

## **UC Merced**

### **Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society**

#### **Title**

Explaining intuitive difficulty judgments by modeling physical effort and risk

#### **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0hr316x2>

#### **Journal**

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 41(0)

#### **Authors**

Yildirim, Ilker

Saeed, Basil

Bennett-Pierre, Grace

et al.

#### **Publication Date**

2019

Peer reviewed

# Explaining intuitive difficulty judgments by modeling physical effort and risk

**Ilker Yildirim**

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States

**Basil Saeed**

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States

**Grace Bennett-Pierre**

Stanford University, Stanford, California, United States

**Tobias Gerstenberg**

Stanford University, Stanford, California, United States

**Josh Tenenbaum**

MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States

**Hyowon Gweon**

Stanford University, Stanford, California, United States

## Abstract

The ability to estimate task difficulty is critical for many real-world decisions such as setting appropriate goals for ourselves or appreciating others' accomplishments. Here we give a computational account of how humans judge the difficulty of a range of physical construction tasks (e.g., moving 10 loose blocks from their initial configuration to their target configuration, such as a vertical tower) by quantifying two key factors that influence construction difficulty: physical effort and physical risk. Physical effort captures the minimal work needed to transport all objects to their final positions, and is computed using a hybrid task-and-motion planner. Physical risk corresponds to stability of the structure, and is computed using noisy physics simulations to capture the costs for precision (e.g., attention, coordination, fine motor movements) required for success. We show that the full effort-risk model captures human estimates of difficulty and construction time better than either component alone. Preprint link <https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.04445>.