuncture analgesia: Endorphin implicated. Life Sci 1976;19(11):1757–62.
- 139. Han JS. Acupuncture and endorphins. Neurosci Lett 2004;361 (1-3):258–61.
- 140. Harris RE, Zubieta JK, Scott DJ, et al. Traditional Chinese acupuncture and placebo (sham) acupuncture are differentiated by their effects on mu-opioid receptors (MORs). Neuroimage 2009;47(3):1077–85.
- 141. Shou Y, Yang Y, Xu MS, et al. Electroacupuncture inhibition of hyperalgesia in rats with adjuvant arthritis: Involvement of

- cannabinoid receptor 1 and dopamine receptor subtypes in striatum. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2013;2013:393460.
- 142. Gao F, Xiang HC, Li HP, et al. Electroacupuncture inhibits NLRP3 inflammasome activation through CB2 receptors in inflammatory pain. Brain Behav Immun 2018;67: 91–100.
- 143. Dou B, Li Y, Ma J, et al. Role of neuroimmune crosstalk in mediating the anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects of acupuncture on inflammatory pain. Front Neurosci 2021;15 (695670):695670.
- 144. Old EA, Clark AK, Malcangio M. The role of glia in the spinal cord in neuropathic and inflammatory pain. Handb Exp Pharmacol 2015;227:145–70.
- 145. Liu Q, Liu Y, Bian J, Li Q, Zhang Y. The preemptive analgesia of pre-electroacupuncture in rats with formalininduced acute inflammatory pain. Mol Pain 2019;15: 1744806919866529.
- 146. Hui KK, Liu J, Makris N, et al. Acupuncture modulates the limbic system and subcortical gray structures of the human brain: Evidence from fMRI studies in normal subjects. Hum Brain Mapp 2000;9(1):13–25.
- 147. Hui KK, Napadow V, Liu J, et al. Monitoring acupuncture effects on human brain by FMRI. J Vis Exp 2010;(38:).
- 148. Yin N, Yang H, Yao W, Xia Y, Ding G. Mast cells and nerve signal conduction in acupuncture. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2018;2018:3524279.
- 149. Napadow V, Liu J, Li M, et al. Somatosensory cortical plasticity in carpal tunnel syndrome treated by acupuncture. Hum Brain Mapp 2007;28(3):159–71.
- 150. Coq JO, Barr AE, Strata F, et al. Peripheral and central changes combine to induce motor behavioral deficits in a moderate repetition task. Exp Neurol 2009;220(2):234–45.
- 151. Bonica JJ, Loeser JD. Chapter 9. Multidisciplinary/interdisciplinary pain programs. In: John D. Loeser, C Richard Chapman, Fordyce WE, eds. *The Management of Pain Vol.* 1. Lea & Febiger; 1990:197–210.