## UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

### Title

The End-Stage Renal Disease Adherence Questionnaire (ESRD-AQ): testing the psychometric properties in patients receiving in-center hemodialysis.

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0sf9v9gk

**Journal** Nephrology Nursing Journal, 37(4)

**ISSN** 1526-744X

### **Authors**

Kim, Youngmee Evangelista, Lorraine S Phillips, Linda R <u>et al.</u>

### **Publication Date**

2010

### **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>

Peer reviewed



# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Nephrol Nurs J. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 April 14.

Published in final edited form as: *Nephrol Nurs J.* 2010 ; 37(4): 377–393.

## The End-Stage Renal Disease Adherence Questionnaire (ESRD-AQ): Testing The Psychometric Properties in Patients Receiving In-Center Hemodialysis

Youngmee Kim, PhD, RN, FNP-C[Nurse Practitioner/Post-Doctoral Fellow], Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA

Lorraine S. Evangelista, PhD, RN[Associate Professor], UCLA School of Nursing, Los Angeles, CA

Linda R. Phillips, PhD, RN, FAAN[Professor], UCLA School of Nursing, Los Angeles, CA

**Carol Pavlish, PhD, RN[Assistant Professor]**, and UCLA School of Nursing, Los Angeles, CA

### Joel D. Kopple, MD[Professor]

David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA and UCLA School of Public Health, and a Nephrologist, Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Torrance, CA

Youngmee Kim: youngkim234@gmail.com

### Abstract

Reported treatment adherence rates of patients with end stage renal disease (ESRD) have been extremely varied due to lack of reliable and valid measurement tools. This study was conducted to develop and test an instrument to measure treatment adherence to hemodialysis (HD) attendance, medications, fluid restrictions, and diet prescription among patients with ESRD. This article describes the methodological approach used to develop and test the psychometric properties (such as reliability and validity) of the 46-item ESRD-Adherence Questionnaire (ESRD-AQ) in a cohort of patients receiving maintenance HD at dialysis centers in Los Angeles County. The ESRD-AQ is the first self-report instrument to address all components of adherence behaviors of patients with ESRD. The findings support that the instrument is reliable and valid, and is easy to administer. Future studies are needed in a larger sample to determine whether additional modifications are needed.

This study was conducted to develop and psychometrically test an instrument designed to measure adherence behaviors of patients with end stage renal disease (ESRD) receiving maintenance hemodialysis (HD) at dialysis centers in Los Angeles County. Maintenance HD is the most common renal replacement therapy (RRT) for the many individuals who suffer from ESRD (United States Renal Data System [USRDS], 2009). When RRT is initiated, patients' lives change completely. Patients requiring in-center HD must regularly travel to a dialysis center, consistently take prescribed medications, and extensively modify their diets. Successful treatment of ESRD depends largely on patients adhering to their very demanding

Correspondence to: Youngmee Kim, youngkim234@gmail.com.

Youngmee Kim is a member of ANNA's Los Angeles Chapter and currently works as an Associate Professor, Red Cross College of Nursing, Seoul, Korea.

recommended treatment regimens. Despite the importance of adherence, which is defined as following medical or health advice (Denhaerynck et al., 2007), many patients with ESRD who are on HD fail to adhere to their recommended treatment regimens. Reports concerning adherence vary widely, and the non-adherence rates to attendance at HD, prescribed medications, fluid restrictions, and dietary intake range from 0% to 32.3%, 1.2% to 81%, 3.4% to 74%, and 1.2% to 82.4%, respectively (Bame, Petersen, & Wray, 1993; Bleyer et al., 1999; Block, Hulbert-Shearon, Levin, & Port, 1998; Durose, Holdsworth, Watson, & Przygrodzka, 2004; Hecking, Bragg-Gresham, Rayner, Lützén, & Clyne, 2004; Kutner, Zhang, McClellan, & Cole, 2002; Lee & Molassiotis, 2002; Leggat et al., 1998; Lin & Liang, 1997; Sherman, Cody, Matera, Rogers, & Solanchick, 1994). Unfortunately, non-adherence to these four behaviors can have disastrous results in terms of quality of life, increased morbidity, healthcare costs, and mortality (Block et al., 2004; Leggat et al., 1998; Saran et al., 2003; Sezer et al., 2002; Szczech et al., 2003).

Adherence to treatment regimens in patients with ESRD is measured by a variety of methods, with no one method being superior (Denhaerynck et al., 2007; Loghman-Adham, 2003; Morgan, 2000). Clinical measures used to evaluate treatment non-adherence in patients with ESRD on maintenance HD include biological measures, such as interdialytic weight gain (IDWG) calculated as the difference between the patient's weight obtained at the onset of a dialysis treatment and the weight obtained at the end of the previous dialysis (Bame et al., 1993; Christensen, Benotsch, Wiebe, & Lawton, 1995; Leggat et al., 1998); and biochemical markers, such as pre-HD serum potassium or phosphorous levels (Bame et al., 1993; Betts & Crotty, 1998; Cummings, Becker, Kirscht, & Levin, 1982; Durose et al., 2004; Hecking et al., 2004; Kutner et al., 2002; Lee & Molassiotis, 2002; Leggat et al., 1998; Lin & Liang, 1997; Weed-Collins & Hogan, 1989). In general, biological and biochemical markers can be regarded as objective measures; however, the lack of a universally accepted cutoff value for each marker raises the question of whether these measures are reliable tools to assess nonadherence rates in the ESRD population. These measures have been used not only to assess treatment adherence but to evaluate clinical outcomes in the ESRD population. However, these biological and biochemical markers may be more effective or reliable measures of clinical outcomes and may not necessarily be adequate for measuring non-adherence (Bame et al., 1993; Bleyer et al., 1999; Block et al., 1998; Christensen et al., 1995; Durose et al., 2004; Hecking et al., 2004; Kutner et al., 2002; Lee & Molassiotis, 2002; Leggat et al., 1998; Lin & Liang, 1997; Sherman et al., 1994).

Direct questioning of patients is frequently used to measure adherence; however, few adherence scales have been developed and tested for use with patients with ESRD (Coyne et al., 1995; Gordon, Leon, & Sehgal, 2003; Lee & Molassiotis, 2002; Lin & Liang, 1997). Self-report instruments, such as questionnaires, are valuable for measuring adherence if they are well validated and reliable. Further, they might be the most cost-effective measures. However, the wide variations in the reported non-adherence rates are mainly due to lack of reliable measurement tools that address the four classical components of treatment adherence behavior of patients with ESRD on maintenance HD: attending HD sessions, taking prescribed medications, and following prescribed fluid restrictions and dietary intake. One of the few instruments with established validity and reliability to evaluate treatment adherence in ESRD population is the Dialysis Diet and Fluid Non-Adherence Questionnaire (DDFQ) (Vlaminck, Maes, Jacobs, Reyntjen, & Evers, 2001). The DDFQ consists of four questions that assess frequency and degree of adherence to fluid restriction and to dietary guidelines for the past 14 days. However, because the DDFQ does not address HD attendance and medication use, measurement of adherence is limited (Vlaminck et al., 2001). Additionally, some concerns may surface because of the DDFQ's over-simplified design. In addition, the DDFQ has never been tested with American populations.

Therefore, a valid and reliable instrument is needed to determine the degree of adherence to

treatment and to identify non-adherent patients who would benefit from interventions to prevent adverse events. This study was conducted to develop and test the reliability and validity of a self-report instrument developed to assess treatment adherence behaviors of patients with ESRD receiving maintenance HD.

### Methods

### Item Generation and Scoring System

The End-Stage Renal Disease-Adherence Questionnaire (ESRD-AQ) for patients requiring in-center HD was designed to measure treatment adherence behaviors in four dimensions: HD attendance, medication use, fluid restrictions, and diet recommendations. Items were initially generated based on in-depth literature reviews and in consultation with clinical experts, such as nephrologists and nephrology researchers, HD nurses, and renal dieticians. The final version of the ESRD-AQ consists of 46 questions/items divided into five sections (see Table 1). The first section pursues general information about patients' ESRD and RRT-related history (5 items), and the remaining four sections ask about treatment adherence to HD treatment (14 items), medications (9 items), fluid restrictions (10 items), and diet recommendations (8 items). These four final sections directly measure adherence behaviors (14, 17, 18, 26, 31, and 46), and patients' knowledge and perceptions about treatment (11, 12, 22, 23, 32, 33, 41, and 42). Responses to the ESRD-AQ utilize a combination of Likert scales and multiple choice, as well as "yes/no" answer format.

The adherence behavior subscale is scored by summing the responses to questions 14, 17, 18, 26, and 46. The weighting system for scores was determined based on the degree of importance relevant to clinical outcome of each dimension. For example, missing or shortening HD has been reported to have a stronger association with mortality of patients with ESRD than other components of adherence behavior; therefore, it was given more weight in computing the adherence scores (Leggat et al., 1998; Saran et al., 2003). In addition, the ESRD-AQ adjusts scores for question numbers 14 ("During the last month, how many complete dialysis treatments did you miss?"), 18 ("During the last month, when your dialysis treatment was shortened, what was the average numbers of minutes?"), and 26 ("During the past week, how often have you missed your prescribed medicines?"), depending on the reasons for not adhering. For example, patients with medical reasons for missing or shortening the HD treatment (such as having HD access problems or physical symptoms during HD) obtained a full score (see Table 2).

The attitude/perception subscale is scored by summing the responses to questions 11, 12, 22, 23, 32, 33, 41, and 42. The remaining questions obtain information about patients' ESRD and RRT related history. The ESRD-AQ is designed such that higher scores indicate better adherence.

### Validating the Instrument: Use Of Content and Face Validities

Seven experts (two nephrologists, a nurse practitioner, two HD nurses, and two renal dieticians) with extensive clinical and research experience in the care for patients with ESRD on maintenance HD were invited to assess content validity of the ESRD-AQ. To ensure that the instrument had an appropriate sample of items to represent the construct of interest, experts were asked to review the content relevance of questions, appropriate use of language, domain coverage of adherence, and the scoring system (Polit, Beck, & Owen, 2007). Further modifications to the ESRD-AQ were made based on input from the seven experts. Finally, they were asked to rate each item using a 4-point scale (1 = not relevant; 2 = somewhat relevant; 3 = quite relevant; 4 = highly relevant).

Five patients from the target population were then asked to complete the questionnaire and provide feedback on whether each item was relevant and appropriately written. These patients were asked to evaluate each item on whether potential participants would be able to respond to questions about their adherence behaviors (face validity). The investigator modified the ESRD-AQ based on input from the patients. A final version of the modified instrument was given to the five patients who subsequently agreed that the final instrument was appropriate to address their adherence behaviors.

### **Data Collection**

Potential study participants were recruited through the use of flyers posted at eight chronic outpatient dialysis centers in Los Angeles between August 2008 and January 2009 as approved by each center's Institutional Review Board. Patient eligibility was determined by the researcher after the participants signed the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) consent form. The inclusion criteria were 1) diagnosed with ESRD and treated with HD for at least three months; 2) received HD for three to four hours per session, three times per week; 3) at least 18 years old (which is the age of consent in California); 4) is independent and performs self-care activities (such as ability to walk and eat without assistance); 5) lived in a home setting; and 6) able to give informed consent. Patients on peritoneal dialysis were excluded. Individuals who agreed to participate and met the eligibility criteria signed the informed consent and completed the questionnaire. The ESRD-AQ is a paper-and-pencil instrument. Completion of the instrument took approximately 20 to 40 minutes. Fifty-eight (58) patients who consented to participate completed the questionnaire.

### **Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS (Version 15, SPSS, Inc. Chicago, IL). Test-retest reliability was evaluated using intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) between frequencies of responses endorsed at time 1 and time 2 (with a two-day gap between the two time points) in a random sample of 10% of the patients (n = 6) (Yen & Lo, 2002).

The content validity of the ESRD-AQ was assessed by calculating the content validity index (CVI) (Lynn, 1986; Polit & Beck, 2006b; Polit, Beck, & Owen, 2007; Schilling et al., 2007) for each item (total 46 items) based on the expert panel's ratings of item relevance. The CVI was used to obtain item-level content validity, which was computed as the number of experts assigning a rating of 3 (quite relevant) or 4 (highly relevant) among total number of experts. Then, the average item-level CVI (I-CVI) was obtained. Experts proposed that an I-CVI of 1.00 is ideal when there are five or fewer experts, while an I-CVI of 0.83 or higher is recommended when there are more than five experts. However, an I-CVI greater than 0.78 would be acceptable overall (Lynn, 1986; Polit & Beck, 2006b; Polit et al., 2007; Schilling et al., 2007).

Construct validity was assessed by employing a known group analysis comparing adherers and non-adherers (Polit & Beck, 2004, 2006a). Two nurses working in in-center HD and one renal dietician at each dialysis center rated each patient's adherence behaviors based on dialysis attendance, IDWGs, and serum potassium and phosphorous levels over the preceding one month. Patients were considered non-adherent if they skipped or shortened their HD treatment more than once-monthly (for nonadherence to HD), if serum phosphorus was higher than 7.5 mg/dL (for non- adherence to medication and diet), if IDWGs were greater than 5.7% higher than the previous weight more than once- weekly (for nonadherence to fluid restrictions), and/or if serum potassium was higher than 6.0 mmol/L (for non-adherence to diet restrictions) on monthly laboratory results (Leggat et al., 1998; Lòpez-Gòmez, Villaverde, Jofre, Rodriguez-Benítez, & Pérez-García, 2005; Saran et al., 2003). These criteria were used separately to distinguish between adherer and non-adherer in each of the four dimensions of adherence behavior to assess construct validity of ESRD-AQ. Non-parametric *t*-tests (Mann-Whitney U) were utilized to compare mean scores between the two groups (known adherent group vs. known non-adherent group) (Creedy et al., 2003; Klem, Sybrandy, Wittens, & Bot, 2008; Wan et al., 2008).

### Results

Fifty-eight (58) patients completed the instrument; they had a mean age of  $47.64 \pm 15.11$  (standard deviation [SD]; age range = 21 to 83 years) and an average HD vintage of  $56.01 \pm 60.94$  months (vintage range = 3 to 281 months) since initiation of maintenance HD. The primary causes of kidney failure included diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and others (such as glomerulonephritis, congenital anomalies, and polycystic kidney disease). The sociodemographic details of study participants as determined by two nurses working in incenter HD and one renal dietician according to adherence to HD attendance, medications, fluid restrictions, and diet restrictions are summarized in Table 3.

### Validity

The item-level content validities for the 46 items ranged between 0.86 and 1.00, which resulted in the average of I-CVI of 0.99 (see Table 4). The fairly high level of CVI for each item implies that the content for the construct is adequately represented by the items.

Using the Mann-Whitney U test, the mean scores from the questions directly measuring adherence behaviors on four different areas of treatment adherence were compared between adherers and non-adherers. This comparison indicated that the ESRD-AQ clearly distinguished adherers and non-adherers (see Table 5). However, there were no differences in mean scores on the questions asking about perceptions and understanding levels of patients on four different areas of treatment adherence between adherers and non-adherers (see Table 6).

### Reliability

Internal consistency reliability (Cronbach's alpha) was omitted since the instrument's design does not possess homogeneous items to address internal consistency reliability. As shown in Table 5, strong test-retest stability existed across all items of the ESRD-AQ, with ICCs ranging from 0.83 to 1.00. Phi correlations indicated that self-reported adherence behaviors and perceptions were consistent across the two administrations of the ESRD-AQ (see Table 6).

### Discussion

The ESRD-AQ is a brief instrument that captures important aspects of patients' treatment history: self-reported treatment adherence (such as HD attendance, medications, fluid restrictions, and diet recommendations); perceptions related to adherence behaviors; and reasons for nonadherence. Results of this initial investigation of its psychometric properties suggest that the ESRD-AQ is a valid and reliable tool. A panel of expert clinicians and patients confirmed content and face validity of the tool. In addition, all scale scores were able to discriminate clearly between adherent and non-adherent patients, indicating that the instrument is a valid measure of adherence behaviors. Likewise, the ESRD-AQ showed strong test-retest reliability, suggesting it can be used reliably over time.

Content validity of the ESRD-AQ was evaluated by an expert panel. There is no universally accepted standard indicator of content validity. However, calculating CVI is one of the most

popular ways to evaluate content validity (Polit et al., 2007). Data from the current study showed that the ESRD-AQ demonstrated excellent content validity. Face validity of ESRD-AQ was also assessed. Although face validity is less important than content, criterion, and construct validities, face validity is often useful for new instruments (Polit & Beck, 2004). Construct validity of ESRD-AQ was examined by adopting a known group analysis. Given the lack of a well-established and standardized measurement tool for comparison, the known group analysis was considered to be an appropriate approach. The questions which specifically assessed patients' adherence behaviors were able to distinguish between adherers and nonadherers, which provide support for the content validity of ESRD-AQ. Intriguingly, the questions that inquired about patients' knowledge and perceptions about adherence behaviors did not distinguish between the two groups. This can be explained, in part, by the fact that patient grouping was conducted based on behavior and not based on perceptions or levels of understanding. Group determination was decided by HD nurses and a renal dietician at each dialysis center based on a combination of dialysis attendance, biochemical, and biological markers.

The minor drawback of construct validity of the ESRD-AQ evaluated by a known group analysis was the unequal sizes of two groups (adherent vs. non-adherent group) for some items. However, using non-parametric tests to compare the two groups minimized the potential effects of the data on construct validity. Previous studies showed that skipping or shortening dialysis sessions was directly related to increased mortality risk. For example, Leggat and colleagues (1998) reported that skipping one or more HD sessions or shortening sessions more than three times per month (more than 10 minutes each session) was associated with an increase in mortality of 25% and 20%, respectively. In addition, the Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS), a prospective, international, and observational study conducted among 14,930 ESRD patients, showed that skipping one or more dialysis sessions a month was associated with increase in relative mortality risk of 1.30 (Saran et al., 2003). Therefore, the score of adherence to HD was given more weight on the overall adherence score.

To verify the reliability of the ESRD-AQ, the test-retest reliability method was employed. The target patients took part in the survey twice with a two-day interval between the two test times. It has been shown that if the time gap is too short, the learning effect may be reflected on the survey, and if it is too long, treatment adherence might be altered. Two to fourteen-day intervals are recommended by previous researchers (Streiner & Norman, 2003). Questionnaire completion took approximately 20 to 40 minutes, and some individuals required more time due to decreased visual acuity from their underlying diseases, such as diabetic retinopathy or due to decreased health literacy. However, about 90% of the participants took the survey within 20 to 40 minutes without major difficulty.

Some limitations were identified in the psychometric testing of the ESRD-AQ. First, reliability of ESRD-AQ completely depends on the credibility of the patient's answer since it is designed to ask one item to address one variable. Although a sample of five patients with ESRD who were representative of the target population was used to review and provide feedback of instrument's readability, as well as their ability to comprehend each statement/ question, researchers failed to measure readability using a reliable and valid measure (such as a SMOG readability test). Thus, there may be limitations in using the ESRD-AQ in patients with low health literacy. Furthermore, traditional reliability tests for internal consistency, such as Cronbach's alpha, were not useful due to lack of similar items addressing one aspect of adherence. Adding companion items to direct questions in particular should be considered for future use. Second, even though most patients enjoyed answering the ERSD-AQ, spending 20 to 40 minutes to answer the questions can still be a

burden to patients. Therefore, reducing the number of the indirect questions should be considered.

### Conclusion

The newly developed ESRD-AQ is easy to administer with acceptable validity and reliability. Furthermore, the ESRD-AQ is the first self-report instrument to address all components of adherence behaviors of patients with ESRD on maintenance HD. The ESRD-AQ also provides researchers and clinicians with comprehensive information, such as patients' clinical history related to their ESRD, and patients' perception and understanding level about their medical recommendations. Thus, the instrument is potentially valuable for researchers and clinicians working in the field of advanced chronic kidney disease. Future studies are needed in a larger sample to determine whether additional modifications would be helpful.

### Acknowledgments

Lorraine S. Evangelista, PhD, RN, received support from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (1R01HL093466-01) and from the University of California, Los Angeles, Resource Centers for Minority Aging Research/Center for Health Improvement of Minority Elderly (RCMAR/CHIME) under NIH/NIA Grant P30-AG02-1684. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute or the National Institute on Aging or the National Institutes of Health.

### References

- Bame SI, Petersen N, Wray NP. Variation in hemodialysis patient compliance according to demographic characteristics. Social Science & Medicine. 1993; 37(8):1035–1043. [PubMed: 8235736]
- Betts DK, Crotty GD. Response to illness and compliance of long-term hemodialysis patients. American Nephrology Nurses' Association Journal. 1998; 15(2):96–99.
- Bleyer AJ, Hylander B, Sudo H, Nomoto Y, de la Torre E, Chen RA, Burkart JM. An international study of patient compliance with hemodialysis. The Journal of the American Medical Association. 1999; 281(13):1211–1213.
- Block GA, Hulbert-Shearon TE, Levin NW, Port FK. Association of serum phosphorus and calcium × phosphate product with mortality risk in chronic hemodialysis patients: A national study. American Journal of Kidney Diseases. 1998; 31(4):607–617. [PubMed: 9531176]
- Block GA, Klassen PS, Lazarus JM, Ofsthun N, Lowrie EG, Chertow GM. Mineral metabolism, mortality, and morbidity in maintenance hemodialysis. Journal of the American Society of Nephrology. 2004; 15(8):2208–2218. [PubMed: 15284307]
- Christensen AJ, Benotsch EG, Wiebe JS, Lawton WJ. Coping with treatment-related stress: Effects on patient adherence in hemodialysis. Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology. 1995; 63(3):454–459. [PubMed: 7608358]
- Coyne T, Olson M, Bradham K, Garcon M, Gregory P, Scherch L. Dietary satisfaction correlated with adherence in the modification of diet in renal disease study. Journal of the American Dietetic Association. 1995; 95:1301–1306. [PubMed: 7594127]
- Creedy DK, Dennis CL, Blyth R, Moyle W, Pratt J, De Vries SM. Psychometric characteristics of the breastfeeding self-efficacy scale: Data from an Australian sample. Research in Nursing & Health. 2003; 26:143–152. [PubMed: 12652610]
- Cummings KM, Becker MH, Kirscht JP, Levin NW. Psychosocial factors affecting adherence to medical regiments in a group of hemodialysis patients. Medical Care. 1982; 20(6):567–580. [PubMed: 7109740]
- Denhaerynck K, Manhaeve D, Dobbels F, Garzoni D, Nolte C, De Geest S. Prevalence and consequences of nonadherence to hemodialysis regimens. American Journal of Critical Care. 2007; 16(3):222–235. Quiz 236. [PubMed: 17460313]

- Durose CL, Holdsworth M, Watson V, Przygrodzka F. Knowledge of dietary restrictions and the medical consequences of noncompliance by patients on hemodialysis are not predictive of dietary compliance. Journal of the American Dietetic Association. 2004; 104(1):35–41. [PubMed: 14702581]
- Gordon EJ, Leon JB, Sehgal AR. Why are hemodialysis treatments shortened and skipped? Development of a taxonomy and relationship to patient subgroups. Nephrology Nursing Journal. 2003; 30(2):209–218. [PubMed: 12736999]
- Hecking E, Bragg-Gresham JL, Rayner HC, Lützén K, Clyne N. Haemodialysis prescription, adherence and nutritional indicators in five European countries: Results from the Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS). Nephrology, Dialysis, Transplantation. 2004; 19(1):100–107.
- Klem TM, Sybrandy JE, Wittens CH, Bot ML. Reliability and validity of the Dutch Translated Aberdeen Varicose Vein Questionnaire. European Journal of Vascular & Endovascular Surgery. 2008; 37:232–238. [PubMed: 18993090]
- Kutner NG, Zhang R, McClellan WM, Cole SA. Psychosocial predictors of non-compliance in haemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis patients. Nephrology, Dialysis, Transplantation. 2002; 17(1): 93–99.
- Lee SH, Molassiotis A. Dietary and fluid compliance in Chinese hemodialysis patients. International Journal of Nursing Studies. 2002; 39(7):695–704. [PubMed: 12231026]
- Leggat JE, Orzol SM, Hulbert-Shearon TE, Golper TA, Jones CA, Held PJ, Port FK. Noncompliance in hemodialysis: Predictors and survival analysis. American Journal of Kidney Diseases. 1998; 32(1):139–145. [PubMed: 9669435]
- Lin CC, Liang CC. The relationship between health locus of control and compliance of hemodialysis patients. The Kaohsiung Journal of Medical Sciences. 1997; 13(4):243–254. [PubMed: 9177086]
- Loghman-Adham M. Medication noncompliance in patients with chronic disease: Issues in dialysis and renal transplantation. The American Journal of Managed Care. 2003; 9:155–171. [PubMed: 12597603]
- Lòpez-Gòmez JM, Villaverde M, Jofre R, Rodriguez-Benítez P, Pérez-García R. Interdialytic weight gain as a marker of blood pressure, nutrition, and survival in hemodialysis patients. Kidney International. 2005; 67(Suppl 93):S63–S68.
- Lynn MR. Determination and quantification of content validity. Nursing Research. 1986; 35:382–385. [PubMed: 3640358]
- Morgan L. A decade review: Methods to improve adherence to the treatment regimen among hemodialysis patients. Nephrology Nursing Journal. 2000; 27(3):299–304. [PubMed: 11249328]
- Polit, DF.; Beck, CT. Nursing research: Principles and methods. 7th. Philadelphia: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins; 2004.
- Polit, DF.; Beck, CT. Essentials of nursing research: Methods, appraisal, and tilization. 6th. Philadelphia: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins; 2006.
- Polit DF, Beck CT. The content validity index: Are you sure you know what's being reported? Critique and recommendations. Research in Nursing & Health. 2006; 29:489–497. [PubMed: 16977646]
- Polit DF, Beck CT, Owen SV. Focus on research methods. Is the CVI an acceptable indicator of content validity? Appraisal and recommendations. Research in Nursing & Health. 2007; 30:459– 467. [PubMed: 17654487]
- Saran R, Bragg-Gresham JL, Rayner HC, Goodkin DA, Keen ML, van Dijk PC, et al. Port FK. Nonadherence in hemodialysis: Associations with mortality, hospitalization, and practice patterns in the DOPPS. Kidney International. 2003; 64:254–262. [PubMed: 12787417]
- Schilling LS, Dixon JK, Knafl KA, Grey M, Ives B, Lynn MR. Determining content validity of a selfreport instrument for adolescents using a heterogeneous expert panel. Nursing Research. 2007; 56(5):361–366. [PubMed: 17846558]
- Sezer S, Ozdemir FN, Arat Z, Perim O, Turan M, Haberal M. The association of interdialytic weight gain with nutritional parameters and mortality risk in hemodialysis patients. Renal Failure. 2002; 24(1):37–48. [PubMed: 11921697]

- Sherman RA, Cody RP, Matera JJ, Rogers ME, Solanchick JC. Interdialytic weight gain and nutritional parameters in chronic hemodialysis patients. American Journal of Kidney Diseases. 1994; 24:921–923. [PubMed: 7985669]
- SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences). SPSS 15.0 for Windows, Rel.15.0.1. Chicago, IL: SPSS, Inc; 2006.
- Streiner, DL.; Norman, GR. Health measurement scales: A practical guide to their development and use. New York: Oxford University Press Inc.; 2003.
- Szczech LA, Reddan DN, Klassen PS, Coladonato J, Chua B, Lowrie EG, et al. Owen WF. Interactions between dialysis-related volume exposures, nutritional surrogates and mortality among ESRD patients. Nephrology, Dialysis, Transplantation. 2003; 18(8):1585–1591.
- United States Renal Data System (USRDS). USRDS annual data report. 2009. Retrieved from http://www.usrds.org/adr.htm
- Vlaminck H, Maes B, Jacobs A, Reyntjen S, Evers G. The Dialysis Diet and Fluid Non-adherence Questionnaire: Validity testing of a self-report instrument for clinical practice. Journal of Clinical Nursing. 2001; 10(5):707–715. [PubMed: 11822521]
- Wan C, Zhang C, Tu X, Feng C, Tang W, Luo J, Meng Q. Validation of the simplified Chinese version of the quality of life instrument EORTC QLQ-LC43 for patients with lung cancer. Cancer Investigation. 2008; 26(5):504–510. [PubMed: 18568773]
- Weed-Collins M, Hogan R. Knowledge and health beliefs regarding phosphate-binding medication in predicting compliance. American Nephrology Nurses' Association Journal. 1989; 16(4):278–282.
- Yen M, Lo L. Examining test-retest reliability An intra-class correlation approach. Nursing Research. 2002; 51(1):59–62. [PubMed: 11822570]

# Table 1 End-Stage Renal Disease Adherence Questionnaire (ESRD-AQ)

This survey asks for your opinion about how well you follow your dialysis treatment schedule and about medical recommendations related to medication, diet, and fluid intake. This information will help us to understand if you have difficulty following your dialysis treatment, medication regimen, fluid restriction, and recommended diet. Please answer every question by marking the appropriate box. If you are unsure about how to answer, please choose one best answer that applies to you. Note: Numbers in parentheses are the response codes.

1.	When did you begin or restart your hemodialysis treatment?	Beginning Date:	
		/ Month/Year	
		(Restarting date if you restarted hemodialysis:	
		/ Month/Year )	
2.	Have you ever had chronic peritoneal dialysis treatment?	No <sub>(1)</sub>	
		Yes <sub>(2)</sub> (Please answer below)	
		I had peritoneal dialysis from /	
3.	Have you had a kidney transplant?	No <sub>(1)</sub>	
		Yes <sub>(2)</sub> (Please answer below)	
		I had a kidney transplant once from	
		Month/Year to Month/Year	
		Or	
		I had kidney transplants twice from	
		Month/Year Month/Year and from	
		Month/Year to	
		If you have had transplants more than twice, please write the dates in the spaces above for the last two transplants.	
4.	What type of transportation do you use to go to the dialysis center?	Personal transportation <sub>(1)</sub>	
		$\Box \qquad Bus_{(2)}$	
		$\Box$ Taxi <sub>(3)</sub>	
		□ Medical transportation van <sub>(4)</sub>	
		□ Other (Specify) <sub>(5)</sub> :	
5.	Who accompanies you to the dialysis center?	□ Myself <sub>(1)</sub>	
		$\Box \qquad Parent_{(2)}$	
		$\Box \qquad Spouse (Husband or wife)_{(3)}$	
		$\Box \qquad Child_{(4)}$	
		$\Box \qquad \text{Friend}_{(5)}$	
		$\Box \qquad \text{Other (Specify the person)}_{(6)}: \_\_\_\_$	

II. Hemodialysis 7	Freatment
--------------------	-----------

6.	How many days a week do you receive hemodialysis treatment?	2 days or less <sub>(1)</sub>
		3 days <sub>(2)</sub>
		4 days <sub>(3)</sub>
		More than 4 days <sub>(4)</sub>
		More than 5 days <sub>(5)</sub>
7.	How many hours are you treated for each hemodialysis?	Less than 3 hours <sub>(1)</sub>
		3 hours <sub>(2)</sub>
		3 hours and 15 minutes(3)
		3 hours and 30 minutes <sub>(4)</sub>
		3 hours and 45 minutes(5)
		4 hours <sub>(6)</sub>
		More than 4 hours <sub>(7)</sub>
		Other (Specify the hours) <sub>(8)</sub> :
8.	Is your dialysis schedule convenient for you? (Please choose one best answer that applies to you.)	Yes <sub>(1)</sub>
		No, because I have to come to the dialysis center too $early_{(2)}$
		No, because I have to come to the dialysis center too $late_{(3)}$
		No, because of my work $schedule_{(4)}$
		No, because it is my meal time and I get hungry during dialysis $\mbox{treatment}_{(5)}$
		No, because it is my medication time and I have take medicines/insulin $_{(6)}$
		No, because of (Other)(7):
9.	When was the last time a medical professional (your doctor, nurse, dietician, or other medical staff) talked to you about the importance of not missing your dialysis treatment?	This week <sub>(1)</sub>
		Last week <sub>(2)</sub>
		One month ago <sub>(3)</sub>
		More than a month $ago_{(4)}$
		When I first began dialysis treatment <sub><math>(5)</math></sub>
		Never <sub>(6)</sub>
		Other (Specify)(7):
10.	How often does a medical professional (your doctor, nurse, dietician, or other medical staff) talk to you about the importance of staying for the	Every dialysis treatment(1)
	entire dialysis time during your dialysis treatment?	Every week <sub>(2)</sub>
		Every month <sub>(3)</sub>
		Every 2 to 3 months <sub>(4)</sub>
		Every 4 to 6 months <sub>(5)</sub>
		When I have abnormal blood or other test $\ensuremath{results}_{(6)}$
		Rarely <sub>(7)</sub>

		Irregularly <sub>(8)</sub>
		Never <sub>(9)</sub>
		Other (Specify) <sub>(10)</sub> :
11.	How important do you think it is to follow your dialysis schedule?	Highly important <sub>(1)</sub>
		Very important <sub>(2)</sub>
		Moderately important <sub>(3)</sub>
		A little important <sub>(4)</sub>
		Not important <sub>(5)</sub>
12.	Why do you think it is important to follow your dialysis schedule? (Please choose one best answer that applies to you.)	Because I fully understand that my kidney condition requires dialysis as $scheduled_{(1)}$
		Because following the dialysis schedule is important to keep my body $healthy_{(2)}$
		Because medical professional (my doctor, nurse, or dietitian) told me to do $so_{(3)}$
		Because I had an experience that I was sick after missed dialysis $_{\left(4\right)}$
		Because I had an experience that I was hospitalized after I missed dialysis <sub>(5)</sub>
		I don't think following the dialysis schedule is very important to $me_{(6)}$
		Other (Specify) <sub>(7)</sub> :
13.	How much difficulty have you had staying for your entire dialysis treatment as ordered by your doctor?	No difficulty(1)
	reament as ordered by your doctor.	A little difficulty <sub>(2)</sub>
		Moderate difficulty <sub>(3)</sub>
		A lot of difficulty <sub>(4)</sub>
		Extreme difficulty <sub>(5)</sub>
14.	During the <i>last month</i> , how many dialysis treatments did you miss completely?	None (I did not miss any treatments)(1)
	completely.	Missed one dialysis treatment <sub>(2)</sub>
		Missed two dialysis treatments(3)
		Missed three dialysis treatments $_{(4)}$
		Missed four or more dialysis treatments $_{(5)}$
15.	What was the main reason you missed your dialysis treatment <i>last month</i> ?	Not applicable: I did not miss any treatment $_{(1)}$
	inolar.	Transportation $\operatorname{problems}_{(2)}$
		I had other things to do (Please explain)(3):
		Hemodialysis access (graft, fistula, or catheter) $\text{clotted}_{(4)}$
		Physician (medical or surgical) appointment <sub><math>(5)</math></sub>
		I had to go to the emergency $\operatorname{room}_{(6)}$
		I was hospitalized <sub>(7)</sub>
		$\operatorname{Forgot}_{(8)}$

		"Didn't want to go" or "Couldn't go" (Go to the next question: Question $#16)_{(9)}$
		Other (Please specify)(10):
6.	(Answer this question when you marked the above question as " $Didn't$	Because dialysis treatment makes me anxious(1)
	want to go Couldn't go.") Why didn't you want to go to the dialysis center? (Please choose one	Because I had vomiting/diarrhea(2)
	best answer that applies to you)	Because I had cramping <sub>(3)</sub>
		Because I often get hungry during dialysis treatment <sub>(4)</sub>
		Because I was physically uncomfortable (Specify the condition) $_{(5)}$
		Because I was sick due to other conditions $(Specify the conditions)_{(6)}$
		Because I was emotionally $depressed_{(7)}$
		Other <sub>(8)</sub> :
7.	During the <i>last month</i> , <i>how many times</i> have you <i>shortened</i> your dialysis time?	Not applicable: I have not shortened my dialysis $time_{(1)}$
		Once <sub>(2)</sub>
		Twice <sub>(3)</sub>
		Three times <sub>(4)</sub>
		Four to five times <sub>(5)</sub>
		Other (Specify frequency) <sub>(6)</sub> :
8.	During the <i>last month</i> , when your dialysis treatment was shortened, what was the <i>average number of minutes</i> ?	Not applicable: I have not shortened my dialysis time $_{(1)}$
		Less than 10 minutes or 10 minutes(2)
		11 to 20 minutes <sub>(3)</sub>
		21 to 30 minutes <sub>(4)</sub>
		More than 31 minutes <sub>(5)</sub>
		Other (Specify) <sub>(6)</sub> (If you need to write two or more different time because you shortened dialysis more than once, please use this space):
9.	What was the main reason you have shortened your dialysis treatment?	Not applicable: I have not shortened my dialysis $\ensuremath{time}_{(1)}$
		Cramping <sub>(2)</sub>
		Bathroom use <sub>(3)</sub>
		Restlessness <sub>(4)</sub>
		Low blood pressure <sub>(5)</sub>
		Access (graft, fistula, or catheter) clotted <sub>(6)</sub>
		Physician (medical or surgical) appointment(7)
		Physician (medical or surgical) appointment <sub>(7)</sub> Personal business or emergency <sub>(8)</sub>

		Staff decision ( <b>Why? Please explain:</b> For example, poor blood flow, clotting dialyzer, machine malfunction, etc.) <sub>(11)</sub> :
		Did not feel like staying(12)
		Other (Please specify)(13):
II. N	Aedication	
0.	When was the last time a medical professional (your doctor, nurse,	This week <sub>(1)</sub>
	dietician or other medical staff) spoke to you about your medicines?	Last week <sub>(2)</sub>
		One month ago <sub>(3)</sub>
		More than a month $ago_{(4)}$
		When I first began dialysis $treatment_{(5)}$
		Never <sub>(6)</sub>
		Other (Specify)(7):
1.	How often does a medical professional (your doctor, nurse, dietician or	Every dialysis treatment(1)
	other medical staff) talk to you about the importance of taking medicines as ordered?	Every week <sub>(2)</sub>
		Every month <sub>(3)</sub>
		Every 2 to 3 months $_{(4)}$
		Every 4 to 6 months $_{(5)}$
		When I have abnormal blood or other (for example, blood pressure) test results <sub>(6)</sub>
		Rarely <sub>(7)</sub>
		Irregularly <sub>(8)</sub>
		Never <sub>(9)</sub>
		Other (Specify)(10):
2.	How important do you think it is to take your medicines as scheduled?	Highly important <sub>(1)</sub>
		Very important <sub>(2)</sub>
		Moderately important <sub>(3)</sub>
		A little important <sub>(4)</sub>
		Not important <sub>(5)</sub>
3.	Why do you think it is important to take your medicines as scheduled? (Please choose one best answer that applies to you.)	Because I fully understand that my kidney condition requires to take medicines as scheduled <sub>(1)</sub>
		Because taking medicines is important to keep body healthy $_{(2)}$
		Because a medical professional (my doctor, nur dietician, or other medical staff) told me to do $so_{(3)}$
		Because I had an experience that I was sick afte missed $medicines_{(4)}$
		Because I had an experience that I was hospitalized after I missed medicines <sub>(5)</sub>

		Other (Specify) <sub>(7)</sub> :
24.	Have you had any difficulty with taking your medicines?	No <sub>(1)</sub>
		Yes <sub>(2)</sub>
25.	How much difficulty have you had with taking your prescribed	No difficulty <sub>(1)</sub>
	medicines?	A little difficulty <sub>(2)</sub>
		Moderate difficulty(3)
		A lot of difficulty <sub>(4)</sub>
		Extreme difficulty <sub>(5)</sub>
6.	During the past week, how often have you missed your prescribed	None of the time: I did not miss my medicines(
	medicines?	Very seldom <sub>(2)</sub>
		About half of the time <sub>(3)</sub>
		Most of the time <sub>(4)</sub>
		All of the time $_{(5)}$
27.	What was the main reason for not taking your prescribed medicines this	Not applicable: I did not miss medicines(1)
	past week?	Forgot to take medicines(2)
		Forgot to order medicines(3)
		Medicine cost <sub>(4)</sub>
		Inconvenience <sub>(5)</sub>
		I was hospitalized <sub>(6)</sub>
		Side effects <sub>(7)</sub> (Go to question #28)
		Other <sub>(8)</sub> :
28.	(Answer this question when you have marked the above question as <i>"Side effects."</i> ) What kind of side effect(s) to the medication(s) did you have? (Please choose one best answer that applies to you.)	Loss of appetite <sub>(1)</sub>
		$Nausea/vomiting/diarrhea/constipation_{(2)}$
		Stomach pain <sub>(3)</sub>
		Dizziness <sub>(4)</sub>
		Headache <sub>(5)</sub>
		Itching/skin problems(6)
		Other (Specify symptoms) <sub>(7)</sub> :
V. I	Fluid	
.9.	When was the last time a medical professional (your doctor, nurse or	This week <sub>(1)</sub>
	dietician or other medical staff) spoke to you about your fluid restrictions?	Last week <sub>(2)</sub>
		One month ago <sub>(3)</sub>
		More than a month $ago_{(4)}$
		When I began dialysis treatment <sub>(5)</sub>
		Never <sub>(6)</sub>

30.	How often does a medical professional (your doctor, nurse, dietician or	Every dialysis treatment(1)
	other medical staff) talk to you about the importance of fluid restriction?	Every week <sub>(2)</sub>
		Every month <sub>(3)</sub>
		Every 2 to 3 months <sub>(4)</sub>
		Every 4 to 6 months $(5)$
		When I have abnormal blood or other (for example, blood pressure) test results <sub>(6)</sub>
		Rarely <sub>(7)</sub>
		Irregularly <sub>(8)</sub>
		Never <sub>(9)</sub>
		Other (Specify)(10):
31.	During the <i>past week</i> , how often have you followed the <i>fluid restriction</i> recommendations?	All of the time <sub>(1)</sub>
		Most of the time <sub>(2)</sub>
		About half of the $time_{(3)}$
		Very seldom <sub>(4)</sub>
		None of the $time_{(5)}$
32.	How important do you think it is to limit your fluid intake?	Highly important <sub>(1)</sub>
		Very important <sub>(2)</sub>
		Moderately important <sub>(3)</sub>
		A little important <sub>(4)</sub>
		Not important <sub>(5)</sub>
33.	Why do you think it is important for you to limit your fluid intake? (Please choose one best answer that applies to you.)	Because I fully understand that my kidney condition requires limiting fluid intake <sub>(1)</sub>
		Because limiting fluid intake is important to keep my body healthy $_{(2)}$
		Because a medical professional (my doctor, nurse dietician, or other medical staff) told me to do $so_{(3)}$
		Because I got sick after I drank lots of $fluid_{(4)}$
		Because I was hospitalized after I drank lots of fluid $_{(5)}$
		I don't think limiting fluid is very important to $me_{(6)}$
		Other (Specify)(7):
34.	Have you had any difficulty with limiting your fluid intake?	No <sub>(1)</sub>
		Yes <sub>(2)</sub>
35.	How much difficulty have you had following your fluid restriction recommendations?	No difficulty <sub>(1)</sub>
		A little difficulty <sub>(2)</sub>
		Moderate difficulty <sub>(3)</sub>

			I was unable to follow any recommendations at $\operatorname{all}_{(5)}$
36.	If you had difficulty following your fluid restriction recommendations,		No difficulty <sub>(1)</sub>
	what type of difficulty have you had?		Not interested <sub>(2)</sub>
			I was unable to control fluid intake(3)
			I don't understand how to follow the fluid $\operatorname{restriction}_{(4)}$
			Other <sub>(5)</sub> :
37.	During the past week, how many times have you weighed yourself at		More than 3 times <sub>(1)</sub>
	home (outside dialysis center)?		$3 \operatorname{times}_{(2)}$
			Twice <sub>(3)</sub>
			Once <sub>(4)</sub>
			None of the time $_{(5)}$
			Other <sub>(6)</sub> :
38.	How important do you think it is to weigh yourself daily?		Highly important <sub>(1)</sub>
			Very important <sub>(2)</sub>
			Moderately important <sub>(3)</sub>
			A little important <sub>(4)</sub>
			Not important <sub>(5)</sub>
V. D	iet		
39.	When was last time a medical professional (your doctor, nurse, dietician, or other medical staff) talked to you about your diet?		This week <sub>(1)</sub>
	dietician, or other medical staff) talked to you about your diet?		Last week <sub>(2)</sub>
			One month ago <sub>(3)</sub>
			More than a month $ago_{(4)}$
			When I first began dialysis treatment <sub><math>(5)</math></sub>
			Never <sub>(6)</sub>
			Other (Specify) <sub>(7)</sub> :
40.	How often does a medical professional (your doctor, nurse, dietician or		Every dialysis treatment <sub>(1)</sub>
	other medical staff) talk to you about the importance of following a proper diet?		
			Every week <sub>(2)</sub>
			Every week <sub>(2)</sub> Every month <sub>(3)</sub>
			Every month <sub>(3)</sub>
			Every month <sub>(3)</sub> Every 2 to 3 months <sub>(4)</sub>
			Every month <sub>(3)</sub> Every 2 to 3 months <sub>(4)</sub> Every 4 to 6 months <sub>(5)</sub> When I have abnormal blood or other (for
			Every month <sub>(3)</sub> Every 2 to 3 months <sub>(4)</sub> Every 4 to 6 months <sub>(5)</sub> When I have abnormal blood or other (for example, blood pressure) test results <sub>(6)</sub>
			Every month <sub>(3)</sub> Every 2 to 3 months <sub>(4)</sub> Every 4 to 6 months <sub>(5)</sub> When I have abnormal blood or other (for example, blood pressure) test results <sub>(6)</sub> Rarely <sub>(7)</sub>

4.1			TT-11 Sugar david
41.	How important do you think it is to watch the types of food you eat each day?		Highly important <sub>(1)</sub>
			Very important <sub>(2)</sub>
			Moderately important <sub>(3)</sub>
			A little important <sub>(4)</sub>
			Not important <sub>(5)</sub>
42.	Why do you think it is important for you to watch your diet daily? (Please choose one best answer that applies to you.)		Because I fully understand that my kidney condition requires to watch my $diet_{(1)}$
			Because watching my diet is important to keep my body $\text{healthy}_{(2)}$
			Because a medical professional (my doctor, nurse, or dietician) told me to do $so_{(3)}$
			Because I got sick after eating certain food that I was not supposed to $\text{eat}_{(4)}$
			Because I was hospitalized after eating certain food that I was not supposed to $eat_{(5)}$
			I don't think watching my diet is important to $me_{(6)}$
			Other (Specify)(7):
43.	Have you had any difficulty following your dietary recommendations?		No <sub>(1)</sub>
			Yes <sub>(2)</sub>
44.	How much difficulty have you had following your dietary recommendations?		No difficulty <sub>(1)</sub>
			A little difficulty <sub>(2)</sub>
			Moderate difficulty <sub>(3)</sub>
			A lot of difficulty <sub>(4)</sub>
			I was unable to follow any recommendations at $\operatorname{all}_{(5)}$
45.	What type of difficulty have you had keeping your dietary		Not applicable: No difficulty(1)
	recommendations?		I was not willing to control what I want to $eat_{(2)}$
			I was unable to avoid certain unrecommended $food_{(3)}$
			I don't understand what type of diet to $follow_{(4)}$
			Other (Specify) <sub>(5)</sub> :
46.	During the past week, how many times have you followed the diet		All of the time <sub>(1)</sub>
	recommendations?		Most of the time $_{(2)}$
			About half of the time <sub>(3)</sub>
			Very seldom <sub>(4)</sub>
			None of the time $(5)$

Table 2
Scoring Individual Items of the End-Stage Renal Disease Adherence Questionnaire

Section Name	Question Numbers	Targeted Area in the Item	To Recorded Value of (Points)
Section 1: General Information	1, 2, and 3	Fact related to previous RRT history	No value
(5 items)	4 and 5	Fact related to transportation situation to get HD	No value
Section 2: HD Treatment (14	6 and 7	Fact related to HD schedule	No value
items)	8	Perception of patients on HD schedule	No value
	9 and 10	Information about counseling on HD	No value
	11	Perception on importance of HD adherence	No value Analyze responses using descriptive statistics
	12	Understanding level on importance of HD	No value Analyze responses using descriptive statistics
	13	Perception of patients on HD	No value
	14	Frequency of missing HD during last month	Response category $1 \rightarrow 300$ Response category $2 \rightarrow 200$ Response category $3 \rightarrow 100$ Response category $4 \rightarrow 50$ Response category $5 \rightarrow 0$
	15	Reason for missing HD	No value (Note: If patients missed HD due to medical reasons (if the answer is 4, 6, or 7), adjust scores from question number 14 and give a full credit (300 points)
	16	Supplementary question for Question 15 (psychophysical symptoms)	No value
	17	Frequency of shortening HD during last month	Response category $1 \rightarrow 200$ Response category $2 \rightarrow 150$ Response category $3 \rightarrow 100$ Response category $4 \rightarrow 50$ Response category $5 \rightarrow 0$
	18	Duration of shortening HD during last month	Response category $1 \rightarrow 100$ Response category $2 \rightarrow 75$ Response category $3 \rightarrow 50$ Response category $4 \rightarrow 25$ Response category $5 \rightarrow 0$
	19	Reason for shortening HD treatment	No value (Note: If patients shortened HD due to medical reasons (if the answer is 2, 5, 6 or 11), adjust scores from question number 17 & 18 and give a full credit (200 and 100 points
Section 3: Medication (9 items)	20 and 21	Information about counseling on medication	No value
	22	Perception on importance of medication adherence	No value Analyze responses using descriptive statistics
	23	Understanding level on importance of medication	No value. Analyze responses using descriptive statistics
	24 and 25	Fact related to difficulty with taking medicines	No value
	26	Frequency of missing medication during last month	Response category $1 \rightarrow 200$ Response category $2 \rightarrow 150$ Response category $3 \rightarrow 100$

Section Name	Question Numbers	Targeted Area in the Item	To Recorded Value of (Points)
			Response category $4\rightarrow 50$ Response category $5\rightarrow 0$
	27	Reason for missing medication	No value (Note: If patients missed medication due to medical reasons (i the answer is 6 or 7) adjust scores from the question number 26 and give a full credit (200 points).
	28	Supplementary question for Question 27 (psychophysical symptoms)	No value
Section 4: Fluid Restriction (10 items)	29 and 30	Information about counseling on fluid restriction	No value
	31	Fluid restriction: Self-monitoring (Frequency)	Response category $1 \rightarrow 200$ Response category $2 \rightarrow 150$ Response category $3 \rightarrow 100$ Response category $4 \rightarrow 50$ Response category $5 \rightarrow 0$
	32	Perception on importance of fluid restriction	No value Analyze responses using descriptive statistics
	33	Understanding level on importance of fluid restriction	No value Analyze responses using descriptive statistics
	34 and 35	Fact related difficulty with limiting fluid intake	No value
	36	Types of difficulty following fluid restriction (additional question to #35)	No value
	37 and 38	Information on weighing at home (not mandatory requirements for all ESRD patients)	No value
Section 5: Dietary Restriction (8 items)	39 and 40	Information about counseling on dietary recommendations	No value
	41	Perception on importance of dietary recommendations	No value Analyze responses using descriptive statistics
	42	Understanding level on importance of dietary recommendations	No value Analyze responses using descriptive statistics
	43 and 44	Fact related to difficulty with following dietary recommendations	No value
	45	Types of difficulty following fluid restriction (Additional question to #44)	No value
	46	Dietary restriction: Self-monitoring (Frequency)	Response category $1 \rightarrow 200$ Response category $2 \rightarrow 150$ Response category $3 \rightarrow 100$ Response category $4 \rightarrow 50$ Response category $5 \rightarrow 0$

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

1			

# Sociodemographic Data of Study Participants: Adherers vs. Non-Adherers (N = 58)Table 3

Adherence Area	Hemod	Hemodialysis	Medic	Medication	Fluid	iid 2015 - 5016
Descriptor	A(n)/D(n	CT/CH = (n)G/(n)Q	A(n)/D(/	C/CC = (n)G/(n)A	A(n)/D(n	0/7C = (u)G(u)W
	A A (%)	B n (%)	A A A	B n (%)	(%) <i>u</i> V	u
Gender						
Male	27 (60.0)	6 (46.2)	30 (54.5)	3 (100.0)	30 (57.7)	3 (5
Female	18 (40.0)	7 (53.8)	25 (45.5)	0 (0.0)	22 (42.3)	3 (5
Race/Ethnicity						
Caucasian	1 (2.2)	1 (7.7)	2 (3.6)	0 (0.0)	2 (3.8)	0 (
African American	13 (28.9)	6 (46.2)	17 (30.9)	2 (66.7)	16 (30.8)	3 (5
Asian American	16 (35.5)	1 (7.7)	17 (30.9)	0 (0.0)	17 (32.6)	0 (
Native American	0 (0.0)	1 (7.7)	1 (1.8)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.9)	0 (
Hispanic/Latino	15 (33.3)	4 (30.8)	18 (32.7)	1 (33.3)	16 (30.8)	3 (5
Education Level						
High school or lower	15 (33.3)	3 (23.1)	17 (30.9)	1 (33.3)	16 (30.8)	5 (3
Vocational school	4 (8.9)	1 (7.7)	5 (9.1)	0 (0.0)	4 (7.7)	1 (1
Some college	11 (24.4)	5 (38.5)	15 (27.3)	1 (33.3)	15 (28.8)	1 (1
College graduate or higher	15 (33.4)	4 (30.8)	18 (32.7)	1 (33.3)	17 (32.7)	5 (3
Marital Status						
Never married	10 (22.2)	8 (61.5)	17 (30.9)	1 (33.3)	17 (32.7)	1 (1
Married	20 (44.4)	2 (15.4)	21 (38.1)	1 (33.3)	19 (36.5)	3 (5
Separated, divorced, widowed	15 (33.3)	3 (23.1)	17 (30.9)	1 (33.3)	16 (30.7)	5 (3
Current Employment						
Yes	4 (8.9)	4 (30.8)	7 (12.7)	1 (33.3)	8 (15.4)	0 (
No	41 (91.1)	9 (69.2)	48 (87.3)	2 (66.7)	44 (84.6)	6 (10
<b>Causes of Kidney Failure</b>						
Diabetes mellitus	12 (26.7)	3 (23.1)	15 (27.3)	0 (0.0)	12 (23.0)	3 (5

(0.0)

1 (2.0) 19 (37.3) 2 (28.6)

16 (31.4) 4 (7.8)

2 (33.3)

1 (16.7)

1 (14.3) 2 (28.6) 2 (28.6)

17 (33.4)

14 (27.5)

1 (16.7) 2 (33.3) 2 (28.6)

16 (31.4)

1 (16.7) 3 (50.0) 2 (28.6)

16 (31.3)

2 (33.3)

3 (42.9)

19 (37.3)

2 (28.6) 5 (71.4)

6 (11.8)

0(0.0)6(100.0)

43 (88.2)

Nephrol Nurs J. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 April 14.

B n (%)

A A (%) *n* 

В *n* (%)

Diet A(n)/B(n) = 51/7

4 (57.1)

21 (41.2)

3 (50.0)

1 (14.3) 4 (57.1) 2 (28.6) 0(0.0)

1 (2.0)

0(0.0)3 (50.0) 0(0.0)0(0.0)3 (50.0)

15 (29.4)

15 (29.4)

3 (42.9)

30 (58.8)

3 (50.0)

2 (28.6)

18 (35.3)

20 (38.5)

3 (100.0)

17 (30.9)

3 (23.1)

17 (37.8)

Diabetes mellitus Hypertension

1 (14.3)

14 (27.5)

3 (50.0) 1 (16.7)

_
_
U
-
-
-
<u> </u>
_
-
0
Ithor
_
$\sim$
$\geq$
<u></u>
=
Man
=
<u> </u>
SC
0
0
$\mathbf{\nabla}$
<u>S</u> .
0
4

Adherence Area	Hemod	Hemodialysis	Medication	ation	Fluid	iid Sout	Diet	et 51 m
<b>Descriptor</b>	A(n)/b(n	CI/CF = (u)G/(u)Q	A(n)/D(n	C/CC = (u)Q/(u)Q	A(n)/D(/	$\mathbf{O}/\mathbf{F}\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{u})\mathbf{G}/(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{F}$	I/TC = (u)q/(u)W	//TC = (1
	A (%) <i>n</i>	(%) <i>u</i> B	(%) <i>u</i> V	В n (%)	(%) <i>u</i> V	B n (%)	(%) u	В n (%)
Others	16 (35.5)	7 (53.8)	23 (41.8)	0 (0.0)	20 (38.5)	2 (33.3)	19 (37.3)	4 (57.2)
HD Vintage (Months)								
$Mean \pm SD$	$57.96 \pm 63.88$	$49.49 \pm 51.21$	$57.96 \pm 63.88 \qquad 49.49 \pm 51.21 \qquad 56.91 \pm 62.32$	$40.67\pm25.01$	53.02 ± 56.21	$82.05 \pm 95.83$	$82.05 \pm 95.83 \qquad 51.14 \pm 59.47 \qquad 91.97 \pm 64.09$	$91.97 \pm 64.09$
Range	3-281	7-165	3-281	12-58	3-281	7-237	3-281	10-165

Note: A = adherers; B = non-adherers; HD = in-center hemodial ysis; SD = standard deviation.

Table 4	
Content Validities of ESRD-AQ (Expert n=	7)

ESRD-AQ Area (Item Number)	Expert 1 to 6	Expert 7	Experts in Agreement	I-CVI
General Information (1 to 5)	~	$\checkmark$	7	1.00
HD Treatment (6 to 19)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	7	1.00
Medication (20 to 28)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	7	1.00
Fluid (29 to 38)	$\checkmark$	Rating of 1 for items 37 and 38:	Items 29 to 36=7 Items 37 and 38=6	0.86
Diet (39 to 46)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	7	1.00
Average I-CVI				0.99

 $\checkmark$  = Rated 3 (quite relevant) or 4 (highly relevant)

I-CVI = Item-level content validity index

### Table 5

### Summary of Known Group Analysis on the Questions that Specifically Address Patients' Adherence Behaviors

Item Number/Treatment Behavior	Adherers (n)/Non-Adherers (n)	Mann-Whitney U	Z	P Value
#14 (HD Attendance)	45/13	97.000	-5.334	< 0.001
#17 (Shortening HD)	45/13	127.500	-3.915	< 0.001
#18 (Duration of Shortening HD)	45/13	118.000	-4.137	< 0.001
#26 (Adherence to Medication)	55/3	3.000	-3.136	0.002
#31 (Adherence to Fluid Restrictions)	52/6	76.000	-2.206	0.027
#46 (Adherence to Diet Restrictions)	51/7	59.000	-3.032	0.002

# Table 6 Summary of Known Group Analysis on the Questions that Address Patients' Perception and Understanding Levels of Adherence Behaviors

Item #/Perception and Understanding on Importance of Adherence	Adherers (n)/Non-Adherers (n)	Mann-Whitney U	Z	P Value
#11 (Perception on HD Attendance)	45/13	222.000	-1.869	0.062
#12 (Understanding Level on Importance of HD Attendance)	45/13	276.000	-0.973	0.330
#22 (Perception on Medication Adherence)	55/3	73.500	-0.436	0.663
#23 (Understanding Level on Importance of Medication)	55/3	75.000	-0.420	0.675
#32 (Perception on Fluid Restrictions)	52/6	131.500	-0.727	0.467
#33 (Understanding Level on Importance of Fluid Restrictions)	52/6	126.000	-1.249	0.212
#41 (Perception on Diet Restrictions)	51/7	134.500	-1.181	0.238
#42 (Understanding Level on Importance of Diet Restrictions)	51/7	154.000	-0.960	0.337

Subjects	Test Scores	Retest Scores
1	20	20
2	21	18
3	22	22
4	24	20
5	23	23
6	32	30

 Table 7

 Likert Scale Scores from 14 Items for Intra-Class Correlation Coefficients (n = 6)

Table 8

# Intra-Class Correlation Analysis (n = 6)

		95% Confidence Interval	nce Interval		$\mathbf{F} \mathbf{T}$	F Test	
	ICC	ICC Lower Bound Upper Bound F Value df1 df2	Upper Bound	F Value	IJþ	ζJP	Sig.
Single Measures	$0.915^{a}$	0.518	0.988	22.505	5.0	5	5 0.002
Average Measures	$0.956^{b}$	0.682	0.994	22.505	5.0	5	5.0 5 0.002

Note: Two-way mixed effects model where people effects are random and measures effects are fixed.

 $^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$  The estimator is the same, whether the interaction effect is present or not.

 $^{b}$ This estimate is computed assuming the interaction effect is absent, because it is not estimable otherwise.