UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Genetic Determinants of Electrocardiographic P-Wave Duration and Relation to Atrial Fibrillation

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0sd9d210

Journal

Circulation Genomic and Precision Medicine, 13(5)

ISSN

1942-325X

Authors

Weng, Lu-Chen Hall, Amelia Weber Choi, Seung Hoan et al.

Publication Date

2020-10-01

DOI

10.1161/circgen.119.002874

Peer reviewed

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Circ Genom Precis Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 October 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Circ Genom Precis Med. 2020 October; 13(5): 387-395. doi:10.1161/CIRCGEN.119.002874.

Genetic Determinants of Electrocardiographic P-wave Duration and Relation to Atrial Fibrillation

A full list of authors and affiliations appears at the end of the article.

Abstract

Background —The P-wave duration (PWD) is an electrocardiographic (ECG) measurement that represents cardiac conduction in the atria. Shortened or prolonged PWD is associated with atrial fibrillation (AF). We used exome chip data to examine the associations between common and rare variants with PWD.

Methods — Fifteen studies comprising 64,440 individuals (56,943 European, 5,681 African, 1,186 Hispanic, 630 Asian), and ~230,000 variants were used to examine associations with maximum PWD across the 12-lead ECG. Meta-analyses summarized association results for common variants; gene-based burden and SKAT tests examined low-frequency variant-PWD associations. Additionally, we examined the associations between PWD loci and AF using previous AF GWAS.

Results —We identified 21 common and low-frequency genetic loci (14 novel) associated with maximum PWD, including several AF loci (*TTN*, *CAND2*, *SCN10A*, *PITX2*, *CAV1*, *SYNPO2L*, *SOX5*, *TBX5*, *MYH6*, *RPL3L*). The top variants at known sarcomere genes (*TTN*, *MYH6*) were associated with longer PWD and increased AF risk. However, top variants at other loci (e.g., *PITX2* and *SCN10A*) were associated with longer PWD but lower AF risk.

Conclusions -—Our results highlight multiple novel genetic loci associated with PWD, and underscore the shared mechanisms of atrial conduction and AF. Prolonged PWD may be an endophenotype for several different genetic mechanisms of AF.

Keywords

electrocardiography; population genetics; ECG; atrial fibrillation; exome; Electrophysiology; Genetic; Association Studies; Atrial Fibrillation

P-wave duration (PWD) is an electrocardiographic measurement that reflects cardiac conduction through the atria. PWD variability may implicate intrinsic or acquired properties in the function and structure of atrial conductivity. Shortened and prolonged PWD have been repeatedly associated with atrial fibrillation (AF), a common and heritable arrhythmia that predisposes to stroke, heart failure, and increased mortality. 5–7

Although PWD is heritable^{8, 9} only two genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have been conducted.^{10, 11} Given the relationship between PWD and AF, examining the genetic

determinants of PWD may provide insights into the pathophysiology of AF. Moreover, assessment of coding variation may facilitate identification of AF-specific genes. Therefore, we conducted an exome-chip based analysis focused on rare and common genetic determinants of PWD.

Methods

Each study was reviewed and approved by the local or institutional IRB, and each participant provided consent. Study-specific details are provided in Supplemental Material, under "Description of participating studies" and in Supplemental Table 1. In our primary analysis, we considered loci/genes significantly associated with PWD if a common variant (minor allele frequency [MAF] 5%) or a gene-based test, including burden or sequence kernel association test [SKAT]¹² comprising low-frequency variants [MAF < 5% or MAF <1%]) exceeded exome-wide significance in meta-analyses, after Bonferroni correction. We reported low-frequency variants that exceeded exome-wide significance at significant loci identified in gene-based analyses. The full Methods section is available in the Supplemental Material (under "Methods"). Data supporting the findings of this study can be made available, following reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Results

A total of 64,440 individuals from 4 ethnic groups (56,943 European, 5,681 African, 630 Asian, 1,186 Hispanic) and 15 studies were included in our meta-analysis. The per-study mean age ranged from 46.2–72.6 years; roughly 60% of participants were women (Table 1). For the multi-ethnic single variant analyses, we tested ~26,000 common variants (see Supplemental Table 3 for the exact number of variants included in each analysis). The Quantile-Quantile plots show a small degree of inflation for both PWD residuals (λ =1.10) and inverse normal transformed PWD residuals (λ =1.13; Supplemental Figures 1a-1b). We performed meta-analyses in ethnicity-specific groups (European: λ =1.10–1.13; African: λ =1.03; Supplemental Figures 1c-1f). LD score regression intercepts were 1 (multi-ethnic analyses) and 0.95 (European-specific analyses), suggesting the inflation was mainly due to polygenicity. Meta-analysis results from PWD residuals, and inverse normal transformed PWD residuals were highly correlated across analyses (Pearson's rho 0.99, P<2.2×10⁻¹⁶; Supplemental Figure 2).

Common variant analyses

We identified 41 exome-wide significant variants at 18 loci (P-value <1.9×10⁻⁶; Supplemental Figure 3) in our multi-ethnic meta-analysis of PWD residuals (Table 2). Eleven of the 18 PWD loci are novel, representing the following nearest genes: PKP1 (rs1626370, P=2×10⁻⁶), TTN (rs2042995, P=4×10⁻⁷), PITX2 (rs17042171, P=8×10⁻¹¹), ARHGAP10 (rs6845865, P=2×10⁻¹⁰), TCF21 (rs2327429, P=2×10⁻⁷), CDK6 (rs2282978, P=2×10⁻⁸), SYNPO2L (rs3812629, P=4×10⁻⁷), SOX5 (rs17287293, P=3×10⁻⁷), HMGA2 (rs8756, P=7×10⁻⁷), GORS4 (rs17608766, P=9×10⁻¹⁵), and MC4R (rs12970134, P=1×10⁻⁶). Another novel locus was associated only with the inverse normal transformed PWD (JAZF1, P=1×10⁻⁶; Table 2; Supplemental Table 4). The PWD variance explained by each of the top variants ranged from 0.04% to 0.44%; the top variants in aggregate explained

~1.6% of the phenotypic variance. Associations for *SCN10A* and *PITX2* regions were moderately heterogeneous across individual studies (I² 45%; Table 2). Of these 19 multiethnic significantly associated loci, 13 were significantly associated with PWD residuals in the European ancestry subset, and one (*SCN10A*) was observed in individuals of African ancestry (Supplemental Table 4). No additional loci were observed in analyses restricted to either European or African ancestry (Supplemental Figure 4 for Manhattan plots).

In conditional analyses, we identified additional signals from SCN5A and SCN10A (Supplemental Table 5). For inverse normal transformed PWD residuals, an additional signal (rs10033464, P-value= 2×10^{-7}) was observed in the PITX2 region. In addition to the 7 previously known loci that exceeded exome-wide significance, we observed 2 nominally significant associations with PWD at SSBP3 and EPAS1 (P <0.001; Supplemental Table 6).

Gene-based analyses

We performed burden and SKAT tests for associations with PWD for 16,949 genes with a cumulative minor allele count (cMAC) 10, including 192,455 low-frequency and rare variants, in the multi-ethnic sample. We identified 4 genes associated with PWD using SKAT tests aggregating functional variants with MAF <5% (TTN, P=6×10⁻²⁷; DLEC1, P=2×10⁻¹³; SCN10A, P=7×10⁻⁸; and RPL3L, P=9×10⁻⁷; Table 3). We identified an additional association (TTC21A, P=1×10⁻⁶) using inverse normal transformed PWD residuals in the European-specific analysis. Using burden tests, we identified TTN and MUC5B as PWD-associated genes in the multi-ethnic and European-specific analyses. We did not observe any significant associations for variants with MAF <1%, suggesting that identified associations were mainly driven by low-frequency, not rare, variants. Among these significant genes, we identified two additional low-frequency missense variants exceeding exome-wide significance for association (DLEC1, rs116202356, Glu264Lys, P=2×10⁻¹⁰; RPL3L, rs113956264, Val262Met, P=1×10⁻⁶; Table 2), which were not reported in our single variant tests.

eQTL analyses between genes at PWD loci and gene expression

We assessed eQTL associations for top variants and proxies (linkage disequilibrium (LD): r²>0.8; 1000 Genomes: phase 3 version 5, all individuals from LDlink¹³) in two heart tissues from GTEx version 7 (right atrial appendage (RAA) and left ventricle (LV); Supplemental Table 7). ¹⁴ Six loci were associated with significant changes in gene expression, especially in the RAA, including 2 known PWD loci (*HCN1*, *FADS1*) and 4 novel loci (*TTN*, *TCF21*, *JAZF1*, *SYNPO2L*) (Supplemental Table 7). The alleles associated with longer PWD at *HCN1* and *SYNPO2L* had lower expression of these genes in RAA tissues. In contrast, alleles at the *JAZF1* and *FADS1* loci were associated with higher gene expression in the RAA and LV, respectively. Gene expression directionality was consistent across RAA and LV tissues. Expression level changes of *JAZF1* and *MYOZ1* per allele in RAA tissue were significantly higher than in the LV. We observed more significant eQTLs in the RAA than the LV, as expected, because P-wave duration reflects atrial conduction.

Relation of the PWD with ECG traits identifies 4 novel and 5 known loci

We examined associations between PWD loci and other ECG measurements from large-scale association studies (Supplemental Table 8). We identified 8 novel (TTN, DLECI, ARHGAP10, JAZF1, SYNPO2L, SOX5, HMGA2, GOSR2), and 5 known (SCN10A, CAV1, FADS1, TBX5, MYH6) PWD loci, all previously reported to be associated with PR interval, PR segment, QRS duration, QT interval, or RR interval. Variants at TCF21, SYNPO2L, and MYH6 were associated with PR interval in recent large-scale genetic association studies, $^{15-17}$ but the top variants in our PWD analysis were in low to moderate linkage disequilibrium with top variants from these earlier analyses (LD: r^2 <0.8; 1000 Genomes: phase 3 version 5, all individuals).

Overlap between PWD loci and AF

Fourteen PWD loci were associated with AF risk in a recent AF GWAS¹⁸ (*P* <0.0024=0.05/21 loci; Figure 1 and Supplemental Table 8). Two loci in well-known AF gene regions, *PITX2* and *TTN*, were novel PWD loci. Among these 14 loci, 6 were associated with longer PWD and higher AF risk (*TTN*, *TCF21*, *SOX5*, *GOSR2*, *MC4R*, *MYH6*), whereas 8 were associated with longer PWD but lower AF risk (*DLEC1*, *PITX2*, *CDK6*, *SYNPO2L*, *CAND2*, *SCN10A*, *CAV1*, *TBX5*).

Discussion

In a multi-ancestry study comprising ~65,000 individuals, we identified 12 novel and 7 previously reported loci related to PWD in a meta-analysis of common exome chip variants. After aggregating rare and low-frequency exonic variants, we identified 6 genes, including 2 additional low-frequency variants potentially related to PWD, and loci with specific patterns of association for PWD and AF risk. These findings suggest that AF may result from multiple genetic mechanisms, and PWD may be an endophenotype for these mechanisms.

Our study extends the literature on the genetic components underlying atrial conduction, and the relationship between PWD and AF risk. In comparison to earlier genetic association studies of PWD, ^{10, 11} we predominantly focused on genetic variants in coding regions (Table 2). In total, we identified 21 common variant loci related to PWD. The top common variants explain ~1.6% of the phenotypic variance in PWD. Our gene-based analyses also highlight the importance of low-frequency variants contributing to PWD in genes such as *TTN*, *SCN10A*, and *RPL3L*.

Our findings have two major implications. First, associated loci span genes involved in the development and maintenance of adult cardiac tissue (*PITX2*, *TCF21*, *HMGA2*, *NKX2-5*, *TBX5*, *CAND2*, *CDK6*), muscle and sarcomere structure (*TTN*, *SYNPO2L*, *SOX5*, *MYH6*, *RPL3L*), ion channel function (*HCN1*, *SCN10A*), and cell-cell contact (*PKP1*, *ARHGAP10*, *CAV1*). We additionally noted several genes with a role in metabolism (*JAZF1*, *CDK6*, *HMGA2*, *MC4R*) though the connection to AF is less clear. ^{19–22} The transcription factor *PITX2* is the top susceptibility locus for AF. Decreased *Pitx2* expression in the adult left atrium is associated with AF in humans, ²³ and abnormal cardiac conduction and low-voltage P-waves in knockout mice. ²⁴ *PITX2* is activated by *TBX5* to co-regulate a number of

membrane effector genes (such as *SCN5A*, *GJA5* and *RYR2*). Reduction of *Tbx5* expression in a mouse model decreased myocardial automaticity.²⁵ *TCF21* is a transcription factor required during embryogenesis for formation of heart tissue, and is involved in fibroblast generation after injury in adults.²⁶ The nuclear scaffolding protein *HMGA2* trans-activates the heart specific transcription factor *NKX2-5.*²⁷ *HMGA* overexpression in mice mediates the response to pressure-overload induced cardiac remodeling.²⁸ *CAND2* suppresses myogenin degradation and directs cardiac progenitor cells towards a myocyte fate.²⁹

Titin (*TTN*) is a major structural component of the sarcomere, required for contractile function in cardiomyocytes. Loss of function mutations in *TTN* are associated with early-onset AF³⁰ and dilated cardiomyopathy.³¹ Cytoskeletal Heart-enriched Actin-associated Protein (CHAP, aka *SYNPO2L*), is a Z-disc protein; zebrafish knockdown models display hypertrophy and delayed conduction,³² and the locus has been associated with AF in GWAS. ¹⁸ *SOX5* is a master regulator of cell fate in embryonic development.³³ In drosophila, *SOX5* knockdown results in decreased heart rate and increased cardiac wall thickness.³⁴ *MYH6*, specifically expressed in the atria, forms the thick filament in cardiac smooth muscle; mutations are associated with cardiomyopathies,³⁵ sinus node dysfunction,³⁶ and congenital heart disease.³⁷ Some identified genes are important for atrial conduction, including *HCNI*³⁸ and *SCN10A*³⁹ which govern potassium, and late sodium channel currents, respectively. The proteins *ARHGAP10*,⁴⁰ *PKP1*,⁴¹ and *CAV1*,⁴² are involved in cell-cell contact and are necessary for efficient signal conduction. The ribosomal protein RPL3L is specifically expressed in skeletal muscle and heart; coding variants in this gene are associated with AF.⁴³

Second, our study implicates PWD as a powerful endophenotype for understanding the biological mechanisms of AF. Fifteen loci identified in our study were associated with AF risk in a recent AF GWAS, ¹⁸ underscoring the genetic correlation between atrial conduction and AF risk. Epidemiological data indicate that PWD variability is associated with AF risk, ^{2, 3} AF recurrence after cardioversion ⁴⁴ and ablation, ⁴⁵ as well as ischemic stroke. ⁴⁶ Generally, we observed that top variants at known sarcomere genes (e.g., *TTN*, *MYH6*) were associated with increased PWD and increased AF risk, implicating atrial myopathic pathways in AF susceptibility. We speculate that myopathic pathways predispose individuals to AF via delayed conduction velocity, increased propensity for reentry, and susceptibility to ectopic atrial activity. Similarly, *TCF21* and *SOX5* are two transcription factors associated with increased PWD and increased AF risk.

In contrast, top variants at *SCN10A* were associated with increased PWD but reduced AF risk. Other PWD-associated genes, such as *PITX2*, *CAND2*, *TBX5*, and *CDK6*, contained variants associated with longer PWD and reduced AF risk. The directionality of gene associations observed for PWD and AF risk underscore the complexity of AF susceptibility, while highlighting the potential to leverage PWD to elucidate AF-specific pathways (Figure 2). Whether studying PWD can lead to insights relevant for therapeutic targeting remains unclear.

Our results should be interpreted within the context of our study design. First, the majority of our sample consisted of individuals of European ancestry and may have limited

generalizability to non-European ancestries. Studies with broader ethnic/racial diversity are warranted. Second, top variants identified in our study may not directly modulate PWD, a limitation of most genetic association studies. Biological characterization of loci is needed to conclusively link variants to function. Third, ascertainment of rare variation is limited using the exome-chip, and future analyses of sequence data are warranted. Fourth, despite a relatively large sample, our findings explained a small proportion of phenotypic variance. Because the additive SNP-based heritability of PWD has been estimated to be as high as 19%, our results highlight the fact that much of the genetic susceptibility to PWD remains unexplained. Larger samples, genome-wide assessments, and examination of rare variation may be necessary to identify additional loci for PWD.

In conclusion, we identified 14 novel loci in common and low-frequency variant analyses and 6 gene regions in a low-frequency variant analysis for PWD. Our findings highlight the shared genetic components of atrial conduction and AF risk, and illustrate the diverse biological pathways affecting atrial conduction and mechanisms leading to AF.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Authors

Lu-Chen Weng, PhD1,2, Amelia Weber Hall, PhD1,2, Seung Hoan Choi, PhD2, Sean J. Jurgens, BSc², Jeffrey Haessler, MS³, Nathan A. Bihlmeyer, PhD⁴, Niels Grarup, MD, PhD⁵, Honghuang Lin, PhD^{6,7}, Alexander Teumer, PhD^{8,9}, Ruifang Li-Gao, PhD¹⁰, Jie Yao, MD, MS¹¹, Xiuqing Guo, PhD^{11,12}, Jennifer A. Brody, BA¹³, Martina Müller-Nurasyid, PhD^{14,15,16}, Katharina Schramm, PhD^{14,15,16}, Niek Verweij, PhD^{17,18}, Marten E. van den Berg, MD, PhD¹⁹, Jessica van Setten, PhD²⁰, Aaron Isaacs, PhD^{21,22}, Julia Ramírez, PhD^{23,24}, Helen R. Warren, PhD^{23,24}, Sandosh Padmanabhan, MD, PhD²⁵, Jan A. Kors, PhD²⁶, Rudolf A. de Boer, MD, PhD¹⁸, Peter van der Meer, MD, PhD¹⁸, Moritz F. Sinner, MD^{15,27}, Melanie Waldenberger, PhD^{27,28,29}, Bruce M. Psaty, MD, PhD^{30,31}, Kent D. Taylor, PhD^{11,12}, Uwe Völker, PhD^{8,32}, Jørgen K. Kanters, MD³³, Man Li, PhD³⁴, Alvaro Alonso, MD, PhD³⁵, Marco V. Perez, MD³⁶, Ilonca Vaartjes, PhD³⁷, Michiel L. Bots, MD, PhD³⁷, Paul L. Huang, MD, PhD¹, Susan R. Heckbert, MD, PhD³⁸, Henry J. Lin, MD^{11,12}, Jelena Kornej, MD, MSc⁶, Patricia B. Munroe, PhD^{23,24}, Cornelia M. van Duijn, PhD^{39,40}, Folkert W. Asselbergs, MD, PhD^{20,41,42}, Bruno H. Stricker, MMed, PhD^{43,44,45}, Pim van der Harst, MD, PhD^{18,46,47}, Stefan Kääb, MD^{15,27}, Annette Peters, PhD^{27,28,48}, Nona Sotoodehnia, MD, MPH¹³, Jerome I. Rotter, MD^{11,49}, Dennis O. Mook-Kanamori, MD, PhD^{10,50}, Marcus Dörr, MD^{8,51}, Stephan B. Felix, MD^{8,51}, Allan Linneberg, MD, PhD^{52,53}, Torben Hansen, MD, PhD⁵, Dan E. Arking, PhD⁴, Charles Kooperberg, PhD³, Emelia J. Benjamin, MD, ScM^{6,54,55}, Kathryn L. Lunetta, PhD^{6,56}, Patrick T. Ellinor, MD, PhD^{1,2,57}, Steven A. Lubitz, MD, MPH^{1,2,57}

Affiliations

¹Cardiovascular Rsrch Ctr, MGH, Boston ²Cardiovascular Disease Initiative, The Broad Inst of MIT & Harvard, Cambridge, MA ³Fred Hutchinson Cancer Rsrch Ctr, Division of Public Health Sciences, Seattle WA ⁴McKusick-Nathans Dept of Genetic Medicine, Johns Hopkins Univ School of Med, Baltimore, MD ⁵Novo Nordisk Foundation Ctr for Basic Metabolic Rsrch, Faculty of Health & Med Sciences, Univ of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark ⁶Boston Univ & NHLBI's Framingham Heart Study, Framingham ⁷Section of Computational Biomedicine, Dept of Med, Boston Univ School of Med, Boston, MA 8DZHK (German Ctr for Cardiovascular Rsrch), partner site Greifswald ⁹Inst for Community Med. Univ Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany ¹⁰Dept of Clinical Epidemiology, Leiden Univ Medical Ctr, the Netherlands ¹¹The Inst for Translational Genomics & Population Sciences at Harbor-UCLA Medical Ctr. Torrance ¹²Dept of Pediatrics, David Geffen School of Med at UCLA, Los Angeles, CA ¹³Cardiovascular Health Rsrch Unit, Dept of Med, Dept of Epidemiology, Univ of Washington ¹⁴Chair of Genetic Epidemiology, IBE, Faculty of Medicine, LMU Munich ¹⁵Dept of Internal Med I (Cardiology), Hospital of the Ludwig-Maximilians-Univ (LMU) Munich, Munich ¹⁶Inst of Genetic Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München - German Rsrch Ctr for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany ¹⁷Genomics plc, Oxford, UK ¹⁸Dept of Cardiology, Univ of Groningen & Univ Medical Ctr, Groningen ¹⁹Dept of Epidemiology, Division of Heart & Lungs, Univ of Utrecht, Univ Medical Ctr Utrecht ²⁰Dept of Cardiology, Division of Heart & Lungs, Univ of Utrecht, Univ Medical Ctr Utrecht ²¹CARIM School for Cardiovascular Diseases, Maastricht Univ, Maastricht, the Netherlands ²²Dept of Physiology, Maastricht Univ, Maastricht, the Netherlands ²³Nat Inst for Health Rsrch, Barts Cardiovascular Biomedical Rsrch Ctr, Barts & The London School of Med & Dentistry, Queen Mary Univ of London, London ²⁴William Harvey Rsrch Inst, Barts & The London School of Med & Dentistry, Queen Mary Univ of London, London 25 Inst of Cardiovascular & Medical Sciences, College of Medical, Veterinary & Life Sciences, Univ of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK ²⁶Dept of Med Informatics, Erasmus Univ Medical Ctr, Rotterdam, the Netherlands ²⁷DZHK (German Ctr for Cardiovascular Rsrch), partner site Munich Heart Alliance, Munich ²⁸Inst of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München - German Rsrch Ctr for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany ²⁹Rsrch unit of Molecular Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München - German Rsrch Ctr for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany ³⁰Cardiovascular Health Rsrch Unit, Depts of Med, Epidemiology & Health Services, Dept of Epidemiology, Univ of Washington ³¹Kaiser Permanente Washington Health Rsrch Inst, Seattle, WA 32Interfaculty Inst for Genetics & Functional Genomics, Univ Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany ³³Lab of Experimental Cardiology, Faculty of Health & Med Sciences, Univ of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark ³⁴Division of Nephrology & Hypertensions, Dept of Internal Med, Univ of Utah School of Med, Salt Lake City, UT 35 Dept of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory Univ, Atlanta, GA 36Stanford Univ, Dept of Med, Palo Alto, CA ³⁷Julius Ctr for Health Sciences & Primary Care, Univ Medical Ctr Utrecht, Utrecht Univ. the Netherlands ³⁸Cardiovascular Health Rsrch Unit. Dept of Epidemiology.

Univ of Washington ³⁹Dept of Epidemiology, Erasmus Univ Medical Ctr, Rotterdam, the Netherlands ⁴⁰Nuffield Dept of Population Health, Medical Sciences Division, St. Cross College, Oxford Univ, Oxford 41Health Data Rsrch UK & Inst of Health Informatics, Faculty of Population Health Sciences, Univ College London, London, UK ⁴²Inst of Cardiovascular Science, Faculty of Population Health Sciences, Univ College London, London, UK ⁴³Dept of Internal Medicine, Division of Heart & Lungs, Univ of Utrecht, Univ Medical Ctr Utrecht ⁴⁴Dept of Med Informatics, Erasmus MC, Medical Ctr Rotterdam, Division of Heart & Lungs, Univ of Utrecht, Univ Medical Ctr Utrecht ⁴⁵Inspectorate of Health Care ⁴⁶Durrer Ctr for Cardiogenetic Rsrch, ICIN-Netherlands Heart Inst, Utrecht, the Netherlands ⁴⁷Dept of Genetics, Univ of Groningen & Univ Medical Ctr, Groningen ⁴⁸German Ctr for Diabetes Rsrch, Neuherberg, Germany ⁴⁹Depts of Pediatrics & Human Genetics, David Geffen School of Med at UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 50 Dept of Public Health & Primary Care, Leiden Univ Medical Ctr, the Netherlands ⁵¹Dept of Internal Med B, Univ Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany 52Ctr for Clinical Rsrch & Prevention, Bispebjerg & Frederiksberg Hospital, Copenhagen, Denamrk ⁵³Dept of Clinical Med, Faculty of Health & Med Sciences, Univ of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark 54Dept of Epidemiology, Boston Univ School of Public Health, Boston, MA 55 Dept of Med, Boston Univ School of Med, Boston, MA ⁵⁶Dept of Biostatistics, Boston Univ School of Public Health, Boston, MA ⁵⁷Cardiac Arrhythmia Service, MGH, Boston

Acknowledgments:

Complete acknowledgements by study are available in the Supplemental materials. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) Project was supported by the Common Fund of the Office of the Director of the National Institutes of Health, and by NCI, NHGRI, NHLBI, NIDA, NIMH, and NINDS. The data used for the analyses described in this manuscript were obtained from the GTEx Portal on 10/05/2018 and 01/25/2020.

Sources of Funding: Dr. Weng is supported by an American Heart Association (AHA) Postdoctoral Fellowship Award (17POST33660226). This work was supported by an AHA Strategically Focused Research Networks (SFRN) postdoctoral fellowship to Drs. Weng and Hall (18SFRN34110082). Funded in part by training grant (National Institute of General Medical Sciences) 5T32GM07814 (Dr. Bihlmeyer) and R01HL116747 (Drs. Arking and Bihlmeyer), and R01 HL111089 (Dr. Arking). This material is based on work supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship under Grant No. DGE-1232825 (Dr. Bihlmeyer). Any opinion, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. Additional support was provided by AHA grant 16EIA26410001 (Dr. Alonso) and National, Heart, Lung and Blood Institute grant K24HL148521 (Dr. Alonso). Dr. Ramírez was supported by Medical Research Council grant MR/N025083/1, by the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under REA grant agreement no. 608765 and from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 786833". Dr. Sotoodehnia is supported by the following grants from the NIH: R01HL141989, HL116747, and R01 HL111089, and by the Laughlin Family Fund. Dr. Kornej was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 838259. Dr. Benjamin is supported by NIH grants HHSN26818HV00006R; 75N92019D00031; R01HL092577; 1R01HL128914; and American Heart Association 18SFRN34110082. Dr. Lunetta is supported by R01 HL092577, AHA 18SFRN34230127, and 18SFRN34150007. Dr. Ellinor is supported by the Fondation Leducq (14CVD01), by grants from the NIH (1RO1HL092577, R01HL128914, K24HL105780), and by a grant from the AHA (18SFRN34110082). Dr. Lubitz is supported by NIH grant 1R01HL139731 and AHA 18SFRN34250007. Additional funding and acknowledgments for each participating study are provided in the supplemental materials.

Disclosures: Dr. Lubitz receives sponsored research support from Bristol Myers Squibb / Pfizer, Bayer AG, and Boehringer Ingelheim, and has consulted for Bristol Myers Squibb / Pfizer and Bayer AG. Dr. Ellinor is supported by a grant from Bayer AG to the Broad Institute focused on the genetics and therapeutics of cardiovascular diseases.

Dr. Ellinor has also served on advisory boards or consulted for Bayer AG, Quest Diagnostics, Novartis and MyoKardia. Dr. Mook-Kanamori is a part-time clinical research consultant for Metabolon, Inc. The UMCG, which employs Dr. de Boer, has received research grants and/or fees from AstraZeneca, Abbott, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, and Roche. Dr. de Boer received personal fees from Abbott, AstraZeneca, MandalMed Inc, Novartis, and Roche. Psaty serves on the Steering Committee of the Yale Open Data Access Project funded by Johnson & Johnson.

Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms

AF atrial fibrillation

cMAC cumulative minor allele count

GWAS genome-wide association studies

LV left ventricle

MAF minor allele frequency

PWD P-wave duration

RAA right atrial appendage

SKAT sequence kernel association test

References:

- Magnani JW, Williamson MA, Ellinor PT, Monahan KM, Benjamin EJ. P wave indices: current status and future directions in epidemiology, clinical, and research applications. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2009;2:72–79. [PubMed: 19808445]
- Nielsen JB, Kuhl JT, Pietersen A, Graff C, Lind B, Struijk JJ, Olesen MS, Sinner MF, Bachmann TN, Haunso S, et al. P-wave duration and the risk of atrial fibrillation: Results from the Copenhagen ECG Study. Heart Rhythm. 2015;12:1887–1895. [PubMed: 25916567]
- 3. Magnani JW, Zhu L, Lopez F, Pencina MJ, Agarwal SK, Soliman EZ, Benjamin EJ, Alonso A. Pwave indices and atrial fibrillation: cross-cohort assessments from the Framingham Heart Study (FHS) and Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. Am Heart J. 2015;169:53–61 e51. [PubMed: 25497248]
- 4. Christophersen IE, Ellinor PT. Genetics of atrial fibrillation: from families to genomes. J Hum Genet. 2016;61:61–70. [PubMed: 25994868]
- Benjamin EJ, Wolf PA, D'Agostino RB, Silbershatz H, Kannel WB, Levy D. Impact of atrial fibrillation on the risk of death: the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation. 1998;98:946–952. [PubMed: 9737513]
- 6. Wolf PA, Abbott RD, Kannel WB. Atrial fibrillation as an independent risk factor for stroke: the Framingham Study. Stroke. 1991;22:983–988. [PubMed: 1866765]
- 7. Wang TJ, Larson MG, Levy D, Vasan RS, Leip EP, Wolf PA, D'Agostino RB, Murabito JM, Kannel WB, Benjamin EJ. Temporal relations of atrial fibrillation and congestive heart failure and their joint influence on mortality: the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation. 2003;107:2920–2925. [PubMed: 12771006]
- 8. Mosley JD, Shoemaker MB, Wells QS, Darbar D, Shaffer CM, Edwards TL, Bastarache L, McCarty CA, Thompson W, Chute CG, et al. Investigating the Genetic Architecture of the PR Interval Using Clinical Phenotypes. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2017;10:e001482.
- Smith JG, Lowe JK, Kovvali S, Maller JB, Salit J, Daly MJ, Stoffel M, Altshuler DM, Friedman JM, Breslow JL, et al. Genome-wide association study of electrocardiographic conduction measures in an isolated founder population: Kosrae. Heart Rhythm. 2009;6:634–641. [PubMed: 19389651]

10. Christophersen IE, Magnani JW, Yin X, Barnard J, Weng LC, Arking DE, Niemeijer MN, Lubitz SA, Avery CL, Duan Q, et al. Fifteen Genetic Loci Associated With the Electrocardiographic P Wave. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2017;10:e001667.


- 11. Verweij N, Mateo Leach I, van den Boogaard M, van Veldhuisen DJ, Christoffels VM, LifeLines Cohort S, Hillege HL, van Gilst WH, Barnett P, de Boer RA, et al. Genetic determinants of P wave duration and PR segment. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2014;7:475–481. [PubMed: 24850809]
- Liu DJ, Peloso GM, Zhan X, Holmen OL, Zawistowski M, Feng S, Nikpay M, Auer PL, Goel A, Zhang H, et al. Meta-analysis of gene-level tests for rare variant association. Nat Genet. 2014;46:200–204. [PubMed: 24336170]
- 13. Machiela MJ, Chanock SJ. LDlink: a web-based application for exploring population-specific haplotype structure and linking correlated alleles of possible functional variants. Bioinformatics. 2015;31:3555–3557. [PubMed: 26139635]
- Aguet F, Brown AA, Castel SE, Davis JR, He Y, Jo B, Mohammadi P, Park Y, Parsana P, Segrè AV, et al. Genetic effects on gene expression across human tissues. Nature. 2017;550:204–213.
 [PubMed: 29022597]
- 15. Lin H, van Setten J, Smith AV, Bihlmeyer NA, Warren HR, Brody JA, Radmanesh F, Hall L, Grarup N, Muller-Nurasyid M, et al. Common and Rare Coding Genetic Variation Underlying the Electrocardiographic PR Interval. Circ Genom Precis Med. 2018;11:e002037.
- Ntalla I, Weng LC, Cartwright JH, Hall AW, Sveinbjornsson G, Tucker NR, Choi SH, Chaffin MD, Roselli C, Barnes MR, et al. Multi-ancestry GWAS of the electrocardiographic PR interval identifies 202 loci underlying cardiac conduction. Nat Commun. 2020;11:2542. [PubMed: 32439900]
- 17. van Setten J, Brody JA, Jamshidi Y, Swenson BR, Butler AM, Campbell H, Del Greco FM, Evans DS, Gibson Q, Gudbjartsson DF, et al. PR interval genome-wide association meta-analysis identifies 50 loci associated with atrial and atrioventricular electrical activity. Nat Commun. 2018;9:2904. [PubMed: 30046033]
- Roselli C, Chaffin MD, Weng LC, Aeschbacher S, Ahlberg G, Albert CM, Almgren P, Alonso A, Anderson CD, Aragam KG, et al. Multi-ethnic genome-wide association study for atrial fibrillation. Nat Genet. 2018;50:1225–1233. [PubMed: 29892015]
- 19. Xi Y, Shen W, Ma L, Zhao M, Zheng J, Bu S, Hino S, Nakao M. HMGA2 promotes adipogenesis by activating C/EBPbeta-mediated expression of PPARgamma. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2016;472:617–623. [PubMed: 26966068]
- Meng F, Lin Y, Yang M, Li M, Yang G, Hao P, Li L. JAZF1 Inhibits Adipose Tissue Macrophages and Adipose Tissue Inflammation in Diet-Induced Diabetic Mice. Biomed Res Int. 2018;2018;4507659.
- 21. Hou X, Zhang Y, Li W, Hu AJ, Luo C, Zhou W, Hu JK, Daniele SG, Wang J, Sheng J, et al. CDK6 inhibits white to beige fat transition by suppressing RUNX1. Nat Commun. 2018;9:1023. [PubMed: 29523786]
- 22. Harrold JA, Widdowson PS, Williams G. beta-MSH: a functional ligand that regulated energy homeostasis via hypothalamic MC4-R? Peptides. 2003;24:397–405. [PubMed: 12732337]
- 23. Brugger F, Wicki U, Nassenstein-Elton D, Fagg GE, Olpe HR, Pozza MF. Modulation of the NMDA receptor by D-serine in the cortex and the spinal cord, in vitro. Eur J Pharmacol. 1990;191:29–38. [PubMed: 2151187]
- 24. Tao Y, Zhang M, Li L, Bai Y, Zhou Y, Moon AM, Kaminski HJ, Martin JF. Pitx2, an atrial fibrillation predisposition gene, directly regulates ion transport and intercalated disc genes. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2014;7:23–32. [PubMed: 24395921]
- 25. Nadadur RD, Broman MT, Boukens B, Mazurek SR, Yang X, van den Boogaard M, Bekeny J, Gadek M, Ward T, Zhang M, et al. Pitx2 modulates a Tbx5-dependent gene regulatory network to maintain atrial rhythm. Sci Transl Med. 2016;8:354ra115.
- 26. Lighthouse JK, Small EM. Transcriptional control of cardiac fibroblast plasticity. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2016;91:52–60. [PubMed: 26721596]
- 27. Monzen K, Ito Y, Naito AT, Kasai H, Hiroi Y, Hayashi D, Shiojima I, Yamazaki T, Miyazono K, Asashima M, et al. A crucial role of a high mobility group protein HMGA2 in cardiogenesis. Nat Cell Biol. 2008;10:567–574. [PubMed: 18425117]

28. Wu QQ, Xiao Y, Liu C, Duan M, Cai Z, Xie S, Yuan Y, Wu H, Deng W, Tang Q. The protective effect of high mobility group protein HMGA2 in pressure overload-induced cardiac remodeling. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2019;128:160–178. [PubMed: 30711544]

- Shiraishi S, Zhou C, Aoki T, Sato N, Chiba T, Tanaka K, Yoshida S, Nabeshima Y, Nabeshima Y, Tamura TA. TBP-interacting protein 120B (TIP120B)/cullin-associated and neddylation-dissociated 2 (CAND2) inhibits SCF-dependent ubiquitination of myogenin and accelerates myogenic differentiation. J Biol Chem. 2007;282:9017–9028. [PubMed: 17242400]
- 30. Choi SH, Weng LC, Roselli C, Lin H, Haggerty CM, Shoemaker MB, Barnard J, Arking DE, Chasman DI, Albert CM, et al. Association Between Titin Loss-of-Function Variants and Early-Onset Atrial Fibrillation. JAMA. 2018;320:2354–2364. [PubMed: 30535219]
- 31. Herman DS, Lam L, Taylor MR, Wang L, Teekakirikul P, Christodoulou D, Conner L, DePalma SR, McDonough B, Sparks E, et al. Truncations of titin causing dilated cardiomyopathy. N Engl J Med. 2012;366:619–628. [PubMed: 22335739]
- 32. van Eldik W, den Adel B, Monshouwer-Kloots J, Salvatori D, Maas S, van der Made I, Creemers EE, Frank D, Frey N, Boontje N, et al. Z-disc protein CHAPb induces cardiomyopathy and contractile dysfunction in the postnatal heart. PLoS One. 2017;12:e0189139.
- 33. Lefebvre V The SoxD transcription factors--Sox5, Sox6, and Sox13--are key cell fate modulators. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2010;42:429–432. [PubMed: 19647094]
- 34. Li A, Ahsen OO, Liu JJ, Du C, McKee ML, Yang Y, Wasco W, Newton-Cheh CH, O'Donnell CJ, Fujimoto JG, et al. Silencing of the Drosophila ortholog of SOX5 in heart leads to cardiac dysfunction as detected by optical coherence tomography. Hum Mol Genet. 2013;22:3798–3806. [PubMed: 23696452]
- Carniel E, Taylor MR, Sinagra G, Di Lenarda A, Ku L, Fain PR, Boucek MM, Cavanaugh J, Miocic S, Slavov D, et al. Alpha-myosin heavy chain: a sarcomeric gene associated with dilated and hypertrophic phenotypes of cardiomyopathy. Circulation. 2005;112:54–59. [PubMed: 15998695]
- 36. Holm H, Gudbjartsson DF, Sulem P, Masson G, Helgadottir HT, Zanon C, Magnusson OT, Helgason A, Saemundsdottir J, Gylfason A, et al. A rare variant in MYH6 is associated with high risk of sick sinus syndrome. Nat Genet. 2011;43:316–320. [PubMed: 21378987]
- 37. Granados-Riveron JT, Ghosh TK, Pope M, Bu'Lock F, Thornborough C, Eason J, Kirk EP, Fatkin D, Feneley MP, Harvey RP, et al. Alpha-cardiac myosin heavy chain (MYH6) mutations affecting myofibril formation are associated with congenital heart defects. Hum Mol Genet. 2010;19:4007–4016. [PubMed: 20656787]
- 38. Li N, Csepe TA, Hansen BJ, Dobrzynski H, Higgins RS, Kilic A, Mohler PJ, Janssen PM, Rosen MR, Biesiadecki BJ, et al. Molecular Mapping of Sinoatrial Node HCN Channel Expression in the Human Heart. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2015;8:1219–1227. [PubMed: 26304511]
- 39. Yang T, Atack TC, Stroud DM, Zhang W, Hall L, Roden DM. Blocking Scn10a channels in heart reduces late sodium current and is antiarrhythmic. Circ Res. 2012;111:322–332. [PubMed: 22723299]
- 40. Barcellos KS, Bigarella CL, Wagner MV, Vieira KP, Lazarini M, Langford PR, Machado-Neto JA, Call SG, Staley DM, Chung JY, et al. ARHGAP21 protein, a new partner of alpha-tubulin involved in cell-cell adhesion formation and essential for epithelial-mesenchymal transition. J Biol Chem. 2013;288:2179–2189. [PubMed: 23235160]
- 41. Fischer-Keso R, Breuninger S, Hofmann S, Henn M, Rohrig T, Strobel P, Stoecklin G, Hofmann I. Plakophilins 1 and 3 bind to FXR1 and thereby influence the mRNA stability of desmosomal proteins. Mol Cell Biol. 2014;34:4244–4256. [PubMed: 25225333]
- 42. Yi SL, Liu XJ, Zhong JQ, Zhang Y. Role of caveolin-1 in atrial fibrillation as an anti-fibrotic signaling molecule in human atrial fibroblasts. PLoS One. 2014;9:e85144.
- 43. Thorolfsdottir RB, Sveinbjornsson G, Sulem P, Nielsen JB, Jonsson S, Halldorsson GH, Melsted P, Ivarsdottir EV, Davidsson OB, Kristjansson RP, et al. Coding variants in RPL3L and MYZAP increase risk of atrial fibrillation. Commun Biol. 2018;1:68. [PubMed: 30271950]
- 44. Gonna H, Gallagher MM, Guo XH, Yap YG, Hnatkova K, Camm AJ. P-wave abnormality predicts recurrence of atrial fibrillation after electrical cardioversion: a prospective study. Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol. 2014;19:57–62. [PubMed: 24320565]

45. Caldwell J, Koppikar S, Barake W, Redfearn D, Michael K, Simpson C, Hopman W, Baranchuk A. Prolonged P-wave duration is associated with atrial fibrillation recurrence after successful pulmonary vein isolation for paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. J Interv Card Electrophysiol. 2014;39:131–138. [PubMed: 24306110]

46. He J, Tse G, Korantzopoulos P, Letsas KP, Ali-Hasan-Al-Saegh S, Kamel H, Li G, Lip GYH, Liu T. P-Wave Indices and Risk of Ischemic Stroke: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Stroke. 2017;48:2066–2072. [PubMed: 28679858]



-log₁₀ P-value for P-wave duration residuals

Figure 1.P-wave duration loci and atrial fibrillation risk. The x-axis represents the association between the top P-wave duration (PWD) loci and PWD in -log₁₀ scale. The y-axis represents the association *P*-value between the top PWD loci and atrial fibrillation (AF) risk (-log₁₀ scale). Variants above y=0 refer to loci associated with longer PWD and higher AF risk (colored in yellow). Variants below y=0 refer to loci associated with longer PWD but lower AF risk (colored in blue). Displayed results are from the multi-ethnic meta-analysis of PWD residuals. Associations with AF were derived from a recent AF GWAS. ¹⁸ Dashed lines show

the significance threshold for the current exome-wide analysis (vertical; P-value<1.9×10⁻⁶) and for prior genome-wide analyses of AF (horizontal; P-value<5×10⁻⁸). The dotted line represents the significance cutoff after Bonferroni correction (horizontal; P-value<2.4×10⁻³=0.05/21 PWD loci).




Figure 2: Identified P-wave duration associated genes highlight multiple biological pathways for atrial fibrillation risk. Gene with *increasing* risk of AF coupled with prolonged PWD are listed at the right. Gene with *decreasing* risk of AF coupled with prolonged PWD are listed at the left. Each gene is accompanied by a diagram representing the biological function of the gene, indicating how the gene may affect PWD.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1.

Study participant characteristics*

Study	Ancestry	Z	Age, years, mean±SD	Sex, women, %	P-wave duration, milliseconds, mean±SD	RR interval, milliseconds, mean±SD
ARIC	European	8861	53.9±5.7	54.1	106.0±11.8	920.5±133.8
	African	2922	53.3±5.8	62.2	111.5±11.9	924.2±148.6
BRIGHT	European	195	60.5 ± 8.9	57.4	121.1 ± 19.4	976.1±186.0
CAMP	European	1887	59.9 ± 10.4	37.4	106.0 ± 15.8	936.8±171.3
CHS	European	2648	72.3±5.4	60.7	109.9 ± 13.0	950.0 ± 145.8
	African	445	72.6±5.6	64.5	112.2±13.1	912.8±156.4
ERF	European	514	49.0±14.3	54.1	111.2±12.4	963.4 ± 152.9
FHS	European	2677	47.2±13.3	55.0	105.0 ± 12.0	973.7±155.9
INTER99	European	5872	46.2±7.9	51.6	104.3 ± 12.5	920.4 ± 150.5
KORA	European	2435	47.1±12.8	51.9	108.0 ± 11.1	939.7±147.7
LIFELINES	European	1914	45.2 ± 13.0	59.8	112.1 ± 12.4	897.3±144.5
UHP	European	1657	38.5±12.5	55.8	109.1 ± 14.6	956.5 ± 152.4
MESA	European	2083	61.8 ± 10.1	51.8	104.4 ± 12.9	1054.5 ± 158.9
	African	1131	61.3 ± 10.3	52.9	107.9 ± 12.3	1054.4 ± 170.2
	Hispanic	1186	60.6 ± 10.3	50.1	105.2 ± 12.0	1061.0 ± 154.5
	Asian	630	61.3 ± 10.3	50.2	101.7±11.7	1059.0 ± 140.3
NEO	European	5119	55.6 ± 6.0	51.9	114.2±13.9	933.8 ± 150.5
RS	European	1740	69.5 ± 8.4	51.4	120.1 ± 12.4	859.8 ± 140.6
SHIP-0	European	2653	46.5 ± 15.4	51.8	109.5 ± 11.2	853.6±147.8
SHIP-Trend	European	2922	47.9 ± 14.6	52.5	113.1±11.9	911.3 ± 134.5
WHI	European	10766	65.8 ± 6.6	100	107.2 ± 11.9	914.3±134.2
	African	1183	64.3±6.5	100	110.6±11.5	920.2 ± 143.7

I sample size

Weng et al.

Table 2.

Top exome-wide significant variants for P-wave duration in multi-ethnic meta-analysis *

										Residuals	s		Inve	rse norr	Inverse normal transformed residuals	rmed res	iduals
Locus	Closest gene	Location	rsID	EA	Function	Z	EAF	Beta	SE	Ь	h ² (%)	$\Gamma^2(\%)$	Beta	SE	Ь	h ² (%)	I ² (%)
Novel loci	ci																
_	PKPI	1q32.1	rs1626370	A	missense	64431	0.2	0.39	0.08	2×10^{-6}	0.04	2	0.03	0.01	2×10^{-6}	0.04	0
2	TTN^{\dagger}	2q31.2	rs2042995	C	intron	64410	0.3	0.41	0.08	4×10^{-7}	0.04	∞	0.03	0.01	5×10^{-7}	0.04	12
3	$DLECI^{\ddagger}$	3p22.2	rs116202356	Ŋ	missense	64331	0.98	1.72	0.27	2×10^{-10}	90.0	20	0.14	0.02	$2\!\!\times\!\!10^{-10}$	90.0	19
4	PITX2	4q25	rs17042171	C	intergenic	64399	6.0	0.64	0.10	8×10^{-11}	0.07	45	90.0	0.01	2×10^{-11}	0.07	50
S	ARHGAP10	4q31.23	rs6845865	C	intron	64437	0.2	0.54	0.09	2×10^{-10}	0.00	0	0.05	0.01	9×10^{-11}	0.07	0
9	TCF21/TARID	6q23.2	rs2327429	C	upstream	64434	0.3	0.39	0.07	2×10^{-7}	0.04	13	0.03	0.01	1×10^{-7}	0.04	6
7	JAZFI	7p15.1	rs864745	C	intron	64388	0.5	0.32	0.07	2×10^{-6}	0.04	0	0.03	0.01	1×10 ⁻⁶	0.04	0
8	CDK6	7q21.2	rs2282978	C	intron	64424	0.4	0.39	0.07	$2\!\!\times\!\!10^{-8}$	0.05	0	0.03	0.01	5×10^{-8}	0.05	9
6	SYNPO2L	10q22.2	rs3812629	A	missense	64423	0.2	0.47	0.09	4×10^{-7}	0.04	0	0.04	0.01	7×10^{-7}	0.04	0
10	SOX5	12p12.1	rs17287293	A	intergenic	64429	6.0	0.49	0.10	3×10^{-7}	0.04	0	0.04	0.01	3×10^{-7}	0.04	0
11	HMGA2	12q14.3	rs8756	C	3'-UTR	64418	0.5	0.33	0.07	7×10^{-7}	0.04	0	0.03	0.01	5×10^{-7}	0.04	0
12	$RPL3L^{\sharp}$	16p13.3	rs113956264	C	missense	64403	0.97	0.99	0.20	1×10^{-6}	0.04	0	0.08	0.02	4×10^{-6}	0.03	10
13	GOSR2	17q21.32	rs17608766	C	intron	64435	0.1	08.0	0.10	9×10^{-15}	0.09	0	0.07	0.01	$1\!\!\times\!\!10^{-15}$	0.10	0
14	MC4R	18q21.32	rs12970134	A	intergenic	64430	0.3	0.38	0.08	$1\!\!\times\!\!10^{-6}$	0.04	0	0.03	0.01	7×10^{-6}	0.03	0
Previous	Previously reported loci																
7	CAND2	3p25.2	rs11718898	H	missense	52472	0.3	0.39	0.08	9×10^{-7}	0.05	0	0.03	0.01	8×10^{-7}	0.05	0
3	CAND2	3p25.2	rs3732675	H	missense	64395	0.4	0.34	0.07	$1{\times}10^{-6}$	0.04	0	0.03	0.01	3×10^{-7}	0.04	0
16	SCN10A	3p22.2	rs6800541	C	intron	64423	0.4	1.18	0.07	4×10^{-63}	0.44	51	0.10	0.01	2×10^{-65}	0.45	45
17	HCNI	5p12	rs6892594	L	intron	64427	0.4	0.43	0.07	2×10^{-10}	90.0	0	0.04	0.01	$3\!\!\times\!\!10^{-10}$	90.0	0
18	CAVI	7q31.2	rs3807989	A	intron	64430	0.4	0.47	0.07	2×10^{-12}	0.08	0	0.04	0.01	$8{\times}10^{-13}$	0.08	0
19	FADSI	11q12.2	rs174546	C	3'-UTR	64430	0.7	0.50	0.07	$2\!\!\times\!\!10^{-11}$	0.07	6	0.04	0.01	6×10^{-12}	0.07	6
20	TBX5	12q24.21	rs883079	C	3'-UTR	64435	0.3	0.80	0.07	9×10^{-28}	0.19	17	0.07	0.01	6×10^{-29}	0.19	11
21	MYH6	14q11.2	rs452036	A	intron	64422	0.4	0.68	0.07	8×10^{-23}	0.15	0	0.06	0.01	1×10^{-23}	0.16	0

Page 17

EA: effect allele, N: sample size, EAF: effect allele frequency, Beta: the changes of (inverse normal transformed) P-wave duration residuals per 1 effect allele increment, SE: standard error, h²: SNP heritability estimate. P-values in bold are at exome-wide significance.

 $^{\prime}L$ ocus with minor allele frequency <5% is also identified from gene-based analysis

 ${}^{\not L}\!_{\rm Locus}$ with minor allele frequency <5% identified from gene-based analysis

Author Manuscript

Weng et al.

Top gene in low frequency variant gene-based analyses of P-wave duration stratified by ancestral group.

Table 3.

			Multi-ethnic	hnic			European	san			African	u
			Residuals	Inverse normal transformed residuals			Residuals	Inverse normal transformed residuals			Residuals	Inverse normal transformed residuals
Gene	Var#	Var# cMAC	$oldsymbol{p}^{\dagger}$	P	Var#	Var# cMAC	P	P	Var#	Var# cMAC	P	P
SKAT												
TTN	775	276986	5×10^{-27}	5×10^{-26}	704	215801	5×10^{-27}	1×10^{-26}	536	23041	0.59	0.71
DLECI	57	10419	$2\!\!\times\!\!10^{-13}$	2×10^{-13}	55	6937	$2{\times}10^{-12}$	3×10^{-12}	39	2568	0.70	0.73
TTC21A	37	12207	1×10^{-5}	5×10^{-6}	32	10900	4×10^{-6}	1×10^{-6}	28	1250	0.98	86.0
SCN10A	61	16550	7×10^{-8}	$9{ imes}10^{-9}$	47	12804	2×10^{-7}	4×10^{-8}	34	524	0.84	0.81
RPL3L	26	8510	1×10^{-6}	4×10^{-6}	25	6742	2×10^{-6}	1×10^{-5}	18	265	0.33	0.21
Burden												
TTN	775	276986	1×10^{-14}	8×10^{-14}	704	215801	$1{\times}10^{-20}$	$4{\times}10^{-18}$	536	23041	0.26	0.27
MUC5B	89	36414	7×10 ⁻⁶	1×10 ⁻⁵	63	25110	3×10 ⁻⁶	6×10 ⁻⁶	58	2846	0.59	0.56

Var#: number of variants included in the gene set, cMAC: cumulative minor allele count.

P-values in bold exceed the exome-wide significance threshold (P-value <3.0×10⁻⁶, 3.1×10⁻⁶, and 3.5×10⁻⁶ for individuals of multi-ethnic, European, and African ancestries, respectively).

Page 19