## Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**Recent Work** 

## Title

KINETICS OF COMBINED SO2/NO IN FLUE GAS CLEAN-UP

### Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0s76s32g

## Authors

Chang, S.G. Littlejohn, D.

Publication Date 1985-03-01

BL-1930

# Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED

## APPLIED SCIENCE DIVISION

BERKELEY LABORATORY

MAY 1 6 1985

LIBRARY AND DOCUMENTS SECTION

Presented at the Spring 1985 National Meeting of the AIChE, Houston, TX, March 24-28, 1985

KINETICS OF COMBINED SO2/NO IN FLUE GAS CLEAN-UP

S.G. Chang and D. Littlejohn

March 1985

## TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

, · ·

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks



## APPLIED SCIENCE DIVISION

#### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

## Kinetics of Combined $SO_2/NO$ in Flue Gas Clean-Up<sup>†</sup>

S. G. Chang<sup>\*</sup> and D. Littlejohn

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

### Abstract

The kinetics of reactions involving  $SO_2$ , NO, and ferrous chelate additives in wet flue gas simultaneous desulfurization and denitrification scrubbers are discussed. The relative importance of these reactions are assessed. The relevance of these reactions to spray dryer processes for combined  $SO_2$ /NO flue gas clean-up is addressed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> This work was supported by the Assistant Secretary for Fossil Energy, U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC03-76SF00098 through the Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center, Pittsburgh, Pensylvania.

## Kinetics of Combined SO<sub>2</sub>/NO Flue Gas Clean-Up

#### I. Introduction

Wet flue gas simultaneous desulfurization and denitrification processes have been developed by the Japanese in the 70's and have been shown to be very efficient in  $SO_2$  and  $NO_x$  removal<sup>1-3</sup> (more than 85% for  $NO_x$  and 95% for  $SO_2$ ). However, these wet processes have not reached the commercial stage yet because they are uncompetitive economically, according to cost evaluation.<sup>4</sup> These cost evaluations, however, were made based on design and knowledge available at that time. Critiques<sup>4</sup> have indicated that these wet processes are in their early stages of development and with their maturation, they could become competitive in cost.

The most promising type of wet process developed so far is based on the addition of ferrous chelates in scrubbing liquor to enhance the absorption of NO by forming nitrosyl ferrous chelates in aqueous solutions. Nitrosyl ferrous chelate can then react<sup>5,8</sup> with dissolved SO<sub>2</sub> to produce  $N_2$ ,  $N_2O$ , dithionate, sulfate and various N-S compounds, while some ferrous chelates are oxidized to ferric chelates, which are inactive. Therefore, this type of process requires regeneration of scrubbing liquors by removing dithionate, sulfate, and N-S compounds from the solutions and reduction of ferric chelate back to ferrous chelates. The chemistry of this type of process is complicated and has not been well investigated. Therefore, an optimum design of a system of this type cannot be achieved. This paper addresses important kinetic information, including those of recent study, involved in this type of process.

#### **II.** Kinetics and Mechanisms

#### (1) Dissolution of NO in aqueous solutions

Nitric oxide can exist in two different forms, dissolved and hydrated, represented respectively by NO (dissolved) and  $NO(H_2O)_x$ , after dissolution in aqueous solutions.<sup>7</sup> The rate of formation of NO (dissolved) is presumably controlled by mass transfer rate.

The  $NO(H_2O)_x$  is formed by a reversible hydrolytic reaction:

NO (dissolved) = 
$$NO(H_2O)_x$$
 1

The rate constant of this reaction has been determined at 0°, 25°, and 50 °C to be 0.136, 0.141, and 0.15 sec<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The activation parameters corresponding to these data are  $\Delta H^{*} = \sim 0$  and  $\Delta S^{*} = -63$  cal deg<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> referred to 1 M aqueous NO.

Nitric oxide is nonreactive in water (in the absence of oxygen), and the solubility of NO, including both NO (dissolved) and NO(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>x</sub>, in aqueous solutions is very small. The solubility coefficient is  $1.93 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol}/(latm)$  at 25 °C and zero ionic strength.<sup>8</sup> The solubility decreases with increasing temperature; the enthalpy of solution is  $\Delta H^{\circ} = -2.94$  kcal/mol. The solubility of NO decreases with increasing ionic strength ( $\mu$ ); this decrease amounts to approximately 8% for  $\mu = 0.1 \text{ mol}/l$ . The solubility of NO in aqueous solution was found to be independent of pH over the range 2-13. For 1000 ppm of NO in equilibrium with aqueous scrubbing solution at 50 °C and  $\mu = 0.1 \text{ mol}/l$ , the concentration of NO in the aqueous phase is only  $1.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol}/l$ .

#### (2) Reversible Reaction of NO with Ferrous Chelates

The binding of NO to  $Fe^{2+}(L)$  can be expressed by the following equation:

NO (dissolved) + 
$$Fe^{2+}(L) = Fe^{2+}(L)(NO)$$
 2

where L represents chelates. With the temperature-jump technique, Littlejohn and  $Chang^9$  directly measured the formation and dissociation rate constants of  $Fe^{2+}(H_2O)_5(NO)$ ,  $Fe^{2+}(citrate)(NO)$ ,  $Fe^{2+}(acac)_2(NO)$ ,  $Fe^{2+}(EDTA)(NO)$ , and  $Fe^{2+}(NTA)(NO)$  (Table 1). The temperature-jump apparatus (Figure 1) employed is similar to that described by Czerlinski and Eigen.<sup>10</sup> The source of the energy for the temperature-jump is a high-voltage dc power supply connected to a capacitor through a solenoid switch. After the capacitor is charged, the switch is disconnected. When a variable spark gap is closed, the energy stored in the capacitor can be discharged through a cell containing the reaction under study. A temperature jump of about 8 °C

was created by discharging a 0.25-mF capacitor, charged to 20 kV, through platinumcoated electrodes in the cell, whose volume is approximately 1.4 cm<sup>3</sup>. The discharge time is dependent on the ionic strength of the solution but in general less than 10  $\mu$ s. The temperature jump induces a change in the concentrations of reactants and products as the reaction shifts to a new equilibrium. The shift is monitored by a photomultiplier tube and displayed on an oscilloscope.

The reciprocal of the relaxation time equals the forward rate constant times the sum of the final equilibrium concentration of  $Fe^{2+}(L)$  and NO plus the reverse rate constant.<sup>10</sup> When the reciprocal of the relaxation time is plotted against the final concentrations of  $Fe^{2+}(L)$  plus NO, the slope of the curve gives the forward rate constant  $(k_2)$  and the point of interception gives the reverse rate constant  $(k_2)$ .

The result of  $Fe^{2+}(H_2O)_5(NO)$  is in good agreement with that determined by Kastin et al.<sup>11</sup> using the same experimental technique. For both  $Fe^{2+}(EDTA)(NO)$  and  $Fe^{2+}(NTA)(NO)$ , the relaxation times due to the temperature jump were too fast to be measured. However, an upper limit of 10  $\mu$ s was established for the relaxation times for both complexes. By use of this value with the equilibrium constants determined for  $Fe^{2+}(EDTA)(NO)^{12}$  and  $Fe^{2+}(NTA)(NO)^{13}$ , the lower limits of formation rate constants were calculated to be 7 x 10<sup>7</sup> and 6 x 10<sup>7</sup> l/mole-sec, respectively; while the lower limits of dissociation rate constants were 35 and 6 sec<sup>-1</sup>, respectively at 25 °C. Teramoto et al.<sup>14</sup> has carried out an experiment using a stirred vessel with a free flat gas-liquid interface and a bubble column, and obtained a formation rate for  $Fe^{2+}(EDTA)(NO)$  of 2.6 x 10<sup>8</sup> l/mol-sec at 35 °C, which is consistent with that determined by the temperature-jump technique. From the results listed in Table 1, we can conclude that the formation rate of  $Fe^{2+}(EDTA)(NO)$  is at least 85 times faster than that of  $Fe^{2+}(H_2O)_5(NO)$ ; whereas, the dissociation rate of  $Fe^{2+}(EDTA)(NO)$  is about 250 times slower than that of  $Fe^{2+}(H_2O)_5(NO)$  at 25 °C.

The absorption of NO is enhanced by the presence of ferrous chelate compounds. By using the equilibrium constant of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{NTA})(\text{NO})$ , for an aqueous scrubbing solution initially containing 0.1 mol/*l*  $\text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{NTA})$  at 50 °C,  $\mu = 0.1$  mol/L, the fraction of the iron

chelate that is converted to  $Fe^{2+}(NTA)(NO)$  is about 36% when the solution is in equilibrium with a gas containing 1000 ppm of NO at 1 atm. For a gas containing 100 ppm of NO the conversion is about 5%. Thus, the presence of the iron chelate increases the capacity of the scrubbing solution for NO by a factor of 30,000 or more.

#### (3) Reaction of NO with Silfite and Bisulfite

We have recently studied<sup>15</sup> the reactions of NO +  $SO_3^{=}$  and NO +  $HSO_3^{-}$  using rapid-mixing continuous-flow and stopped-flow techniques in conjuction with UV spectrophotometry for detection of the reaction's product, N-nitrosohydroxylamine-Nsulfonate (NHAS). The extinction coefficient for NHAS was obtained by completley converting a sulfite solution at pH 11 to NHAS by the addition of nitric oxide. The conversion of sulfite to NHAS was confirmed using ion chromatography. The absorbance of the solution was recorded from 200 to 400 nm and these values were converted into extinction coefficients (Figure 2).

The flows of the reactants were varied to produce a range of reactant concentration ratios and range of times between mixing and monitoring. The flow rates ranged from 20 to 500 ml/min. In continuous-flow experiments, the UV spectrum was recorded over a range of 200 to 400 nm, along with the pH of the reacting solution. In stoppedflow experiments, the spectrometer was set at a wavelength where NHAS absorbs (Figure 2). Wavelengths used for monitoring were in the range of 257 to 295 nm, where no other species in the solution absorbed. A recording of the absorption was started and then the flow was stopped abruptly. The record of the absorption vs time was used in rate constant determinations.

A plot of the log of the rate constant vs pH is shown in Figure 3 along with the log percent of sulfite and bisulfite vs pH. If only sulfite reacted with nitric oxide, the data would be expected to match the shape of the sulfite curve. From the data we get the rate expression:

$$\frac{d[NHAS]}{dt} = k_a[NO][HSO_3^-] + k_a[NO][SO_3^-]$$
(a)

By assuming all of the NO is in hydrated form, we obtain  $k_a = 32 \pm 10 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  and  $k_{a'} = 620 \pm 100 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ . The curve for this rate expression is shown in Figure 3 also. The rate constant for the reaction with sulfite ion is much larger than that obtained by Nunes and Powell,<sup>16</sup> who studied the reaction using a stirred reactor by bubbling NO gas into a sulfite solution and obtained an expression:

$$-\frac{d[NO]}{dt} = .132[NO] + .45[NO][SO_3^{=}]$$
 (b)

in M sec<sup>-1</sup> at 25 °C and pH 13 to 14. They attribute the first term to the hydration of nitric oxide and the second term to the reaction of dissolved nitric oxide with sulfite ion.

NO (dissolved)	-	<sup>NO(H</sup> 2 <sup>O)</sup> x	1
$NO(H_2O)_x + SO_3^=$	-+	ONSO3	3
NO (dissolved) + $SO_3^{=}$	-	"ONSO3	4

The reaction of hydrated nitric oxide with sulfite ion was assumed to be much faster than the reaction of dissolved nitric oxide with sulfite ion. The  $ONSO_3$  intermediate was believed to react rapidly with a second molecule of nitric oxide to form NHAS. They made no mention of whether the second nitric oxide needed to be hydrated or not. Presumably, because of the rapidity by which the intermediate reacts with nitric oxide, the nitric oxide could be either in the dissolved or hydrated form. If this were not the case, the rate expression would be more complex.

In our system, the hydrated form of nitric oxide is formed prior to mixing, so the sulfite-independent term would not be observed and the rate constant we obtain is for the reaction of hydrated nitric oxide with sulfite ion. The rate of the reaction of dissolved nitric oxide with sulfite ion is sufficiently slow that it would not contribute significantly to the formation of NHAS in the time scales in which we observed the reaction. In experiments where the original sulfite ion concentration was in excess of that of nitric oxide, we observed concentrations of NHAS equal to half the original nitric oxide concentration shortly after mixing. This indicated that at least half of the nitric

oxide was in the hydrated form. Otherwise, the NHAS concentration would be lower because the dissolved nitric oxide could not generate NHAS quickly. Other than measurements such as these, we have no indication of what the equilibrium constant is for the process:

$$NO(dissolved) = NO(hydrated)$$

In the analysis of experimental data and calculating rate constants, it was necessary to correct the results for the hydrolysis of NHAS whose kinetics follow.

#### (4) Hydrolysis of N-nitrosohydroxylamine-N-sulfonate

NHAS hydrolyzes to produce nitrous oxide and sulfate:

$$^{-}ON(NO)SO_{3}^{-} \rightarrow N_{2}O + SO_{4}^{2} 5$$

The rate of this hydrolysis was studied by Seel and Winker<sup>17</sup> and Ackermann and Powell.<sup>18</sup> These authors found that hydrolysis is catalyzed by acid as well as heavy metal ions. By the addition of EDTA in the system it was possible to avoid the catalysis due to heavy metal ions. Seel and Winker have obtained a hydrolysis rate equation:

$$-\frac{d[NHAS]}{dt} = k_5[H^+][NHAS]$$
(c)

with  $k_5 = 1.1 \ge 10^4 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ . The rate obtained by Ackermann and Powell without EDTA has a hydrogen ion dependence that is less than first order, and can be expressed as:

$$\frac{d[NHAS]}{dt} = k'_{5}[H^{+}]^{0.86}[NHAS]$$

where  $k'_5 = 1.6 \ge 10^3 \sec^{-1}$ . The primary effect of trace heavy metal ions appears to be an increase of the decomposition rate at neutral and alkaline pH conditions. The hydrolysis rate constants obtained by Ackermann and Powell<sup>18</sup> and Ackermann<sup>19</sup> were used for the corrections.

## (5) Reaction of Ferrous Nitrosyl Chelates with $SO_3^{2-}/HSO_3^{-}$

The reaction is known to produce a large number of products, <sup>5,6</sup> including  $N_2O$ ,  $N_2$ , hydroxylamine disulfonate (HADS),  $SO_4^{2-}$ ,  $S_2O_6^{2-}$ , and  $Fe^{3+}$ . Reports of the reaction have indicated that it is complicated. There are contradictions in the literature as to what the reaction products are, as well as the kinetic behavior.

Sada and co-workers<sup>20</sup> studied this reaction using a system where NO gas was continuously flowed into a Fe<sup>2+</sup>(EDTA) + Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> solution. They conclude that the reaction proceeds by S(IV) reacting with NO coordinated to the ferrous complex to produce N<sub>2</sub>O and Fe<sup>3+</sup>. Their basis for this conclusion is the appearance of higher concentration of N<sub>2</sub>O in solutions of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sup>2+</sup>(EDTA) than in solutions of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> alone when nitric oxide was bubbled through. They attribute NHAS to be the source of N<sub>2</sub>O. No rate was given for the reactions of Fe<sup>2+</sup>(EDTA)(NO) with either SO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> or HSO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>.

In our study of the reaction,<sup>6</sup> degassed solutions of reagent grade sodium sulfite and/or sodium metabisulfite were added to the spectrophotometer cell and the cell was evacuated. The solution containing the ferrous nitrosyl complex, which was prepared on a vacuum line, was added and the solutions were mixed and placed in the spectrophotometer for monitoring. The absorption spectra of the complex are shown in Figure 4. The initial disappearance of the ferrous nitrosyl chelates could be described as:

$$-\frac{d[Fe^{2+}(L)NO]}{dt} = k[HSO_{3}^{-}] + k[SO_{3}^{-}][Fe^{2+}(L)NO]$$
(d)

For L = NTA at 25 °C and pH 3 to 8,  $k_1 = 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  and  $k_2 = 0.13 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  for  $10^{-4} \text{ M} < [Fe(II)(NTA)NO] < 10^{-3} \text{ M}$  and  $10^{-2} \text{ M} < [S(IV)] < 10^{-1} \text{ M}$ . For L = EDTA at 25 °C and pH 4 to 8,  $k_1 = 5.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  and  $k_2 = 0.175 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ . For EDTA at 55 °C and pH 4 to 8,  $k_1 = 9.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  and  $k_2 = 0.60 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ . These values for EDTA are valid for  $10^{-4} \text{ M} < [Fe(II)(EDTA)NO] < 10^{-3} \text{ M}$  and  $10^{-3} \text{ M} < [S(IV)] < 10^{-1} \text{ M}$ .

In order to understand the reaction mechanisms, we have analyzed reaction products vs reaction time. Gaseous products were analyzed by an Aerograph A700 gas chromatograph with a Porapak Q column.  $SF_6$  was used over most solutions to allow determination of N<sub>2</sub> generated by the reaction mixtures. Occasionally, gas samples were withdrawn and run on an A.E.I. MS12 mass spectrometer to check the results obtained by gas chromatography.

Ferrous ion concentrations were determined by the 1,10 phenanthroline method. Test solutions were acidified to  $pH \sim 2.5$  to avoid interference from the ligands used in the reaction mixtures.

We have developed a laser Raman spectroscopic<sup>21</sup> and ion chromatographic techniques<sup>22</sup> that can be successfully used in the determinations of N-S compounds in reaction mixtures. Both techniques require only a small amount of the sample and allow simple, rapid and simultaneous determination of these compounds. Figure 5 shows Raman spectra of the N-S compounds along with the sulfate ion reference peak at 980 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The spectra can be quantified by adding a known amount of a reference compound, such as  $ClO_4^{-}$ , to the sample and comparing peak heights. The peak heights must be corrected for the relative scattering efficiencies of the compounds (Table 2). Using a Dionex 2010i lon Chromatograph with a conductivity detector, we can make determinations of N-S compounds.

The mechanism we developed for the reaction of Fe(II)(L)NO with sulfite ion is as follows:

÷	Fe(II)(L) + NO	2
-•	(0NS0 <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>=</sup>	4
=	NO(H <sub>2</sub> O) <sub>x</sub>	1
-	(0NS0 <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>=</sup>	3
-	-0n(no)so <sub>3</sub> -	6
-	$N_20 + SO_4^{=}$	5
-•	$2Fe(III) + N_2O + SO_3^{=}$	7
		= $Fe(II)(L) + NO$ $\rightarrow (ONSO_3)^{=}$ = $NO(H_2O)_x$ $\rightarrow (ONSO_3)^{=}$ $\rightarrow ON(NO)SO_3^{-}$ $\rightarrow N_2O + SO_4^{=}$ $\rightarrow 2Fe(III) + N_2O + SO_3^{=}$

We did not include a direct reaction between Fe(II)(L)NO and  $SO_3^{=}$  because it appears that the reactions listed account for disappearance of Fe(II)(L)NO when mixed with  $SO_3^{=}$ .

While there is uncertainty in  $k_2$  and  $k_{-2}$  for Fe(II)(NTA)NO and Fe(II)(EDTA)NO, the rate constants for reaction 2, Littlejohn and Chang,<sup>9</sup> and Teramoto, *et al.*<sup>14</sup> indicate that  $k_{-1}$  is on the order of 10<sup>7</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>. The equilibrium constants  $K_{eq} = \frac{k_{-2}}{k_2}$  are fairly well established <sup>12,13</sup> as being about 10<sup>6</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> at 25 °C, indicating that  $k_{-2}$  is about 10 sec<sup>-1</sup>. From this approximate value of  $k_{-2}$  and the rate equation for NO + SO<sub>3</sub><sup>=</sup>, we can calculate a rate for Fe(II)(L)NO. However, the calculated rate is considerably faster than that of the observed rate. The calculated rate was obtained assuming that the nitric oxide release by the ferrous chelate reacts at the rate for hydrated nitric oxide.

A more likely assumption would be that the nitric oxide is not hydrated until it is released by the ferrous chelate. Since the hydration rate is so slow<sup>7</sup> ( $k \approx 0.14$  at 25 °C), the rate of reaction of Fe(II)(L)NO + SO<sub>3</sub><sup>=</sup> no longer depends on  $k_{-2}$ , but on  $K_{eq}$  and the hydration rate constant. Using this methodology, the calculated rates agree well with the observed rates for both Fe(II)(EDTA)NO and Fe(II)(NTA)NO. For example, with initial concentrations of [Fe(II)(NTA)NO] = 1 x 10<sup>-3</sup> M and [SO<sub>3</sub><sup>=</sup>] = 1 x 10<sup>-2</sup> M, the observed rate is 1.3 x 10<sup>-6</sup> M sec<sup>-1</sup>. Using  $K_{eq} = 2.0 \times 10^6 M^{-1}$ , the calculated rate is 1.4 x 10<sup>-6</sup> M sec<sup>-1</sup>. The calculated rate for Fe(II)(EDTA)NO is slightly larger, in agreement with what is observed.

Measurements of the absorption of nitric oxide by Fe(II)(EDTA) solutions done by Teramoto *et al.*<sup>14</sup> allowed them to estimate  $k_2$  to be on the order of  $10^8 M^{-1} sec^{-1}$  for the EDTA complex. This indicates that NO does not need to become hydrated to bind to the ferrous chelate. This explains why Sada *et al.*<sup>20</sup> saw much more  $N_20$  from the  $Fe(II)(EDTA) + SO_3^{=}$  solutions than from solutions of  $SO_3^{=}$  alone. In the limited time available to extract NO from a gas bubble, the solution with Fe(II)(EDTA) could collect much more because of the relative rates of Fe(II)(EDTA) + NO and  $SO_3^{=} + NO$  (dissolved). This led to their erroneous conclusion that the sulfite ion reacted with coordinated nitric oxide.

At lower pH conditions, where  $HSO_3$  is the predominant S(IV) species, somewhat different chemistry occurs in the reaction between Fe(II)(L)NO + S(IV). The rate is slower and this can be attributed to the slower rate of NO + HSO<sub>3</sub> relative to NO + SO<sub>3</sub><sup>=</sup>.

HADS and  $S_20_6^{=}$  are produced in significant amounts, although  $N_20$  is also present.  $S_20_6^{=}$  is produced by the reaction of Fe(II) with HSO<sub>3</sub>. This does not occur at high pH conditions where HSO<sub>3</sub> is not present.

The process by which HADS is produced appears to be somewhat more complicated. At present, the most plausible mechanism based on our experimental data obtained so far is:

$$Fe^{2+}(L)NO + HSO_{3} = Fe^{2+}(L)NOHSO_{3} = 8$$
  

$$2Fe^{2+}(L)NOHSO_{3} + Fe^{2+}(L)NOHSO_{3} + HNO = 2Fe^{2+}(L) + HON(SO_{3})_{2} + HNO = 9$$
  

$$Fe^{2+}(L)NOHSO_{3} + Fe^{2+}(L)NO + 2Fe^{2+}(L) + NOSO_{3} + HNO = 10$$
  

$$HSO_{3} + NOSO_{3} - HON(SO_{3})_{2} = 11$$

The last step of the process is the same as that  $proposed^{23,24}$  for the preparation of HADS from bisulfite ion and nitrous acid. The nitroxyl ion, NO<sup>-</sup>, could dimerize and decompose to N<sub>2</sub>O. Another possible route for HADS formation is by a reaction of Fe<sup>2+</sup>(L) and NHAS. More work is required to clarify the HADS formation process.

#### (6) Formation of Nitrogen-Sulfur Compounds

Once HADS is formed, it can further react to form other nitrogen-sulfur compounds (Figure 6). Chang *et al.*<sup>3</sup> has published an extensive review article on the kinetics and mechanisms of important reactions involved.

HADS can hydrolyze to produce hydroxylamine monosulfonate (HAMS) and sulfate

$$HON(SO_3)_2^{2^-} + H_2^{0} \xrightarrow{H^+} HONHSO_3^- + HSO_4^- 12$$

The rate and mechanism of this hydrolysis was performed by Naiditch and Yost.<sup>25</sup>

HAMS hydrolyzes in acidic solution, but at a much slower rate than that of HADS.<sup>25</sup> The hydrolysis of HAMS produces hydroxylamine (HA) and sulfates:

$$HONHSO_3^+ + H_2O \xrightarrow{H^+} NH_3OH^+ + HSO_4^-$$
 13

HADS and HAMS can undergo sulfonation to form aminesulfonates.

$$HON(SO_3)_2^{2^-} + HSO_3^{-} \qquad N(SO_3)_3^{3^-} + H_2^{0} \qquad 14$$
$$NH(SO_3)_2^{2^-} + HSO_4^{-} \qquad 15$$
$$HONHSO_3^{-} + HSO_3^{-} \qquad NH(SO_3)_2^{2^-} + H_2^{0} \qquad 16$$
$$NH_2SO_3^{-} + HSO_4^{-} \qquad 17$$

The rate and mechanisms of these sulfonation reactions were studied by Seel et al.<sup>26</sup>

Sulfonation of HA produces sulfamic acid and ammonium bisulfate. The reaction mechanism involves coordination between  $NH_2OH$  and  $SO_2$  molecules with subsequent rearrangement to yield products.<sup>27</sup>

$$NH_2OH + SO_2 H_2O < NH_2HSO_3 + H_2O = 18$$
  
 $NH_4HSO_4 = 19$ 

Fraser<sup>28</sup> and Gomiscek *et al.*<sup>29</sup> studied the kinetics of this reaction as a function of temperatures and obtained rate constants and enthalpy and entropy of activation.

Aminetrisulfonate (ATS) hydrolyzes<sup>30</sup> rapidly to form aminedisulfonate (ADS) which can undergo further hydrolysis<sup>31</sup> to produce sulfamate.

$$N(SO_3)_3^{3-} + H_2 0 \xrightarrow{H^+} NH(SO_3)_2^{2-} + HSO_4^- 20$$
$$HN(SO_3)_2^{2-} + H_2 0 \xrightarrow{H^+} H_2 NSO_3^- + HSO_4^- 21$$

#### (7) Oxidation of Ferrous Chelates to Ferric Chelates

Flue gas contains about 5% oxygen. When dissolved, oxygen can oxidize ferrous ions to ferric ions which are inactive for coordination with NO. The oxidation rate of

ferrous ions is accelerated in the presence of chelating agents, EDTA and NTA. This acceleration may be ascribed to the stabilization of the oxidized form by the chelation.

Kurimura *et al.*<sup>32</sup> studied the oxidation of  $Fe^{2+}(EDTA)$  and  $Fe^{2+}(NTA)$  by dissolved oxygen in aqueous solutions and suggested that the oxidation proceeds through two parallel reaction paths, one of which involves the oxidation of the protonated chelate while the other involves the oxidation of the normal chelate. The reaction mechanisms suggested<sup>32,33</sup> are as follows:

${\rm Fe}^{2+}({\rm HL}) + 0_2$	<sup>k</sup> 22	Fe <sup>3+</sup> (L) + HO <sub>2</sub> -	22
$Fe^{2+}(L) + O_2$	<sup>k</sup> 23	$Fe^{3+}(L) + O_2^{-}$	23
0 <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> + H <sup>+</sup>	-+	HO <sub>2</sub>	24
Fe(II) + HO <sub>2</sub>	<b>→</b>	Fe(III) + HO <sub>2</sub>	25
H0 <sub>2</sub> + H <sup>+</sup>	=	H202	26
$Fe(II) + H_2O_2$	<b>→</b>	$Fe(III) + OH^- + OH$	27
Fe(II) + OH	<b>→</b>	Fe(III) + OH	28

In these equations, Fe(II) and Fe(III) represent the ferrous and ferric ion species respectively. The rate equation for the oxidation is:

$$\frac{d[Fe^{3+}]}{dt} = 4k_{22}[Fe^{2+}(HL)][O_2] + 4k_{23}[Fe^{2+}(L)][O_2]$$
(e)

For EDTA,  $k_{22} = 6.8 \times 10^3$  and  $k_{23} = 2.7 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ ; while for NTA,  $k_{23} = 80 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  at 25 °C. As can be seen from these results, protonated chelates proceed more rapidly than that of the normal chelate.

In addition to the oxidation by  $0_2$ ,  $Fe^{2+}(L)$  can be converted to  $Fe^{3+}(L)$  in an aqueous system containing only  $Fe^{2+}(L) + NO + SO_3^{2-}$ , without the presence of oxygen<sup>6</sup> (Figure 7). Preliminary results from our laboratory, by mixing  $Fe^{2+}(NTA)$  or  $Fe^{2+}(EDTA)$  with NHAS have shown the formation of  $Fe^{3+}$  and the liberation of  $N_2O$ . An oxidation-reduction reaction such as:

$$2H^+ + 2Fe(II) + NHAS \rightarrow 2Fe(III) + N_2O + SO_3^= + H_2O$$
 29

may occur. It would be helpful to have a rate expression to predict the amount of oxidation of Fe(II) in the presence of NHAS. By making several assumptions, a tentative rate expression can be obtained for measurements of the appearance of Fe(III) in mixtures of Fe(II)(NTA)NO and SO<sub>3</sub><sup>=</sup>. First, we assume that the reaction has first order dependence on both Fe(II) and NHAS:

$$\frac{d\left[Fe\left(III\right)\right]}{dt} = k\left[NHAS\right]\left[Fe\left(II\right)\right]$$
(f)

Then, by assuming that all the NO from Fe(II)(NTA)NO reacts to form NHAS and all the  $N_2O$  evolved comes from NHAS, we can obtain an estimate of the NHAS concentration with time. From this, a value of  $k \sim 0.3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  is obtained for Fe(II)(NTA). The rate may be different for different chelates and there may be some pH dependence also. Further work is needed to obtain a better understanding of this reaction.

To compare the relative rates of oxidation of Fe(II) by oxygen and NHAS, we can consider a typical scrubber situation where there is 5%  $O_2$ , 750 ppm NO and 0.01 M Fe(II)(NTA) in the scrubbing solution. From the conditions listed, using a Henry's constant for  $O_2$  of 2.5 x 10<sup>-4</sup> M atm<sup>-1</sup>, the oxidation of Fe(II) by  $O_2$  can be calculated:

$$\frac{d[Fe(III)]}{dt} = 4.0 \times 10^{-5} M \text{ sec}^{-1}$$

To find the rate of oxidation due to NHAS, a value is needed for the NHAS concentration. The NO concentration in solution is  $1.4 \times 10^{-6}$  M when it is in equilibrium with the NO in the gas phase. The reaction between hydrated NO and SO<sub>3</sub><sup>=</sup> is the most important formation mechanism for NHAS. We can assume that the rate of hydration of NO is close to the rate of formation of NHAS:

$$\frac{d[NHAS]}{dt} = \frac{d[NO(H_2O)_x]}{dt} = k_1(NO)$$
(g)

Similarly, the rate of destruction of NHAS will occur primarily by the reaction with

Fe(II) under alkaline conditions, where the hydrolysis rate is slow.

$$\frac{-d[NHAS]}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d[Fe(III)]}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} k_{29}[Fe(II)][NHAS]$$
(h)

If the NHAS concentration changes slowly with time, the steady state approximation can be used to estimate its concentration:

$$\frac{d[NHAS]}{dt} \approx 0 = k_1[NO] - \frac{k_{29}}{2}[NHAS][Fe^{II}(NTA)]$$
(i)

$$[NHAS] = \frac{2k_1}{k_{29}} \frac{[NO]}{[Fe^{II}(NTA)]}$$
(j)

For the above conditions, the NHAS concentration is about  $1.3 \ge 10^{-4}$  M. Inserting this into the equation for Fe(III) formation by NHAS, one obtains d[Fe(III)]/dt =  $4 \ge 10^{-7}$  M sec<sup>-1</sup>. This value, while somewhat uncertain, is much smaller than the rate of oxidation by dissolved  $0_2$ .

#### (8) Reduction of Ferric Chelates by HSO3 and Formation of Dithionate

 $Fe^{3+}(EDTA)$  can be reduced by  $HSO_3^-$ , while dithionate together with a small amount of  $SO_4^{2-}$  are produced.<sup>34</sup> It was found that the rate of reduction of  $Fe^{3+}(EDTA)$  is first order with respect to  $HSO_3^-$  and  $Fe^{3+}(EDTA)$ , and inversely first order with respect to  $Fe^{2+}(EDTA)$  concentration.

$$\frac{-d[Fe^{3+}(EDTA)]}{dt} = k[Fe^{3+}(EDTA)][HSO_{3}^{-}]/[Fe^{2+}(EDTA)]$$
(k)

The rate constant k is 8.8 x  $10^{-6}$  sec  $^{-1}$  at 55 °C. The apparent activation energy was found to be 21.3 kcal/mol. The following reaction mechanism were suggested:<sup>34</sup>

$$Fe^{3+}(EDTA) + HSO_3^- = FeSO_3^+ + H^+ + EDTA$$
 30  
 $FeSO_3^+ = Fe^{2+} + SO_3^-$  31

$$Fe^{2+} + EDTA = Fe^{2+}(EDTA)$$
 32

$$SO_3^+ H^+ \rightarrow HSO_3^-$$
 33  
 $HSO_3 + HSO_3 \rightarrow S_2O_6^{2-} + 2H^+$  34

In a wet scrubber system, where there is 750 ppm NO, 0.01 M HSO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 0.01 M of  $Fe^{2+}(EDTA)$  initially, if we assume at one point after reaction, half of  $Fe^{2+}(EDTA)$  in the absorber is oxidized to  $Fe^{3+}(EDTA)$  and the remaining half  $Fe^{2+}(EDTA)$  is in equilibrium with 750 ppm of NO, then the rate of reduction of  $Fe^{3+}(EDTA)$  by  $HSO_3^{-}$  can be calculated to be about 1.76 x 10<sup>-7</sup> M sec<sup>-1</sup> which is much slower than the rate of oxidation of  $Fe^{2+}(EDTA)$  by dissolved  $O_2$ . However, as the concentration of  $Fe^{2+}(EDTA)$  decreases and that of  $Fe^{3+}(EDTA)$  increases, the condition for the reduction process improves.

#### III. Effect of Ferrous Chelate Additives on NO Removal in Spray Dryer Processes.

Using the rate constants obtained for the reaction of NO and  $SO_3^{2^-}/HSO_3^-$  and NO +  $Fe^{2+}(L)$ , one can make estimations of the rate of removal of NO from flue gases by scrubbing solutions with and without ferrous chelates. From the kinetic theory of gases, 35, 36 the rate of collision of a gas with a surface area A is:

$$R = \frac{n \,\overline{v} A}{4} \tag{1}$$

where n is the concentration of gas (molecules/cm<sup>3</sup>) and  $\overline{v}$  is the mean velocity.

$$\overline{v} = \sqrt{\frac{8k}{\pi m}}$$
(m)

Here T is absolute temperature (K), k is the Boltzmann constant and m is the molecular weight. If A is the total area of the droplets of scrubbing solution in a unit volume and n is the concentration of either SO<sub>2</sub> or NO, the concentration vs time can be determined by:

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = -\left(\frac{\overline{v}A}{4}\right)n \tag{n}$$

Integrating, one obtains  $n(t) = n_0 e^{\frac{-t}{\tau}}$  (o) where  $\tau = \frac{4}{\overline{vA}}$ . This assumes that the droplets can absorb an infinite amount of SO<sub>2</sub> or NO.

Using the following spray dryer parameters from PETC,<sup>37</sup> one can do calculations on absorption efficiency for NO.

Volume:	$230 \text{ cu ft} = 2.8 \text{ x } 10^4 \text{ cm}^3$
Slurry Composition:	20% CaO (by wt.)
Slurry Flow Rate:	350 lb/hr = 44 g/sec
Flue Gas Flow Rate:	1200 SCF/hr
SO <sub>2</sub> Concentration:	$2500 \text{ ppm} = 6.25 \text{ x} 10^{16} \text{ molecules/cm}^3$
NO Concentration:	$750 \text{ ppm} = 1.85 \times 10^{16} \text{ molecules/cm}^3$
Average Initial Droplet Radius:	50 µm
Residence Time:	10 sec

The Henry's constant<sup>8</sup> for NO at 25 °C is  $1.93 \times 10^{-3}$  M/atm and the Henry's constant of SO<sub>2</sub> at 25 °C is 1.24 M/atm. Hydrated SO<sub>2</sub> rapidly converts<sup>38</sup> to HSO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>3</sub><sup>=</sup> if the solution is sufficiently basic. The CaO, which converts to Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> on mixing with water acts as a buffer and also limits the concentration of SO<sub>3</sub><sup>=</sup> because of the limited solubility of CaSO<sub>3</sub> (.0043 g/100 ml H<sub>2</sub>O at 18 °C in the form of CaSO<sub>3</sub> 2H<sub>2</sub>O).

Using the above information, one can calculate the 7.2 x  $10^7$  droplets/sec are formed and there are 2.6 x  $10^4$  droplets/cm<sup>3</sup>. From this, the rate of absorption of NO and SO<sub>2</sub> can be inferred from equation (o). The results indicate that NO and SO<sub>3</sub><sup>=</sup> reach their maximum concentrations in a small fraction of a second, assuming that the interior of the droplet is well-mixed. Consequently, the limiting factor in the removal of NO from the flue gas will be the chemistry occurring within the droplet.

The reactions that will occur when NO and  $SO_3^{-}$  are present in the droplet are:

NO (dissolved) + SO<sub>3</sub><sup>=</sup> 
$$k_4$$
 -ONSO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>;  $k_4 = 0.45 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  4  
NO (dissolved) +  $xH_2O$   $k_1$  NO( $H_2O$ )<sub>x</sub>;  $k_1 = 0.14 \text{ sec}^{-1}$  1  
NO( $H_2O$ )<sub>x</sub> + SO<sub>3</sub><sup>=</sup>  $k_3$  -ONSO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>;  $k_3 = 620 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$  3

Using  $[NO] = 1.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M/atm} 7.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ atm} = 1.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M} \text{ and } [SO_3^{=}] = 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}$  (from the solubility of CaSO<sub>3</sub>), the rates for these reactions can be calculated:

rate (4) = 
$$k_4[N0][S0_3^{=}]$$
 = 1.6 x 10<sup>-10</sup> M/sec  
rate (1) =  $k_1[N0]$  = 2.0 x 10<sup>-7</sup> M/sec  
rate (3) =  $k_3[N0(H_20)_x][S0_3^{=}]$  = 0.155 [N0(H\_20)\_x]

The rate of the third reaction can be no faster than that of the first reaction and the sum of  $[NO_{dis}] + [NO(H_2O)_x] = 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$  M. With this, the rate (3) = 1.0 x  $10^{-7}$  M/sec and is much faster than the rate of the fourth reaction, which can be ignored. The  $^-$  ONSO<sub>3</sub> product will quickly react with a second NO molecule to form NHAS. Assuming the rate is constant for the ten second lifetime of the droplet, the amount of NO removed can be calculated:

 $2 \times 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$  M/sec  $\times 10$  sec  $\times 5.2 \times 10^{-10}$  l  $\times 6.0 \times 10^{23}$   $\frac{\text{molecules}}{\text{mole}} = 6.3 \times 10^{8}$ molecules NO removed per droplet

Converting this to the number of molecules removed per  $cm^3$  of gas, the percent NO removed can be calculated:

 $6.3 \times 10^8$  molecules/droplet  $\times 2.6 \times 10^4$  droplets/cm<sup>3</sup> =  $1.6 \times 10^3$  molecules/cm<sup>3</sup> This is about 0.1% of the 1.85 x 10<sup>16</sup> molecules/cm<sup>3</sup> of NO initially present. To improve the absorption of nitric oxide by the droplets, a ferrous chelate complex, such as Fe(II)(EDTA), can be added to slurry. Fe(II)(EDTA) can efficiently bind nitric oxide to form Fe(II)(EDTA)NO. If 0.01 M Fe(II)(EDTA) is added to the slurry for the system with the conditions mentioned above, the amount of nitric oxide the slurry can absorb can be calculated. Immediately after droplet formation, there will be  $1.35 \times 10^{-5}$ *l* of slurry per cm<sup>3</sup> of gas. For 0.01 M Fe(II)(EDTA), this converts to 8.1 x 10<sup>16</sup> molecules of Fe(II)(EDTA) per cm<sup>3</sup>, which is greater than the number of NO molecules/cm<sup>3</sup>.

To determine the rate of formation of Fe(II)(EDTA)NO in a droplet, both the transport and chemistry need to be considered. From Equation (0), it can be seen that the rate of absorption does not depend on the gas concentration. Again, the nitric oxide in the gas phase should come into equilibrium with the droplet liquid phase in much less than a second. The formation of Fe(II)(EDTA)NO is reversible:

$$Fe(II)(EDTA) + NO \stackrel{k_2}{\longrightarrow} Fe(II)(EDTA)NO 2$$

and does not depend on the hydration of nitric oxide since dissolved nitric oxide readily reacts with Fe(II)(EDTA). The forward rate constant,  $k_2$ , is quite fast, on the order of 10<sup>7</sup>  $M^{-1}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>. The reverse rate constant,  $k_{-2}$ , can be estimated from  $K_{eq} = k_2/k_{-2}$ . The equilibrium constant,  $K_{eq}$ , is about 1 x 10<sup>6</sup>  $M^{-1}$  at 25 °C, so  $k_{-2} \approx 10$  sec<sup>-1</sup>.

The rate of formation is then equal to  $k_2$ [Fe(II)(EDTA)][NO]. Initially the rate would be about 10<sup>-1</sup> M sec<sup>-1</sup>, but this will decrease as NO concentration decreases. With this high a rate, most of the Fe(II)(EDTA)NO produced will form in less than a second and the droplet will still be in an environment similar to its initial environment. So the amout of Fe(II)(EDTA)NO initially formed can be estimated from the initial concentration of NO present. Using the equilibrium constant for Fe(II)(EDTA)NO and the Henry's constant for NO, we can calculate that about 80% of the nitric oxide in the gas will be converted into Fe(II)(EDTA)NO. The concentration of Fe(II)(EDTA)NO will be 1.8 x 10<sup>-3</sup> M and the concentration of NO in the droplet will be 2.2 x 10<sup>-7</sup> M and NO in the gas will be 120 ppm.

However, as the droplet passes through the flue gas, it will heat up. This has the effect of reducing the liquid volume through evaporation and changing the kinetics due

to the temperature dependence of the rate constants and equilibrium constants. The increase in temperature will also reduce the solubility of a number of species, reducing their concentration in solution. If the droplet loses all its water by evaporation, the NO attached to the Fe(II)(EDTA) will dissociate and return to the gas phase. The net result would be little changed from the case of the droplet without Fe(II)(EDTA), since the primary mechanism for loss of NO is the reaction:

$$NO(H_2O)_x + SO_3^- \rightarrow ONSO_3^-$$

The presence of Fe(II)(EDTA)NO would have little effect on the reaction while the droplet is falling, so that droplets with or without Fe(II)(EDTA)NO would remove about the same amount of NO if they dry out completely.

If some liquid remains in the droplet after it has passed through the flue gas, the presence of Fe(II)(EDTA) is likely to improve the NO removal rate. Once a droplet without Fe(II)(EDTA) was no longer in contact with the flue gas, the NO within it would be quickly depleted by reaction with  $SO_3^{=}$ . A droplet with Fe(II)(EDTA) would have a larger amount of NO within it, largely in the form of Fe(II)(EDTA)NO. This would also react with  $SO_3^{=}$ . The degree of increased removal of NO by droplets with Fe(II)(EDTA)NO would depend on a number of factors, including final liquid volume, liquid temperature, pH, and amount of interaction with the flue gas. Without detailed knowledge of these factors, it is difficult to predict the degree of enhancement that Fe(II)(EDTA) would provide for NO removal.

The effects of oxygen have not yet been considered. Oxygen can oxidize sulfite ion to sulfate ion, nitric oxide to nitrogen dioxide, and ferrous ion to ferric ion. Of these, the oxidiation of ferrous ion is likely to have the most significant effect on the absorption of nitric oxide. In a typical scrubber, oxygen concentrations are about 5% and the Henry's constant for oxygen is  $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$  M atm<sup>-1</sup>, which yields  $[O_2] = 1.25 \times 10^{-5}$ . With a Fe(II)(EDTA) concentration of 0.01 M, the calculated oxidation rate under the alkaline condition (using Equation (e)) is  $1.35 \times 10^{-4}$  M sec<sup>-1</sup>. In ten seconds, less than 15% of the Fe(II) will be oxidized. This would also be true for 0.1 M Fe(II)(EDTA).

We appreciate the support and encouragement of Michael Perlsweig, Joseph Strakey, and John Williams.

#### References

- 1. Yaverbaum, L.H., "Nitrogen Oxides Control and Removal, Recent Developments"; Noyes Data Corp: Park Ridge, NJ, 1979.
- 2. Martin, A.E., Ed. "Emission Control Technology for Industrial Boilers"; Noyes Data Corp: Park Ridge, NJ 1981.
- Chang, S.G., Littlejohn, D., Lin, N.H. ACS Symp. Ser. 1982, 188, No. 118, 127-152.
- 4. Maxwell, J.D., Tarkington, T.W., and Burnett, T.A., "Preliminary Economic Analysis of NO<sub>x</sub> Flue Gas Treatment Processes Using TVA and EPRI Economic Premises," EPRI CS-2075 (1981), p. 168.
- 5. Chang, S.G., Littlejohn, D., and Lynn, S. "Effects of Metal Chelates on Wet Flue Gas Scrubbing Chemistry," Environ. Sci. and Technol. 17, 649 (1983).
- 6. Littlejohn, D., and Chang, S.G. "Reaction of Ferrous Nitrosyl Complexes with Sulfite Ion," LBL-17962, submitted to Inorg. Chem. for publication (1984).
- 7. Nunes, T.L., and Powell, R.E. "The Copper(I)-Catalyzed Reduction of Nitric Oxide by Tin(II) Chloride," Inorg. Chem. 9, 1913 (1970).
- 8. Armor, J.N. "Influence of pH and Ionic Strength upon Solubility of NO in Aqueous Solution," J. Chem. Eng. Data 19, 82 (1974).
- 9. Littlejohn, D., and Chang, S.G. "Kinetic Study of Ferrous Nitrosyl Complexes," J. Phys. Chem. 86, 537 (1982).
- 10. Czerlinski, G., and Eigen, M. "Eine Temperatursprung Methode zur Untersuchung Chemischer Relaxation," Elektrochem., 63, 652 (1959).
- 11. Kustin, K., Taub, I.A., and Weinstock, E. "A Kinetic Study of the Formation of the Ferrous-Nitric Oxide Complex," Inorg. Chem., 5, 1079 (1966).
- Hishinuma, Y., Kaji, R., Akimoto, H., Nakajima, F., Mori, T., Kamo, T., Arikawa, Y., and Nozawa, S. "Reversible Binding of NO to Fe(II)(EDTA)," Chem. Soc. Jap. Bull. 52, 2863 (1979).
- Lin, N., Littlejohn, D., and Chang, S. "Thermodynamics and Kinetics of the Coordination of NO to Fe<sup>II</sup>NTA in Aqueous Solutions," I & EC Proc. Design & Development, 1, 725 (1982).
- 14. Teramoto, M., Hiramine, S., Shimada, Y., Sugimoto, Y., and Teranishi, H. "Absorption of Dilute Nitric Monoxide in Aqueous Solutions of Fe(II)(EDTA) and Mixed Solutions of Fe(II)(EDTA) and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>," J. Chem. Eng. of Japan, 11, 450 (1978).
- 15. Littlejohn, D., and Chang, S.G. "Kinetics of the Reaction of Nitric Oxide with Sulfite and Bisulfite," manuscript in preparation for publication.

- 16. Nunes, T.L., and Powell, R.E. "Kinetics of the Reaction of Nitric Oxide with Sulfite," Inorg. Chem. 9, 1916 (1970).
- 17. Seel, F., Winkler, R. "Kinetik des Saurekatalysieten Zerfalls des Nitrosohydroxylaminsulfonat-Ions," Z. Naturforsch, *18a*, 155 (1963).
- 18. Ackermann, M.N., and Powell, R.E. "Air Oxidation of Hydroxylamine-N-Sulfonate," Inorg. Chem. 6, 1718 (1967).
- 19. Ackermann, M.N. "Alkaline Hydrolysis and Oxidation of Hydroxylamine-N-Sulfonate," Ph.D. Thesis University of California, Berkeley (1966).
- Sada, E., Kumazawa, H., and Takada, Y. "Chemical Reactions Accompanying Absorption of NO into Aqueous Mixed Solutions of Fe<sup>II</sup>-(EDTA) and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>," I E&C. Fundam. 23, 60 (1984).
- Littlejohn, D., and Chang, S.G. "Identification of Species in a Wet Flue Gas Desulfurization and Denitrification System by Laser Raman Spectroscopy," Environ. Sci. & Tech. 18, 305 (1984).
- 22. Littlejohn, D., and Chang, S.G. "Chemical Analysis of Hydroxylamine Sulfonates, Amine Sulfonates, and N-nitrosohydroxylamine-N-sulfonate by Ion Chromatography," manuscript in preparation for publication.
- Oblath, S.B., Markowitz, S.S., Novakov, T. and Chang S.G. "Kinetics of the Initial Reaction of Nitrite Ion in Bisulfite Solutions," J. Phys. Chem. 86, 4853 (1982).
- Oblath, S.B., Markowitz, S.S., Novakov, T. and Chang, S.G. "Kinetics of the Formation of Hydroxylamine Disulfonate by Reaction of Nitrite with Sulfite," J. Phys. Chem. 85, 1017 (1981).
- 25. Naiditch, S., and Yost, D.M. "The Rate and Mechanism of the Hydrolysis of Hydroxylamine Disulfonate Ion," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 63, 2123 (1941).
- Seel, V.F., Degener, E., and Knorre H. "Stochiometrie und Kinetik der Umsetzungen von Hydroxylamin-N-Sulfonaten mit Hydrogensulfit," Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 299, 122 (1959).
- Brackman, D.S., and Higginson, W.C.E. "The Reaction Between Hydroxylamine and Sodium Sulphite in Solution in Dilute Acid," J. Chem. Soc. London, 3896 (1953).
- 28. Fraser, R.T.M. "The Hydroxylamine-Sulphur Dioxide Reaction," J. Chem. Soc., London 1747 (1965).
- Gomiscek, S., Clem. R., Novakov, T., and Chang, S.G. "Kinetics of the Reaction Between Hydroxylamine and Sodium Bisulfite," J. Phys. Chem. 85, 2567 (1981).
- 30. Sisler, H.H., and Audrieth, L.F., "The Preparation of Sulfamic Acid by the Hydroxylamine-Sulfur Dioxide Reaction," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 61, 3389 (1939).

- 31. Doyle, G.J., and Davidson, N., "The Kinetics of the Acid-Catalyzed Hydrolysis of Amine Disulfonate Ion; The Third Ionization Constant of Amine Disulfonic Acid," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 71, 3491 (1949).
- 32. Kurimura, Y., Ochiai, R., and Matsuura, N. "Oxygen Oxidation of Ferrous Ions Induced by Chelation," Chem. Soc. Jap. Bull. 41, 2234 (1968).
- Kurimura, Y., and Kuriyama, H. "The Kinetics of the Autoxidation of Ferrous Ions in Aqueous Tripolyphosphate Solutions," Chem. Soc. Jap. Bull. 42, 2238 (1969).
- 34. Sato, T., Simizu, T., and Okabe, T. "The Formation of Dithionate by the Reaction of Fe(III)-EDTA with Sodium Sulfite," Nippon Kagakukaishi, 361 (1978).
- 35. Present, R. "The Kinetic Theory of Gases," McGraw Hill, New York (1958).
- 36. Benson, S.W., "The Foundations of Chemical Kinetics," McGraw Hill, New York (1960).
- 37. Private communication with Dr. James T. Yeh of Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center, DOE on March 18, 1985.

<b>v.</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Table 1.			
	Kinetic and	I Thermodynamic [	lata for Reversible	NO Coordination to I	Ferrous Chelates	_
	ferrous chelates	k <sub>1</sub> , mol/(L's)	k_1,s <sup>-1</sup>	K,L/mol at 298 K	ΔH <sup>•</sup> , kcal/mol	ΔS <sup>•</sup> , eu
	$Fe_{2+}^{2+}(H_{2}U)_{5}(NO)$ $Fe_{2+}(acac), (NO)$ $Fe_{2+}(oxal)(NO)$	$(7.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{5}$ $(4.0 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{2}$	$(1.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^3$ 24 ± 2	$(4.7 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{2} \text{ a}$ 17 ± 14 <sup>C</sup> ~1.0 X 10 <sup>5</sup>		
	Te <sup>2+</sup> (cit)(NO) Fe <sup>2+</sup> (cit)(OH <sup>-</sup> )(NO)			4.9 X 10 <sup>4</sup> 2.1 X 10 <sup>5</sup>	-8.6 -3.7	-7.4 12.0
	$\frac{\Gamma e^{2+}(\text{IDA})(\text{NO})}{\Gamma e^{2+}(\text{NTA})(\text{NO})}$ $\frac{\Gamma e^{2+}(\text{EDTA})(\text{NO})}{\Gamma e^{2+}(\text{EDTA})(\text{NO})}$	≥7 X 10 <sup>7</sup> ≥6 X 10 <sup>7</sup>	່≥35 ≥8	2.1 X 10 <sup>6</sup> b 2.14 X 10 <sup>6</sup> c 1.15 X 10 <sup>7</sup> c	-9.1 -11.94 <sup>b</sup> -15.8 <sup>c</sup>	-8.3 -11.0 <sup>b</sup> -20.7 <sup>c</sup>

24

.

¥ f

- a 🖒

•

.

Species	Raman shift (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Relative molar intensity <sup>a</sup>
	818	0.053
NO2	1240	∿ 0.025
-	( 1331	0.125
NO	1050	0.95
J	1 692 <sup>b</sup>	weak <sup>b</sup>
N_0_	1115 <sup>b</sup>	we ak b
2 Z	1383 <sup>b</sup>	strong <sup>b</sup>
N <sub>2</sub> 0	1285	~ 0.18
so,	967	0.12
HSO	1023	0.10
so <b>-</b>	م 455	∿ 0.07
504	981	1.00
s205	1055	~ 0.9
HSO.	1050	0.05
HADS	∫ ~ 700	∿ 0.20
	1084	1.43
	1 ~ 420	~ 0.13
Hans	~ 760	∿ 0.08
	1058	0.48
HA (pH ≤ 7)	1004	0.21
HA (pH ≥ 9)	918	0.09
ATS	1097	0.10
ADS	1084	0.056
SA (pH 5 1)	1063	C
SA (pH ≥ 3)	1049	0.41

Table 2. Raman shifts and relative molar intensities of species studied

 $^{a}SO_{4}^{-981}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> line = 1.000

<sup>b</sup>Rauch, J.E.; Decius, J.C., Spectrochim. Acta 1966, 22, 1963.

<sup>C</sup>No value obtained.

#### Figure Captions

- Figure 1 Temperature-jump apparatus. This setup is used for determining the formation and dissociation rate constants and equilibrium constants for the reversible binding of NO to various metal chelates in aqueous solutions.
- Figure 2 Absorption spectrum of N-nitrosohydroxylamine-N-sulfonate from 200 to 350 nm.
- **Figure 3** A plot of the logarithm of the rate constant of the reaction between NO and S(IV) vs pH along with the logarithm of percent of sulfite and bisulfite vs pH.
- **Figure 4** Absorption spectra of ferrous nitrosyl chelates from 800 to 300 nm. The dashed lines represent the absorption spectra of the complexes without NO.
- **Figure 5** Raman shifts obtained for some nitrogen-sulfur compounds. A sulfate ion peak at 981 cm<sup>-1</sup> is used as a reference.
- Figure 6 Reactions involving nitrogen-sulfur compounds that occur as a result of the reaction between ferrous nitrosyl chelates and sulfite/bisulfite ion in aqueous solutions.
- Figure 7. Reactants and product concentrations as a function of time after mixing of  $Fe^{2+}(NTA)NO$  with sulfite/bisulfite ions.

## **Temperature Jump Apparatus**

1

27



XBL819-6549

Figure 1



XBL 853-5939

Figure 2



29

Figure 3

X8L853-5938



Figure 4



í,









Figure 7

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy. ø

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

-1

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

.