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Restriction Spectrum Imaging Improves Risk Stratification in Patients with Glioblastoma


ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: ADC as a marker of tumor cellularity has been promising for evaluating the response to therapy in patients with glioblastoma but does not successfully stratify patients according to outcomes, especially in the upfront setting. Here we investigate whether restriction spectrum imaging, an advanced diffusion imaging model, performed after an operation but before radiation therapy, could improve risk stratification in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma relative to ADC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Pre-radiation therapy diffusion-weighted and structural imaging of 40 patients with glioblastoma were examined retrospectively. Restriction spectrum imaging and ADC-based hypercellularity volume fraction (restriction spectrum imaging—FLAIR volume fraction, restriction spectrum imaging—contrast-enhanced volume fraction, ADC-FLAIR volume fraction, ADC—contrast-enhanced volume fraction) and intensities (restriction spectrum imaging—FLAIR 90th percentile, restriction spectrum imaging—contrast-enhanced 90th percentile, ADC-FLAIR 10th percentile, ADC—contrast-enhanced 10th percentile) within the contrast-enhanced and FLAIR hyperintensity VOIs were calculated. The association of diffusion imaging metrics, contrast-enhanced volume, and FLAIR hyperintensity volume with progression-free survival and overall survival was evaluated by using Cox proportional hazards models.

RESULTS: Among the diffusion metrics, restriction spectrum imaging—FLAIR volume fraction was the strongest prognostic metric of progression-free survival (P = .036) and overall survival (P = .007) in a multivariate Cox proportional hazards analysis, with higher values indicating earlier progress and shorter survival. Restriction spectrum imaging—FLAIR 90th percentile was also associated with overall survival (P = .043), with higher intensities, indicating shorter survival. None of the ADC metrics were associated with progression-free survival/overall survival. Contrast-enhanced volume exhibited a trend toward significance for overall survival (P = .063).

CONCLUSIONS: Restriction spectrum imaging—derived cellularity in FLAIR hyperintensity regions may be a more robust prognostic marker than ADC and conventional imaging for early progression and poorer survival in patients with glioblastoma. However, future studies with larger samples are needed to explore its predictive ability.

ABBREVIATIONS: CE = contrast-enhanced; CPH = Cox proportional hazards; FLAIR-HI = FLAIR hyperintensity; GBM = glioblastoma; HC = hypercellularity; HR = hazard ratio; MRSI = MR spectroscopic imaging; PFS = progression-free survival; OS = overall survival; RSI = restriction spectrum imaging; RT = radiation therapy; vf = volume fraction; vol = volume

Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most common and aggressive malignant primary brain tumor. Unfortunately, there has been only incremental improvement in the 5-year survival rate in the past decade. The standard of care for newly diagnosed GBM remains fairly uniform, with maximal permissible surgical resection followed by radiation therapy (RT) with concurrent and adjuvant temozolomide. Currently, novel molecular and cellular targeted therapies for treating GBMs are being investigated with many of
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them now in phase II clinical trials.4 With the advent of these new therapies and a recent study showing that radiation dose escalation to 75 Gy (above the standard dose of 60 Gy) is safe and possibly more effective in newly diagnosed GBM,3 stratification of patients at the highest risk for early progression is imperative because more aggressive or experimental treatments may be pursued in these individuals. These treatment decisions are usually considered within the first several weeks postsurgery once any residual tumor has been identified, making the pre-RT imaging pivotal for guiding the course of treatment.

Conventional MR imaging, including T1-postcontrast and FLAIR, is nonspecific because the former represents the breakdown of the blood-brain barrier due to tumor- and non-tumor-related causes, and the latter may represent tumor-related edema, postradiation change, or any cause of gliosis. Advanced MR imaging techniques, such as DWI, may offer more specific information related to the underlying physiology of the tissue and may complement existing measures. ADC estimates the magnitude of water diffusion in relation to the physical barriers in its environment. It is frequently used as an imaging biomarker for tumor cellularity5,6 and is inversely correlated with tumor cell density.7 However, at the typical b-values used clinically (b = 0, 1000 s/mm²), the diffusion signal primarily arises from the extracellular space.8 Therefore, in addition to estimating cell density, the ADC calculated at these b-values is also influenced by factors such as edema and necrosis, subsequently making ADC a rather nonspecific measure of tumor cellularity.

To account for the influence of edema and necrosis on ADC intensities in the tumor and peritumoral regions, histogram analysis of normalized ADC intensities9,10 (normalized with respect to mean ADC in normal-appearing white matter) and 2 Gaussian mixture modeling of the ADC intensities within the tumor5,6 have been proposed. However, these statistical methods seek only to reclassify voxels within an ROI so that voxels with presumably solid tumor are included in the analysis, while potentially problematic voxels that are confounded by partial voluming with edema and necrosis are removed. These methods have shown some promise for evaluating treatment response and predicting progression–free survival (PFS) in both the upfront5,11 and recurrent5,12 setting following treatment with antiangiogenic therapy. However, the utility of these ADC metrics for predicting response to standard chemoradiation has been less frequently explored. Some data suggest that though ADC intensities are not predictive of PFS or overall survival (OS) in the upfront setting,5,10 the volume of ADC with a large tumor burden (normalized ADC < 1.5; hypercellularity [HC] volume) within the T2 volume stratifies OS both pre-13 and postsurgery.9 However, it is unclear whether the hypercellularity volume was correlated with the underlying T2 volume and whether its predictive value merely reflects the association of the T2 volume with survival. Multiple studies have used the increase or decrease of HC volume fraction (ie, the HC volume defined with respect to the variation in a mixture of normal-appearing white and gray matter) as a predictive marker for evaluating treatment response14 because this metric may capture the percentage of the tumor that is highly cellular and correlated with the structural volumes. However, the utility of the HC volume fraction at individual time points for early risk stratification has not been explored, to our knowledge.

Multicompartment models of diffusion based on advanced multishell acquisitions can provide a more straightforward approach for mitigating the confounding effects of edema and necrosis at the voxel level. In particular, restriction spectrum imaging (RSI) is an advanced diffusion imaging model that separates the relative contributions of hindered and restricted signals originating from extracellular and intracellular water compartments, respectively, by using a multi-b-shell acquisition in conjunction with a linear mixture model.15–17 Furthermore, RSI incorporates geometric information to disambiguate isotropic-restricted diffusion in tumor cells from anisotropic-restricted diffusion in elongated neuronal processes (axons/dendrites collectively called “neurites”). Previous studies have demonstrated the increased sensitivity and specificity of RSI over ADC and DWI in both brain tumors18 and prostate cancer,19 and McDonald et al20 have recently demonstrated that RSI cellularity is a stronger predictor of both PFS and OS in patients following treatment with bevacizumab relative to ADC. However, its utility for predicting survival in patients newly diagnosed with GBM has not been explored, to our knowledge.

Here we investigate the application of RSI for risk stratification in newly diagnosed, resected GBMs. Our hypothesis was that RSI, due to its multi-b-shell acquisition and its inherent ability to decouple diffusion signal within tumor cells from that of extracellular pathology (eg, edema), would be a more robust marker of patient outcomes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This institutional review board–approved retrospective study included 45 patients with pathologically confirmed primary GBM who had pre-RT MRIs (median, 23 days; range, 9–113 days from the operation; median, 10 days; range, 1–29 days before start of RT) that included standardized RSI and conventional imaging sequences acquired between January 2011 and November 2015. All patients were followed for at least 6 months (May 2016). Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. PFS and OS were defined relative to the pre-RT scan. All scans were reviewed by a neuroradiologist to ensure image quality and determine the basis for exclusion. Of the 45 eligible candidates, 17 patients underwent a second resection, with histopathology confirming tumor in 14 and showing predom-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Patient characteristics</th>
<th>Resection Type: STR (n = 22); GTR (n = 18)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>24 Male; 16 female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (median) (range) (yr)</td>
<td>58 (31–84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFS (median) (range) (mo)</td>
<td>8.42 (3.5–50.53); 7 censored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS (median) (range) (mo)</td>
<td>19.48 (6.37–50.93); 8 censored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bevacizumab at recurrence</td>
<td>17 Patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGMT status</td>
<td>12 Unmethylated, 8 methylated, 20 unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDH status</td>
<td>15 WT, 25 unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR amplification</td>
<td>7 Unamplified, 12 amplified, 21 unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFRLIII status</td>
<td>12 Positive, 9 negative, 19 unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Resection type was determined from the immediate postsurgical scan acquired within 48 hours of the operation for all patients, which included both T1-postcontrast and FLAIR sequences.
in the CE (ADC-CE10%) and in the matrix sequence was used (TE/TR 84 ms, flip angle = 8°, FOV = 24 cm, matrix = 0.93 × 0.93 × 1.2 mm) and a 3D T2-weighted FLAIR sequence (TE/TR = 126/6000 ms, TI = 1863 ms, FOV = 24 cm, matrix = 0.93 × 0.93 × 1.2 mm). For RSI, a single-shot pulsed-field gradient spin-echo EPI (FLAIR images. Fusion directions for each nonzero b-value, respectively (rents. of last stable imaging and OS was censored at the date of last contact.

**MR Imaging Acquisition and Image Preprocessing**

MR imaging was performed on a 3T Signa Excite HDx scanner (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) equipped with an 8-channel head coil. The imaging protocol included pre- and postgadolinium 3D volumetric T1-weighted inversion recovery spoiled gradient-recalled sequences (TE/TR = 2.8/6.5 ms, TI = 450 ms, flip angle = 8°, FOV = 24 cm, matrix = 0.93 × 0.93 × 1.2 mm) and a 3D T2-weighted FLAIR sequence (TE/TR = 126/6000 ms, TI = 1863 ms, FOV = 24 cm, matrix = 0.93 × 0.93 × 1.2 mm). For RSI, a single-shot pulsed-field spin-echo EPI sequence was used (TE/TR = 96 ms/17 seconds, FOV = 24 cm, matrix = 96 × 96 × 48, voxel size = 2.5 mm) with 4 b-values (b = 0, 500, 1500, and 4000 s/mm²), with 6, 6, and 15 unique diffusion directions for each nonzero b-value, respectively (~8 minutes scan time).

Before analysis, raw data were corrected for geometric distortions due to susceptibility, gradient nonlinearities, and eddy currents. This correction was followed by correction of patient motion and rigid registration of the pre- and postcontrast 3D inversion recovery spoiled gradient-recalled images and the FLAIR images to each other by using in-house software. The diffusion maps were registered to the postcontrast images through the B0 images (b = 0 mm²/s voxel), which were registered to the FLAIR images.

ADC values were calculated from a tensor fit to the b = 0, 500, and 1500 s/mm² data. Technical details of the RSI mathematic framework are described in their entirety elsewhere, and the model used has been applied in other recent publications. Briefly, the measured signal in each voxel was modeled as the sum of signals from 4 distinct tissue compartments: (1) the signal from water trapped within small spheric cells that is restricted in all directions, (2) the signal from water trapped in elongated neuronal processes (ie, neurites) that is restricted in the transverse direction, (3) the signal from extracellular water that is hindered by cells and neuronal processes, and (4) the signal from free water residing in CSF-filled compartments. RSI “cellularity” estimates were computed by combining the signal fraction from the intracellular compartment (1) with the isotropic restricted component of the neurite compartment (2). The RSI cellularity maps were finally transformed to a standard z score by scaling each patient’s data by the population mean and SD in normal-appearing white matter of all patients in this study.

**VOIs**

Contrast-enhanced volumes (CEvol) and FLAIR hyperintensity (FLAIR-HI) volumes (FLAIRvol), excluding the resection cavity and intrinsically T1 hyperintense regions (ie, postsurgical blood products), were segmented semiautomatically (Amira software package; Visage Imaging, San Diego, California) on the coregistered postcontrast 3D inversion recovery spoiled gradient-recalled images and FLAIR images by a single expert image analyst with 8 years of experience. For patients whose pre-RT scan was acquired within 2 weeks after the operation, the immediate post-surgery scan was used to exclude areas of restricted diffusion caused by resection-induced cytotoxic edema.

**Imaging Metrics**

In this study, we chose to evaluate the utility of both the HC intensities and HC volume fractions as prognostic factors of PFS and OS. On the basis of a recent study, the 90th percentile of RSI cellularity values was selected for our analysis and the HC volume fraction was defined as the volume having an RSI cellularity z score of ≥1.5 within the CE and FLAIR-HI VOIs because the HC volume estimated with this pre-RT normalized ADC threshold was successful in stratifying survival. Imaging metrics included in the analysis were the following: FLAIR volume (FLAIRvol), CE volume (CEvol), the 90th percentile of RSI cellularity values in the CE (RSI-CEvol90%) and in the FLAIR-HI (RSI-FLAIRvol90%) VOIs and the RSI-based HC volume fraction in the CE (RSI-CEvol) and the FLAIR-HI (RSI-FLAIRvol) VOIs. The following ADC metrics were estimated for comparing against the respective RSI metrics: the 10th percentile of ADC (ADCvol10%) in the CE (ADC-CEvol10%) and in the FLAIR-HI (ADC-FLAIRvol10%) VOIs and the ADC-based HC volume fraction (ADC z score of ≤1.5) in the CE (ADC-CEvol) and FLAIR-HI (ADC-FLAIRvol) VOIs.

**Statistical Analysis**

Univariate Cox proportional hazards (CPH) models were used to determine the contribution of resection type (subtotal resection, gross total resection), age, and sex to PFS and OS. Multivariate CPH models that included a single imaging metric combined with any significant clinical covariates (namely age, gender and resection type) were used to determine the relationship between each continuous pre-RT imaging metric and PFS/OS. Kaplan-Meier curves for the imaging metrics that were significant in the multivariate CPH models were obtained by dichotomizing the population on the basis of their median value and were compared by using a log-rank test. Due to the exploratory nature of the study, we did not control for type I error. P values < .05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed by using R Version 3.2.2 statistical and computing software (http://www.r-project.org/).

**RESULTS**

**Clinical**

Median PFS in the final cohort (n = 40) was 8.4 months, and median OS was 19.5 months. Nine patients progressed within 6 months, 24 patients progressed within 12 months, 30 patients...
progressed within 18 months, and 33 patients progressed within 24 months. The results of univariate CPH analyses for the clinical and imaging metrics are summarized in Table 2. CPH models revealed that the resection type (subtotal resection-1 versus gross total resection-2) had a trend toward significance for PFS and was significant for OS (Table 2); hence, it was included as a covariate in multivariate CPH analyses. Age and sex (male-1, female-2) were not predictive of PFS or OS in this cohort. Boxplots of the imaging metrics are shown in Fig 1, and the boxplots split by the median PFS and OS excluding the values from the censored patients for the relevant metrics that achieved significance in Table 3 are shown in On-line Fig 1.

### Table 2: Results of univariate CPH analyses of the continuous clinical imaging metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metrics</th>
<th>PFS</th>
<th>OS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>.562</td>
<td>.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>.063</td>
<td>.376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resection type</td>
<td>.066</td>
<td>.002a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLAIRvol</td>
<td>.381</td>
<td>.404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEvol</td>
<td>.047a</td>
<td>.010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC-FLAIRvf</td>
<td>.397</td>
<td>.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC-CEvf</td>
<td>.804</td>
<td>.932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC-FLAIR10%</td>
<td>.384</td>
<td>.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC-CE10%</td>
<td>.735</td>
<td>.704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSI-FLAIRvf</td>
<td>.038a</td>
<td>.006a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSI-CEvf</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.021a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSI-FLAIR90%</td>
<td>.092</td>
<td>.028a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSI-CE90%</td>
<td>.154</td>
<td>.099</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant.

In a univariate CPH analysis, CEvol was significantly associated with PFS and OS (Table 2). When adjusted for resection type, CEvol was no longer significant for PFS and had a trend toward significance for OS (P = .063, Table 3). The Kaplan-Meier curves of the 2 groups obtained by a median split and compared by using the log-rank test were significantly different for PFS (χ² [1] = 4.7, P = .029) but not for OS (χ² [1] = 3.1, P = .078; Fig 2). Based on this stratification, the median PFS for the 2 groups was 218 and 338.5 days. FLAIRvol was not associated with PFS or OS.

### CE and FLAIR-HI Volumes

In a univariate CPH analysis, CEvol was significantly associated with PFS and OS (Table 2). When adjusted for resection type, CEvol was no longer significant for PFS and had a trend toward significance for OS (P = .063, Table 3). The Kaplan-Meier curves of the 2 groups obtained by a median split and compared by using the log-rank test were significantly different for PFS (χ² [1] = 4.7, P = .029) but not for OS (χ² [1] = 3.1, P = .078; Fig 2). Based on this stratification, the median PFS for the 2 groups was 218 and 338.5 days. FLAIRvol was not associated with PFS or OS.
**RSI and ADC Metrics**

In a multivariate CPH analysis, RSI-FLAIR$_{90\%}$ was significantly associated with OS ($P = .043$) so that higher RSI intensities were associated with shorter survival (Table 3) and RSI-FLAIR$_{vf}$ was significantly associated with both PFS ($P = .036$) and OS ($P = .007$, Table 3) so that higher HC volume fractions were associated with earlier progression and shorter survival.

Table 3: Results of multivariate CPH analyses of the continuous imaging metrics covaried with resection type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metrics</th>
<th>PFS</th>
<th>OS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P$ Value</td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSI-FLAIR$_{vf}$</td>
<td>.036*</td>
<td>1.033 (1.002–1.064)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSI-CE$_{vf}$</td>
<td>.258</td>
<td>1.022 (0.984–1.062)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSI-FLAIR$_{90%}$</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td>1.535 (0.925–2.545)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSI-CE$_{90%}$</td>
<td>.210</td>
<td>1.439 (0.814–1.543)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLAIR$_{vol}$</td>
<td>.845</td>
<td>1.001 (0.990–1.012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE$_{vol}$</td>
<td>.165</td>
<td>1.029 (0.988–1.073)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant.

None of the RSI metrics in the CE VOI were significant for PFS/OS.

The Kaplan-Meier curves of the 2 groups obtained by a median split of RSI-FLAIR$_{vf}$ were significantly different for both PFS ($\chi^2 [1] = 6.1, P = .013$) and OS ($\chi^2 [1] = 7.7, P = .005$; Fig 2). The median PFS of the 2 groups was 201.5 and 367.5 days, and the median OS of the 2 groups was 451 and 750.5 days. Despite a strong trend, stratification by the median RSI-FLAIR$_{90\%}$ did not yield significant group differences in PFS ($\chi^2 [1] = 2.8, P = .095$) and OS ($\chi^2 [1] = 3.4, P = .065$).

To understand the influence of the threshold for defining HC volume fraction on the prognostic value, we repeated the analysis with HC volume fraction defined with a threshold of RSI...
cellularity z score $\geq 1$ and $\geq 2$. RSI-FLAIR$_{v}$ at $z \geq 1$ was significantly associated with PFS ($P = .03$, hazard ratio [HR] = 1.023) and OS ($P = .042$, HR = 1.027). While RSI-FLAIR$_{v}$ at $z \leq 2$ was significant for OS ($P = .009$, HR = 1.096), it was not significant for PFS ($P = .09$, HR = 1.043). Similarly, we also explored the prognostic value of the absolute HC volume with $z \geq 1.5$, which were prognostic for OS (HC$_{v}$ in CE: $P = .018$, HR = 1.543; FLAIR-HI: $P = .042$, HR = 1.262) in a multivariate CPH analysis. HC$_{v}$ within CE was significant for PFS in a univariate analysis ($P = .025$, HR = 1.141) but was not significant in a multivariate analysis with resection type as a covariate.

In a univariate CPH analysis, none of the ADC metrics were associated with PFS (Table 2). The absolute ADC HC volumes with $z \leq 1.5$ within the FLAIR-HI VOI were significantly prognostic of OS in a univariate CPH analysis ($P = .015$, HR = 58.89) but only had a trend toward significance ($P = .079$, HR = 20.06) after accounting for resection type in a multivariate CPH analysis and were not associated with PFS (univariate: $P = .138$, HR = 4.835). The ADC HC volumes within the CE VOI were not associated with outcomes.

Representative images of 2 patients in this cohort with short (patient A) and long (patient B) PFS are shown in Fig 3. Patient A had lower CE$_{v}$, FLAIR$_{v}$, and ADC-FLAIR$_{v}$, but higher RSI-FLAIR$_{v}$, RSI-FLAIR$_{v}$, and ADC-FLAIR$_{v}$ than patient B, likely reflecting higher tumor cellularity in the patient's FLAIR-HI region. RSI-cellularity maps exhibited greater conspicuity in this region compared with ADC maps. Accordingly, patient A had shorter PFS and OS compared with patient B.

**DISCUSSION**

In the management of a highly aggressive tumor like GBM, the ability to stratify patient survival postoperatively is important because this information can directly impact therapeutic decision-making. In this study, we found RSI metrics to better stratify patients according to both PFS and OS compared with conventional imaging and ADC metrics. Unfortunately, CE$_{v}$ and FLAIR$_{v}$ may have limited prognostic value in this setting once the extent of the resection is considered. Patients with glioblastoma often have a more infiltrative tumor pattern and possibly a more hypoxic tumor biology, with some of them responding poorly to radiation therapy or conventional chemotherapy. The nonspecificity of these conventional metrics could potentially be due to the hypoxic tumors not having contrast enhancement and the presence of infiltrative tumors not having a noticeable signal in both T1 and T2 images. Hence, there is a need for better imaging metrics that perform reliably and may aid in identification of patients with GBM at high risk for early recurrence and worse survival.

Among the imaging metrics, we found that the pre-RT RSI-derived measures of cellularity within the FLAIR-HI region were associated with PFS and OS. The prognostic value of RSI appeared robust to the threshold used to determine the HC volume. Conversely, ADC metrics within the FLAIR-HI region were not associated with outcomes. Tumor progression results in areas of increased tumor cellularity (ie, decrease in diffusivity) and also areas of increased edema (ie, increase in diffusivity), both of which can occur simultaneously within an imaging voxel. Furthermore, postsurgery but pre-RT, a significant portion of the enhancing HC volume of the tumor has probably been resected and the residual HC tumor is interspersed with edema. Because ADC is a composite measure, the effects of these 2 opposing factors may cancel each other out, therefore limiting the prognostic value of ADC. RSI overcomes this limitation by separating the diffusivities associated with intracellular, restricted diffusion from the extracellular effects of edema. In contrast to a previous study, the absolute ADC HC volume was not significantly associated with OS, and this finding might be due to the inclusion of resection type as a covariate in the analysis. Even though the absolute RSI HC volume was prognostic of OS, the volume fraction was a stronger prognostic metric of PFS/OS. We did not find an association between any of our diffusion metrics in the CE region, similar to findings of previous studies. Although the reason for this outcome is not clear, it is likely that the CE region was quite limited in size in most patients, given the short interval between surgery and RT.

Other advanced imaging techniques, including perfusion MR spectroscopic imaging (MRSI), and PET have also been shown to stratify outcomes in patients with GBM. While perfusion imaging provides information on vascular density and flow, MRSI and PET provide metabolic information. Pre-RT perfusion imaging could potentially be used to provide better imaging metrics that perform reliably and may aid in identification of patients with GBM at high risk for early recurrence and worse survival.
imaging metrics have been shown to predict PFS, but perfusion is limited in the central core of a solid tumor with hypoxic cancer cells and with antiangiogenic therapy in which the vasculature is normalized, removing the leaky vessels in the tumor. Pre-RT MRSI metrics are associated with both PFS and OS but have lower spatial resolution compared with conventional, perfusion, and diffusion MR imaging. Although diffusion metrics should perform better than perfusion and MRSI with hypoxic tumor and antiangiogenic therapy, none of the previous studies have shown an association of pre-RT diffusion with outcomes. Here, we show that RSI-based cellularity is a prognostic metric of PFS and OS and may offer advantages over perfusion and MRSI in the pre-RT setting.

One of the main limitations of the current study is the small sample size obtained at a single institution (University of California, San Diego). The current study represents our effort to explore the clinical utility of RSI in a highly controlled study in which all the patients were scanned in the same scanner and all images were processed in a highly uniform manner, which included robust corrections for motion and geometric distortions. Given our modest size, we might be underpowered to detect smaller associations between some of our imaging metrics and survival. The generalizability and reproducibility of our results will need to be tested in prospective, multisite clinical trials across multiple vendor platforms. A second limitation is that the heterogeneity of the therapeutic approaches that each patient received following standard RT and temozolomide made it difficult to stratify patients according to treatment regimen. However, our major finding is that RSI performed better than ADC and conventional imaging in the same patient cohort, which is not confounded by between-patient treatment variance. Future studies with cohorts large enough to stratify patients according to the additional therapies received would be of great benefit for better delineating predictors of response to various therapies. Another possible limitation is that the tumors were segmented by a single imaging expert. Thus, intra- and interobserver variability of the tumor segmentations was not evaluated. In addition, although all patients had pathology-confirmed GBMs at the outset, histologic validation of tumor progression was not available for all patients. Furthermore, correlation with genomic information and molecular markers (such as MGMT and IDH status), which are known to provide prognostic information, could not be performed because these data were only available for a subset of the cohort (Table 1). Molecular information is now systematically collected for patients with GBM at our institution, facilitating future studies investigating the prognostic value of these markers. Although the results from our recent preclinical study show that the RSI cellularity metric correlates with histopathologic markers of cellularity, additional validation in patients with GBM is warranted.

CONCLUSIONS

Following the operation but before initiating RT, RSI-derived cellularity in the FLAIR-HI region performed better than ADC and conventional imaging for risk stratification in patients with GBM. Therefore, RSI could be potentially useful for identifying patients at highest risk for early progression and shorter survival. However, future studies with larger sample sizes are needed to explore its predictive ability.
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