Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Recent Work

Title
PHOSPHINE- AND METAL HYDRIDE-INDUCED MIGRATORY INSERTION IN MOLYBDENUM CARBONYL ALKYLS

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0s74p4v9

Author
Wax, M.J.

Publication Date
1983-08-01

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Materials & Molecular Research Division

PHOSPHINE- AND METAL HYDRIDE-INDUCED MIGRATORY
INSERTION IN MOLYBDENUM CARBONYL ALKYLS

M.J. Wax
(Ph.D. Thesis)

August 1983

DISCLAIMER

Phosphine- and Metal Hydride-induced Migratory Insertion

in Molybdenum Carbonyl Alkyls

By

Michael Jay Wax

PH.D. THESIS

AUGUST 1983

MATERIALS & MOLECULAR
RESEARCH DIVISION

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, Calfornia 94720

Contents

PHOSPHINE- AND METAL HYDRIDE-INDUCED MIGRATORY INSERTION IN MOLYBDENUM CARBONYL ALKYLS

Michael Jay Wax

Abstract: The acyl-forming reaction of $PMePh_2$ with $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ (Cp = $\eta^5-C_5H_5$) has been examined in a series of substituted tetrahydrofurans, differing in coordinating ability but not in polarity, so that the role of solvent in migratory carbonyl insertion might be determined. Kinetic studies reveal the existence of two competing pathways in tetrahydrofuran (THF). Alkyl complex either may react with phosphine (k_3) to give product directly, or may undergo reversible alkyl migration with concomitant coordination of solvent to molybdenum (k_1), followed by attack of phoshine on the intermediate thus formed. In accord with this conclusion, k_1 is diminished in substituted THF solvents in which the oxygen of the THF is shielded by one or more methyl groups, while k_3 is independent of medium. Further, k_1 demonstrates a first-order dependence upon the THF concentration when various amounts of that solvent are diluted with 2,5-dimethyltetrahydrofuran. Brief investigations of reactions with PEt_3 and $P(OPh)_3$ indicate operation according to similar mechanisms, with some alteration in rate constants.

The reaction of $CpMo(CO)_3R$ (1; R = CH_3, C_2H_5) with $CpMo(CO)_3H$ (2), which produces RCHO, $[CpMo(CO)_2]_2$, and $[CpMo(CO)_3]_2$, occurs by way of two competing pathways. One involves reversible solvent-assisted migratory insertion (k_1) to form $CpMo(CO)_2(solvent)COR$ (7), followed by attack of metal hydride on this intermediate (k_4). The other route involves the direct reaction of 1 with 2 (k_5). No hydrogen-deuterium kinetic isotope effect is observed on either k_4 or k_5 when $CpMo(CO)_3D$ is substituted for 2. Enhancing the Lewis basicity of the hydride by

replacement of a carbonyl ligand with a phosphine to produce $CpMo(CO)_2(PMe_3)H$ increases k_5, while the larger metal-hydrogen bond strength of $CpW(CO)_3H$ is reflected in a decrease in k_4. Qualitative experiments further indicate that ionic intermediates or free radicals are present in neither pathway, and that a metal-metal bond is created prior to or concomitant with aldehyde release. These results suggest the presence of at least some metal-hydrogen bond breaking in the rate-limiting transition state of the reaction of $CpMo(CO)_3H$ with $CpMo(CO)_2(solvent)COR$, (k_4). In contrast with this, rate-determining formation of some dinuclear complex containing an intact Mo-H bond characterizes the direct reaction of 2 with $CpMo(CO)_3R$ (k_5). The structure of this intermediate cannot be determined unambiguously, but may be the datively bound species $Cp(CO)_3HMo-Mo(COR)(CO)_2Cp$.

Acknowledgements

Many people have aided me in my efforts towards a Ph. D. I am particularly grateful to Bob Bergman, without whose patience and forbearance I long since would have been on the street. Bob is both a good teacher and a good scientist, and his enthusiasm has helped to make graduate school enjoyable for me.

It would have been difficult to graduate without the assistance and encouragement of many of those around me. For their advice and friendship, I would like to thank Andrew H. Janowicz, Paul N. Becker, William H. Hersh, Gilbert K. Yang, J. Michael Buchanan, Eric N. Jacobsen, May Gill, and especially Dr. Judith Stein. Dr. Wayne Paul Weiner has been a good friend and able colleague, and it is a pleasure to acknowledge him here.

Helpful discussions with Professors Earl Muetterties, Herbert Strauss, Jack Halpern, and James Ibers were essential to the progress of my research. William Hollingsworth and Dr. Arnold Falick provided generous assistance with a project which unfortunately was not successful. Financial support was supplied by the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

Perhaps most important to my graduation were my parents, without whom I would not be here to write this. They originally would have liked to see me in medical school, and I hope that I have not been too much of a disappointment to them. I am grateful to them for their support, encouragement, and love. Finally, I would like to thank my brother for deciding to go to medical school, and thus removing any remaining pressure on me to do so.

Chapter 1

THE ROLE OF SOLVENT IN PHOSPHINE-INDUCED MIGRATORY CO INSERTION

Migratory carbonyl insertion[1] is a component reaction in many commercially important catalytic processes. These include hydroformylation[2], acetic acid synthesis[3], and perhaps the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis.[4] CO insertion also occurs in many laboratory-scale procedures, and in fact appears to be a fundamental step in organotransition metal chemistry.[5]

The obvious practical and theoretical significance which thus may be attributed to migratory insertion has not, however, engendered a full understanding of its mechanism. No generalized reaction coordinate for this process has been described,[6] nor has even the existence thereof been demonstrated. These deficiencies reflect the lack of a complete and self-consistent phenomenalistic characterization of insertion.

Reported herein is a study of the role of solvent in migratory insertion. Numerous works on this topic have failed to differentiate adequately between generalized solvation and solvent coordination, which has been the precise goal of this study. The specific system which has been examined (Scheme 1, R = CH_3, L = $PMePh_2$) was chosen because of its similarity to reactions studied by others[7-10] and because of our interest in related reactions.[11] A portion of this work has been published.[12]

Summary of Previous Work

Investigations of the effect of solvent on migratory carbonyl insertion in several systems have been published.[7-10,13-16] Because different mechanisms may be operating in different complexes, and because the work described herein is concerned with a specific case, only results dealing with cyclopentadienyltricarbonyl(alkyl)molybdenum species are summarized here. The question of generality is dealt with

in more detail below.

Carbon monoxide,[17] phosphines,[7-10] and phosphites[8-10] all induce migratory carbonyl insertion in CpMo(CO)$_3$R complexes (Scheme 1). Almost since the discovery of these reactions, it has been known that solvent has an effect on their velocities. Migration is rapid in polar, donating media such as dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO),[8] and slow in non-polar media, including toluene[8] and hexane.[9] This trend has been ascribed alternately to generalized solvation effects[9] and to solvent coordination.[7,8,10]

Changes in medium, in addition to changing rates, also may have an effect upon kinetic order. For example, CpMo(CO)$_3$CH$_3$ (1) reacts with PPh$_3$ at a rate which is independent of phosphine concentration in tetrahydrofuran (THF)[8] and acetonitrile[9] (eq. 1), but which increases linearly with the amount of phosphine present in toluene (eq. 2).[8] The

$$- \frac{d[1]}{dt} = k\,[1] \qquad\qquad (1)$$

$$- \frac{d[1]}{dt} = k'\,[1]\,[L] \qquad\qquad (2)$$

reactions of this complex with PBu$_3$ obey eq. 1 in acetonitrile,[9] but exhibits mixed first- and second-order behavior (eq. 3) in the other two solvents mentioned.[8] Possibly this variety of rate laws would be manifest in the reaction of molybdenum compounds containing other akyl groups, but these generally have been studied in one solvent only.

$$-\frac{d[1]}{dt} = k'' [1] + k''' [1] [L] \qquad (3)$$

Most frequently cited as the mechanism of reaction of phosphines and phosphites with $CpMo(CO)_3R$ is reversible migration to form either an unsaturated or a solvated acyl prior to coordination of, or displacement of solvent by, the external nucleophile (Scheme 2).[8-10] The full rate law associated with this mechanism (eq. 4) has been observed only upon the treatment of $CpMo(CO)_3CH_2Ph$ with triphenylphosphine in aceto-nitrile.[10] The type of behavior most expected thus is least observed, although simplified rate laws consistent with equilibrium acyl formation have been uncovered.[8,9]

$$-\frac{d[MoCH_2Ph]}{dt} = \frac{k_1 k_2 [MoCH_2Ph] [L]}{k_{-1} + k_2 [L]} \qquad (4)$$

It may be concluded from the foregoing discussion that solvent can affect both the rate and the course of migratory carbonyl insertion. Because of the relatively high nucleophilicity which often is exhibited by polar solvents, it has not been possible to distinguish between generalized solvation and formation of solvent complexes as the source of the observed changes. In order to do so, we have confined our studies to a series of methyl-substituted tetrahydrofurans (Scheme 3) which would be expected to vary widely in donicity[18] but not in dielectric constant.[19-20]

Results

Treatment of $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ with $PMePh_2$ in a variety of solvents at 60 $^{\circ}C$ results in clean and quantitative production of

$CpMo(CO)_2(PMePh_2)COCH_3$ (**2a**) without the intervention of spectroscopical-
ly detectable intermediates. The relative intensities of its symmetric
and asymmetric CO stretching absorptions and the observation of a
phosphorus-coupled cyclopentadienyl signal in its ^{1}H NMR spectrum[21]
establish the trans geometry of the acyl. Pseudo-first-order depletion
of methyl complex over more than three half-lives occurs in the presence
of at least five-fold molar excess concentrations of phosphine (eq. 5).

$$- \frac{d[1]}{dt} = k_{obs} [1] \qquad (5)$$

Examination of the observed rate constant as a function of
nucleophile concentration in tetrahydrofuran (THF; Figure 1) reveals the
existence of two superimposed rate laws. $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ may be consumed
in either a pathway which is first-order in, or one which is saturating
in, methyldiphenylphosphine (eq. 6). Identical behavior is found in 3-
methyltetrahydrofuran (3-MeTHF), albeit with slightly modified rate
constants (Figure 1, Table 1).

$$k_{obs} = \frac{k_1 k_2 [PMePh_2]}{k_{-1} + k_2 [PMePh_2]} + k_3 [PMePh_2] \qquad (6)$$

Less complex rate laws are applicable in 2-methyl- and 2,5-
dimethyltetrahydrofuran (2-MeTHF and $2,5-Me_2THF$). Parallel first- and
second-order pathways are detected in both of these media (Figure 1, eq.
7). In media of lower donicity, k_2/k_{-1} thus is sufficiently large to
prevent the saturation route from entering a second-order regime even at
the lowest phosphine concentrations attained. Within experimental

error, however, the second-order rate constant k_3 is the same in all four solvents (Table 1).

$$k_{obs} = k_1 + k_3 [PMePh_2] \qquad (7)$$

Competing first- and second-order routes also are observed in toluene. A solvent less polar than THF was used to probe the generality of the kinetic behavior so far uncovered. An attempt to extend the study to hexane was thwarted by the low solubility of $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ in that medium.

Further extension of the scope of this work involved the use of different phosphorus donor ligands. Superimposed first- and second-order kinetics (eq. 7) characterize the reaction of $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ with triethylphosphine in THF (Figure 2, Table 2), in contrast with the more complex behavior associated with $PMePh_2$ in that solvent. A preliminary examination of the dependence of rate on the concentration of triphenylphosphite indicates operation according to saturation kinetics only (eq. 8, Figure 2), in spite of a previous report to the contrary.[8]

$$k_{obs} = \frac{k_1 k_2 [P(OPh)_3]}{k_{-1} + k_2 [P(OPh)_3]} \qquad (8)$$

Mechanistic Analysis

Outlined here and illustrated in Scheme 4 is a mechanism which is consistent with the data thus far presented. $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ may undergo solvent-assisted migratory insertion to form the solvated acyl $CpMo(CO)_2(S)COCH_3$ (**3**), which then would be subject to attack by external nucleophiles. Alternatively, product also may be formed in a direct reaction of the methyl complex with phosphine. Several lines of

·evidence support this formulation.

Production of the intermediate which lies on the saturation pathway occurs at a rate related to solvent nucleophilicity: in more hindered tetrahydrofurans, smaller values for the rate constant k_1 are measured (Table 1). Similar velocities in THF and 3-MeTHF as well as the near equality in dielectric constant of all these ethereal solvents suggest the absence of any marked electronic effect of added methyl groups upon this process. A reasonable interpretation of these results is that solvent is coordinated to molybdenum in the step associated with k_1.

One consequence of this conclusion is amenable to further examination: the rate of the solvent coordination pathway should be first-order in solvent (i.e., k_1 actually is the product of a second-order rate constant, k_1', and the solvent concentration). The similar polarities of THF and $2,5-Me_2THF$ allow this prediction to be tested. From studies of the reaction of 0.01 M $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ with varying concentrations of $PMePh_2$ in $THF/2,5-Me_2THF$ mixtures, the values of k_1 have been obtained, and are plotted in Figure 3. These data demonstrate that k_1 is a linear function of [THF] over the entire mole fraction range (0-1.0), with $k_1' = (6.21 \pm 0.50) \times 10^{-5}$ M^{-1} s^{-1}.

Formed in the saturation pathway is an intermediate which thus appears to comprise solvent and the components of $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$. Reaction of this intermediate with phosphine produces $CpMo(CO)_2(PMePh_2)COCH_3$ with concomitant release of the coordinated solvent molecule. These results strongly suggest that a solvated acyl, $CpMo(CO)_2(S)COCH_3$ (3, S = solvent), is generated in the step governed by k_1. Support for this hypothesis lies in the observation of an analogous species formed in the reacton of $CpFe(CO)_2R$ with DMSO.[14b,c] Perhaps

more pertinent, if still indirect, evidence for the intermediacy of $CpMo(CO)_2(S)COCH_3$ is the production of phosphine acyls 2: isolation of adducts of good nucleophiles lends credence to the conclusion that similar adducts of poor ones (i.e., tetrahydrofurans) could be present in low concentrations.

Kinetic evidence requires direct displacement of solvent from $CpMo(CO)_2(S)COCH_3$ by phosphine. Initial dissociation of solvent to produce a coordinatively unsaturated intermediate cannot be occurring. Such a species would be sufficiently high in energy[22] that its formation would be the slowest step on the saturation pathway. Solvent loss then would be rate-limiting, and would be faster for Me_2THF than for the more tightly bound THF, which is opposite to the observed order of reactivity.[24]

It further seems likely that k_3 governs a one step bimolecular reaction of $PMePh_2$ with $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$. That this process is strictly second-order is supportive of this conclusion, but is not definitive, as saturation might be attainable only at inaccessibly high phosphine concentrations. Both k_3 and k_1 conceivably could be associated with trapping of a coordinatively unsaturated acyl by a nucleophilic species, but this possibility adds one step more than is necessary to justify the kinetic data.

The mechanism uncovered for the reaction of $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ with $PMePh_2$ in tetrahydrofuran appears to have some generality. As in 2-MeTHF and 2,5-Me_2THF, k_2/k_1 is large enough in toluene to prevent observation of saturation behavior, but two distinct pathways toward acyl formation are detected. Production of what in this solvent may be a

coordinatively unsaturated or η^2-arene stabilized acyl occurs with a smaller rate constant k_1 (Table 2) than production of the analogous intermediate in the ethereal media. No change in the velocity of the direct reaction of phosphine with alkyl is measured, however, indicating that this process, in addition to not involving solvent coordination, also is not strongly affected by solvent polarity.

Other donor ligands react with $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ in a fashion similar to that of $PMePh_2$. Triethylphosphine thus exhibits a dual route mechanism, with a measured k_1 indistinguishable from those discussed above (Table 2), indicative of a common intermediate in the reactions of both nucleophiles, viz., $CpMo(CO)_2(S)COCH_3$. Even in THF, however, this species is trapped efficiently enough by PEt_3 that the k_1 path is irreversible ($k_2 \gg k_{-1}$), perhaps a consequence of the enhanced nucleophilicities of trialkylphosphines.[26] In contrast to this result, acyl formation on the k_3 pathway is less rapid for PEt_3 than for $PMePh_2$. This difference in relative reactivities with different complexes is difficult to explain.

Triphenylphosphite is unique among the nucleophiles examined in that it does not react directly with $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$, but only with the intermediate, $CpMo(CO)_2(THF)COCH_3$. Even its reactivity with this adduct is diminished from that of methyldiphenylphosphine (Table 2). Because the phosphite has the smallest cone angle of the ligands studied, but is the least electron rich,[27] the latter factor must be more important in determining the rapidity of reactions with molybdenum complexes.

An interesting comparison of the reactivities of various donor molecules toward $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ thus may be made based upon the data which

have been collected (Table 3). Tetrahydrofuran is surprisingly nucleophilic, possibly reflecting its small size and the high oxygen affinity of molybdenum.[28] Triethylphosphine is much less reactive than would have been anticipated.[26,27] These results suggest that commonly accepted nucleophilicities toward organic substrates are not readily transferable to reactions with organometallic species.

Generality. No studies have been performed on acyl-forming reactions of other than $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ in which solvent nucleophilicity has been varied independent of polarity. Evidence has been presented, however, which supports solvent coordination in several other systems. Most conclusive is a report on the interaction of $CH_3Mn(CO)_5$ with phosphorus and nitrogen donor ligands in which parallel solvent-assisted and direct pathways are revealed.[13b] Other alkyls examined have included $CpFe(CO)_2R$, regarding which several investigators have come to opposing conlusions,[14] and $(\eta^5-C_9H_7)Mo(CO)_3CH_3$, in which solvent binding occurs at the expense of five-fold coordination by the indenyl fragment.[16] A general statement on the role of solvent in migratory carbonyl insertion must await more extensive studies.

Experimental

General. Unless otherwise indicated, reactions and manipulations were carried out at 20 °C under a dinitrogen atmosphere in a Vacuum Atmospheres 553-2 Dri-Lab with attached M60-40-1H Dri-Train. Removal of solvents from reaction mixtures was accomplished using conventional vacuum line techniques.

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (^{1}H NMR) spectra were recorded on a Varian EM-390 spectrometer, or at 200 MHz on a spectrometer built by Mr. Rudi Nunlist of the U. C. Berkeley NMR laboratory, and are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 283 spectrometer using 0.10 mm NaCl solution cells. Melting points were obtained on a Thomas-Hoover capillary apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed by the U. C. Berkeley College of Chemistry microanalytical laboratory.

With the following exceptions, reagents were obtained from commercial sources and used as received. Tetrahydrofuran, ether, and toluene were distilled from sodium and benzophenone under dinitrogen. Benzene-$\underline{d}_6$, 2-methyltetrahydrofuran, 3-methyltetrahydrofuran, and 2,5-dimethyltetrahydrofuran (eqimolar cis and trans) were vacuum distilled from Na/Ph$_2$CO. Pentane (spectral grade) was vacuum distilled from sodium. CpMo(CO)$_3$CH$_3$ was synthesized according to the procedure of Wilkinson.[29] CpMo(CO)$_2$(P(OPh)$_3$)COCH$_3$ was identified by comparison of its spectral properties with those in the literature.[8]

Measurement of Reaction Rates. Phosphine-induced migratory CO insertion reactions were followed by monitoring the disappearance of the

symmetric CO stretch of $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ (2020 cm^{-1}). In a typical run, 0.150 mL of a 2.00 M stock solution of $PMePh_2$, 0.120 mL of an 0.25 M stock solution of 1, and 2.730 mL of THF were mixed in a 10 X 75 mm test tube to prepare a solution 0.100 M in phosphine and 0.010 M in alkyl. After capping the tube with a septum, it was placed in a water bath at 59.9 ± 0.1 °C under a positive dinitrogen pressure. At regular intervals, a portion of the solution was forced into an IR cell through a syringe needle, and a spectrum recorded.

Synthesis of $CpMo(CO)_2(PMePh_2)COCH_3$ (2a). A 25 mL round-bottomed flask fitted with a magnetic stirring bar was charged with $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ (0.260 g, 1.00 mmol), THF (5 mL), and methyldiphenylphosphine (0.200 g, 1.00 mmol). After being capped with a vacuum stopcock, the flask was heated at 60 °C for 3 h with stirring. Solvent then was removed under vacuum, leaving an orange oil, which was recrystallized from toluene/pentane (-40 °C). The yellow needles which formed were pure $CpMo(CO)_2(PMePh_2)COCH_3$, yield 0.346 g (75.2 %), mp 112-113 °C, IR (THF) 1938s, 1851vs, 1627m cm^{-1}, NMR (C_6D_6) δ 7.47-6.89 (m, 10H), 4.57 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 5H), 2.90 (s, 3H), 1.7 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 3H). Anal. Calcd for $C_{22}H_{21}MoO_3P$: C, 57.40; H, 4.60. Found: C, 57.23; H, 4.62.

Synthesis of $CpMo(CO)_2(PEt_3)COCH_3$ (2b). To a 25 mL round-bottomed flask with a stirring bar and containing $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ (0.520 g, 2.00 mmol) was added a solution of triethylphosphine (0.236 g, 2.00 mmol) in THF (5 mL). The resulting solution was stirred at 60 °C for 3 h. Removal of solvent under vacuum was followed by recrystallization (toluene/pentane, -40 °C) of the yellow solid which remained. $CpMo(CO)_2(PEt_3)COCH_3$ (0.62 g, 82 %) crystallized as orange-yellow blocks, which were collected by vacuum filtration and washed with a

small amount of pentane: NMR (C_6D_6) δ 4.86 (d, J = 0.92 Hz, 5H), 2.92
(s, 3H), 1.24 (quintet, J = 7.74 Hz, 6H), 0.72 (dt, J = 15.56, 7.78 Hz,
9H), IR (THF) 1929s, 1847vs, 1619m cm^{-1}, mp 99–101.5 $^{\circ}$C. Anal. Calcd
for $C_{15}H_{23}MoO_3P$: C, 47.63; H, 6.13. Found: C, 47.59; H, 6.29.

Notes and References

(1) For reviews of CO insertion, see (a) Collman, J.P.; Hegedus, L.S. "Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry"; University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA, 1980, pp. 260-288; (b) Kuhlman, E.J.; Alexander, J.J. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1980, 33, 195-225; (c) Calderazzo, F. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Eng. 1977, 16, 299-311; (d) Wojcicki, A Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 11, 87-145.

(2) Cornils, B. In "New Syntheses with Carbon Monoxide"; Falbe, J., Ed.; Springer Verlag: New York, 1980, Chapter 1.

(3) Forster, D. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 17, 255-267.

(4) (a) Rofer-DePoorter, C.K. Chem. Rev. 1981, 81, 447-474; (b) Henrici-Olivé, G.; Olivé, S. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Eng. 1976, 15, 136-141.

(5) Parshall, G.W. "Homogeneous Catalysis"; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1980, pp. 12-17.

(6) Four theoretical studies of migratory CO insertion have been reported: (a) Berke, H.; Hoffmann, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 7224-7236; (b) Saddia, D.; Freund, H.J.; Hohlneicher, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 186, 63-75; (c) Ruiz, M.E.; Flores-Riveros, H.J.; Navaro, O. J. Catal. 1980, 64, 1-12; (d) Sakaki, S.; Kitaura, K.; Morokuma, K.; Ohkubo, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2280-2286.

(7) Barnett, K.W.; Treichel, P.M. Inorg. Chem. 1967, 6, 294-299.

(8) Butler, I.S.; Basolo, F.; Pearson, R.G. Inorg. Chem. 1967, 6, 2074-2079.

(9) Craig, P.J.; Green, M. J. Chem. Soc. (A) 1968, 1978-1981, 1969, 157-160.

(10) Cotton, J.D.; Crisp, G.T.; Daly, V.A. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1981, 47, 165-169.

(11) See Chapter 2.

(12) Wax, M.J.; Bergman, R.G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 7028-7030.

(13) CH$_3$Mn(CO)$_5$: (a) Calderazzo, F.; Cotton, F.A. Inorg. Chem. 1962, 1, 30-36; (b) Mawby, R.J.; Basolo, F; Pearson, R.G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964 86, 3994-3999; (c) Green, M.; Hancock, R.I.; Wood, D.C. J. Chem. Soc. (A) 1968, 2718-2721; (d) Flood, T.C.; Jensen, J.E.; Statler, J.A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4410-4414; (e) Booth, B.L.; Lewis, E.J.R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1982, 417-421.

(14) CpFe(CO)$_2$R: (a) Bibler, J.P.; Wojcicki, A. Inorg. Chem. 1966, 5,

889-892; (b) Nicholas, K.; Raghu, S.; Rosenblum, M. J. Organomet Chem. 1974, 78, 133-137; (c) Cotton, J.D.; Crisp, G.T.; Latifah, L. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1981, 47, 171-176.

(15) (CO)(PPh$_3$)(I)PtCH$_3$: Wilson, C.J.; Green, M.; Mawby, R.J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1974, 1293-1296.

(16) (η^5-C$_9$H$_7$)Mo(CO)$_3$CH$_3$: Hart-Davis, A.J.; Mawby, R.J. J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1969, 2403-2407.

(17) McCleverty, J.A.; Wilkinson, G. J. Chem. Soc. 1963, 4096-4099.

(18) Reichardt, C. "Solvent Effects in Organic Chemistry"; Verlag Chemie: New York, 1979.

(19) Gutmann, V. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1976, 18, 225-255.

(20) The visible absorption maximum of indigo, a positive solvatochromatic dye, in all of these solvents is 601±1 nm (compared with 588 nm in chloroform and 620 nm in DMSO[18]), indicating that they have similar polarities.

(21) (a) Manning, A.R. J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1967, 1984-1987; (b) Mawby, R.J.; Wright, G. J. Organomet. Chem., 1970, 21, 169-170.

(22) This argument is based upon rough estimates of the molybdenum-oxygen bond strength.[23]

(23) (a) Nakashima, M.; Adamson, A.W. J. Phys. Chem. 1982, 86, 2905-2909; (b) Calado, J.C.G.; Dias, A.R.; Salema, M.S.; Simoes, J.A.M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1981, 1174-1177; (c) Connor, J.A. Top. Curr. Chem. 1977, 71, 71-110.

(24) An assumption made here is that a coordinatively unsaturated acyl would be formed in preference to a η^2-acyl.[25]

(25) Hitam, R.B.; Narayanaswamy, R.; Rest, A.J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1983, 615-618.

(26) Pearson, R.G.; Sobel, H.; Songstad, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 319-326.

(27) Tolman, C.A. Chem. Rev. 1977, 77, 313-348.

(28) (a) Pearson, R.G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 3533-3539; (b) Ahrland, S.; Chatt, J.; Davies, N.R. Quart. Rev. 1958, 12, 265-276.

(29) Piper, T.S.; Wilkinson, G. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1956, 104 104-124.

Table 1. Rate Constants[a,b] for the Reaction of $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ with $PMePh_2$ at 59.9 ± 0.1 $^\circ C$.

solvent	$10^4 k_1$ (s^{-1})	k_2/k_{-1} (M^{-1})	$10^4 k_3$ ($M^{-1}s^{-1}$)
THF	7.78±0.14	96± 8	1.73±0.16
3-MeTHF	6.46±0.10	122±14	1.86±0.16
2-MeTHF	1.48±0.10	>500	1.95±0.24
2,5-Me$_2$THF	0.23±0.06	>500	1.67±0.14
toluene	0.13±0.03	>500	1.58±0.05

[a] Defined as shown in Scheme 5.
[b] Errors shown are 95 % confidence limits.

Table 2. Rate Constants for the Reaction of $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ with Phosphorus Donor Ligands in THF at 59.9 ± 0.1 $^\circ$C.

ligand	10^4k_1 (s^{-1})	k_2/k_{-1} (M^{-1})	10^4k_3 $(M^{-1}s^{-1})$
$PMePh_2$	7.78 ± 0.14	96.2 ± 7.6	1.73 ± 0.16
PEt_3	7.75 ± 0.13	>500.0	0.80 ± 0.38
$P(OPh)_3$	9.30 ± 0.60	7.2 ± 0.6	0.00

Table 3. Second-order Rate Constants for the Bimolecular Reaction of $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ with Various Nucleophiles in THF at 59.9 ± 0.1 $^{\circ}$C.

nucleophile	$10^5 k_{bimolecular}$ $(M^{-1}s^{-1})$
THF	6.2 ± 0.5
PEt_3	8.0 ± 3.8
$PMePh_2$	17.3 ± 1.6

Figure 1. Dependence of the pseudo-first-order rate constant, k_{obs}, for the conversion of **1** to **2a** with excess $PMePh_2$, upon phosphine concentration in THF (●), 3-MeTHF (■), 2-MeTHF (▲), and $2,5-Me_2THF$ (♦).

Figure 2. Dependence of k_{obs} for the reaction of **1** upon PEt_3 (O) and $P(OPh)_3$ (●) concentration in THF.

Figure 3. Dependence of k_1 upon THF concentration for the reaction of 1 with $PMePh_2$, determined using 0.01 M 1 and various excess concentrations of phosphine in $THF/2,5-Me_2THF$ mixtures.

Scheme I

$$R = CH_3, \ C_2H_5, \ CH_2C_6H_5, \ CH_2CH=CH_2$$

$$L = CO, \ PR'_3, \ P(OR')_3$$

Scheme 2

$$\text{(OC)}_3\text{Mo}-\text{R} \underset{k_{-1}}{\overset{k_1}{\rightleftharpoons}} \text{(OC)}_2\text{Mo}-\text{COR} \xrightarrow{k_2[L]} \text{(OC)}_2\text{Mo}-\underset{L}{\text{COR}}$$

Scheme 3

THF

2-MeTHF

3-MeTHF

2,5-Me$_2$THF

Scheme 4

$(CO)_3Mo-CH_3$ + S $\xrightleftharpoons[k_{-1}]{k_1}$ $(CO)_2Mo$ with Cp ring, $\overset{O}{\underset{}{C}}-CH_3$ and S

1

$k_3[PMePh_2]$

$k_2[PMePh_2]$

$(CO)_2Mo-\overset{\overset{O}{\|}}{C}-CH_3$ + S

PMePh$_2$

2

(S = solvent)

Chapter 2

MECHANISM OF THE REACTION OF MOLYBDENUM CARBONYL ALKYLS

WITH MOLYBDENUM HYDRIDES:

A MODEL FOR THE ALDEHYDE-PRODUCING STEP OF THE OXO PROCESS

That aldehyde is produced in the reaction of $CpMo(CO)_3H$ with $CpMo(CO)_3R$[1,2] (Scheme 1; $Cp = \eta^5-C_5H_5$; $R = CH_3$, C_2H_5) is noteworthy for several reasons. First, both carbon-carbon and carbon-hydrogen bonds are created under quite mild conditions. Generalization of this process would allow facile homologation of alkyl fragments through addition of a formyl unit.

Second, this transformation is similar to one proposed as the aldehyde-releasing step in cobalt-catalyzed hydroformylation. Although the suggestion of reductive elimination from a dihydridoacyl in the latter case has achieved widespread acceptance,[3,4] evidence for attack of hydridotetracarbonylcobalt(I) on an unsaturated intermediate has been presented (Scheme 2).[5] Study of the oxo process is complicated by the low stability of this cobalt hydride[6] and by the required high pressures of carbon monoxide and dihydrogen. More convenient examination of the related molybdenum system is enabled by its freedom from these difficulties.

A growing interest in the mechanism of dinuclear reductive elimination has provided greatest impetus for investigation of the interaction of $CpMo(CO)_3R$ and $CpMo(CO)_3H$. Several members of this class of reaction have been described,[7] and have displayed a wide variety of mechanisms. Among these have been proton transfer[7i] and M-C bond homolysis followed by hydrogen atom abstraction[7h] (Scheme 3). Reductive elimination of methane from $H(CH_3)Os(CO)_4$ has been postulated to require the intermediacy of a dinuclear hydridoacyl (Scheme 3),[7e] but in this case, as in others where similar proposals have been made, direct study of the hydrocarbon releasing step is not possible. The question thus arises whether reductive elimination can occur in a bimolecular step without

prior group transfer (to form an RMH species), or, if not, whether it can occur from a bound H-M-M-R system without an initial 1,2 shift. The results which are presented here suggest the necessity of forming a dinuclear species, but do not establish the coupling of ligands on adjacent metal centers.

Results

Clean and quantitative production of aldehyde, $Cp_2Mo_2(CO)_4$, and $Cp_2Mo_2(CO)_6$ occurs when solutions of $CpMo(CO)_3H$ (2) and $CpMo(CO)_3R$ (1) are subjected to mild heating. In the presence of at least an eight-fold molar excess of hydride, metal alkyl is consumed, and aldehyde produced, according to pseudo-first-order kinetics (eq. 1) over more than four half-lives.

$$- \frac{d[1]}{dt} = k_{obs} [1] \qquad (1)$$

Kinetics of Phosphine-induced Migratory Insertion. A discussion of migratory carbon monoxide insertion is appropriate at this point in view of its presumed role in the formation of aldehyde from a metal carbonyl alkyl. Because several other pertinent studies have yielded contradictory conclusions,[8] the acyl-producing reaction of $CpMo(CO)_3R$ with methyldiphenylphosphine (Scheme 4) has been examined under carefully controlled conditions. Results of this work, which have been communicated previously,[9] are consistent with the mechanism illustrated in Scheme 5, and are summarized briefly here.

Separation of solvent polarity and donicity effects on migratory insertion has been achieved through the utilization of a series of

methyl-substituted tetrahydrofuran media. These compounds allow independent variation of solvent nucleophilicity by virtue of their nearly identical dielectric constants.[9] Results obtained with this technique argue against rate enhancement caused by a generalized solvation effect in the system studied, and instead indicate the importance of solvent coordination.

In the presence of excess phosphine in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at 60 °C, a pseudo-first-order rate expression describes the disappearance of methyl complex 1a (Eq. 1). Variation of the ligand concentration results in changes in the observed rate constant (Fig. 1, Eq. 2) which are consistent with the existence of two routes for the transformation of $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ and

$$k_{obs} = \frac{k_1 k_2 [PMePh_2]}{k_{-1} + k_2 [PMePh_2]} + k_3 [PMePh_2] \qquad (2)$$

methyldiphenylphosphine into $CpMo(CO)_2(PMePh_2)COCH_3$. Coordination of phosphine and migratory insertion may occur simultaneously, in a step which is independent of solvent donicity. In an alternative pathway, reaction with phosphine is preceded by reversible formation of a solvated acyl, 7a. Alkyl migration in this case occurs at a rate which is a linear function of solvent nucleophilicity.[9,10]

Observation of competing saturation and second-order pathways (Fig. 1) is possible only in a restricted range of values of the ratio k_2/k_{-1}. Any perturbation which affects the partitioning of the intermediate acyl between reaction with phosphine and reversion to starting material thus may alter the measured kinetic order. A change in solvent may be

sufficient to do so: the reaction of $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ with $PMePh_2$ in 2,5-dimethyltetrahydrofuran (Me_2THF), for example, is governed by super-imposed first- and second-order kinetics (Fig. 1, Eq. 3). Replacement of THF with this weakly nucleophilic medium so greatly increases the magnitude of k_2 relative to that of k_{-1} that solvent-assisted migratory insertion becomes irreversible.

$$k_{obs} = k_1 + k_3[PMePh_2] \qquad (3)$$

Acyl production also occurs when $CpMo(CO)_3C_2H_5$ is treated with methyldiphenylphosphine (Scheme 4). While the reaction of **1b** with phosphine is too fast to be measured in THF, solvent-assisted alkyl migration is found to be irreversible in Me_2THF (i.e., Eq. 3 is satisfied; see Table 1). Larger rate constants for acyl formation (k_1 and k_3) in comparison with those associated with **1a** reflect the steric effect imposed by homologation.[11]

Kinetics of the Aldehyde-forming Reaction. An empirical approach to mechanism would suggest that the aldehyde-forming reaction of 1 and $CpMo(CO)_3H$ also occur by two paths (Scheme 6). In both THF and toluene, however, $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ is subject to pure second-order disappearance in the presence of hydride. These observations suggest an unfavorable balance between the rates of the forward and reverse processes available to the solvated acyl **7** (i.e., deinsertion (k_{-1}) is much faster than trapping by **2** (k_4)). Consistent with this possibility, the overall velocities of these reactions are diminished relative to those with phosphine in the same solvents.

It seemed possible that more informative kinetic behavior might be obtained by retarding deinsertion from the intermediate acyl, perhaps by

substitution of ethyl for methyl. Support for this analysis is provided by a study of the observed rate of reaction of $CpMo(CO)_3C_2H_5$ as a function of hydride concentration in Me_2THF (Fig. 2, Eq. 4, with rate constants indicated in Table 2). Saturation behavior indeed is observed in this case. $CpMo(CO)_3H$ may act directly upon **1b**, or, alternatively, upon some intermediate derived

$$k_{obs} = \frac{k_1 k_4 [2]}{k_{-1} + k_4 [2]} + k_5 [2] \qquad (4)$$

from **1b**. The rate constant ratio, k_4/k_{-1}, which governs consumption of the propionyl intermediate **7b** is very sensitive to the choice of medium. A pathway which is saturating in hydride operates only in MeTHF and Me_2THF, being supplanted by first- and second-order pathways in toluene and THF, respectively (Eq. 5,6; Fig. 2, Table 2). Thus, k_4/k_{-1} appears to decrease with increasing solvent nucleophilicity.

$$k_{obs}^{toluene} = k_1 + k_5 [2] \qquad (5)$$

$$k_{obs}^{THF} = \left(\frac{k_1 k_4}{k_{-1}} + k_5 \right) [2] \qquad (6)$$

Implicit in the preceding reasoning and in the arguments which follow is the idea that reaction of $CpMo(CO)_3C_2H_5$ with both hydride and phosphine proceeds in part through the intermediacy of the solvated acyl **7b**. Several pieces of evidence justify this conclusion. Similar rate constants for entry into the saturation pathway in Me_2THF suggest formation of the same species in both cases. Thus, aldehyde is produced more rapidly in solvents which induce migratory insertion more efficiently.[9]

Further, $CpW(CO)_3C_2H_5$ (**8b**), which undergoes alkyl migration much less rapidly than **1b**,[12] does not react with hydride. Finally, $CpMo(^{13}CO)_3H$ reacts with **1b** to form unlabelled propanal only,[13] implying the occurrence of migratory insertion in both the k_4 and k_5 pathways.

Kinetic Isotope and Metal Substitution Effects. $CpMo(CO)_3D$ (**2b**) reacts with **1b** to produce propanal-1-$\underline{d}$, and the dimers 4 and 5. Sufficient propanal-$\underline{d}_0$ is produced only to account for the isotopic impurity of **2b**; no scrambling (< 5%) of deuterium into cyclopentadienyl groups occurs (quantitative formation of perprotiopropanal from **2a** and **1b** in deuterated media eliminates the possibility of exchange with solvent). Alkyl complex is depleted according to the same rate law and rate constants (Eq. 4, Table 3) which govern reaction with $CpMo(CO)_3H$ in Me_2THF, i.e., there is no hydrogen-deuterium kinetic isotope effect.

Two group VI hydrides isostructural to **2a** are known, $CpCr(CO)_3H$ and $CpW(CO)_3H$ (**9a**). While the former does not undergo the anticipated reaction with molybdenum alkyls **1**,[14] the latter does, leading to quantitative, albeit slower, formation of aldehyde and of six dimers, four of them produced by disproportionation of the mixed metal species 10 and 11 (Scheme 7). First-order disappearance of $CpMo(CO)_3C_2H_5$ is observed when large excesses of the tungsten hydride are present (Eq. 1). The variation of the observed rate constant with hydride concentration is indicative of competing second-order and saturation pathways (Fig. 3, Eq. 7, Table 3; see Scheme 5 for definition of analogous rate constants). A molybdenum-tungsten rate ratio of

$$k_{obs} = \frac{k_1 k_4 \, [9]}{k_{-1} + k_4 \, [9]} + k_5 \, [9] \qquad (7)$$

5.7 is reflected in k_4/k_{-1}. Because the rate constant, k_1, for forma-
tion of the intermediate in the saturation route is so similar to those
obtained for reaction with PMePh$_2$ or 1, it too must be associated with
solvent-assisted CO insertion. A consequence of this conclusion is that
any isotope effect observed on k_4/k_{-1} must arise in k_4 alone. Metal
substitution causes no change in the rate of direct reaction of hydride
with alkyl (k_5).

Production of isotopically pure propanal-1-$\underline{d}$ results from treatment
of 1b with CpW(CO)$_3$D (9b) in Me$_2$THF. Equation 7 also is obeyed by this
reaction, which occurs without a noticeable hydrogen-deuterium kinetic
isotope effect (Table 3).

Reaction with CpMo(CO)$_2$(PMe$_3$)H (14). Phosphine substitution has
been recognized as a means of perturbing the reactivity of metal
carbonyl complexes.[15] CpMo(CO)$_2$(PMe$_3$)H, for example, should be less
acidic than 2a[16] and should have a stronger metal-hydrogen bond.[7h]
Aldehyde is produced in the reaction of phospine-substituted hydride[2]
with 1b in parallel saturation and second-order pathways (Eq. 8). Rate
constants for both these routes are increased relative to those recorded
for CpMo(CO)$_3$H (Table 3).

$$k_{obs} = \frac{k_1 k_4 [14]}{k_{-1} + k_4 [14]} + k_5 [14] \qquad (8)$$

Discussion

An examination of the kinetics of the reaction of CpMo(CO)$_3$R with
CpMo(CO)$_3$H leads to a simple empirical description of this process (Eq.
4). Complete mechanistic understanding, however, requires assignment of

microscopic reaction steps to each of the macroscopic rate constants which has been defined. Two of these, k_1 and k_{-1}, most likely are associated with solvent-assisted migratory insertion and its reverse (Scheme 6), based upon comparisons with the acyl-forming reaction of 1 with methyldiphenylphosphine. Following is a discussion of the nature of the steps which are governed by the remaining rate constants.

Associated with both k_4 and k_5 are product-forming steps, which of necessity include hydrogen transfer from 2a to the acyl carbon of the incipient aldehyde. Several general mechanisms for this process are shown in rough form in Scheme 8, with ancilliary ligands omitted. Most of these may be ruled out on the basis of qualitative experiments; more exact descriptions of the coordination spheres of those that remain will be presented.

Least complex of the routes to aldehyde is metal-carbon and metal-hydrogen bond breaking in concert with metal-metal and carbon-hydrogen bond formation. Transfer of hydrogen (in one of several oxidation states) may precede reductive elimination from a hydridoacyl (15, 16, or 17) thus formed. Sequential Mo-C bond homolysis, yielding a radical pair, and abstraction of hydrogen from 2a by an acyl radical also would produce aldehyde, as would electron transfer followed by further reacton of the newly-created ion pair, 21 and 22. Finally, ligature of the two interacting transition metal centers may yield some neutral, diamagnetic species (e.g., 18, 19, or 20) which lies on the reaction coordinate.

Of these alternatives, two may be excluded on the basis of previous results. When heated with or without hydride, mixtures of $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ and $(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_4CH_3)Mo(CO)_3CD_3$ form no $CpMo(CO)_3CD_3$.[1] Such behavior indi-

cates that reversible metal-carbon bond homolysis, leading to acetyl and molybdenum-centered radicals, is not important under the reaction conditions.

Because CpMo(CO)$_3$H is an acid of moderate strength (pK$_a$ = 13.9 in acetonitrile[17]), it seemed possible that aldehyde was formed as the result of a proton transfer step. However, treatment of **1a** with acids weaker, of the same strength, and stronger than **2a** (acetic acid,[2] 2,4-dinitrophenol, and trifluoroacetic acid,[2] respectively) in no case resulted in production of ethanal. That the less acidic hydride, CpMo(CO)$_2$(PMe$_3$)H, reacts more rapidly than does its unsubstituted parent confirms the absence of a proton transfer in the mechanism under study.

Further results argue against the formation of any ionic intermediates in the reaction of **1** with **2**. Proton, hydride, or outer sphere electron transfer processes should produce CpMo(CO)$_n^-$ (n = 2 or 3) either directly or after reductive elimination of aldehyde from some previous intermediate. This anionic moiety should be capable of being trapped by some electrophile.[18] In fact, when CpMo(CO)$_3$C$_2$H$_5$ and **2a** are heated in neat methyl iodide-$\underline{d}_3$ (with which CpMo(CO)$_3^-$ should react at > 1 M^{-1} s^{-1}), no CpMo(CO)$_3$CD$_3$ is observed by NMR spectroscopy.

The dependence of rates upon reaction medium supports this interpretation. Different solvents favor different partitionings of the acyl intermediate **7b** between reaction with hydride and reversion to starting material. As k$_4$ and k$_{-1}$ (Scheme 6) both vary simultaneously and kinetically are inseparable, it is impossible to associate changes in the former with solvent properties. This is not true of the rate constant for direct reaction between alkyl and hydride, k$_5$. Variation of the solvent from MeTHF to toluene, which produces a large change in the

ability of the medium to support ion pairs,[19] causes only a five-fold

change in k_5 (Table 2). Such a low sensitivity to solvent is inconsis-

tent with an ionic mechanism.

(The origin of the small solvent effect which is observed on k_5 is

not obvious. Within experimental error, the analogous rate constant,

k_3, for direct attack of $PMePh_2$ on $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ is the same in THF and

toluene. Thus, in contrast to acyl formation, the bimolecular pathway

leading to aldehyde production may involve either some charge separation

or solvent reorganization. Because the perturbations are so small,

further interpretation is difficult.)

Two experiments provide evidence that a bond is formed between

hydride and solvated acyl intermediate during or before aldehyde re-

lease. Only the mixed dimers $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(\eta^5-C_5H_4CH_3)Mo_2(CO)_n$ (n = 4, 6)

are observed at short reaction times[2,20] when $(\eta^5-C_5H_4CH_3)Mo(CO)_3H$ and

1b are heated together (Scheme 9). Similarly, treatment of

$CpMo(CO)_3C_2H_5$ with $CpW(CO)_3H$ initially leads to exclusive production of

the bimetallic dimers $Cp_2MoW(CO)_n$. If the premise of cage escape com-

petitive with cage recombination is accepted,[22] these findings, together

with the results already mentioned, militate against the operation of

pathways which produce unbound metal fragments.

Qualitative experiments thus are inconsistent with the operation of

pathways containing proton, hydrogen, or electron transfer or homolytic

steps. Elimination of these possibilities implicates either a reaction

coordinate in which there is no local minimum other than that occupied

by the solvated acyl **7**, or formation of one or more intermediates in

which both metal centers are joined by a non-electrostatic interaction.

These intermediates might arise either through displacement of solvent from $CpMo(CO)_2(S)COR$ (k_4) or induction of CO insertion in $CpMo(CO)_3R$ (k_5) by hydride.

Cleavage of a metal-hydrogen bond is required for the formation of propanal from $CpMo(CO)_3H$ and $CpMo(CO)_3C_2H_5$. Increasing the strength of this bond, e.g., by substituting tungsten for molybdenum,[23] would be expected to result in a decrease in reaction rate if the metal-hydrogen bond order is lowered in or prior to a rate-determining step. Aldehyde release or generation of the hydride-bridged intermediate 23 in a one step process should cause this retardation to occur; creation of some other intermediate followed by fast reductive elimination should not.

Alkyl complex is consumed more slowly in the presence of $CpW(CO)_3H$ than that of $CpMo(CO)_3H$. Careful kinetic analysis, however, reveals that both hydrides display the same bimolecular rate constant, k_5, for direct reaction with $CpMo(CO)_3C_2H_5$ (Table 3). This result is unexpected in view of the higher metal-hydrogen bond energy of the tungsten complex.[23] A plausible explanation for this seeming anomaly is rapid formation of aldehyde subsequent to some slow step. In this case, k_5 would be defined as the rate of production of an intermediate through a transition state in which the metal-hydrogen bond still is intact.

Whereas the rate of bimolecular reaction of $CpMo(CO)_3C_2H_5$ with hydrides (k_5) is insensitive to metal-hydrogen bond dissociation energy, that of the postulated intermediate $CpMo(CO)_2(Me_2THF)(COC_2H_5)$ (k_4) is not. In this step aldehyde is produced more rapidly in the presence of $CpMo(CO)_3H$ than of $CpW(CO)_3H$ ($k_4^{MoH}/k_4^{WH} = 5.7$). Other properties of the tungsten hydride, for example, its size and basicity (expected to be the same as and greater than those of the molybdenum complex, respec-

tively[25,17]), will not account easily for the observed difference in reactivity. A rate limiting transition state in which the tungsten-hydrogen bond order is less than one clearly is implicated.

Two descriptions of the route governed by k_4 are consistent with the data thus far presented. Metal-hydrogen bond breaking may succeed some bimolecular reaction of $CpMo(CO)_2(Me_2THF)COC_2H_5$ and $CpMo(CO)_3H$, or may occur without the intervention of some other step. Use of a hydride with altered reactivity allows for further examination of these eventualities.

Alkyl complex is consumed more rapidly in the presence of $CpMo(CO)_2(PMe_3)H$ than that of its unsubstituted parent. Only the k_5 pathway is accelerated by exchange of a phosphine for a carbonyl, however; k_4 is left unchanged within experimental error (Table 3). If this reaction were to involve only metal-hydrogen bond breaking, a retardation of rate relative to that $CpMo(CO)_3H$ should occur, based upon the difference in hydride M-H bond strengths.[7h] Significant metal-metal bond character might be created at the same time as or prior to metal-hydrogen bond scission in order to explain the lack of effect of phosphine substitution.

Because there is a large difference between Mo-H and Mo-D zero point vibrational energies,[26] a kinetic isotope effect on metal-hydrogen bond breaking might be anticipated. In fact, no difference in rate constants is observed. Few comparisons of metal hydride and deuteride activity have been communicated, and hence there is little information against which this result might be judged. Dinuclear reductive elimination of methane from $H(CH_3)Os(CO)_4$ proceeds with a small primary kinetic

isotope effect: $k_H/k_D = 1.5$.[29] The lack of any effect in the reaction of $CpMo(CO)_3H$ with $CpMo(CO)_3C_2H_5$ most likely is a consequence of transfer of hydrogen after a rate determining step or in a transition state of low symmetry.[30]

No completely satisfactory mechanism may be constructed based upon the results outlined above. One which is consistent with the data obtained assumes release of aldehyde from two distinct intermediates (Scheme 10). Rate-limiting formation of a metal-metal bond concomitant with alkyl migration to produce the dinuclear species 24 reasonably may be associated with k_5. Mo-Mo and Mo-W bonds are of equal strength,[21] and according to the Hammond postulate[31] should be formed at the same rate in an endothermic reaction. Any disparity in the Lewis basicities of $CpMo(CO)_3H$ and $CpW(CO)_3H$ in this way could be masked by the energetic requirements of CO insertion.

Rate-limiting creation of the hydride-bridged complex 23 through displacement of solvent from $CpMo(CO)_2(solvent)COR$ constitutes the alternative pathway (k_4). Because the original metal-hydrogen bond would be weakened in the formation of this adduct, replacement of $CpMo(CO)_3H$ with $CpW(CO)_3H$ would be expected to retard the rate of reaction, as it does. No hydrogen-deuterium kinetic isotope effect is observed, however, possibly as a consequence of the low symmetry of 23.

Several objections to this proposed mechanism can be raised. It requires two additional intermediates and two transition states for aldehyde production. Further, it is unclear why displacement of solvent from $CpMo(CO)_2(solvent)COR$ should entail formation of a hydrogen bridge (23), while induction of migratory insertion in $CpMo(CO)_3R$ occurs through formation of a metal-metal bond. However, no obvious means of

avoiding these objections or similar ones is suggested by the data.

Two distinct modes of dinuclear reductive elimination involving $CpMo(CO)_3H$ now have been uncovered. This hydride may act merely as a protic acid, yielding a mononuclear complex from which alkane release may occur (Scheme 3).[7i] Alternatively, formation of some species in which hydrogen and acyl donor metals are linked may be a prerequisite to aldehyde production. The diversity of dinuclear elimination mechanisms[7] thus is mirrored in the reactions of one transition metal hydride.

Experimental

General. Unless otherwise indicated, reactions and manipulations were carried out at 20 °C under a dinitrogen atmosphere in a Vacuum Atmospheres 553-2 Dri-Lab with attached M60-40-1H Dri-Train. Removal of solvents from reaction mixtures and the preparation of sealed tubes were accomplished using conventional vacuum line techniques.

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (^{1}H NMR) spectra were recorded on a Varian EM-390 spectrometer, or at 200 or 250 MHz on spectrometers built by Mr. Rudi Nunlist of the U.C. Berkeley NMR laboratory, and are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 283 spectrometer using 0.10 mm NaCl solution cells. Melting points were obtained using a Thomas-Hoover capillary apparatus and are uncorrected.

High pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed on a Beckman 324 gradient chromatograph, which included two computer-controlled two piston pumps, a dual chamber mixer, and a high pressure rotary valve injector with a 10 uL sample loop. Detection of eluted compounds was accomplished using a Hitachi 100-10 UV/Vis spectrophotometer with attached Altex 155-00 flow cell. Peaks were quantified with a Hewlett-Packard 3390A integrator. Compounds were separated on an Altex 4.6 mm X 25 cm stainless steel column prepacked with Ultrasphere-ODS (regular 5 um silica particles treated with an octadecylchlorosilane) and protected with a precolumn packed with Vydac reversed phase adsorbant. Elution was accomplished using a 1.2 mL/m flow of 30% v/v water in acetonitrile (degassed with an argon purge) at a nominal pressure of 1700 psi.

With the following exceptions, reagents were obtained from commer-

cial sources and used as received. Tetrahydrofuran and ether were distilled from sodium and benzophenone under dinitrogen. Tetrahydrofuran-d_8, benzene-d_6, 2-methyltetrahydrofuran, and 2,5-dimethyltetrahydrofuran (equimolar cis and trans) were vacuum distilled from Na/Ph_2CO. Iodomethane, iodoethane, and iodomethane-d_3 were vacuum distilled onto copper wire and stored in the dark. Trimethylphosphine was vacuum distilled and stored under vacuum. $CpM(CO)_3R$ (M = Mo, W; R = H, D, CH_3, C_2H_5) were synthesized according to published procedures and exhibited satisfactory spectroscopic and physical properties.[28]

Measurement of Reaction Rates. Migratory CO Insertion. Phosphine-induced migratory insertion reactions were followed by monitoring the disappearance of the symmetric CO stretch of **1b** (2020 cm^{-1}). In a typical run, 0.150 mL of a 2.00 M stock solution of $PMePh_2$, 0.120 mL of a stock solution of **1b**, and 2.730 mL of Me_2THF were mixed in a 10 X 75 mm test tube to prepare a solution 0.100 M in phosphine and 0.010 M in alkyl. The tube was capped with a septum and placed in a water bath at 59.9 $\pm$ 0.1 °C under a positive dinitrogen pressure. At regular intervals, a portion of the solution was forced into an IR cell through a syringe needle, and a spectrum recorded.

Aldehyde Formation. Because 1 and 2 have coincident symmetric CO stretching absorptions in the infrared and indistinguishable UV/Vis spectra, and because the non-deuterated solvents utilized prevented the use of ^1H NMR spectroscopy, an alternative method was required for the measurement of reaction progress. Analytical reversed phase high pressure liquid chromatography allowed quantification of reactants. A typical experiment involved the mixing of 0.100 mL of a 2.00 M stock

solution of **2a**, 0.020 mL of an 0.25 mL stock solution of **1b**, 0.020 mL of isopropylbenzene (internal standard) and 1.80 mL of Me_2THF. The resulting solution was heated at 59.9 $\pm$ 0.1 °C under an atmosphere of dinitrogen. Occasional aliquots were withdrawn with a syringe and injected into the HPLC. The concentration of **1b** was monitored by measuring its absorbance at 254 nm relative to that of isopropylbenzene. Global rate constants were calculated using a non-linear least squares approach, assuming equal errors in the individual observed rate constants. Small changes were made sequentially in k_1, k_4/k_{-1}, and k_5 while allowing the other two to vary so that a minimum in χ^2 could be attained, and the process was repeated until self-consistency was achieved. Standard deviations were estimated by determining the change in each rate constant or rate constant ratio necessary to increase χ^2 by one, given an approximate standard deviation in each k_{obs} of 10 per cent.[32]

Synthesis of $CpMo(CO)_2(PMePh_2)COC_2H_5$ (6b). A 50 mL round-bottomed flask fitted with a magnetic stirring bar was charged with $CpMo(CO)_3C_2H_5$ (0.548 g, 0.200 mmol), THF (20 mL), and methyldiphenylphosphine (0.400 g, 0.200 mmol). After it was stirred for 4.5 h at room temperature, solvent was removed from the resulting mixture, leaving a yellow oil, which was recrystallized from toluene/pentane. After traces of this latter solvent were removed under vacuum, 0.910 g (96.0%) of material was obtained, mp 105.5-107 °C, IR (THF) 1936s, 1855vs, 1626s cm^{-1}, NMR (C_6D_6) δ 7.36 (m, 4H), 4.80 (s, 5H), 3.33 (quartet, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.78 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 3H), 1.20 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H). <u>Anal.</u> Calcd for $C_{23}H_{23}MoO_3P$: C, 58.24; H, 4.89. Found: C, 58.41; H, 5.00.

Reaction of $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ with 2,4-Dinitrophenol. An NMR tube with

attached ground glass joint was charged with **1a** (14 mg, 0.054 mmol) and 2,4-dinitrophenol (40 mg, 0.22 mmol) and capped with a vacuum stopcock. After evacuation, THF-$\underline{d}_8$ (0.78 mL) was vacuum transferred into the tube, which then was sealed. No reaction of the resulting solution could be discerned by NMR after 30 m at room temperature or 40 m at 59.9 °C. Prolonged heating (20 h) caused the contents of the tube to turn from yellow to red to black, and also led to the formation of a black precipitate. No resonances attributable to acetaldehyde were visible in a high field NMR spectrum of the black solution.

Reaction of CpMo(CO)$_3$C$_2$H$_5$ with CpMo(CO)$_3$H in CD$_3$I. To an NMR tube attached to a ground glass joint were added **1b** (26 mg, 0.095 mmol) and **2a** (23 mg, 0.094 mmol). Iodomethane-$\underline{d}_3$ was vacuum transferred into the tube, now capped with a vacuum stopcock, which then was sealed. Resonances corresponding to **1b** (δ 5.70, 2.04, 1.75) and **2a** (δ 5.83, -5.23), and small amounts of propanal (δ 10.13, 2.80) and **4** (δ 5.60) were visible in the NMR spectrum of the freshly prepared solution. The reaction mixture was stored at room temperature in the dark, and was examined periodically by NMR spectroscopy until no hydride resonance was evident. While the peaks associated with propanal, **4**, and CpMo(CO)$_3$I (δ 6.04, formed by reaction of **2a** and **5** with CD$_3$I) increased in area with time, at no point was the cyclopentadienyl resonance of CpMo(CO)$_3$CD$_3$ (δ 5.72) visible (limit of detectability ca. 5%). Propanal was produced in approximately 67% yield, indicating that one-third of the metal hydride initially present had reacted with solvent.

Reaction of CpMo(CO)$_3$D with CpMo(CO)$_3$C$_2$H$_5$. THF-$\underline{d}_8$ (0.88 mL) was vacuum transferred into a NMR tube attached to a ground glass joint,

capped with a vacuum stopcock, and charged with **1b** (23 mg, 0.084 mmol) and **2b** (30 mg, 0.12 mmol). The tube then was sealed and heated to 59.9 °C. In an NMR spectrum recorded after three half-lives (ca. 40 m), the aldehydic proton resonance had sufficient magnitude only to account for the starting protium content of **2b** (6%).

Reaction of CpW(CO)$_3$H with CpMo(CO)$_3$C$_2$H$_5$. An NMR tube fixed to a ground glass joint and closed with a vacuum stopcock was sealed after it had been charged with **1b** (27 mg, 0.10 mmol), **9a** (33 mg, 0.10 mmol), and (by vacuum transfer) THF-$\underline{d}_8$ (0.53 mL). Periodic NMR analysis of the contents of the tube, which was stored at room temperature in the dark, indicated the disappearance of starting materials ($t_{1/2}$ ca. 1.5×10^5 s) and the concurrent quantitative formation of propanal and of a mixture of cyclopentadienyl-containing products. Removal of solvent at the completion of the reaction and dissolution of the resulting red solid in chloroform-$\underline{d}$ allowed NMR spectroscopic identification of Cp$_2$MoW(CO)$_6$[21] (δ 5.36, 5.32), Cp$_2$MoW(CO)$_4$[33] (δ 5.36, 5.30), and minor amounts of **4**, **5**, **12**[34] (δ 5.22), and **13**[21] (δ 5.33).

Reaction of CpW(CO)$_3$D with CpMo(CO)$_3$C$_2$H$_5$. An NMR tube with attached ground glass joint was loaded with **1b** (8.3 mg, 0.030 mmol) and **9b** (10. mg, 0.031 mmol), and then capped with a vacuum stopcock in a dry box. THF-$\underline{d}_8$ (0.68 mL) was vacuum distilled into the tube, which subsequently was sealed. Heating at 59.9 °C over a period of 1 d resulted in complete consumption of starting materials. An NMR spectrum of the product solution showed resonances associated with propanal-1-$\underline{d}$ (< 10% propanal-1-$\underline{h}$ produced) and the dimers **4**, **5**, and **10–13**.

Synthesis of CpMo(CO)$_2$(PMe$_3$)H (14). A procedure analogous to that of Green[35] was used. CpMo(CO)$_3$H (1.50 g, 6.10 mmol), THF (25 mL), and a

magnetic stirring bar were placed in a 100 mL round-bottomed flask, which then was sealed with a vacuum stopcock. The flask was evacuated after its contents were frozen in liquid nitrogen. A known volume of trimethylphosphine vapor (484 mL, 380 Torr, 6.29 mmol) was vacuum transferred into the reaction vessel. After thawing, the resulting mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at room temperature. Removal of solvent under vacuum left a yellow solid, which was sublimed (60 °C, 10^{-2} Torr) to give 1.50 g (80%) of yellow, crystalline, air stable material, mp 78-79 °C, IR (THF) 1940s, 1858s cm^{-1}, NMR (C_6D_6) δ 4.75 (s, 5H), 1.02 (d, J = 9 Hz, 3H), -5.90 (s, br, 1H). <u>Anal</u>. Calcd for $C_{10}H_{15}MoO_2P$: C, 40.83; H, 5.14. Found: C, 40.69; H, 5.21.

Notes and References

(1) Jones, W.D.; Bergman, R.G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 5447-5449.

(2) Jones, W.D.; Huggins, J.M.; Bergman, R.G. Ibid. 1981, 103, 4415-4423.

(3) Breslow, D.S.; Heck, R.F. Chem. Ind., 1960, 467; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 4023-4027.

(4) For a general review of hydroformylation, see Cornils, B. "New Syntheses with Carbon Monoxide"; Falbe, J., Ed.; Springer Verlag: New York, 1980; Chapter 1.

(5) van Boven, M.; Alemdaroglu, N.H.; Penninger, J.M.L. Ind. Eng. Chem., Prod. Res. Dev. 1975, 14, 259-264; Alemdaroglu, N.H.; Penninger, J.M.L.; Oltay, E. Monatsh. Chem. 1976, 107, 1153-1165.

(6) Sternberg, H.W.; Wender, I.; Friedel, R.A.; Orchin, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953, 75, 2717-2720.

(7) (a) Whitesides, G.M.; Stedronski, E.R.; Casey, C.P.; San Filipo, J., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 1426-1434; (b) Schwartz, J.; Cannon, J.B. Ibid. 1974, 96, 2276-2278; (c) Renaut, P.; Tainturier, G.; Gauther, B J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 150, C9-C10; (d) Hoxmeier, R.J.; Blickensderfer, J.R.; Kaesz, H.D. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 3453-3461; (e) Norton, J.R. Acc. Chem. Res. 1979, 12, 139-145; (f) Longato, B; Norton, J.R.; Huffman, J.C.; Marsella, J.A.; Caulton, K.G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 209-210; (g) Marsella, J.A.; Folting, K.; Caulton, K.G. Ibid. 1981, 103, 5596-5598; (h) Nappa, M.J.; Santi, R.; Diefenbach, S.P.; Halpern, J. Ibid. 1982, 104, 619-621; (i) Marsella, J.A.; Huffman, J.C.; Caulton, K.G.; Longato, B.; Norton, J.R. Ibid. 1982, 104, 6360-6368; (j) Janowicz, A.H.; Bergman, R.G. Ibid. 1981, 103, 2488-2489.

(8) (a) Craig, P.J.; Green, M. J. Chem. Soc. A 1968, 1978-1981; (b) Butler, I.S.; Basolo, F.; Pearson, R.G. Inorg. Chem. 1967, 6, 2074-2079; (c) Hart-Davis, A.J.; Mawby, R.J. J. Chem. Soc. A 1969, 2403-2407; (d) Cotton, J.D.; Crisp, G.T.; Daly, V.A. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1981, 47, 165-169.

(9) Some of the results discussed here have been reported in preliminary form: Wax, M.J.; Bergman, R.G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 7028-7030.

(10) These conclusions are in accord with the proposition originally advanced by Butler, Basolo, and Pearson.[8b]

(11) Cotton, J.D.; Crisp, G.T.; Latifah, L. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1981, 47, 171-176.

(12) Barnett, K.W.; Beach, D.L.; Gaydos, S.P.; Pollmann, T.G. J. Organo-

met. Chem. 1974, 69, 121-130.

(13) Huggins, J.M.; Bergman, R.G., unpublished results.

(14) Methane is produced from 1a and the chromium hydride; Wax, M.J.; Bergman, R.G., unpublished results.

(15) Tolman, C.A. Chem. Rev. 1977, 77, 313-348.

(16) Schunn, R.A. "Transition Metal Hydrides"; Muetterties, E.L., Ed.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1971; Chapter 5.

(17) Jordan, J.F.; Norton, J.R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1255-1263.

(18) Pearson, R.G.; Figdore, P.E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 1541-1547.

(19) Gutmann, V. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1976, 18, 225-255.

(20) At longer reaction times, disproportionation of the initially formed dimers occurs; cf. ref. 21.

(21) Madach, T.; Vahrenkamp, H. Chem. Ber. 1980, 113, 2675-2685.

(22) Amer, S.; Kramer, G.; Poë, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 209, C28-C30.

(23) We base the conclusion of a higher W-H bond dissociation energy on two pieces of evidence. First, complexes 2a and 9a, which should be identical in size[24], have M-H stretches of 1798 and 1845 cm^{-1}, respectively. Second, these complexes have respective acidities in acetonitrile of pK_a 13.9 and 16.1. The difference in these is equivalent to ca. 3 kcal/mol. Assuming similar solvation energies for the molybdenum and tungsten anions, the higher electronegativity of tungsten[25] implies that this energy difference is a lower limit to the difference in bond energies.

(24) King, R.B. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 1969, 5, 905-907.

(25) Allred, A.L. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 1969, 5, 905-907.

(26) A rough calculation[27] based upon the Mo-H and Mo-D stretching frequencies in $CpMo(CO)_3R$[28] indicates a zero point energy difference of 720 cal/mol.

(27) Bell, R.P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1974, 3, 513-544.

(28) Davison, A.; McCleverty, J.A.; Wilkinson, G. J. Chem. Soc. 1963, 1133-1138.

(29) Okrasinski, S.J.; Norton, J.R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 295-297.

(30) More O'Ferrall, R.A. J. Chem. Soc. B 1970, 785-790.

(31) Hammond, G.S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1955, 77, 334-358.

(32) Bevington, P.R. "Data Reduction and Error Analysis for the Physical Sciences"; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1969; pp. 242-245.

(33) Curtis, M.D.; Fotinos, N.A.; Messerle, L.; Sattelberger, A.P. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 1559-1561.

(34) Ginley, D.S.; Bock, C.R.; Wrighton, M.S. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1977, 23, 85-94.

(35) Bainbridge, A.; Craig, P.J.; Green, M. J. Chem. Soc. A 1968, 2715-2718.

Table 1. Rate constants for reaction of **1a** or **1b** with $PMePh_2$ at $59.9\pm0.1°C$. Rate constants are defined as in Scheme 3.[a]

Complex	Solvent	$10^4 k_1 (s^{-1})$	$k_2/k_1 (M^{-1})$	$10^4 k_3 (M^{-1}s^{-1})$
1a	THF	7.78 ± 0.14	96 ± 8	1.73 ± 0.16
1a	Me_2THF	0.23 ± 0.06	>500	1.67 ± 0.14
1b	Me_2THF	5.29 ± 0.40	>500	69.1 ± 1.8

[a] Uncertainties listed are 95% confidence limits.

Table 2. Rate constants for reaction of $CpMo(CO)_3C_2H_5$ with $CpMo(Co)_3H$ in various solvents at $59.9\pm0.1°C$. Rate constants are defined as in Scheme 6.[a]

Solvent	$10^4k_1(s^{-1})$	$k_4/k_{-1}(M^{-1})$	$10^4k_5(M^{-1}s^{-1})$
THF[b]	----	----	----
MeTHF	43.4	1.77	7.49
Me$_2$THF	4.90	19.8	1.48
PhMe	0.21	>500	0.62

[a] Estimated 95% confidence limits are $\pm10\%$ for k_1 and $\pm20\%$ for k_4/k_{-1} and k_5; see experimental section.

[b] $(k_1k_4/k_{-1} + k_5) = 71.3\pm0.28 \times 10^{-4}$ M^{-1} s^{-1}.

Table 3. Rate constants for reaction of $CpMo(CO)_3C_2H_5$ with various reagents in Me_2THF at $59.9\pm0.1°C$. Rate constants defined as in Scheme 6.[a]

Reagent	$10^4 k_1 (s^{-1})$	$k_4/k_{-1} (M^{-1})$	$10^4 k_5 (M^{-1}s^{-1})$
$PMePh_2$	5.29 ± 0.40	>500[b]	69.1 ± 0.18[c]
$CpMo(CO)_3H$	4.90	19.8	1.48
$CpMo(CO)_3D$	4.89	19.5	1.39
$CpW(CO)_3H$	4.97	3.5	1.35
$CpW(CO)_3D$	4.69	3.5	1.42
$CpMo(CO)_2(PMe_3)H$	4.46	28.7	8.31

[a] See footnote b, Table 2.

[b] k_2/k_{-1}.

[c] k_3.

Figure 1. Dependence of k_{obs} for conversion of $CpMo(CO)_3CH_3$ to $CpMo(CO)_2(PMePh_2)COCH_3$ upon $PMePh_2$ concentration at 59.9 °C in THF (●) and Me_2THF (O).

Figure 2. Dependence of k_{obs} for conversion of $CpMo(CO)_3C_2H_5$ to ethanal upon hydride concentration in Me_2THF at 59.9 °C using the following hydrides: ($\bullet$) $CpMo(CO)_3H$; (O) $CpMo(CO)_3D$; ($\blacksquare$) $CpW(CO)_3H$; ($\square$) $CpW(CO)_3D$.

Scheme I

1a R = CH₃   2a X = H

1b R = C₂H₅   2b X = D

Scheme 2

$$R-\overset{O}{\overset{\|}{C}}-Co(CO)_3 + H_2 \longrightarrow R-\overset{O}{\overset{\|}{C}}-\underset{\underset{H}{\overset{|}{H}}}{Co(CO)_3} \longrightarrow HCo(CO)_3 + \overset{O}{\overset{\|}{H}}-C-R$$

$$R-\overset{O}{\overset{\|}{C}}-Co(CO)_3 + H-Co(CO)_4 \longrightarrow Co_2(CO)_7 + \overset{O}{\overset{\|}{H}}-C-R$$

Scheme 3

$$CpMo(CO)_3H \; + \; Cp_2ZrMe_2 \; \rightleftharpoons \; CpMo(CO)_3^- \; + \; \overset{+}{Cp_2} \underset{\underset{H}{|}}{Zr} Me_2 \; \longrightarrow \; CH_4$$

$$(OC)_5Mn-CH_2Ph \; \rightleftharpoons \; (OC)_5\dot{M}n \; + \; \dot{C}H_2Ph \; \xrightarrow{\; H-Mn(CO)_5 \;} \; PhCH_3$$

$$2(OC)_4Os\overset{H}{\underset{Me}{<}} \; \longrightarrow \; (OC)_4 \overset{Me}{Os} - H - \overset{H}{Os}(CO)_3 \; \longrightarrow \; CH_4$$

58

Scheme 4

6a R = CH$_3$
6b R = C$_2$H$_5$

Scheme 5

7a R = CH$_3$
7b R = C$_2$H$_5$

8a R = CH$_3$
8b R = C$_2$H$_5$

S = Solvent

Scheme 6

$k_5[\text{CpMo(CO)}_3\text{H}]$

$(\text{OC})_3\text{Mo}-\text{R}$

$k_{-1} \big\vert\big\vert k_1$

$(\text{OC})_2\text{Mo}-\text{C} \begin{smallmatrix} \text{O} \\ \\ \text{R} \end{smallmatrix}$

S

$k_4[\text{CpMo(CO)}_3\text{H}]$

$\text{R}-\overset{\text{O}}{\text{C}}-\text{H}$ + $\tfrac{1}{2}\text{Cp}_2\text{Mo}_2(\text{CO})_4$ + $\tfrac{1}{2}\text{Cp}_2\text{Mo}_2(\text{CO})_6$

Scheme 7

$(OC)_3Mo-R$ + $(OC)_3W-X$ ⟶ $\underset{R}{\overset{O}{\|}}\underset{}{C}X$ + $Cp_2MoW(CO)_4$ + $Cp_2MoW(CO)_6$

9a X = H
9b X = D

10   **11**

+ $Cp_2Mo_2(CO)_4$ + $Cp_2Mo_2(CO)_6$

4   **5**

+ $Cp_2W_2(CO)_4$ + $Cp_2W_2(CO)_6$

12   **13**

61

Scheme 8

Scheme 9

$(OC)_3Mo-C_2H_5 + (OC)_3Mo-H \longrightarrow (OC)_3Mo-Mo(CO)_3 + (OC)_2Mo\equiv Mo(CO)_2$

$(OC)_3Mo-C_2H_5 + (OC)_3W-H \longrightarrow (OC)_3Mo-W(CO)_3 + (OC)_2Mo\equiv W(CO)_2$

Scheme 10

Cp(CO)$_3$Mo–R $\xrightarrow{\text{k}_5[\text{Cp(CO)}_3\text{MH}]}$ $\left[\text{Cp(CO)}_3\text{M} \overset{\text{H}}{\longrightarrow} \underset{\underset{\text{R}}{\overset{\|}{\underset{\text{C}}{\text{O}}}}}{\text{Mo(CO)}_3\text{Cp}} \right]$

24

$\Big\downarrow\Big\uparrow$

Cp(CO)$_3$Mo$\underset{\text{S}}{\overset{\overset{\text{O}}{\|}}{|}}$C–R $\xrightarrow[\text{–S}]{\text{k}_4[\text{Cp(CO)}_3\text{MH}]}$ $\left[\text{Cp(CO)}_3\text{M}-\text{H}-\underset{\underset{\text{R}}{\overset{\|}{\underset{\text{C}}{\text{O}}}}}{\text{Mo(CO)}_3\text{Cp}} \right]$

23

$R-C\overset{\text{O}}{\underset{\text{H}}{\lessgtr}}$