

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA - BERKELEY

~~SECRET~~

UCRL-1309

~~CAUTION~~

~~This document contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States. Its transmission or the disclosure of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited and may result in severe criminal penalties under applicable Federal laws.~~

**DECLASSIFIED**

**RESTRICTED DATA**

~~This document contains restricted data as defined in the Atomic Energy Act of 1946. Its transmittal or the disclosure of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited.~~

**TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY**

*This is a Library Circulating Copy  
which may be borrowed for two weeks.  
For a personal retention copy, call  
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

**RADIATION LABORATORY**

## **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.



~~SECRET~~

UCRL-1309  
Technology - Materials  
Testing Accelerator

DECLASSIFIED

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
RADIATION LABORATORY

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

CALIFORNIA RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COMPANY  
Contract No. AT(11-1)-74

MINUTES OF MEETING OF MTA TARGET COMMITTEE  
HELD MAY 14, 1951

E. D. Fleckenstein

~~RESTRICTED DATA~~

This document contains restricted data as defined in the Atomic Energy Act of 1946. Its transmittal or the disclosure of its contents in any amnner to an unauthorized person is prohibited.

~~CAUTION~~

This document contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States. Its transmission or the disclosure of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited and may result in severe criminal penalties under applicable Federal laws.

Berkeley, California

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED

BY AUTHORITY OF THE DECLASSIFICATION  
BRANCH USAEC

BY *B. J. Fobett*  
SIGNATURE OF THE  
PERSON MAKING THE  
CHANGE

3-15-59  
DATE

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

UCRL-1309  
Technology - Materials  
Testing Accelerator

UNIVERSITY -2- CALIFORNIA

STANDARD DISTRIBUTION: Series A

Copy Numbers

Atomic Energy Commission, Washington	1-5
Carbide and Carbon Chemicals Division, Oak Ridge, Y-12	6
Chicago Operations Office	7
North American Aviation, Inc.	8
Oak Ridge National Laboratory, X-10 Site	9
Patent Branch, Washington	10
Technical Information Service, Oak Ridge	11-15
University of California Radiation Laboratory	16-34

RESEARCH SERVICE BRANCH  
Atomic Energy Commission  
Berkeley Area  
Berkeley, California

~~SECRET~~

MINUTES OF MEETING OF MTA TARGET COMMITTEE  
HELD MAY 14, 1951

- Present: UCRL: Brobeck, Brown, Grueling, Hanson, Kane, Latimer, Martin, Street, Van Atta
- CR&D: Chaffe, Crandall, Davis, Fossati, Frankel, Hildebrand, Newson, Willmer
- NAA: Taylor, Hunt
- AEC: Fidler, Fleckenstein, Moore, Wallace

Wilmer presented the problem of beam power dissipation in the primary target, which involves the distribution of the beam intensity over the entire target surface. The method that has been studied to distribute the beam power over the largest surface is rotation of the beam in either a circular or Lissajous figure. Studies of the beam profile show that the intensity of the beam is highest at the center and drops off roughly as the inverse of the radius.

The maximum beam intensity in the circular sweeping case is found along the path followed by the center of the beam on the target. It can be shown that the average intensity along the path of the beam center will be of the order of the average beam intensity when the peak to average intensity in the beam is of the order of 30.

The ratio of beam radius to precession radius was varied to find the resulting ratio of peak to average intensity on the target. It was found that the smallest target size is obtained for a given maximum intensity on the target when the beam radius equals the target radius.

Sweeping the beam in Lissajous patterns of various ratios was considered as another method for reducing the peak to average intensity of the beam on the target. It was found by using a ratio of about 6/5 and a square target with sides four times beam radius that the ratio of peak intensity on the target to the average beam intensity could be reduced to about 0.75. This corresponds to a ratio of peak intensity on the target to average intensity on the target of 4.3/1.

Triangular beam sweeping has been considered because of the advantage of constant velocity and uniformity of spacing. It was found, however, that the maximum intensity point shifted to the center and was even greater for frequency ratios less than 7/6. For an approximated triangular wave formed by using harmonics imposed on the fundamental, an intensity ratio even better than the true triangular wave could be

obtained. The best ratio obtained, using an optimum fraction of the third harmonic, was found to be about 20% better than the simple Lissajou figure and 35% better than circular precession.

Perpendicular scanning was not considered because the velocity at the turning points becomes zero, thereby allowing local hot spots to develop.

Willmer stated that if the sweeping fields deviated 90° out of phase from ideal conditions an entirely new pattern would result. Since any cross-over point would have four peaks per pattern, this would result in a 20% higher intensity in these spots.

Brobeck then suggested that spiral sweeping should be considered since this pattern would be good for the PW case. The disadvantage is that the beam would need to be interrupted when the intensity became too high.

Grueling asked if defocusing magnets had been considered. Van Atta replied that Panofsky had investigated and found no advantage since the magnet reduces both peak and average intensity but not the ratio of peak to average.

The question was raised as to whether a less intense beam over a larger target would be any advantage. Van Atta replied that the peak to average beam intensity would be high and a large fringe area would exist. This would mean a larger target with higher costs and greater neutron losses on the target beam hole.

Fossati presented the electrical arrangements that would allow the beam to scan the target in the 6/5 ratio Lissajous pattern. One 6-pole alternator would drive simultaneously a 20-pole generator to produce 200 cycles/sec. and a 24-pole generator to produce 240 cycles/sec. A second 2-pole alternator would simultaneously drive a 20-pole generator to produce 600 cycles/sec. and a 24-pole generator to produce 720 cycles. The above generators would be attached to two sets of two-phase magnet coils placed around the beam forming a sweeping magnetic field giving a 6/5 Lissajous pattern on the target. The higher frequency or third harmonic magnet is placed to receive the beam first and gives a slight initial deflection and the fundamental magnet being placed immediately following to give the major deflection. The power needed for sweeping will be in the order of 20,000 KVA and the over-all cost, which includes installation, in the order of \$900,000. The precessional scanning beam will cost approximately \$400,000 more than a circular beam.

Davis mentioned that his recent trip has shown that considerable data on metallurgy of thorium should be forthcoming in the near future from those areas concerned with this problem.

~~SECRET~~

UCRL-1309

-5-

Since little information is available on the metallurgy of thorium, this data will be very helpful.

The trip will be discussed next meeting.

~~SECRET~~



DECLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~