UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Microdystrophin Expression as a Surrogate Endpoint for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy Clinical Trials

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0v56c0vk

Journal Human Gene Therapy, 34(9-10)

ISSN 2324-8637

Authors

Chamberlain, Jeffrey S Robb, Melissa Braun, Serge <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2023-05-01

2025-05-

DOI

10.1089/hum.2022.190

Peer reviewed



Open camera or QR reader and scan code to access this article and other resources online.



Microdystrophin Expression as a Surrogate Endpoint for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy Clinical Trials

Jeffrey S. Chamberlain,^{1,*} Melissa Robb,^{2,*} Serge Braun,³ Kristy J. Brown,⁴ Olivier Danos,⁵ Annie Ganot,⁴ Pedro Gonzalez-Alegre,⁶ Nina Hunter,⁵ Craig McDonald,⁷ Carl Morris,⁴ Mark Tobolowsky,⁸ Kathryn R. Wagner,⁹ Olivia Ziolkowski,¹⁰ and Dongsheng Duan^{11,*}

¹Department of Neurology, Sen. Paul D. Wellstone Muscular Dystrophy Specialized Research Center, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA; ²Robb Consulting (contractor of REGENXBIO, Inc.), Columbia, Maryland, USA; ³AFMTelethon, Evry, France; ⁴Solid Biosciences, Inc., Charlestown, Massachusetts, USA; ⁵REGENXBIO, Inc., Rockville, Maryland, USA; ⁶Spark Therapeutics, Inc., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA; ⁷University of California Davis Medical Center, Sacramento, California, USA; ⁸Hyman, Phelps & McNamara, P.C. (former contractor of REGENXBIO, Inc.), Washington, District of Columbia, USA; ⁹F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Ltd., Basel, Switzerland; ¹⁰Former contractor of REGENXBIO, Inc., Rockville, Maryland, USA; and ¹¹Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Neurology, Biomedical Sciences, and Biomedical, Biological & Chemical Engineering, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri, USA.

Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is a serious, rare genetic disease, affecting primarily boys. It is caused by mutations in the *DMD* gene and is characterized by progressive muscle degeneration that results in loss of function and early death due to respiratory and/or cardiac failure. Although limited treatment options are available, some for only small subsets of the patient population, DMD remains a disease with large unmet medical needs. The adeno-associated virus (AAV) vector is the leading gene delivery system for addressing genetic neuromuscular diseases. Since the gene encoding the full-length dystrophin protein exceeds the packaging capacity of a single AAV vector, gene replacement therapy based on AAV-delivery of shortened, yet, functional microdystrophin genes has emerged as a promising treatment. This article seeks to explain the rationale for use of the accelerated approval pathway to advance AAV microdystrophin gene therapy for DMD. Specifically, we provide support for the use of microdystrophin expression as a surrogate endpoint that could be used in clinical trials to support accelerated approval.

Keywords: microdystrophin, Duchenne muscular dystrophy, gene therapy, AAV vector

DUCHENNE MUSCULAR DYSTROPHY

DUCHENNE MUSCULAR DYSTROPHY (DMD) is a recessive, X-linked neuromuscular disorder caused by mutations in the *dystrophin* gene, which spans ~ 2.4 megabases and includes 79 exons.^{1,2} These mutations lead to little or no dystrophin production (typically <3% of the normal quantity of dystrophin).³ Dystrophin is a protein critical in physically stabilizing the membranes of muscle cells. The near-total absence of full-length dystrophin production in DMD patients results in progressive muscle degeneration that manifests primarily as muscle weakness impairing walking, other motor functions, breathing, and cardiac function,⁴ with the most common cause of death being cardiorespiratory failure.⁵ Although the pace of symptom progression is heterogenous in DMD patients, muscle weakness typically begins between ages 3 and 5 years, with

^{*}Correspondence: Dr. Jeffrey S. Chamberlain, Department of Neurology, Sen. Paul D. Wellstone Muscular Dystrophy Specialized Research Center, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98109, USA. E-mail: jsc5@uvv.edu; Melissa Robb, Robb Consulting (contractor of REGENXBIO, Inc.), Columbia, MD 21044, USA. E-mail: melissa@robbconsult.com; Dr. Dongsheng Duan, Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Neurology, Biomedical Sciences, and Biomedical, Biological & Chemical Engineering, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65212, USA. E-mail: duand@health.missouri.edu

[©] Jeffrey S. Chamberlain *et al.* 2022; Published by Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. This Open Access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons License [CC-BY] (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

loss of ambulation usually occurring in the early teenage years and loss of ability to self-feed by the late teenage years.

Studies have reported a mean age at mortality ranging from 18.1 to 31.4 years.⁶ DMD predominantly affects males, with an estimated incidence of about 16 live male births per 100,000 in the United States.⁷ Rarely, females are affected by DMD, with around 8% of female carriers having some degree of muscle weakness or cardiomy-opathy.⁸

CURRENT LANDSCAPE OF DMD THERAPY

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved five treatments for DMD: deflazacort, eteplirsen, golodirsen, viltolarsen, and casimersen. Deflazacort is a glucocorticoid that was granted traditional approval, while the rest are exon-skipping drug products that were granted accelerated approval based on a mean increase in internally truncated dystrophin production in skeletal muscle (quantified using western blot [WB]). In 2018, FDA issued a final guidance to the industry on DMD drug development.⁹ The guidance notes that "FDA has no defined set of required or recommended clinical outcome measures for studies in dystrophinopathies..." and suggests that existing or novel outcome measures that can measure clinically meaningful effects may be appropriate.

Several types of medical products are currently under development for DMD. One direct way of treating this disease would be to restore the expression of dystrophin. Gene therapy based on adeno-associated virus (AAV)mediated delivery of microdystrophin genes has emerged as a promising method since the gene encoding the fulllength dystrophin protein is too large to fit inside a single AAV vector.¹⁰ Microdystrophin genes are designed to be small enough to fit into an AAV vector while retaining the primary functionality of the full-length dystrophin protein by being rationally designed to include the most critical protein domains. AAV vectors can transduce cells that are not actively dividing, and they are minimally integrating, nonpathogenic, and less immunogenic than gene therapies that use other delivery mechanisms.¹¹

Trials are now studying the safety and efficacy of systemically administered AAV vectors to deliver different forms of microdystrophins to slow or stabilize the loss of muscle function throughout the body.¹² We note that not all groups have adopted the expression "microdystrophin," and in particular the Pfizer AAV trial for DMD uses what they call a "minidystrophin." For simplicity, here, we use the term "microdystrophin" to describe any cDNA less than ~4 kb that encodes a shortened dystrophin protein. This terminology distinguishes these AAV-sized dystrophins from larger "minidystrophins" that are encoded by Becker muscular dystrophy (BMD) patients carrying large deletions, that allow expression of coding regions in the 5–8 kb range.

FDA'S ACCELERATED APPROVAL PATHWAY

The accelerated approval provisions allow the FDA to grant accelerated approval to "...a product for a serious or life-threatening disease or condition...upon a determination that the product has an effect on a surrogate endpoint that is reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit, or on a clinical endpoint that can be measured earlier than irreversible morbidity or mortality, that is reasonably likely to predict an effect on irreversible morbidity or mortality or other clinical benefit, taking into account the severity, rarity, or prevalence of the condition and the availability or lack of alternative treatments."¹³

All products granted accelerated approval are subject to certain requirements, which may include postapproval studies to verify and describe clinical benefit.¹⁴ The importance of postapproval studies being conducted with due diligence, as required by the law and regulations,¹⁵ is paramount to the success of the accelerated approval program. Sponsors must ensure that the required postapproval studies are well designed and completed in a timely manner.

DMD is clearly a serious condition and AAV gene therapies could provide a meaningful advantage over available therapy, consisting of the regular use of steroids. This includes deflazacort, a corticosteroid that reduces inflammation and activity of the immune system and improves muscle strength in DMD patients.¹⁶ FDA may also consider prednisone, a therapy with off-label use in DMD, to constitute available therapy based on recommendations by authoritative scientific bodies that evaluate clinical evidence and other reliable information that reflects current clinical practice or standard of care.¹⁷ The ongoing use of steroids carries with it the risk of serious complications such as altered endocrine function, immunosuppression, and an increased risk of infection, osteoporosis, altered cardiovascular/renal function, and behavioral and mood disturbances.¹⁸

Meaningful advantages over available therapy could be based on effects that target the underlying cause of DMD, effects that are superior or additive to those of steroid therapy, or which provide treatment options to patients unable to tolerate or who are unresponsive to steroid therapies. It is worth noting that FDA does not consider products approved under the accelerated approval pathway based on a surrogate endpoint or intermediate clinical endpoint and where the clinical benefit has not been verified by postapproval studies as "available therapy." This would include the four exon-skipping drugs approved for DMD.

The accelerated approval pathway is defined using an endpoint, either a surrogate or an intermediate clinical endpoint, which is reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit. A surrogate endpoint used as the basis for accelerated approval is not one that has been validated to show clinical benefit. Validated surrogate endpoints are known to predict clinical benefit and can be used for traditional approval.

FDA noted in its Final Rule on the Accelerated Approval Regulations that "...[w]hether a given endpoint is, in fact, reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit is inevitably a matter of judgment. FDA, using available internal and external expertise, will have to make informed judgments in each case presented, just as it does now. The agency acknowledges that there are well-recognized reasons for caution when surrogate endpoints are relied on... A sponsor must persuasively support the reasonableness of the proposed surrogate as a predictor and show how the benefits of treatment will outweigh the risks. Such presentations are likely to be persuasive only when the disease to be treated is particularly severe (so that considerable risk is acceptable) and/or when the surrogate endpoint is well supported. In addition, it will be the sponsor's clear obligation to resolve any doubts as to clinical value by carrying out definitive studies."¹⁹

This article will describe the evidence supporting microdystrophin expression as a likely predictor of clinical benefit from AAV DMD gene therapy. Specifically, this article will discuss and provide support for the use of expression of microdystrophin in muscle as a surrogate endpoint reasonably likely to predict changes of muscle function that reflect a clinical benefit for DMD patients. This article advocates for the use of the accelerated approval pathway for approval of AAV gene therapies for the treatment of DMD.

MICRODYSTROPHIN OVERVIEW

As mentioned previously, it is not possible to administer the full-length dystrophin coding sequence in an AAV vector to treat DMD because its size ($\sim 11.5 \text{ kb}$) greatly exceeds the AAV packaging capacity ($\sim 5 \text{ kb}$). This problem was addressed with the development of microdystrophin genes that are <4 kb. Microdystrophins are shortened but are functional versions of dystrophin.¹² These microgenes include genetic sequences that have been curated to include elements identified as most critical for dystrophin function. Various gene therapy candidates aim to improve the DMD phenotype by delivering vectors expressing microdystrophin genes, which will produce minimized microdystrophin proteins that retain the key function of the full-length dystrophin protein by linking the subsarcolemmal cytoskeleton with the extracellular matrix and by recruiting primary members of the dystrophin-associated protein complex (DAPC) to stabilize the muscle.

The rationale is that the production of microdystrophin is reasonably likely to result in improved muscle function. FDA recognized that the production of larger internally truncated dystrophins was reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit for the four exon-skipping drugs granted accelerated approval for the treatment of DMD.

BIOLOGICAL PLAUSIBILITY

The FDA has repeatedly asserted in its summary reviews of the four exon-skipping drugs granted accelerated approval that the role of dystrophin is well-characterized in the pathophysiology of DMD.^{20–23} Dystrophin is a critical muscle protein that is reduced or absent in DMD patients. Dystrophin's critical role is linking the subsarcolemmal actin cytoskeleton to the extracellular matrix via the DAPC.^{24,25} This link helps reduce muscle cell stress generated during contraction, while its absence leads to muscle cell injury, subsequent degeneration, and a gradual loss of muscle cells.²⁶

Microdystrophins are shorter than full-length dystrophin normally produced endogenously, yet, they remain functional. Microdystrophin is considered therapeutic when the expression is durable and the protein is appropriately membrane-localized, recruits members of the DAPC, and stabilizes or increases muscle force generation, resulting in increased muscle strength and prevention of muscle cell necrosis.^{27–30}

Protein size alone does not always correlate with the clinical phenotype. In fact, some individuals with shorter dystrophin (due to large deletions involving multiple exons) have milder diseases compared with those with longer dystrophin.³¹ In addition, disease severity of dystrophinopathy is determined not by the size of the gene but rather by the quantity, as well as the quality, of the dystrophin protein produced. Specifically, patients with severe disease have <5% of the normal quantity of dystrophin, whereas patients with dystrophin levels between 5% and 10% of normal, regardless of protein size, usually have an intermediate phenotype (mild DMD or severe BMD). In contrast, based on findings reported in a review article, patients with mild to moderate BMD phenotype usually have protein levels above 20%.³²

REGULATORY HISTORY OF SHORTENED DYSTROPHIN AS AN ENDPOINT FOR ACCELERATED APPROVAL

FDA has accepted a statistically significant increase in a shortened version of dystrophin as a surrogate endpoint supporting accelerated approval of four exon-skipping products thus far. For each of these four accelerated approvals, FDA relied upon demonstration of a small increase in *de novo* production of the internally truncated dystrophin protein in skeletal muscle. The four exonskipping products showed a mean change from baseline (% normal dystrophin) of 0.28–5.3% (0.28% for eteplirsen, 0.92% for golodirsen, 5.3% for viltolarsen, and of 0.8% for casimersen).^{20–23}

Although there was public disagreement about whether the magnitude of expression was meaningful, the FDA ultimately decided that increased dystrophin production in skeletal muscle was reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit and therefore was an acceptable surrogate endpoint for accelerated approval. Thus, the production of a shortened version of dystrophin resulting from treatment is established as an FDA-accepted surrogate endpoint to support accelerated approval of a product intended to treat DMD.

RATIONALE FOR USE OF MICRODYSTROPHIN EXPRESSION AS A SURROGATE ENDPOINT

In addition to the regulatory precedent and biological plausibility described above, the use of microdystrophin as a surrogate endpoint provides a variety of valuable benefits. Unlike some functional endpoints currently used in trials, microdystrophin-as an objectively measured endpoint-reduces potential biases that can impact the results of clinical outcome assessments, a challenge FDA has identified in guidance regarding the use of these endpoints, and variables in patient motivation.^{9,33,34} In addition, the use of this surrogate endpoint allows a reduction in study duration. Clinical studies have reported that protein expression has been seen as early as 2 months after treatment with increased expression at 12 months, supported also by previous preclinical work.^{30,35–39} Functional outcomes have generally been assessed at 12 months in DMD studies. Therefore, the use of this surrogate endpoint could significantly shorten the time needed for demonstration of efficacy in DMD studies.

In addition, because all microdystrophin constructs used in clinical evaluation have been designed to produce controlled and consistent protein expression across muscle cells, the similarities between microdystrophins are closer than the variations induced by mutations in exon-skipped internally truncated dystrophin. For example, the eteplirsen approval was based on six different mutations amenable to exon-51-skipping therapy, although additional mutations are known to exist.

FDA noted that there may be some differences in functionality of the protein produced from exon-51skipped transcripts, and that the different internally truncated dystrophins produced in patients with different mutations could also confound interpretation of possible effects on clinical course based on differences in dystrophin levels.^{40,41} As an example, while exon skipping typically removes a single exon from the transcript, each spectrin-like repeat (SR) in the dystrophin rod domain is encoded by about two exons. Thus, in many cases when an exon is skipped, the encoded protein carries only part of one of the SRs, which can impact the stability of the resulting internally truncated protein.^{29,42} More stable proteins result from maintaining properly phased SRs, which has become a gold-standard design strategy to produce the synthetic microdystrophins.²⁹

RATIONAL DESIGN OF FUNCTIONAL MICRODYSTROPHIN

The rational design and development of functional microdystrophins have been based on naturally occurring mutations in BMD patients and refined by repeated studies in DMD animal models, in which nonfunctional microdystrophin constructs are readily distinguished from functional versions through the durability of expression and improved functional outcomes.^{28–30,43}

PRECLINICAL STUDIES

Published studies have consistently shown that restoring dystrophin in muscles improves muscle morphology, strength, and resistance to contraction-induced injury. This was initially shown in 1993 by Cox et al, who expressed a full-length dystrophin coding sequence in striated muscles of transgenic *mdx* mice.⁴⁴ In treated mice, expression levels up to 50 times normal restored DAPC localization, muscle histology, and strength without any associated toxicity. This proof-of-concept study revealed that gene therapy had the potential to treat DMD.

Similar results were obtained in 1993 and 1995 by different groups. First, minimized dystrophin was delivered by adenovirus-mediated transfer to mdx mice intramuscularly. In this study, expression of the truncated protein protected the fibers efficiently against the muscle degeneration process.⁴⁵ Subsequently, two groups generated additional transgenic mdx mice expressing either fulllength or internally truncated dystrophin, the latter of which was based on a BMD patient with a 1 MB deletion (of exons 17-48) who remained ambulatory until his death in his late 70s.³¹ Very mild phenotypes have been associated with deletion of exons 13–48, an even larger deletion.⁴⁶ In these studies, dystrophic pathology was eliminated in muscles that expressed $\geq 20\%$ of normal levels of full-length dystrophin, and higher levels of uniform expression did not further increase strength beyond normal.47,48

Internally truncated dystrophins patterned from BMD patients did not fully restore strength as did the full-length dystrophin, however, they completely halted ongoing necrosis and degeneration and led to largely normal muscle histology.⁴⁹ These results provided the basis to develop even smaller microdystrophins with normal SR repeat phasing that could be administered using AAV vectors.

The first studies of functional microdystrophins small enough to be carried by AAV vectors were published starting in 2000. These microdystrophins are encoded by cDNAs smaller than ~4 kb.^{29,50} In one study, three different microdystrophins were expressed in *mdx* mice by intramuscular injection of AAV vectors. All three of these vectors produced microdystrophins, although in different amounts, and improved muscle histology. Since delivery was localized, no measurements of strength were performed.⁵⁰ In the second study, a variety of full-length dystrophins and microdystrophins were compared in transgenic mdx mice, and three different microdystrophins were further analyzed after intramuscular injection of the AAV vectors mdx mice.²⁹ As in earlier studies, the larger dystrophins were often, but not always, better at restoring normal strength, although all constructs showed a significant benefit.²⁹

With microdystrophins, maximally functional activity came from designs that preserved SR phasing and that favored the use of subdomains normally adjacent to each other in the native protein. These smaller proteins also retained the major protein-interaction domains within dystrophin and were assessed in multiple muscles and at various ages of the dystrophic mice. The critical elements identified in these studies are all incorporated into the design of the microdystrophins currently in clinical development. Finally, an earlier study tested IM injection of vectors carrying between one and three SR domains, but these constructs had minimal functional benefit leading to the conclusion that a minimum of four SRs is needed for significant functional benefit.^{29,50–52}

Together, these various studies highlighted both the striking functionality of small dystrophins and that expression up to normal levels improved or eliminated dystrophy. In addition, these studies were the basis for identifying critical domains needed for functional microdystrophin constructs.

Subsequent studies moved toward testing systemic delivery of AAV vectors expressing various microdystrophin in striated muscles of mdx mice, and later, the canine DMD model. These studies showed the ability of microdystrophin in adult mammals to prevent and reverse pathology and increase strength.⁵³ The degree of phenotypic improvement was also shown to depend on the dose of AAV vector delivered (and hence the amount of microdystrophin produced). Early systemic AAV delivery studies revealed the ability to deliver microdystrophin gene constructs to all striated muscles in a dose-dependent manner.^{36,54} Lower doses led to dystrophin expression in a mosaic pattern, which partially improved histology and strength, whereas higher doses led to more uniform levels of dystrophin and a more complete rescue of the dystrophic phenotype in mice.^{36,55} Phenotypic reversal was also observed in old *mdx* mice (up to 2 years old).^{30,56–58} Microdystrophin has been similarly effective in canine DMD models.^{35,38,59–62}

CRITICAL ELEMENTS OF FUNCTIONAL MICRODYSTROPHIN

The ability to express functional microdystrophins from coding sequences small enough to be carried by AAV vectors is based on (1) studies of deletions that removed various domains from dystrophin and their effects on functionality of the protein, as discussed under Biological Plausibility, and (2) the knowledge gained from natural deletions occurring in BMD patients.⁶³ The critical functional elements of dystrophin are described below (Fig. 1):

1. N-terminal actin-binding domain (ABD)

Truncations of the N-terminal ABD (N-ABD) have not resulted in favorable outcomes; consequently, the entire ABD is retained in all microdystrophins constructs. The primary reason is that such trunca-



Figure 1. Critical elements of functional microdystrophin. Full-length *dystrophin* contains an NT domain (NT, amino acids 14–240), a central rod domain (amino acids 253–3040) with 24 spectrin-like repeats (R1 to R24) and four hinges (H1 to H4), a CR domain (CR, amino acids 3080–3360), and a CT domain (CT, amino acids 3361–3685). Functional microdystrophins all carry the NT actin-binding domain, CR/beta-dystroglycan-binding domain, and shortened rod domain with at least four spectrin-like repeats. Note, the amino acid number is based on the Leiden Muscular Dystrophy pages (https://www.dmd.nl). H2 is highlighted in red because it contains a polyproline site which profoundly influences the functional capacity of microdystrophin in murine studies.⁸⁵ R16/17 is highlighted in red because these two repeats anchor neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS) to the muscle cell membrane and protect muscle from functional ischemia in exercise.⁴³ CT, C-terminal; CR, cysteine-rich; NT, N-terminal.

tions greatly reduce the stability of dystrophin.^{64–69} Dystrophin also has a central ABD,^{70–72} and as long as the N-ABD is present and functional, the central ABD can be deleted.^{28,64,70,73,74}

- 2. Cysteine-rich/beta-dystroglycan-binding domain (Dbd) The beta-Dbd is composed of a WW domain within hinge 4 and the cysteine-rich domain.^{63,75–78} Essentially all mutations or deletions in this Dbd have been observed to inactivate dystrophin completely; hence this region is present in all functional microdystrophin constructs.^{42,79–82}
- 3. Rod domain with hinge 1, hinge 4, and at least four SRs Shortened dystrophins lacking all SRs are non-functional.²⁹ Hinge1, hinge 4, and at least four SRs are needed to prevent dystrophy and increase strength in mdx mice.^{29,30,43,50–52,83,84} All microdystrophin constructs in clinical testing have either four or five SRs.
- 4. Choice and relative order of SRs

The various microdystrophin constructs in clinical testing with AAV vectors carry either four or five SRs.^{29,30,43,50,83,85,86} Since full-length dystrophin has 24 SRs, many combinations of SRs can be used to generate a protein expressed by a coding sequence small enough for packaging into AAV vectors.⁸⁷ Most microdystrophin constructs published to date have retained the first and last SRs, as these SRs blend the central rod domain into the adjacent ABD and Dbds and are presumed to have a unique structure. Together these studies indicate that several combinations of four or five SRs can generate microdystrophins that are stable and that support normal muscle function.³⁰

RELATIONSHIP OF MICRODYSTROPHIN TO MUSCLE FUNCTION

Several clinical studies have quantified microdystrophin in muscle biopsies from patients who received intravascular AAV microdystrophin therapy. The expressed microdystrophin was appropriately membrane-localized and recruited members of the DAPC, demonstrating the clinical proof of concept for delivering functional microdystrophin. Interim results were presented from an ongoing Phase 1/2study of a single infusion of SGT-001 (an investigational AAV9 microdystrophin gene therapy) at a dose of 5×10^{13} or 2×10^{14} vg/kg. In three patients receiving the higher dose, biopsies of skeletal muscle taken 3 months later showed widespread distribution of microdystrophinpositive muscle fibers with colocalization of neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS) and β -sarcoglycan.⁸⁸ Long-term biopsy data collected from these three patients, taken 2, 1.5, and 1 year after dosing, indicate evidence of durable and widespread expression of the microdystrophin.⁸⁹

In addition, the North Star Ambulatory Assessment (NSAA) score was stable with minimal changes, and the 6-minute walk test (6MWT) distances were maintained at 1.5 years after treatment, suggesting clinical benefit compared with trajectories typically observed in natural history cohorts. Clinical data available at 24 months following infusion revealed continued patient benefit in maintaining motor function, as assessed by 6MWT and NSAA, when compared to natural history declines.⁹⁰

One-year data from a Phase 1b study of an investigational AAV9 minidystrophin gene therapy (PF-06939926) showed that for the three patients in the low-dose cohort $(1 \times 10^{14} \text{ vg/kg})$, the mean proportion of muscle fibers expressing dystrophin was 28.5% at 2 months and 21.2% at 12 months after dosing.⁹¹ For the six patients in the high-dose cohort $(3 \times 10^{14} \text{ vg/kg})$, these measures were between 48.4% and 50.6% (n=3), respectively. The patients also showed a functional improvement from baseline NSAA scores after 1 year compared with an external control group: an increase of one point for the study patients (n=19) versus a median loss of four points for the external control group (n=66; p < 0.005).³⁵

Finally, in a Phase 1/2a study, four patients received a single dose of 2×10^{14} vg/kg recombinant AAVrh74 microdystrophin gene therapy. Transduction was confirmed in all patients, indicating successful delivery to skeletal muscle. At 12 weeks after treatment, 81.2% of muscle fibers expressed microdystrophin with a mean intensity of 96% at the sarcolemma. WB showed a mean expression of 74.3% without fat or fibrosis adjustment and 95.8% with adjustment. Microdystrophin expression also resulted in an increase in β -sarcoglycan, a component of the DAPC, suggesting that microdystrophin can promote restoration and reconstitution of the DAPC. In addition, functional outcomes (*e.g.*, NSAA score) were improved in these patients up to 1 year after treatment.³⁹

Table 1 summarizes the AAV microdystrophin gene therapy clinical trials for patients with DMD listed on ClinicalTrials.gov that are recruiting; active, not recruiting; or enrolling by invitation.

QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF MICRODYSTROPHIN

Several factors must be considered when assessing microdystrophin expression, including quantity produced, distribution to skeletal muscles throughout the body, and distribution among muscle cells within a given muscle type. The exact quantity of dystrophin or microdystrophin required to improve clinical phenotype is not known. Levels of dystrophin in healthy humans vary widely, with differences of three- to fivefold observed across sampled levels.^{92,93} In addition, some deletion mutations, such as exons 3–7 or 3–9 deletion, can lead to a low-level expression of shortened dystrophin and a milder phenotype,

					,	i	.		
Clinical Trials.gov Identifier	NCT03375164	NCT03769116	NCT04626674	NCT05096221	NCT03362502	NCT04281485	NCT05429372	NCT03368742	NCT05693142
Company Phase Gene Therapy Estimated	Sarepta 1/2 SRP-9001 4	Sarepta 2 SRP-9001 41	Sarepta/Roche 1 SRP-9001 46	Sarepta/Roche 3 SRP-9001 126	Pfizer 1 PF-06939926 23	Pfizer 3 PF-06939926 99	Pfizer 2 PF-06939926 10	Solid Biosciences, Inc. 1/2 SGT-001 16	REGENXBIO Inc. 1/2 RGX-202 18
Age	3 months to	4 to 7 years	3 years and older	4 to 7 years	4 years and older	4 to 7 years	2 to 3 years	4 to 17 years	4 to 11 years
Primary Endpoint	v years participants with AEs	Change from base- line in quantity of microdystrophin protein expres- sion as measured by western blot Change from base- line in NSAA to- tal score	Change from base- line in quantity of microdystrophin protein expres- sion at week 12, as measured by western blot	Change from baseline in NSAA total score at week 52	Incidence of dose- limiting safety or intolerability, as measured by treatment- related AEs	Change from baseline in NSAA NSAA	Incidence and severity of treatment- emergent AEs and serious AEs No. of participants with abnormal hematology test results No. of participants with abnormal biochemistry test results No. of participants with abnormal urine analysis No. of participants with abnormal and clinically relevant changes in neurological examinations No. of participants with abnormal and clinically relevant changes in body weight No. of participants with abnormal and clinically relevant changes in vital signs No. of participants with abnormal and clinically relevant changes on cardiac troponin I No. of participants with abnormal and clinically relevant changes on ECG No. of participants with abnormal and clinically relevant changes on ECG	Change from baseline in micrody- strophin protein in muscle biop- sies Incidence of AEs Incidence of clinical laboratory ab- normalities Incidence of abnormalities in vital signs Incidence of abnormalities on ECGs Incidence of abnormalities on ECGs	Incidence of AEs and serious AEs
							echocardiography		

Table 1. Adeno-associated virus microdystrophin gene therapy clinical studies from Clinical Trials.gov (recruiting; active, not recruiting; enrolling by invitation)

AEs, adverse events; ECG, electrocardiogram; NSAA, North Star Ambulatory Assessment.

implying that any increase in internally truncated dystrophin could be beneficial.^{94–97} Animal studies have also shown improvements in muscle function when dystrophin levels were increased from low levels.⁹⁸ While not curative, these improvements might affect quality of life and stabilize disease progression, both of which would have clinical benefits for DMD patients.

In addition to the total quantity of expressed dystrophin or microdystrophin, the importance of the distribution of such expression is not fully understood. For example, the clinical benefit of 10% of fibers positive (on tissue section) with 50% total dystrophin (in whole-muscle lysate) versus 50% fibers positive (on tissue section) with 10% total dystrophin (in whole-muscle lysate) is not known. Studies in transgenic mdx mice expressing full-length dystrophin or microdystrophins, or in mdx mice injected with an AAV microdystrophin vector, have revealed that some protection from dystrophy occurs even if the expression is nonuniform, that is, mosaic.^{29,47,49,99} However, these studies also reveal that uniform expression is more protective than mosaic expression. Further, low-level expression of dystrophin in a uniform pattern tends to be more protective against necrosis than high-level mosaic expression. 47,98,100-104

Another consideration is body-wide distribution. In nonclinical studies, multiple tissues can be evaluated to interrogate the distribution of expression. AAV-microdystrophin gene therapy treatment in animal models has been shown to result in robust body-wide protein expression. It is not feasible or ethical to perform muscle biopsies on multiple muscles to clinically assess systematic expression in DMD patients. Preclinical data have shown the presence of microdystrophin in skeletal and cardiac muscles after administration of AAV microdystrophin gene therapy in both dystrophic dogs and mice, confirming body-wide expression. Data from dystrophic dogs show protein expression in both skeletal and cardiac muscle.^{38,61,62} Data from dystrophic mouse models reinforce the findings of widespread protein expression seen in dystrophic dogs.^{36,50,52,54,57,58,86,105} Similarly, skeletal and cardiac expression was seen with administration of AAV reporter gene in a dystrophic dog model.³⁸

BIOPSY AND MEASUREMENT METHODS

A muscle biopsy is required to quantify dystrophin and microdystrophin levels in DMD patients. These biopsy and assay methods have been used not only in clinical practice but also as the basis for regulatory approval. The techniques for obtaining, freezing, and handling samples to ensure that the tissue is appropriate for analysis have been well documented.^{106,107} Techniques have improved in recent years, limiting the amount of tissue needed to reduce the burden on patients.

Multiple techniques, beyond the scope of this article, have been developed to characterize and quantify dystrophin and microdystrophin. These include WB, capillarybased WB, mass spectrometry (MS), and immunofluorescence staining (IF), and they each provide critical, yet, limited information about the presence of dystrophin or the transduced microdystrophin. Collectively, they can provide complementary evidence of the appropriate localization of microdystrophin (IF), protein integrity and relative quantitation (WB, capillary-based WB), and absolute amount (MS).^{93,108–112} All validated techniques have demonstrated dystrophin or microdystrophin expression, dose response, and sarcolemmal localization.

CONCLUSIONS FOR APPROPRIATE USE OF MICRODYSTROPHIN AS A SURROGATE ENDPOINT

The use of microdystrophin as a reasonably likely surrogate endpoint is supported by the evidence provided. The use of microdystrophin is based on the biological relationship and the primary defect of DMD patients, which is the lack of dystrophin. Microdystrophin is rationally designed to incorporate critical elements of a fulllength dystrophin protein so that it is functional, correctly localized to the muscle cell membrane, recruiting members of the DAPC, and stabilizing the muscle. Based on preclinical and clinical data, microdystrophin can be evaluated as a surrogate endpoint as early as 2 months after dosing to support accelerated approval in clinical trials. However, current microdystrophin clinical trials are enrolling few nonambulatory patients, and no patients older than 17 years of age.

Consequently, it is difficult to predict functional outcomes that might result from treatment of adult patients. Studies in old mdx mouse models for DMD have shown a benefit in both skeletal and cardiac muscles.^{57,58,113} Also, microdystrophin expression halts ongoing myofiber necrosis, suggesting that at a minimum loss of muscle mass and function might be preserved in microdystrophin treated patients. In this case measurements of microdystrophin expression could prove more informative than attempts to measure clear increases in strength or other functional outcomes in older patients.

It is important to acknowledge the importance of safety data for approval also. FDA has noted that "...what is a feasible and sufficient safety assessment is a matter of scientific and regulatory judgment based on the particular challenges posed by each drug and disease, including patients' tolerance for risk in the setting of unmet medical need."¹¹⁴ With respect to rare diseases, the FDA has explained that "...[t]he goal of safety evaluation during drug development is to characterize the drug's safety profile in a reasonable number of patients over a reasonable duration of time, consistent with the intended use of the drug."¹¹⁴ For rare diseases, however, "reasonable" re-

quires "...consideration of feasibility challenges posed by the limited number of patients with the disease."¹¹⁴

FDA has also explicitly said that when considering the benefit-risk framework and making regulatory decisions regarding drugs and biologics for dystrophinopathies, it will "...consider patient and caregiver tolerance for risk and the serious and life-threatening nature of these conditions. For example, patients may be willing to tolerate substantial risk of harm if a drug might delay loss of important abilities such as ambulation. However, tolerance for risk may vary among individuals and be affected by disease stage and severity; FDA would consider this heterogeneity in regulatory decisions."⁹

Gene therapies approved using the accelerated approval pathway can leverage long-term follow-up (LTFU) studies—required to identify and mitigate long-term risks associated with the therapy—to also verify and describe the predicted clinical benefit. As discussed in FDA's guidance on this topic, the "…LTFU protocol for gene therapy trials is primarily designed to capture delayed adverse events in study subjects as well as to understand the persistence of the GT product. As a sponsor, you may consider designing the LTFU protocol to assess the long-term clinical efficacy and durability of your product."¹¹⁵ This approach will allow efficient assessment of patients for both safety and efficacy and help ensure timely completion of postapproval studies required under accelerated approval.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the Pathway Development Consortium (PDC) working group on DMD who helped facilitate and refine the concepts discussed in this article as part of a broader project evaluating how to apply the accelerated approval pathway to AAV gene therapy for the treatment of DMD. We apologize to our colleagues that we cannot cite all relevant publications due to space constraints.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

M.R. wrote the first draft of the article. All authors edited the article. All authors approved the submission.

AUTHOR DISCLOSURE

D.D. is a member of the scientific advisory board for Solid Biosciences and equity holders of Solid Biosciences. D.D. is an inventor of several patents related to DMD gene therapy. D.D. is a member of the scientific advisory board for Sardocor Corp. The Duan laboratory received research support unrelated to this project from Solid Biosciences in the last 3 years. The Duan laboratory has received research support unrelated to this project from Edgewise Therapeutics in the last 3 years. J.S.C. is a member of the scientific advisory board for Solid Biosciences and Kinea Bio and an equity holder of both. J.S.C. is an inventor on several patents related to microdystrophin design. O.D. and N.H. are employees of and hold equity from RE-GENXBIO, Inc. M.R. is a contractor at REGENXBIO, Inc. M.T. and O.Z. are former contractors at RE-GENXBIO, Inc. K.J.B., C.M. and A.G. are employees and hold equity from of Solid Biosciences. K.R.W. is an employee of and holds equity in F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Ltd. P.G.-A. is an employee and holds equity of Spark Therapeutics, a Roche Company.

FUNDING INFORMATION

Microdystrophin gene therapy studies were supported by NIH (AR065139 to J.S.C., AR70517 to D.D.), Jesse Davidson Foundation-Defeat Duchenne Canada (to D.D.), Jett Foundation (to D.D.), and Jackson Freel DMD Research Fund (to D.D.).

REFERENCES

- Duan D, Goemans N, Takeda S, et al. Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2021; 7(1):13; doi: 10.1038/s41572-021-00248-3
- Kunkel LM. 2004 William Allan Award address. Cloning of the DMD gene. Am J Hum Genet 2005;76(2):205–214; doi: 10.1086/428143
- Hoffman EP. Causes of clinical variability in Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophies and implications for exon skipping therapies. Acta Myol 2020;39(4):179–186.
- National Institute for Neurological Disorders and Stroke. Muscular Dystrophy: Hope Through Research. 2013. Available from: https://www.ninds .nih.gov/Disorders/Patient-Caregiver-Education/ Hope-Through-Research/Muscular-Dystrophy-Hope-

Through-Research [Last accessed: October 5, 2022].

- Van Ruiten HJ, Marini Bettolo C, Cheetham T, et al. Why are some patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy dying young: An analysis of causes of death in North East England. Eur J Paediatr Neurol 2016;20(6):904–909.
- Szabo SM, Salhany RM, Deighton A, et al. The clinical course of Duchenne muscular dystrophy in the corticosteroid treatment era: A systematic literature review. Orphanet J Rare Dis 2021; 16(1):237; doi: 10.1186/s13023-021-01862-w
- Darras BT, Urion DK, Ghosh PS. Dystrophinopathies. 2000 [Updated 2022 Jan 20]. In: Gene-Reviews[®]. (Adam MP, Everman DB, Mirzaa GM,

et al. eds.) University of Washington: Seattle (WA); 1993–2022. Available from: https://www .ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1119 [Last accessed: October 5,2022].

- Apkon S, Kinnett K, Cripe L, et al. Parent Project Muscular Dystrophy Females with Dystrophinopathy Conference, Orlando, Florida June 26– June 27, 2019. J Neuromuscul Dis 2021;8(2): 315–322; doi: 10.3233/JND-200555
- FDA Guidance for Industry: Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy and Related Dystrophinopathies: Developing Drugs for Treatment. February 2018. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/media/92233/ download [Last accessed: October 5, 2022].
- 10. Duan D. Systemic AAV Micro-dystrophin gene therapy for duchenne muscular dystrophy. Mol

Ther 2018;26(10):2337-2356; doi: 10.1016/j.ymthe .2018.07.011

- Wang D, Tai PWL, Gao G. Adeno-associated virus vector as a platform for gene therapy delivery. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2019;18(5):358–378; doi: 10.1038/s41573-019-0012-9
- Duan D. Micro-dystrophin gene therapy goes systemic in Duchenne muscular dystrophy patients. Hum Gene Ther 2018;29(7):733–736.
- 13. 21U.S.C. § 506(c)(1)(A) of the Federal Food Drug & Cosmetic Act.
- 14. 21U.S.C. § 356(c)(2)(A) of the Federal Food Drug & Cosmetic Act and 21 CFR 314.510 and 601.41.
- 15. 21U.S.C. § 356(c)(3)(A) of the Federal Food Drug & Cosmetic Act and 21 CFR 314.510, 314.530, 601.41, and 601.43.
- FDA news release: FDA approves drug to treat Duchenne muscular dystrophy. February 9, 2017. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/news-events/ press-announcements/fda-approves-drug-treatduchenne-muscular-dystrophy [Last accessed: October 5, 2022].
- FDA Guidance for Industry: Expedited Programs for Serious Conditions—Drugs and Biologics. May 2014. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/ media/86377/download [Last accessed: November 21, 2022].
- Emflaza (deflazacort) Prescribing Information. June 2021. Available from: https://www.accessdata .fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/208684 s005,208685s005lbl.pdf [Last accessed: October 5, 2022].
- FDA. Final Rule, New Drug, Antibiotic, and Biological Drug Product Regulations; Accelerated Approval (57 FR 58942, 58944, December 11, 1992).
- 20. FDA Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Summary Review Application Number: 206488. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/nda/2016/206488_summary%20 review_Redacted.pdf [Last accessed: October 5, 2022].
- FDA Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Summary Review Application Number: 211970. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/nda/2019/2119700rig1s000SumR .pdf [Last accessed: October 5, 2022].
- 22. FDA Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Summary Review Application Number: 212154. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/nda/2020/2121540rig1s000SumR .pdf [Last accessed: October 5, 2022].
- 23. FDA Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Summary Review Application Number: 213026. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/nda/2021/2130260rig1s000SumR .pdf [Last accessed: October 5, 2022].
- Ervasti JM. Dystrophin, its interactions with other proteins, and implications for muscular dystrophy. Biochim Biophys Acta 2007;1772(2):108–117; doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2006.05.010

- Ervasti JM, Campbell KP. A role for the dystrophinglycoprotein complex as a transmembrane linker between laminin and actin. J Cell Biol 1993;122: 809–823.
- Gumerson JD, Michele DE. The dystrophinglycoprotein complex in the prevention of muscle damage. J Biomed Biotechnol 2011;2011: 210797; doi: 10.1155/2011/210797
- Wasala NB, Lai Y, Shin JH, et al. Genomic removal of a therapeutic mini-dystrophin gene from adult mice elicits a Duchenne muscular dystrophy-like phenotype. Hum Mol Genet 2016; 25(13):2633–2644; doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddw123
- Banks GB, Gregorevic P, Allen JM, et al. Functional capacity of dystrophins carrying deletions in the N-terminal actin-binding domain. Hum Mol Genet 2007;16:2105–2113.
- Harper SQ, Hauser MA, DelloRusso C, et al. Modular flexibility of dystrophin: Implications for gene therapy of Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Nat Med 2002;8:253–261.
- Ramos JN, Hollinger K, Bengtsson NE, et al. Development of novel micro-dystrophins with enhanced functionality. Mol Ther 2019;27:623– 635.
- England SB, Nicholson LV, Johnson MA, et al. Very mild muscular dystrophy associated with the deletion of 46% of dystrophin. Nature 1990; 343:180–182.
- Darras BT. Muscular dystrophies. In: Office Practice of Neurology (Second Edition). (Samuels MA, Feske SK, eds.) Churchill Livingstone; 2003; pp. 684–697; doi: 10.1016/B0-44-306557-8/50109-X
- Alfano LN, Lowes LP, Berry KM, et al.T.P.1: Pilot study evaluating motivation on the performance of timed walking in boys with Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Neuromuscular Disord 2014; 24(9–10):860.
- 34. Servais L, Camino E, Clement A, et al. First regulatory qualification of a novel digital endpoint in Duchenne muscular dystrophy: A multistakeholder perspective on the impact for patients and for drug development in neuromuscular diseases. Digit Biomark 2021;5:183–190.
- 35. Butterfield RJ. One year data from ambulatory boys in a phase 1b, open-label study of fordistrogene movaparvovec (PF-06939926) for Duchenne muscular dystrophy. In: Presented as part of Muscular Dystrophy Association Clinical and Scientific Conference, March 2022, Nashville, TN.
- Gregorevic P, Allen JM, Minami E, et al. rAAV6microdystrophin preserves muscle function and extends lifespan in severely dystrophic mice. Nat Med 2006;12:787–789.
- Shin JH, Pan X, Hakim CH, et al. Microdystrophin ameliorates muscular dystrophy in the canine model of duchenne muscular dystrophy. Mol Ther 2013;21(4):750–757; doi: 10.1038/mt.2012 .283

- Yue Y, Pan X, Hakim CH, et al. Safe and bodywide muscle transduction in young adult Duchenne muscular dystrophy dogs with adenoassociated virus. Hum Mol Genet 2015;24:5880– 5890.
- Mendell JR, Sahenk Z, Lehman K, et al. Assessment of systemic delivery of rAAVrh74 .MHCK7.micro-dystrophin in children with Duchenne muscular dystrophy: A nonrandomized controlled trial. JAMA Neurol 2020;77(9):1122– 1131.
- 40. FDA Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Clinical Pharmacology and Biopharmaceutics Review Application Number: 206488. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_ docs/nda/2016/2064880rig1s000ClinPharmR.pdf [Last accessed: October 5, 2022].
- FDA Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Medical Review Application Number: 206488. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/nda/2016/2064880rig1s000MedR .pdf [Last accessed: October 5, 2022].
- Koenig M, Beggs AH, Moyer M, et al. The molecular basis for Duchenne versus Becker muscular dystrophy: Correlation of severity with type of deletion. Am J Hum Genet 1989;45(4):498– 506.
- 43. Lai Y, Thomas GD, Yue Y, et al. Dystrophins carrying spectrin-like repeats 16 and 17 anchor nNOS to the sarcolemma and enhance exercise performance in a mouse model of muscular dystrophy. J Clin Invest 2009;119:624–635.
- Cox GA, Cole NM, Matsumura K, et al. Overexpression of dystrophin in transgenic mdx mice eliminates dystrophic symptoms without toxicity [see comments]. Nature 1993;364:725–729.
- Vincent N, Ragot T, Gilgenkrantz H, et al. Longterm correction of mouse dystrophic degeneration by adenovirus-mediated transfer of a minidystrophin gene. Nat Genet 1993;5:130–134.
- 46. Passos-Bueno MR, Vainzof M, Marie SK, et al. Half the dystrophin gene is apparently enough for a mild clinical course: Confirmation of its potential use for gene therapy. Hum Mol Genet 1994;3:919–922.
- Phelps SF, Hauser MA, Cole NM, et al. Expression of full-length and truncated dystrophin minigenes in transgenic mdx mice. Hum Mol Genet 1995;4:1251–1258.
- Wells DJ, Wells KE, Asante EA, et al. Expression of human full-length and minidystrophin in transgenic mdx mice: Implications for gene therapy of Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Hum Mol Genet 1995;4:1245–1250.
- Chamberlain JS. Dystrophin levels required for correction of Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Basic Appl Myol 1997;7:251–255.
- Wang B, Li J, Xiao X. Adeno-associated virus vector carrying human minidystrophin genes effectively ameliorates muscular dystrophy in mdx mouse model. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2000;97: 13714–13719.

- Yuasa K, Miyagoe Y, Yamamoto K, et al. Effective restoration of dystrophin-associated proteins in vivo by adenovirus-mediated transfer of truncated dystrophin cDNAs. FEBS Lett 1998;425: 329–336.
- Yoshimura M, Sakamoto M, Ikemoto M, et al. AAV vector-mediated microdystrophin expression in a relatively small percentage of mdx myofibers improved the mdx phenotype. Mol Ther 2004; 10(5):821–828.
- Wasala NB, Yue Y, Hu B, et al. Life-long outcomes of systemic AAV micro-dystrophin gene therapy in a murine Duchenne muscular dystrophy model. Hum Gene Ther 2023; doi: 10.1089/ hum.2022.181
- Gregorevic P, Blankinship MJ, Allen JM, et al. Systemic delivery of genes to striated muscles using adeno-associated viral vectors. Nat Med 2004;10:828–834.
- Wang B, Li J, Fu FH, et al. Systemic human minidystrophin gene transfer improves functions and life span of dystrophin and dystrophin/ utrophin-deficient mice. J Orthop Res 2009;27: 421–426.
- Bostick B, Shin JH, Yue Y, et al. AAVmicrodystrophin therapy improves cardiac performance in aged female mdx mice. Mol Ther 2011;19:1826–1832.
- Gregorevic P, Blankinship MJ, Allen JM, et al. Systemic microdystrophin gene delivery improves skeletal muscle structure and function in old dystrophic mdx mice. Mol Ther 2008;16: 657–664.
- Bostick B, Shin JH, Yue Y, et al. AAV microdystrophin gene therapy alleviates stressinduced cardiac death but not myocardial fibrosis in >21-m-old mdx mice, an end-stage model of Duchenne muscular dystrophy cardiomyopathy. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2012;53(2):217–222; doi: 10.1016/ j.yjmcc.2012.05.002
- 59. Hakim CH, Kodippili K, Jenkins G, et al. AAV micro-dystrophin therapy ameliorates muscular dystrophy in young adult Duchenne muscular dystrophy dogs for up to thirty months following injection. Mol Ther2018;26 (5S1):5 (abstract).
- Kornegay JN, Bogan JR, Bogan DJ, et al. Canine models of Duchenne muscular dystrophy and their use in therapeutic strategies. Mamm Genome 2012;23:85–108.
- Kornegay JN, Li J, Bogan JR, et al. Widespread muscle expression of an AAV9 human minidystrophin vector after intravenous injection in neonatal dystrophin-deficient dogs. Mol Ther 2010;18:1501–1508.
- Le Guiner C, Servais L, Montus M, et al. Longterm microdystrophin gene therapy is effective in a canine model of Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Nat Commun 2017;8:16105; doi: 10.1038/ncomms 16105
- 63. Abmayr S, Chamberlain J. The structure and function of dystrophin. In: The Molecular Me-

chanisms of Muscular Dystrophies. (Winder SJ. ed.) Landes Biosciences: Georgetown; 2006; pp. 14–34.

- Amann KJ, Renley BA, Ervasti JM. A cluster of basic repeats in the dystrophin rod domain binds F-actin through an electrostatic interaction. J Biol Chem 1998;273:28419–28423.
- 65. Beggs AH, Hoffman EP, Snyder JR, et al. Exploring the molecular basis for variability among patients with Becker muscular dystrophy: Dystrophin gene and protein studies. Am J Hum Genet 1991;49:54–67.
- Corrado K, Mills PL, Chamberlain JS. Deletion analysis of the dystrophin-actin binding domain. FEBS Lett.1994;344:255–260.
- Corrado K, Rafael JA, Mills PL, et al. Transgenic mdx mice expressing dystrophin with a deletion in the actin-binding domain display a "mild Becker" phenotype. J Cell Biol 1996;134:873– 884.
- Levine BA, Moir AJ, Patchell VB, et al. Binding sites involved in the interaction of actin with the N- terminal region of dystrophin. FEBS Lett 1992; 298:44–48.
- 69. Norwood FL, Sutherland-Smith AJ, Keep NH, et al. The structure of the N-terminal actinbinding domain of human dystrophin and how mutations in this domain may cause Duchenne or Becker muscular dystrophy. Structure Fold Des 2000;8:481–491.
- Rybakova IN, Amann KJ, Ervasti JM. A new model for the interaction of dystrophin with F-actin. J Cell Biol 1996;135:661–672.
- Rybakova IN, Ervasti JM. Dystrophin-glycoprotein complex is monomeric and stabilizes actin filaments in vitro through a lateral association. J Biol Chem 1997;272:28771–28778.
- Ervasti JM, Rybakova IN, Amann KJ. A multiple site, side binding model for the interaction of dystrophin with F-actin. Soc Gen Physiol Ser 1997; 52:31–44.
- Rybakova IN, Patel JR, Ervasti JM. The dystrophin complex forms a mechanically strong link between the sarcolemma and costameric actin. J Cell Biol 2000;150:1209–1214.
- Warner LE, DelloRusso C, Crawford RW, et al. Expression of Dp260 in muscle tethers the actin cytoskeleton to the dystrophin-glycoprotein complex and partially prevents dystrophy. Hum Mol Genet 2002;11:1095–1105.
- Huang X, Poy F, Zhang R, et al. Structure of a WW domain containing fragment of dystrophin in complex with beta-dystroglycan. Nat Struct Biol 2000;7:634–638.
- Jung D, Yang B, Meyer J, et al. Identification and characterization of the dystrophin anchoring site on beta-dystroglycan. J Biol Chem 1995;270: 27305–27310.
- 77. Rentschler S, Linn H, Deininger K, et al. The WW domain of dystrophin requires EF-hands region

to interact with beta-dystroglycan. J Biol Chem 1999;380:431-442.

- Suzuki A, Yoshida M, Yamamoto H, et al. Glycoprotein-binding site of dystrophin is confined to the cysteine- rich domain and the first half of the carboxy-terminal domain. FEBS Lett 1992;308:154–160.
- Bies RD, Caskey CT, Fenwick R. An intact cysteine-rich domain is required for dystrophin function. J Clin Invest. 1992;90:666–672.
- Ishikawa-Sakurai M, Yoshida M, Imamura M, et al. ZZ domain is essentially required for the physiological binding of dystrophin and utrophin to {beta}-dystroglycan. Hum Mol Genet 2004;13: 693–702.
- Rafael JA, Cox GA, Corrado K, et al. Forced expression of dystrophin deletion constructs reveals structure-function correlations. J Cell Biol 1996;134:93–102.
- Sakuraba H, Hori S, Ohtani S, et al. A case of Duchenne muscular dystrophy with truncated dystrophin. Significance of a cysteine-rich domain for functional expression of dystrophin protein. Brain Dev 1993;15:222–225.
- Sakamoto M, Yuasa K, Yoshimura M, et al. Micro-dystrophin cDNA ameliorates dystrophic phenotypes when introduced into mdx mice as a transgene. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2002; 293:1265–1272.
- 84. Wasala LP, Watkins T, Wasala N, et al. The implication of hinge 1 and hinge 4 in microdystrophin gene therapy for Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Hum Gene Ther 2022;10.1089/hum .2022.180. [Epub ahead of print]; doi: 10.1089/ hum.2022.180
- Banks GB, Judge LM, Allen JM, et al. The polyproline site in hinge 2 influences the functional capacity of truncated dystrophins. PLoS Genet 2010;6:e1000958.
- Hakim CH, Wasala NB, Pan X, et al. A five-repeat micro-dystrophin gene ameliorated dystrophic phenotype in the severe DBA/2J-mdx model of Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev 2017:6:216–230.
- Ebner J, Pan X, Yue Y, et al. Microdystrophin therapy rescues impaired na currents in cardiac Purkinje fibers from dystrophin-deficient Mdx mice. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2022;15(8): e011161; doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.122.011161
- 88. Solid Biosciences. Solid Biosciences Reports Efficacy and Safety Data from the Ongoing IG-NITE DMD Clinical Trial and Resumption of Patient Dosing in the 2E14 vg/kg Cohort. March 15, 2021. Available from: https://www.solidbio.com/ about/media/press-releases/solid-biosciencesreporssts-efficacy-and-safety-data-from-the-ongoingignite-dmd-clinical-trial-and-resumption-of-patientdosing-in-the-2e14-vg-kg-cohort [Last accessed: October 5, 2022].
- Solid Biosciences. Solid Biosciences Reports 1.5-Year Data from Patients in the Ongoing IGNITE

DMD Phase I/II Clinical Trial of SGT-001. September 27, 2021. Available from: https://www .solidbio.com/about/media/press-releases/solidbiosciences-reports-1-5-year-data-from-patientsin-the-ongoing-ignite-dmd-phase-i-ii-clinical-trialof-sgt-001 [Last accessed: October 5, 2022].

- 90. Solid Biosciences. Solid Biosciences Reports Fourth Quarter and Full-Year2021 Financial Results and 2-Year Efficacy and Safety Data from the Ongoing Phase I/II IGNITE DMD Clinical Trial of SGT-001. March 14, 2022. Available from: https://www.solidbio.com/about/media/pressreleases/solid-biosciences-reports-fourth-quarterand-full-year-2021-financial-results-and-2-yearefficacy-and-safety-data-from-the-ongoing-phasei-ii-ignite-dmd-clinical-trial-of-sgt-001 [Last accessed: October 5, 2022].
- 91. Pfizer press release: Pfizer's New Phase 1b Results of Gene Therapy in Ambulatory Boys with Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) Support Advancement into Pivotal Phase 3 Study. May 15, 2020. Available from: https://www.pfizer.com/news/press-release/press-release-detail/pfizers-new-phase-1b-results-gene-therapy-ambulatory-boy [Last accessed: October 5, 2022].
- 92. Koeks Z, Janson AA, Beekman C, et al. Low dystrophin variability between muscles and stable expression over time in Becker muscular dystrophy using capillary Western immunoassay. Sci Rep 2021;11(1):5952.
- 93. Beekman C, Janson AA, Baghat A, et al. Use of capillary Western immunoassay (Wes) for quantification of dystrophin levels in skeletal muscle of healthy controls and individuals with Becker and Duchenne muscular dystrophy. PLoS One 2018;13(4):e0195850.
- 94. Nakamura A, Fueki N, Shiba N, et al. Deletion of exons 3–9 encompassing a mutational hot spot in the DMD gene presents an asymptomatic phenotype, indicating a target region for multiexon skipping therapy. J Hum Genet 2016;61(7): 663–667.
- Winnard AV, Mendell JR, Prior TW, et al. Frameshift deletions of exons 3–7 and revertant fibers in Duchenne muscular dystrophy: Mechanisms of dystrophin production. Am J Hum Genet 1995;56(1):158–166.

- Muntoni F, Gobbi P, Sewry C, et al. Deletions in the 5' region of dystrophin and resulting phenotypes. J Med Genet 1994;31(11):843–847.
- Bello L, Morgenroth LP, Gordish-Dressman H, et al. DMD genotypes and loss of ambulation in the CINRG Duchenne Natural History Study. Neurology 2016;87(4):401–409.
- van Putten M, Hulsker M, Nadarajah VD, et al. The effects of low levels of dystrophin on mouse muscle function and pathology. PLoS One 2012; 7(2):e31937.
- Rafael JA, Sunada Y, Cole NM, et al. Prevention of dystrophic pathology in mdx mice by a truncated dystrophin isoform. Hum Mol Genet 1994; 3:1725–1733.
- van Putten M, van der Pijl EM, Hulsker M, et al. Low dystrophin levels in heart can delay heart failure in mdx mice. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2014;69:17–23.
- 101. Li D, Yue Y, Duan D. Preservation of muscle force in Mdx3cv mice correlates with low-level expression of a near full-length dystrophin protein. Am J Pathol 2008;172(5):1332–1341; doi: 10.2353/ ajpath.2008.071042
- 102. Li D, Yue Y, Duan D. Marginal level dystrophin expression improves clinical outcome in a strain of dystrophin/utrophin double knockout mice. PLoS One 2010;5(12):e15286; doi: 10.1371/journal .pone.0015286
- de Feraudy Y, Ben Yaou R, Wahbi K, et al. Very low residual dystrophin quantity is associated with milder dystrophinopathy. Ann Neurol 2021; 89(2):280–292; doi: 10.1002/ana.25951
- 104. Wasala NB, Yue Y, Vance J, et al. Uniform lowlevel dystrophin expression in the heart partially preserved cardiac function in an aged mouse model of Duchenne cardiomyopathy. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2017;102:45–52.
- Wang Z, Zhu T, Qiao C, et al. Adeno-associated virus serotype 8 efficiently delivers genes to muscle and heart. Nat Biotechnol 2005;23(3): 321–328.
- 106. Lee CC, Hoang A, Segovia D, et al. Enhanced methods for needle biopsy and cryopreservation of skeletal muscle in older adults. J Cytol Histol 2020;11(2):10.37421/jch.2020.11.553; doi: 10.37421/ jch.2020.11.553.

- Meng H, Janssen PM, Grange RW, et al. Tissue triage and freezing for models of skeletal muscle disease. J Vis Exp 2014;(89):51586.
- Vetter TA, Nicolau S, Bradley AJ, et al. Automated immunofluorescence analysis for sensitive and precise dystrophin quantification in muscle biopsies. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol 2022;48(3): e12785.
- 109. Farrokhi V, Walsh J, Palandra J, et al. Dystrophin and mini-dystrophin quantification by mass spectrometry in skeletal muscle for gene therapy development in Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Gene Ther 2022;29(10–11):608–615; doi: 10.1038/ s41434-021-00300-7.
- Torelli S, Scaglioni D, Sardone V, et al. Highthroughput digital image analysis reveals distinct patterns of dystrophin expression in dystrophinopathy patients. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2021; 80(10):955–965.
- 111. Aartsma-Rus A, Morgan J, Lonkar P, et al. Report of a TREAT-NMD/World Duchenne Organisation Meeting on dystrophin quantification methodology. J Neuromuscul Dis 2019;6(1): 147–159.
- Schnell FJ, Frank DE, Fletcher S, et al. Challenges of interpreting dystrophin content by Western blot. US Neurol 2019;15(1):40–46.
- 113. Bostick B, Yue Y, Long C, Duan D. Prevention of dystrophin-deficient cardiomyopathy in twentyone-month-old carrier mice by mosaic dystrophin expression or complementary dystrophin/utrophin expression. Circ Res 2008;102(1):121–130; doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.107.162982
- FDA Draft Guidance for Industry: Rare Diseases: Common Issues in Drug Development. January 2019. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/media/ 119757/download [Last accessed: October 5, 2022].
- 115. FDA Guidance for Industry: Long Term Follow-Up After Administration of Human Gene Therapy Products. January 2020. Available from: https:// www.fda.gov/media/113768/download [Last accessed:October 5, 2022].

Received for publication October 7, 2022; accepted after revision December 12, 2022.

Published online: January 25, 2023.