The 2020 election season was set to be busy for the voting rights team at the ACLU of California. In preparation, we were gearing up for one of the most consequential elections of our lifetime. Our goal was clear: continue working to remove structural barriers to voter registration and participation in California’s elections with a specific focus on historically marginalized communities to ensure that limited English proficient individuals, people impacted by the criminal justice system, people with disabilities, and other underrepresented communities are not intentionally or inadvertently excluded from our democracy. We set to advance these goals by continuing our work on the successful and equitable implementation of the Voter’s Choice Act (VCA), particularly in Fresno, Sacramento, and Los Angeles Counties; monitoring the Motor Voter Program;¹ and expanding language access for different language communities.² We were similarly preparing for a ballot campaign to restore voting rights to


 Californians who have completed their prison term, while ensuring that individuals in jails were empowered to vote.

COVID-19 did not upend those plans. Instead, the pandemic, together with the Black Lives Matter protests across the state and our country, shined a harsh light on the continued systems of racial oppression and xenophobia plaguing our country from inception, further underscoring how critical and timely the policies we are working on to expand access to the ballot are to ensure that all communities have a say in decisions and policies to help reimagine their communities. When the pandemic hit California we, along with other voting rights advocates, were forced to react to new challenges and uncertainty to the way the election would be administered, while continuing to uplift the needs of historically disenfranchised communities.

As COVID-19 began to spread in March, three significant challenges became apparent. First, many of the typical poll workers, who tend to be older and thus more susceptible to the virus, would no longer be able to or feel comfortable enough to work the polls come November. Second, certain voting locations would simply not work: some locations, such as garages, would be too small and therefore not allow for proper social distancing; some locations, such as retirement homes, were too proximate to vulnerable populations, and therefore risky; and some locations, such as certain government buildings or large convention spaces, would be in too high demand for other emergency needs such as shelters and makeshift hospitals. Third, there was a lack of information about the virus, who it affected, and how long it would last. At the time, there were reports that children may be spared but there was no information about how the virus would eventually disproportionately impact communities of color. Similarly, it was unclear whether the virus and shelter-in-place policies would still be in place in the fall. All these challenges and uncertainties threatened the election and we were concerned that the impact would disproportionately fall on historically disenfranchised communities.

---

It was also in March that the California Secretary of State convened a working group that we
participated in to discuss challenges and potential changes to the way the November election would
have to be administered.

In the working group, there were two significant overarching policy questions presented. The first
question was how to ensure that voters did not have to choose between their health and their right to
vote. One of the key ways to achieve this, and one change that we advocated for, was through
expanding access to voting at home. We understood this to be a commonsense way to protect the
vote, since many Californians were already using vote-by-mail: 65% of California voters returned their
ballots by mail in the November 2018 general election and 72% in the March 2020 primary election.
We also had experience working with counties that had adopted the VCA and knew that over half of
California voters lived in a VCA county and that those in every VCA county except Los Angeles were
already automatically receiving their ballots in the mail. Eventually, California Governor Gavin
Newsom would sign Executive Order N-64-20 requiring that all registered voters automatically
receive a ballot in the mail. The California legislature codified that requirement in Assembly Bill 860
and added safeguards to ensure that as many valid ballots postmarked by Election Day would be
counted.

---
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Since these policy actions were taken, we have worked to ensure their success. For example, we have worked to counteract attacks\(^\text{10}\) and false claims\(^\text{11}\) on the security of voting by mail by issuing correct information on voting by mail.\(^\text{12}\) We have also worked with the California Secretary of State’s office to strengthen California’s vote-by-mail and ballot processing system to ensure that all valid ballots are counted.\(^\text{13}\) Together, stronger policies and voter education will help to make vote-by-mail a success in California.

The second significant policy question was whether and how to keep in-person voting locations open. We knew that relying on vote-by-mail alone was not enough, and without meaningful and safe opportunities to vote in person many already-underrepresented voters would be disenfranchised this November.\(^\text{14}\) In person voting locations are essential for several reasons. Vote-by-mail may not be an option for voters who are experiencing housing instability, and we are likely to see a greater number of displaced voters this November due to the economic fallout from the pandemic. Many of these voters may not have a stable address to register at in time to receive their vote by mail ballot. Voters with limited-English proficiency or disabilities rely on services that are provided at in-person voting locations. For example, limited-English proficient voters may need to access a translated reference ballot (otherwise known as a facsimile ballot) to help them vote on the English ballot. Black, Latinx, and Native American voters are also historically less likely to use vote-by-mail and may be less accustomed to vote-by-mail procedures and prefer voting in person.\(^\text{15}\) New and infrequent voters
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would also greatly benefit from in-person voting locations to take advantage of the newly-enacted policy we sponsored, California’s same day registration law, that allows all eligible voters to register to vote, update their voter registration, and vote in person up to and on Election Day. Understanding the importance of keeping in-person voting options, Governor Newsom signed Executive Order N-67-20 and the California legislature enacted Senate Bill 423 to ensure that many voting locations remain open.

These two policies are critical to safeguarding our democracy this fall. However, many historically disenfranchised communities are still at risk of not being able to exercise their vote this fall and there is work left to do between now and November. First, elections officials, public assistance offices, community advocates, and civil rights organizations – the ACLU of California included – must do everything we can to urge Californians to register to vote and to educate the public on the different voting options. This includes reaching out to voters who are in county jails, voters in medical and treatment facilities, and new and young voters. This also must be a multilingual effort. Second, election officials must do as much as they can to collect voter’s language needs and send them voting information and materials in their language. Third, Californians must do their part to ensure that our elections can be properly administered by signing up to serve as poll workers and helping election officials identify voting locations.

This November 2020 election represents a critical moment for our country and democracy. Despite the many administrative and societal challenges, including the ways in which our differences and disparities have been made more apparent, we have an opportunity and responsibility to use all of this as momentum to make our democracy fairer and more inclusive.
