

UC Merced

UC Merced Previously Published Works

Title

Natural and managed watersheds show similar responses to recent climate change

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0j9942hj>

Journal

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,
115(34)

ISSN

0027-8424

Authors

Ficklin, Darren L
Abatzoglou, John T
Robeson, Scott M
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2018-08-21

DOI

10.1073/pnas.1801026115

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Natural and managed watersheds show similar responses to recent climate change

Darren L. Ficklin^{a,1}, John T. Abatzoglou^b, Scott M. Robeson^a, Sarah E. Null^{c,d}, and Jason H. Knouft^e

^aDepartment of Geography, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405; ^bDepartment of Geography, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844; ^cDepartment of Watershed Sciences, Utah State University, Logan, UT 84322; ^dEcology Center, Utah State University, Logan, UT 84322; and ^eDepartment of Biology, Saint Louis University, St. Louis, MO 63103

Edited by Peter H. Gleick, Pacific Institute for Studies in Development, Environment, and Security, Oakland, CA, and approved July 6, 2018 (received for review January 18, 2018)

Changes in climate are driving an intensification of the hydrologic cycle and leading to alterations of natural streamflow regimes. Human disturbances such as dams, land-cover change, and water diversions are thought to obscure climate signals in hydrologic systems. As a result, most studies of changing hydroclimatic conditions are limited to areas with natural streamflow. Here, we compare trends in observed streamflow from natural and human-modified watersheds in the United States and Canada for the 1981–2015 water years to evaluate whether comparable responses to climate change are present in both systems. We find that patterns and magnitudes of trends in median daily streamflow, daily streamflow variability, and daily extremes in human-modified watersheds are similar to those from nearby natural watersheds. Streamflow in both systems show negative trends throughout the southern and western United States and positive trends throughout the northeastern United States, the northern Great Plains, and southern prairies of Canada. The trends in both natural and human-modified watersheds are linked to local trends in precipitation and reference evapotranspiration, demonstrating that water management and land-cover change have not substantially altered the effects of climate change on human-modified watersheds compared with nearby natural watersheds.

climate change | watershed | streamflow trends | natural flow regime | human-modified flow regime

Streamflow volume and seasonal variability are key indicators of agricultural and urban water availability as well as primary regulators of the distribution and diversity of taxa in freshwater ecosystems (1). As streamflow is generated by precipitation and snowmelt that is not lost to evapotranspiration or groundwater recharge, much of the interannual variability in streamflow can be traced to concurrent variability in climate (2, 3). As concentrations of greenhouse gases continue to increase, spatial and seasonal precipitation patterns are altered (4), the proportion of precipitation falling as snow is reduced (5), and evaporative demand is increased (6). In natural watersheds, these changes can directly affect the timing and volume of streamflow. Due to human modifications of the landscape, however, many streamflow regimes throughout the world, including over one-half of the world's large river systems, can no longer be considered natural (7). Primary human modifications include reservoir construction and irrigation projects, which, in some cases, can mask, dampen, or even change the sign of natural streamflow trends (8).

Past research using a variety of metrics has demonstrated that streamflow trends in natural watersheds closely follow regional changes in precipitation and evapotranspiration (9, 10). While these studies highlight the influence of climate changes on streamflow trends, they do not investigate trends in human-modified watersheds, which are more common throughout the world. For example, the continental United States contains ~75,000 dams that have varying degrees of influence on streamflow regimes (11). Since human-modified watersheds are so ubiquitous,

characterizing the signature of climate change in these systems is critical for the management of water resources and freshwater ecosystems in the coming century.

We address this knowledge gap by analyzing daily streamflow trends in natural and human-modified systems based on data from 3,119 stream gauges throughout Canada and the United States using 1981–2015 water-year data (*SI Appendix, Fig. S1*). Of these 3,119 gauges, 570 were classified as being within natural watersheds based on the Hydro-Climatic Data Network (HCDN) of the US Geological Survey (USGS) (12) and the Reference Hydrometric Basin Network (RHBN) of Environment Canada (13), which are screened to include only sites for which streamflow reflects prevailing meteorological conditions with minimal land use change. We concentrate on statistics that assess changes in daily streamflow across a number of ecologically and water resource-relevant characteristics including median daily streamflow, daily streamflow variability [interquartile range (IQR); a measure of seasonal variability], and daily extremes (1st and 99th percentiles, 7-d maximum, and number of days without streamflow per year). We first discuss overall trends in streamflow, then investigate differences in trends between natural and human-modified watersheds, and finally evaluate the relative role of climatic drivers on the streamflow trends. This study does not assess differences in the shape of the hydrograph between human-modified and natural watersheds. While it is very likely that human modification has altered the natural streamflow regime, this

Significance

Climate change is expected to alter streamflow volume and variability in watersheds throughout the world, which will have impacts on agricultural, aquatic, and urban environments. Human modifications such as the building of reservoirs and the extraction of water for agricultural and urban uses, however, are thought to mask climate-induced changes in streamflow. Using observed streamflow data from over 3,000 sites from 1981 to 2015, this work identifies negative trends in streamflow throughout the southern and western portions of the United States and positive trends across the eastern portion of the United States and Canada. Within these regions, streamflow trends from human-modified sites are similar to unimpacted sites, indicating that the signal of climate change is apparent in all streamflow systems.

Author contributions: D.L.F., J.T.A., and S.M.R. designed research; D.L.F., J.T.A., and S.M.R. performed research; D.L.F., J.T.A., and S.M.R. analyzed data; and D.L.F., J.T.A., S.M.R., S.E.N., and J.H.K. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

This open access article is distributed under [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 \(CC BY-NC-ND\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: dficklin@indiana.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1801026115/-DCSupplemental.

Published online August 6, 2018.

study focuses on temporal changes (trends) in summary statistics of streamflow.

Results and Discussion

The trend analyses identify regionally distinct changes in recent streamflow throughout the United States and Canada that are largely consistent across all streamflow metrics (Figs. 1–3). We find negative streamflow trends from 1981 to 2015 for all streamflow metrics throughout the southern/southeastern United States and the western United States and Canada, except for the Pacific Northwest. The largest negative trends in streamflow (~ 30 – 50% for all metrics) occur in the southwestern United States and southern Great Plains, which corroborate previous work on streamflow using only natural gauges (3, 14). It is worth noting that droughts in the southwestern United States (15), California (16), and central United States (17) during the latter part of our period of record have reinforced trends in those regions. Additionally, we find a band of positive streamflow trends (~ 10 – 50%) from central/south-central Canada throughout the Great Lakes region that extends into New England and southeastern Canada. This band has a break in the north-central United States for median streamflow trends, where an area of negative or minimal streamflow change exists (Fig. 1).

Larger watersheds are much more likely to have water infrastructure and management, so streamflow trends for large watersheds tend to be smaller (as a percentage) and less variable than those for small watersheds (Figs. 1–3 and *SI Appendix*, Fig. S2). Water conveyance between subwatersheds in a managed watershed can balance heterogeneous seasonal and geographic variation in precipitation (18), supporting the assumption that larger river networks tend to dampen signals of climate change (19). Across all streamflow metrics and watersheds, a relatively low proportion of trends are statistically significant: 7% of the gauges across the United States and Canada show a significant positive trend and 8% show a significant negative trend over 35 y (these percentages are across all metrics, but are shown individually in *SI Appendix*, Fig. S3, and summarized for human-modified and natural watersheds in *SI Appendix*, Tables S1 and S2, respectively).

The trends in low streamflow have slightly higher rates of statistical significance (9.2% of the gauges have a significant positive trend for the 1st percentile trends, while 7.5% have a significant negative trend; *SI Appendix*, Fig. S3 and Tables S1 and S2) but show more spatial variability within each region




Fig. 1. Trends in streamflow metrics for the 1981–2015 water years for typical streamflow metrics. The sizes of the circles (human modified) and squares (natural) represent the relative area of the upstream drainage area. Due to the differences in drainage areas, the sizes of the squares and circles are not directly comparable.




Fig. 2. Trends in streamflow metrics for the 1981–2015 water years for high streamflow metrics. The sizes of the circles (human modified) and squares (natural) represent the relative area of the upstream drainage area. Due to the differences in drainage areas, the sizes of the squares and circles are not directly comparable.

compared with other streamflow metrics. This larger spatial variability is likely due to the dominance of baseflow during low-flow conditions. Baseflow originates from groundwater and saturated soil, so it is primarily a function of local soils and geology rather than large-scale climate forcing (20). Previous work indicates higher spatial variability in baseflow trends compared with total streamflow (3). Additionally, water management may obscure climate-driven trends in low streamflow as water is released from reservoirs to meet downstream water demands during dry periods (when baseflow would be low in natural watersheds), conveyance moves water within and between watersheds, and minimum instream flows limit water extraction when streamflow is low (1).

We find positive trends in days without streamflow in the southwestern United States (~ 10 more days per decade) and negative trends in the central United States (~ 10 fewer days per decade). Even though this analysis is limited to streamflow gauges where days without streamflow exist throughout the observational record, changes in days without streamflow are extremely important both for total water supply reliability and the persistence of aquatic species, especially in the arid southwestern United States (21). While days without streamflow may not represent a complete loss of water from a stream section, the remaining standing water represents an extreme habitat alteration (i.e., increased temperature, decreased dissolved oxygen). The longer the duration of this habitat alteration, the greater the potential for long-lasting negative impacts on freshwater taxa that are adapted to lotic systems (1).

For comparative purposes, we estimated trends for the same streamflow metrics assessed in Lins and Slack (22) (*SI Appendix*, Tables S3 and S4). The Lins and Slack (22) analysis, however, contains fewer streamflow gauging stations and covers a different time period compared with our analysis. Specifically, there is limited temporal overlap between their study (ending in 1993) and our study (starting in 1981). Similar to Lins and Slack (22), our results show fewer gauges with significant trends as streamflow percentiles increase. However, Lins and Slack (22) show a greater number of significant positive trends than significant negative trends, while our results indicate a more even balance between the two. These differences in streamflow trends correspond to widespread differences in reference evapotranspiration (ET_o) and precipitation trends across the different time periods considered (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S4; see *SI Appendix* for additional methods and discussion). Our analyses from 1981 to 2015 coincide with a period of widespread increases in ET_o , along with

Table 1. Multiple linear regression results for the three models (shown in Fig. 5)

Model	Sample size	R^2	Residual mean absolute error, %	Precipitation coefficient and 95% CI	ET _o coefficient and 95% CI
All	3,119	0.271	23.3	1.41 [1.32, 1.50]	-1.09 [-1.42, -0.76]
Natural	570	0.363	20.0	1.43 [1.24, 1.61]	-1.41 [-2.00, -0.81]
Human modified	2,549	0.256	24.1	1.41 [1.31, 1.51]	-1.01 [-1.39, -0.63]

For all three models, the median daily streamflow trend (in percentage) is the dependent variable and total water-year precipitation and ET_o trend (both in percentage) are the independent variables. All models and all individual regression coefficients have $P < 0.001$. CIs show considerable overlap between models, suggesting that a differential response to climate forcing is not evident.

water-year precipitation and ET_o on streamflow trends using three multiple linear regression models (one with all gauges, one with only natural gauges, and one with only human-modified gauges). Regression models were estimated using median streamflow trend as the dependent variable and total water-year precipitation and ET_o trend as the independent variables. While the regression using gauges in natural watersheds explains more variance than those using human-modified streamflows or all gauges, all three regressions produce similar regression coefficients that have substantial overlap in their 95% CIs (Table 1). As a result, median daily streamflow trends show a similar combined sensitivity to precipitation and ET_o trends in natural and human-modified systems and when they are pooled (Fig. 5).

Conclusions

In summary, there is a strong correspondence between streamflow trends from gauges with natural streamflow and those with human-modified streamflow, indicating that large-scale trends in climate are clearly evident in human-modified watersheds. These results suggest that hydrologic alterations due to climate change and subsequent impacts on freshwater ecosystems will likely be realized in similar ways in natural and human-modified systems. We do not, however, find as strong a relationship between natural and human-modified systems for streamflow variability (IQR trends) or extreme low flows (1st percentile trends), indicating that water management operations that leave water in streams for water delivery or aquatic habitat purposes provide more uniform flows throughout the year. This confirms the increasingly important role that reservoirs may play in managing baseflow and hydrologic variability (25, 29). Additionally, in the western United States, streamflow used for environmental purposes typically has more junior rights than other entities such as agriculture or urban water use, so watersheds that are becoming drier may have environmental flows eliminated first (18). Streamflow trends are largely driven by large-scale precipitation and evapotranspiration trends (and thereby by temperature trends), with changing precipitation patterns being particularly important [and more uncertain (30)] for water management in the future. Given the importance of streamflow for agriculture, urban water deliveries, and aquatic ecosystems, our results indicate that large-scale climate trends are already affecting water availability, regardless of whether rivers are natural or managed.

Materials and Methods

Streamflow Data. Daily streamflow for 3,119 gauges with no missing data for North America (Canada and United States) from 1980 to 2015 are from Environment Canada for Canadian streamflow (<https://wateroffice.ec.gc.ca/>) and the USGS for US streamflow (USGS Water Data for the Nation; <https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/>). These datasets include both natural and human-modified streamflow. The USGS and Environment Canada datasets contain a subset of observed data called the HCDN for the United States and the RHBN (13) for Canada, respectively, which include observed streamflow data that are screened to exclude sites where human activities (e.g., artificial diversions, impoundments, and reservoirs) affect natural streamflow or that have greater than 5% impervious surface in the watershed (12).

The natural streamflow datasets are not entirely free from human modification. For example, while land use change is minimal in these watersheds, other human modification such as agricultural practices (e.g., soil tilling, crop rotation) and management of natural lands (e.g., logging, fire management) that affect watershed hydrology may be present. Additionally, the human-modified watersheds may differ in type or degree of human modification (e.g., seasonal irrigation withdrawals vs. large in-stream dams). The question of the degree of modification is not easily resolved, as different modifications (land use change vs. dam/reservoir management) and their spatial location within the watershed can have differing effects on watershed hydrology and streamflow.

Drainage areas for streamflow gauges range from 4 to 1,847,181 km², median streamflow discharge ranges from 0.0 to 7,362 m³/s, and median water yield ranges from 0.0 to 12.9 mm/d. For the natural streamflow gauges (570 in total), drainage areas range from 4 to 25,791 km², median streamflow discharge ranges from 0.0 to 106 m³/s, and median water yield ranges from 0.001 to 6.8 mm/d. All analyses use daily water yield (in millimeters per day) separated into water years (October 1 through September 30).




Fig. 5. Response surfaces for all watersheds (*Top*), natural watersheds (*Middle*), and human-modified watersheds (*Bottom*) of the linear regression of trends in median daily streamflow (percentage change) on trends in total water-year reference evapotranspiration (*y* axes) and total water-year precipitation (*x* axes) (both in percent change) for the 1981–2015 water years. Color of filled circles shows the trend in median daily streamflow for each station.

