

UC Santa Barbara

UC Santa Barbara Previously Published Works

Title

Alkaline taste sensation through the alkaliphile chloride channel in *Drosophila*

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0tc3n5g4>

Journal

Nature Metabolism, 5(3)

ISSN

2522-5812

Authors

Mi, Tingwei
Mack, John O
Koolmees, Wyatt
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2023-03-01

DOI

10.1038/s42255-023-00765-3

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Alkaline taste sensation through the alkaliphile chloride channel in *Drosophila*

Tingwei Mi^{1†}, John O. Mack^{1†}, Wyatt Koolmees¹, Quinn Lyon¹, Luke Yochimowitz¹,
Zhao-Qian Teng², Peihua Jiang¹, Craig Montell³, Yali V. Zhang^{1,4*}

¹ Monell Chemical Senses Center, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA.

² State Key Laboratory of Stem Cell and Reproductive Biology, Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing Institute for Stem Cell and Regenerative Medicine, Beijing 100190, China.

³ Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA.

⁴ Department of Physiology, The Diabetes Research Center, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA.

* Correspondence: yzhang@monell.org

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

The sense of taste is an important sentinel governing what should or should not be ingested by an animal, with high pH sensation playing a critical role in food selection. Here we explore the molecular identities of taste receptors detecting the basic pH of food using *Drosophila melanogaster* as a model. We identify a chloride (Cl⁻) channel named alkaliphile (Alka), which is both necessary and sufficient for aversive taste responses to basic food. Alka forms a high-pH-gated Cl⁻ channel and is specifically expressed in a subset of gustatory receptor neurons (GRNs). Optogenetic activation of *alka*-expressing GRNs is sufficient to suppress attractive feeding responses to sucrose. Conversely, inactivation of these GRNs causes severe impairments in the aversion to high pH. Altogether, our discovery of Alka as an alkaline taste receptor lays the groundwork for future research on alkaline taste sensation in other animals.

Introduction

The sense of taste, which lies at the interface between the interior and the exterior of the body, ensures that food of nutritional value is consumed, whereas potentially noxious substances are rejected^{1,2}. Acids and bases are opposite chemical substances that are broadly present in food sources³. While it is generally accepted that animals use sour taste to assess the acidity, or low pH, of food⁴⁻⁶, whether animals have a taste modality to sense the basicity, or high pH, of food is a long-standing open question. Given that acid, or low pH, has a sour taste, it would be logical to hypothesize that base, or high pH, also produces a gustatory sensation.

Previous studies in humans and animal models provide initial clues to the existence of alkaline taste sensations. In the 1940s, psychophysical studies conducted on human subjects reported that the tip portion of the tongue, which is enriched with taste buds, exhibits a higher sensitivity to sodium hydroxide (NaOH) than the mid-dorsal part of the tongue with few taste buds, implying that basic solutions may have taste qualities⁷. Furthermore, electrophysiological recordings of the taste nerves in cats document that a subpopulation of the chorda tympani nerves, which relay taste input from the oral cavity to the brain, can be activated by high pH but not by other stimuli such as temperature⁸, indicating that cats interpret the stimulation by high-pH solutions as a sense of taste rather than as an irritating noxious chemical. Moreover, insects such as beetles show robust avoidance of alkaline environments associated with unfavorable habitats and food sources⁹. The beetle's high-pH sensitivity is mediated by pH receptor cells in the beetle's taste organ, which display significantly increased firing activities proportional to basic pH¹⁰. Collectively, these earlier studies imply but do not resolve

whether the high-pH sensation is a discrete taste modality. Since these early studies, little mechanistic research had been done to unravel the molecular and cellular underpinnings of alkaline taste sensation. In particular, the molecular identities of taste receptors and taste receptor cells orchestrating alkaline taste sensations had not yet been established in animals.

Like mammals, the fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster*, employs different classes of taste receptors to detect sugars¹¹⁻¹⁵, salts¹⁶⁻¹⁸, acids^{6,19-21}, bitter substances^{22,23}, and other chemicals²⁴⁻²⁹. Given that flies have such a remarkable capability to detect a wide range of substances through taste, we inferred that flies are also able to sense the alkalinity of food. Indeed, we isolated a vital fly gene dubbed *alkaliphile* (*alka*) that regulates gustatory responses to strong alkalinity. Our molecular genetic studies revealed that *alka* is both necessary and sufficient to avoid highly basic food. We performed extensive electrophysiological assays and discovered that the Alka protein forms a chloride (Cl⁻) channel, which is specifically activated by hydroxide (OH⁻). Moreover, we found that *alka* is expressed in a subset of GRNs in the peripheral taste organ. At the sensory cell level, *alka*-expressing GRNs are both necessary and sufficient for the avoidance of highly basic food. In summary, our work establishes Alka as the long-sought-after taste receptor responsible for sensing the basic pH of food.

Results

Requirement for *alka* to avoid highly basic food

We aimed to decipher the molecular nature of alkaline taste sensation by leveraging the fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster*, a well-established model organism for

taste perception^{24,30}. We chose the strong base NaOH to alter food pH because it is completely dissociated into Na⁺ and OH⁻ ions once dissolved, therefore enabling us to obtain a wide range of basic pH with relatively low concentrations of NaOH. Furthermore, side effects arising from sodium taste can be genetically removed in flies. We found that wild-type flies, when given a choice between neutral food comprising 2 mM glucose alone (pH 7) and basic food containing the same concentration of glucose plus 100 mM NaOH (pH 13), predominantly preferred neutral over basic food (**Extended Data Fig. 1a**). Thus, our behavioral data suggest that wild-type flies were repelled by basic food. We surmise that flies detect food basicity through specific taste receptors, which are very likely to be transmembrane receptors or ion channels.

To identify the alkaline taste sensor, we employed the two-choice feeding assay to screen a plethora of mutants, which are defective for a large variety of receptors or ion channels whose physiological functions were either established or unclear (**Extended Data Fig. 1a**). These include the gustatory receptors (GRs)^{11,31}, the ionotropic glutamate receptors (IRs)³², transient-receptor-potential (TRP) cation channels³³, otopetrin channels^{5,6,20,34}, and putative receptors or channels with unknown functions. According to our screen, the majority of mutant animals rejected alkaline food, but one mutant (*CG12344*^{*MI11416*}), carrying a transposon insertion in an uncharacterized gene *CG12344*, displayed significantly reduced aversion to basic food (**Extended Data Fig. 1a**). The physiological function of *CG12344* remained unclear, yet bioinformatic analyses indicated that the protein encoded by *CG12344* is distantly related to glycine receptors (GlyRs)³⁵ and belongs to the ligand-gated chloride channel (LGCC) family in *Drosophila*^{36,37}.

The fly genome encodes 12 LGCC members, which exhibit pronounced divergence with regard to ligand activation, expression pattern, and cellular function³⁸⁻⁴⁷. Among the fly LGCC family, many of the LGCCs are orphan channels because their activating ligands and physiological functions remain largely unclear. Consequently, we asked whether the other LGCC family members in *Drosophila* were involved in the detection of basic food. We used either null mutants or GRN-specific knockdown to determine if any of the LGCC genes were required for the taste response to alkaline food. Specifically, we used the *poxn-Gal4* line, which drives expression in the entire population of GRNs^{6,48}, to specifically knocked down most of the LGCC genes in the labellum, a primary taste organ of the fly. Our two-choice feeding assays demonstrated that selective knockdown of *CG12344* but not the other LGCC genes led to significant defects in the avoidance of basic food (pH 13) (**Extended Data Fig. 1b**). In conclusion, we propose that *CG12344* is an excellent candidate alkaline taste receptor. Since *CG12344* mutant flies displayed increased acceptance of basic foods compared to wild type, we dubbed the *CG12344* gene *alkaliphile* (*alka*).

To determine the function of *alka* in detecting basic food, we successfully generated a null *alka*¹ allele using CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing. In the *alka*¹ mutant, the DNA sequences encoding all four transmembrane segments (TM1-TM4) were ablated, leading to severe disruption of any potential ion channel activities (**Extended Data Fig. 2a-c**). As demonstrated by our two-choice feeding assays, wild-type animals exhibited increasing aversion toward moderately to highly alkaline food (**Fig. 1a**). In contrast, *alka*¹ mutant flies displayed profound defects in alkaline food aversion, even showing a strong preference for food mixed with low levels of NaOH

(e.g., 10 mM) (**Fig. 1a**). We deduced that this might be caused by the inherent attraction to low concentrations of sodium (Na^+)¹⁶ from NaOH when the aversion to OH^- is eliminated in *alka*¹ mutant flies. To test this hypothesis, we generated *alka*¹;*Ir76b*¹ double mutant flies lacking both *alka* and *Ir76b*, the latter of which is required for salt taste sensation¹⁶. Indeed, we found that *alka*¹;*Ir76b*¹ double mutant flies also showed severe impairments in avoiding alkaline food but no longer preferred moderately basic food (**Fig. 1a**), indicating that the preference for basic food in the *alka*¹ mutant is due to low Na^+ . Further, to exclude any potential effects arising from Na^+ and osmolarity on our two-choice feeding assays, we allowed the flies to choose between basic food containing 0.1-100 mM NaOH (pH 10-13) and neutral food comprising the same concentrations of NaCl (0.1-100 mM; pH 7) so that Na^+ and osmolarity in both food options were balanced. Consistent with the above results, *alka*¹ flies exhibited significantly reduced aversion to basic food (**Fig. 1b**); however, *alka*¹ mutants were not more attracted to NaOH than to NaCl, because the sodium levels for both food options are identical (**Fig. 1b**). Furthermore, the mutant phenotype of *alka*¹ flies was fully restored to normal by expressing a wild-type *alka* transgene in the *alka*¹ mutant background (*alka*¹;*alka-Gal4/UAS-alka*) (**Fig. 1a, 1b**). In summary, our two-choice feeding assays demonstrate that *alka* is selectively required for sensing high pH instead of Na^+ or osmolarity.

To directly assess the fly's taste response to alkaline food, we employed proboscis-extension-reflex (PER) assays to directly assess the fly's taste response to basic food⁴⁹. Wild-type flies extended their proboscis to feed if their proboscis was transiently touched with a probe containing an appealing food. Wild-type flies showed

about 45% PERs toward 30 mM sucrose, but the PER percentage declined as the food became more basic (**Fig. 1c**). In contrast, *alka*¹ mutants displayed significant defects in rejecting alkaline food. Similar to our previous results, *alka*¹ mutants showed dramatically increased PERs to moderately basic food. This abnormal PER phenotype was abolished in *alka*¹;*lr76b*¹ double mutants (**Fig. 1c**), suggesting that the attraction to moderately basic food is due to low concentrations of salt. Additionally, the abnormality of the *alka*¹ mutant's PERs to basic food was fully rescued by expressing a wild-type *alka* transgene (**Fig. 1c**). Collectively, our behavioral and genetic analyses indicate that *alka* is required for perceiving basic food.

***alka* is necessary for GRNs to sense high pH**

To address whether *alka* is functionally required for GRNs to sense high pH, we performed tip recording, a robust electrophysiological technique used to interrogate the neuronal activity of GRNs^{6,16}. There are three groups of taste sensilla that house GRNs in the fly proboscis, including the large (L), intermediate (I), and small (S) types^{6,50,51}. To determine whether these three types of sensilla differentially respond to high pH, we carried out an electrophysiological survey of their responses to 10 mM NaOH. Our tip recordings demonstrated that among the L-, I-, and S-type sensilla, S-type sensilla produce the most robust responses to NaOH (**Extended Data Fig. 3a**). Therefore, we focused our electrophysiological analyses on S-type sensilla. After being stimulated with a series of NaOH concentrations (0.1-100 mM), S-type sensilla such as S6 in wild type produce a train of action potentials. As the NaOH concentration rose, the spike intensity increased remarkably (**Fig. 1d, 1e**). In keeping with our behavioral data, the frequency

of spikes produced by the *alka*¹ mutant was significantly lower than wild type. This defect was fully restored in rescue animals (*alka*¹;*alka-Gal4/UAS-alka*) (**Fig. 1d, 1e**).

To ascertain that the action potentials detected at S6 sensilla were mainly evoked by OH⁻, we examined the responses of S6 sensilla to low salt (0.1-100 mM NaCl). We only detected weak responses to low salt, and the spike frequencies were comparable between wild-type and *alka*¹ mutant flies (**Extended Data Fig. 3b, 3c**). As such, this indicates that the spikes responses of S6 sensilla evoked by NaOH are predominantly contributed by OH⁻ instead of Na⁺ ions.

To determine whether loss of *alka* affects other taste modalities, including sweet, bitter, salty, and sour tastes, we examined the PER responses to sucrose, caffeine, sodium chloride (NaCl), and hydrochloric acid (HCl) in *alka*¹ mutants, with wild-type flies as controls. According to our PER assays, *alka*¹ mutant and wild-type flies show no significant difference in the percentage of PER responses to sucrose, caffeine, salt, or acid (**Fig. 1f**). In accordance with the PER assays, our tip recording analyses demonstrated that wild-type and *alka*¹ flies displayed comparable gustatory responses to salt. As controls, the spikes evoked by NaCl were eliminated in the *alka*¹;*Ir76b*¹ double mutant (**Fig. 1g, 1h**). Furthermore, wild-type and *alka*¹ flies also exhibited similar taste responses to sucrose, caffeine, and acid (**Fig. 1i, 1j**). In summary, *alka* is responsible for the gustatory sensation of high pH rather than other taste substances tested.

Moreover, we were curious whether *alka* was also required to detect high pH contributed by weak bases in addition to strong bases such as NaOH. To test this idea, we chose sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃)⁵² because it is a weak nonvolatile base that

yields little interference from the olfactory system, enabling us to specifically determine its effect on taste. Furthermore, sodium carbonate is broadly present in the natural ecosystem, where many animals such as insects live and eat. Excessive carbonate consumption can imbalance pH homeostasis, consequently leading to alkalosis of the animal's body fluid ⁵³. Therefore, sodium carbonate is an ethologically and ecologically relevant taste substance frequently encountered by animals during food foraging and feeding. Our two-choice feeding and PER assays revealed that *alka*¹ flies showed significantly decreased aversions to basic foods containing Na₂CO₃ (**Fig. 2a, 2b**). Similar to NaOH, *alka*¹ mutants exhibited preferences for foods containing low concentrations of Na₂CO₃. This was due to the remaining attractive response to low salt because no attraction to Na₂CO₃ was observed in *alka*¹;*Ir76b*¹ double mutant flies (**Fig. 2a, 2b**). In support of this result, our tip recording analyses demonstrated that there was a significant decrease in the number of action potentials evoked by Na₂CO₃ in *alka*¹ mutants compared to wild-type controls (**Fig. 2c, 2d**). These behavioral and electrophysiological abnormalities were fully rescued in *alka*¹;*alka-Gal4/UAS-alka* flies (**Fig. 2a-d**). Collectively, we propose that *alka* is required to detect high pH produced by either strong or weak bases.

***alka* is expressed in a subset of GRNs in the fly labellum**

To examine the expression pattern of *alka* in the labellum, the fly's primary taste organ, we conducted immunohistochemical assays using Alka antibodies we generated in this study. We observed a group of immunoreactive GRNs present in the wild type (**Fig. 3a**) but not in the *alka*¹ mutant (**Fig. 3b**), affirming the specificity of the Alka antibody. Moreover, we generated a promoter *Gal4* line for *alka* and examined the

expression of the *alka-Gal4* using a GFP reporter⁵⁴. We found that the *alka-Gal4* was specifically expressed in a subset of GRNs, a bipolar-neuron type with characteristic structures including dendrite, soma, and axon (**Fig. 3c**). In agreement with our tip recordings, the dendrites of *alka*-expressing GRNs mostly innervated S-type sensilla (**Fig. 3d**). Our double-labeling of *alka-Gal4/UAS-mCD8GFP* flies revealed that nearly all the *alka-Gal4* GRNs colocalized with those marked by Alka antibodies (**Fig. 3e-g**), indicating that the *alka-Gal4* mostly reflected the endogenous expression of *alka* in the fly proboscis. Further, we found that only a small percentage of GRNs specified by the *alka-Gal4* (21.6%) overlapped with *Gr66a*-expressing (bitter) GRNs (**Fig. 3h**)⁵⁵, while showing little colocalization with *Gr64f*-expressing (sweet) GRNs (**Fig. 3i**)^{15,56} or *Ir76b*-expressing GRNs involved in the taste sensations of low concentrations of salt (**Fig. 3j**)^{16,18} and other substances⁵⁷. Furthermore, the *alka*-expressing GRNs exhibited no obvious overlap with other types of GRNs, such as *ppk28*-expressing GRNs responsible for detecting water (**Fig. 3k**)^{58,59} or *ppk23*-expressing GRNs involved in sensing high concentrations of calcium as well as pheromones (**Fig. 3l**)^{28,60}. Additionally, the axons of alkaline GRNs projected to the subesophageal ganglion zone (SEZ), a brain region involved in processing taste signals (**Fig. 3m**). In addition to the fly labellum, we found that *alka-Gal4* was also expressed in chemosensory neurons at the tarsus, the last segment of the fly leg (**Extended Data Fig. 4a, 4b**). To determine whether the *alka*-expressing tarsal neurons contributed to the detection of high pH, we used high pH solutions to selectively stimulate the tarsal segment rather than the proboscis of the fly while performing PER assays. In keeping with our previous PERs collected on the fly proboscis, *alka*¹ mutant flies exhibited significant deficits in rejecting high pH. This

defect was restored to normal in *alka*¹;*alka-Gal4/UAS-alka* rescue flies (**Extended Data Fig. 4c**), suggesting that *alka* was also required at the tarsi to sense high pH from the external environment. Additionally, *alka-Gal4* expression was detected in the peripheral olfactory organs such as the antenna and maxillary palp (**Extended Data Fig. 4d, 4e**). Strikingly, we failed to observe any *alka-Gal4* expression in the whole fly brain except the axonal projections of chemosensory neurons from the labellum, the antenna, and the maxillary palp (**Fig. 3m**). Consistently, we observed little, if any, Alka expression in the central brain of the wild-type adult fly (**Extended Data Fig. 4f**). This distinct expression pattern of *alka* further substantiated the notion that Alka mainly functioned as a chemoreceptor instead of a neurotransmitter receptor like GlyR, as the latter is typically expressed broadly in the brain ⁶¹.

It has been established that there are four GRNs embedded underneath each of the 12 S-type taste hairs ⁵¹. Consistent with the literature ⁵⁵, we found that most S-type sensilla (S1-S12) housed one GRN expressing *Gr66a*. Moreover, most S-type sensilla included one *alka*-expressing GRN. A portion of the S-type taste hairs contained *ppk23*-expressing GRNs and *Gr64f*-expressing GRNs. Additionally, we observed few *ppk28*-expressing GRNs innervating the S-type taste sensilla; instead, most of them innervated the L-type sensilla (**Extended Data Figure 4g**). In keeping with our anatomical results, no obvious water-induced neuronal spikes were detected at the S-type sensilla in wild-type or *ppk28* mutant flies, indicating that *ppk28* is not functionally required at the S-type sensilla. Our finding is consistent with the established role of *ppk28* in attractive water taste sensation at the L-type sensilla ^{58,59}.

Alka forms a high-pH-activated Cl⁻ channel

At this stage, our findings implied that Alka was likely a direct sensor for high pH. Moreover, protein sequence analysis revealed that the fly Alka protein showed a low level of homology (30% identity) to vertebrate GlyRs, such as glycine receptor alpha1 (GlyRa1), in zebrafish, mice, and humans (**Extended Data Fig. 5**). Since GlyRs are Cl⁻ channels^{35,62}, we deduced that Alka might form a high-pH-activated ion channel. To test this hypothesis, we used whole-cell patch-clamp recording⁶ to interrogate the potential channel function of Alka in heterologous HEK293 cells. We observed that Alka proteins were expressed at the surface of HEK293 cells (**Extended Data Fig. 6a**), making them suitable for whole-cell patch-clamp analyses. To stimulate the HEK293 cells, we used a micropipette to locally apply basic isotonic solutions to the patched cells (**Extended Data Fig. 6b**). Of primary significance, Alka-expressing HEK293 cells generate robust inward currents when stimulated by basic isotonic solutions (pH 12). In contrast, few currents were detected in control cells without Alka expression (**Fig. 4a, 4b**). To ascertain what ions contribute to the inward conductance, we replaced the ions in the external bath and internal pipette solutions. Under isotonic conditions, when CsCl was used as the internal solution and N-methyl-D-glucamine (NMDG)-Cl or NMDG-gluconate as the external solution (NMDG and gluconate ions are membrane-impermeable)⁶, we still detected comparable levels of inward currents (**Fig. 4a, 4b**). However, when we further replaced the internal Cl⁻ with membrane-impermeable methanesulfonate (MeSO₄⁻), we observed no obvious currents (**Fig. 4a, 4b**). Therefore, our ion replacements suggested that the high-pH-evoked inward currents were primarily due to Cl⁻ efflux instead of Na⁺ influx through the Alka channel. To further establish that Alka encodes a Cl⁻ ion channel, we analyzed differential activation of the Alka channel

by high pH (pH 12) under a series of intracellular chloride concentrations. Increasing the intracellular chloride concentration potentiated inward currents considerably (**Fig. 4c, 4d**) and positively shifted the reversal potential (E_{rev}) (**Fig. 4e, 4f**) in a manner closely following the prediction of Nernst equation for a chloride-selective ion channel³⁵. The current/voltage (I/V) relationship curve of the Alka channel was nearly linear, indicating that its conductance was mostly independent of voltage gating (**Fig. 4e**). Further, we used bi-ionic conditions to analyze the Alka channel's permeability for Cl⁻ relative to other anions such as F⁻, Br⁻, and I⁻. We found that the Alka channel was essentially conductive to all halide ions with a slight preference for I⁻ and Br⁻ over Cl⁻ (**Extended Data Fig. 6c-e**). Furthermore, we found that Alka-expressing HEK293 cells failed to produce any obvious currents when stimulated by isotonic acidic solutions (pH 2.0-6.0) (**Extended Data Fig. 6f, 6g**). Moreover, no obvious currents were detected when the Alka-expressing HEK293 cells were stimulated by glycine (**Extended Data Fig. 6h, 6i**) or γ -Aminobutyric acid (GABA) (**Extended Data Fig. 6j, 6k**) within the physiological concentration range (less than 1 mM)⁶³. Collectively, our whole-cell patch-clamp analyses indicate that Alka forms a high-pH-activated Cl⁻ channel.

Topologically resembling the glycine-gated Cl⁻ channels⁶⁴, the Alka channel complex is predicted to be a pentamer with five transmembrane segments 2 (TM2) helices lining the ion pore (**Fig. 5a, 5b**). We focused on an evolutionarily conserved proline residue P276 located in the TM2 segment (**Fig. 5a-c**), given that proline residues play important roles in shaping the conformation of transmembrane helices⁶⁵. To determine the function of P276, we mutated it to alanine (A). Like wild-type Alka, Alka^{P276A} was readily detected at the cell surface of HEK293 cells (**Extended Data Fig.**

6a). For HEK293 cells expressing wild-type Alka, the amplitude of inward current significantly elevated as the pH of the basic isotonic solution increased from 10 to 13. However, few currents were detectable in cells expressing the Alka^{P276A} mutant (**Fig. 5d-f**), demonstrating that P276 is critical for Cl⁻ permeation across the channel. In summary, we propose Alka as a high-pH-gated Cl⁻ channel. This raises the question of how fly GRNs sense high pH through Alka. Typically, the intracellular Cl⁻ concentration in the fly taste receptor neurons is higher than in the extracellular lymph⁶⁶. Thus, we inferred that the opening of Alka channels by hydroxide (OH⁻) ions led to massive Cl⁻ efflux from the GRN, thereby depolarizing the GRN (**Fig. 5g**).

Alka is sufficient to form an alkaline taste receptor *in vivo*

To determine whether the Alka channel is sufficient to sense basic food *in vivo*, we misexpressed Alka or Alka^{P276A} at sweet GRNs using the *Gr64f-Gal4* as a driver^{14,15} in an *alka¹* mutant background, as *Gr64f*-expressing GRNs were separate from *alka*-expressing GRNs (**Fig. 3i**). We chose one of the L-type sensilla, L7, to conduct tip recording assays because the L7 sensilla showed quite weak responses to the stimuli of high pH (**Extended Data Fig. 3a**) as well as salt¹⁶. We found that flies misexpressing wild-type Alka in sweet GRNs acquired a robust sensitivity to high pH (**Fig. 6a, 6b**). In contrast, sweet GRNs misexpressing Alka^{P276A} produced few action potentials in response to high-pH stimuli (**Fig. 6a, 6b**). Further, the control flies such as *alka¹;Gr64f-Gal4* or *alka¹;UAS-alka* failed to produce robust spikes in response to high-pH stimuli (**Extended Data Fig. 7a, 7b**). Furthermore, L7 sensilla misexpressing wild-type Alka or Alka^{P276A} yielded comparable responses to sucrose (50 mM) (**Extended Data Fig. 7c, 7d**), indicating that the failure of sweet GRNs misexpressing Alka^{P276A} to evoke action

potentials responding to high-pH stimuli was not due to the dysfunction of these GRNs. Next, we allowed these flies to choose between basic foods comprising 0.1-100 mM NaOH and neutral foods containing the same concentration of NaCl. Of great interest, selectively misexpressing Alka in *Gr64f*-expressing GRNs enabled the flies to show a progressively increasing preference for basic foods as the food pH rises from 10 to 13, whereas Alka^{P276A}-misexpressing flies, like *alka*¹ mutant flies (**Fig. 1b**), displayed significant defects in avoiding alkaline food (**Fig. 6c**). Furthermore, our PER assays revealed that Alka-misexpressing flies also exhibited significantly increased PERs to alkaline food when compared to Alka^{P276A}-misexpressing flies (**Fig. 6d**). Altogether, we propose Alka is sufficient to serve as a taste sensor for high pH *in vivo*.

***alka*-expressing GRNs regulate feeding responses**

To exclusively manipulate the activities of *alka*-expressing GRNs, we employed the *Orco-Gal80*^{67,68} line to repress the *alka-Gal4* expression in olfactory organs (**Extended Data Fig. 8a, 8b**) while leaving its expression in GRNs intact (**Extended Data Fig. 8c, 8d**). Next, we selectively expressed a red-shifted channelrhodopsin *CsChrimson*⁶⁹ in *alka*-positive GRNs. We then acutely activated *alka*-positive GRNs with red light, to which flies are almost blind, greatly minimizing the visual interference. Control flies readily accepted an offered drop of sucrose (500 mM), even under very strong red light (**Extended Data Movie 1** and **Extended Data Movie 1 caption**). Similarly, both *alka-Gal4;UAS-CsChrimson* and *alka-Gal4,UAS-CsChrimson;Orco-Gal80* flies also preferred sucrose in the absence of red light (**Fig. 7a**); however, upon stimulation with a moderate level of red light, the same flies instantly retracted their proboscis to reject sucrose (**Fig. 7b, Extended Data Movie 2, and Extended Data**

Movie 2 caption). These flies showed substantially enhanced avoidance of sucrose as the red-light intensity increased (**Fig. 7c, 7d**). Thus, our results implied that alkaline taste negatively influences sweet taste, presumably through cross-modal inhibition. Furthermore, we selectively inactivated *alka*-expressing GRNs using a neuronal tetanus toxin (TNT)⁷⁰ and subsequently examined their feeding responses to alkaline food. Similar to the *alka*¹ mutant, suppressing the activity of *alka*-expressing-GRNs caused severe defects in aversion to basic foods (**Fig. 7e, 7f**). Like *alka*¹ mutants, flies with suppressed alkaline GRNs preferred basic foods. We deduced that this was due to the attractive response to low concentrations of Na⁺ from NaOH when the aversion to OH⁻ was abolished. In summary, our studies establish that *alka* and *alka*-expressing GRNs are both necessary and sufficient to perceive highly basic food.

Our cell biological studies revealed that alkaline GRNs show a small fraction of overlap with bitter GRNs marked by *Gr66a* (**Fig. 3h**). This raised a question as to whether alkaline taste and bitter tastes are distinct from each other. The double-labeling approach with two fluorescent reporters has limitations in discerning the relative localizations among different GRN markers. For example, two fluorescent-reporter transgenes, when combined in one animal, may affect each other's GRN morphology, number, or even innervation pattern. To overcome these problems and better evaluate the relative localization between *Gr66a*- and *alka*-expressing GRNs in the fly labellum, we employed the Gal80-based intersectional genetic approach⁷¹. Typically, the transcriptional repressor Gal80 potently inhibits the activity of Gal4, a transcriptional factor used to drive the expression of a reporter in the *UAS/Gal4* system⁷². As a result, Gal80 effectively represses GFP-reporter expression driven by Gal4 only when Gal80

and Gal4 are present in the same cell. To ascertain whether *alka*-expressing GRNs are distinct from *Gr66a*-expressing GRNs, we examined the expression of *alka-Gal4/UAS-GFP* in the presence of *Gr66a-Gal80*. When the *Gr66a-Gal4;UAS-GFP* (*Gr66a-GFP*) reporter was combined with the *Gal80* alone, since no *Gal80* was expressed, *Gr66a*-positive GRNs were easily detected by the *Gr66a-GFP* reporter (**Extended Data Figure 9a**). In contrast, after expressing *Gal80* repressors in *Gr66a*-positive GRNs using the *Gr66a-Gal80* driver, we failed to observe any obvious GRNs, indicating that expression of *Gr66a-GFP* reporter was successfully shut down by *Gr66a-Gal80* (**Extended Data Figure 9b**). Of great interest, we found that even in the presence of *Gr66a-Gal80*, most of the *alka*-expressing GRNs are still readily detected based on GFP expression. Moreover, the remaining *alka*-expressing GRNs mostly innervate S-type sensilla such as S6 (**Extended Data Figure 9c**). In support of our double-labeling assays, our intersectional genetic studies demonstrate that *alka*-expressing GRNs were largely separate from *Gr66a*-expressing GRNs in the fly labellum.

Next, we used the optogenetic approach to selectively activate the subgroup of alkaline GRNs with little overlap with bitter GRNs. We found that the *alka-CsChrimson;Gr66a-Gal80* (*alka-Gal4,UAS-CsChrimson;Gr66a-lexA,LexAop-Gal80*) flies immediately rejected sucrose as soon as the *alka*-expressing GRNs were activated by light (**Fig. 7c**). This suggested that this subset of alkaline GRNs was sufficient to repress sucrose feeding when acutely activated. Furthermore, we employed *Gr66a-Gal80* to inactivate bitter GRNs when we silenced *alka*-expressing alkaline GRNs with the neuronal toxin TNT. We used PER assays to examine the resultant fly's taste response to NaOH, sucrose, and bitter substances such as caffeine. We found that in

the absence of overlapping bitter GRNs, the remaining alkaline GRNs were sufficient to avoid basic food (**Extended Data Fig. 9c**). Moreover, silencing the alkaline GRNs with TNT had no statistically significant effect on the PER responses to sucrose or caffeine (**Extended Data Fig. 9c**). Meanwhile, silencing bitter GRNs failed to cause defects in aversion to alkaline food (**Extended Data Fig. 9c**). As controls, inactivating *ppk23*-expressing or *ppk28*-expressing GRNs with TNT yielded little effect on the feeding responses to basic food (**Extended Data Fig. 9c**). Altogether, our work suggests that alkaline taste is sensed by the Alka channel and *alka*-expressing GRNs in *Drosophila*.

Discussion

Since most organisms' optimal physiological activities and enzymatic reactions can occur only in a narrow pH range (around 7.4), excessively high pH can disrupt acid-base balance and lead to alkalosis of the body, a life-threatening condition^{53,73}. There are many places where organisms can encounter high-pH conditions in their ecosystem such as in the food and water they may consume. Moreover, many naturally occurring toxins, including alkaloids and aqueous ammonia, are quite basic. Ethological research has documented well-defined behavioral responses to basic pH in a large variety of species, such as nematodes^{74,75}, insects⁹, fish⁷⁶, and mammals^{7,8,77}. The impact of alkaline pH on fly physiology has been well documented across a variety of studies. It has been reported that the fly's overall body pH exhibits a dynamic change over the course of development: the body pH starts as approximately neutral at the larval stage and gradually becomes more acidic as the animal advances to the pupal and adult stages⁷⁸. In addition, there is remarkable variation in the luminal pH at different regions

of the fly midgut, with its posterior segment more alkaline ⁷⁹. As a result, alkaline pH sensation is strongly implicated in fly health and longevity. Flies fed moderately alkaline diets display significantly reduced lifespan and survivability ⁸⁰. Furthermore, chronic exposure to a highly alkaline environment impairs development, shortens lifespan, and causes lethality ⁸¹. Consequently, female flies robustly avoid alkaline substrates when selecting a location to deposit eggs ⁸¹. Taken together, alkaline pH sensation serves as an essential self-protection strategy that enables flies and other animal species to effectively avoid toxic environments during food foraging and habitat selection. We propose that alkaline taste dramatically increases the fly's evolutionary fitness by enhancing its survival, growth, and reproduction.

Alkaloids taste very bitter and are poorly soluble, meaning their strong bitterness can confound the investigation of the taste component solely contributed by high pH. In addition, ammonia is highly volatile and can interfere with the contact-dependent taste sensation by strongly activating the olfactory system. To avoid these limitations, we chose NaOH and Na₂CO₃ in our feeding assays because these two basic substances are simple and ecologically relevant. Our molecular genetic study demonstrates that flies have the capability to avoid highly basic food mainly through their gustatory system. Based on our findings, the fly shows specific and robust taste responses to basic food, suggesting that it is a well-suited model organism to explore alkaline taste perception. We provide several lines of evidence supporting that *Alka* is a taste sensor specifically tuned to high pH. First, *alka* is expressed in a subset of GRNs in the fly labellum, which functions similarly to the mammalian tongue. The *alka*-expressing GRNs are both necessary and sufficient to detect basic food. Second, *alka*¹ mutant flies

show significantly reduced aversion to basic food. Third, using in vivo electrophysiological analyses, we found that the S-type sensilla of *alka*¹ flies exhibit significantly reduced responses to high pH but maintain normal responses to bitter compounds such as caffeine. Lastly, misexpressing *alka* in sweet GRNs attracts the flies to the otherwise aversive basic food. In summary, our work establishes that Alka is a bona fide taste receptor dedicated to sensing food basicity in *Drosophila*.

Over the past 20 years, the fly model has made enormous contributions to the discovery of various classes of ionotropic taste receptors²⁴. These include gustatory receptors (GRs)^{11,31,82}, ionotropic glutamate receptors (IRs)^{16,32,83,84}, otopetrin (Otop) channels^{6,20}, transient-receptor-potential (TRP) channels^{33,85-87}, and the degenerin/epithelial Na⁺ channels (DEG/ENaC) or pickpocket (Ppk) channels^{58,59}. Of great interest, Alka shows remarkable differences from previously identified gustatory receptors because it is an anion Cl⁻ channel, whereas the IRs, Otops, TRPs, and Ppks are cation channels. Therefore, we believe our identification of the Cl⁻ channel Alka as an alkaline taste receptor is significant and innovative, adding another class of receptors to the diverse taste receptor repertoire in *Drosophila*.

The combination of optogenetic and intersectional genetic approaches enables us to selectively manipulate the activity of *alka*-expressing GRNs at will. We demonstrate that acutely activating *alka*-expressing GRNs alone is sufficient to trigger aversive taste responses, supporting the conclusion that *alka*-expressing GRNs are both necessary and sufficient for alkaline taste sensation. Like bitter taste, alkaline taste can suppress sweet taste responses. Although the neuronal mechanism underlying this cross-modal inhibition is currently not clear, our assay serves as a robust behavioral paradigm

allowing us to screen for the higher-order neurons mediating the integration between alkaline taste and sweet taste.

The membrane potential of a living cell is established and maintained by the flows of different cation and anion species, such as Na⁺, K⁺, and Cl⁻, across the plasma membrane. While extensive studies have focused on the roles played by cations and cation channels in the regulation of membrane excitability, the functional significance of the anion Cl⁻ and Cl⁻ channels had been overlooked. In recent years, Cl⁻ has emerged as an essential player in electrical signal transduction, and great progress has been made toward the molecular identification of various types of Cl⁻ channels such as the acid-sensitive Cl⁻ channel PAC/TMEM206^{88,89}. Our work demonstrates that Alka functions as a distinct Cl⁻ channel because it is strongly activated by highly basic pH (pH₅₀, 11.9). In contrast to other LGCCs such as pHCl⁴⁴, Alka is insensitive to slightly basic or acidic pH. Thus, Alka is well suited to act as an external sensor to detect high pH in the natural ecosystem, which can become noxiously basic due to excessive carbonation or nitration.

Protein sequence analyses suggest that Alka is distantly related to glycine-gated Cl⁻ channels in vertebrates. Nevertheless, we found that Alka functions as a taste receptor rather than acting as a glycine or GABA receptor, as Alka is not activated by physiological concentrations of glycine or GABA (**Extended Data Fig. 6h-k**). In support of this notion, Alka is selectively expressed in the chemosensory organs. The functional divergence of Alka from canonical GlyRs is reminiscent of the evolution of the IR family in *Drosophila*³², which adopted chemosensory functions as olfactory or gustatory receptors in the periphery rather than as glutamate neurotransmitter receptors in the

brain. In summary, by discovering that Alka is a high-pH-activated Cl⁻ channel in taste receptor cells, our work provides significant insights into the highly diversified nature of Cl⁻ channels in terms of gating and function.

Upon further interrogation of the channel properties of Alka using patch-clamp experiments, we conclude that Alka mainly conducts Cl⁻. This creates a logical quandary, as mature neurons in the brain usually experience an intracellular-facing Cl⁻ gradient, and the Cl⁻ influx in mature neurons is hyperpolarizing instead of depolarizing. However, the answer lies in the unusual distribution of the Cl⁻ gradient across the fly GRN. From the perspective of comparative physiology, the extracellular receptor lymph of the fly GRN is analogous to the saliva bathing taste receptor cells in humans, which contains lower levels of Cl⁻ than does blood plasma⁹⁰. Furthermore, like mammalian olfactory sensory neurons⁹¹ and airway epithelial cells⁹², the Cl⁻ concentration of the extracellular receptor lymph appears to be even lower than the cytosol of the taste receptor neuron in flies⁶⁶. Thus, Alka perfectly fits the unusual ionic milieu of fly GRNs. Based on our model, in response to OH⁻ stimuli, Alka is induced to adopt an open conformation, which leads to the flow of intracellular Cl⁻ out of the GRN. The Cl⁻ efflux depolarizes the GRN and results in the production of action potentials, thereby enabling the animals to sense alkaline food. In summary, our work highlights the significance of Cl⁻ and Cl⁻ channels in regulating taste transduction.

In conclusion, we propose that Alka, which forms a previously unknown OH⁻-gated Cl⁻ channel, is a taste receptor responsible for sensing the alkaline pH of food. As far as we know, Alka represents an important Cl⁻ channel identified in the animal kingdom that is dedicated to sensing highly basic food. Furthermore, by showing that basic pH has a

discrete taste in *Drosophila*, our work resolves a long-standing debate as to whether alkaline taste really exists. Moreover, we have demonstrated that Alka functions as a Cl⁻ channel that is activated by highly basic pH. Therefore, our molecular discovery of Alka as a taste receptor of alkaline pH advances our understanding of the roles and modes of activation of Cl⁻ channels. Finally, given that detecting basic pH is crucial for food selection across many different species, our work provides the conceptual basis for investigating the neuronal mechanisms underlying alkaline taste sensations in other animals.

Methods

1. Flies

All fly strains were raised on a standard cornmeal and sucrose media. The flies used in this study are as follows: wild type (*w*¹¹¹⁸)⁶, *alka*¹ (generated in this study), *Gr66a*^{Ex83 55}, *Gr33a*^{1 82}, *Ir76b*^{1 16}, *Ir25a*^{1 32}, *trpl*^{302 85}, *trpA1*^{1 87}, *otopla*^{1 6}, *tmc*^{1 49}, *CG12344*^{MI11416} (RRID_BDSC_56318), *ort*¹ (RRID_BDSC_1133)⁴², *Rdl*^{MD-RR} (RRID_BDSC_1675)³⁷, *UAS-Grd RNAi* (RRID_BDSC_29589)⁴⁰, *UAS-HisCl1 RNAi* (RRID_BDSC_28013)⁹³, *UAS-GluCla RNAi* (RRID_BDSC_53356)⁴¹, *UAS-pHCl-1 RNAi* (RRID_BDSC_38909)⁴⁴, *UAS-pHCl-2 RNAi* (RRID_BDSC_26003)⁴⁵, *UAS-Lcch3 RNAi* (RRID_BDSC_50668)³⁷, *UAS-CG6927 RNAi* (RRID_BDSC_25895), *UAS-CG7589 RNAi* (RRID_BDSC_27090), *UAS-CG8916 RNAi* (RRID_BDSC_39031), *UAS-CG12344 RNAi* (RRID_BDSC_26250), *UAS-alka* (generated in this study), *UAS-alka*^{P276A} (generated in this study), *UAS-mCD8GFP* (RRID_BDSC_5137)⁵⁴, *alka-Gal4* (generated in this study), *Gr66a-Gal4* (RRID_BDSC_57670)⁵⁵, *Gr64f-LexA*^{56,94}, *Gr66a-LexA*^{94,95}, *Ir76b-*

*QF*¹⁶, *ppk23-LexA*⁹⁴, *ppk28-LexA*⁹⁴, *QUAS-mtdTomato* (RRID_BDSC_30005)⁹⁶, *Orco-Gal80* (RRID_BDSC_80559)⁶⁸, *LexAop-Gal80* (RRID_BDSC_32215), and *LexAop-mCherry* (RRID_BDSC_52271)⁹⁷.

2. Antibodies and molecular genetics

The detailed information for antibodies, transgenic fly lines, and molecular cloning are available in the Supplementary Information.

3. Immunocytochemistry

The fly proboscis and brain tissues were dissected in ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed with fresh 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 minutes at room temperature. We washed the samples at room temperature with PBS solution four times for 30 minutes each. We incubated them with primary antibodies in 1x PBS containing 0.3% Triton™ X-100 (PBST) for 48 hours at 4 °C. In this study, we used the following primary antibodies: rabbit anti-GFP (1:200 Thermo Fisher, catalog number A-11122, RRID:AB_221569); mouse anti-GFP (1:200, Thermo Fisher, catalog number A11120, RRID:AB_221568); mouse anti-nc82 (1:50, DSHB, catalog number nc82, RRID:AB_528108); mouse anti-mCherry (1:50, DSHB, catalog number DSHB-mCherry-3A11, RRID:AB_2617430); mouse anti-Myc (1:100, Cell Center Services, University of Pennsylvania, catalog number 3207); and rabbit anti-Alka polyclonal antibody (1:200, generated in this study).

Following incubation with primary antibodies, we rewashed the samples with a 1x PBST solution four times for 30 minutes each and incubated them in secondary antibodies for 24 hours at 4 °C. We used the following secondary antibodies: goat anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 488 (Jackson Immuno Research, catalog number 111-545-003,

RRID:AB_2338046); goat anti-rabbit AlexaFluor 594 (1:200, Jackson Immuno Research, catalog number 111-585-003, RRID:AB_2338059); goat anti-mouse AlexaFluor 488 (1:200, Jackson Immuno Research, catalog number 115-545-003, RRID:AB_2338840); and donkey anti-mouse AlexaFluor 594 (1:200, Jackson Immuno Research, catalog number 715-585-150, RRID:AB_2340854). After overnight incubation, we washed the samples in 1x PBST solution four times for 30 minutes each and incubated them in VECTASHIELD antifade mounting medium (Vectorlabs, catalog number H-1200) overnight. After incubation, we mounted the samples on transparent glass slides (Electron Microscopy Sciences, catalog number 71870-10) with thin coverslips (Electron Microscopy Sciences, catalog number 72200-11).

For the immunostaining of cultured HEK293 cells, the HEK293 cells transfected with *pCS2+MT-Myc-alka* or *pCS2+MT-Myc-alka^{P276A}* were cultured on a coverslip (Fisher Scientific, catalog number 12542BP) for 24-48 hours. After washing the coverslip with 1x PBS, we fixed the cells using fresh 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 minutes. We washed the residual paraformaldehyde away from the coverslip with 1x PBST. We incubated the cells with mouse anti-Myc monoclonal antibodies (1:100, Cell Center Services, University of Pennsylvania, catalog number 3207) overnight at 4 °C. Next, we washed the coverslip with 1x PBST three times for 30 minutes each and added goat anti-mouse AlexaFluor 488 antibodies (1:200, Jackson Immuno Research, catalog number 115-545-003, RRID:AB_2338840). We incubated the coverslip with secondary antibodies at 4 °C overnight. After washing the coverslip with 1x PBST, we mounted the cells with the VECTASHIELD antifade mounting medium.

We imaged and analyzed the stained tissues or cells using Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscopy (Cell and Developmental Microscopy Core, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA).

4. Cell culture and transient transfection

We cultured and transfected human embryonic kidney 293T (HEK293T) cells as described previously⁶. Briefly, HEK293T cells were cultured at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ in Opti-MEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. We placed the cells in 35-mm Petri dishes before transfection. When the cell density reached about 70% confluency, we transfected the *pCS2+MT-alka* or *pCS2+MT-alka^{P276A}* construct with the *pcDNA3-GFP* reporter plasmid (molar ratio: 5:1) into HEK293T cells using the Lipofectamine 3000 transfection reagent. Cells transfected only with the *pcDNA3-GFP* reporter plasmid served as controls. We plated the cells onto glass coverslips (6 mm) for patch-clamp recordings 2-3 days after transfection.

5. Whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology

We used whole-cell patch-clamp recordings to examine the channel properties of Alka or Alka^{P276A}. To perform whole-cell recordings, we used a MultiClamp 700B amplifier and an Axon Digidata 1440A digitizer (Molecular Devices). The bath solution contained 150 mM NaCl and 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4 with HCl, 320 mOsm/kg). The pipette solution consisted of 150 mM CsCl, 2 mM Mg-ATP, and 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4 with CsOH, 320 mOsm/kg). Using the P-1000 micropipette puller (Sutter Instrument Co.) we made the patch pipettes (6–10 MΩ) from borosilicate glass capillaries. Then we used a Microforge MF- 900 (Narishige) to polish the tips of the glass pipettes. We used Clampex10.5 software to record the electrophysiological signals.

We chose the green-fluorescence-positive cells randomly for recordings. After forming the whole-cell configuration, positive pressure was applied from a nitrogen tank using a Picospritzer III (Parker) to a stimulating glass pipette (3 μm in diameter) containing a stimulus such as alkaline, acidic buffer, glycine, or γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA). The stimulating solution was delivered to the patched cell at a very close range (5-10 μm from the cell). For solutions used to stimulate the HEK293 cells, the alkalization or acidification of the NMDG-Cl buffer was adjusted with CsOH or HCl, respectively. The glycine or GABA-containing solution was prepared by diluting a 1 M glycine or 100 mM GABA stock to the indicated glycine or GABA concentration with the bath solutions (150 mM NaCl and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4). The osmolarities of high-pH, low-pH, glycine, or GABA solutions were adjusted to 320 mOsm/kg. The currents were recorded at a holding potential of -70 mV or ramped from -80 mV to +80 mV at room temperature. We analyzed the data with Clampfit 10.5, and only gigaohm-sealed cells were selected for subsequent data analysis.

For ion replacement experiments, 150 mM NaCl was replaced by isosmotic bath solutions, including 150 mM NMDG-Cl or 150 mM NMDG-gluconate. The pipette solution contained 150 mM CsCl or 150 mM Cs-methanesulfonate, 2 mM Mg-ATP, and 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4 with CsOH, 320 mOsm/kg). To test the relative permeability, NaCl was replaced with equimolar NaF, NaBr, or NaI. The pipette solution contained (in mM): 150 CsCl, 2 Mg-ATP, and 10 HEPES (pH 7.4, 320 mOsm/kg). To calculate the relative permeability of a particular anion versus Cl⁻, we used the Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz equation $E_r(X) - E_r(\text{Cl}) = (RT/zF)\ln(P_x[X]_o / P_{\text{Cl}}[\text{Cl}]_o)$. To determine the effects of intracellular Cl⁻ concentrations on the conductance of Alka, we used the following

pipette solutions (in mM): (1) 15 CsCl, 135 Cs-methanesulfonate; (2) 50 CsCl, 100 Cs-methanesulfonate; (3) 100 CsCl, 50 Cs-methanesulfonate; and (4) 150 CsCl. All pipette solutions also received 2 mM Mg-ATP and 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4 with CsOH, 320 mOsm/kg).

6. Two-choice feeding assays

We assayed food preference between two different food options using the well-established two-choice feeding assay. Flies between two and four days old were wet-starved for 24 hours. After starvation, we placed flies in an evenly partitioned Petri dish containing two types of food. One side contained 2 mM glucose and the experimental taste chemical (e.g., NaOH) in 1% agarose, and the other side comprised 2 mM glucose in 1% agarose. To determine food preference, we mixed each food with either blue dye (Fujifilm Wako Chemicals, catalog number 027-12842) or red dye (MiliporeSigma, catalog number S9012-5G). We adjusted the red and blue dye concentration for all genotypes tested to eliminate the flies' potential bias to either dye. After 90 min of feeding in dark conditions, flies were examined under light microscopy, and the color of food showing through their abdomens was used as an indicator of which food they ate. The preference index (PI) was calculated by the following equation:

$PI = (N_{red} - N_{blue}) / (N_{red} + N_{blue} + N_{purple})$ if the test food was mixed with red dye, or

$PI = (N_{blue} - N_{red}) / (N_{red} + N_{blue} + N_{purple})$ if the test food was mixed with blue dye.

A PI of zero indicates no preference, and a PI ranging from +1 to -1 indicates that one food is more attractive than the other. In each trial (n), approximately 70 flies were tested. To eliminate experimental bias, we encoded fly genotypes while setting up the

assay, so that a separate researcher who counted the assay would be blind to genotype and experimental condition.

7. PER and optogenetics assays

To conduct the proboscis-extension-reflex (PER) assay, we wet-starved 2- to 4-day-old flies for 6-12 hours. To restrict contact with the stimulus solely to the proboscis, we wedged each fly into the barrel of a 10- μ l pipette tip. The narrow tip end was trimmed back to expose the fly's head and proboscis. The rest of the fly, such as the legs, wings, and thorax, remained inside the tip. We then arranged the fly with its proboscis facing up and used a standard dissection microscope (AmScope, catalog number SM-1TSZ-144) to visualize PER responses. We applied a single drop (2-5 μ l) of test solutions to the proboscis and immediately recorded any PER responses. To perform optogenetics, using the same fly preparation, we stimulated the fly proboscis with red LED light (AmScope, catalog number LED-RGB-60W) and immediately assessed the PER response. The representative videos of PER response in the presence or absence of red light stimuli were captured by a Basler ace USB camera (Basler, acA2000-165uc). In each trial (n), 10 or more flies were assayed, and the PER percentage was calculated by the percentage of the total number of flies that responded to the given stimulus.

8. Tip recordings

We performed tip recordings according to our published work ⁶. Specifically, we used the P-1000 micropipette puller to make a long-tapered reference glass pipette. The sharp end of this pipette was impaled into the thorax of flies, whose ages ranged from 2 to 4 days old. Next, we carefully maneuvered the reference pipette through the fly neck to reach the distal end of the proboscis. The reference pipette solution (pH 7.2)

comprised (in mM) 130 NaCl, 2 CaCl₂, 2 KCl, 40 sucrose, and 10 HEPES. We stimulated taste sensilla with a micropipette filled with specific taste solutions. In the recording pipette, KCl (1 mM) was used as an electrolyte for NaOH, Na₂CO₃, NaCl, caffeine, quinine, or HCl, whereas tricholine citrate (30 mM) served as an electrolyte for sucrose. The recording system included a computer-controlled taste probe, a head stage, and a data acquisition controller (Syntech). We used Autospike software (Syntech) to record the electrical signals generated by the GRNs. The taste spikes were sampled at a rate of 12,000 and filtered at 1kHz.

9. Quantification and statistical analysis

We used two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test to analyze interactions between two independent variables such as the genotype and the concentration, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test to analyze the differences among more than two experimental samples, and unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test for two experimental samples. We followed LaMorte's power calculation and our published work^{16,6,49} to determine the sample sizes and replicates. A *p* value less than 0.05 ($p < 0.05$) was considered statistically significant. For other experiments such as immunohistochemistry and gel electrophoresis, we independently repeated them at least three times.

Regarding the box-and-whisker plots presented in our paper, the center line indicates a median value, whereas the first and third quartiles are presented as the bottom and top boundary lines. The whiskers represent maxima and minima for each data set.

Data availability

All relevant data have been presented in this manuscript and its supplementary information. Any other related information is available upon request from Yali V. Zhang. In addition, we deposited the raw confocal videos for double-labeling experiments, including Fig. 3h (<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22029284>), Fig. 3i (<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22029131>), Fig. 3k (<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22029488>), and Fig. 3l (<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22029350>), in Figshare, a publicly accessible repository.

Acknowledgments

We thank Samuel Chan and Peter Nguyen for their technical contributions to this study. We also thank the Bloomington Drosophila Research Center for fly stocks and the Drosophila Genome Research Center for DNA clones. We appreciate the labs of Drs. Danielle R. Reed, Mehmet Hakan Ozdener, and Ichiro Matsumoto at the Monell Chemical Senses Center for sharing equipment and facilities. Our work was supported by the National Institute on Deafness and other Communication Disorders grants R01 DC018592 (Y.V.Z.) and R01 DC007864 (C.M.), the Ambrose Monell Foundation (Y.V.Z.), and the National Key Research and Development Program of China Project 2018YFA0108001 (Z.-Q.T.).

Author contributions

Y.V.Z. conceived of this work. T.M., J.O.M., W.K., Q.L., and L.Y. carried out the molecular genetics and feeding experiments. T.M. and Z.-Q.T. performed patch-clamp analyses. W.K., P.J., L.Y., and Y.V.Z. conducted immunohistochemistry and tip

recording assays. T.M., J.O.M., W.K., C.M., and Y.V.Z. interpreted the data and composed the manuscript. Y.V.Z. oversaw this project.

Competing interests

The authors involved in this study declare no competing interests.

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Behavioral and electrophysiological taste responses to alkaline foods

depend on *alka*¹. (a) Discrimination of neutral (pH 7) food comprising 2 mM glucose from basic foods (pH 10-13) containing 2 mM glucose plus 0.1-100 mM NaOH by wild-type (wt), *alka*¹ mutant, *Ir76b*¹ mutant, *alka*¹;*Ir76b*¹ double mutant, and rescue (*alka*¹;*alka-Gal4/UAS-alka*) flies. n=12 trials. Mean ± SEM, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc tests, **p*=0.045, *****p*<0.0001. (b) Feeding preference of neutral (pH 7) foods containing 2 mM glucose plus 0.1-100 mM NaCl versus basic foods (pH 10-13) comprising 2 mM glucose plus 0.1-100 mM NaOH among wt, *alka*¹ mutant, and rescue (*alka*¹;*alka-Gal4/UAS-alka*) flies. n=12 trials. Mean ± SEM, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc tests, ***p*=0.0037, *****p*<0.0001. (c) PERs to foods containing 30 mM sucrose with various concentrations of NaOH among wt, *alka*¹ mutant, *Ir76b*¹ mutant, *alka*¹;*Ir76b*¹ double mutant, and rescue (*alka*¹;*alka-Gal4/UAS-alka*) flies. n=12 trials. Mean ± SEM, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc tests, **p*=0.014, *****p*<0.0001. (d) Representative tip recording traces showing spikes elicited by S6 sensilla in wt, *alka*¹ mutant, and rescue (*alka*¹;*alka-Gal4/UAS-alka*) flies in response to alkaline pH stimuli. (e) Statistical analyses of the frequencies of spikes collected in d. n=15 flies. Mean ± SEM, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc tests. *****p*<0.0001. (f) PERs to sucrose

(50 mM, $p=0.418$), caffeine (10 mM, $p=0.583$), NaCl (10 mM, $p=0.359$), and HCl (1 mM, $p=0.884$) in wt and *alka*¹ flies. $n=12$ trials. Mean \pm SEM, unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests. (g, h) Representative spikes (g) and quantification of the frequencies of spikes (h) evoked by NaCl at L4 sensilla among wt, *alka*¹, and *alka*¹;*lr76b*¹ flies. $n=12$ flies. Mean \pm SEM, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc tests, $p=0.763$ (wt vs. *alka*¹), **** $p<0.0001$. (i, j) Representative spikes (i) and quantification of the frequencies of spikes (j) elicited by sucrose (50 mM, $p=0.753$) at L4 sensilla, caffeine (10 mM, $p=0.581$) at S6 sensilla, and HCl (1 mM, $p=0.881$) at L6 sensilla for wt and *alka*¹ flies. $n=12$ flies. Mean \pm SEM, unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests. Arrows indicate the onset of taste stimuli (d, g). n.s., not statistically significant.

Fig. 2. *alka* regulates the aversive feeding and physiological responses to foods containing sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃). (a) Feeding choices of wild-type (wt), *alka*¹ mutant, *lr76b*¹ mutant, *alka*¹;*lr76b*¹ double mutant, and rescue (*alka*¹;*alka-Gal4/UAS-alka*) flies when presented with neutral pH food containing 2 mM glucose and basic foods comprising 2 mM glucose mixed with varying concentrations of Na₂CO₃ (0.1 mM, pH 10; 1 mM, pH 10.4; 10 mM, pH 11; and 100 mM, pH 11.7). $n=11$ trials. Mean \pm SEM, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc tests, * $p=0.014$, *** $p=0.001$, **** $p<0.0001$. (b) PERs to liquid foods containing 30 mM sucrose and different concentrations of Na₂CO₃ among wt, *alka*¹ mutant, *lr76b*¹ mutant, *alka*¹;*lr76b*¹ double mutant, and rescue flies. $n=12$ trials. Mean \pm SEM, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc tests, *** $p=0.0003$, **** $p<0.0001$. (c) Representative action potentials evoked by 10 mM Na₂CO₃ (pH 11) at S6 sensilla for wt, *alka*¹ mutant, and rescue flies. The arrow indicates stimulus onset. (d) Statistical analyses of action potentials produced by S6 sensilla in response to the

indicated concentrations of Na₂CO₃ among wt, *alka*¹ mutant, and rescue flies. n=12 flies. Mean ± SEM, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc tests, *****p*<0.0001.

Fig. 3. Expression pattern of *alka* in the fly labellum. (a, b) Immunoreactivities of the Alka antibody in wild-type (wt) (a) and *alka*¹ mutant (b) labella. (c) GRNs detected by the *alka-Gal4;UAS-mCD8::GFP* reporter. (d) *alka*-expressing GRNs predominately innervate the S-type sensilla. Several S-type sensilla (S4-S8) are marked. (e-g) Double-labeling of the *alka-Gal4,UAS-mCD8::GFP* fly with (e) anti-GFP and (f) anti-Alka. The (g) merged image shows that anti-GFP and anti-Alka signals mostly colocalize. (h-l) Localization pattern of alkaline GRNs relative to (h) *Gr66a*-, (i) *Gr64f*-, (j) *Ir76b*-, (k) *ppk28*-, and (l) *ppk23*-expressing GRNs. (m) The projection pattern of *alka-Gal4,UAS-mCD8::GFP* in the fly brain. Subesophageal zone (SEZ), antennal lobe (AL). Scale bars: 10 μm (a-l), 50 μm (m).

Fig. 4. Alka forms a high-pH-activated Cl⁻ channel in HEK293 cells.

(a) Representative whole-cell currents evoked by the alkaline pH (pH 12) in HEK293 cells with or without Alka expression under different bath (out)/pipette (in) solutions (150 mM each): NaCl/CsCl, NMDG-Cl/CsCl, NMDG-gluconate/CsCl, and NMDG-gluconate/CsMeSO₄. (b) Statistical analyses of inward currents from a. Cells expressing Alka: n=12 for NaCl/CsCl, NMDG-Cl/CsCl, or NMDG-gluconate/CsCl; n=11 for NMDG-gluconate/CsMeSO₄. Cells without Alka expression: n=12 for NMDG-Cl/CsCl; n=11 for any other groups. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc tests, *p*=0.996 (NMDG-gluconate/CsMeSO₄: Alka vs. control), *****p*<0.0001, n.s., not statistically significant. (c) Whole-cell currents evoked by basic isotonic solutions (pH 12) in HEK293 cells with or without Alka expression. The pipette solutions contained various levels of CsCl (15-150

mM). Cs-methanesulfonate was used to maintain the osmolarity of pipette solutions; 150 mM NMDG-Cl served as the bath solution. Arrows indicate the onset of basic-pH stimuli. **(d)** Statistical analyses of currents in **c**. $n=11$ cells. Mean \pm SEM, unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests, ** $p=0.0034$, *** $p=0.0001$, **** $p<0.0001$. **(e)** Current/voltage (I/V) relationships of Alka-expressing cells and control cells without Alka from experiments in **c**, with voltage ramps from -80 to $+80$ mV. **(f)** Reversal potentials of the Alka conductance with varying intracellular Cl⁻ concentrations: 15 mM CsCl ($n=12$ cells); 50 mM CsCl ($n=10$ cells); 100 mM CsCl ($n=10$ cells); and 150 mM CsCl ($n=11$ cells). The bath solution contained 150 mM NMDG-Cl. Mean \pm SEM, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc tests, **** $p<0.0001$. Cells were clamped at -70 mV (**a-d**).

Fig. 5. The P276 residue is essential for the conductance of the Alka channel. **(a)**

The predicted topology of Alka, with a conserved proline residue (P) in the second transmembrane segment (TM2). **(b)** Alka is predicted to form a pentameric Cl⁻ channel with five TM2 helices lining the ion pore. The green circles depict the pore-lining proline residues. **(c)** Multi-sequence alignment of TM2 for fly Alka and GlyRa1 from zebrafish, mice, and humans. The asterisk (*) marks the highly conserved proline residue. **(d)** Representative whole-cell currents detected in Alka- or Alka^{P276A}-expressing cells in response to basic-pH stimuli (pH 10-13). Bath/pipette solutions are NMDG-Cl/CsCl (150 mM each). **(e)** Dose-response curve of inward currents evoked by varying basic-pH solutions. Cells were held at -70 mV. The pH_{50} for Alka is 11.9. Alka: $n=11$ cells (pH 12, 12.2, 12.4, 12.6, 12.8, and 13); $n=12$ cells (pH 10, 10.5, and 11.5); $n=14$ cells (pH 11). Alka^{P276A}: $n=11$ cells for each group. Control: $n=11$ cells (pH 10.5, 11.5, 12.2, 12.4, 12.6, 12.8, and 13); $n=12$ cells (pH 10); $n=13$ cells (pH 11); $n=14$ cells (pH 12). Mean \pm

SEM, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc tests. **** $p < 0.0001$. (f) Current/voltage (I/V) relationships of Alka-expressing cells, Alka^{P276A}-expressing cells, and control cells without Alka or Alka^{P276A} expression in response to basic-pH stimuli (pH 10-13). Voltage ramps from -80 to +80 mV were applied during the peak current. Bath/pipette solutions are NMDG-Cl/CsCl (150 mM each). (g) A proposed model illustrating that Alka channel activation by hydroxide (OH⁻) results in GRN depolarization. The intracellular concentration of Cl⁻ of a fly GRN is higher than on the extracellular side. When the animal is exposed to alkaline foods, the external OH⁻ opens Alka Cl⁻ channels, presumably through deprotonation, which in turn leads to the flow of Cl⁻ from the cytosol to the outside of the GRN. The Cl⁻ efflux results in GRN depolarization, which subsequently causes the GRN to fire action potentials.

Fig. 6. Alka is sufficient to be an alkaline taste sensor *in vivo*. (a) Representative spikes evoked by basic pH in sweet GRNs (L7 sensilla) misexpressing Alka or Alka^{P276A} driven by the *Gr64f-Gal4* in the *alka*¹ mutant background. (b) Quantification of the frequencies of spikes detected in a. n=15 flies. Mean ± SEM, unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests, **** $p < 0.0001$. (c) Two-choice feeding assays showing the feeding responses to neutral versus alkaline foods among *alka*¹;*Gr64f-Gal4/UAS-alka* (*alka*¹;*Gr64f-alka*), *alka*¹;*Gr64f-Gal4/UAS-alka*^{P276A} (*alka*¹;*Gr64f-alka*^{P276A}), and wild-type (wt) flies. n=12 trials. Mean ± SEM, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc tests, **** $p < 0.0001$. (d) PERs to 30 mM sucrose at different alkaline pH for *alka*¹;*Gr64f-Gal4/UAS-alka* (*alka*¹;*Gr64f-alka*), *alka*¹;*Gr64f-Gal4/UAS-alka*^{P276A} (*alka*¹;*Gr64f-alka*^{P276A}), and wt flies. n=12 trials. Mean ± SEM, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc tests, *** $p = 0.0004$, **** $p < 0.0001$. Arrows indicate onset of stimuli.

Fig. 7. Effects on feeding responses due to activating or suppressing *alka*-expressing GRNs. (a, b) Representative images showing feeding responses to 500 mM sucrose without (a) or with (b) red-light stimulation (1200 Lux) of *alka-CsChrimson;Orco-Gal80* (*alka-Gal4,UAS-CsChrimson;Orco-Gal80*) flies. Scale bars: 1 mm. (c) Statistical analyses of PER percentages with or without red-light stimulation (1200 Lux) for *alka-CsChrimson* (*alka-Gal4,UAS-CsChrimson*), *alka-CsChrimson;Orco-Gal80*, *alka-CsChrimson;Gr66a-Gal80* (*alka-Gal4,UAS-CsChrimson;Gr66a-LexA,LexAop-Gal80*), and indicated control flies. n=12 trials. Mean \pm SEM, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc tests, **** p <0.0001. (d) Relationship between PER percentages and red-light intensity among *alka-CsChrimson*, *alka-CsChrimson;Orco-Gal80*, and indicated control flies. n=10 trials. Mean \pm SEM, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc tests, ** p =0.0012, **** p <0.0001. (e) Feeding responses to neutral versus alkaline foods among *alka-TNT* (*alka-Gal4,UAS-TNT*), *alka-TNT;Orco-Gal80* (*alka-Gal4,UAS-TNT;Orco-Gal80*), and indicated control flies. n=12 trials. Mean \pm SEM, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc tests, **** p <0.0001. (f) PERs to 30 mM sucrose mixed with different NaOH concentrations for *alka-TNT* (*alka-Gal4,UAS-TNT*), *alka-TNT;Orco-Gal80* (*alka-Gal4,UAS-TNT;Orco-Gal80*), and control flies. n=12 trials. Mean \pm SEM, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc tests, **** p <0.0001.

References

- 1 Yarmolinsky, D. A., Zuker, C. S. & Ryba, N. J. Common sense about taste: from mammals to insects. *Cell* **139**, 234-244, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.10.001 (2009).
- 2 Liman, E. R., Zhang, Y. V. & Montell, C. Peripheral coding of taste. *Neuron* **81**, 984-1000, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.02.022 (2014).

- 3 Kiwull-Schone, H., Kiwull, P., Manz, F. & Kalhoff, H. Food composition and acid-base balance: alimentary alkali depletion and acid load in herbivores. *J Nutr* **138**, 431S-434S, doi:10.1093/jn/138.2.431S (2008).
- 4 Huang, A. L. *et al.* The cells and logic for mammalian sour taste detection. *Nature* **442**, 934-938, doi:10.1038/nature05084 (2006).
- 5 Tu, Y. H. *et al.* An evolutionarily conserved gene family encodes proton-selective ion channels. *Science* **359**, 1047-1050, doi:10.1126/science.aao3264 (2018).
- 6 Mi, T., Mack, J. O., Lee, C. M. & Zhang, Y. V. Molecular and cellular basis of acid taste sensation in *Drosophila*. *Nat Commun* **12**, 3730, doi:10.1038/s41467-021-23490-5 (2021).
- 7 Kloehn, N. W. & Brogden, W. J. The alkaline taste; a comparison of absolute thresholds for sodium hydroxide on the tip and mid-dorsal surfaces of the tongue. *Am J Psychol* **61**, 90-93 (1948).
- 8 Liljestrand, G. & Zotterman, Y. The alkaline taste. *Acta Physiol Scand* **35**, 380-389, doi:10.1111/j.1748-1716.1955.tb01294.x (1956).
- 9 Paje, F., Mossakowski, D. pH-preferences and habitat selection in carabid beetles. *Oecologia* **64**, 6 (1984).
- 10 Milius, M. *et al.* A new method for electrophysiological identification of antennal pH receptor cells in ground beetles: the example of *Pterostichus aethiops* (Panzer, 1796) (Coleoptera, Carabidae). *J Insect Physiol* **52**, 960-967, doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2006.06.003 (2006).
- 11 Clyne, P. J., Warr, C. G. & Carlson, J. R. Candidate taste receptors in *Drosophila*. *Science* **287**, 1830-1834, doi:10.1126/science.287.5459.1830 (2000).
- 12 Dahanukar, A., Foster, K., van der Goes van Naters, W. M. & Carlson, J. R. A Gr receptor is required for response to the sugar trehalose in taste neurons of *Drosophila*. *Nat Neurosci* **4**, 1182-1186, doi:10.1038/nn765 (2001).
- 13 Wang, Z., Singhvi, A., Kong, P. & Scott, K. Taste representations in the *Drosophila* brain. *Cell* **117**, 981-991, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2004.06.011 (2004).
- 14 Slone, J., Daniels, J. & Amrein, H. Sugar receptors in *Drosophila*. *Curr Biol* **17**, 1809-1816, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.09.027 (2007).

- 15 Jiao, Y., Moon, S. J. & Montell, C. A *Drosophila* gustatory receptor required for the responses to sucrose, glucose, and maltose identified by mRNA tagging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**, 14110-14115, doi:10.1073/pnas.0702421104 (2007).
- 16 Zhang, Y. V., Ni, J. & Montell, C. The molecular basis for attractive salt-taste coding in *Drosophila*. *Science* **340**, 1334-1338, doi:10.1126/science.1234133 (2013).
- 17 Jaeger, A. H. *et al.* A complex peripheral code for salt taste in *Drosophila*. *Elife* **7**, doi:10.7554/eLife.37167 (2018).
- 18 Dweck, H. K. M., Talross, G. J. S., Luo, Y., Ebrahim, S. A. M. & Carlson, J. R. Ir56b is an atypical ionotropic receptor that underlies appetitive salt response in *Drosophila*. *Curr Biol* **32**, 1776-1787 e1774, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2022.02.063 (2022).
- 19 Rimal, S. *et al.* Mechanism of Acetic Acid Gustatory Repulsion in *Drosophila*. *Cell Rep* **26**, 1432-1442 e1434, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.01.042 (2019).
- 20 Ganguly, A. *et al.* Requirement for an Otopetrin-like protein for acid taste in *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**, doi:10.1073/pnas.2110641118 (2021).
- 21 Sanchez-Alcaniz, J. A. *et al.* An expression atlas of variant ionotropic glutamate receptors identifies a molecular basis of carbonation sensing. *Nat Commun* **9**, 4252, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06453-1 (2018).
- 22 Shim, J. *et al.* The full repertoire of *Drosophila* gustatory receptors for detecting an aversive compound. *Nat Commun* **6**, 8867, doi:10.1038/ncomms9867 (2015).
- 23 Sung, H. Y. *et al.* Heterogeneity in the *Drosophila* gustatory receptor complexes that detect aversive compounds. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1484, doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01639-5 (2017).
- 24 Montell, C. *Drosophila* sensory receptors—a set of molecular Swiss Army Knives. *Genetics* **217**, 1-34, doi:10.1093/genetics/iyaa011 (2021).
- 25 Ahn, J. E., Chen, Y. & Amrein, H. Molecular basis of fatty acid taste in *Drosophila*. *Elife* **6**, doi:10.7554/eLife.30115 (2017).

- 26 Brown, E. B. *et al.* Ir56d-dependent fatty acid responses in *Drosophila* uncover taste discrimination between different classes of fatty acids. *Elife* **10**, doi:10.7554/eLife.67878 (2021).
- 27 Luo, R. *et al.* Molecular basis and homeostatic regulation of Zinc taste. *Protein Cell* **13**, 462-469, doi:10.1007/s13238-021-00845-8 (2022).
- 28 Lee, Y., Poudel, S., Kim, Y., Thakur, D. & Montell, C. Calcium Taste Avoidance in *Drosophila*. *Neuron* **97**, 67-74 e64, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.11.038 (2018).
- 29 Wisotsky, Z., Medina, A., Freeman, E. & Dahanukar, A. Evolutionary differences in food preference rely on Gr64e, a receptor for glycerol. *Nat Neurosci* **14**, 1534-1541, doi:10.1038/nn.2944 (2011).
- 30 Freeman, E. G. & Dahanukar, A. Molecular neurobiology of *Drosophila* taste. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **34**, 140-148, doi:10.1016/j.conb.2015.06.001 (2015).
- 31 Scott, K. *et al.* A chemosensory gene family encoding candidate gustatory and olfactory receptors in *Drosophila*. *Cell* **104**, 661-673, doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(01)00263-x (2001).
- 32 Benton, R., Vannice, K. S., Gomez-Diaz, C. & Vosshall, L. B. Variant ionotropic glutamate receptors as chemosensory receptors in *Drosophila*. *Cell* **136**, 149-162, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.12.001 (2009).
- 33 Venkatachalam, K. & Montell, C. TRP channels. *Annu Rev Biochem* **76**, 387-417, doi:10.1146/annurev.biochem.75.103004.142819 (2007).
- 34 Zhang, J. *et al.* Sour Sensing from the Tongue to the Brain. *Cell* **179**, 392-402 e315, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.08.031 (2019).
- 35 Lynch, J. W. Molecular structure and function of the glycine receptor chloride channel. *Physiol Rev* **84**, 1051-1095, doi:10.1152/physrev.00042.2003 (2004).
- 36 Remnant, E. J. *et al.* Evolution, Expression, and Function of Nonneuronal Ligand-Gated Chloride Channels in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *G3 (Bethesda)* **6**, 2003-2012, doi:10.1534/g3.116.029546 (2016).
- 37 Knipple D. C., S. D. M. The ligand-gated chloride channel gene family of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* **97**, 140-148 (2010).

- 38 Ffrench-Constant, R. H., Mortlock, D. P., Shaffer, C. D., MacIntyre, R. J. & Roush, R. T. Molecular cloning and transformation of cyclodiene resistance in *Drosophila*: an invertebrate gamma-aminobutyric acid subtype A receptor locus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **88**, 7209-7213, doi:10.1073/pnas.88.16.7209 (1991).
- 39 Henderson, J. E., Soderlund, D. M. & Knipple, D. C. Characterization of a putative gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptor beta subunit gene from *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **193**, 474-482, doi:10.1006/bbrc.1993.1648 (1993).
- 40 Harvey, R. J. *et al.* Sequence of a *Drosophila* ligand-gated ion-channel polypeptide with an unusual amino-terminal extracellular domain. *J Neurochem* **62**, 2480-2483, doi:10.1046/j.1471-4159.1994.62062480.x (1994).
- 41 Cully, D. F., Paress, P. S., Liu, K. K., Schaeffer, J. M. & Arena, J. P. Identification of a *Drosophila melanogaster* glutamate-gated chloride channel sensitive to the antiparasitic agent avermectin. *J Biol Chem* **271**, 20187-20191, doi:10.1074/jbc.271.33.20187 (1996).
- 42 Gengs, C. *et al.* The target of *Drosophila* photoreceptor synaptic transmission is a histamine-gated chloride channel encoded by *ort* (*hclA*). *J Biol Chem* **277**, 42113-42120, doi:10.1074/jbc.M207133200 (2002).
- 43 Gisselmann, G., Pusch, H., Hovemann, B. T. & Hatt, H. Two cDNAs coding for histamine-gated ion channels in *D. melanogaster*. *Nat Neurosci* **5**, 11-12, doi:10.1038/nn787 (2002).
- 44 Schnizler, K. *et al.* A novel chloride channel in *Drosophila melanogaster* is inhibited by protons. *J Biol Chem* **280**, 16254-16262, doi:10.1074/jbc.M411759200 (2005).
- 45 Feingold, D., Starc, T., O'Donnell, M. J., Nilson, L. & Dent, J. A. The orphan pentameric ligand-gated ion channel pHCl-2 is gated by pH and regulates fluid secretion in *Drosophila* Malpighian tubules. *J Exp Biol* **219**, 2629-2638, doi:10.1242/jeb.141069 (2016).
- 46 Redhai, S. *et al.* An intestinal zinc sensor regulates food intake and developmental growth. *Nature* **580**, 263-268, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2111-5 (2020).

- 47 Frenkel, L. *et al.* Organization of Circadian Behavior Relies on Glycinergic Transmission. *Cell Rep* **19**, 72-85, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2017.03.034 (2017).
- 48 Dambly-Chaudiere, C. *et al.* The paired box gene *pox neuro*: a determinant of poly-innervated sense organs in *Drosophila*. *Cell* **69**, 159-172 (1992).
- 49 Zhang, Y. V., Aikin, T. J., Li, Z. & Montell, C. The Basis of Food Texture Sensation in *Drosophila*. *Neuron* **91**, 863-877, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.07.013 (2016).
- 50 Stocker, R. F. The organization of the chemosensory system in *Drosophila melanogaster*: a review. *Cell Tissue Res* **275**, 3-26, doi:10.1007/BF00305372 (1994).
- 51 Shanbhag, S. R., Park, S. K., Pikielny, C. W. & Steinbrecht, R. A. Gustatory organs of *Drosophila melanogaster*: fine structure and expression of the putative odorant-binding protein PBPRP2. *Cell Tissue Res* **304**, 423-437, doi:10.1007/s004410100388 (2001).
- 52 Dusek, M., Chapuis, G., Meyer, M. & Petricek, V. Sodium carbonate revisited. *Acta Crystallogr B* **59**, 337-352, doi:10.1107/s0108768103009017 (2003).
- 53 Khanna, A. & Kurtzman, N. A. Metabolic alkalosis. *Respir Care* **46**, 354-365 (2001).
- 54 Lee, T. & Luo, L. Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker for studies of gene function in neuronal morphogenesis. *Neuron* **22**, 451-461 (1999).
- 55 Moon, S. J., Kottgen, M., Jiao, Y., Xu, H. & Montell, C. A taste receptor required for the caffeine response in vivo. *Curr Biol* **16**, 1812-1817, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2006.07.024 (2006).
- 56 Fujii, S. *et al.* *Drosophila* sugar receptors in sweet taste perception, olfaction, and internal nutrient sensing. *Curr Biol* **25**, 621-627, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.12.058 (2015).
- 57 Ganguly, A. *et al.* A Molecular and Cellular Context-Dependent Role for *Ir76b* in Detection of Amino Acid Taste. *Cell Rep* **18**, 737-750, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.12.071 (2017).
- 58 Cameron, P., Hiroi, M., Ngai, J. & Scott, K. The molecular basis for water taste in *Drosophila*. *Nature* **465**, 91-95, doi:10.1038/nature09011 (2010).

- 59 Chen, Z., Wang, Q. & Wang, Z. The amiloride-sensitive epithelial Na⁺ channel PPK28 is essential for drosophila gustatory water reception. *J Neurosci* **30**, 6247-6252, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0627-10.2010 (2010).
- 60 Thistle, R., Cameron, P., Ghorayshi, A., Dennison, L. & Scott, K. Contact chemoreceptors mediate male-male repulsion and male-female attraction during *Drosophila* courtship. *Cell* **149**, 1140-1151, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.03.045 (2012).
- 61 Betz, H. Glycine receptors: heterogeneous and widespread in the mammalian brain. *Trends Neurosci* **14**, 458-461, doi:10.1016/0166-2236(91)90045-v (1991).
- 62 Duran, C., Thompson, C. H., Xiao, Q. & Hartzell, H. C. Chloride channels: often enigmatic, rarely predictable. *Annu Rev Physiol* **72**, 95-121, doi:10.1146/annurev-physiol-021909-135811 (2010).
- 63 Germann, A. L. *et al.* Activation and modulation of recombinant glycine and GABAA receptors by 4-halogenated analogues of propofol. *Br J Pharmacol* **173**, 3110-3120, doi:10.1111/bph.13566 (2016).
- 64 Huang, X., Chen, H., Michelsen, K., Schneider, S. & Shaffer, P. L. Crystal structure of human glycine receptor- α 3 bound to antagonist strychnine. *Nature* **526**, 277-280, doi:10.1038/nature14972 (2015).
- 65 Schmidt, T., Situ, A. J. & Ulmer, T. S. Structural and thermodynamic basis of proline-induced transmembrane complex stabilization. *Sci Rep* **6**, 29809, doi:10.1038/srep29809 (2016).
- 66 Gödde, J., Krefting, E.R. Ions in the receptor lymph of the labellar taste hairs of the fly *Protophormia terraenovae*. *J Insect Physiol* **35**, 107-111 (1989).
- 67 Larsson, M. C. *et al.* Or83b encodes a broadly expressed odorant receptor essential for *Drosophila* olfaction. *Neuron* **43**, 703-714, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2004.08.019 (2004).
- 68 Eliason, J., Afify, A., Potter, C. & Matsumura, I. A GAL80 Collection To Inhibit GAL4 Transgenes in *Drosophila* Olfactory Sensory Neurons. *G3 (Bethesda)* **8**, 3661-3668, doi:10.1534/g3.118.200569 (2018).
- 69 Klapoetke, N. C. *et al.* Independent optical excitation of distinct neural populations. *Nat Methods* **11**, 338-346, doi:10.1038/nmeth.2836 (2014).

- 70 Umezaki, Y., Yasuyama, K., Nakagoshi, H. & Tomioka, K. Blocking synaptic transmission with tetanus toxin light chain reveals modes of neurotransmission in the PDF-positive circadian clock neurons of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J Insect Physiol* **57**, 1290-1299, doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2011.06.004 (2011).
- 71 Venken, K. J., Simpson, J. H. & Bellen, H. J. Genetic manipulation of genes and cells in the nervous system of the fruit fly. *Neuron* **72**, 202-230, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.09.021 (2011).
- 72 Brand, A. H. & Perrimon, N. Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development* **118**, 401-415 (1993).
- 73 Adrogué, H. E. & Adrogué, H. J. Acid-base physiology. *Respir Care* **46**, 328-341 (2001).
- 74 Murayama, T., Takayama, J., Fujiwara, M. & Maruyama, I. N. Environmental alkalinity sensing mediated by the transmembrane guanylyl cyclase GCY-14 in *C. elegans*. *Curr Biol* **23**, 1007-1012, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.04.052 (2013).
- 75 Wang, X., Li, G., Liu, J., Liu, J. & Xu, X. Z. TMC-1 Mediates Alkaline Sensation in *C. elegans* through Nociceptive Neurons. *Neuron* **91**, 146-154, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.05.023 (2016).
- 76 Ye, X. & Randall, D. J. The effect of water pH on swimming performance in rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*, Richardson). *Fish Physiol Biochem* **9**, 15-21, doi:10.1007/BF01987607 (1991).
- 77 St John, S. J. & Boughter, J. D., Jr. Orosensory responsiveness to and preference for hydroxide-containing salts in mice. *Chem Senses* **34**, 487-498, doi:10.1093/chemse/bjp023 (2009).
- 78 Massie, H. R., Williams, T. R. & Colacicco, J. R. Changes in pH with age in *Drosophila* and the influence of buffers on longevity. *Mech Ageing Dev* **16**, 221-231, doi:10.1016/0047-6374(81)90098-1 (1981).
- 79 Shanbhag, S. & Tripathi, S. Epithelial ultrastructure and cellular mechanisms of acid and base transport in the *Drosophila* midgut. *J Exp Biol* **212**, 1731-1744, doi:10.1242/jeb.029306 (2009).

- 80 Deshpande, S. A. *et al.* Acidic Food pH Increases Palatability and Consumption and Extends *Drosophila* Lifespan. *J Nutr* **145**, 2789-2796, doi:10.3945/jn.115.222380 (2015).
- 81 Liu, W. *et al.* Symbiotic bacteria attenuate *Drosophila* oviposition repellence to alkaline through acidification. *Insect Sci* **28**, 403-414, doi:10.1111/1744-7917.12857 (2021).
- 82 Moon, S. J., Lee, Y., Jiao, Y. & Montell, C. A *Drosophila* gustatory receptor essential for aversive taste and inhibiting male-to-male courtship. *Curr Biol* **19**, 1623-1627, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.07.061 (2009).
- 83 Koh, T. W. *et al.* The *Drosophila* IR20a clade of ionotropic receptors are candidate taste and pheromone receptors. *Neuron* **83**, 850-865, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.07.012 (2014).
- 84 Min, S., Ai, M., Shin, S. A. & Suh, G. S. Dedicated olfactory neurons mediating attraction behavior to ammonia and amines in *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, E1321-1329, doi:10.1073/pnas.1215680110 (2013).
- 85 Zhang, Y. V., Raghuwanshi, R. P., Shen, W. L. & Montell, C. Food experience-induced taste desensitization modulated by the *Drosophila* TRPL channel. *Nat Neurosci* **16**, 1468-1476, doi:10.1038/nn.3513 (2013).
- 86 Kang, K. *et al.* Analysis of *Drosophila* TRPA1 reveals an ancient origin for human chemical nociception. *Nature* **464**, 597-600, doi:10.1038/nature08848 (2010).
- 87 Kim, S. H. *et al.* *Drosophila* TRPA1 channel mediates chemical avoidance in gustatory receptor neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 8440-8445, doi:10.1073/pnas.1001425107 (2010).
- 88 Ullrich, F. *et al.* Identification of TMEM206 proteins as pore of PAORAC/ASOR acid-sensitive chloride channels. *Elife* **8**, doi:10.7554/eLife.49187 (2019).
- 89 Yang, J. *et al.* PAC, an evolutionarily conserved membrane protein, is a proton-activated chloride channel. *Science* **364**, 395-399, doi:10.1126/science.aav9739 (2019).
- 90 Nonaka, T. & Wong, D. T. W. Saliva Diagnostics. *Annu Rev Anal Chem (Palo Alto Calif)* **15**, 107-121, doi:10.1146/annurev-anchem-061020-123959 (2022).

- 91 Dibattista, M., Pifferi, S., Boccaccio, A., Menini, A. & Reisert, J. The long tale of the calcium activated Cl(-) channels in olfactory transduction. *Channels (Austin)* **11**, 399-414, doi:10.1080/19336950.2017.1307489 (2017).
- 92 Huang, W. *et al.* Increased intracellular Cl(-) concentration improves airway epithelial migration by activating the RhoA/ROCK Pathway. *Theranostics* **10**, 8528-8540, doi:10.7150/thno.46002 (2020).
- 93 Zheng, Y. *et al.* Identification of two novel *Drosophila melanogaster* histamine-gated chloride channel subunits expressed in the eye. *J Biol Chem* **277**, 2000-2005, doi:10.1074/jbc.M107635200 (2002).
- 94 Li, Q. & Montell, C. Mechanism for food texture preference based on grittiness. *Curr Biol* **31**, 1850-1861 e1856, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2021.02.007 (2021).
- 95 Chu, B., Chui, V., Mann, K. & Gordon, M. D. Presynaptic gain control drives sweet and bitter taste integration in *Drosophila*. *Curr Biol* **24**, 1978-1984, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.07.020 (2014).
- 96 Potter, C. J., Tasic, B., Russler, E. V., Liang, L. & Luo, L. The Q system: a repressible binary system for transgene expression, lineage tracing, and mosaic analysis. *Cell* **141**, 536-548, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.02.025 (2010).
- 97 Shearin, H. K., Macdonald, I. S., Spector, L. P. & Stowers, R. S. Hexameric GFP and mCherry reporters for the *Drosophila* GAL4, Q, and LexA transcription systems. *Genetics* **196**, 951-960, doi:10.1534/genetics.113.161141 (2014).

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6







Figure 7





Extended Data Figure 1

a




b




Extended Data Fig. 1. Screening for receptors or ion channels required for the feeding responses to alkaline food. (a) We tested a wide array of receptors and ion channels candidates, a representative sample of which is shown in the bar graph. These include the gustatory receptor (*Gr*) family, such as *Gr66a* and *Gr33a*; the ionotropic glutamate receptor (*Ir*) family, such as *Ir76b* and *Ir25a*; the transient-receptor-potential (*trp*) ion channel family, such as *trpI* and *trpA1*; the *otopetrin* family, such as *otopla*; the transmembrane channel-like (*tmc*); and genes with unknown functions such as *CG12344*. n=10 trials, one-way ANOVA tests, ***p*<0.001. (b) Feeding responses to neutral versus alkaline foods among control flies and mutant flies of the fly LGCC family. n=10 trials. Mean ± SEM, one-way ANOVA tests, ***p*<0.001. n.s., not statistically significant.

Extended Data Figure 2




Extended Data Fig. 2. Generating the *alka*¹ null mutant flies. (a) Genomic composition of the wild-type and mutant *alka* genes, including the translational start (ATG) and stop (*) codons, exons, and introns. The red arrows indicate the guide RNA (gRNA) target sites (gRNA1 and gRNA2). To screen for the *alka*¹ mutant, we designed three sets of primers, P1, P2, and P3, which flank the gRNA targeting sites. (b) PCR analyses of genomic DNA with the P1, P2, and P3 primers for wild-type and *alka*¹ mutant. (c) The predicted topology of the Alka protein comprising four transmembrane segments. Both the N- and C-terminal ends of the Alka protein reside on the extracellular side. The red arrows show the ablated protein regions in the *alka*¹ mutant.

Extended Data Figure 3



Extended Data Fig. 3. Electrophysiological responses to NaOH and NaCl. (a) Statistical analyses of the frequencies of spikes produced by L-, I-, and S-type sensilla responding to 10 mM NaOH in wild type (wt). One-way ANOVA tests, Mean \pm SEM, $n=11$ flies, $**p<0.001$. (b) Representative spikes evoked by 10 mM NaCl (pH 7) at S6 sensilla for wt and *alka*¹ mutant. The arrow indicates the stimulus onset. (c) Statistical analyses of the frequencies of spikes produced by S6 sensilla in response to different concentrations of NaCl for wt and *alka*¹ mutant. $n=10$ flies. Mean \pm SEM, unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests.


Extended Data Figure 4



Extended Data Fig. 5. *alka* is required to detect alkaline foods through the legs. (a, b) Expression of *alka-gal4;UAS-mCD8::GFP* at the tarsal segment of the fly foreleg. (c) PER responses to alkaline solutions containing 30 mM sucrose and various concentrations of NaOH among wild-type (wt), *alka*¹, *alka*¹;*Ir76b*¹, and rescue flies. n=12 trial. Two-way ANOVA tests, Mean ± SEM, ***p*<0.001 (*alka*¹ versus wt, *alka*¹;*Ir76b*¹ or rescue flies). (d) Expression of *alka-gal4;UAS-mCD8::GFP* in the maxillary palp. (e) Expression of *alka-gal4;UAS-mCD8::GFP* in the antenna. (f) No obvious anti-Alka signals were detected in the wt adult brain. (g) Relative localization pattern between *ppk28*-expressing GRNs and taste sensilla. Scale bars: 10 μm in a, b, d, e, and g, and 50 μm in f.


Extended Data Figure 5

Remove most of the yellow highlighting for Alka, except where the residues are identical. Also remove highlighting for residues in GlyRa1s that are not identical.




Extended Data Fig. 5. Multisequence alignment of the full protein sequences among fly Alka and the glycine receptor alpha 1 (GlyRa1) from zebrafish, mice, and humans. The protein sequence identities between Alka and GlyRa1 in other species are as follows: zebrafish, 30% identity; mouse, 30% identity; human, 30% identity. Identical amino acid residues are labelled in red, whereas similar amino acid residues are highlighted in bold. The four transmembrane regions (TM1-TM4) are denoted by black bars above their amino acid sequences.

Extended Data Figure 6




Extended Data Fig. 6. Ion selectivity of Alka and conductance of Alka in response to acidic pH, glycine, or GABA stimuli. (a) Localization of Alka in HEK293 cells expressing Alka that is N-terminally fused with a Myc tag. Scale bar: 5 μ m. (b) Configuration of the whole-cell patch-clamp recording setup. We used a stimulating pipette (red) to locally apply high-pH solutions to the cells, and a patch pipette (blue) to carry out whole-cell recordings. (c) Current-voltage (I-V) relationships of Alka-expressed HEK293 cells, which were elicited by voltage ramps from -80 mV to +80 mV. The bath solution contained 150 mM NaF, NaCl, NaBr, or NaI, and the intracellular solution contained 150 mM CsCl. (d) Statistical analyses of reversal potentials from experiments in c. n=11 cells. One-way ANOVA tests. (e) Relative anion permeability of Alka. n=11 cells. One-way ANOVA tests. (f, g) Currents from Alka-expressing cells and control cells without Alka expression responding to the stimuli of acidic isosmotic solutions. n=11 cells. Unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests. (h-k) Currents evoked by Alka-expressing cells and control cells without Alka expression in response to the stimuli of glycine (0.001-1 mM) (h, i) and GABA (0.001-1 mM) (j, k). n=11 cells. Unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests, Mean \pm SEM, ***p*<0.001. Cells were clamped at -70 mV. Arrows indicate the onset of stimulus.

Extended Data Figure 7




Extended Data Fig. 7. Spikes elicited by NaOH in control flies and spikes evoked by sucrose in flies misexpressing Alka or AlkaP276A at the sweet GRNs. (a) Sample spikes evoked by L7 sensilla responding to high-pH stimuli in *alka*¹; *Gr64f-Gal4* or *alka*¹; *UAS-alka* flies. (b) Statistical analyses of the spike frequencies for *alka*¹; *UAS-alka* and *alka*¹; *Gr64f-Gal4* flies. n=11 flies, unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests. (c) Sample spikes produced by L7 sensilla responding to 50 mM sucrose in *alka*¹; *Gr64f-Gal4/ UAS-alka* or *alka*¹; *Gr64f-Gal4/ UAS-alkaP276A* flies. (d) Statistical analyses of the spike frequencies for *alka*¹; *Gr64f-Gal4/ UAS-alka* and *alka*¹; *Gr64f-Gal4/ UAS-alkaP276A* flies. n=11 flies. Mean ± SEM, unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests, n.s., not statistically significant. Arrows indicate stimulus onset.

Extended Data Figure 8



Extended Data Fig. 8. Expression of the *alka-Gal4* in combination with the *Orco-Gal80* in the fly labellum and the brain. (a, b) Expression of *alka-Gal4*, *UAS-mCD8::GFP*; *Orco-Gal80* in the antenna (a) and the maxillary palp (b). (c) Expression of *alka-Gal4*, *UAS-mCD8::GFP*; *Orco-Gal80* in the labellum. (d) GRN projections in the brain of the *alka-Gal4*, *UAS-mCD8::GFP*; *Orco-Gal80* fly. SEZ, subesophageal zone; AL, antennal lobe. Scale bars: 10 μm (a-c), 50 μm (d).

Extended Data Figure 9



Extended Data Fig. 9. *alka*-expressing GRNs are selectively required to sense alkaline food. (a) GFP expression in the labellum of *Gr66a-Gal4,UAS-GFP;Gr66a-lexA,LexAop-Gal80*. Scale bar: 10 μ m. (b) Relative localization between the *alka*-expressing GRNs and S-type taste sensilla in the *alka-Gal4,UAS-GFP;Gr66a-lexA,LexAop-Gal80* fly labellum. Scale bar: 10 μ m. (c) PER responses to sweet food (50 mM sucrose), alkaline food (10 mM NaOH mixed with 30 mM sucrose), and bitter food (10 mM caffeine mixed with 30 mM sucrose) for *alka-TNT* (*alka-Gal4,UAS-TNT*), *alka-TNT;Orco-Gal80* (*alka-Gal4,UAS-TNT;Orco-Gal80*), *alka-TNT;Gr66a-Gal80* (*alka-Gal4,UAS-TNT;Gr66a-lexA,LexAop-Gal80*), *Gr66a-TNT* (*Gr66a-Gal4,UAS-TNT*), *Gr66a-TNT;Gr66a-Gal80* (*Gr66a-Gal4,UAS-TNT;Gr66a-lexA,LexAop-Gal80*), *ppk23-TNT* (*ppk23-Gal4,UAS-TNT*), *ppk28-TNT* (*ppk28-Gal4,UAS-TNT*), and wild-type (wt) flies. n=11 trials, Mean \pm SEM, one-way ANOVA tests, ** p <0.001.

