# **UC Berkeley SEMM Reports Series**

# **Title**

Two-Dimensional Stress Analysis with Incremental Construction and Creep

# **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0s31m045>

# **Authors**

Sandhu, Ranbir Wilson, Edward Raphael, Jerome

# **Publication Date**

1967-12-01



# **TWO-DIMENSIONAL STRESS ANALYSIS WITH INCREMENTAL CONSTRUCTION AND CREEP**

By

RANBIR S. SANDHU EDWARD L. WILSON JEROME M. RAPHAEL

REPORT TO WALLA WALLA DISTRICT **U.S. ENGINEERS OFFICE** 

DECEMBER, 1967

**SESM 67-34** 

STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA BERKELEY

# STRUCTURES AND MATERIALS RESEARCH Department of Civil Engineering

Report No. SESM 67-34

# TWO-DIMENSIONAL STRESS ANALYSIS WITH INCREMENTAL CONSTRUCTION AND CREEP

by



Structural Engineering Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California

DECEMBER 1967

### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this report is to present a method of analysis and a computer program for the determination of stresses and displacements within two-dimensional structures involving incremental construction and creep. The finite element method utilizing linear variation of strain within each triangular element is used for the analysis of complex two-dimensional structures of arbitrary geometry composed of bimodular material. A mathematical model has been developed which represents the influence of creep, thermal and residual stresses. The procedure is illustrated by a thermal stress analysis of a section of a gravity dam constructed incrementally.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The method of analysis presented in this report has been developed over a several year period. Research Projects DA-45-164-CIVENG-63-263 DA-45-164-CIVENG-66-275 and DACW-68-67-C-0049 between the University of California and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Walla Walla District have provided the financial sponsorship. In addition to the three authors of the report, Professor R. W. Clough and Dr. Ian P. King participated significantly in the initial phases of this work. The purpose of this report is to summarize work to date on the finite element analysis of problems involving creep and incremental construction and to present to the sponsoring office an efficient computer program which may be used for the analysis of this type of structure.

ii1

# TABLE OF CONTENTS



Page



 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ 

 $\label{eq:3.1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} = 0.$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ 

 $\sigma_{\rm{eff}}$ 

 $\mathbf{V}^-$ 

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS



# NOTATION AND LIST OF SYMBOLS

Matrix notation is used throughout the report. Column vectors are represented by alphabetic characters or expressions enclosed in parentheses of the type  $\{\cdot\}$ . Wherever the elements of a vector are themselves vectors, they are underlined  $\sim$  . Square matrices are represented by  $[\cdot]$  and diagonal matrices by  $[\cdot]$ . In many cases, the elements of a square matrix may themselves be vectors or square matrices. No symbolism is used to distinguish these as their nature is obvious in the context. Subscripts i, j, k, m, n have been used to define summations. Subscripted zero represents the initial value. Wherever necessary, the independent variables of a function have been parenthetically associated with it e.g.  $f(x,t)$  denotes the function f of vector x and scalar t. Special notations, wherever introduced, have been defined in the text. Some of the more common symbols are listed below:





i.

viii

#### I. INTRODUCTION

# The Finite Element Method

The finite element method of analysis as applied to continuous structures was introduced by Clough  $(1, 2)$ . Within the past ten years, the method has developed into a powerful tool for the analysis of various field problems in structural and continuum mechanics. Many excellent papers and reports have been published  $(2,3,4,5,6)$  and therefore, the historical development of method will not be discussed in this report.

Essentially, the finite element method involves the replacement of the actual continuum by a finite number of discrete elements or sub-regions. These elements generally have planar or rectilinear boundaries, though recent developments permit the consideration of curved boundaries. In all cases, the geometry of the elements is defined completely by their nodal points -- points on the element boundary. For the analysis of two-dimensional problems, triangular and quadrilateral shapes are most commonly used.

For the analysis of a field problem, a spatial distribution pattern for the unknown field is assumed within each element as a function of the values at the nodal points. Constitutive relationships within each element and balance laws on the complete system of elements are then applied to develop a matrix equation relating the set of known nodal point force quantities to the set of unknown nodal point field variables.

The spatial distribution patterns are generally polynomials, and their order depends on the requirements of compatibility and the accuracy desired. The development of the matrix equations may be achieved from a direct physical equilibrium approach or from a variational formulation of the problem.

# Two-dimensional Stress Problem

In the analysis of the stress problem, an assumption is made regarding the displacement pattern in an element as a spatial function of the set of nodal point displacements. For the "in-plane" problem. strains are defined in terms of displacements and hence as functions of the nodal point displacements. Equilibrium of the system is satisfied by a minimization of the potential energy. In this case, the nodal displacements act as Ritz parameters for the system.  $Solu$ tion of the set of resulting equilibrium equations gives the nodal point displacements. From these displacements the strain field, and hence the stress field for the system is then defined.

### Incremental Construction

In the case of a structure constructed incrementally, the analysis is carried out in the usual fashion for the changes in the loads during a stage of construction, and the resulting stresses and displacements are stored by the program. As each new increment is added, the new structure is analyzed for its response to the load increment. Superposition of the sequence of incremental loads on an incrementing structure gives the history of the element stresses and the nodal displacements. Therefore, the method does predict "locked in" construction stresses.

 $\overline{2}$ 

### Bimodular Material Properties

Many materials -- notably concrete, rock and soil -- even when fairly isotropic, behave differently under tensile and compressive stresses. Ambartsumyan (7,8) has shown that for linear, isotropic, bimodular materials. Clapeyron's law and Green's theorem are applicable. In this report, stress-strain relationships for bimodular behavior have been developed for plane strain as well as for plane stress.

### Thermal and Initial Stresses

The analysis presented incorporates the effect of thermal and Thermal stresses arise in the case of temperature initial stresses. changes under restrained geometry and in the case of steep temperature gradients. Initial stresses can be of predominant importance in application of the method to excavation problems -- this is the reverse of the incremental construction problem.

### Creep Effects

Assumption of linear viscoelasticity has been made in considering creep effects. McHenry's formulation (9) has been used with minor modification. In allowing for creep, it is assumed that relaxation of stress takes place without nodal displacements over a small time increment during which the material properties do not change. This change in stress is then neutralized by releasing the constraints and treating the stress changes as residual stresses. It is assumed that during relaxation the principal stress direction does not change significantly during the time interval.

3

The analysis presented allows for change in elastic and creep characteristics with time or with temperature. Thus, aging and temperature-dependent materials can be considered. Also, linearity of creep formulation assumed in the analysis is not essential. King (10) has shown that certain types of non-linearity can be treated.

# Selection of Element Type and Displacement Pattern

The constant strain triangle using linear displacement functions has been extensively used  $(3,4,11)$  in the solution of two-dimensional and axisymmetric problems. However, in many cases, this element fails to give satisfactory definition of stress because of lack of continuity of the strain field across element boundaries. Averaging techniques (3) have been employed along with careful selection of element shapes to obtain acceptable results. Quadrilateral shape elements of various types have been tried to improve the analysis. Wilson (4) used a quadrilateral element composed of four constant strain triangles and reduced the degrees of freedom to eight by using "condensation process" to eliminate the additional nodal point. Recent work (5) has demonstrated the use of eight and sixteen degrees of freedom elements. In this report, an element composed of two 4-nodal point linear strain triangles is used. This element has linear displacement along edges of the element insuring compatibility of displacements between adjacent elements and has a quadratic displacement form within the element.

 $\overline{4}$ 

#### II. THE DISPLACEMENT METHOD OF ANALYSIS

Consider a polygonal element defined by a set of n nodal points. Assuming two degrees of displacement freedom at each nodal point, the  $\{H_{\nu}\}\$ nodal point displacement vector for the element can be written as where  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  are components of displacement along mutually independent axes of reference.

Assuming a displacement pattern, the displacement components for any point in the element are

$$
u = \langle \emptyset_1 \emptyset_2 \emptyset_3. \dots \rangle \{u\} = {\emptyset}^T \{u\}
$$
 (II-1)

and

$$
v = {\{\emptyset\}}^T {\{y\}}
$$

Here  $\emptyset$  represents the interpolation functions.

# Strain-Displacement Equations

Assuming infinitesimal strains, the strain field in a cartesian system of coordinates is given by

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{x} \\ \epsilon_{y} \\ \gamma_{xy} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_x^T & 0 \\ 0 & g_y^T \\ g_y^T & g_x^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ \lambda \\ v \end{pmatrix}
$$
(II-2)

where subscript  $x$  denotes differentiation with respect to  $x$  etc. Symbolically  $\{\epsilon\} = [\varnothing_{\epsilon}]^T \{r\}$  where  $\{r\} = \begin{Bmatrix} u \\ v \end{Bmatrix}$ .

# Stress Strain Law

Assuming linear stress strain relationship for a given time or temperature, the general anisotropic relation is:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\sigma_x \\
\sigma_y \\
\sigma_{xy}\n\end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\nc_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\
c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} \\
c_{31} & c_{32} & c_{33}\n\end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}\ne_x \\
e_y \\
e_{xy}\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n(II-3)

6

or symbolically

 $\{\sigma\} = [C] \{\epsilon\}$ 

# Potential Energy of the System

The potential energy for an elastic solid is given by the formula

$$
U = \frac{1}{2} \int_{V} {\{\sigma\}}^{T} {\{\epsilon\}} dv - \int_{V} {\{u\}}^{T} {\{\mathbf{F}\}} dv - \int_{A} {\{u\}}^{T} {\{\mathbf{P}\}} da
$$
 (II-4)

where F is the body force function and P is the boundary force function. For a finite element system, composed of M arbitrary elements, the above equation can be written as a sum of integrals, each integral covering one element. Thus,

$$
U = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_{V_m} {\{\sigma^m\}}^T {\{\varepsilon^m\}} dV_m - \int_{V_m} {\{u^m\}}^T {\{F^m\}} dV_m - \int_{A} {\{u^m\}}^T {\{P^m\}} dA_m \right]
$$
(11-5)

The surface integral exists only if the  $m<sup>th</sup>$  element is on the boundary of the structure and subjected to surface tractions P.

Substituting for stresses and strains and displacements in terms of nodal point displacements, we have

$$
U = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_{V_m} {\{r\}}^T [\varnothing_{\varepsilon}^m] [\varnothing_{\varepsilon}^m]^T {\{r\}} dv_m - \int_{V_m} {\{r\}}^T \begin{bmatrix} \varnothing^m & 0 \\ 0 & \varnothing^m \end{bmatrix} {\{F_{\cdot}^m\}} dv_m - \int_{A_m} {\{r\}}^T {\{p^m\}} dA_m \right]
$$
(11-6)

If thermal and initial stresses are present, these will do work in going through the mechanical displacements. These can be included in the expression for potential energy:

$$
U = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_{V_m} \{r\}^T [\varnothing_{\varepsilon}^m] [\varnothing_{\varepsilon}^m]^T \{r\} dV_m - \int_{V_m} \{r\}^T \left[ \varnothing_{\varnothing}^m \right] \{F^m\} dV_m - \int_{V_m} \{r\}^T [\varnothing_{\varepsilon}^m] \{r\} dV_m - \int_{V_m} \{r\}^T [\varnothing_{\varepsilon}^m] \{r\} dV_m + \int_{V_m} \{r\}^T [\varnothing_{\varepsilon}^m] \{r\} dV_m
$$
\n
$$
- \int_{V_m} \{r\}^T \{r\} dA_m \right]
$$
\n
$$
(11-7)
$$

where  $\{\sigma_t^{m}\}$  is the stress vector due to temperature rise under complete constraint and  $\{\sigma_0^{m}\}$  is the initial stress vector existing in the element prior to application of the external forces and displacements. Total stress is then defined as

$$
\{\sigma^m\} = [C^m]\{\epsilon^m\} - \{\sigma_t^m\} + \{\sigma_o^m\} \tag{II-8}
$$

Treating the nodal point displacements  $\{r\}$  as Ritz parameters and selecting them such that the change in potential energy is an extremum, we obtain the set of equations

$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial r_i} = 0 , \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, N
$$
 (II-9)

where N is the total number of unknown nodal point displacements. These equations give the following relationship for the equilibrium of a finite element system:

7

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{V_m} [\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{m}] [C^{m}] [\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{m}]^{T} \{r\} dV_{m} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{V_m} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \varphi^{m} & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi^{m} \end{bmatrix} \{F^{m}\} + [\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{m}] [\sigma_{t}^{m} - \sigma_{0}^{m}] \right) dV_{m}
$$
\n(II-10)\n
$$
+ \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{A_m} \{F^{m}\} dA_{m}
$$

As displacements  $\{r\}$  are the only variable set for a given system, we have, symbolically,

$$
\{Q\} = [K]\{r\} \qquad (II-11)
$$

where [K] is the stiffness matrix for the complete finite element system, given by

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}^{m} \end{bmatrix} \tag{II-12}
$$

where the element stiffness  $[K^m]$  is

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{m}} \end{bmatrix} = \int_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}}} [\boldsymbol{\varnothing}_{\mathbf{e}}^{\mathbf{m}}] [\mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{m}}] [\boldsymbol{\varnothing}_{\mathbf{e}}^{\mathbf{m}}]^{\mathrm{T}} \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{m}} \tag{II-13}
$$

The load vector  ${Q}$  is defined as

$$
\{Q\} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \{L^{m}\} + \sum_{m=1}^{M} \{R^{m}\}
$$
 (II-14)

where the body force matrix is

$$
\left\{L^{m}\right\} = \int_{V_{m}} \left( \begin{bmatrix} \beta^{m} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^{m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F^{m} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{\varepsilon}^{m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{t}^{m} - \sigma_{0}^{m} \end{bmatrix} \right) dV_{m}
$$
 (II-15)

and the load vector due to surface forces is

$$
\left\{ \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{m}} \right\} = \int_{\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{m}}} \left\{ \mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{m}} \right\} d\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{m}} \tag{11-16}
$$

Ñ

Solution of the equation  $\{Q\} = [K]\{r\}$  gives the nodal point displacements. These are resubstituted in the equation for stress, i.e.  $\{\sigma^m\} = [C^m][\emptyset_{\epsilon}^m]$ <sub>[r</sub>] -  $\{\sigma_t^m - \sigma_0^m\}$  to evaluate the stress field.

#### III. THE LINEAR STRAIN QUADRILATERAL

As the quadrilateral element is composed of two triangles, properties of the linear strain triangle will first be examined.

### The Linear Strain Triangle

For linear variation of strain with a triangular element, the displacement pattern has to be quadratic. For a complete quadratic expression, including rigid body displacements and the state of constant strain, six generalized coordinates are needed to define each of the two displacement components.

Using nodal point displacements as the generalized coordinates, an element with six nodal points is selected. Three nodal points are the three corners of the triangular element. The additional nodal points are, for convenience, located at mid points of the three edges (Fig. 1).

To define the displacement along an edge completely in terms of displacements at corners, it is necessary to have linear variation of displacement along the boundary of the quadrilateral. Thus, for the line ar strain triangle to be part of a quadrilateral element, it will be assumed that displacements at the nodal point 4 are the average of those at nodal points 1 and 2, and, similarly, the displacements at nodal point 6 are the average of those at nodal points 1 and 3. With these assumptions, the generalized coordinates associated with nodal points 4 and 6 are eliminated, and the number of degrees of freedom for the triangular element is reduced to eight.

9



FIG.I LINEAR STRAIN TRIANGLE



FIG. 2 TRIANGULAR (NATURAL) COORDINATES

 $10$ 

# Interpolation Functions

For a linear strain triangle, using quadratic interpolation, we have the following relationship between displacement within the element to nodal point displacements:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 \ u_1 & v_2 & v_3 & v_4 & v_5 & v_6 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1(2\zeta_1^{-1}) \\ \zeta_2(2\zeta_2^{-1}) \\ \zeta_3(2\zeta_3^{-1}) \\ \zeta_4\zeta_1\zeta_2 \\ 4\zeta_2\zeta_3 \\ 4\zeta_3\zeta_1 \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (III-I)

where  $\zeta_1$ ,  $\zeta_2$ ,  $\zeta_3$  are "triangular" or "natural" coordinates of any point. These coordinates are illustrated in Fig. 2 and have the following properties:  $\Delta$ 

$$
\zeta_{1} = \frac{n_{1}}{A}
$$
\n
$$
\zeta_{1} + \zeta_{2} + \zeta_{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial \zeta_{1}}{\partial x} = \frac{b_{1}}{2A}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial \zeta_{1}}{\partial y} = \frac{a_{1}}{2A}
$$
\n(III-2)

where  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$  are the areas of three sub-triangles in Fig. 2; A is the area of the element, and  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$  are the global dimensions of the element shown in Fig. 3. Using the assumptions

$$
u_{4} = \frac{1}{2} (u_{1} + u_{2})
$$
  
\n
$$
v_{4} = \frac{1}{2} (v_{1} + v_{2})
$$
  
\n
$$
u_{6} = \frac{1}{2} (u_{1} + u_{3})
$$
  
\n
$$
v_{6} = \frac{1}{2} (v_{1} + v_{3})
$$
  
\n(III-3)

we have for the four nodal point linear strain triangle shown in Fig. 4 the following expressions for displacements



# FIG. 3 GLOBAL DIMENSIONS



# FIG. 4 FOUR-NODAL-POINT TRIANGLE

 $12$ 

$$
\begin{Bmatrix} u \\ v \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_5 \\ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & v_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \zeta_2(1 - 2\zeta_3) \\ \zeta_3(1 - 2\zeta_2) \\ 4\zeta_2\zeta_3 \end{Bmatrix}
$$
 (III-4)

# Strain - Displacement Relationship

For the two-dimensional problem the infinitesimal strains are related to the displacement field by

$$
\begin{pmatrix} e_x \\ e_y \\ e_y \\ \gamma_{xy} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}
$$

Substituting for displacements  $\begin{Bmatrix} u \\ v \end{Bmatrix}$  in terms of nodal displacements we obtain

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{x} \\ \epsilon_{y} \\ \epsilon_{y} \\ \gamma_{xy} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta_{1} \zeta_{2} (1 - 2 \zeta_{3}) & \zeta_{3} (1 - 2 \zeta_{2}) & 4 \zeta_{2} \zeta_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 \frac{1}{1} \zeta_{2} (1 - 2 \zeta_{3}) & \zeta_{3} (1 - 2 \zeta_{2}) & 4 \zeta_{2} \zeta_{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ \omega \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
= \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{x}^{T} & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_{y}^{T} \\ \theta_{y}^{T} & \theta_{x}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ \omega \end{pmatrix}
$$
(III-6)

where  $\{u\}$ ,  $\{v\}$  are the nodal point displacement vectors.

Carrying out the differentiation and evaluating  $\varnothing_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{T}}, \varnothing_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{T}}$  for the nodal points 1, 2, 3 having natural coordinates  $(1,0,0)$ ;  $(0,1,0)$ ;  $(0,0,1)$ , the nodal point strains are:

13

 $(III-5)$ 



 $(III-7)$ 

where,

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \nU \n\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2A} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & 0 \\ b_1 & b_2 - 2b_3 & -b_3 & 4b_3 \\ b_1 & -b_2 & b_3 - 2b_2 & 4b_2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix} \nV \n\end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2A} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & 0 \\ a_1 & a_2 - 2a_3 & -a_3 & 4a_3 \\ a_1 & -a_2 & a_3 - 2a_2 & 4a_3 \end{bmatrix}
$$

Linear variation of strain within the element implies the following relationship

$$
\varepsilon_x = \frac{\zeta}{1} \varepsilon_{x1} + \frac{\zeta}{2} \varepsilon_{x2} + \frac{\zeta}{2} \varepsilon_{x3}
$$

or in matrix notation

$$
\epsilon_{x} = \langle \zeta_{1} \zeta_{2} \zeta_{3} \rangle \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{x1} \\ \epsilon_{x2} \\ \epsilon_{x3} \end{pmatrix} = \{ \zeta \}^{T} \{ \epsilon_{x} \}
$$

 $(111-8)$ 

 $14\,$ 

and similar relationships for  $\epsilon_y$  and  $\gamma_{xy}$ . Thus, the strain at any point  $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3)$  is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\{\varepsilon\} &= \begin{Bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \varepsilon_{xy} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon^T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \varepsilon_{xy} \end{Bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon^T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^T & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & V \\ V & U \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} u \\ v \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varnothing_\varepsilon \end{bmatrix}^T \{r\}\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n(III-9)

where  $\{r\} = \begin{cases} w \\ w \end{cases}$ .

# Stress-Strain Relationship

For the two-dimensional problem, the linear stress-strain relationship is adequately represented by

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\sigma_x \\
\sigma_y \\
\tau_{xy}\n\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\nc_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\
c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} \\
c_{31} & c_{22} & c_{33}\n\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}\n\epsilon_x \\
\epsilon_y \\
\gamma_{xy}\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n(III-10)

or, symbolically

$$
\{\sigma\} = [C]\{\epsilon\} = [C][\emptyset_{\epsilon}]^{T} \{\mathbf{r}\}
$$

Here [C] is a symmetric matrix. For the case of linear viscoelasticity,  $C_{i,j}$  will be functions of time.

It is possible to assume a variation of material properties with each element. In that case, a suitable interpolation formula can be used to express  $C_{i,j}$  for any point  $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3)$  in terms of the

constitutive relationships for the nodal points. In this report the material property matrix [C] is assumed to be constant within each element.

# Calculation of Stiffness of Triangular Element

We have already shown (Eq. II-13) that element stiffness is given by

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \kappa^m \end{bmatrix} = \int_{V_m} \begin{bmatrix} \varnothing^m \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathtt{C}^m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varnothing^m \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix}^T \ \text{d} \mathtt{V} \mathtt{m}
$$

Substituting from Eq. (III-9) for  $\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varnothing}^\text{m} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix}^\text{T}$  we obtain

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}^{m} \end{bmatrix} = \int_{V_{m}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}^{m} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{V}^{m} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{V}^{m} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{V}^{m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \zeta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \zeta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}^{m} & \mathbf{C}^{m} & \mathbf{C}^{m} \\ \mathbf{C}^{m} & \mathbf{C}^{m} & \mathbf{C}^{m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \zeta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}^{m} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{V}^{m} \\ \mathbf{V}^{m} & \mathbf{U}^{m} \end{bmatrix} \text{ dVm}
$$
\n(111-11)

In this equation  $\lceil U^m \rceil$  and  $\lceil V^m \rceil$  are functions of the element dimensions only and are not a function of space; thus, the integration is confined to

$$
\begin{bmatrix} J \end{bmatrix} = \int_{V_m} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_m^m & c_{12}^m & c_{13}^m \\ c_{21}^m & c_{22}^m & c_{23}^m \\ c_{31}^m & c_{32}^m & c_{33}^m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta \end{bmatrix} dV_m
$$

For homogeneous material properties over the element, the above integral is

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11}^{m} \mathbf{Q} & c_{12}^{m} \mathbf{Q} & c_{13}^{m} \mathbf{Q} \\ c_{21}^{m} \mathbf{Q} & c_{22}^{m} \mathbf{Q} & c_{23}^{m} \mathbf{Q} \\ c_{31}^{m} \mathbf{Q} & c_{32}^{m} \mathbf{Q} & c_{33}^{m} \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix}
$$

where

$$
[Q] = \int_{V_m} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta_1^2 & \zeta_1 \zeta_2 & \zeta_1 \zeta_3 \\ \zeta_2 \zeta_1 & \zeta_2 & \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \\ \zeta_3 \zeta_1 & \zeta_3 \zeta_2 & \zeta_3^2 \end{bmatrix} \text{d}V_m
$$

Volume integration yields  $(5,12)$ 

$$
Q = \frac{A^{m}h^{m}}{12} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (III-12)

where h is the thickness of the element and is assumed to be uniform. Thus, the element stiffness, Eq. (III-11), reduces to

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{m}} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{m}}}{12} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{m}} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{T}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{T}} & \mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{m}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{m}}_{11} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{m}}_{12} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{m}}_{13} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{m}}_{21} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{m}}_{22} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{m}}_{23} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{m}}_{31} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{m}}_{32} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{m}}_{33} \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{m}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{m}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{m}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (III-13)
$$

# Stiffness of the Quadrilateral Element

The stiffness matrix for the linear strain triangle relates the nodal forces at the four nodal points to the corresponding displacements. In the quadrilateral element (Fig. 5), the element has five nodal points. To add the stiffnesses of the two component triangles, the triangle stiffness is expanded to accommodate the additional nodal point.

Thus, for triangle  $\bigoplus$ 



TRIANGULAR SUBELEMENTS a.



ASSEMBLED ELEMENT b.

# FIG. 5 QUADRILATERAL ELEMENT

18

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{O} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{O} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{11} & \mathbf{K}_{12} & \mathbf{K}_{14} & \mathbf{K}_{15} \\ \mathbf{K}_{21} & \mathbf{K}_{22} & \mathbf{K}_{24} & \mathbf{K}_{25} \\ \mathbf{K}_{31} & \mathbf{K}_{42} & \mathbf{K}_{34} & \mathbf{K}_{45} \\ \mathbf{K}_{51} & \mathbf{K}_{42} & \mathbf{K}_{54} & \mathbf{K}_{55} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{1} \\ \mathbf{r}_{2} \\ \mathbf{r}_{3} \\ \mathbf{r}_{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{11} & \mathbf{K}_{12} & 0 & \mathbf{K}_{14} & \mathbf{K}_{15} \\ \mathbf{K}_{21} & \mathbf{K}_{22} & 0 & \mathbf{K}_{24} & \mathbf{K}_{25} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{K}_{41} & \mathbf{K}_{42} & 0 & \mathbf{K}_{44} & \mathbf{K}_{45} \\ \mathbf{K}_{51} & \mathbf{K}_{52} & 0 & \mathbf{K}_{54} & \mathbf{K}_{55} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{1} \\ \mathbf{r}_{2} \\ \mathbf{r}_{3} \\ \mathbf{r}_{4} \\ \mathbf{r}_{5} \end{bmatrix}
$$

19

=  $[K_1](r)$ 

where  $\{r_n\} = \begin{Bmatrix} u_n \\ v_n \end{Bmatrix}$ , and each  $K_{i,j}$  is a 2 x 2 matrix corresponding to the two degrees of freedom at each nodal point.

Also for triangle  $\langle 2 \rangle$ 

$$
\begin{bmatrix} K \\ \mathbf{E} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} \\ \mathbf{F}
$$

$$
= [\mathbf{K_2}] {\mathbf{r}}
$$

These stiffness matrices  $[K_1]$  and  $[K_2]$  can be directly added to give the total stiffness matrix for the quadrilateral element.

# Elimination of Center Point

The central nodal point (No. 5) can be eliminated by standard "condensation" procedure  $(4)$  to obtain the 8 x 8 stiffness matrix.

Partitioning the stiffness and the load matrix, we can write

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\nK_{aa} & K_{ab} \\
\frac{R}{K_{ba}} & -\frac{ab}{K_{bb}}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{Bmatrix}\n\frac{r}{r_a} \\
\frac{r}{r_b}\n\end{Bmatrix} =\n\begin{Bmatrix}\nQ_a \\
\overline{Q}_b\n\end{Bmatrix}
$$
\n(III-14)

From the above equations,

$$
\{r_b\} = [K_{bb}]^{-1} \left[ \{Q\} - [K_{ba}][r_a] \right]
$$

Substituting in the other equation, we have

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_a^* \end{bmatrix} \tag{III-15}
$$

where,

 $\Delta \sim 0.01$ 

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{aa} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{ab} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{bb} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{ba} \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (III-16)

and,

$$
\{Q_{a}^{*}\} = \{Q_{a}\} - [K_{ab}][K_{bb}]^{-1} \{Q_{b}\}
$$
 (III-17)

If desired, the stiffness calculation can be repeated with the other diagonal of the quadrilateral as the common diagonal between subelement triangles, and stiffness averaged. However, in most stress problems this would be unnecessarily expensive in computer effort.

# Calculation of Load Vector

The body forces are assumed to be uniform within each element and lumped at the four nodal points of the quadrilateral. One-fourth of the total body force on the element volume is assigned to each nodal point. Actually, by carrying out the evaluation of the integral

$$
\int_{V_m}\!\!\!\left[\begin{matrix}\sigma^m&0\\0&\sigma^m\end{matrix}\right]\,\left\{F^m\right\}\,\mathrm{d}Vm
$$

in Eq. (II-10), we obtain equal distribution of body loads over the four nodal points I, J, K, and L of a quadrilateral, and twice that load

an Po

at the center point, for the case of two triangular subelements having equal area. Thus, it is often reasonable to assume equal distribution of the body forces for all the four nodal points.

The boundary loads are replaced by equivalent nodal point forces having the same resultant magnitude, direction and position as the boundary loads.

The initial stresses  $\{\sigma_{\Omega}^m\}$  and thermal stress  $\{\sigma_{\mathbf{t}}^m\}$  contribute to the load matrix the term

$$
\left\{L_{\sigma}^{m}\right\} = \int_{V_{m}} \left[\varrho_{\epsilon}^{m}\right] \left\{\sigma_{t}^{m} - \sigma_{0}^{m}\right\} dV_{m}
$$
 (III-18)

The stress values specified are assumed to apply to the mid-point of the common diagonal. The coordinates of this point are  $(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  in the natural system. Thus, all the quantities under the integral are constants, and we obtain

$$
\left\{L_{\sigma}^{m}\right\} = A^{m}n^{m} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}^{m^{T}} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{V}^{m^{T}} \\ \mathbf{U}^{m^{T}} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{V}^{m^{T}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{V}^{m^{T}} & \mathbf{U}^{m^{T}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \zeta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \zeta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{t}^{m} & -\sigma_{x\mathbf{0}}^{m} \\ \sigma_{t}^{m} & -\sigma_{y\mathbf{0}}^{m} \\ -\sigma_{y\mathbf{0}}^{m} \end{bmatrix}
$$

Substituting for  $\zeta = (0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ ,

$$
\left\{L_{\sigma}^{m}\right\} = A^{m}n^{m} \begin{bmatrix} U^{m}^{T} & 0 & V^{m}^{T} \\ 0 & V^{m}^{T} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{t}^{m} - \sigma_{x0}^{m} \\ \sigma_{t}^{m} - \sigma_{y0}^{m} \\ - \tau_{xy0}^{m} \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
= A^{m}n^{m} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\varepsilon0}^{m} \\ \sigma_{\varepsilon0}^{m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \sigma_{t}^{m} - \sigma_{y0}^{m} \\ \sigma_{t}^{m} - \sigma_{y0}^{m} \end{Bmatrix}
$$

where

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \varrho^m_{\varepsilon 0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \upsilon^{m^T} \zeta & 0 & \upsilon^{m^T} \zeta \\ 0 & \upsilon^{m^T} \zeta & \upsilon^{m^T} \zeta \end{bmatrix}
$$

and

$$
\{v^{m^{\mathrm{T}}}c\} = \frac{1}{2A^{m}}\begin{pmatrix} b_{1}^{m} \\ -b_{3}^{m} \\ -b_{2}^{m} \\ -2b_{1}^{m} \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\left\{v^{m^{\mathrm{T}}}, v^{m^{\mathrm{T}}}\right\} = \frac{1}{2A^{m}} \begin{pmatrix} a_{1}^{m} \\ -a_{3}^{m} \\ -a_{2}^{m} \\ -2a_{1}^{m} \end{pmatrix}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n b_1^m & 0 & a_1^m \\
 -b_3^m & 0 & -a_3^m \\
 -b_2^m & 0 & -a_2^m \\
 -2b_1^m & 0 & -2a_1^m \\
 0 & a_1^m & b_1^m \\
 0 & -a_3^m & -b_3^m \\
 0 & -a_2^m & -b_2^m \\
 0 & -2a_1^m & -2b_1^m\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{bmatrix}\n \sigma_m^m & -\sigma_m^m \\
 \sigma_t^m & -\sigma_{y_0}^m \\
 -\sigma_{y_0}^m\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
\n(III-19)

for each triangular subelement. For the quadrilateral, the load vector is expanded to dimension 10 x 1 and then the vectors for the two subelements can be added directly.

#### CREEP ANALYSIS IV.

### One-Dimensional Creep

If a material that exhibits creep behavior is subjected to a constant stress level  $\sigma$ , the strain response  $\varepsilon(t)$  is a function of time. Under uniaxial loading, the creep law can be written as

$$
\varepsilon(t) = \sigma J(t) \qquad (IV-1)
$$

where  $J(t)$  is defined as the uniaxial creep compliance. The basic assumption made in the field of linear viscoelasticity is that the compliance  $J(t)$  is independent of the stress level  $\sigma$ . This assumption allows the principle of superposition to be used (Boltzmann, Volterra). Therefore, if the stress is applied incrementally over a period of time, the strain at some later time "t" may be calculated by summing the effects of each increment of stress. Mathematically, this can be stated as

$$
\varepsilon(t) = \int d\varepsilon(t) \qquad (IV-2)
$$

From Eq.  $(IV-1)$ , the incremental strain is defined as

$$
d\varepsilon(t) = J(\bar{t}) d\sigma(\tau)
$$
  
=  $J(\bar{t}) \frac{\partial \sigma(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau$  (IV-3)

where  $\tau$  and  $\bar{t}$  are defined in Fig. 6.

Therefore, the total strain at time "t" due to the initial stress and the incremental changes in stress is  $\sigma$ 

$$
\epsilon(t) = \sigma_0 J(t) + \int_0^t J(t-\tau) \frac{\partial \sigma(\tau)}{\partial \tau} d\tau
$$
 (IV-4)



FIG. 6 TYPICAL STRESS-TIME CURVE It is of interest to note that for statically determinate structures. where the stress must be independent of time, the integral vanishes.

One possible representation for the compliance  $J(\bar{t})$  is the following exponential form:

$$
J(\bar{t}) = J(o) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n e^{-m} n^{\bar{t}}
$$

For a material like concrete, which has properties that change with age, the above equation is generalized to include the age dependence. Then

$$
J(\bar{t},\tau) = J(o,\tau) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n(\tau) \left(1-e^{-m_n(\tau)t}\right)
$$
 (IV-5)

The above equation represents McHenry's creep laws according to which the compliance function

$$
f(t,\tau) = f_0(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(\tau) \left(1 - e^{-m_n(\tau) (t-\tau)}\right) / \qquad (IV-6)
$$

where N is made sufficiently large to adequately represent the material.

For simplicity, including the initially applied stress under the integral, for aging materials,

$$
\epsilon(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} f(t, \tau) \frac{\partial \sigma(\tau)}{\partial \tau}
$$
  

$$
= \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma_t} f(t, \tau) d\sigma_{\tau}
$$
 (IV-7)

Or, expressing the integral as a rectangular sum

$$
\epsilon(t_m) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(t_m, t_j) \Delta \sigma_j
$$
 (IV-8)

where

$$
f(t_m, t_j) = f_o(t_m) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(t_j) \left(1 - e^{-m_n(t_j) \left[t_m - t_j\right]}\right) \qquad (IV-9)
$$

# Three-Dimensional Creep

For the case of three-dimensional stress, the above formulation may be generalized to include the influence of the other stress components. If Poisson's ratio is assumed to be independent of stress level and time, and if the principal stress directions do not change significantly during the time interval, the relationship between principal strains, and stresses is

$$
\epsilon_1 = \sum_{m,1}^{m} -\nu_2 \sum_{m,2}^{m} -\nu_3 \sum_{m,3}^{m}
$$

where

$$
\sum_{n, r}^{m} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f^{(r)}(t_n, t_j) \Delta \sigma_j^{(r)}
$$
 (r = 1, 2, 3)

 $\varepsilon$ <sub>2</sub> and  $\varepsilon$ <sub>3</sub> are given by similar equations. The superscripts (r) denote the principal stress direction and m is the number of time steps at which stress increments are applied.

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \vee_2 & -\vee_3 \\ -\vee_1 & 1 & -\vee_3 \\ -\vee_1 & -\vee_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{m=1}^{m} \\ \sum_{m=1}^{m} \\ \sum_{m=1}^{m} \\ \sum_{m=1}^{m} \\ \sum_{m=1}^{m} \end{pmatrix} \tag{IV-10}
$$

or symbolically

$$
\{ \varepsilon \} = [M] \left\{ \sum_{m}^{m} \right\} \tag{IV-10a}
$$

If the strain is kept constant and the stress allowed to relax,
$$
\{\epsilon\} = [M] \sum_{m+1}^{m+1} = [M] \sum_{m}^{m}
$$

Repeated application of this equality yields

$$
\{\varepsilon\} = [M] \left\{ \sum_{m+1}^{m+1} \right\} = \ldots \ldots = [M] \sum_{1}^{1} = {\varepsilon_{(o)}} \qquad (IV-11)
$$

where  $\{\epsilon_{(o)}\}$  is the matrix of initial strains. Noting that

$$
\sum_{m+1, r}^{m+1} = \sum_{m+1, r}^{m} + f^{(r)}(t_{m+1}, t_{m+1}) \Delta \sigma_{m+1}^{(r)}
$$

we can write the above equation as

$$
\begin{bmatrix} M \end{bmatrix} \left\{ f(t_{m+1}, t_{m+1}) \Delta \sigma_{m+1} \right\} = \begin{bmatrix} M \end{bmatrix} \left\{ f(t_1, t_1) \Delta \sigma_1 - \sum_{m+1}^{m} \right\} \qquad (IV-12)
$$

These equations give the stress changes  $\Delta\sigma$  for the  $(m+1)$ <sup>th</sup> time interval as a function of the stress changes for all the preceding intervals.

Using the formulation in exponential form for 
$$
f(t_m, t_j)
$$
, we have\n
$$
\left\{\sum_{m+1}^{m}\right\} = \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[ f_o(t_{m+1}) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(t_j) \left(1 - e^{-m_n(t_j) \left[t_{m+1} - t_j\right]} \right) \right] \Delta \sigma_j \right\}
$$

Changing the order of summation, we obtain, for time invariant  $m_{n}$ 

$$
\left\{\sum_{m+1}^{m}\right\} = \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( f_{n}(t_{j}) - f_{n}(t_{j}) e^{-m_{n}(t_{j})[t_{m+1}-t_{j}]} \right) \Delta \sigma_{j} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{o}[t_{m+1}] \Delta \sigma_{j} \right\}
$$

$$
= \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{n}(t_{j}) \Delta \sigma_{j} - e^{-m_{n}t_{m+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{n}(t_{j}) e^{-m_{n}t_{j}} \Delta \sigma_{j} \right] + f_{o}(t_{m+1}) \sigma_{m} \right\}
$$
(IV-13)

 $\epsilon = \sqrt{2}$ 

Let

and

$$
b_{nm} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_n(t_j) \Delta \sigma_j
$$

 $(IV-14)$ 

$$
c_{nm} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f_n(t_j) e^{m_n t_j} \qquad \Delta \sigma_j
$$

then

$$
b_{nm} = b_{nm-1} + f_n(t_m) \Delta \sigma_m
$$

 $(IV-15)$ 

and

$$
C_{nm} = C_{nm-1} + f_n(t_m) e^{m_n t_m} \qquad \Delta \sigma_m
$$

Using the above symbolism, Eq. (IV-13) can be written as,

$$
\left\{\sum_{m+1}^{m}\right\} = \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{N} b_{nm} - \sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{-m_{n}} \qquad t_{m+1} c_{nm} + f_{o}(t_{m+1}) \sigma_{m} \right\}
$$
(IV-16)

Substituting (IV-16) in (IV-12), we have

$$
[M]\{f(t_{m+1}, t_{m+1}) \Delta \sigma_{m+1}\} = [M] \left\{f_o(t_1) \Delta \sigma_1 - \sum_{n=1}^{N} b_{nm} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{-m} n \right\}^{t_{m+1}} C_{nm}
$$

$$
- f_o(t_{m+1}) \sigma_m \right\}
$$
(IV-17)

Also, at any stage

$$
\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \sigma(t_j)} = f(t_j, t_j)
$$

Hence,

$$
[M] { f(t_{m+1}, t_{m+1})} \Delta \sigma_{m+1} = [M] { f_0(t_{m+1})} \Delta \sigma_{m+1} }
$$
 (IV-18)

Substitution of (IV-18) in (IV-17) and transposition of the terms  $f_o(t_{m+1})\sigma_m$  yields, on restricting summations to  $N = 2$ ,

$$
f_{\rm{max}}
$$

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{M} \end{bmatrix} \{ f_{o}(t_{m+1}) \sigma_{m+1} \} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{M} \end{bmatrix} \left\{ f_{o}(t_{1}) \Delta \sigma_{1} - b_{1m} - b_{2m} + e^{-m_{1}t_{m+1}} c_{1m} + e^{-m_{2}t_{m+1}} c_{2m} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
(IV-19)
$$

or, as  $\Delta \sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ , we can write symbolically

$$
\begin{bmatrix} M \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_{m+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{m+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} M \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} L_m \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (IV-20)

where,

- $\begin{bmatrix} F_{m+1} \end{bmatrix} =$  strain-stress or flexibility relationship at time stage  $t_{m+1}$ ,
- $[F_1 \]$  = strain-stress or flexibility relationship at time stage  $t_1$ ,
- $\{\sigma_{\mathfrak{m}+1}\}, \{\sigma_{1}\}$ are the stress state vectors at time stages  $t_{m+1}$ ,  $t_1$  respectively, and

$$
\{L_m\} = \left\{b_{1m} + b_{2m} - e^{-m_1 t_{m+1}} c_{1m} - e^{-m_2 t_{m+1}} c_{2m}\right\}.
$$

If  $\{\sigma_m\}$  is the stress vector at time stage  $t_m$ , then

$$
[M] \upharpoonright F_m \downarrow \{ \sigma_m \} = [M] \upharpoonright F_1 \downharpoonright \{ \sigma_1 \} - [M] \{ L_{m-1} \}
$$
 (IV-21)

From  $(IV-20)$  and  $(IV-21)$ ,

$$
[M] \quad [F_{m+1} \quad ] \quad [G_{m+1}] = [F_m \quad ] \quad [G_m] = - [M] \{L_m - L_{m-1}\} \quad (IV-22)
$$

For use in computer program, a stage for stress relaxation is chosen small enough so that the stress-strain law and the creep functions can be assumed constant during this small interval. Thus, for  $m + 1 = 2$ , we have, assuming  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  for any direction to be time independent,

[M] 
$$
[F_2]
$$
  $[\sigma_2] - [F_1]$   $[\sigma_1] = -[M]$   $[L_1]$  (IV-23)

For  $[F_2] = [F_1]$  and  $[K_1] = [F_1]^{-1}$ , we have

$$
\{\Delta \sigma_2\} = \{\sigma_2 - \sigma_1\} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \{L_1\}
$$
 (IV-24)

Also using

$$
e^{-m_1(t_2-t_1)} = e^{-m_1\Delta t} \approx (1 - m_1\Delta t)
$$

and

$$
e^{-m_2(t_2 - t_1)}
$$
  
\n
$$
\approx (1 - m_2 \Delta t)
$$
  
\n
$$
\{L_1\} = \left\{ \left( (f_1(t_1) + f_2(t_1) - (1 - m_1 \Delta t) f_1(t_1) - (1 - m_2 \Delta t) f_2(t_1) \right) \sigma_1 \right\}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \left\{ (f_1(t_1) m_1 + f_2(t_1) m_2) \sigma_1 \right\}
$$
 (IV-25)

Thus,  $\{\sigma_2-\sigma_1\}$  is completely defined in terms of  $\{\Delta\sigma_1\}$ . In general, for  $F_m$   $\bar{F}$  practically equal to  $F_{m+1}$   $\bar{F}$ , we have

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{M} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_m & \mathbb{J} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \sigma_{m+1} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{M} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} L_m - L_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}
$$

and therefore, because [M] is a non-singular square matrix

$$
\{\Delta \sigma_{m+1}\} = -\upharpoonright K_m \downarrow \{L_m - L_{m-1}\}\tag{IV-26}
$$

For  $m = 2$ , a typical term in the column vector  $\{L_m - L_{m-1}\}$  is

$$
L_2^{\text{(L)}}L_1^{\text{(L)}} = [m_1 f_1(t_2) + m_2 f_2(t_2)] \Delta \sigma_2 \Delta t + (m_1 f_1(t_1) [1 - m_1 \Delta t] + m_2 f_2(t_1) [1 - m_2 \Delta t] \Delta \sigma_1 \Delta t
$$

and so on, in general, for equal intervals of time  $\Delta t$ ,  $\{\mathtt{L}_{\mathtt{m}}\mathtt{-L}_{\mathtt{m}-1}\}=\left\{\!\!\left[\mathtt{m}_{1}\mathtt{f}_{1}(\mathtt{t}_{\mathtt{m}})\right. +\left.\mathtt{m}_{2}\mathtt{f}_{2}(\mathtt{t}_{\mathtt{m}})\!\right]\right.\right.\\ \left.\Delta\sigma_{\mathtt{m}}\Delta\mathtt{t}\right.\\ +\left.\left[\mathtt{m}_{1}\mathtt{f}_{1}(\mathtt{t}_{\mathtt{m}-1})\left[\mathtt{l}\mathtt{-m}_{1}\Delta\mathtt{t}\right]\!\right]\right.\\$  $+ m_2 f_2(t_{m-1}) [1-m_2 \Delta t] \Delta \sigma_{m-1} \Delta t + ... + [m_1 f_1(t_1) [1-m_1 \Delta t]^{m-1} +$ 

It is to be noticed that the three terms in the  $\{L_m - L_{m-1}\}\$  matrix are mutually independent and can follow different laws.

#### Specialization for Plane Stress and Plane Strain

The relaxation of the three stress components is uncoupled because of [K] being a diagonal matrix. Thus, reduction to cases of plane stress and plane strain is direct and consists only in including the effects of relaxation of only two stresses.

Noting that  $\begin{bmatrix} K_m \\ W_m \end{bmatrix}$  is a diagonal matrix of elastic moduli in the three principal directions at time  $t_m$ , we have both for plane stress and plane strain the equality

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\Delta\sigma_{m+1}^{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\Delta\sigma_{m+1}^{\mathbb{Q}}\n\end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}\n\frac{1}{E^{\mathbb{Q}}(t_m)} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{E^{\mathbb{Q}}(t_m)}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{pmatrix}\nL_m^{\mathbb{Q}} - L_{m-1}^{\mathbb{Q}} \\
L_m^{\mathbb{Q}} - L_{m-1}^{\mathbb{Q}}\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n(IV-28)

### Thermal Creep

In case the coefficient functions in Eq. (IV-9) depend upon temperature and are not time-dependent, the system can be analyzed as follows:

$$
\varepsilon(t) = \int_0^t J(t-\tau, T_s) \frac{\partial \sigma_\tau}{\partial \tau} d\tau + \frac{g}{\tau = 0} [\Upsilon(\tau)] \qquad (IV-29)
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\mathbf{g} \[\mathbf{T}(\tau)\] = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{S}}) \\
\tau = 0\n\end{array}
$$

represents the thermal strain at zero stress and equals free thermal expansion. Also, the initial compliance is included in the integral.

In the above equation  $J$  is a function of  $(t-\tau)$  and is a functional of temperature history  $T_{\rm g}$ . For constant temperature and exponential variation of strain with time, it would be reasonable to assume analogously to Eq. (IV-5), taking  $m_n$  to be time and temperature independent and  $J_n$  to be temperature dependent

$$
J(\bar{t},T) = J(o,T) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n(T) e^{-m_n \bar{t}}
$$
 (IV-30)

or, in a form analogous to McHenry's equation for aging materials  $(IV-6)$  $\sim 10$ 

$$
f(\bar{t}, T) = f_o(T) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(T) \left(1 - e^{-m_1(t - T)}\right)
$$
 (IV-31)

Thus, using a rectangular summation to replace the integral in  $(IV-29)$ 

$$
\varepsilon(t_m) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(t_m - t_j, T) \Delta \sigma_j + g(T_s)
$$
  

$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[ f_o(T) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(T) \left( 1 - e^{-m_n (t_m - t_j)} \right) \right] \Delta \sigma_j + g(T_s)
$$

Changing the order of summation, we have

$$
\epsilon(t_{m}) = \left[ f_{o}(T) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_{n}(T) \right] \sigma_{m} + g(T_{s}) - \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_{n}(T) \sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{-m_{n}(t_{m} - t_{j})} \Delta \sigma_{j}
$$

If the strain is kept constant and stress allowed to relax,

$$
\epsilon_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} f(t_{m+1} - t_{j}, T) \Delta \sigma_{j} + g(T_{s})
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(t_{m} - t_{j}, T) \Delta \sigma_{j} + g(T_{s})
$$
\n(IV-32)

and eventually

$$
\epsilon_1 = f_o(T) \Delta \sigma_1 + g(T_g)
$$

the initial strain due to first load application.

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$ 

Writing

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} f(t_{m+1}^{-t}t_j, T) \Delta \sigma_j = \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(t_{m+1}^{-t}t_j, T) \Delta \sigma_j + f(t_{m+1}^{-t}t_{m+1}, T) \Delta \sigma_{m+1}
$$

we have, for constant strain, from (IV-32)

$$
f(t_{m+1} - t_{m+1}, T) \Delta \sigma_{m+1} = \varepsilon_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(t_{m+1} - t_j, T) \Delta \sigma_j - g(T_s)
$$
  

$$
= f_o(T) \Delta \sigma_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(t_{m+1} - t_j, T) \Delta \sigma_j \qquad (IV-33)
$$

 $\texttt{Now}$ 

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m} f(t_{m+1} - t_j, T) \Delta \sigma_j = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[ f_o(T) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(T) \left( 1 - e^{-m_n (t_{m+1} - t_j)} \right) \right] \Delta \sigma_j
$$
  

$$
= f_o(T) \sigma_m + \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(T) \sigma_m - \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(T) e^{-m_n t_{m+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{m_n t_j} \Delta \sigma_j
$$
  

$$
= \left[ f_o(T) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(T) \right] \sigma_m - \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(T) e^{-m_n t_{m+1}} C_{nm}
$$
(IV-34)

where

$$
c_{nm} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{m_n t_j} \Delta \sigma_j
$$

and

$$
C_{nm} = C_{nm-1} + e^{m_n t} \Delta \sigma_m
$$
 (IV-35)

 $Also$ 

$$
c_{n1} = e^{m_1 t_1} \Delta \sigma_1
$$

We also have

$$
f(t_{m+1} - t_{m+1}, T) = f_o(T)
$$
 (IV-36)

Hence (IV-33) becomes

$$
f_o(T)\Delta\sigma_{m+1} = f_o(T)\Delta\sigma_1 - \left(f_o(T) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(T)\right) \sigma_m + \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(T) e^{-m_n t_{m+1}}C_{nm}
$$
\n(IV-37)

Using  $N = 2$ ,

$$
f_o(T)\Delta\sigma_{m+1} = f_o(T)\Delta\sigma_1 - \left(f_o(T) + f_1(T) + f_2(T)\right)\sigma_m + f_1(T) e^{-m_1 t_{m+1}C_{1m}}
$$
  
+  $f_2(T) e^{-m_2 t_{m+1}C_{2m}}$ 

or transposing 
$$
f_o(T) \sigma_m
$$
,  
\n $f_o(T) \sigma_{m+1} = f_o(T) \Delta \sigma_1 - \left( f_1(T) + f_2(T) \right) \sigma_m + f_1(T) e^{-m_1 t_{m+1}} C_{1m}$   
\n $+ f_2(T) e^{-m_2 t_{m+1}} C_{2m}$  (IV-38)

For the previous time interval, the above equation will give

$$
f_o(T)\sigma_m = f_o(T)\Delta\sigma_1 - \left(f_1(T) + f_2(T)\right)\sigma_{m-1} + f_1(T)e^{-m_1 t}m_{C_{1m-1}} + f_2(T)e^{-m_2 t}m_{C_{2m-1}}
$$
(IV-39)

Subtracting (IV-39) from (IV-38) yields for  $t_{m+1} - t_m = \Delta t$ 

$$
f_o(T)\Delta\sigma_{m+1} = -\left(f_1(T) + f_2(T)\right)\Delta\sigma_m + f_1(T) e^{-m_1 t_m} \left(c_{1m} e^{-m_1 \Delta t} - c_{1m-1}\right) + f_2(T) e^{-m_1 t_m} \left(c_{2m} e^{-m_2 \Delta t} - c_{2m-1}\right)
$$

Using (IV-35), the above equation gives, on setting  $e^{n^-} \approx (1-m_h \Delta t)$ for sufficiently small value of  $\Delta t$ ,

$$
f_o(T)\Delta\sigma_{m+1} \simeq -\left[ \left( m_1 f_1(T) + m_2 f_2(T) \right) \Delta\sigma_m + \left( m_1 f_1(T) e^{-m_1 t} m_{C_{1m-1}} + m_2 f_2(T) e^{-m_2 t} m_{C_{2m-1}} \right) \right] \Delta t
$$

Repeated use of (IV-35) gives eventually

$$
f_0(T)\Delta\sigma_{m+1} = -m_1 f_1(T) \left( (1 - m_1 \Delta t)^{m-1} \Delta\sigma_1 + (1 - m_1 \Delta t)^{m-2} \Delta\sigma_2 + \cdots + (1 - m_1 \Delta t) \Delta\sigma_{m-1} + \Delta\sigma_m \right) \Delta t - m_2 f_2(T) \left( (1 - m_2 \Delta t)^{m-2} \Delta\sigma_1 + (1 - m_2 \Delta t)^{m-2} \Delta\sigma_2 + \cdots + (1 - m_2 \Delta t) \Delta\sigma_{m-1} + \Delta\sigma_m \right) \Delta t
$$
\n(IV-40)

This expresses the stress variation in the  $(m + 1)^{th}$  time interval as a function of the previous variations. The above analysis for uniaxial thermo viscoelasticity can be generalized exactly as in the case of time-dependent creep, and, for a constant temperature, the formulation is exactly the same. Thus, to allow for thermal creep over a small interval of time, the temperature will be assumed to be constant. For different stages of analysis of an incremental structure, if temperature changes, the creep coefficients will change. However, a significant difference from the time-dependent analysis is that, as the structure is analyzed at each stage of construction for a stress

increment, in the case of time-dependence, strain rate quantities associated with the stored stresses from previous analyses are preserved and added to the influence of the stress change  $\Delta \sigma_1$ , applied as the increment in stress. For the mal creep, the total stress stored, as well as the stress increment, is applied as the initial stress change  $\Delta \sigma_1$ , for calculation of relaxation of stress over a number of small time intervals. This is because thermal creep is ageindependent and can be translated along the time axis.

36

## V. BIMODULAR MATERIAL PROPERTIES

In the two-dimensional stress problem, the relationship between strain and stress can be written in terms of principal stresses and strain as

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \epsilon_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{E_1} & -\frac{v_2}{E_2} & -\frac{v_3}{E_3} \\ -\frac{v_1}{E_1} & \frac{1}{E_2} & -\frac{v_3}{E_3} \\ -\frac{v_1}{E_1} & -\frac{v_2}{E_2} & \frac{1}{E_3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (v-1)

where  $1, 2, 3$  are the three principal directions or, symbolically,

$$
\{\varepsilon\} = [F] \{\sigma\} \tag{V-2}
$$

For  $[F]$  to be symmetrical,

$$
\frac{v_1}{E_1} = \frac{v_2}{E_2} = \frac{v_3}{E_3}
$$
 (V-3)

For plane stress where  $\sigma_3 = 0$ , we have

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{E_1} & -\frac{v_2}{E_2} \\ \frac{v_1}{E_1} & \frac{1}{E_2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}
$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{E_2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{E_2}{E_1} - v_2 \\ -v_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}$  (V-4)

For a bimodular material exhibiting isotropy, but having different elastic properties in compression and tension, the following three cases arise:

> 1.  $\sigma_1 \ge 0$ ,  $\sigma_2 \ge 0$ 2.  $\sigma_1 \ge 0$ ,  $\sigma_2 < 0$ 3.  $\sigma_1 < 0$ ,  $\sigma_2 < 0$

If  $E_t$ ,  $v_t$  represent the elastic constants for tension and  $E_c$ ,  $v_c$  the constants for compression, then for each of the three cases above, we have  $respectively$ 



For the case of plane strain,  $\varepsilon_3 = -(\nu_1/E_1) \sigma_1 - (\nu_2/E_2) \sigma_2 + (1/E_3) \sigma_3 = 0$ Hence

$$
\sigma_3 = E_3 \left[ \frac{\nu_1 \sigma_1}{E_1} + \frac{\nu_2 \sigma_2}{E_2} \right] = \nu_3 (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{Bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{Bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{E_1} (1 - \nu_1 \nu_3) - \frac{\nu_2}{E_2} (1 + \nu_3) \\ - \frac{\nu_2}{E_2} (1 + \nu_3) - \frac{1}{E_2} (1 - \nu_2 \nu_3) \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \end{Bmatrix}
$$
 (v-5)

In this case, for the bimodular isotropic material, the following four cases arise:

1. 
$$
\sigma_1 \ge 0
$$
,  $\sigma_2 \ge 0$   
\n2.  $\sigma_1 \ge 0$ ,  $\sigma_2 < 0$  but  $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \ge 0$   
\n3.  $\sigma_1 \ge 0$ ,  $\sigma_2 < 0$  but  $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 < 0$   
\n4.  $\sigma_1 < 0$ ,  $\sigma_2 < 0$ 

The following tabulation for [F] results:

 $\sim 10^7$ 



Writing  $v_c = v$ ,  $v_t = v E_t/E_c$ , we obtain the following inverse relationship

$$
\{\sigma\} = [C] \{\epsilon\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{bmatrix} C \end{bmatrix} = \frac{E_C}{(XX - vR)(YY - vR) - v^2(1+R)^2} \begin{bmatrix} XX - vR & v(1+R) \\ v(1+R) & YY - vR \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix}_{(V-6)}
$$

such that XX, YY, R have the following values for the four cases:



For the shearing stress strain relationship, the shearing stress can be replaced by a pair of equal and opposite stresses at  $\pm$  45° angle to the shearing stress direction. There is no difference between the plane stress and plane strain case because shearing stresses in 1-2 plane do not cause any dilatation. This analysis yields the relationship

$$
r_{12} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{E_c} + \frac{1}{E_t} + 2\nu} \gamma_{12} = c_{33} \gamma_{12}
$$
 (v-7)

The complete stiffness relationship for principal directions is then

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \tau_{12} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & 0 \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \gamma_{12} \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (v-8)

This relationship is transformed to the global coordinates using the usual scheme for transformation of fourth rank tensors. In the present case, if  $\{\sigma_p\}$ ,  $\{\varepsilon_p\}$ ,  $[C_p]$  refer to the principal stress directions, and  $\{\sigma\}$ ,  $\{\varepsilon\}$ , [C] refer to global coordinates, then

$$
\{\sigma_p\} = [c_p] \{\epsilon_p\} \tag{V-9}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\{\sigma\} = [C] \{\epsilon\} \tag{V-10}\n\end{array}
$$

Rules for transformation of stress and strain are

$$
\{\sigma_p\} = [J] \{\sigma\} \text{ or } \{\sigma\} = [J]^{-1} \{\sigma_p\}
$$
 (V-11)

$$
\{ \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \} = [\mathbf{T}] \{ \varepsilon \} \tag{V-12}
$$

Then

$$
\{\sigma\} = \begin{bmatrix} J \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \{\sigma_p\} = \begin{bmatrix} J \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} C_p \end{bmatrix} \{\epsilon_p\} = \begin{bmatrix} J \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} C_p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T \end{bmatrix} \{\epsilon\}
$$
 (V-13)

Hence

$$
\begin{bmatrix} C \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} J \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} C_p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} C_p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (V-14)

where

$$
\begin{bmatrix} T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos^2 \theta & \sin^2 \theta & \sin \theta \cos \theta \\ \sin^2 \theta & \cos^2 \theta & -\sin \theta \cos \theta \\ -2\sin \theta \cos \theta & 2\sin \theta \cos \theta & \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (V-15)

represents the strain transformation from global to principal strains,  $\theta$ being the angle of major principal strain to the X-coordinate in the global system.

41

#### VI. **EXAMPLE**

The development presented in the foregoing chapters was applied to the analysis of stresses in a concrete gravity dam constructed lift by lift and subject to temperature rise due to hydration and to surface exposure to the atmosphere. A typical cross-section of the dam is shown in Fig. 7 and the typical cooling coil arrangement in Fig. 8. Due to considerations of symmetry only a 30 inch wide slice was analyzed as shown in Fig. 9. Typical creep test data are shown in Fig. 10. The data were analyzed to obtain the coefficients in McHenry's equation which are shown in Fig. 11. The air temperature and placement schedule of lifts in dam are shown in Fig. 12. The temperature history of the dam was obtained using Wilson's (13) heat conduction analysis procedure and constituted the data input for this example.

The computer program given in Appendix C was used to solve the two-dimensional problem of incremental construction with creep. Fig. 13 shows a history of distribution of average horizontal stress on vertical sections. The analysis successfully obtained the effect of cold new concrete being placed on relatively warm old lift resulting in sudden development of high tensile stresses and the gradual subsequent dissipation of stresses on account of creep and temperature rise due to hydration of cement.



FIG. 7 CROSS SECTION OF THE DAM

43



FIG. 8 TYPICAL ARRANGEMENT OF COOLING PIPES

44



FIG. 9 STRUCTURE ANALYZED



# FIG. IO TYPICAL CREEP TEST RESULTS







AIR TEMPERATURES AND PLACEMENT **FIG. 12** SCHEDULE



#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Clough, Ray, W., "The Finite Element Method in Plane Stress Analysis." Proceedings, ASCE, 2nd Conference on Electronic Computation, Pittsburgh, Pa., September 1960.
- Clough, Ray W., "The Finite Element Method in Structural Mechanics,"  $2.$ Chapter 7 of "Stress Analysis", edited by O. C. Zienkiewicz and G. S. Holister, John Wiley and Sons, 1965.
- 3. Wilson, Edward L., "Finite Element Analysis of Two-Dimensional Structures," SESM Report No. 63-2, University of California, Berkeley, 1963.
- 4. Wilson, Edward L., "Structural Analysis of Axisymmetric Solids," AIAA Journal, Vol. 3, No. 12, December 1965,
- 5. Felippa, Carlos A., "Refined Finite Element Analysis of Linear and Nonlinear Two-Dimensional Structures," SESM Report No. 66-22, University of California, Berkeley, 1966.
- Zienkiewicz, O. C., "The Finite Element Method in Structural and 6. Continuum Mechanics," McGraw Hill Publishing Co., 1967.
- Ambartsumyan, S. A., and A. A. Khachatrian, "Basic Equation of 7. Theory of Elasticity for Materials having Different Resistance in Tension and Compression," Engineering Journal, Mechanics of Solid Bodies, No. 2, 1966 (in Russian).
- 8. Ambartsumyan, S. A. and A. A. Khachatrian, "The Multimodulus Theory of Elasticity", Engineering Journal, Mechanics of Solid Bodies, No. 6, 1966 (in Russian).
- McHenry, D., "A New Aspect of Creep in Concrete and its Application 9. to Design," Proceedings, A.S.T.M., Vol. 43, 1943.
- King, Ian P., "Finite Element Analysis of Two-Dimensional Time-10. Dependent Stress Problems," Report No. 65-1, Structural Engineering Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, 1965.
- Clough, Ray W., and Rashid, Y., "Finite Element Analysis of Axisym-<br>metric Solids," J. ASCE, Eng. Mech. Division, Vol. 91, No. EM-1, 1965.  $11.$
- Archer, John S., and Samson, Charles H. Jr., "Structural Idealization  $12.$ for Digital-Computer Analysis, Proceedings ASCE 2nd Conference on Electronic Computation, Pittsburgh, Pa., September 1960.
- Wilson, Edward L., and Nickell, Robert E., "Application of the 13. Finite Element Method to Heat Conduction Analysis," Nuclear Engineering and Design, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1966.

## APPENDIX A

# ORGANIZATION OF COMPUTER PROGRAM

#### APPENDIX A. ORGANIZATION OF COMPUTER PROGRAM

The computer program described in this Appendix is based on the theory presented in the previous sections of this report. The program is written in Fortran IV language and may be used directly on computers with 32 K or greater storage.

The program is intended to furnish a stress and displacement history for a two-dimensional structure constructed in increments, making allowance for the boundary conditions, residual stresses, stresses due to temperature change, varying pressure boundaries, bimodular behavior of the material, time- or temperature-dependent elastic properties and creep which may have time- or temperature-dependent characteristics. Small deformations and linear material laws are assumed. The structure may consist of several different materials. Creep properties may also be bimodular. Thus, the program is quite general and applicable to a wide class of problems. Examples of application would be thermal stress analysis of a concrete gravity dam constructed lift by lift allowing for creep and including effects of temperature changes, varying reservoir elevations and gravity loads. The program can be used for changing displacement boundary conditions and also for problems of incremental loading -- e.g., a beam subjected to a series of load increments, with very small modification. For large scale specialized use, some of the options in the program can easily be eliminated.

One-dimensional elements are permitted to accommodate planes of low shearing resistance such as joints, and also to permit sloping boundaries.

 $A-1$ 

The principal program called MAIN controls all the data input and control information. It does the basic system initialization, and prints out the control data and material and geometrical properties of the structure. Stiffness formulation, equation solving, and creep analysis is carried out by subroutines called by MAIN.

a. Formation of Stiffness and Load Matrix

Stiffness matrix for each analysis is computed in blocks by the subroutine STIFF. For element stiffness, it calls additional subroutines --ONED for one-dimensional elements and QUAD for the quadrilateral elements. The element stiffness is added to the total stiffness using the direct stiffness technique. Concentrated forces at nodal points are added for the newly input nodal points and pressure boundary changes included in the load matrix. Equations are modified for displacement boundary conditions by calling the subroutine MODIFY. The QUAD subroutine interpolates the elastic properties for the material of the element, calculates the principal stress-strain relationship, and then transforms it to global coordinates. With the constitutive law thus defined, subroutine EDLST is called twice to obtain the stiffness contribution of each of the two linear strain triangles and also to recover the strain displacement transformation. Unbalanced forces due to residual stresses and temperature changes are calculated using the material constitutive law and the forcestress relationship obtained from EDLST subroutine. Shear stiffness, if any, of the foundation is added and the center point eliminated from the stiffness and the load matrices, by condensation. Loads, due to gravity, are added for the elements in the newly-placed increment. All this load-stiffness information computed for the element is added to the total load and stiffness in the subroutine STIFF.

#### b. Calculation of Displacements

After the stiffness and load matrices for a stage are computed, the resulting equations are solved by calling the subroutine BANSOL. This subroutine uses Gaussian elimination technique developed for banded equations by Wilson (4). The displacements calculated are for the load increment only and are added in the MAIN program to the total displacements to obtain cumulative displacement history for all nodal points. These total displacements are printed out.

#### c. Calculation of Stresses and Creep Effects

With the displacements known, the next step is to calculate element stresses. This is done by CREEP subroutine. It calls STRESS subroutine for each element for evaluation of stresses which are printed out. The STRESS subroutine calls QUAD to obtain the strain displacement law and the stiffness for the element. This is used to obtain the element strains and thence the stress due to displacements. To this stress are added the previously stored element stresses and the unbalanced residual stresses to obtain resultant stresses in the global system. Determination of principal stresses is carried out in the usual manner. The principal stress values are used in CREEP to define the stress state for the bimodular material. If the material shows creep, the stresses are then modified for relaxation without any strain for the interval of time up to the next analysis. The creep parameters, if time-dependent, are stored and the change in stress stored as residual stress to be included in the next analysis.

# APPENDIX B

# COMPUTER PROGRAM USAGE

#### APPENDIX B. COMPUTER PROGRAM USAGE

### Input Data

The first step in the structural analysis of a two-dimensional plane strain structure is to select a finite element representation of the cross-section of the body. Elements and nodal points are then numbered in two sequences each starting with one. The following group of punched cards numerically define the two-dimensional structure to be analyzed.

a. Identification Card - (72H)

Columns 1 to 72 of this card contain information to be printed with results.

- System Control Card (415, 4F10.2)  $b<sub>z</sub>$ 
	- Columns 1-5 Total number of nodal points in the structure  $(450 \text{ max.})$ 
		- 6-10 Total number of elements in the structure  $(400 \text{ max.})$
		- 11-15 Number of different materials in the structure  $(8$  max.)
		- 16-20 Total number of lifts in the incremental structure (20 max.)
		- 21-30 Reference temperature (stress free temperature)

31-40 Time of first analysis

- 41-50 Time interval for creep analysis
- $51 60 = 0$  implies temperature-dependent material properties

 $\neq$  0 implies time-dependent material properties

d. Material Property Information

The following group of cards must be supplied for each different material:

First Card -  $(315, F10, 0)$ 

Columns 1-5 Material identification - any number from 1 to 8

- 6-10 Number of different times/temperatures for which elastic properties are given - 30 maximum
- 11-15 Number of different times/temperatures for which creep properties are given - 15 maximum. Zero in this column shows that the material shows no creep

16-25 Unit weight of the material

Following Cards: These are in the following three groups:

i. Elastic Properties Cards (6F10.0). One card for each time/temperature.

Columns 1-10 Time or Temperature

11-20 Modulus of elasticity in compression

21-30 Poisson's ratio in compression

- 31-40 Modulus of elasticity in tension
- 41-50 Shear foundation factor  $G/H^2$  or the area of a bar element

51-60 Coefficient of thermal expansion  $\alpha$ 

Creep Cards (F10.0, 4E10.3) - One card for each time/ ii. temperature.

Columns 1-10 Time or Temperature

11-20<br>21-30<br> $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  for creep in compression 31-40<br>41-50<br> $A_3$ ,  $A_4$  for creep in tension

iii. Creep Cards (6F10.0) - One card for each material.

Columns 
$$
1-10
$$
  $n_1$ ,  $m_2$  for creep in compression

\n $21-30$   $m_3$ ,  $m_4$  for creep in tension

\n $31-40$ 

For creep, McHenry's equation is used taking only the first two terms of the sequence and considering indexes of e to be time/temperature invariant. Thus, the equation is for compression

$$
\frac{\varepsilon_c(t)}{\sigma} = \frac{1}{E_c(t)} + A_1(\tau) (1 - e^{-m_1(t - \tau)}) + A_2(\tau) (1 - e^{-m_2(t - \tau)})
$$

for tension

$$
\frac{\epsilon_{t}(t)}{\sigma} = \frac{1}{E_{+}(t)} + A_{3}(\tau) (1 - e^{-m_{3}(t - \tau)}) + A_{4}(\tau) (1 - e^{-m_{4}(t - \tau)})
$$

d. Nodal Point Cards  $(I5, F5.0, 4F10.0)$ 

One card for each nodal point with the following information:

Columns 1-5 Nodal point number

6-10 Number which indicates if displacements or forces are to be specified

- $11-20$  X-ordinate
- $21-30$  Y-ordinate
- $31 40$  XR
- 41-50 XZ
- If the number in Columns 5-10 is
	- $\mathbf{0}$ . XR is the specified X-load and
		- is the specified Y-load. X
	- l. XR is the specified X-displacement and
		- $\mathbf x$ is the specified Y-load.
	- $2.$ XR is the specified X-load and
		- $\mathbf X$ is the specified Y-displacement.
	- 3. XR is the specified X-displacement and

 $\mathbf{X}$ is the specified Y-displacement.

All loads are considered to be total forces acting on an element of unit thickness. Nodal point cards must be in numerical sequence. **If** cards are omitted, the omitted nodal points are generated at equal intervals along a straight line between the defined nodal points. The boundary code (Columns 6-10), XR and XZ are set equal to zero.

Element Cards  $(615, 3F10, 0)$  $e<sub>r</sub>$ 

One card for each element.

Columns 1-5 Element



- 1. For a right-handed coordinate system, order nodal points counterclockwise around.
- $2.$ Maximum difference between nodal points must be less than  $27$ .

Element cards must be in element number sequence. If element cards are omitted, the program automatically generates the omitted information by incrementing by one the preceding  $I, J, K,$  and  $L,$  and by linearly interpolating the initial stresses. The material identification code for the generated cards is set equal to the value on the last card. The last element card must always be supplied.

Triangular elements are identified by repeating the last nodal point number (i.e., I, J, K, K). One-dimensional bar elements are identified by a nodal point numbering sequence of the form I, J, J, I.

In lift by lift construction, elements must be numbered in liftwise sequence.

Control Card for Stage Analysis (615,3F10.0) f.

This card is required to mark the change in size of the structure on placement of additional lift.

Columns 1-5 Number of lifts in the analyses

6-10 Number of nodal points in the analyses

11-15 Number of elements in the analyses

16-20 Number of pressure boundary cards (100 max.)

21-25 Number of approximations for bimodular analysis

at each time stage

26-30 Number of analyses at this stage of construction

31-40 Time interval between successive analyses.

41-50 Time of placement of last lift

51-60 Time of placement of next lift

This card is followed by sets of temperature and pressure boundary cards for each analysis. (Number of sets = Number in columns 26-30 of  $Card F$ .)

- i. Temperature loads (12F6.0). Temperatures of all nodal points in the analysis are input in the above format.
- Pressure changes (215, F10.0). One card for each boundary ii. element subject to a normal pressure. (Number of cards = Number in Columns 16-20 of Card F.)

Columns 1-5 Nodal point I

6-10 Nodal point J

11-20 Change in normal pressure from previous input value



As shown above, the boundary element must be on the left as one progresses from I to J. Surface tensile force is defined as a negative pressure.  $\sim 10^{11}$  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{max}}$  , and  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{max}}$ 

# Output Information

The following information is developed and printed by the program:

- Reprint of input data  $a<sub>z</sub>$
- Nodal point displacements  $b.$
- c. Stresses at center of each element

# APPENDIX C

# FORTRAN LISTING OF COMPUTER PROGRAM
```
SIBFTC MAIN
              DECK
\mathsf{C}ARBITRARY TWO-DIMENSIONAL STRESS STRUCTURE INCLUDING INCREMENTAL
Ċ
      CONSTRUCTION, MCHENRY CREEP, RESIDUAL STRESSES, THERMAL STRESSES,
      VARYING PRESSURE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS, AND BIMODULAR MATERIAL
\mathsf{C}\overline{C}PROPERTIES.
\overline{C}COMMON NUMNP, NUMEL, NUMPC, N, VOL, TEMP, MTYPE, Q, NLAY, LAY, NUMN, NANAL, NP
    1MLA(20), TIM, (20), TIMDT, TI, (06, SYC, ST(3, 10), TIMLA(20), NUME(20), TIM,
    2TTTI(15), NUMOL, TIMECOMMON /MATARG/ E(30,6,8),RO(8),EE(5),HED(12),CIC(15,4,8),
    1CC(4,8), NCREEP(8)COMMON /ELEARG/ IX(400,5), MTAG(400), SIG(400, 5), TOLD(400),
    1DE11(400),DE12(400),DE21(400),DE22(400),DSIG(6),CCO(4),CCC(4)
      COMMON /PRSARG/ IBC(100), JBC(100), PR(100)
      COMMON /ORDARG/ R(450),Z(450),UR(450),UZ(450),CODE(450),T(450)
      COMMON /BANARG/ MBAND, NUMBLK, B(108), A(108, 54)
      COMMON /LS4ARG/ I,J,K,S(10,10),C(3,3),D(3,3),H(3,3),P(10),LM(4),
    1 F(3,3)\mathsf CDIMENSION FF(900)
\subsetREAD AND PRINT OF CONTROL INFORMATION AND MATERIAL PROPERTIES
\mathsf{C}50 READ (5,1000) HED,NUMNP,NUMEL,NUMMAT,NLAY,Q,TI,DTT,T1
     WRITE (6,2000) HED, NUMNP, NUMEL, NUMMAT, NLAY, Q, TI, DTT
\subset56 DO 59 M=1, NUMMAT
     READ (5,1001) MTYPE, NUMTC, NCREEP (MTYPE), RO(MTYPE)
     WRITE (6,2011) MTYPE, NUMTC, NCREEP (MTYPE), RO(MTYPE)
     READ (5,1005) ((E(I,J,MTYPE),J=1,6),I=1,MUMTC)
     WRITE (6,2010) ((E(I,J,MTYPE),J=1,6),I=1,NUMTC)
     DO 58 I=NUMTC, 30
     D0 58 J=1,658 E(I,J,MTYPE)=E(NUMTC,J,MTYPE)
     IF (NCREEP(MTYPE)) 54,59,54
   54 NCR=NCREEP(MTYPE)
     READ (5,1003)
                   (TTT(I), (CIC(I), J), MTYPE), (J=1, 4), I=1, NCR)WRITE (692013) MTYPE9 (TTT(I)9(CIC(I)JJMTYPE)9J=1,4)9I=1,NCR)
     READ (5,1005) (CC(I,MTYPE),T=1,4)WRITE (6,2014) (CC(I,MTYPE), I=1,4)
   59 CONTINUE
C
READ AND PRINT OF NODAL POINT DATA
C
WRITE (6, 2004)L = 060 READ (5,1002) N, CODE(N), R(N), Z(N), UR(N), UZ(N)
     NL = L + 12X = N - LDR = (R(N)-R(L)) / ZXDZ = (Z(N) - Z(L)) / ZX70 L=L+1IF(N-L) 100,90,80
```

```
80 CODE(L)=0.0
    R(L)=R(L-1)+DR2(1) = 2(1 - 1) + D2UR(L) = 0.0UZ(L)=0.0GO TO 70
  90 WRITE (6,2002) (K,CODE(K),R(K),Z(K),UR(K),UZ(K),K=NL,N)
    IF(NUMNP-N) 100,110,60
 100 WRITE (6,2012) N
    CALL EXIT
 110 CONTINUE
\mathsf{C}READ AND PRINT OF ELEMENT PROPERTIES
WRITE (6,2001)
    N = 0130 READ (5,1006) M_2(IX(M_2I),I=1,5),(SIG(M_3I),I=1,3)
 140 N=N+1IF (M-N) 170,170,150150 1 \times (N_{9}1) = 1 \times (N-1_{9}1) + 1IX(N_92)=IX(N-1_92)+1IX(N,3) = IX(N-1,3)+1IX(N,4) = IX(N-1,4)+1IX(N, 5) = IX(N-1, 5)ZX = M - N + 1DR = (SIG(M<sub>0</sub>1)-SIG(N-1<sub>0</sub>1))/ZXDZ = (SIG(M, 2) - SIG(M - 1, 2)) / ZXDA = (SIG(M, 3) - SIG(N-1, 3)) / ZXSIG(N,1)=SIG(N-1,1)+DRSIG(N, 2) = SIG(N-1, 2) + DZSIG(N,3)=SIG(N-1,3)+DA170 WRITE (6,2003) N,(IX(N,I),I=1,5),(SIG(N,I),I=1,3)
    IF (M-N) 180, 180, 140180 IF (NUMEL-N) 190,190,130
 190 CONTINUE
\subsetDETERMINE BAND WIDTH
J=0DO 340 N=1, NUMEL
    DO 340 1=1,4DO 325 L=1,4
    KK = IABS(IX(NoI)-IX(NoL))
    IF (KK-J) 325,325,320
 320 J=KK
 325 CONTINUE
 340 CONTINUE
    MBAND = 2*J+2SOLVE INCREMENTAL STRUCTURE BY LAYERS
NUMOL = 0NANAL=0TIME=TITIM = TI
```

```
\subsetDETERMINE TYPE OF STRESS STATE IN ELEMENTS
DO 450 N=1, NUMEL
   TOLD(N) = QSIG(N,4)=0.
   SIG(N, 5) = 0.
   MTAG(N)=1IF (SIG(N_91)) 445_9445_9440440 JF (SIG(Nol) + SIG(No2)) 441, 441, 442
 441 MTAG(N)=2
   GO TO 450
 442 MTAG(N)=3
 445 IF (SIG(No2)) 450.450.448
 448 MTAG(N)=4
 450 CONTINUE
\mathsf C\mathsf CINITIALIZE DISPLACEMENTS
\mathsf{C}[70, 460, N=1, NUMNP]FF(2*N-1)=0.
 460 FF(2*N)=0.
    DO 600 LLL = 1 sNLAYINPUT OF LAYER INFORMATION
\mathsf{C}550 READ (5,1006) LAY, NUMN, NUME(LAY), NUMPC, NP, NDT, DT, TIMLA(LAY), TIMNL
    WRITE (6,2008) LAY,NUMN,NUME(LAY),NUMPC,NP,NDT,DT,TIMLA(LAY),TIMNL
   NNAL=0READ AND PRINT OF DATA FOR EACH LOADING STAGE
C
400 NNAL=NNAL+1
    IF(NNAL-NDT) 410,410,590410 NANAL=NANAL+1
   READ (5,1007) (T(KK), KK=1,NUMN)WR
ITE (6,2009) NANAL,LAY,(KK,T(KK),KK=1,NUMN)
\mathsf{C}READ AND PRINT OF PRESSURE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
IF (NUMPC) 290,310,290
 290 WRITE (6,2005)
    DO 300 L = 1.9 NUMPC
    READ (5.1004) IBC(L) JBC(L), PR(L)300 WRITE (6,2007) IBC(L), JBC(L), PR(L)
 310 CONTINUE
    NUMNL = NUMBER (LAY)IF (NP-1) 435,435,500
 435 DO 350 N=1, NUMNL
 350 MTAG(N)=1
 500 CONTINUE
SOLVE BIMODULAR STRUCTURE BY SUCCESSIVE APPROXIMATION
\mathsf{C}NCOUNT=0
```

```
DO 570 NNN=1, NP
  425 NCOUNT=NCOUNT+1
\mathsf{C}\mathsf CFORM STIFFNESS MATRIX
\mathsf CCALL STIFF
\mathsf C\mathsf{C}SOLVE FOR DISPLACEMENTS
\subsetCALL BANSOL
\overline{C}IF (NCOUNT-NP) 525,510,510
  510 DO 520 N=1, NUMN
      FF(2*N-1)=FF(2*N-1)+B(2*N-1)520 FF(2*N)=FF(2*N)+B(2*N)
      WRITE (6,2006) (N,FF(2*N-1),FF(2*N),N=1,NUMN)\subset\mathsf CCOMPUTE STRESSES ALLOWING FOR CREEP
\mathsf{C}525 CALL CREEP
\mathsf{C}570 CONTINUE
      NUMOL=NUME(LAY)
      TIME+D1/2.TIME=TIME+DT
      GO TO 400
  590 IF(TIME-TIMNL) 550,600,600
  600 CONTINUE
GO TO 50
1000 FORMAT (12A6/4I5,4F10.2)
 1001 FORMAT (315, F10.0)
 1002 FORMAT (15, F5.0, 4F10.0)
 1003 FORMAT (F10.0,4E10.3)
 1004 FORMAT (215, F10.0)
 1005 FORMAT (6F10.0)
 1006 FORMAT (615,3F10.0)
 1007 FORMAT(18X,6F6.1)
 2000 FORMAT (1H1 12A6/
    1 40HO NUMBER OF NODAL POINTS---------------- 13/
     2 40HO NUMBER OF ELEMENTS--------------------- 13/
     3 40HO NUMBER OF DIFFERENT MATERIALS--------- 13/
    4 40HO NUMBER OF LAYERS IN THE STRUCTURE----- 13/
     5 40HO REFERENCE TEMPFRATURE ----------------- F10.4/
    6 40HO TIME OF FIRST ANALYSIS----------------- Flo.4/
     7 40HO TIME INTERVAL FOR CREEP ANALYSIS------ Fln.4)
                                  I J K
 2001 FORMAT (92HIELEMENT NO.
                                                         MATERIAL
                                                  \mathsf{L}SI
     1G1-RESIDUAL
                    SIG2-RESIDUAL
                                     ANGLE )
 2002 FORMAT (I12, F12.2, 2F12.3, 2E24.7)
 2003 FORMAT (1113,416,1112,2F17,3,F9.3)
                                     TYPE X-ORDINATE
 2004 FORMAT
            (97HINODAL POINT
                                                       Y-ORDINATE
                                                                   X LO
    1AD OR DISPLACEMENT Y LOAD OR DISPLACEMENT)
2005 FORMAT (29HOPRESSURE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS/ 24H
                                                       \mathbf{I}J
                                                                  PRESS
    1URE)
```
2006 FORMAT (12H1N.P. NUMBER 18X 2HUX 18X 2HUY / (1I12,2E20.7)) 2007 FORMAT (216, F12.3) 2008 FORMAT (50H1 NUMRER OF LAYERS IN THE ANALYSIS--------------------1 50H0 NUMBER OF NODAL POINTS IN THE ANALYSIS --------== 15/ 2 50HO NUMBER OF ELEMENTS IN THE ANALYSIS-------------------3 50H0 NUMBER OF PRESSURE CARDS FOR THE ANALYSIS ------= 15/ 4 50HO NUMBER OF APPROXIMATIONS FOR STRESS CALCULATION=15/ 5 50H0 NUMBER OF TIME INTERVALS FOR ANALYSIS ----------= 15/ 6 50HO TIME INTERVAL BETWEEN SUCCESSIVE ANALYSES ------ F10.3/ 7 50H0 TIME OF LAYING THE TOP LIFT---------------------F10.3/ 8 50HO TIME OF LAYING THE NEXT LIFT--------------------F10.3) 2009 FORMAT (42H1 NODAL TEMPERATURES FOR ANALYSIS NUMBER 15, 1 21H STRUCTURE UPTO LIFT 15// 2 120H  $NP<sub>e</sub>$ TEMP.  $NP<sub>•</sub>$ TEMP. TEMP.  $NP<sub>•</sub>$  $\overline{3}$  $NP<sub>a</sub>$ TEMP.  $NP<sub>e</sub>$  $TEMP$ .//  $NP<sub>a</sub>$ TEMP. 4 (112, F8.3, I12, F8.3, I12, F8.3, I12, F8.3, I12, F8.3, I12, F8.3) 2010 FORMAT (15HO TEMP./TIME 10X 5HE(C) 9X 6HNU  $11X 4HE(T)$ 1 10X 5HG/H2 10X 5HALPHA/ 2  $(F15, 3, 4F15, 5, E15, 5)$ 2011 FORMAT (17HOMATERIAL NUMBER= 13, 30H, NUMBER OF TEMP./TIME CARDS = 113,24H, NUMBER OF CREEP CARDS=13, 15H, MASS DENSITY= E12.4) 2012 FORMAT (26HONODAL POINT CARD ERROR N= 15) 2013 FORMAT (17HO MATERIAL NUMBER 15// 1111H COEFFICIENT FUNCTIONS A(T) IN MCHENRYS EQUATION STRAIN(T) =  $2STRAIN(0)+A1(T)(1-EXP(-M1*T))+A2(T)(1-EXP(-M2*T))//$ 310X, 10HTEMP./TIME 11X, 24HA1, A2 FOR COMPRESS.CREEP 12X, 23HA3, A4 4FOR TENSILE CREEP// 38X, 2HA1, 13X, 2HA2, 18X, 2HA3, 13X, 2HA4//  $5(10x, 510, 3, 10x, 510, 3, 5x, 510, 3, 10x, 510, 3, 5x, 510, 3)$ 2014 FORMAT (30HO INDEXES IN MCHENRYS EQUATION// 130H FOR COMPRESSIVE CREEP  $M1 = E10.3,6X,4HM2 = E10.3/$ 230H FOR TENSILE CREEP  $M3 = E10.3,6X,4H M4 = E10.3$  $\mathsf{C}$ 

```
SIBFTC STIF
             DECK
     SUBROUTINE STIFF
\epsilonCOMMON NUMNP, NUMEL, NUMPC, N, VOL, TEMP, MTYPE, Q, NLAY, LAY, NUMN, NANAL, NP
    1,NDT,NCOUNT,T1,DT,T1,T1,TL,XC,YC,ST(3,10),TIMLA(20),NUME(20),TIM,
    2TTT(15), NUMOL, TIME
      COMMON /MATARG/ E(30,6,8),RO(8),EE(5),HED(12),CIC(15,4,8),
    1CC(4,8), NCREEP(8)
      COMMON /ELEARG/ IX(400,5), MTAG(400), SIG(400,5), TOLD(400),
    1DE11(400),DE12(400),DE21(400),DE22(400),DSIG(6),CCO(4),CCC(4)
      COMMON /PRSARG/ IBC(100), JBC(100), PR(100)
      COMMON /ORDARG/ R(450),Z(450),UR(450),UZ(450),CODE(450),T(450)
      COMMON /BANARG/ MBAND, NUMBLK, B(108), A(108, 54)
      COMMON /LS4ARG/ I_9J_9K_9S(10_910)_9C(3_93)_9D(3_93)_9H(3_93)_9P(10)_9EM(4)_91 F(3, 3)\mathsf{C}\mathsf{C}INITIAI IZATION
REWIND<sub>2</sub>
     NB = 27ND = 2 * NBND2=2*NDSTOP = 0.0NUMBLK=0\mathsf CDO 50 N=1, ND2B(N) = 0.0DO 50 M=1, ND
   50 A(N_5M)=0.0FORM STIFFNESS MATRIX IN BLOCKS
C
60 NUMBLK=NUMBLK+1
     NH = NB * (NUMBLK + 1)NN = NH - NBNL = NM - NB + 1KSHIFT=2*NL-2\subsetN1=1DO 220 M=1, LAY
     TL = TIM - TIMLA(M)N2 = NUME(M)DO 210 N=N1, N2
\mathsf CIF (IX(N,5)) 210.210.65
   65 DO 80 I = 1, 4IF (IX(N<sub>0</sub>1)-NL) 80,70,7070 IF (IX(N,I)-NM) 90,90,8080 CONTINUE
     GO TO 210
\mathsf{C}90 IF (IX(N,3)-IX(N,2)) 92,91,92
   91 CALL ONED
```
 $C-6$ 

```
GO TO 165
   92 CALL QUAD
       IF (VOL) 164, 164, 165
  164 WRITE (6,2003) N
\mathsf CADD ELEMENT STIFFNESS TO TOTAL STIFFNESS
\mathsf C\mathsf{C}165 1X(N_05) = -1X(N_05)DO 166 1=1*4166 LM(1)=2*IX(N,1)-2
\mathsf{C}DO 200 I = 1.44DO 200 K=1,2II=LM(I)+K-KSHIFT
       KK = 2 * 1 - 2 + KB(II) = B(II) + P(KK)DO 200 J=1.4DO 200 L=1,2JJ=LM(J)+L-II+I-KSHIFTLL = 2 +1 - 2 + LIF(JJ) 200,200,175
   175 IF(ND-JJ) 180,195,195
   180 WRITE (6,2004) N
       STOP = 1.0GO TO 210
   195 A(II, JJ)=A(II, JJ)+S(KK, LL)
   200 CONTINUE
   210 CONTINUE
       N1 = N2 + 1IF(N1-NUMEL) 220,220,225
   220 CONTINUE
\mathsf CADD CONCENTRATED FORCES WITHIN BLOCK
\mathsf C\mathsf C225 DO 255 N=NL, NM
        K=2*N-KSHIFTB(K) = B(K) + UZ(N)R(K-1)=B(K-1)+UR(N)IF (NCOUNT-NP) 255,250,250250 IF (N-NUMN) 252,252,255252 \text{ UZ} (N) = 0.UR(N)=0.
   255 CONTINUE
\mathsf{C}\mathsf CBOUNDARY CONDITIONS
\mathsf C\bar{\mathsf{C}}1. PRESSURE B.C.
\mathsf CIF (NUMPC) 260,310,260
   260 DO 300 L=1, NUMPC
        I = IBC(L)J = JBC(L)PP = PR(L)/2.
        DZ = (Z(I) - Z(J)) #PP
        DR = (R(J) - R(I))^*PP
```

```
264 II=2*I-KSHIFT
       JJ=2*J-KSHIFT
       IF (II) 280 \times 280 \times 265265 IF (II-ND) 270,270,280
  270 SINA=0.0
       COSA=1.0IF (CODE(I)) 271,272,272
  271 SINA=SIN(CODE(I)/57.3)
       COSA=COS(CODE(11/57.3)272 B(11-1)=B(11-1)+(COSA*DZ+SINA*DR)B(II) = B(II) - (SIMA*DZ - COSA*DR)280 IF (JJ) 300,300,285
  285 IF (JJ-ND) 290,290,300
  290 SINA=0.0
       COSA=1.0IF (CODE(J)) 291,292,292
  291 SINA=SIN(CODE(J)/57.3)
       COSA=COS(CODE(J)/57.3)292 B(JJ-1)=B(JJ-1)+(COSA*DZ+SINA*DR)
       B(JJ)=B(JJ)-(SINA*DZ-COSA*DR)
  300 CONTINUE
\mathsf C\mathsf C\mathsf C2. DISPLACEMENT B.C.
  310 DO 400 M=NL, NH
       IF (M-NUMN) 315,315,400
  315 \text{ U=UR(M)}N=2*M-1-KSHIFTIF (CODE(M)) 390,400,316
  316 IF (CODE(M)-1.) 317,370,317
  317 IF (CODE(M)-2.) 318,390,318
  318 IF (CODE(M)-3_*) 390,380,390
  370 CALL MODIFY(A,B,ND2,MBAND,N,U)
       GO TO 400
  380 CALL MODIFY(A,B,ND2,MBAND,N,U)
  390 \text{ U=UZ(M)}N = N + 1CALL MODIFY(A,B,ND2,MBAND,N,U)
  400 CONTINUE
\mathsf{C}WRITE BLOCK OF EQUATIONS ON TAPE AND SHIFT UP LOWER BLOCK
\subset\subsetWRITE (2) (B(N) \cdot (A(N) \cdot M) \cdot M=1 \cdot MBAND) \cdot N=1 \cdot ND)\mathsf CDO 420 N=1.00K = N + N DB(N)=B(K)B(K)=0.0DO 420 M=1 MDA(N,M)=A(K,M)420 A(K_5M)=0.0\mathsf{C}\mathsf{C}CHECK FOR LAST BLOCK
\subsetIF (NM-NUMN)
                       60,480,480
```
IF(STOP) 490,500,490 490 CALL EXIT 500 RETURN  $\overline{C}$ 2003 FORMAT (26HONEGATIVE AREA ELEMENT NO. 14) 2004 FORMAT (29HOBAND WIDTH EXCEEDS ALLOWABLE 14) **END** 

 $\sim$ 

 $C-9$ 

\$IBFTC QUDF DECK

 $\overline{C}$ 

 $\mathsf{C}$ 

 $\mathsf{C}$ 

 $\mathsf{C}$ 

Q

 $\mathsf{C}$ 

SUBROUTINE QUAD

```
COMMON NUMNP , NUMEL, NUMPC , N, VOL, TEMP , MTYPE, Q, NLAY, LAY, NUMN, NANAL, NP
     1.9NDT, NCOUNT, TI, DT, DTT, TI, TL, XC, YC, ST(3, 10), TIMLA(20), NUME(20), TIM,
     2TTT(15),NUMOL,TIME
       COMMON /MATARG/ E(30,6,8),RO(8),EE(5),HED(12),CIC(15,4,8),
     1CC(4,8), NCREEP(8)COMMON /ELEARG/ IX(400,5), MTAG(400), SIG(400,5), TOLD(400),
     1DE11(400),DE12(400),DE21(400),DE22(400),DSIG(6),CCO(4),CCC(4)
       COMMON /PRSARG/ IBC(100),JBC(100),PR(100)
       COMMON / ORDARG/ R(450), Z(450), UR(450), UZ(450), CODE(450), T(450)
       COMMON /BANARG/ MBAND, NUMBLK, B(108), A(108, 54)
       COMMON /LS4ARG/ I,J,K,S(10,10),C(3,3),D(3,3),H(3,3),P(10),LM(4)
     1 F(3, 3)I = I \times (N_0 1)J=IX(N,2)K=IX(N,3)L = I \times (N_9 4)IX(N,5)=IABSIIX(N,5))MTYPE = I \times (N_0 5)FORM STRESS-STRAIN RELATIONSHIP INCLUDING TIME OR TEMPERATURE
\mathsf C\bar{C}DEPENDENCE OF ELASTIC CONSTANTS
      TEMP = (T(I) + T(J) + T(K) + T(L)) / 4.0TEM = (TEMP + TOLD(N)) / 2IF (T1) 50*40*5040 DO 103 M=2,30IF (E(M,1,MTYPE)-TEM)103,104,104103 CONTINUE
  104 RATIO=0.0DEFIN = E(M, 1, MTYPE) - E(M - 1, 1, MTYPE)IF (DEN) 70,71,70
   70 RATIO= (TEM-E(M-1,1,MTYPE))/DEN
      GO TO 71
   50 DO 55 M = 2,30IF (F(M,1,MTYPE)-TL) 55,60,60
   55 CONTINUE
   60 RATIO=0_{*}DEN = E(M_01, MTYPE) - E(M-1,1, MTYPE)IF (DEN) 64,71,64
   64 RATIO = (TL-E(M-1,1,MTYPE)) / DEN71 DO 105 KK = 1.5105 EE(KK)=E(M-1,KK+1,MTYPE)+RATIO*(E(M,KK+1,MTYPE)-E(M-1,KK+1,MTYPE))
   76 IF (MTAG(N)-2) 80,80,81
   80 RATIO=EE(2)
      GO TO 82
   81 RATIO = EE(2)*EE(3)/EE(1)82 XX = EE(1)/EE(3)YY=1.
      IF (MTAG(N)-1) 83,83,84
```

```
83 XX=YY84 IF (MTAG(N)-3) 86,86,85
   85 YY=XX
   86 CONTINUE
      UU = YY - EE(2) * RATIOVV=XX-EE(2)*RATIOUV = EE(2)*(1+RATIO)COMM = FE(1)/(VV*UU-UV**2)C(1,1)=UU*COMM
      C(1,2) = UV*COMM
      C(1,3)=0.C(2,1)=C(1,2)C(2,2) = VV * COMM(12,3)=0.C(3,1)=0.
      C(3,2)=0.
      C(3,3)=E E(1)/E E(1)/E E(3)+1+2+E E(2)THETA = SIG(N, 3)/57, 296SS = SIM(THETA)CO=COS(THETA)
       S2 = S5*SSC2 = CO * COSC = S S * CO\subsetDO 87 II=1,3
       DO 87 JJ=1,387 F(11, JJ) = C(11, JJ)\mathsf CD(1,1)=C2D(1,2)=S2D(1,3)=SCD(2,1)=S2D(2,2)=C2D(2,3) = -5CD(3,1)=-2.45CD(3,2) = -D(3,1)D(3,3)=C2-S2\mathsf CDO 88 I = 1,3DO 88 JJ=1,3M(II*JJ)=0*0DO 88 KK=1.388 H(II, JJ)=H(II, JJ) +C(II, KK)*D(KK, JJ)
\mathsf{C}DO 89 II=1,3
       DO 89 JJ=1*3C(11)JJ)=0.0
       DO 89 KK=1,3
   89 C(II, JJ) = C(II, JJ) + D(KK, II) * H(KK, JJ)\mathsf{C}\mathsf C\mathsf{C}FORM QUADRILATERAL STIFFNESS MATRIX
\mathsf{C}DO 100 I = 1, 10P(II) = 0.0
```

```
DO 100 J=1.10100 S(II_9 J) = 0.0\mathsf{C}DO 150 II=1,3
        DO 150 JJ=1.10150 ST(II, JJ) = 0.0
\mathsf{C}VOL = 0.0I = I \times (N * 1)J = I \times (N_2 2)K = I X (N, 4)CALL EDLST(1,3,7)
        I = I \times (N, 3)J = I \times (N_9 4)K=IX(N,2)XC = (R(J) + R(K)) / 2YC = (Z(J) + Z(K)) / 2CALL EDLST(5,7,3)
\mathsf CCALCULATE UNBALANCED LOADS DUE TO TEMPERATURE CHANGE AND STRESS
\mathsf C\mathsf{C}RELAXATION
        \mathsf{TEMP} = (\mathsf{TEMP-TOLD}(\mathsf{N})) * \mathsf{EE}(5)IF(TIM)^{-170,160,170}160 TEMP=0.
   170 CONTINUE
        DSIG(1)=SIG(N,1)*C2+SIG(N,2)*S2DSIG(2)=SIG(N_{0}1)*S2+SIG(N_{0}2)*C2DSIG(3) = (SIG(N_91) - SIG(N_92))*SC
\mathsf{C}DO 190 JJ=1*3190 DSIG(JJ) =-DSIG(JJ) +(C(JJ,1) +C(JJ,2)) *TEMP
        DO 200 II=1,10
        DO 200 JJ=1.3200 P(11)=P(11)+DSTG(JJ)*ST(JJ*TI)*VOL\frac{\varsigma}{\varsigma}ADD SHEAR STIFFNESS OF FOUNDATION
\subsetCOMM=VOL*EE(4)5(9,9)=5(9,9)+COMM
        5(10, 10) = 5(10, 10) + COMM\mathsf{C}\frac{c}{c}ELIMINATE CENTER POINT
        DO 500 K=1*21H = 10 - KID=IH+1DO 500 I = 1, IH
        S(1D, I)=S(1D, I)/S(1D, ID)P(I)=P(I)-P(1D)*S(I) * ID'/S(ID * ID)DO 500 J=1, IH500 S(J, I) = S(J, I) - S(J, ID) * S(1D, I)\subset\mathsf CCALCULATE LOADS DUE TO GRAVITY
\subsetIF(N-NUMOL) 580,580,540
```

```
540 IF(NNAL-1) 550,550,580<br>550 DO 560 I=1,4
   560 P(2*I)=P(2*I)-RO(MTYPE)*VOL/4.
  580 CONTINUE
\bar{C}130 RETURN
\overline{C}
```

```
SIBFTC ONE
                 DECK
       SUBROUTINE ONED
\mathsf{C}COMMON NUMNP , NUMEL, NUMPC, N, VOL, TEMP, MTYPE, Q, NLAY, LAY, NUMN, NANAL, NP
     1.9NDT.9NCOUNT.71.9DT.71.71.7L.8C.9YC.57(3.10).7TIMLA(20).NUME(20).TIM.
     2TTT(15), NUMOL, TIME
        COMMON /MATARG/ E(30,6,8),RO(8),EE(5),HED(12),CIC(15,4,8),
     1CC(4,8), NCREEP(8)
        COMMON /ELEARG/ IX(400,5), MTAG(400), SIG(400,5), TOLD(400),
     1DE11(400), DE12(400), DE21(400), DE22(400), DS1G(6), CC0(4), CC(4)COMMON /PRSARG/ IBC(100), JBC(100), PR(100)
        COMMON /ORDARG/ R(450),Z(450),UR(450),UZ(450),CODE(450),T(450)
        COMMON /BANARG/ MBAND, NUMBLK, B(108), A(108, 54)
        COMMON /LS4ARG/ I_9J_9K_9S(10_910)_9C(3_93)_9D(3_93)_9H(3_93)_9P(10)_9LM(4)_91 F(3, 3)\overline{C}DO 100 1=1*8P(1) = 0.0DO 100 J=1,8100 S(I, J) = 0.0\overline{C}MTYPE = I X (N, 5)I = I \times (N, 1)J=IX(N,2)DX = R(J) - R(I)DY=Z(J)-Z(I)XL = SQRT(DX**2+DY**2)COSA=DX/XL
       SINA=DY/XL
       COMM = E(1, 2, MTYPE) * E(1, 5, MTYPE) / XL\mathsf{C}S(1,1)=COSA*COSA*COMMS(1, 2) = COSA*SINA*COMMS(1,3) = -S(1,1)5(1,4)=-5(1,2)5(2,1)=5(1,2)S(2,2)=SINA*SINA*COMMS(2,3) = -S(1,2)5(2,4)=5(2,2)5(3,1)=5(1,3)5(3,2)=5(2,3)5(3,3)=5(1,1)S(3,4)=S(1,2)S(4,1)=S(1,4)5(4,2)=5(2,4)5(4,3)=5(3,4)5(4,4)=5(2,2)\mathsf{C}EP = SIG(N,1)/E(1,2,MTYPE)DX=DX*EPDY=DY*EPP(1)=S(1,1)*DX+S(1,2)*DYP(2)=S(2) + N+S(2) 2) * DY
      P(3) = -P(1)
```
 $P(4) = -P(2)$ 

## RETURN

END

 $\bar{\mathsf{C}}$ 

 $\bar{\mathsf{C}}$ 

## **SIBFTC EDLS** DECK SUBROUTINE EDLST(N1,N2,N3)  $\mathsf{C}$ COMMON NUMNP, NUMEL, NUMPC, N, VOL, TEMP, MTYPE, Q, NLAY, LAY, NUMN, NANAL, NP 1,NDT,NCOUNT,TI,DT,TI,TL,XC,YC,ST(3,10),TIMLA(20),NUME(20),TIM, 2TTT(15).NUMOL.TIME COMMON /MATARG/  $E(30,66,8)$ , RO(8), EE(5), HED(12), CIC(15, 4, 8),  $1CC(4,8)$ , NCREEP(8) COMMON /ELEARG/ IX(400,5), MTAG(400), SIG(400, 5), TOLD(400), 1DE11(400),DE12(400),DE21(400),DE22(400),DSIG(6),CCO(4),CCC(4) COMMON /PRSARG/ IBC(100), JBC(100), PR(100) COMMON /ORDARG/ X(450), Y(450), UR(450), UZ(450), CODE(450), T(450) COMMON /BANARG/ MBAND, NUMBLK, B(108), A(108, 54) COMMON /LS4ARG/  $I_9J_9K_9S(10,10)$ ,  $C(3,3)$ ,  $D(3,3)$ ,  $H(3,3)$ ,  $P(10)$ ,  $LM(4)$ ,  $1 F(3,3)$ DIMENSION BA(3,2), U(3,4), V(3,4), UV(3,4,2)  $EQUIVALENCE$   $(UV<sub>2</sub>U)$ ,  $(UV(13)$ ,  $V)$  $\mathsf{C}$  $TH=1.0$  $BA(1, 1) = Y(1) - Y(K)$  $BA(2,1)=Y(K)-Y(1)$  $BA(3,1)=Y(1)-Y(1)$  $BA(1, 2) = X(K) - X(J)$  $BA(2, 2) = X(1) - X(K)$  $BA(3,2) = X(1) - X(1)$  $AREA = (X(J)*BA(2,1)+X(T)*BA(1,1)+X(K)*BA(3,1))/2$ IF (AREA)  $400, 400, 100$ 100 VOL=VOL+AREA  $COMM = TH/(48, *AREA)$  $C11=C(1,1) * COMM$  $C12 = C(1, 2)*COMM$  $C13=C(1,3)*COMM$  $C22=C(2, 2)*COMM$  $C23=C(2,3)*COMM$  $C33=C(3,3)*COMM$  $\mathsf C$  $\epsilon$ DO 150  $M=1,2$  $D1 = BA(1, M)$  $D2 = BA(2 M)$  $D3 = BA(3, M)$  $UV(1,1,1,M)=D1$  $UV(2, 1, M) = D1$  $UV(3,1,M)=D1$  $UV(1, 2, M) = D2$  $UV(2,2,1) = D2 - 2,103$  $UV(3, 2, M) = -D2$  $UV(1*3*M)=D3$  $UV(2,3, M) = -D3$  $UV(3,3,1) = D3 - 2,102$  $UV(1, 4, M) = 0$ .  $UV(2, 4, M) = 4, M)$ 150 UV(3,4,M)=4.\*D2

```
LM(1)=N1LM(2)=N2LM(3)=N3LM(4)=9\mathsf{C}COMM = 8. * AREA
      DO 300 1 = 1.4TI=LM(1)\mathsf CUU = (U(2 \cdot I) + U(3 \cdot I)) / COMMVV = (V(2,1)+V(3,1)) / COMMST(1,11)=ST(1,11)+UUST(2,11+1)=ST(2,11+1)+VVST(3,11)=ST(3,11)+VVST(3,11+1)=ST(3,11+1)+UU\mathsf{C}SUM = U(1, I) + U(2, I) + U(3, I)SUM1=SUM+U(1,1)SUM2 = SUM+U(2, I)SUM3=SUM+U(3,1)SUM=V(1, I)+V(2, I)+V(3, I)SVM1=SUM+V(1,I)SVM2=SUM+V(2,I)SVM3 = SUM+V(3,1)DO 300 J=1.4JJ=LM(J)UQU=U(1, J)*SUM1+U(2, J)*SUM2+U(3, J)*SUM3VQU=V(1, J)*SUM1+V(2, J)*SUM2+V(3, J)*SUM3VQV = V(1, J) * SVM1 + V(2, J) * SVM2 + V(3, J) * SVM3UQV=U(1, J)*SVM1+U(2, J)*SVM2+U(3, J)*SVM3S(II, JJ) = S(II, JJ) + C11*UQU+C13*(VQU+UQU) + C33*VQUS(II+1,1+1)=S(II+1,JJ+1)+ C22*VQV+C23*(VQU+UQV)+C33*UQU
       S(II, JJ+1)=S(II, JJ+1)+ C23*VQV+C13*UQU+VQU*C12+C33*UQV
  300 S(JJ+1,I) = S(II, JJ+1)\mathsf{C}
```
## $\mathsf C$

**END** 

400 RETURN

```
SIBETC MODI DECK
      SUBROUTINE MODIFY(A,B,NEQ,MBAND,N,U)
\mathsf CDIMENSION A(108,54), B(108)
\mathsf CDO 250 M=2, MBAND
      K = N - M + 1IF(K) 235,235,230
  230 B(K)=B(K)-A(K,M)*U
      A(K, M) = 0.0235 K=N+M-1IF(NEQ-K) 250,240,240240 B(K)=B(K)-A(N,M)*U
      A(N, M) = 0.0250 CONTINUE
      A(N, 1) = 1.0B(N) = URETURN
\subset
```

```
SIBFTC BAND
                DECK
       SUBROUTINE BANSOL
\mathsf{C}COMMON /BANARG/ MM, NUMBLK, B(108), A(54, 108)
\mathsf{C}DIMENSION NAB(34)
\mathsf CNN = 54CALL TIME (Z,NNN)
      NCOUNT = NN*NNJUMPA = NCOUNT/460+ 1
       JUMPB = NN/460 + 1NTRACK=1NL = NN + 1NH = NN + NNREWIND<sub>2</sub>
      NB = 0GO TO 150
\mathsf{C}REDUCE EQUATIONS BY BLOCKS
\mathsf C\mathsf{C}1. SHIFT BLOCK OF EQUATIONS
\subset100 \text{ NB} = NB + 1DO 125 N=1, NN
      NN = NN + NB(N)=B(NM)B(NM) = 0.0DO 125 M=1, MM
      A(M,N) = A(M,N)125 A(M, NM) = 0.0\subset\mathsf{C}2. READ NEXT BLOCK OF EQUATIONS INTO CORE
\subsetIF (NUMBLK-NB) 150,200,150
  150 READ (2) (B(N), (A/M))N \rightarrow N + M = 1, MM \rightarrow N = NL, NHIF (NB) 200,100,200
\mathsf{C}\in3. REDUCE BLOCK OF EQUATIONS
\mathbf C200 DO 300 N=1, NN
      IF (A(1,N))225.300<sub>9</sub>225
  225 B(N) = B(N) / A(1,N)DO 275 L=2, MM
      IF (A(L,N))230.275,230
  230 C = A(L,N) / A(1,N)
      I = N + L - 1J=0DO 250 K=L, MM
      J = J + 1250 A(J_{9}I) = A(J_{9}I) - C * A(K_{9}N)B(I) = B(I) - A(L_9N) * B(N)A(L,N) = C
```

```
275 CONTINUE
 300 CONTINUE
\mathsf{C}\mathsf C4. WRITE BLOCK OF REDUCED EQUATIONS ON TAPE 2
\overline{C}IF (NUMBLK-NB) 375,400,375
 375 IF(NCOUNT+NN=GT=(39-MOD(NTRACK=40))*460) NTRACK=(NTRACK/40)*40+40
     NAB(NB) = NTRACKCALL WRDISK ( NTRACK, A, NCOUNT )
     NTRACK = NTRACK + JUMPA
     CALL WRDISK ( NTRACK, B, NN )
     NTRACK = NTRACK + JUMPB
     GO TO 100
\mathsf{C}BACK-SUBSTITUTION
400 DO 450 M=1, NN
     N = NN + 1 - MDO 425 K=2, MM
     L=N+K-1425 B(N) = B(N) - A(K,N) * B(L)
     NM = N + NNB(NM) = B(N)450 A(NB_9NM) = B(N)
     NB = NB - 1IF (NB) 475,500,475
  475 NTRACK = NAB(NB)
     CALL RDDISK ( NTRACK, A, NCOUNT )
     NTRACK = NTRACK + JUMPACALL RDDISK ( NTRACK, B, NN )
     GO TO 400
ORDER UNKNOWNS IN B ARRAY
\mathsf{C}500 K=0DO 600 NB=1, NUMBLK
     DO 600 N=1, NN
     NN = N + NNK = K + 1600 B(K) = A(NB_) NM)
\mathsf{C}CALL TIME (Z,MMM)
     N = MMM - NNNWRITE (6,1) N
C
     RETURN
\mathsf{C}1 FORMAT (17H4TIME IN BANSOL = 18, 13H MILLESECONDS///)
\mathsf{C}END
```

```
SIBETC CRFF
                 DECK
       SUBROUTINE CREEP
\overline{C}COMMON NUMNP, NUMEL, NUMPC, N, VOL, TEMP, MTYPE, Q, NLAY, LAY, NUMN, NANAL, NP
      1MDT,MCOUNT,T1,T1,T1,T6XC,YC,ST(3,10),TIMLA(20),NUME(20),TIM,
      2TTT(15).NUMOL.TIME
        COMMON /MATARG/ E(30,6,8), RO(8), EE(5), HED(12), CIC(15, 4, 8),
      1CC(4,8), NCREEP(8)
        COMMON /ELEARG/ IX(400,5), MTAG(400), STG(400, 5), TOLD(400),
     1DE11(400), DE12(400), DE21(400), DE22(400), DS1G(6), CCO(4), CCC(4)
        COMMON /PRSARG/ IBC(100), JBC(100), PR(100)
        COMMON /ORDARG/ R(450),Z(450),UR(450),UZ(450),CODE(450),T(450)
        COMMON /BANARG/ MBAND, NUMBLK, B(108), A(108, 54)
        COMMON /LS4ARG/ I_9J_9K_9S(10_910)_9C(3_93)_9D(3_93)_9H(3_93)_9P(10)_9LM(4)_91F(3,3)\subsetMPRINT=0N1=1DO 600 M=1, LAY
       N2 = NUME(M)DO 550 MM=N1.N2
       N = MMTL = TIM - TIMLA(M)\subset\subsetEVALUATE ELEMENT STRESSFS
\mathsf CCALL STRESS
\subsetIF (1X(N_{9}2)-1X(N_{9}3)) 255,104,255
  255 MTAG(N)=1
       IF (DSIG(4)) 104*104*259259 IF (DSIG(4)+DSIG(5)) 260,260,261
  260 MTAG(N)=2
       GO TO 104
  261 MTAG(N)=3
  265 IF (DSIG(5)) 104,104,266
  266 MTAG(N)=4
\mathsf{C}104 IF (MPRINT) 106,105,106
  IO5 WRITE(6,2000) LAY, NANAL, TIME, NCOUNT
       MPRINT=50
  106 MPRINT=MPRINT-1
\mathsf{C}305 WRITE (6,2001) N, XC, YC, (DSIG(I), I=1,6)
\subset\mathsf CMODIFY STRESSES FOR CREEP IF APPLICABLE
\subsetIF (NCOUNT-NP) 550, 50, 5050 IF (IX(N,2)-IX(N,3)) 55,550,55
C
\subsetINTERPOLATION OF CREEP COEFFICIENTS
\subset55 I = I \times (N \cdot 1)J=IX(N,2)
```

```
K=IX(N,3)L = I \times (N_9 4)IX(N,5) = IABS(IX(N,5))MTYPE = I X(N, 5)TEMP=(T(T) + T(J) + T(K) + T(L))/4.
      TOLD(N) = TEMPIF (NCREEP(MTYPE)) 250,250,60
   60 NCR=NCREEP(MTYPE)
      IF(T1) 120,110,120
  110 TL=TEMP
  120 DO 140 NN=2, NCR
       IF (TL-TTT(NN)) 125,150,140
  125 TM=TTT(NN)-TTT(NN-1)
      DIFF = TL-TTT(NN-1)DO 130 KK=1,4130 CCO(KK)=CIC(NN-1,KK,MTYPE)+DIFF*(CIC(NN,KK,MTYPE)-CIC(NN-1,KK,MTYP
     1E) /TMGO TO 160
  140 CONTINUE
  150 DO 155 KK=1,4
  155 CCO(KK)=CIC(NN,KK,MTYPE)
  160 DO 165 KK=1,4165 CCC(KK)=CC(KK,MTYPE)
\subset\mathsf CSELECT APPROPRIATE CONSTANTS
\mathsf CIF (DSIG(4)) 170,170,175
  170 KK=1
      GO TO 180
  175 KK=3
  180 CCO1=CCO(KK)
      CCO2=CCO(KK+1)CCO3=CCO1CCO4=CCO2CCCI=CCC(KK)CCC2=CCC(KK+1)CCC3=CCC1CCC4=CCC2IF (DSIG(5)) 185,185,190
  185 CCO3=CCO(1)
      CC04=CCO(2)CCC3=CCC(1)CCC4=CCC(2)190 CONTINUE
C.
\subsetMODIFICATION OF STRESSES TO ALLOW FOR CREEP. RELAXATION OF STRESS
\subsetAT CONSTANT STRAIN ON THE APPLICATION OF A TIME INCREMENT
\mathsf CTHETA = (DSIG(6) - SIG(N_93))/57.296
      CO = COS(THETA)
      SS = SIM(THETA)C2 = CO * COS2 = S5 * S5SIG1=C2*(SIG(N_94)+SIG(N_91))+S2*(SIG(N_95)+SIG(N_92))SIG2=SZ*ISIG(N,4)+SIG(N,1)+CZ*ISIG(N,5)+SIG(N,2)
```

```
191 DE11(N)=DSIG(4)*CCC2*CCO2
      DE12(N) = DS1G(4) * CC1 * CC01DE21(N)=DS1G(5)*CCC4*CC04DE22(N) = DSIG(5) * CCC3 * CCO3GO TO 195
  192 DET11= C2*DE11(N)+S2*DE21(N)
      DEF 12 = C2*DE12(N)*S2*DE22(N)DEF21 = S2*DE11(N)*C2*DE21(N)DET22 = S2*DE12(N)+C2*DE22(N)\mathsf{C}DE11(N) = DET11 + (DS1G(4) - SIG1)*CCC2*CCO2DE12(N) = DET12+(DS1G(4)-S1G1)*CCCI*CC01DE21(N) = DET21+(DS1G(5)-S1G2)*CCC4*CCO4DE22(N) = DET22 + (DSIG(5) - SIG2)*CCC3*CCO3195 CONTINUE
       SIG(N_91)=0SIG(N, 2) = 0.
\subsetTR = 0220 TR=TR+DTT
       DELT_A1 = (DE11(N) + DE12(N)) * DTTDELTA2 = (DE21(N)+DE22(N)) * DTTSIGI=DELTAI*F(1,1)SIG2 = DFLTA2*F(2,2)SIG(N_91)=SIG(N_91)-SIG1SIG(N, 2) = SIG(N, 2) - SIG2\mathsf{C}\subsetCALCULATION OF CREEP RATES FOR THE NEXT TIME INTERVAL
\subsetDE11(N) = DEL1(N)*(1,-DTT*CCC2)DE12(N) = DE12(N)*11_{e} - DTT*CCC1)DE21(N) = DE21(N)*(1,-DTT*CCC4)DE22(N)=DE22(N)*(1,-DTT*CCC3)\subsetDE11(N)=DE11(N)-S1G1*CCC2*CCO2DE12(N)=DE12(N)-S1G1*CCC1*CCO1DE21(N)=DF21(N)-SIG2*CCC4*CCO4DE22(N) = DE22(N) - SI62*CCC3*CCO3IF (TR-DT) 220,250,250
  250 SIG(N,3)=DSIG(6)
       SIG(N, 4) = DSIG(4)SIG(N, 5) = DSIG(5)550 CONTINUE
       N1 = N2 + 1IF (N1-NUMEL) 600,600,650
  600 CONTINUE
  650 CONTINUE
\subsetRETURN
\mathsf C2000 FORMAT (19H1 NUMBER OF LIFTS = 15,18H ANALYSIS NUMBER = 15/116H STRESSES AFTER F10.3,24HTIME, APPROXIMATION NO. 15/
     17H EL.NO. 7X 1HX 7X 1HY 4X 8HX-STRESS 4X 8HY-STRESS 3X 9HXY-STRESS
```
IF (T1) 192,191,192

2 2X 10HMAX-STRESS 2X 10HMIN-STRESS 7H ANGLE) 2001 FORMAT (17,2F8.2,1P5E12.4,0P1F7.2)

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ 

```
SIRETC STRES
             DECK
     SUBROUTINE STRESS
\epsilonCOMMON NUMNP, NUMEL, NUMPC, N, VOL, TEMP, MTYPE, Q, NLAY, LAY, NUMN, NANAL, NP
    1IMLA(20),NUME(20),TIM,AC,PC,YC,ST(3,10),TIMLA(20),NUME(20),TIM(
    2TTTI(15), NUMOL, TIMFCOMMON /MATARG/ E(30,6,8),RO(8),EE(5),HED(12),CIC(15,4,8),
    1CC(4,8), NCREEP(8)COMMON /ELEARG/ IX(400,5), MTAG(400), SIG(400,5), TOLD(400),
    10E11(400),DE12(400),DE21(400),DE22(400),DSIG(6),CCO(4),CCC(4)
      COMMON /PRSARG/ IBC(100), JBC(100), PR(100)
      COMMON /ORDARG/ R(450),Z(450),UR(450),UZ(450),CODE(450),T(450)
      COMMON /BANARG/ MBAND, NUMBLK, B(108), A(108, 54)
      COMMON /LS4ARG/ I_9J_9K_9S(10,10), C(3,3), D(3,3), H(3,3), P(10), LM(4),
    1 F(3,3)COMPUTE ELEMENT STRESSES
\subset\epsilonDO 50 I=1*650 DSIG(T)=0.0\subsetIF (IX(N,3)-IX(N,2)) 90,80,90, 80 I=IX(N,1)
     J=IX(N,2)DX = R(J) - R(I)DY = 7(J) - 7(1)XL = SQRT (DX**2+DY**2)DU = B (2*J-1)-B(2*I-1)DY=B(2*J)-B(2*I)DL = DV * DY / XL + DU * DXX / XLDSIG(1)=DL*E(1*2*MTYPE)/XL+SIG(N*1)*SIG(N*4)IF (NCOUNT-NP) 85,84,8484 SIG(N,4)=DSIG(1)
     SIG(N_91)=0.
   85 \chiC = 0 _{\circ} 0
     YC = 0.0GO TO 320
\epsilon\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{out}}90 CALL QUAD
     DO 120 I = 1, 4
     II = 2 * IJJ=2*IX(N+I)P(11-1)=B(JJ-1)120 P(II) = B(JJ)\subsetDO 150 1=9,10P(1)=0.0KK = 1 - 1DO 150 K = 1.6KK
  150 P(1) = P(1) - S(1, K) * P(K)
```
 $C-25$ 

```
\mathcal{L}D(1, 1) = 0.D(2, 1) = 0.D(3, 1) = 0.0DO 170 1=1,3DO 170 K=1,10170 D(I, 1) = D(I, 1) + ST(I, K) * P(K)\mathsf{C}THETA= SIG(N, 3)/57.296CO = COS(THETA)
      SS=SIN(THETA)
      C2=C0*C0S2 = S5*SSSC = S S * CODSIG(1) = SIG(N,4) * C2 + SIG(N,5) * S2 - DSIG(1)DSIG(2) = SIG(N,4) * SQL-SIG(N,5) * C2-DSTG(2)DSIG(3) = (SIG(N, 4) - SIG(N, 5)) *SC-DSIG(3)
      DO 180 I = 1, 3DO 180 K=1,3180 DSIG(I)= DSIG(I)+ C(I,K)*D(K,1)
\epsilon\mathsf{C}OUTPUT STRESSES
\mathsf{C}\mathsf{C}CALCULATE PRINCIPAL STRESSES
\mathsf{C}AA = (DSIG(1) + DSIG(2)) / 2.BB = 1DSIG(1)-DSIG(2))/2.CR = SQRT(BB**2+DSIG(3)**2)DSIG(4) = AA + CRDSIG(5) = AA - CRIF ((BB.EQ.0.0).AND.(DSIG(3).EQ.0.0)) GO TO 320
      DSIG(6) = ATAN2(DSIG(3), BB)/2.DSIG(6) = 57.296 * DSIG(6)\mathsf C320 RETURN
\mathsf{C}
```