Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

Diabolic Effects on Nuclear Rotational State Population in Two-Neutron Transfer

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0k6962z5>

Journal

Physical Review C, 47(6)

Authors

Canto, L.F.
Ring, P.
Sun, Y.
et al.

Publication Date

1992-02-01

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Submitted to Physical Review A

Diabolic Effects on Nuclear Rotational State Population in Two-Neutron Transfer

L.F. Canto, P. Ring, Y. Sun, J.O. Rasmussen, S.Y. Chu, and M.A. Stoyer

February 1992

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC03-76SF00098

Copy 1
Bldg. 50 Library.

LBL-31997

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or The Regents of the University of California and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory is an equal opportunity employer.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Diabolic Effects on Nuclear Rotational State Population in Two-Neutron Transfer

L.F. Canto, P. Ring, Y. Sun, J.O. Rasmussen, S.Y. Chu and M.A. Stoyer

Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

February, 1992

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Division of Nuclear Physics of the Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098

Diabolic Effects on
Nuclear Rotational State Population
in Two-Neutron Transfer

L. F. Canto

Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

C.P. 68528

21945 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

P. Ring, Y. Sun*

Physik Department

Technische Universitaet Muenchen

D-8046 Garching, Germany

J. O. Rasmussen, S. Y. Chu

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

University of California, Berkeley

Berkeley, California 94720, USA

M. A. Stoyer

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Livermore, California 94550, USA

(Received *This date is to be filled in by the editors of Phys. Rev. C*)

We refine the theoretical treatment of two-neutron transfer between deformed nuclei of the rare-earth region and a heavy spherical nucleus in the Pb region. The refinement from our previous work on effects of the Berry phase interference around the backbending region is that we now include the two lowest rotational bands of both the A and the $A + 2$ nucleus rather than the lowest. The inclusion of two bands, ground and aligned, was earlier done by Dasso and Winther, who found diabolic interference effects to be small. We make numerical calculations on somewhat more favorable cases for experimental study, and we include nuclear optical potential effects and finite Q -values.

PACS numbers: 21.10.Re, 21.60Ev, 27.70.+q

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, Dasso and Winther[1] improved the theoretical treatment of two-neutron transfer between heavy ions. The population of rotational band structures in the spheroidal target nucleus using a spherical projectile was considered. Their enhancement over previous theoretical work was the inclusion of the yrare along with the yrast band in the spheroidal A and $A + 2$ nuclei. That is, they included the aligned band in addition to the ground band in the calculations. In earlier publications[2–5], an interference in the heavy-ion two-neutron transfer was noted and associated with a Berry phase[6] that manifests itself when two-neutron transfer paths lie on both sides of a diabolic point in the plane of particle number vs. angular momentum. Theoretical estimates of rotational state population patterns for such systems as Pb on rare earth nuclei indicated a substantial dependence on this interference, but the earlier theoretical work[3, 7–9] only included the lowest (*i.e.*, yrast) levels in initial and final nucleus.

With inclusion of the two lowest bands in initial and final nuclei Dasso and Winther[1] find quite a difference from the previous SQUID calculations referenced above. That is, with two bands there is only a small interference effect. In this paper, we re-examine the matter, looking in particular for cases and conditions where the diabolic interference effects might be somewhat greater than in Dasso and Winther’s example[1].

II. MODEL ASSUMPTIONS

Our computer code, as used in this section, is based on the same model and computational methods as Dasso and Winther, and for their input parameters we get the same results as they. That is, both we and they use the semiclassical

method of Alder, Winther, and deBoer[10], where the time-dependent coupled Schroedinger equations in the rotational state amplitudes are numerically integrated while the nuclear centers move on a classical Rutherford trajectory. For this work we restrict ourselves, as they did, to treat only head-on (impact parameter $b = 0$) trajectories, so that only $m = 0$ amplitudes (with respect to the beam direction) need be considered.

For practical reasons, experimental studies of transfer reactions are generally made near Coulomb barrier energies. Hence, for the realistic calculations in this paper we have extended the treatment to include effects of the tail of the complex nuclear optical potential, following the methods tested and published earlier by us[9]. These extensions of ours include a modification of the trajectory such that the distance of closest approach and the force at that point take into account the real part of the nuclear optical potential as well as the repulsive Coulombic potential.

Stephens and Simon[11] first presented the essential physics of yrast backbending as a virtual crossing of ground rotational band and an $i_{13/2}$ neutron spin-aligned band, but their numerical examples required arbitrary attenuation of Coriolis matrix elements to get sharp crossing. Bengtsson, Hamamoto, and Mottelson[12], and Sun, Ring and Nikam[5], among others have refined the theory, calculating the mixing matrix elements' oscillatory behavior with changing chemical potential (see other references in the 1983 review article of deVoigt, Dudek, and Szymanski[13]). In the deformed rare-earth region, the mixing is evidently dominated by the $i_{13/2}$ neutron orbitals. The mixing matrix elements oscillate in sign as the shell fills, with the zeros (sharp backbending) coming when the chemical potential is slightly above the energy of an $i_{13/2}$ Nilsson orbital, except

for the lowest ($\Omega = 1/2$) and highest ($\Omega = 13/2$) orbitals. While the theoretical model calculations give us the pattern and general magnitude of the mixing matrix elements, the precise mixing magnitudes need experimental determination. The mixing matrix element magnitude is half the energy difference between the yrast and yrare bands at crossing.

Dasso and Winther[1] took the mixing matrix elements as 0.1 MeV for initial and 0.05 MeV for final nuclei, rather small except for the one nucleus close to a diabolic point. In the heavier rare-earths the calculations of Bengtsson *et al.*, [12], and of Sun *et al.*, [5] show the mixing matrix elements rise to larger values half-way between diabolic points.

It is clear that the reason Dasso and Winther[1] get such a small effect between diabolic and non-diabolic transfer is that the Coulomb excitation carries the main population up and down the ground band, without getting into the aligned band sufficiently to sense the sign of the Berry phase. The most favorable cases to see an interference effect would be where the initial and final nuclei symmetrically straddle a diabolic point to maximize mixing in both nuclei.

With this in mind we have chosen to examine the case of 2n transfer in both directions from ^{174}Hf . The nucleus ^{206}Pb is chosen as the partner to give large Coulomb excitation and small $|Q_{rx}|$ values for both directions. Then we make calculations for the $^{156}\text{Gd} + ^{206}\text{Pb}$ collision system, for which K. Helmer *et al.*, [14], are now analyzing data. We approach the best predictions for experimental test by a succession of calculations showing the effects of various parameter changes. Input parameters are given in Tables I, II, and III.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The calculations of Fig. 1 show the diabolic effect for ^{206}Pb on ^{174}Hf , where the Q_{rx} (2n-transfer reaction Q value) is set to zero and there is no nuclear optical potential—essentially the conditions of Dasso and Winther[1]. That is, we use the same magnitude of mixing strengths V_I from Fig. 7 of ref. [5], only changing the relative signs for the diabolic case and leaving them the same for the non-diabolic case. The only essential changes from the ref. [1] example are these larger V_I values, although Z and A are larger and the moments-of-inertia are slightly different, being chosen to fit experimental energy level data[15–17]. All our figures have two parts; part a) shows yrast bands and part b) shows yrare bands. In all figures, diabolic transfer (V_I sign change between initial and final nuclei) is indicated by a solid line and non-diabolic by a dashed line. The points are normalized to unity for the sum of populations to all rotational states in yrast and yrare bands. The yrast band shows significant differences above spin 8, while for the yrare band the differences occur mainly below spin 12. Granted the differences show up mostly in states with populations less than 20% of the low-spin states, and the differences would be less apparent on linear plots. Nevertheless, these differences due to Berry phase interferences remain detectable.

In the next calculations, we put in the realistic Q_{rx} value and optical potential, but with the same bandmixing matrix elements as in the first example. The results given in Fig. 2 show the same trend as in Fig. 1. There is some damping of the intensity oscillations, analogous to that caused by absorption effects in rotational inelastic scattering (cf. Fig. 2 of ref. [9]). The Coulomb-nuclear interference from the attractive real part of the optical potential also results in a lowered intensity at highest spins, namely a down-shift of the semiclassical rainbow maximum spin.

Next we put in the best parameter values for the actual nuclei ^{172}Hf , ^{174}Hf , and ^{176}Hf . The 2n-transfer to ^{176}Hf is non-diabolic, while the 2n-transfer to ^{172}Hf is diabolic, and the latter is the same as in the calculation of Fig. 2. The results, shown in Fig. 3, are our best predictions for an actual experiment with the ^{206}Pb on ^{174}Hf system. There is very little change from results of Fig. 2.

Finally, we calculated for the case of ^{206}Pb on ^{156}Gd , a system which has been studied experimentally[14]. This case qualitatively differs from the Hf examples above mainly in that one final nucleus, ^{154}Gd is very close to a diabolic point, *i.e.*, is a sharp backbender. The band mixing calculations of Bengtsson *et al.*, (cf. Fig. 16 of ref [13]) do not include Gd($Z = 64$). For ^{154}Gd , there are extensive data on yrast and yrare bands covering below and above the crossing around $I = 16 - 18$. Therefore, we estimated the magnitude of V_I by taking half of the experimental energy splitting at closest approach between yrast and yrare bands[18]. However, it is not possible to determine the sign of V_I this way, so it is unknown if transfer to ^{154}Gd is diabolic or not. For ^{156}Gd and ^{158}Gd , we only have ground rotational bands which do not extend to spin high enough to extract $|V_I|$ and other parameters. Thus, we have used data on the Dy isotones with two additional protons. Only the yrast bands levels[19, 20] are known, but we do the best we can by fitting the second differences of the energy levels and making the standard assumption of 6 units of spin alignment. Finally, we note the trends with Z in Bengtsson, *et al.*, calculation of V_i and extrapolate to $|V_I| = 160$ keV for both ^{156}Gd and ^{158}Gd . We present our results in Fig. 4, where transfer to ^{154}Gd is assumed non-diabolic, and in Fig. 5, where transfer is diabolic. We observed some decrease in population of the yrast band above spin 16, and some increase to the yrare band below spin 12 for the diabolic transfer case relative

to non-diabolic. Unfortunately, the effects of the Berry interference are small for the $^{156}\text{Gd} \rightarrow ^{154}\text{Gd}$ reaction because the mixing matrix element is so small that its sign makes little difference, except for some of the weak transitions. Since the experimental data on gamma branching are known, it is possible to take the theoretical direct population intensities from Figs 4 and 5, and compute the relative intensities of gamma rays. Fig. 6 shows the results. The intensities of the gamma rays depopulating the 18^+ and 20^+ states of the aligned band (yrast at these spins) are very sensitive to the Berry phase, but their weakness makes them difficult to observe. There is a 10% effect on the somewhat stronger yrare $14^+ \rightarrow 12^+$ transition.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We qualitatively agree with Dasso and Winther that Berry-phase effects in $2n$ transfer are more subtle than first thought. Inclusion of the yrare band in theory is essential. There are features of their bandmixing model though that need further study. For example, the intrinsic $E2$ matrix elements are not zero, so excitation does not depend solely on bandmixing. The effects of gamma deformation (triaxiality) on bandmixing also need study. Both these effects might be revealed in a careful study of Coulomb excitation or gamma branching among the two bands. We now know that Berry interference effects are best sought where initial and final nuclei lie on opposite sides of a diabolic point but neither nucleus is too close to diabolic. The Hf cases that we first calculated have that appeal, but they have other experimental difficulties, namely, the low natural abundance of ^{174}Hf (0.162%) and the too-negative Q_{rx} value (-1.5 MeV) for the $^{206}\text{Pb} + ^{174}\text{Hf} \rightarrow ^{208}\text{Pb} + ^{172}\text{Hf}$ diabolic transfer.

It may be that the Berry interference can be better detected in 2n-transfer involving odd-n nuclei, such as, ^{163}Dy or ^{171}Yb , where a normal-parity orbital is blocked. The blocking should shift chemical potentials away from the $i_{13/2}$ energies and thus better span the diabolic point. This class of more challenging in-beam gamma spectroscopy may become much more feasible with the next-generation gamma detector arrays, Gammasphere and EUROGAM.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Director of Energy Research, Division of Nuclear Physics of the Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under contracts DE-FG03-87ER40323 and DE-AC03-76SF00098. One of us (J. O. R.) wishes to thank the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for support of summer work at the Technical University of Munich in Garching. We also gratefully acknowledge (Y. S.) support from the Konrad Adenauer Stiftung and the Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie.

REFERENCES

* Present Address: Dept. Físico Teórica,

C-XI Canto Blanco Univ. Autónoma de Madrid E-28049 Madrid, Spain

- [1] C. H. Dasso, A. Winther, Phys. Lett. **242B**, 323 (1990).
- [2] R. S. Nikam, P. Ring, L. F. Canto, Z. Phys. A **324**, 241 (1986).
- [3] R. S. Nikam, P. Ring, L. F. Canto, Phys. Lett. B **185** 269 (1987).
- [4] C. Price, H. Esbensen, S. Landowne, Phys. Lett. B **197** 15 (1987).
- [5] Y. Sun, P. Ring, R. S. Nikam, Z. Phys. A **339**, 51 (1991).
- [6] M. V. Berry, Proc. R. Soc. (London) A **392** 45 (1984).
- [7] L. F. Canto, *et al.*, Exotic Nuclear Spectroscopy, edited by W. C. McHarris, Plenum Press, New York, 625 (1990).
- [8] L. F. Canto, *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **241** 295 (1990).
- [9] L. F. Canto, *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **248** 10 (1990).
- [10] A. Winther, J. de Boer, A computer program for multiple Coulomb excitation, Caltech Report (November 1965), ref. 8 p. 303, reprinted in: K. Alder and A. Winther, Coulomb excitation (Academic Press, New York, 1966).
- [11] F. S. Stephens, R. S. Simon, Nucl. Phys. A **183**, 257 (1972).
- [12] R. Bengtsson, I. Hamamoto, B. Mottelson, Phys. Lett. B **73** 259 (1978).

- [13] M. J. A. de Voigt, J. Dudek, Z. Szymanski, Rev. of Mod. Phys. **55**, 949 (1983).
- [14] K. Helmer, *et al.*, (U. of Rochester), unpublished report(1991) (or companion paper this journal).
- [15] D. Ward, J. S. Geiger, R. L. Graham, H. R. Andrews, Nucl. Phys. **A291**, 443 (1977).
- [16] F. Kearns, *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **A278**, 109 (1977).
- [17] J. Konijn, *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **A352**, 191 (1981).
- [18] J. D. Morrison, *et al.*, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **15**, 1871 (1989).
- [19] M. A. Lee, Nucl. Data Sheets, **56**, 214 (1989)
- [20] M. A. Lee, R. L. Bunting, Nucl. Data Sheets, **46**, 208 (1985)

FIG. 1. 2n-transfer probability as a function of spin for transfer to yrast(a) and transfer to yrare(b). The calculations show the diabolic effect for ^{206}Pb on ^{174}Hf with $Q_{rx} = 0$ and without nuclear optical potential. This calculation assumes ^{174}Hf transfers to ^{172}Hf . The input parameters here (cf. Tables I and III) were chosen to compare best with Dasso and Winther [1], only the mixing V_I , beam energy, and the target nuclei are larger. The symbol o's are the non-diabolic case and the Δ 's are the diabolic calculations.

FIG. 2. Same as Fig. 1 except the proper value of Q_{rx} and nuclear optical potential was used. For values of parameters see Tables I and III. The symbols are the same as in Fig. 1.

FIG. 3. Same as Fig. 2 except the non-diabolic case corresponds to the transfer of ^{174}Hf to ^{176}Hf , as for a future experiment. For values of parameters see Tables I and III. The symbol o's are the non-diabolic case (to ^{176}Hf) and the Δ are the diabolic (to ^{172}Hf).

FIG. 4. 2n-transfer probability as a function of spin for transfer to yrast(a) and transfer to yrare(b). The calculation is for ^{206}Pb on ^{156}Gd assuming both non-diabolic 2n-transfers to ^{154}Gd and to ^{158}Gd . For the values of parameters used in the calculation see Tables II and III. The symbol o's are for transfer to ^{158}Gd and the Δ are for transfer to ^{154}Gd . In this figure only note that both curve are dashed lines for non-diabolic cases.

FIG. 5. Same as in Fig.4 except the transfer to ^{154}Gd is diabolic. The symbols are the same as in Fig.4.

FIG. 6. Predicted relative intensities of gamma rays depopulating ^{154}Gd in the diabolic (●) and non-diabolic(○) cases. The points are labeled with the initial-state spin I and superscripts and subscripts for initial and final states, respectively. The letters G and S represent the ground and super band, respectively. The energy level inset is from the paper of Morrison, *et al.*, [18] with the ground band on the right and the superband (aligned band) on the left.

TABLES

TABLE I. Input properties of nuclei concerned in ^{206}Pb on ^{174}Hf

	^{172}Hf	^{174}Hf	^{176}Hf
V_I (MeV)	± 0.221	0.310	0.310
$\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2\vartheta}\right)_g$ (MeV)	0.0159	0.0151	0.0147
$\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2\vartheta}\right)_s$ (MeV)	0.0159	0.0151	0.0147
$E_a^\dagger$ (MeV)	1.8	1.8	1.8
$i_\alpha^\dagger$ ($\hbar$)	6	6	6

† Aligned band energy at spin = i_α

‡ Spin alignment

TABLE II. Input properties of nuclei concerned in ^{206}Pb on ^{156}Gd

	^{154}Gd	^{156}Gd	^{158}Gd
V_I (MeV)	± 0.023	0.160	0.160
$\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2\vartheta}\right)_g$ (MeV)	0.0095	0.0107	0.0102
$\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2\vartheta}\right)_s$ (MeV)	0.0117	0.0087	0.0127
$E_a^\dagger$ (MeV)	1.380	1.706	1.377
$i_\alpha^\dagger$ ($\hbar$)	6	6	6

See footnotes in Table I

TABLE III. Additional input parameters for particular reactions

	Fig. 1	Fig. 2	Fig. 3	Figs. 4,5
$E_{\text{lab}}^{(\text{Pb})}(\text{MeV})$	1100	1100	1100	1100
$V_0^\dagger(\text{MeV})$	—	40	40	40
$W_0^\dagger(\text{MeV})$	—	40	40	40
$r_0^\dagger(\text{fm})$	—	1.2	1.2	1.2
$a_w^\dagger(\text{fm})$	—	0.65	0.65	0.65
$Q_0^{(\text{o})\ddagger}(\text{barns})$	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3
$Q_0^{(\Delta)\ddagger}(\text{barns})$	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3
$E_{\text{bind}}^{(\text{o})}(\text{MeV})$	7.5	16.68	14.87	14.82
$E_{\text{bind}}^{(\Delta)}(\text{MeV})$	7.5	16.68	16.68	14.10
$\beta_2^{(\text{o})}$	0.277	0.290	0.290	0.27
$\beta_2^{(\Delta)}$	0.277	0.290	0.290	0.25
$Q_{\text{rx}}^{(\text{o})}(\text{MeV})$	—	-1.498	0.054	-0.522
$Q_{\text{rx}}^{(\Delta)}(\text{MeV})$	—	-1.498	-1.498	-1.5

† V_0 , W_0 , r_0 and a_w are, respectively, the real part, imaginary part, the size parameter and the diffuseness parameter of the nuclear optical potential

‡ Intrinsic quadrupole moment

(o) and (Δ) denote the particular reaction in each figure

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720