# UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

# Title

Kidney Dysfunction After Acute Heart Failure: Is Acute Kidney Disease the New Acute Kidney Injury?

### Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0m2533fd

**Journal** Kidney International Reports, 7(3)

**ISSN** 2468-0249

## **Authors**

Kwong, Y Diana Liu, Kathleen D Hsu, Raymond K

# **Publication Date**

2022-03-01

### DOI

10.1016/j.ekir.2021.12.034

Peer reviewed

## **Kidney International Reports Commentary**

Title:

# Kidney Dysfunction after Acute Heart Failure: is AKD the new AKI?

**Authors:** Y. Diana Kwong, MD MAS<sup>1</sup>; Kathleen D. Liu MD PhD<sup>1,2</sup>; Raymond K. Hsu, MD MAS<sup>1</sup>

Affiliations: <sup>1</sup>University of California, San Francisco, Department of Medicine,

Division of Nephrology

<sup>2</sup>University of California, San Francisco, Department of Anesthesia, Division of

Critical Care Medicine

**Contact information:** 

**Financial Disclosures:** Drs. Kwong, Liu and Hsu have no relevant conflicts of interest to report.

Acute kidney injury (AKI) during and after hospitalizations for acute decompensated heart failure (ADHF) is a common and established risk factor for adverse clinical outcomes. While AKI is most often defined by serum creatinine (SCr) values relative to a baseline<sup>1</sup> (where specific changes are documented or presumed to have occurred within 7 days), there is pervasive understanding that not all AKI is equal. For example, AKI in the context of ADHF can be delineated into various pathophysiological pathways. AKI can be the underlying cause of heart failure (type 3 cardiorenal syndrome), the sequela of ADHF (especially in cardiogenic shock, type 1 cardiorenal syndrome), an unfortunate collateral event from a systemic disease (e.g. sepsis) with detrimental effects on both kidneys and the heart (type 5 cardiorenal syndrome), or some combination of the above. There is also growing recognition that AKI consisting of only small changes in SCr (i.e. stage 1 AKI, meeting only a 0.3mg/dL increase in SCr) may not be associated with important long-term adverse outcomes in many clinical scenarios. This is especially relevant in ADHF, where acute increases in SCr that occur after initiation of heart failure therapies such as renin-angiotensin-system inhibitors (RAS-inhibitors) and diuretics do not necessary portend adverse outcomes and may signify a therapeutic hemodynamic effect.<sup>2</sup>

Acute kidney disease (AKD) describes a continuum of kidney dysfunction occurring acutely or sub-acutely within a 90-day period. AKD can represent nonrecovery from AKI beyond the initial 7-day window, but may also include subacute and persistent alterations in kidney function without a documented inciting AKI event (Figure 1). AKD was first proposed in the 2012 KDIGO AKI clinical guidelines, and expert panels have identified the AKD population as one of high research priority.<sup>1,3</sup> Despite this, there have been limited studies on the epidemiology of AKD, likely due to the lack of or short follow-up time of many hospital-based databases and limited pooling of data with community clinics. The concept of AKD is especially relevant in the care of patients with heart failure, as the armament of heart failure medications (with proven mortality benefit) associated with modest changes in SCr has grown. Clinicians are eager to add and uptitrate these medications during and especially in the aftermath of an inciting ADHF episode, and the incidence of AKD may rise as a result.

In the current issue of KI Reports, Chen et al.<sup>4</sup> explore the incidence, risk factors, and prognosis of AKD after ADHF using comprehensive electronic health data from 7 hospitals in Taiwan. After applying key exclusions such as patients on maintenance dialysis and those with another obvious insult for AKI (sepsis, urinary obstruction), they identified 7,519 adults who were hospitalized for ADHF over a 10year period, among whom 678 (9%) developed in-hospital AKI and 1592 (21%) developed AKD (defined as persistent kidney dysfunction relative to prehospitalization baseline at or closest to 3 months). The authors then identified key risk factors for AKD, including sex, diabetes, AKI stage, admission SCr, hemoglobin, albumin, B-type natriuretic peptide, and use of inotropes and high-dose intravenous diuretics. Risk factors for the composite outcome of stage 3 AKD and death were similar except age and admission blood urea nitrogen replaced diabetes and CKD as risk factors. During 5 years of follow-up, AKD was associated with higher risks of all cause death (Hazard ratio (HR) 1.32 [95% CI 1.17-1.49]) and major adverse kidney events (MAKE) (HR 1.30 [95% CI 1.21-1.40]). Finally, the authors developed predictive scoring models with adequate C-statistics for any-stage AKD (0.73 [95% CI 0.71-0.74]) and for composite of stage 3 AKD and death (0.81 [95% CI 0.79-0.82]).

The study is strengthened by its large sample size, comprehensive capture of data including both inpatient and outpatient lab values (owing to a large multiinstitutional and centralized database), and a clinically important and novel application of AKD, a thus far understudied concept in nephrology and in heart failure. Limitations include a lack of external validation of the derived prediction models,

especially for heart failure patients in other countries who may not share the same profile of risk factors as patients in Taiwan. Because SCr values were not systematically collected at 90 days after ADHF admission, AKD as an outcome may be subject to ascertainment bias, as patients with inciting AKI and/or pre-existing CKD were likely more prone to have follow-up laboratory measurements. Finally, there was limited information regarding important heart failure medications including RAS-inhibitors, sodium-glucose transport protein 2 inhibitors (SGLT2inhibitors), diuretics, and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRAs) that are known to cause perturbations in SCr, especially in the critical post-hospitalization period during which AKD is ascertained.

A key finding here is only 39% (n=267) of patients with AKI (n=678) at the time of ADHF progressed to AKD. Conversely, among the 1592 patients who developed AKD, the vast majority (83%, n=1328) did not have a preceding AKI event. The prediction models created could allow for post-discharge identification of ADHF patients at risk for AKD – beyond those with an inciting AKI event. This select population of AKD patients may benefit from earlier and more vigilant clinical and lab follow-up, and more careful introduction/titration of forementioned medications (RAS-inhibitors, SGLT2-inhibitors, MRAs) known to confer mortality benefit (and potentially long-term renal benefit) after their ADHF hospitalization. The concept of "permissive hypercreatinemia<sup>5,6</sup>" has grown in popularity and acceptance in the context of heart failure and cardiorenal management. While KDIGO defines AKI and AKD by changes in SCr, acute changes in SCr do not necessarily reflect tubular ischemia and damage.<sup>7</sup> For example, RAS-inhibitors and SGLT2-inhibitors may acutely decrease intraglomerular pressure and glomerular filtration rate, leading to increased SCr, but their long-term use is noted to be renoprotective and cardioprotective.<sup>8</sup> Therefore, the "AKI" episodes that occur from the introduction or uptitration of heart failure therapeutics which acutely modulate renal perfusion but offer proven mortality and/or cardiorenal benefits should not be interpreted as signals of tubular and permanent injury and should be permitted with careful monitoring.

However, does the concept of permissive hypercreatinemia extend to persistent elevations in SCr, e.g. AKD? For how long and to what extent do we permit the elevated creatinine, especially following acute heart failure? As Chen et al. show<sup>4</sup>, a subset of AKI clearly leads to AKD, and moderate-to-severe AKI (stage 2/3) is a strong risk factor for persistently *very* elevated creatinine up to 90 days (AKD stage 3). AKD, in turn, strongly predicts MAKE and death. Exactly when does persistently elevated creatinine become clinically worrisome, and how much of the AKI to AKD spectrum in the context of ADHF represents maladaptive kidney repair leading to progressive CKD/ESKD versus of permissive hypercreatinemia? These are questions difficult to answer with retrospective data alone (Figure 1). Further detailed analyses incorporating the longitudinal use of heart failure therapeutics that impact renal perfusion, and the duration, severity, and recovery from AKD after ADHF as predictors of MAKE and mortality may be informative.

Nevertheless, Chen et al. identify and characterize AKD in hospitalized patients with ADHF<sup>4</sup>, and the results hint at opportunities for care improvement. Accurately predicting who will develop AKD—especially those without an inciting AKI -with readily available clinical variables is an important, nascent step toward understanding and establishing the metric of care they need. On the other hand, a sizable proportion of individuals with AKI who are *not* at risk for AKD may not need the same level of follow-up care. Until evidence-based quality metrics are established, we should stick with a repertoire of common-sense strategies for highrisk patients after ADHF: careful volume status and lab checks, vigilant medication reconciliation, dietary counseling, careful titration of heart failure medications, and removal of aggravating (true) nephrotoxic factors.<sup>5,9</sup> Nephrologists should also assume an expert role in managing potential metabolic derangements from heart failure therapies (e.g. hyper/hypokalemia, dysnatremias, etc.), along with offering reassurance to patients and team members when small changes in SCr are detected after therapy titrations. Further work is clearly needed to better define the spectrum of pathophysiology of AKD in the setting of ADHF and whether the degree of tubular injury in this context predicts adverse outcomes, beyond AKD itself.

**Figure:** Framework for acute kidney disease diagnosis after acute decompensated heart failure

#### References

- 1. Khwaja A. KDIGO clinical practice guidelines for acute kidney injury. *Nephron Clinical practice.* 2012;120(4):c179-184.
- 2. McCoy IE, Chertow GM. AKI-A Relevant Safety End Point? American journal of kidney diseases : the official journal of the National Kidney Foundation. 2020;75(4):508-512.
- 3. Chawla LS, Bellomo R, Bihorac A, et al. Acute kidney disease and renal recovery: consensus report of the Acute Disease Quality Initiative (ADQI) 16 Workgroup. *Nature reviews Nephrology.* 2017;13(4):241-257.
- 4. Jia-Jin Chen, Tao Han Lee, George Kuo, Chieh-Li Yen, Shao-Wei Chen, Pao-Hsien Chu, Pei-Chun Fan,, Victor Chien-Chia Wu C-HC. Acute kidney disease after acute decompensated heart failure. *Kidney International Reports*. in press.
- 5. Parikh CR, Coca SG. Permissive AKI with treatment of heart failure. *Kidney international.* 2019;96(5):1066-1068.
- 6. Meraz-Muñoz AY, Weinstein J, Wald R. eGFR Decline after SGLT2 Inhibitor Initiation: The Tortoise and the Hare Reimagined. *Kidney360.* 2021;2(6):1042-1047.
- 7. Ahmad T, Jackson K, Rao VS, et al. Worsening Renal Function in Patients With Acute Heart Failure Undergoing Aggressive Diuresis Is Not Associated With Tubular Injury. *Circulation.* 2018;137(19):2016-2028.
- 8. McCallum W, Tighiouart H, Ku E, Salem D, Sarnak MJ. Acute declines in estimated glomerular filtration rate on enalapril and mortality and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. *Kidney international.* 2019;96(5):1185-1194.
- 9. Liu KD, Forni LG, Heung M, et al. Quality of Care for Acute Kidney Disease: Current Knowledge Gaps and Future Directions. *Kidney International Reports.* 2020;5(10):1634-1642.