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ABSTRACT: Understanding structural transformation at the surface of Ni-rich layered compounds is of particular importance for improving the performance of these cathode materials. In this Letter, we identify the surface phases using first-principles-based kinetic Monte Carlo simulations. We show that slow kinetics precludes the conventional Li$_{0.5}$NiO$_2$ spinel to form from its layered parent phase at room temperature. Instead, we suggest that densified phases of the types Ni$_{0.25}$NiO$_2$ and Ni$_{0.5}$NiO$_2$ can form by Ni back diffusion from the surface owing to oxygen loss at highly charged states. Our conclusion is supported by the good agreement between the simulated STEM images and diffraction patterns and previously reported experimental data. While these phases can be mistaken for spinel and rock salt structures in STEM, they are noticeably different from these common structure types. We believe that these results clarify a long-standing puzzle about the nature of surface phases on this important class of battery materials.

A n efficient energy storage solution is the key component for a clean energy future. Li-ion batteries have shown their advantage in many applications from small electronic devices to electric vehicles. One limiting factor for Li-ion batteries to reach higher energy and power densities is the cathode material. Ni-rich layered compounds, including NMC (LiNi$_{1/3}$Mn$_{1/3}$Co$_{1/3}$O$_2$) and NCA (LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$), have among the highest energy densities of commercialized cathode materials. One important degradation mechanism of these materials is the formation of densified phases near the particle surface, which are believed to be the cause of increased impedance and lowered rate capability. Identifying this new surface phase and understanding its formation mechanism are important for improving the long-term performance of these materials. A spinel-like phase has often been observed near the particle surface by scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) studies, with sometimes a rock-salt-like phase present at the very surface. Experimental thermal stability studies and computed phase diagrams indicate that the spinel phase is thermodynamically stable at the Li$_{0.5}$NiO$_2$ composition with a significant driving force to form from the layered phase (51 meV per oxygen). The formation of spinel Li$_{0.5}$NiO$_2$ after cycling seems therefore well justified from the thermodynamic point of view. However, experimentally the spinel-like phase does not form throughout the particle but only near the surface, which suggests that either kinetic hurdles prevent the transformation in the bulk region or the surface spinel-like phase is different in nature. Moreover, it has been reported that the densified phase in NCA has diffraction patterns forbidden by the spinel symmetry. The exact nature of the new phase therefore remains controversial.

Because these surface phases may control Li transport into the cathode, it is important to establish in more detail what their structure and composition are as the diffusivity through partially disordered phases can depend critically on the Li to metal ratio as well as the nature of the local order around the sites that make up the Li migration path. STEM and electron diffraction (ED) data on the surface phases are often modeled with common well-known phases, such as “spinel” and “rock salt”. We show in this Letter through ab initio kinetic modeling of the densification process that this “rock salt + spinel” picture may be oversimplified and that other phases are likely present near the surface.

One special aspect about the surfaces of layered cathodes is that oxygen loss occurs during the initial charges, leaving excess transition metal behind. Back diffusion of the transition metal leads to the formation of the densified surface phases. The phase diagram, as calculated from first-principles, predicts the existence of three Ni-excess phases, Ni$_x$NiO$_{2−x}$ with $x = 0.25$, $0.5$, and $0.75$. Here $x$ indicates Ni occupation in the Li layer. These three phases, namely, $\beta$, $\gamma$, and $\delta$, have different structures as determined from computational and experimental studies.
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and δ as shown in Figure 1, expand the candidate pool of possible surface phases. However, thermodynamic arguments alone cannot determine which is the most likely surface phase among the four candidates, including the conventional spinel. In this study density functional theory (DFT)-based kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC) simulations are employed to study the kinetics of Ni back diffusion and phase formation. We use the cluster expansion technique to parametrize the energy of the system with respect to Ni, Li, and vacancy occupations on the octahedral and tetrahedral sites of the underlying rock salt lattice. Similar techniques have previously been used to study Li-vacancy ordering, transition metal ordering, and Na-vacancy ordering in cathode materials. The values of the effective cluster interactions are extracted from DFT calculations performed with the GGA+U approach (see the Computational Methods section). KMC is then used to simulate structure evolution on experimental time scales. Because in both Ni-rich NMC and NCA the Ni percentages in transition metal layers are as high as 80%, we use layered LiNiO$_2$ as a simplified, yet representative model system. The fundamental questions to answer are what is the spinel-like phase and why is the spinel-like phase observed only near the surface.

In the rock salt lattice, every octahedral site is face-sharing with eight tetrahedral sites, and every tetrahedral site is face-sharing with four octahedral sites. Therefore, Li-vacancy and Ni-vacancy hops between neighboring octahedral and tetrahedral sites, both parallel and perpendicular to the layered direction, are allowed in KMC. Thus, starting from the layered phase, the system can evolve to any phase that is accommodated by the rock salt lattice if energetically accessible. At each KMC step, the time increment is randomly chosen following first-order kinetics based on the total rate of all of the possible hops, and one hop is randomly selected based on its rate portion in the total rate following the approach by Bortz, Kalos, and Lebowitz. The individual hopping rate is calculated from the Arrhenius equation with a constant prefactor and a barrier proportional to the energy change after the hop.

In the following paragraphs, we first show how the Ni densified phases form by Ni back diffusion from the surface. STEM images of the resulting phases are simulated to directly compare with experimental observations. We then discuss why no spinel phase is observed in the bulk regions of the Ni-rich layered materials. We show that, although the spinel structure is the ground state near the half-lithiated state, the layered structure is preserved in the bulk region due to the slow kinetics associated with this phase transition.

Phases Formed by Ni Back Diffusion. Ni densification occurs at the particle surface due to oxygen loss when the cathode is highly charged. The excess Ni then diffuses backward, forming various phases near the surface. To simulate the Ni back diffusion process, a Ni reservoir is created in the simulation box to provide excess Ni at the surface. Figure 2 depicts the initial configuration of the supercell. Within the central red box, all of the octahedral sites are filled with Ni, forming the NiO rock salt structure, and Ni ions are constantly refilled as they diffuse outward. The Ni diffusion is examined under this driving force. Ni back diffusion was first simulated at 300 K for 11 h of physical time with $10^{19}$ KMC steps. The charging voltage was set at 4.8 V so that no Li was present in the material. Unlike Li, which moves only in the Li layers, Ni diffuses both along and across Li layers. Diffusion from one Li layer to the next is achieved in two steps: first a Ni atom in the transition metal layer moves to the Li layer, and then the vacancy left is filled up by another Ni nearby from the Li layer behind. To quantify the Ni back diffusion progress, an average diffusion length is calculated as

$$L = \frac{\sum d_i \times o_i}{\sum o_i},$$

where $d_i$ is the distance of site $i$ to the NiO boundary and $o_i$ is the Ni occupancy of that site, and the summation is over all of the Li layer sites. $d_i$ starts from 3 Å along a and 6 Å along c, as defined by the first sites available to the excess Ni. $L$ can also be calculated from high-resolution STEM images from experiments. $L$ values as a function of time, $t$, along both a and c directions, are plotted in Figure 3. The first observation is that Ni diffuses faster along the Li layer (along the a axis) than across layers (along the c axis). Therefore, the thickness of the Ni-excess region beneath a (001) surface should be smaller than that under a (010) surface. Densification in both directions has been observed for NCA in STEM images. Lin et al. further showed in LiNi$_{1/3}$Mn$_{1/3}$Co$_{1/3}$O$_{2}$ and LiNi$_{0.8}$Mn$_{0.18}$Co$_{0.02}$O$_{2}$ that the densified region grew thicker along the Li diffusion channels than across, consistent with our finding. The second observation from Figure 3 is that the Ni insertion quickly slows down after the initial period,
The kinetics in our 300 K simulation is clearly very slow, leading to back diffusion only a few layers deep and very small ordered domains of excess Ni. Simulating beyond the 11 h of physical time is computationally extremely expensive. To get a better perspective on what may occur at longer times, we accelerated the kinetics by performing a simulation at 1000 K. In this case, the total physical time elapsed was much shorter owing to faster elemental hops at the elevated temperature, but the overall densification process was more advanced than that for the 300 K case. Figure 5a,b presents two snapshots of the 1000 K simulation at 11 and 267 μs, respectively, showing the time evolution of the Ni densified phases. The top views, on the right of this figure, indicate that the γ phase nucleated with different orientations on each side of the interface, and the β phase is present further from the interface. In Figure 5a, at 11 μs, the γ phase below the NiO region has two orientations, shown by the dashed magenta lines. In Figure 5b, after 267 μs, the two orientations of γ have merged into one. Comparing the top views in Figure 5a,b, it can be observed that the γ phase grows significantly larger with time. At 267 μs, the γ phase has the largest coverage with a maximum of eight atomic layers on both sides of the Ni source. The β phase forms further into the material with four to five layers of coverage. The δ phase with 0.75 excess Ni exists immediately next to the Ni source but is limited to two atomic layers thickness. The dominant phases formed by surface Ni back diffusion are the γ and β phases until the γ phase fills the entire supercell, after which the δ phase starts to grow further.

The orientation variance of the γ phase results in different STEM patterns, as emphasized by the two ellipses. In Figure 5a, an alternating bright/dark STEM pattern forms near the Ni source because part of the γ phase domain has alternating Ni/vacancy lines parallel to the projection direction (indicated by the dashed black lines). In contrast, in Figure 5b, this alternating bright/dark pattern disappears near the Ni source because the γ phase’s Ni/vacancy lines alternate along the a axis. The ordering pattern from the β phase far from the Ni source remains the same for both cases. Hence, while β gives a consistent STEM projection, the γ phase can project either as alternating bright/dark spots or as a set of equivalent spots, depending on its orientation with respect to the beam.

Figure 5b makes it clear that two types of STEM patterns should be observed in the Li layer: (1) continuous spots of similar intensities that are dimmer than those in the transition metal layer, as in the green ellipse near the Ni source, or (2) an alternation of bright/dark spots. The uniformly dimmer spots in the Li layer have been reported many times in NCA and

with $\frac{dL}{dt} < 0.1 \text{ Å/h}$ after the first 0.5 h. This agrees with many experimental observations that densified phases are confined to a few nanometers near particle surfaces.2,6,26,41

STEM images of our densified structures are simulated using the Prismatic package in order to make direct comparison with experiments.42,43 The left panel in Figure 4 is a simulated STEM image from the [010] zone axis. Excess Ni in the Li layer can be seen beyond the red box in both the a and c directions. The Ni occupation pattern is not completely random: an alternating bright/dark ordering is present along the a axis at the edge of the Ni-excess region, a few sites away from the red box. A spot in the STEM image represents an average occupancy of Ni in a column, but atomistic details in each column are missing. The distribution of excess Ni can be better seen in the right panel in Figure 4, which shows the top views in Figure 5a,b, it can be observed that the γ phase is present further from the Ni source; the β phase forms further into the material with four to five layers of coverage. The δ phase with 0.75 excess Ni exists immediately next to the Ni source but is limited to two atomic layers thickness. The dominant phases formed by surface Ni back diffusion are the γ and β phases until the γ phase fills the entire supercell, after which the δ phase starts to grow further.

The orientation variance of the γ phase results in different STEM patterns, as emphasized by the two ellipses. In Figure 5a, an alternating bright/dark STEM pattern forms near the Ni source because part of the γ phase domain has alternating Ni/vacancy lines parallel to the projection direction (indicated by the dashed black lines). In contrast, in Figure 5b, this alternating bright/dark pattern disappears near the Ni source because the γ phase’s Ni/vacancy lines alternate along the a axis. The ordering pattern from the β phase far from the Ni source remains the same for both cases. Hence, while β gives a consistent STEM projection, the γ phase can project either as alternating bright/dark spots or as a set of equivalent spots, depending on its orientation with respect to the beam.

Figure 5b makes it clear that two types of STEM patterns should be observed in the Li layer: (1) continuous spots of similar intensities that are dimmer than those in the transition metal layer, as in the green ellipse near the Ni source, or (2) an alternation of bright/dark spots. The uniformly dimmer spots in the Li layer have been reported many times in NCA and

Figure 3. Average diffusion length as a function of time, $L(t)$, at 300 K.

Figure 4. Left: Simulated STEM image along the [010] zone axis after holding the Li voltage at 4.8 V for 11 h at 300 K. Bright spots are Ni columns. Right: Top view of the Ni distribution in the Li layer indicated by the arrow, where gray octahedra are NiO$_2$ and gray spheres inside are Ni atoms. The dashed line indicates the column projection direction in STEM. The ordered Ni$_{0.5}$NiO$_2$ γ phase and the Ni$_{0.25}$NiO$_2$ β phase domains are labeled by red Greek letters. All three different orientations of the γ phase are observed, as indicated by the dashed magenta lines.
NMC as the so-called “partially ordered” pattern, an intermediate between the layered and the rock salt.\textsuperscript{2,9,10,40,41} The bright/dark alternation in the Li layer has been interpreted as the “spinel-like” feature from diffraction patterns or fast Fourier transforms of STEM images.\textsuperscript{9,12} A comparison of the single-crystal diffraction patterns of different phases will be discussed later. Recently, the bright/dark alternation has also been directly observed in real space with a sharp contrast in STEM images of Li$_{x}$NiO$_2$ held at 4.75 V with respect to Li metal for 2 weeks.\textsuperscript{44} In fact, the authors observed both patterns: successive spots with similar intensities in the Li layer near the surface and the bright/dark alternation about 15 nm deeper from the surface, which is consistent with our findings.

**Kinetics of the Li$_{0.5}$NiO$_2$ Spinel Formation.** A layered to spinel phase transformation was first observed in Li$_x$MnO$_2$.\textsuperscript{45–47} However, the kinetics of this transformation are very dependent on the transition metal chemistry,\textsuperscript{48} and to our knowledge, no room-temperature bulk transformation of layered to spinel has been observed in Co- or Ni-rich systems. In this section, we show that in the Ni-rich layered compounds conventional spinel formation is too slow to be observed at room temperature. The layered to spinel phase transformation occurs via Ni migration from the transition metal layer to Li layer, with Li shifting from octahedral to tetrahedral sites. In total, 25% of Ni needs to migrate to complete the transition, vacating every other site along both $a$ and $b$ directions in the transition metal layer. The diffusion path of a single Ni atom has been proposed before.\textsuperscript{49,50} However, a phase transformation involves many atoms and the interactions between them. We put the energetics of many single-atom migration paths into the training data of the cluster expansion model and try to simulate the complete phase transformation with KMC.

Starting from a half-lithiated layered structure, simulations are run in a 32 $\times$ 32 $\times$ 4 supercell at various temperatures to study the layered to spinel transformation dynamics. The Ni octahedral occupation in the Li layer, C(Ni), is monitored to calculate the fraction of spinel phase formed, $f_s = C$(Ni)/0.25. Figure 6 shows the evolution of C(Ni) with time at various temperatures. Owing to the time scale difference between Ni and Li motions, elevated temperatures are required to observe a significant amount of Ni migration. The phase transformation dynamics can be well fitted by the Kolmogorov–Johnson–Mehl–Avrami (KJMA) model\textsuperscript{51,52}

$$f_s = 1 - \exp(-Kt)^n$$

(1)

where $t$ is time, $K$ is the overall rate constant, and $n$ is the Avrami’s exponent. The fitted curves at different temperatures are plotted on top of the numerical data in Figure 6, and the
fitting results are listed in Table 1. The $R^2$ of the regressions are all above 0.989, indicating a good match between the model and data. The rate constant $K$ can be extrapolated to lower temperatures using the Arrhenius equation. Figure 7 shows a semilogarithmic plot of the rate against $1/T$. The overall transformation barrier calculated from the slope is 1.57 eV. The $n$ values from the fittings are between 0.58 and 0.72, slightly decreasing as the temperature drops. Extrapolating $T$ to 300 K and taking $n = 0.4$, the half-life of the layered Li$_{0.5}$NiO$_2$ to spinel transformation is around $6 \times 10^3$ h at room temperature. Varying $n$ does not change the order of magnitude of this estimate. This low value of the transformation rate constant is consistent with experimental in situ powder X-ray diffraction studies showing that the layered to spinel transformation for compositions around Li$_{0.5}$NiO$_2$ is only observed at elevated temperatures, between 450 and 600 K.\textsuperscript{16,17,53}

The Avrami’s exponent $n$ is often related to the phase transformation mechanism in experiments. For example, with a constant three-dimensional growth rate, a zero nucleation rate leads to $n = 3$; a constant nonzero nucleation rate results in $n = 4$; and diffusion control gives $n = 1.5$.\textsuperscript{54-56} There is no consensus about the physical meaning of $n < 1$. Nevertheless, $n$ is characteristic of different processes. Interestingly, a $n$ value of 0.65 was also found in an experimental study on the MgAl$_2$O$_4$ spinel formation from MgO and Al$_2$O$_3$ mixtures.\textsuperscript{57}

Comparison with Experimental Diffraction Patterns. Experimental selected area electron diffraction (SAED) measurements of the surface densified phases show not only the spinel-like double spacing spots on top of layered features but also spots from certain zone axes that are forbidden for conventional spinel.\textsuperscript{12} Previous work was unable to resolve the structure of this surface phase and only referred to it as a “spinel-like” or “defect-spinel” phase. Here we demonstrate that the $\beta$ and $\gamma$ phases match all of the diffraction patterns observed. In Figure 8, the single-crystal diffractions of the Ni-excess phases ($\beta$, $\gamma$, $\delta$) are compared with the perfect layered and the Li$_{0.5}$NiO$_2$ spinel. Two common zone axes are examined. One is the layered [010] (or equivalently [110]) axis, and the other is the layered [111] axis, which are [110] and [112] in the spinel coordination system, respectively. In the following text, a letter is put in front of the [uvw] vector to show the coordination system used: L for layered and S for spinel. The left-most column in Figure 8 is the spinel reference, and the right-most column is the layered reference. The forbidden spots in the spinel are indicated by red arrows. The $\beta$ phase has all of the diffraction spots that the spinel has. In addition, the forbidden spots are permitted and have high intensities in $\beta$, as indicated by the dashed red circles. The $L[010]$ and $S[110]$ directions are inequivalent in the $\gamma$ phase but are equivalent in all the other phases. In the $\gamma$ phase, the diffraction pattern from the $L[010]$ direction is the same as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ (K)</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$K$ (1/μs)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.884</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.519</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. KJMA Fit Results

Figure 7. Arrhenius plot of the layered to spinel phase transformation rate. The barrier is 1.57 eV from a linear regression.

Figure 8. Comparison of Ni column occupancies and single-crystal diffraction patterns of possible phases. The top row shows Ni column occupancies along the $L[010]/L[110]$ axis or the $S[110]$ axis correspondingly. Red is fully occupied, green is half full, and blue is empty. The middle row shows the corresponding single-crystal diffraction patterns of the above images. The bottom row shows the diffractions from a different zone axes, the $L[111]/L[211]$ or $S[112]$. The red arrows indicate the forbidden spots in the spinel phase with the space group $Fd\bar{3}m$, and these spots are emphasized by red circles in the $\beta$, $\gamma$, and $\delta$ phases. The additional double-spacing spots are obvious compared with the diffractions of L.
that of the layered and from the L[110] direction is the same as that of the \( \beta \). Because the diffraction spots from the L[010] direction are a subset of that from the L[110] direction, in a mixture of these two, all of the spinel spots and the forbidden spots will show up but with lower intensities. In the work of Hwang et al., forbidden spots of spinel are clearly shown in the selected area diffraction patterns (SADPs) of surface regions in a cycled NCA sample.\(^{15} \) Though we did not see much \( \delta \) phase in the KMC simulations, we put its diffraction here as a reference. The diffraction of the \( \delta \) phase is similar to that of the \( \beta \), but the subset of spots corresponding to the rock salt phase are higher in intensity. Combined with the literature data with the KMC simulations, we can confidently claim that the surface phase is not the \( \text{Li}_0.5\text{Ni}_0\text{O}_2 \) spinel but more likely one of the Ni-excess phases, first predicted in the \( \text{Li}−\text{Ni}−\text{O} \) phase diagram in ref 19.

The Ni/O ratio is useful to distinguish the Ni-excess phases from the spinel phase in experiments. In our simulations, the Ni content in most parts of the surface densified region does not exceed that of the \( \gamma \) phase, \( \text{Ni}_{0.6}\text{Ni}_0\text{O}_2 \), i.e., \( \text{Ni}_1\text{O}_4 \). Higher Ni contents appear only after the \( \gamma \) phase grows throughout the entire simulation box, which seldom happens for a particle in a real battery setting. It should also be noted that in reality the presence of the spinel-like phase might not be uniform along the zone axis in a particle as thick as hundreds of nanometers. This means that in the spinel-like region the average Ni/O ratio should be between 1/2 and 3/4. Note that our simulations did not take into account oxygen vacancies whose effects may play a role within a few atomic layers to the surface.

One strategy to suppress the formation of the Ni-excess surface phases is to reduce oxygen loss by coating the surface with a phase that is more resistant to oxygen loss than the fully delithiated layered compound but has suitable Li transport.\(^{13,27,58} \) Several coating materials, such as Li2ZrO3 and Al2O3, have been used on commercial cathode materials. Spinel phases may however have particular advantages. For a given redox couple, spinels tend to have a higher extraction voltage than layered phases due to the low energy of Li in the tetrahedral site. A spinel phase at the surface would therefore be oxidized only after the bulk is oxidized. Though \( \text{Li}_0.5\text{Ni}_0\text{O}_2 \) spinel cannot easily be formed in bulk form, it should be investigated whether one can direct surface phase transformation toward this phase, potentially by the addition of other spinel-forming elements or by using a synthesis protocol that exploits the fact that spinels usually have low surface energies\(^{30} \) (and hence may become preferred under certain conditions at the surface). For example, ref 13 successfully synthesized a spinel-coated layered \( \text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.13}\text{Mn}_{0.37}\text{O}_2 \) and observed an improvement in cyclability.

In conclusion, the surface phases formed by Ni back diffusion in Ni-rich cathode materials are clarified by atomistic kinetic simulations. The \( \text{Li}_0.5\text{Ni}_0\text{O}_2 \) spinel cannot form from the layered parent phase at room temperature during cycling because of the extremely slow transformation kinetics. Given a surface Ni source, the \( \text{Ni}_{0.22}\text{Ni}_0\text{O}_2 \) \( \beta \) and \( \text{Ni}_{0.62}\text{Ni}_0\text{O}_2 \) \( \gamma \) phases emerge near the surface in our KMC simulations. The simulated STEM images and single-crystal diffractions of the above two phases match well with experimental measurements, suggesting that they are more likely structures for the densified phases than spinel or rock salt.
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