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ABSTRACT

Surface water partial pressure of CO$_2$ ($p$CO$_2$) variations in Drake Passage are examined using decade-long underway shipboard measurements. North of the Polar Front (PF), the observed $p$CO$_2$ shows a seasonal cycle that peaks annually in August and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC)–forced variations are significant. Just south of the PF, $p$CO$_2$ shows a small seasonal cycle that peaks annually in February, reflecting the opposing effects of changes in SST and DIC in the surface waters. At the PF, the wintertime $p$CO$_2$ is nearly in equilibrium with the atmosphere, leading to a small sea-to-air CO$_2$ flux.

These observations are used to evaluate eight available Coupled Model Intercomparison Project, phase 5 (CMIP5), Earth system models (ESMs). Six ESMs reproduce the observed annual-mean $p$CO$_2$ values averaged over the Drake Passage region. However, the model amplitude of the $p$CO$_2$ seasonal cycle exceeds the observed amplitude of the $p$CO$_2$ seasonal cycle because of the model biases in SST and surface DIC. North of the PF, deep winter mixed layers play a larger role in $p$CO$_2$ variations in the models than they do in observations. Four ESMs show elevated wintertime $p$CO$_2$ near the PF, causing a significant sea-to-air CO$_2$ flux. Wintertime winds in these models are generally stronger than the satellite-derived winds. This not only magnifies the sea-to-air CO$_2$ flux but also upwells DIC-rich water to the surface and drives strong equatorward Ekman currents. These strong model currents likely advect the upwelled DIC farther equatorward, as strong stratification in the models precludes subduction below the mixed layer.

1. Introduction

The global ocean takes up more than a quarter of the total anthropogenic carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) that is released into the atmosphere, and the Southern Ocean is thought to be responsible for more than 40% of the global ocean’s uptake of anthropogenic CO$_2$ (e.g., Toggweiler and Samuels 1995; Orr et al. 2001; Sarmiento et al. 2004; Russell et al. 2006; Marinov et al. 2006; Mikaloff Fletcher et al. 2006). Southern Ocean net uptake of anthropogenic and natural CO$_2$ is thus an important factor controlling future CO$_2$ levels in the atmosphere. Fluxes of CO$_2$ through the air–sea interface are controlled by winds and by differences in the partial pressure of CO$_2$ ($p$CO$_2$) in the surface ocean compared with the overlying atmosphere (e.g., Takahashi et al. 2002). Since geographical variations of atmospheric $p$CO$_2$ are relatively small (Conway et al. 1994; Takahashi et al. 2002), temporal and spatial variations of Southern Ocean $p$CO$_2$ are key to assessing projections for future atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations (e.g., Marinov et al. 2008; Cadule et al. 2010).

Variations of surface water $p$CO$_2$ are governed by surface ocean temperature, salinity, dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), and alkalinity (Alk). Surface ocean
temperature and salinity are controlled by the coupled ocean–atmosphere physical processes. DIC and alkalinity are controlled by the air–sea gas exchange, horizontal and vertical transport, and mixing, as well as biological processes (e.g., Sarmiento and Gruber 2006). Surface water $p\text{CO}_2$ is therefore determined by a complex interplay of biological, chemical, and physical processes.

Recent studies have shown that, since 1990, $p\text{CO}_2$ in the surface waters of the Southern Ocean has increased at a rate that is similar to or slightly faster than the mean atmospheric rate of increase (e.g., Le Quéré et al. 2007; Lenton et al. 2012). Natural CO2 is outgassed to the atmosphere in the westerly driven upwelling region of the Southern Ocean (e.g., Mikaloff Fletcher et al. 2007; Lovenduski et al. 2007). Takahashi et al. (2009) and Lovenduski et al. (2007, 2008), among others, have speculated that the strong poleward shift of the westerly winds since the 1960s, which is associated with the positive index of the Southern annular mode, has produced stronger upwelling at and south of the fronts in the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) system. This strong poleward shift of the winds has also brought DIC-rich water from the deep ocean to the surface (see the schematic in Fig. 1). This hypothesis suggests that the total amount of DIC upwelled to the ocean surface south of the fronts and its location after being upwelled play a key role in predicting the CO2 uptake rate by the Southern Ocean. A poleward shift of the fronts would reduce the area over which upwelling occurs and, together with the increased upwelling velocity, would likely change the total DIC in the Southern Ocean (Russell et al. 2006). The upper 1000 m of the ACC have warmed during the last 50–60 yr (e.g., Gille 2008), which would be expected to have increased seawater $p\text{CO}_2$ and decreased oceanic CO2 uptake. Furthermore, net cross-frontal transport, which is largely wind-driven equatorward Ekman transport but is partly compensated by the eddy-driven poleward transport, is expected to export upwelled DIC equatorward (Ito et al. 2009). These processes in the Southern Ocean are intimately tied to the global ocean through the meridional overturning circulation (Speer et al. 2000; Iudicone et al. 2011; Downes et al. 2011; D. C. Jones et al. 2011). Thus, examining the north–south variations of the Southern Ocean surface $p\text{CO}_2$ is a key step toward understanding $p\text{CO}_2$ variations associated with long-term climate change.

As a choke point of the ACC system, the Drake Passage has become a key location for investigating the Southern Ocean and its role in global climate. The decade-long (2002–11) underway surface water $p\text{CO}_2$ measurements in Drake Passage (Takahashi et al. 2009; Sprintall et al. 2012) provide valuable in situ observations for examining $p\text{CO}_2$ variations in this otherwise undersampled region. Given the importance of the Southern Ocean surface water $p\text{CO}_2$ variations to the global carbon cycle, using the available in situ measurements to evaluate the Drake Passage $p\text{CO}_2$ concentrations in the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project,
phase 5 (CMIP5) Earth system models (ESMs) will help to assess projections of future atmospheric concentrations. In earlier coupled model intercomparisons, the majority of ESMs prescribed CO₂ concentration scenarios, derived from relatively simple offline carbon cycle models (Friedlingstein et al. 2006). In CMIP5, the ESMs couple the ocean carbon cycle to the climate models (Fung et al. 2000; Cox et al. 2002; Friedlingstein et al. 2006).

In this study, we first examine the spatial mean, meridional, and seasonal variations of the underway decade-long (2002–11) shipboard pCO₂ measurements across Drake Passage. We then use these in situ measurements to evaluate pCO₂ variations in eight CMIP5 ESMs that were available for this study (Taylor et al. 2012). To further investigate discrepancies between the observations and the ESMs, we also compare the westerly winds, ocean currents, and stratification between the models and the satellite and in situ measurements. We found that six of the eight CMIP5 ESMs show spatial-mean surface water pCO₂ concentrations that are comparable to shipboard measurements in the Drake Passage. The seasonal cycles of all ESMs except one, however, have larger seasonal cycles than the observations. Moreover, in the Polar Front region, four of the eight ESMs simulate seawater partial pressures that are elevated by 15–60 µatm relative to atmospheric partial pressures, resulting in a significant sea-to-air CO₂ flux to the overlying atmosphere.

2. Observations and CMIP5 ESMs

The ice-strengthened Antarctic support ship R/V *Laurence M. Gould* traverses Drake Passage approximately 20 times per year through all seasons, taking about 2 days for each crossing. Since March 2002, the ship has measured surface water pCO₂ with an underway sampling frequency of 2.5 min. As of March 2011, 178 transects had been completed. Of these, we selected 111 transects that have relatively straight trajectories for direct comparison with the gridded ESM results (Fig. 2). This quality control approach for selecting transects is similar to that used by Jiang et al. (2012) in a study of turbulent heat fluxes. In this study, we refer to the Drake Passage region as the triangle covered by these 111 transects, with vertices at 56°S, 65°W; 62°S, 65°W; and 62°S, 57°W (Fig. 2).

Seawater pCO₂ was measured using a showerhead equilibration method (Takahashi et al. 2002). The seawater was equilibrated continuously with a carrier gas in this water–air equilibrator. The equilibrated air samples
were then dried, and the seawater CO₂ concentration was determined every 2.5 min. The reproducibility of measurements of the dry mole fraction [moles of CO₂ (moles)⁻¹ of dry air] in the atmospheric samples taken every 2 h have been evaluated by direct comparison with discrete flask measurements taken as the ship crosses the Polar Front on each cruise (roughly every 15 days) in the Drake Passage. Both flask and underway measurements were taken from the same inlet line mounted on the bow mast of the Gould. The flasks were then measured by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)/Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL) using standards whose mole fractions are directly linked to the World Meteorological Organization. Since the strong westerly winds result in the atmospheric pCO₂ being well mixed across the Drake Passage, in the following analysis we will use the discrete flask measurement as representative of atmospheric pCO₂ across the whole passage. Comparisons between the discrete and underway measurements yielded differences of less than 0.15 μatm. Given temperature corrections and other artifacts associated with converting the dry mole fraction of CO₂ in air to pCO₂ in surface seawater, we estimate the accuracy of the pCO₂ measurements to be ±1 μatm. Temperatures were measured at 4 m from a thermosalinograph on the Gould to help assess the temporal and spatial variations in oceanic pCO₂. In this study, these 4-m shipboard ocean temperatures will be referred to as sea surface temperatures (SSTs). The SST precision is estimated to be about 0.005°C.

As noted above, CMIP5 Earth system models are directly coupled to ocean carbon models (Taylor et al. 2012). The model design of the coupled carbon–climate models in CMIP5 is based on CMIP3 (Fung et al. 2000; Cox et al. 2002; Friedlingstein et al. 2006). For this analysis we focus on the time period from 2002 to 2011. The first part of this period, from 2002 to 2005, is considered historical in the CMIP5 simulations. For the period from 2006 to 2011, we use the representative concentration pathway (RCP) 8.5 scenario, which is defined such that the radiative forcing of all direct and indirect agents reaches 8.5 W m⁻² near 2100 (http://www.pik-potsdam.de/~mmalte/rcps/). We use results from eight climate modeling groups selected because, as of May 2012, they offered the only ESMs that provided surface water pCO₂ output for historical and RCP 8.5 experiments. The eight climate modeling groups are 1) the Beijing Climate Center Climate System Model, version 1.1 (BCC_CSM1.1; Wu et al. 2013); 2) the second generation Canadian Earth System Model (CanESM2; Arora et al. 2011; Zahariev et al. 2008); 3) the Hadley Centre Global Environment Model, version 2–Earth System (HadGEM2-ES; C. D. Jones et al. 2011; Collins et al. 2011), 4) the Institute of Numerical Mathematics Climate Model, version 4.0 (INM-CM4.0; Volodin et al. 2010); 5) the Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate, Earth System Model (MIROC-ESM; Watanabe et al. 2011), 6) the Max Planck Institute Earth System Model, low resolution (MPI-ESM-LR; Raddatz et al. 2007; Marsland et al. 2003; Ilyina et al. 2013); 7) the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory Earth System Model with Generalized Ocean Layer Dynamics (GOLD) component (GFDL-ESM2G; Dunne et al. 2012); and 8) the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory Earth System Model with Modular Ocean Model 4 (MOM4) component (GFDL-ESM2M; Dunne et al. 2013). The Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison (PCMDI) at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (http://www-pcmdi.llnl.gov/) provides some documentation for these ESMs.

In general, with typical resolution of 2° × 2°, most of the CMIP5 models represent the effects of eddies using eddy parameterizations (e.g., Gent and McWilliams 1990). However, as summarized by Gnanadesikan et al. (2006), GFDL-ESM2M uses the skew flux approach of Griffies (1998), in which the quasi-Stokes stream function is computed (Ferrari et al. 2010). These models also differ in the bathymetry dataset they used. For instance, BCC_CSM1.1 used the 5-min digital bathymetric database and MIROC-ESM used a spatially smoothed ETOPO5 topography. In contrast to the smoothed ETOPO5 topography used in GFDL-ESM2M, GFDL-ESM2G uses a depth average of the high-resolution ETOPO5 bathymetry (Dunne et al. 2012). The bathymetry used in HadGEM2-ES is derived from the ETOPO5 1/12° at high latitudes (Johns et al. 2006).

Although the majority of the ESMs do not restore the ocean surface tracers (temperature, salinity, and alkalinity) to the climatologies, these models differ in the specification of their ocean biogeochemistry. For instance, GFDL-ESM2G and GFDL-ESM2M include all elemental cycles, including limiting nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus, iron, and silicate) and other substances cycled by the biosphere (carbon, oxygen, lithic material, calcium carbonate, opal, and sulfur). These two models also include three explicit phytoplankton classes—small, large, and diazotrophs—comprising four phytoplankton functional types: small, nitrogen fixers, large nondiatom, and large diatom. HadGEM2-ES includes carbon, oxygen, iron, and sulfur cycles and two phytoplankton classes (diatoms and nondiatoms). In CanESM2 and MIROC-ESM, only carbon and nitrogen cycles and one phytoplankton class (single species) are included. Carbon, oxygen, and phosphorus cycles and no phytoplankton class are included in BCC_CSM1.1. MPI-ESM-LR includes carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, and
phosphorus cycles and one phytoplankton class with a diagnostic discrimination between diatoms and coccolithophores. INM-CM4.0 has only the carbon cycle and no phytoplankton class. These model differences in the quantification of the model ocean biogeochemistry are expected to result in differences in model performance in representing the ocean biogeochemical cycles.

We use a sampling strategy similar to that of Jiang et al. (2012), who compared shipboard transects of turbulent flux-related variables to gridded flux products. Gridded CMIP5 ESMs provide synoptic Eulerian maps, while shipboard measurements are not strictly synoptic. Thus, we linearly interpolate the gridded CMIP5 ESM output to the longitude, latitude, and time of the ship observations along the 111 transects used in this study. To evaluate the mechanisms governing model–data 
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differences, in addition to 
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and air-to-sea 
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flux, we examine three physical variables in both the CMIP5 ESMs and in the observational fields: surface 
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observations along the 111 transects used in this study. To evaluate the meridional variation of the seasonal cycle of mixed layer depths (MLDs), we also use the surface ocean MLDs derived from the expendable conductivity–temperature–depth (XCTD) sampling in Drake Passage (Sprintall 2003; Stephenson et al. 2012). This MLD is defined as the depth at which potential density differs from the potential density at 11-m depth by 0.03 kg m\(^{-3}\) (e.g., Stephenson et al. 2012). To examine the MLD variation over a broader zonal extent within the Drake Passage region, we use climatological MLDs derived from Argo float measurements (Holte et al. 2010; Holte and Talley 2009).

3. Drake Passage variations

In this section, we investigate the variations of seawater 
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and related variables in the Drake Passage using the decade-long shipboard measurements. We then use these measurements to evaluate the overall performance of the eight CMIP5 ESMs.

a. Time mean

Bias and standard error of the 111-transect-averaged seawater 
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from eight CMIP5 ESMs relative to the shipboard measurements are shown in Fig. 3a (gray bars). Positive values indicate that in the spatial average, model estimates exceed observed values. In the Drake Passage, six out of the eight CMIP5 ESMs show a spatially averaged time-mean bias smaller than 6 \( \mu \text{atm} \). Among these six ESMs, 
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values in BCC_CSM1.1, GFDL-ESM2G, and GFDL-ESM2M underestimate the Drake Passage’s 
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by up to 6 \( \mu \text{atm} \), while the 

\[ p_{\text{CO}_2} \]

values in CanESM2, INM-CM4.0, and MIROC-ESM overestimate by up to 4 \( \mu \text{atm} \). The other two ESMs, HadGEM2-ES and MPI-ESM-LR, underestimate the surface ocean 
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by 25 and 19 \( \mu \text{atm} \), respectively.

In addition to the annual means (gray bars), Fig. 3a also shows seasonal-mean biases for austral winter
FIG. 3. (a) Bias and standard error of the difference of transect-averaged seawater $p$CO$_2$ from eight CMIP5 ESMs relative to shipboard measurements. Differences between the ESMs and shipboard measurements are shown in the austral winter (July–September; black bars), time mean (gray bars), and summer (January–March; white bars). Positive values in (a) indicate overestimation compared to the shipboard measurements. (b) The mean and standard error of transect-averaged surface upward sea-to-air CO$_2$ flux from the eight CMIP5 ESMs. (c) As in (b), but for surface upward sea-to-air CO$_2$ flux averaged in the entire circumpolar region (S6–S62). No shipboard measurements are available for the surface upward CO$_2$ flux. Positive values in (b),(c) indicate upward CO$_2$ flux from the ocean to the overlying atmosphere. The standard error equals the standard deviation divided by square root of the number of the transects.
(July–September; black bars) and austral summer (January–March; white bars). These seasons are chosen because they represent extremes in surface $p$CO$_2$ (see section 3b). For the eight CMIP5 ESMs, the winter $p$CO$_2$ biases are less than 14 μatm, with GFDL-ESM2M, CanESM2, and INM-CM4.0 underestimating the winter $p$CO$_2$ by up to 5 μatm. While HadGEM2-ES and MPI-ESM-LR have the largest time-mean biases (19–25 μatm) in Drake Passage, their winter biases are less than 10 μatm. Their summer biases, however, are larger than 40 μatm. The summer biases for the other six ESMs are less than 17 μatm.

The net CO$_2$ flux out of the ocean $F$ is a function of the sea-to-air $p$CO$_2$ difference $\delta p$CO$_2$, the CO$_2$ gas transfer velocity $k$, and the solubility of CO$_2$ in seawater $\alpha$, $F = k\alpha \delta p$CO$_2$ (Sweeney et al. 2007; Takahashi et al. 2009). At fixed temperature and salinity, the gas transfer velocity $k$ is thought to be roughly a power function of wind speed: that is, $k \propto U^p$, where $U$ is wind speed and $p$ has been proposed to have a value between 1 (Liss and Merlivat 1986) and 3 (Wanninkhof and McGillis 1999). The $G$ould does not directly measure the air–sea CO$_2$ flux, and its estimation depends on interpolating not only the $p$CO$_2$ (which changes slowly) but also synoptic winds (which change rapidly); therefore, comparing monthly air–sea fluxes with in situ values is not straightforward. In addition, its estimation through the bulk algorithm described above (Sweeney et al. 2007; Takahashi et al. 2009), using the measured $p$CO$_2$ and the other available data products, results in large variations and uncertainties. This further complicates the evaluation of the CMIP5 model performance. Hence, in the following we present only an intermodel comparison of the sea-to-air CO$_2$ flux from the eight CMIP5 ESMs (Fig. 3b) with no comparison to the CO$_2$ flux estimated using the bulk algorithm. Positive values represent the upward CO$_2$ flux into the atmosphere. In the time mean (gray bars), GFDL-ESM2G has the smallest air–sea CO$_2$ flux in the Drake Passage. CanESM2 fluxes CO$_2$ into the overlying atmosphere, while in the other seven CMIP5 ESMs the ocean takes up CO$_2$ from the overlying atmosphere. During the austral winter period, however, two of these seven ESMs (MIROC-ESM and MPI-ESM-LR) release CO$_2$ into the overlying atmosphere.

To examine the extent to which the results found in the Drake Passage (Fig. 3b) represent the entire circumpolar region, we show in Fig. 3c the sea-to-air CO$_2$ flux averaged in the entire circumpolar region (56°S–62°S) from eight CMIP5 ESMs. In both the annual and seasonal means, the sea-to-air CO$_2$ flux in the entire circumpolar region compares well with the CO$_2$ flux in the Drake Passage, implying that the Drake Passage’s $p$CO$_2$ variations are representative of the entire circumpolar region.

b. Seasonal cycle

From the previous subsection, we know that six of the eight CMIP5 ESMs show spatially averaged surface water $p$CO$_2$ values that are comparable to the shipboard measurements in Drake Passage (with time-mean biases smaller than 6 μatm). We now examine the seasonal cycles by fitting the observations and constructed ESM transects to a sinusoidal seasonal cycle using a least squares approach.

Examining the seasonal variations of seawater $p$CO$_2$ requires examining its dependent variables. As discussed earlier, surface water $p$CO$_2$ depends on surface temperature, salinity, DIC, and alkalinity. That is, $p$CO$_2 = K_2/(K_0K_1)(2DIC - Alk)^2/(Alk - DIC)$, where $K_2/(K_0K_1)$ is the equilibrium constant, which is a function of temperature and salinity. To investigate SST-forced surface ocean $p$CO$_2$ changes, we compute the effect of SST on $p$CO$_2$ by perturbing the mean $p$CO$_2$ with the difference between the observed and mean temperature (Takahashi et al. 2002).

That is, $p$CO$_2|$SST = $p$CO$_2$ exp[0.0423(SST – SST)], where $p$CO$_2$ and SST are the time-mean $p$CO$_2$ and temperature. Since salinity-forced seasonal $p$CO$_2$ variations are negligible (Sarmiento and Gruber 2006), we interpret the residual component after subtracting the SST-forced $p$CO$_2$ changes as $p$CO$_2$ changes forced by DIC and alkalinity, $p$CO$_2|$DIC,Alk = $p$CO$_2$ – $p$CO$_2$|SST. Alkalinity is more homogeneous than DIC in Drake Passage, with a typical fixed value of 2268 μmol kg$^{-1}$, as empirically estimated by McNeil et al. (2007). As a result, $p$CO$_2|$DIC,Alk is mainly controlled by DIC variations, and henceforth we refer to it as $p$CO$_2|$DIC.

Figure 4a shows the seasonal cycles of the seawater $p$CO$_2$ from the shipboard measurements and eight CMIP5 ESMs. The observed Drake Passage–averaged seawater $p$CO$_2$ (thick black line) is highest in austral winter (August) and lowest in austral summer (February). Note that the time-mean $p$CO$_2$ value (368 μatm) is included in Fig. 4a, and the summer-to-winter change clearly shows the seasonal variations of $p$CO$_2$ (Table 1).

Positive signs in Table 1 indicate that the peak month occurs in austral winter, and negative signs indicate the peak month is in austral summer. Observed $p$CO$_2$ increases 9 μatm from a minimum in austral summer to a maximum in winter (Fig. 4a), as a result of the compensation between the SST-forced $p$CO$_2$ drawdown of 51.0 μatm (Fig. 4b) and the DIC-forced $p$CO$_2$ increase of 60.3 μatm (Fig. 4c). SST-forced seasonal $p$CO$_2$ variations are approximately 6 months out of phase with DIC-forced $p$CO$_2$ changes both for the observations and for the eight CMIP5 ESMs: SST-forced $p$CO$_2$ changes reach their highest values in austral summer, and DIC-forced $p$CO$_2$ are highest in austral winter.
when wintertime mixing with DIC-rich deep waters and respiration of organic matter have peaked.

Four of the eight CMIP5 ESMs (HadGEM2-ES, INM-CM4.0, MIROC-ESM, and MPI-ESM-LR) are consistent with shipboard measurements in showing high \(\text{pCO}_2\) values in austral winter (positive signs). However, three of these four ESMs (HadGEM2-ES, MIROC-ESM, and MPI-ESM-LR) have much larger summer-to-winter \(\text{pCO}_2\) differences than the observations. These large summer-to-winter differences stem mainly from the fact that DIC-forced seasonal \(\text{pCO}_2\) changes dominate SST-forced seasonal \(\text{pCO}_2\) changes (Table 1). Consistent with this finding, Cadule et al. (2010) used an atmospheric transport model in conjunction with output from three ESMs (including a previous version of HadGEM2-ES) and found larger seasonal air–sea flux variations in the Southern Ocean than the station observations. The other four CMIP5 ESMs (CanESM2, BCC_CSM1.1, GFDL-ESM2G, and GFDL-ESM2M) are 6 months out of phase with the shipboard measurements, largely because SST-forced \(\text{pCO}_2\) changes in these models dominate DIC-forced \(\text{pCO}_2\) changes (Table 1).

Figure 5 shows the seasonal cycles of the entire circumpolar (56°–62°S) seawater \(\text{pCO}_2\) and its related variables (\(\text{pCO}_2\)\text{SST} and \(\text{pCO}_2\)\text{DIC}) from the eight CMIP5 ESMs. The seasonal cycles of the SST- and DIC-forced \(\text{pCO}_2\) changes in the entire circumpolar region (Figs. 5b,c) in all ESMs significantly correlate with the \(\text{pCO}_2\) changes in the Drake Passage (Figs. 4b,c), with correlation coefficients above 0.85. The entire circumpolar \(\text{pCO}_2\) variations (Fig. 5a) in six of the eight ESMs significantly correlate with the variations in the Drake Passage (Fig. 4a), with correlation coefficients above 0.83. The exceptions are INM-CM4.0 (\(R = 0.66, R_{95\%CI} = 0.72\)) and GFDL-ESM2M (\(R = 0.12, R_{95\%CI} = 0.75\)), mainly because their peak months in the Drake Passage \(\text{pCO}_2\) variations greatly differ from their peak months in the circumpolar region.

c. Anomalies

The previous two subsections show that the time mean (Fig. 3) and seasonal cycles (Fig. 5) of seawater \(\text{pCO}_2\) in Drake Passage largely represent the entire circumpolar region in most of the eight ESMs. To further examine the extent to which the \(\text{pCO}_2\) variations in Drake Passage resemble the other areas of the circumpolar region, we show in Fig. 6 the spatial (lag) correlations of the 10°-longitude-averaged seawater \(\text{pCO}_2\) anomalies for the circumpolar region (56°–62°S) with the Drake Passage–averaged (57°–67°W) \(\text{pCO}_2\) anomalies for eight CMIP5 ESMs. The \(\text{pCO}_2\) anomalies here are obtained by removing the time mean, the long-term trend, and the seasonal cycles from the original time series. In calculating the correlation coefficients in Fig. 6, we take the time series of the \(\text{pCO}_2\) averaged in Drake Passage and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESM</th>
<th>(\text{pCO}_2) ((\mu\text{atm}))</th>
<th>(\text{pCO}_2)\text{SST} ((\mu\text{atm}))</th>
<th>(\text{pCO}_2)\text{DIC} ((\mu\text{atm}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ship</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>-51.0</td>
<td>60.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCC_CSM1.1</td>
<td>-22.3</td>
<td>-58.5</td>
<td>52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CanESM2</td>
<td>-28.4</td>
<td>-63.5</td>
<td>62.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HadGEM2-ES</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>-72.3</td>
<td>127.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INM-CM4.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-58.7</td>
<td>60.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC-ESM</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>-70.7</td>
<td>117.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI-ESM-LR</td>
<td>109.8</td>
<td>-74.9</td>
<td>156.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDL-ESM2G</td>
<td>-14.4</td>
<td>-95.5</td>
<td>90.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDL-ESM2M</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>-109.6</td>
<td>104.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
correlate it at zero time lag with the $pCO_2$ time series at other 10°-longitude bands around the Southern Ocean. In general, the Drake Passage $pCO_2$ anomalies significantly correlate with the other areas of the Southern Ocean for all ESMs. Surface water $pCO_2$ anomalies from 56° to 62°S in INM-CM4.0 shows little longitudinal variations, including a fixed dominant linear trend and several fixed low frequencies, and the Drake Passage $pCO_2$ anomalies therefore highly correlate with the other circumpolar areas (Fig. 6d). The little zonal variations in INM-CM4.0 are likely due to the fact that there is no biology in its ocean biogeochemistry. These findings, in conjunction with the results in the time mean and seasonal cycles as detailed in the previous two subsections, confirm the representativeness of the Drake Passage in the surface water $pCO_2$ variations in the entire circumpolar region from 56° to 62°S for most of the eight ESMs.

4. Meridional variations

In this section we examine the meridional variations of the surface water $pCO_2$, sea-to-air CO$_2$ flux, and the $pCO_2$ seasonal cycles in the Drake Passage. We then use these observations to evaluate meridional variations of $pCO_2$ in the eight CMIP5 ESMs.

The Polar Front, one of the major frontal features that defines the ACC, has a mean latitude around 58.5°S in Drake Passage (Sprintall 2003; Jiang et al. 2012). Differences in SST-forced and DIC-forced processes are observed north and south of the Polar Front (Takahashi et al. 2002; Downes et al. 2011; Iudicone et al. 2011). Given the importance of SST and DIC in the oceanic $pCO_2$ variations (section 3b), seawater $pCO_2$ is also expected to differ on either side of the Polar Front. As discussed in the introduction, examining the meridional variations of the Southern Ocean $pCO_2$ is a key step toward understanding $pCO_2$ variations associated with long-term climate change. Therefore, the relative roles of DIC- and SST-forced $pCO_2$ variations north and south of the Polar Front are crucial to the projections of the future atmospheric CO$_2$ levels in the CMIP5 ESMs. With a typical resolution of 2° × 2°, however, these CMIP5 ESMs generally only have a few grid points in the Drake Passage region and thus do not have sufficient resolution to allow a full evaluation of their meridional gradients. The evaluations in this section help define requirements for future improvements of these CMIP5 ESMs.

Here we refer to the region 57.5°–59.5°S as the Polar Front, the region 56°–57.5°S as north of the Polar Front, and the region 59.5°–62°S as south of the Polar Front. These regional definitions are for descriptive purposes only, as the Polar Fronts in the CMIP5 ESMs are not consistently located around 58.5°S because of model biases that may stem in part from coarse model resolution. Because of this, we show in Figs. 7 and 8 the meridional variation of surface $pCO_2$ and sea-to-air CO$_2$ flux with respect to SST instead of latitude. This removes biases in the Polar Front position but shows biases in temperature and meridional gradients, reflecting a range of processes that contribute to $pCO_2$ and sea-to-air CO$_2$ flux variations. We also examine westerly winds, surface ocean currents, and potential temperature profiles in order to probe the data–model discrepancies.

a. Seawater $pCO_2$

During austral winter, biological consumption is low and physical processes dominate $pCO_2$ variability. We thus show only data from the austral winter period between July and September in sections 4a–4c. The impact of biological consumption on seasonal $pCO_2$ variations will be addressed in section 4d. In Fig. 7, we plot constructed wintertime oceanic $pCO_2$ against SST for the eight ESMs and the shipboard observations: $pCO_2$ values north of the Polar Front are shown as red, near
the Polar Front are shown as black, and south of the Polar Front are shown as magenta. The 0.3°C SST-binned averages of $p$CO$_2$ as a function of SST are shown as the thick black lines with the error bars denoting the standard error of the mean. In computing the degrees of freedom, each transect is treated as an independent realization, because the transects cover all seasons of the year with consecutive transects typically separated in time by 2–6 weeks and each transect takes about 2 days to complete.

As noted above, the strong westerly winds in the ACC system tend to homogenize the atmospheric $p$CO$_2$ in the planetary boundary layer, and so the discrete in situ atmospheric $p$CO$_2$ (Fig. 7i) is considered representative of atmospheric $p$CO$_2$ variations across the entire Drake Passage. Similarly, the atmospheric $p$CO$_2$ concentrations in the eight ESMs also show little meridional variation (cyan lines in Figs. 7a–h). Note that the discontinuous cyan lines in GFDL-ESM2G and GFDL-ESM2M (Figs. 7g,h) are due to the missing data near the ice edge in the Southern Ocean.

The shipboard observations (Fig. 7i) show that the winter-averaged surface water $p$CO$_2$ near the Polar Front (2°–4°C; 385 μatm; thick black line) is nearly in equilibrium with the time-mean atmospheric $p$CO$_2$ (380 μatm). The observed atmospheric $p$CO$_2$ values increase with time from 2002 to 2011 (cyan lines in Fig. 7i). The atmospheric $p$CO$_2$ growth rate is estimated to be 1.95 μatm yr$^{-1}$, close to the growth rate of 1.9 μatm yr$^{-1}$ in the Southern Ocean reported by Lenton et al. (2012). The growth rate of the spatially averaged observed oceanic $p$CO$_2$ is estimated to be 2.4 μatm yr$^{-1}$, close to previous reported values of 2.5 ± 0.7 μatm yr$^{-1}$ by Lenton et al. (2012) and 2.2 ± 0.5 μatm yr$^{-1}$ by Takahashi et al. (2009). Although the decadal-mean surface water $p$CO$_2$ is nearly in equilibrium with the decadal-mean atmospheric $p$CO$_2$, over the 10-yr
FIG. 7. July–September constructed seawater \( pCO_2 \) against SST for (a)–(h) eight CMIP5 ESMs and (i) the shipboard observations in the Drake Passage region. Data points north of the Polar Front (56°–57.5°S) are shown in red, near the Polar Front (57.5°–59.5°S) are shown in black, and south of the Polar Front (59.5°–62°S) are shown in magenta. The thick black lines show the 0.3°C SST-binned averages of \( pCO_2 \) as a function of SST, and the error bars denote the standard error of the mean. The multiple thick cyan lines in (a)–(h) show the constructed atmospheric \( pCO_2 \) along the winter transects in eight ESMs and in (i) show the observed atmospheric \( pCO_2 \) in Drake Passage by NOAA/ESRL for the years 2003–11.
time series the growth rate of the ocean $p$CO$_2$ increases faster compared to that of the atmospheric $p$CO$_2$. This faster oceanic growth rate means that sea-to-air fluxes of CO$_2$ are higher by the end of the time series in 2011.

The region just south of the Polar Front (SST < −1°C) has lower seawater $p$CO$_2$ concentrations (360 μatm) than the overlying atmosphere (Fig. 7i), indicating that the ocean acts as a sink for atmospheric CO$_2$ within the

Fig. 8. As in Fig. 7, but for July–September sea-to-air CO$_2$ flux (mol m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) against SST. Positive values indicate sea-to-air upward CO$_2$ flux.
Southern Ocean seasonal ice zone (Fig. 1). Sprintall et al. (2012) showed a strong correlation of \( \delta p \text{CO}_2 \) and upper ocean temperature just south of the Polar Front (PF) in winter in the Drake Passage, suggesting that SST plays a role in seawater \( p \text{CO}_2 \) variations there. From the equilibrium with the atmosphere at 2°C to the under saturation at −1°C, seawater \( p \text{CO}_2 \) (thick black lines in Fig. 7i) decreases from 385 to 360 \( \mu \text{atm} \). The SST dependence of seawater \( p \text{CO}_2 \) (8 \( \mu \text{atm} \text{C}^{-1} \)) south of the Polar Front is half the SST dependence (16 \( \mu \text{atm} \text{C}^{-1} \)) that would be estimated based on the relation developed by Takahashi et al. (1993), who found \( \delta p \text{CO}_2/\delta \text{SST} \approx 0.0423 \times p \text{CO}_2 \), assuming SST to be the sole factor controlling variations in \( p \text{CO}_2 \). The fact that the observed seasonal cycle in \( p \text{CO}_2 \) is less dependent on SST than predicted by its change in solubility implies that, south of the Polar Front, DIC-forced seawater \( p \text{CO}_2 \) changes may also play an important role. The DIC-forced processes might include the air-to-sea \( p \text{CO}_2 \) flux and the upwelling of high DIC water from the deep ocean, as indicated in Fig. 1.

North of the Polar Front, for SSTs around 6°C, observed seawater \( p \text{CO}_2 \) is about 370 \( \mu \text{atm} \), which is lower than in the Polar Front (385 \( \mu \text{atm} \) at 2°C–4°C) and is a departure from equilibrium with the atmosphere. On the basis of temperature dependence alone, \( p \text{CO}_2 \) would be expected to increase with warmer temperatures, so the observed departure from equilibrium has the wrong sign to be temperature controlled. This departure implies that the DIC sink terms, such as subduction into the deep ocean and uptake by phytoplankton, may play a significant role north of the Polar Front. Alternatively, source waters from the subtropics could contribute to lower DIC water, which, when cooled to 6°C, results in a significantly lower \( p \text{CO}_2 \) than found at the Polar Front. Iudicone et al. (2011) argued that water mass transformations can have an important impact in setting the surface carbon properties north of the Polar Front. We speculate that the effective subduction into the deep ocean in the convergence zone, the wind-driven equatorward meridional transport, and the DIC uptake by photosynthesis likely play a role in removing carbon from surface water. This is due to the fact that other processes, such as air–sea gas flux and possible crossfrontal equatorward transport from the south, all should increase surface water \( p \text{CO}_2 \) (Fig. 1).

Six of the eight ESMs are consistent with observations that show a maximum of seawater \( p \text{CO}_2 \) near the Polar Front. The exceptions are INM-CM4.0 (Fig. 7d) and to a lesser extent HadGEM2-ES (Fig. 7c). All ESMs except INM-CM4.0 show a \( p \text{CO}_2 \) reduction as temperatures decrease south of the Polar Front. However, in other respects, the eight available ESMs show significantly different meridional variations than the observations (Figs. 7a–h). While all ESMs except INM-CM4.0 and GFDL-ESM2M show larger values of oceanic \( p \text{CO}_2 \) than in the overlying atmosphere near the Polar Front, the seawater \( p \text{CO}_2 \) in four ESMs (CanESM2, MIROC-ESM, MPI-ESM-LR, and to some extent GFDL-ESM2G) appears to exceed atmospheric \( p \text{CO}_2 \) values by 15–60 \( \mu \text{atm} \), suggesting that the sea-to-air \( p \text{CO}_2 \) gradients are larger in these four models than in the observations (Figs. 7b,e–g).

If we assume the winds are the same in all ESMs and in the shipboard measurements (we will show in section 4c that the model winds are generally too strong), then the Polar Fronts in these four models lose much more \( p \text{CO}_2 \) to the overlying atmosphere than suggested by the shipboard observations or the other ESMs because of the excessive sea-to-air gradient. The minimum values of \( p \text{CO}_2 \) south of the Polar Front in these four models, however, are consistent with the shipboard measurements. This leads to a much larger south-to-north increase in \( p \text{CO}_2 \) between the −1°C water south of the PF and the 4°C water near the Polar Front, implying excessive DIC sources by the oceanic physical processes near the Polar Front in these four models. These processes could include an overestimate in the upwelling of DIC-rich water and excessive biological drawdown of DIC as the waters move north of the PF or rapid mixing of subtropical waters before waters are subducted into the deep ocean, as indicated in Fig. 1. In addition, CanESM2 appears to have a narrower SST range (4°C–5°C) over which the oceanic \( p \text{CO}_2 \) is larger than the overlying atmosphere (Fig. 7b). This narrower SST range implies less total \( p \text{CO}_2 \) uptake from the overlying atmosphere.

b. Sea-to-air \( p \text{CO}_2 \) flux

Figure 8 shows austral winter transects of the \( p \text{CO}_2 \) flux from the ocean to the atmosphere as a function of SST, where positive values indicate a sea-to-air \( p \text{CO}_2 \) flux out of the ocean. The sea-to-air \( p \text{CO}_2 \) flux in INM-CM4.0 (Fig. 8d) is about an order of magnitude larger than in the other ESMs. The meridional variations of sea-to-air \( p \text{CO}_2 \) flux (Fig. 8) are generally proportional to the sea-to-air \( p \text{CO}_2 \) differences (Fig. 7) for all ESMs, implying that in these models the air–sea \( p \text{CO}_2 \) differences play an important role in the air–sea \( p \text{CO}_2 \) flux.

Near the Polar Front, the oceans of four models (BCC_CSM1.1, HadGEM2-ES, INM-CM4.0, and GRDL-ESM2M; Figs. 8a,c,d,h) are nearly in equilibrium with the overlying atmosphere. In these models, the ocean acts as a sink for atmospheric \( p \text{CO}_2 \) south of the Polar Front for water temperatures less than 1°C. In contrast, in CanESM2 (Fig. 8b), MIROC-ESM (Fig. 8e), MPI-ESM-LR (Fig. 8f), and to some extent GFDL-ESM2G (Fig. 8g), the oceans release \( p \text{CO}_2 \) to the overlying atmosphere,
because seawater $pCO_2$ exceeds atmospheric $pCO_2$. In these same models south of the Polar Front, oceanic $pCO_2$ is nearly in equilibrium with the atmosphere, and as a result the oceans exchange little CO$_2$ with the overlying atmosphere. The near equilibrium state illustrated by the shipboard $pCO_2$ observations (Fig. 7i) at the Polar Front stands in contrast to these four ESMs that show a CO$_2$ source to the overlying atmosphere.

To further investigate the excessive sea-to-air CO$_2$ flux near the Polar Front, we show in Fig. 9 the oceanic $pCO_2$ and sea-to-air CO$_2$ flux for CanESM2 and INM-CM4.0 in the preindustrial control experiments.
two models are the only models that provide the oceanic pCO\textsubscript{2} output for the ESM control experiments. In these control experiments, the oceanic pCO\textsubscript{2} variations and air–sea CO\textsubscript{2} flux are merely driven by the natural carbon variation and flux, as constant preindustrial atmospheric pCO\textsubscript{2} values (278 μatm) are used. As a result, the differences of pCO\textsubscript{2} variations (Figs. 7, 9) and sea-to-air CO\textsubscript{2} flux (Figs. 8, 9) indicate the effect of anthropogenic carbon variations that are input into the ocean.

For both CanESM2 and INM-CM4.0, the natural pCO\textsubscript{2} variations and air–sea natural carbon flux show a meridional variation similar to that seen under contemporary conditions. In particular, under preindustrial conditions, seawater pCO\textsubscript{2} values in CanESM2 exceed atmospheric pCO\textsubscript{2} values (278 μatm) by about 50 μatm (Fig. 9a), and the Polar Front CO\textsubscript{2} outgassing to the atmosphere is about 2 mol m\textsuperscript{-2} yr\textsuperscript{-1} (Fig. 9b). These variations are larger than values under contemporary conditions, with oceanic pCO\textsubscript{2} exceeding atmospheric pCO\textsubscript{2} by about 15 μatm (Fig. 7b) and the sea-to-air CO\textsubscript{2} flux estimated to be 1.5 mol m\textsuperscript{-2} yr\textsuperscript{-1} (Fig. 8b). This implies that in CanESM2, natural carbon outgassing plays a larger role (1.4–4 times) than anthropogenic carbon uptake in determining the excessive pCO\textsubscript{2} bias.

We also examined longitudinal (east–west) variations of sea-to-air CO\textsubscript{2} flux for the entire Drake Passage region (50°–80°W, 52°–62°S) in the eight ESMs (not shown). Consistent with Fig. 8, the oceans of CanESM2, MIROC-ESM, MPI-ESM-LR, and GFDL-ESM2G release CO\textsubscript{2} to the atmosphere at a rate of 0.5–1.5 mol m\textsuperscript{-2} yr\textsuperscript{-1} at all longitudes near the Polar Front. In contrast, the oceans of BCC_CSM1.1 and HadGEM2-ES take up CO\textsubscript{2} at a rate of 0.5 mol m\textsuperscript{-2} yr\textsuperscript{-1} at all longitudes. In addition, south of the Polar Front the oceans in BCC_CSM1.1 and HadGEM2-ES have a higher CO\textsubscript{2} uptake rate than they do near the Polar Front.

c. Winds and ocean currents

Figures 7 and 8 indicate that the sea-to-air pCO\textsubscript{2} differences δpCO\textsubscript{2} are large enough to play an important role in the sea-to-air CO\textsubscript{2} flux in all ESMs. In particular, for all winter transects from 2002 to 2011, the pCO\textsubscript{2} values in four of the eight ESMs (CanESM2, MIROC-ESM, MPI-ESM-LR, and to some extent GFDL-ESM2G) near the Polar Front coincide with large CO\textsubscript{2} releases to the atmosphere. To illustrate the relative roles of ocean currents and winds in the sea-to-air CO\textsubscript{2} flux, in Figs. 10a–h we show the austral winter-mean wind speed and the near-surface (30 m) ocean currents in all eight ESMs. Winds are dynamically determined features in all the eight CMIP5 ESMs. In this study we time average the winter wind speeds and currents to be consistent with Figs. 7 and 8. For comparison, we show in Fig. 10i the austral winter-mean QuikSCAT wind speed (2002–09) and the austral winter-mean OSCAR ocean currents (2002–09) derived from satellite measurements.

West of 65°W, the wind speeds in CanESM2, INM-CM4.0, MIROC-ESM, and MPI-ESM-LR are on average 2–3 m s\textsuperscript{-1} stronger than QuikSCAT winds; however, east of 65°W, winds in these models are 3–4 m s\textsuperscript{-1} stronger than QuikSCAT winds. This stronger wind bias in coupled general circulation models has also been reported by Swart and Fyfe (2011) and by Russell et al. (2006). As suggested by the schematic in Fig. 1, the strong eastward winds in these models in the entire Drake Passage region may 1) enlarge the magnitude of the CO\textsubscript{2} release from the ocean to the atmosphere; 2) enhance upwelling of higher DIC from the deep ocean to the surface at and south of the Polar Front; and 3) drive the Ekman transport in the surface layer of the Southern Ocean strongly northward (to the left of the eastward winds), which also moves DIC-rich water northward. Observations and models suggest that, near the Polar Front, eddy-driven poleward transport compensates to some extent the equatorward Ekman transport (Böning et al. 2008; Ito et al. 2009). In coarse-resolution models, overly strong winds can disrupt this balance and drive a northward net meridional transport. North of the Polar Front where intermediate water forms through convective processes (Speer et al. 2000), meridional transport is expected to be small in the near-surface layer of the ocean. However, in the four models with stronger winds east of 65°W, the austral winter-mean Eulerian ocean surface currents are consistently equatorward and stronger throughout all of Drake Passage compared to the observations. This implies that the upwelled DIC-rich water from south of the Polar Front is likely transported farther equatorward in the surface layer instead of being subducted into the deep ocean, leading to a longer exchange time with the atmosphere. It also seems likely that because of the low resolution the poleward eddy-driven meridional currents in these models are too weak to compensate the strong equatorward Ekman currents.

Vertical stratification can serve as a measure of how easily water can be subducted or upwelled. For this study we use the vertical gradient of potential temperature as an approximate measure of stratification since more detailed stratification information, such as potential density, is not readily available from the ESMs. The impact of the salinity on the stratification needs further study, as salinity potentially plays an important role in the mixed layer calculation in the Southern Ocean (e.g., Stephenson et al. 2012). Compared to the WOA09 climatology (Fig. 11i), vertical profiles of potential
temperature along 65°W in CanESM2, HadGEM2-ES, INM-CM4.0, and MPI-ESM-LR (Figs. 11b–d,f) imply much stronger stratification at and just north of the Polar Front, making the upwelled DIC harder to subduct to the deep ocean in these regions. As shown in Fig. 1, four physical processes likely act together to reduce the model inventory of DIC in the Drake Passage. These processes include the strong westerly winds and the consequent strong equatorward Ekman transports, strong net meridional currents (implying weak poleward eddy transport compensation), strong stratification preventing subduction of CO2 to the deep ocean, and excessive CO2 flux to the atmosphere. A full analysis of the carbon budget in the Drake Passage would be needed to examine the effect of these physical processes on the DIC inventory in these models and is beyond the scope of this analysis.

d. Seasonal cycle

We now explore the relative roles of SST forcing and DIC forcing in governing the seasonal cycle of pCO2 as a function of meridional position within Drake Passage. We fitted the 1°-latitude-binned observations and the constructed ESM transects to a sinusoidal seasonal cycle (Fig. 12) using a least squares approach, $A \cos(2\pi t + \phi)$, where $A$ and $\phi$ represent amplitude and phase. Here the amplitudes have positive sign and differ from the summer-to-winter magnitudes in Table 1, in which the magnitudes carry some of the phase information. Consistent with the Drake Passage–averaged results (Fig. 4 and Table 1), meridionally varying seawater pCO2 concentrations in the shipboard measurements and in all eight ESMs except INM-CM4.0 show a significant seasonal cycle. The amplitude of the seasonal cycle of
observed $pCO_2$ in the Drake Passage can reach up to $7.5$ μatm, which is much smaller ($\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$) than the seasonal $pCO_2$ in other parts of the global ocean (Takahashi et al. 2009; Christian et al. 2010). In contrast, all of the ESMs, with the exception of INM-CM4.0, have much larger seasonal amplitudes (Fig. 12a). GFDL-ESM2G, GFDL-ESM2M, and BCC_CSM1.1 have seasonal cycle amplitudes for $pCO_2$ that are most consistent with the shipboard measurements. The amplitude of the seasonal cycle of $pCO_2$ in MPI-ESM-LR reaches up to $75$ μatm at $56.5^\circ$S, and in HadGEM2-ES it reaches up to $47$ μatm at $59.5^\circ$S.

The amplitudes of the seasonal cycles in surface water $pCO_2$ show significant meridional variations (Fig. 12a, left). Just north of the Polar Front, the amplitude of the seasonal cycle of observed $pCO_2$ is triple the amplitude south of the front (about $7.5$ μatm compared to $2.5$ μatm). Jiang et al. (2012) found the amplitude of the seasonal cycle of SST north of the Polar Front to be half the amplitude south of the front (about $1^\circ$C compared to $2^\circ$C). This suggests that the meridional gradients of the seasonal cycle amplitudes have opposite signs for $pCO_2$ and SST. This confirms the results from the spatially averaged analysis that surface temperature is not the only factor controlling the seasonal cycle of the surface $pCO_2$; DIC-related processes also contribute to seasonal variations in surface $pCO_2$.

Like the amplitudes, the months when seawater $pCO_2$ peaks vary with latitude (Fig. 12c). The observed seawater $pCO_2$ consistently peaks in austral winter (August) north of the Polar Front and peaks in the austral summer (February) just south of the Polar Front. In the eight ESMs, $pCO_2$ peaks between February and August; however, they differ greatly with latitude. In HadGEM2-ES, MIROC-ESM, and MPI-ESM-LR the phases of seawater $pCO_2$ show little meridional variations and are mainly locked to austral winter. This agrees with the shipboard measurements north of the Polar
Front but not south of the Polar Front. In the models BCC_CSM1.1, CanESM2, and GFDL-ESM2G, the phases indicate a maximum between November and January north of the Polar Front, which is several months too late compared to the observations. Consistent with Woloszyn et al. (2011), the phases south of the Polar Front in the ESMs differ substantially from the observations, especially near the seasonally ice-covered regions (near 62°S).

Overall, the meridional variation of the phase of $p_{\text{CO}_2}$ in GFDL-ESM2M best matches the observations but shows a gentler transition from south to north across the Polar Front, which is probably a result of the low horizontal resolution of the model.

We know from the spatially averaged seasonal cycle analysis in Fig. 4 that the compensation between the SST-forced and DIC-forced seasonal $p_{\text{CO}_2}$ changes determines the seasonal $p_{\text{CO}_2}$ variations. Further, Figs. 12b,d show the amplitudes and phases of the seasonal cycles of the $p_{\text{CO}_2}$ as predicted, based on the SST effect $p_{\text{CO}_2}|_{\text{SST}}$. North of the Polar Front the amplitude of the seasonal cycles of $p_{\text{CO}_2}$ variations associated with SST is half the amplitude that it is south of the front (about 16 $\mu$atm to the north compared to 31 $\mu$atm to the south; Fig. 12b). This north–south gradient is by definition consistent with the seasonal cycles of SST (Jiang et al. 2012), but it is opposite to the seasonal cycles of oceanic $p_{\text{CO}_2}$ (Fig. 12a). While the amplitude of the seasonal cycle is larger in the eight ESMs compared to the observations, the meridional gradients of the model and observed amplitudes are similar. In shipboard observations, the SST effect on $p_{\text{CO}_2}$ peaks from February to March, while in the eight ESMs it peaks later, from March to May.

In the top panels of Fig. 13, we show the seasonal cycles of the $p_{\text{CO}_2}$ due to the DIC effect $p_{\text{CO}_2}|_{\text{DIC}}$ obtained by removing the SST effect from the $p_{\text{CO}_2}$ variations. In shipboard observations, the DIC-induced changes in $p_{\text{CO}_2}$ show a smaller north–south gradient, with about 23 $\mu$atm to the north compared to 29 $\mu$atm to the south. The observed DIC effect on $p_{\text{CO}_2}$ peaks during austral winter from July to August, about 6 months after the observed austral summer peak, due to changes

![Fig. 12. (a),(b) Amplitudes and (c),(d) phases of (top) the seasonal cycles of surface water $p_{\text{CO}_2}$ and (bottom) the SST-forced component of surface $p_{\text{CO}_2}$, for the eight CMIP5 ESMs (see legend) and the in situ measurements (black solid). Error bars denote the standard error of the mean. The phases indicate the peak months of the seasonal cycles of surface water $p_{\text{CO}_2}$.](image-url)
in the SST effect on $p\text{CO}_2$. This out-of-phase relation between the SST- and DIC-forced effects explains the small amplitudes of the seasonal cycle of $p\text{CO}_2$ variations (2.5 $\mu$atm to the south and 7.5 $\mu$atm to the north; Fig. 12a), since the effects compensate for each other. The amplitudes of the eight ESMs show meridional gradients that differ from the observations, with larger magnitude seasonal cycles. The majority of the eight ESMs show peaks from July to November, about 1–2 months later than the observations.

For both the observations and the eight ESMs, the SST-forced seasonal $p\text{CO}_2$ variations are approximately 6 months out of phase with DIC-forced $p\text{CO}_2$ changes (Figs. 12b, 13a). As a result, the ratio of amplitudes of the seasonal $p\text{CO}_2$ predicted by the SST effect to those predicted by the DIC effect indicates their relative importance in the seasonal $p\text{CO}_2$ variations (Takahashi et al. 2002). Because this, the observed seawater $p\text{CO}_2$ variations peak the same months as the DIC-driven $p\text{CO}_2$ in August. South of the Polar Front, the SST and the DIC effects seem to play equal roles. As a result, seawater $p\text{CO}_2$ peaks between October and February, in between the peak months for SST (February–March) and DIC (August–September).

Of the eight ESMs, GFDL-ESM2G and GFDL-ESM2M generally simulate realistic ratios of the seasonal SST-forced to DIC-forced $p\text{CO}_2$ amplitude variations at all latitudes in the Drake Passage. The role of the DIC effect is slightly underestimated north of the Polar Front in these two models. North of the Polar Front, the ratios in three ESMs (BCC_CSM1.1, CanESM2, and HadGEM2-ES) compare well with the observations, while the DIC effect in MIROC-ESM
and MPI-ESM-LR seems to be overestimated in this region. South of the Polar Front, BCC_CSM1.1 and CanESM2 overestimate the role of SST while HadGEM2-ES, MIROC-ESM, and MPI-ESM-LR appear to underestimate the SST effect.

Barbero et al. (2011) found high DIC values associated with deep MLDS in the Pacific sector of the Southern Ocean. Given the important role of the seasonal DIC variations throughout the Drake Passage and to further isolate the impact of MLDS on seasonal $pCO_2$ variations through ocean stratification, we show the amplitudes (Fig. 13b) and phases (Fig. 13d) of the seasonal cycles of the MLDS, in the shipboard measurements and four of the eight ESMs: CanESM2, MPI-ESM-LR, GFDL-ESM2G, and GFDL-ESM2M. These models are chosen because, as of July 2012, they were the only ESMs that had released MLDS estimates based on the model mixing schemes. Consistent with the observed MLDS derived from the shipboard XCTD observations, the MLDS in the four ESMs peak in austral winter (July–September). Three of the ESMs (CanESM2, GFDL-ESM2G, and GFDL-ESM2M) have seasonal magnitudes that are comparable to the observations. North of the Polar Front, the amplitudes and phases of the MLDS in these four ESMs seem to coincide with the DIC-induced $pCO_2$ variations (Fig. 13a), suggesting the important role of the MLDS variations in the seasonal $pCO_2$ changes in these models. Deep MLDS of ESMs in high latitudes have also been found in the CMIP3 ESMs (Boé et al. 2009). The finding in this study also supports Boé et al.’s (2009) speculation that intermodel differences in oceanic mixing (i.e., MLDS) can be associated with a spread in the modeled oceanic uptake of $CO_2$. Besides MLDS, other factors, such as biological activity, also play a role in the seawater $pCO_2$ seasonal variations. Cadule et al. (2010) pointed out that the phytoplankton growth rate defined by Geider et al. (1998), which is widely used in the CMIP5 ESMs, will affect the seasonality of the oceanic $pCO_2$.

North of the Polar Front, MPI-ESM-LR shows a much larger seasonal cycle in MLDS than the observations and the other three ESMs (CanESM2, GFDL-ESM2G, and GFDL-ESM2M), with amplitudes up to 180 m (Fig. 13b). To illustrate the spatial variations of the MLDS, we show in Figs. 15a–d the July–September-mean MLDS in the Drake Passage for the same ESMs as in Fig. 13b. We also show the climatological MLDS derived from Argo float measurements in Fig. 15e (Holte et al. 2010). Consistent with the Argo MLDS, all four ESMs show deeper MLDS north of the PF than south of the PF. While the four ESMs show different spatial features from each other, MLDS in GFDL-ESM2G and GFDL-ESM2M show the best agreement with climatology. The MPI-ESM-LR MLDS in the winter can reach up to 1000 m north of the Polar Front and these deep MLDS probably play a key role in the overly large seasonal $pCO_2$ variations in this model (Fig. 12a), although the causal relationship requires further study. Dunne et al. (2012) pointed out that an atmospheric air–sea surface shortwave bias may cause both seasonal MLDS

![Fig. 14](image-url). The ratio of the SST-forced seasonal $pCO_2$ variations to the DIC-forced seasonal $pCO_2$ variations for the eight ESMs (see legend) and the shipboard measurements (black solid).
and SST biases in the Southern Ocean. Besides the variability in the biological productivity, we speculate that another possibility is that the large seasonal cycle of the MLD in MPI-ESM-LR brings CO$_2$ from the deep ocean to the surface layer north of the Polar Front. Because the DIC effect dominates the seasonal $p$CO$_2$ variations north of the PF (Fig. 14), these deep MLDs seem to explain the overly large amplitudes of the seasonal cycles of the $p$CO$_2$ variations in MPI-ESM-LR north of the Polar Front (Fig. 12a).

Fig. 15. July–September-mean MLDs (m), as determined from the model mixing schemes, for the Drake Passage region (50$^\circ$–70$^\circ$W, 52$^\circ$–62$^\circ$S) for (a) CanESM2, (b) MPI-ESM-LR, (c) GFDL-ESM2G, (d) GFDL-ESM2M, and (e) Argo climatology observations.
5. Conclusions

In this study, we have utilized the decade-long (2002–11) underway shipboard measurements from Drake Passage to examine spatial-mean, meridional (north–south), and seasonal variations in seawater $pCO_2$. These year-round underway repeat measurements provide a means to assess the performance of the available CMIP5 ESMs, which for the first time directly couple the ocean carbon cycle to climate models. Our analysis has focused on assessing seawater $pCO_2$ and the air-to-sea $CO_2$ flux in the context of winds, ocean currents, and vertical stratification in the Drake Passage. Of the eight available ESMs, six ESMs reproduce the observed annual-mean $pCO_2$ values averaged over the Drake Passage region, and all ESMs but one show the significant meridional variations that are consistent with shipboard observations.

The amplitudes of seasonal cycles of the observed $pCO_2$ show significant meridional variations, with the amplitude north of the Polar Front (7.5 $\mu$atm) triple the amplitude south of the Polar Front (2.5 $\mu$atm). Our analysis shows that the SST-forced and DIC-forced seawater $pCO_2$ variations play an equal role south of the Polar Front, while the DIC-forced $pCO_2$ variations play a slightly more important role north of the Polar Front. In addition, these two effects are out of phase at all latitudes, with the SST-forced $pCO_2$ variations peaking from February to March and the DIC-forced $pCO_2$ peaking from July to August. The compensation of these two effects explains the observed meridional variations of the oceanic $pCO_2$. In contrast with the observations, all ESMs but INM-CM4.0 show larger seasonal cycle magnitudes at all latitudes, due to biases in the relative roles of SST-forced and DIC-forced $pCO_2$ variations. North of the Polar Front, the deepening of the mixed layer in austral winter in four of the eight ESMs, possibly together with the biological consumption in the austral spring/summer (Sprintall et al. 2012), seems to play a significant role in the seasonal surface $pCO_2$ variations. For ESMs that realistically simulate the seasonal deep mixed layer north of the Polar Front, the seasonal surface $pCO_2$ variations compare well with observations. In contrast, ESMs with mixed layers that are too deep or too shallow north of the Polar Front directly influence surface $pCO_2$ seasonal variations, because of the contribution by the vertical mixing of DIC-rich subsurface water to the surface.

Contrary to observations, which show atmospheric and surface water $pCO_2$ concentrations to be near equilibrium near the Polar Front (58.5°S; 2°–4°C), in four of the eight ESMs (CanESM2, MIROC-ESM, MPI-ESM-LR, and to some extent in GFDL-ESM2G) surface water $pCO_2$ values exceed atmospheric $pCO_2$ values by 15–60 $\mu$atm. This causes large sea-to-air $CO_2$ fluxes in these four models, leading to an increase of atmospheric $pCO_2$. In other words, in these ESMs the Polar Front acts as a $CO_2$ source to the overlying atmosphere. Because surface water $pCO_2$ values far south of the Polar Front in these models are consistent with shipboard measurements, this leads to much larger gradients in seawater $pCO_2$ between the $-1^\circ$C water in the upwelling region and the $2^\circ$C water near the Polar Front. This implies that physical oceanic processes supply excessive $pCO_2$ to the Polar Front region in these four models. This could occur as a result of strong net meridional transport and/or overly weak subduction to the deep ocean.

Overall, compared to observations, most CMIP5 ESMs reproduce the annual-mean $pCO_2$ values averaged in the Drake Passage region. However, there remain several distinct discrepancies. The ESMs typically show much larger seasonal cycles than the shipboard measurements. Other issues include excessive surface water $pCO_2$ concentrations near the Polar Front, strong equatorward Ekman currents driven by the overly intensified westerlies, strong net equatorward meridional currents owing to weak poleward eddy transport that is insufficient to compensate the equatorward Ekman currents, excessively strong vertical stratification, and winter mixed layers that are either too deep or too shallow north of the Polar Front. We hypothesize that within the Drake Passage region these models release too much $CO_2$ to the overlying atmosphere, which reduces the inventory of DIC in the model Drake Passage. Further studies will be needed to examine whether the processes in Drake Passage are representative of the entire Southern Ocean and further whether these processes significantly impact the atmospheric $CO_2$ concentrations in the ESM projections.

The underway shipboard $pCO_2$ measurements used in this study provide a valuable benchmark for assessing the performance of the CMIP5 ESMs in the Drake Passage. However, the sparse in situ measurements prohibit us from examining zonal (east–west) variations of the surface ocean $pCO_2$ throughout the whole Southern Ocean. Lenton et al. (2012) analyzed the growth rate of the seawater $pCO_2$ across the ACC system and found that different mechanisms influence the surface ocean $pCO_2$ in the Atlantic compared to the Pacific–Indian sectors. In this study, we found the spatially averaged sea-to-air $CO_2$ flux and seasonal $pCO_2$ variations in the entire circumpolar region (56°–62°S) agree well with their variations in the Drake Passage for most of the eight ESMs. In addition, the ESM $pCO_2$ anomalies (removing the annual mean, long-term trend, and seasonal cycles)
in Drake Passage significantly correlate with the other areas of the Southern Ocean. Thus, despite the limited zonal spatial coverage in the Drake Passage measurements, they appear to provide a useful means to assess the representation of CO2 in long-term climate projections.
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