

UCRL-18180

cy. 2

University of California
Ernest O. Lawrence
Radiation Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

DISSOCIATION ENERGIES FROM INVERSE PREDISSOCIATION

Leon F. Phillips

April 1968

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
RADIATION LABORATORY

Berkeley, California

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

UCRL-18180
cy. 2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Submitted as Note to:
Journal Phys. Chem.

UCRL-18180
Preprint

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California
AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

DISSOCIATION ENERGIES FROM INVERSE PREDISSOCIATION

Leon F. Phillips

April 1968


DISSOCIATION ENERGIES FROM INVERSE PREDISSOCIATION

Leon F. Phillips*

Inorganic Materials Research Division, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory,
University of California, Berkeley, California

The use of predissociations in electronic spectra to fix limits for dissociation energies has been very common,^{1,2} but although the principle of determining similar limits from observations of inverse predissociation in chemiluminescence has been known for a long time,² relatively few values of dissociation energy have actually been found by this means. It is the purpose of the present note to consider the conditions that must be fulfilled before one can be reasonably certain that an observed emission spectrum is the result of an inverse predissociation, and also to discuss observations in the literature which, when interpreted in terms of inverse predissociation, in a few cases give rise to distinct improvements in the precision of listed dissociation energies.

Measurements of the intensity of chemiluminescence arising from atom and radical recombination reactions in the presence of various added gases³ show that when the emission is in the form of discrete bands the excitation process is normally a termolecular reaction of the type



Here X and Y are ground-state species, at least one of which is an atom or radical, and M is an "inert" third body. If the excited product XY* is in a state which does not correlate with ground-state X and Y at

* On leave from the Department of Chemistry, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand.

infinite internuclear distance then an inverse predissociation, or "preassociation," type of mechanism must be considered. It should be realized, however, that the process (1) differs from a true inverse predissociation because of the intervention of the third body M, so that, for example, normal selection rules governing predissociation will not necessarily apply. The following criteria are suggested as a basis for deciding whether a particular chemiluminescent emission from a species XY is the result of preassociation:

1. The emission spectrum should originate from a state of XY that does not correlate with ground-state X and Y.
2. The intensity of the emission should depend kinetically on the product of the concentrations of X and Y.
3. There should be available a repulsive or slightly attractive potential energy curve, derived from ground-state X and Y, to cross the excited state from which the radiation originates at an energy level close to the dissociation limit. This is not as strict a requirement as it is for predissociation, since in the presence of the third body M it is likely that the course of any potential energy curve is appreciably different from what it is for the isolated molecule.
4. The upper state of the observed transition should show evidence of predissociation. This also should not be a stringent requirement because of the probable relaxing effect of the third body on selection rules for predissociation.
5. In general we can conclude that whereas the onset of predissociation indicates an upper limit for the dissociation energy of the X-Y bond, the onset of preassociation indicates a lower limit for this dissociation energy.

Thus where both processes are observed to occur these energy limits should practically coincide, and in any case a preassociation limit should never occur at a higher energy than the dissociation limit as found by any other reliable means, unless it is to be presumed that excited atoms are involved. Only in high temperature systems such as burner flames, where the value of RT is typically around 4 kcal per mole, should there be significant overlap of predissociation and pre-association limits.

Table I lists a number of representative examples of diatomic radicals and molecules for which an observed chemiluminescence might be attributed to preassociation. We consider them individually as follows:

N_2 : This is to be regarded as the classic example of preassociation, all of the above criteria being satisfied. The relationship between the predissociation in the B-state of N_2 and the Lewis-Rayleigh after-glow of active nitrogen is thoroughly discussed elsewhere.⁴

OH: The predissociation at $v = 2$ and in upper rotational levels of $v = 1$ in the A-state was first observed by Gaydon and Wolfhard.⁵

Gaydon⁶ suggested that the inverse process should contribute significantly to the population of electronically excited OH in flame gases.

Tiktin, Spindler and Schiff⁷ showed that the intensity of OH chemiluminescence from a double discharge-flow system containing O and H atoms is proportional to the product of the concentrations of the two atoms, and examined the population of rotational levels resulting from the recombination process.

NO: The predissociation in the δ -bands of NO is well established.⁸ It results from crossing of the C-state with a repulsive ${}^4\Pi$ state derived from ground-state atoms. The preassociation is discussed by Young and Sharpless,⁹ who observed several systems of bands, some arising from electronic levels considerably below the dissociation limit.

NH: The possibility that NH emission from a system containing N and H atoms was due to preassociation was first raised by Guenebaut, Pannetier and Goudmand.¹⁰ Their suggestion was criticised by Mannella,¹¹ on the grounds that the ${}^5\Sigma^-$ state would be expected to rise too steeply to cross the A-state near the dissociation limit, but as pointed out above this objection may not be valid in the presence of a third body. The alternative excitation mechanism proposed by Mannella involved the A-state of N_2 as a reactant, and must be rejected in the light of recent experiments which show that the concentration of A-state molecules in active nitrogen is extremely low.¹² If the preassociation mechanism is accepted, as seems reasonable, then the dissociation energy of NH must be considered to lie very close to the limit at 94 kcal.

CuH, TlH and AlH: Emission spectra with intensity proportional to $[Cu][H]$ and $[Tl][H]$ were reported by Bulewicz and Sugden for hydrogen/air flames containing traces of cupric and thallos salts respectively.^{13,14} The emission was originally believed to be due to thermal excitation of hydride molecules formed through the equilibria



but later work¹⁵ has shown that a mechanism based on reaction (1) is much more likely. The predissociation limits are as given by Gaydon¹

and Herzberg,² who also discuss similar conclusions for AlH. In the case of AlH the reported coincidence of predissociation and pro-association limits leads to a value of 70.5 kcal for the dissociation energy, with some uncertainty due to the high temperature of the source.

CN and CO: The observations of chemiluminescence were made by Savadatti and Broida,¹⁶ who mixed carbon vapour, containing a small percentage of atomic carbon, with atomic H, N, and O. They proposed that the C₂ emission they observed was produced as a result of the reaction of an excited metastable C₃ with atomic oxygen or nitrogen. According to Ballik and Ramsay¹⁷ the A³Π_g state from which the bands originated can be populated directly by collision of ground-state atoms, so a pre-association mechanism is not required. Carbon atoms would be expected to be much more plentiful in the flame with N or O atoms than in pure carbon vapour; however, it is notable that no CH emission was observed from a mixture of carbon vapour with atomic hydrogen. The predissociation limit for CO is given by Douglas and Møller.¹⁸

IO, BrO and ClO: Emission bands arising from states of these molecules that do not correlate with ground-state atoms are observed from burner flames containing traces of the appropriate halogen.¹⁹⁻²¹ For IO and BrO it has been shown that the intensity is proportional to the product of the concentrations of atomic oxygen and atomic halogen.^{22,23} Quite precise values of dissociation energy, based on convergence limits of these band systems, are available for ClO and BrO,²⁴ and a less precise estimate based on a ground-state Birge-Sponer extrapolation is available for IO.^{1,25} For IO the preassociation limit leads to a satisfactory value for the dissociation energy provided it is

assumed that $^2P_{1/2}$ iodine atoms are involved. For BrO the presumed lower limit appears to be at least 10 kcal too high, even after $^2P_{1/2}$ bromine atoms are invoked, while for ClO the limit obtained is so high that a preassociation mechanism is ruled out. Of the three halogens only iodine is present in the flame almost entirely in the form of free atoms.²⁶ For chlorine the thermal excitation of equilibrium amounts of ground-state ClO could be sufficient to account for the observed bands; this process might also account for a part of the BrO emission.

NBr, NCl and NF: For NBr Milton, Dunford and Douglas originally proposed a preassociation mechanism for population of the observed excited state;²⁷ their listed dissociation energy represents a compromise between the preassociation limit and a Birge-Sponer extrapolation. For NCl and NF the chemiluminescence was observed from discharged mixtures which are reasonably certain to have contained both atomic species, but the light emission was very weak and was certainly not observed right up to the dissociation limit in either case. The D° values for NCl and NF given in the last column of Table I represent linear Birge-Sponer extrapolations based on the ground-state data obtained in these studies,^{27,28} and are expected to be too high.

It is anticipated that the above discussion should serve to indicate the need for further work to fill in some of the gaps in Table I, and to extend the table to include other small molecules and radicals.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Professor Leo Brewer for helpful discussion, and to the Commonwealth Fund of New York for the award of a Harkness Fellowship.

This work was performed under the auspices of the United States Atomic Energy Commission.

Table I.

Molecule	Transition	Crossing State	Kinetics	Preassociation Limit	Predissociation Limit	Listed D_0
N_2	$B^3\Pi_g - A^3\Sigma_u^+$	$5\Sigma_g^+$	$[N]^2$	225	225	225.1 (Ref. 1)
OH	$A^2\Sigma^+ - X^2\Pi$	$2\Sigma^-$	$[O][H]$	101.3	110	101.5 (Ref. 1)
NO	$C^2\Pi - X^2\Pi$	4Π	$[N][O]$	149.5	149.5	150 (Ref. 1)
NH	$A^3\Pi - X^3\Sigma$	$5\Sigma^-$	--	94	--	85±10 (Ref. 1)
CuH	$1\Sigma - X^1\Sigma$	--	$[Cu][H]$	66.5	66.5	64±11 (Ref. 1)
TlH	B-A	--	$[Tl][H]$	50	50	46±4 (Ref. 1)
AlH	$1\Pi - X^1\Sigma^+$	--	--	70.5	70.5	67±5 (Ref. 1)
CN	$B^2\Sigma - X^2\Sigma$	--	--	160	--	187±7 (Ref. 1)
CO	$b^3\Sigma^+ - a^3\Pi$	--	--	240	256	256.1 (Ref. 1)
IO	$A^2\Pi - X^2\Pi$	--	$[I][O]$	46	63	44±4 (Ref. 1)
BrO	$A^2\Pi - X^2\Pi$	--	$[Br][O]$	71	81	55 (Ref. 24)
ClO	$A^2\Pi - X^2\Pi$	--	--	92	97	63.5 (Ref. 24)
NBr	$b^1\Sigma^+ - X^3\Sigma^-$	$3\Pi, 5\Pi$ or $5\Sigma^-$	--	63.4	--	67±5 (Ref. 27)
NCl	$b^1\Sigma^+ - X^3\Sigma^-$	$3\Pi, 5\Pi$ or $5\Sigma^-$	--	54	--	96 (LBX)
NF	$b^1\Sigma^+ - X^3\Sigma^-$	$3\Pi, 5\Pi$ or $5\Sigma^-$	--	61	--	103 (LBX)

REFERENCES

1. A. G. Gaydon, Dissociation Energies, Second edition (Chapman and Hall, London, 1953).
2. G. Herzberg, Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure. I. Spectra of Diatomic Molecules, Second Edition (D. Van Nostrand, New Jersey, 1950).
3. See, for example, M. A. A. Clyne and B. A. Thrush, *Disc. Farad. Soc.* 33, 139 (1962).
4. K. R. Jennings and J. W. Linnett, *Quart. Rev. Chem. Soc. (London)* 12, 116 (1958).
5. A. G. Gaydon and H. C. Wolfhard, *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London)* A208, 63 (1951).
6. A. G. Gaydon, The Spectroscopy of Flames (Chapman and Hall, London, 1957), p. 75.
7. G. B. Spindler, S. Tiktin, and H. I. Schiff, *Nature* 214, 1006 (1967); *Disc. Farad. Soc. (Molecular Dynamics of Chemical Reactions)*, 1967 in press.
8. P. J. Flory and H. L. Johnstone, *J. Chem. Phys.* 14, 212 (1946).
9. R. A. Young and R. L. Sharpless, *J. Chem. Phys.* 39, 1071 (1963), and *Disc. Farad. Soc.* 33, 228 (1962).
10. H. Guenebaut, A. Pannetier and P. Goudmand, *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. (Paris)* 251, 1480 (1960).
11. G. G. Mannella, *J. Chem. Phys.* 36, 1079 (1962).
12. R. A. Young, *Can. J. Chem.* 44, 1171 (1966).
13. E. M. Bulewicz and T. M. Sugden, *Trans. Farad. Soc.* 52, 1475 (1956).

14. E. M. Bulewicz and T. M. Sugden, *Trans. Farad. Soc.* 53, 830 (1957).
15. R. W. Reid and T. M. Sugden, *Disc. Farad. Soc.* 33, 213 (1962).
16. M. I. Savadatti and H. P. Broida, *J. Chem. Phys.* 45, 2390 (1966).
17. E. A. Ballik and D. A. Ramsay, *Astrophys. J.* 137, 84 (1963).
18. A. E. Douglas and C. K. Møller, *Can. J. Phys.* 33, 125 (1955).
19. E. H. Coleman, A. G. Gaydon and W. M. Vaidya, *Nature* 162, 108 (1948).
20. E. H. Coleman and A. G. Gaydon, *Disc. Farad. Soc.* 2, 166 (1947).
21. A. Pannetier and A. G. Gaydon, *Nature* 161, 242 (1948).
22. L. F. Phillips and T. M. Sugden, *Trans. Farad. Soc.* 57, 921 (1961).
23. M. J. McEwan and L. F. Phillips, *Combustion and Flame* 11, 63 (1967).
24. R. A. Durie and D. A. Ramsay, *Can. J. Phys.* 36, 35 (1958).
25. R. A. Durie, F. Legay and D. A. Ramsay, *Can. J. Phys.* 38, 444 (1960).
26. M. J. McEwan, (Ph.D. Dissertation), University of Canterbury, 1965.
27. E. R. V. Milton, H. B. Dunford and A. E. Douglas, *J. Chem. Phys.* 35, 1202 (1961).
28. R. Colin and W. E. Jones, *Can. J. Phys.* 45, 301 (1967).
29. A. E. Douglas and W. E. Jones, *Can. J. Phys.* 44, 2251 (1966).

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

